

---

<b>In re:</b>	:	<b>Chapter 11</b>
	:	
<b>TRONOX INCORPORATED, et al.,</b>	:	<b>Case No. 09-10156 (MEW)</b>
	:	<b>Jointly Administered</b>
<b>Reorganized Debtors.</b>	:	

---

**DECISIONS AS TO PENDING MOTIONS FOR PERMISSION TO FILE  
“FUTURE TORT CLAIMS” AND FOR RELIEF FROM THE 2009 BAR DATE**

These bankruptcy cases were filed in January 2009. The Court set a deadline (known in bankruptcy as a bar date) of August 12, 2009 for the filing of proofs of claim. A plan of reorganization (the “Plan”) was later confirmed on November 30, 2010. The Plan established a Tort Claims Trust for the payment of tort claims, including claims based on exposures to creosote. More than 80% of the Trust assets were set aside for distribution to the holders of tort claims that were timely filed before the August 12, 2009 bar date, which were described in the Plan as “Category D” claims. A much smaller portion of the Trust assets (about 6.125%) were to be set aside collectively for holders of “future” tort claims and asbestos claims, all of which are referred to collectively in this Decision either as “Future Tort Claims” or “Category A Claims.” After hearing evidence in 2016, I ruled that a claim qualifies as a Category A Claim if:

- (1) The claim is based on an alleged exposure to a harmful substance that occurred on or after August 12, 2009; or
- (2) The claim is based on an exposure that occurred before August 12, 2009, but as to which no injury or disease was manifested until on or after August 12, 2009; or
- (3) The exposure, as well as the manifestation of an injury or disease, predated August 12, 2009, but the claimant is able to establish (a) that the claimant’s

failure to file a timely proof of claim should be excused on grounds of excusable neglect, or (b) that the purported discharge of the claimant's claim was a violation of due process and therefore ineffective.

*See* Memorandum Opinion as to Tort Claims Trustee's Motion for Instructions Regarding Future Tort Claims on December 14, 2016 (ECF No. 3268). The witnesses at the 2016 hearing testified that they believed (at the time the Plan was confirmed) that injuries from creosote exposures would already have manifested themselves, and that they did not expect that there would be significant numbers of "future" tort claims based on creosote exposures. *See* Oct. 25, 2016 Hr'g Tr. (ECF No. 3267) at 15:23-16:8, 20:9-20:23.

It was not clear, when the Plan was confirmed, whether tort claimants would receive significant recoveries, because the main funding for the Tort Claims Trust was to come from the proceeds of a fraudulent transfer litigation that was still pending in 2010. However, a very successful resolution of that litigation in 2015 resulted in the infusion of more than \$600 million to the Tort Claims Trust. *See Annual Report and Account of the Tronox Incorporated Tort Claims Trust for the Year ending December 31, 2015*, filed on April 29, 2016 (the "2015 Trust Report"), at Dkt No, 3064-1, ¶ 6. That large infusion enabled the Trust to make distributions to Category D tort claimants that greatly exceeded the average recoveries that tort claimants had received in pre-bankruptcy litigations.

The large distributions in 2015 sparked an explosion in the filing of "future" tort claims. As of early 2015 the Tort Claims Trust had received only about 600 such claims. *See* Jan. 24, 2018 Hr'g Tr. (ECF No. 8041) at 5:1-5. After word circulated about the Category D distributions, however, more than 10,000 new Category A claims were filed in a four-month period. *Id.* 5:5-8. At the latest count the Trust has received more than 38,000 such claims. The

Trust has issued “Determination Notices” to more than 19,000 claimants, stating whether the Trustee agrees or disagrees with the asserted future tort claims and, in cases where the Trustee agrees, what the proposed allowed amounts of the claims would be. *See Annual Report and Account of the Tronox Incorporated Tort Claims Trust for the Year ending December 31, 2019*, filed on April 30, 2020 (the “**2019 Trust Report**”), Ex. B [ECF No. 9461]. In many instances the Trust took the position that the proposed claims arose prior to the bar date and were barred because no timely proofs of claim had been filed. In response, 4,676 claimants have filed motions with this Court seeking permission to file claims notwithstanding the expiration of the August 12, 2009 bar date.

Many of the movants have described serious illnesses, and reviewing the motions is a difficult task. Unfortunately, however, it is obvious that there are many serious misconceptions as to the recoveries that the holders of “future” tort claims are likely to receive and as to the standards that govern the motions.

**Likely Recoveries.** *It is plain that many movants believe that if their Future Tort Claims are allowed they will receive compensation that is similar to what has been paid to persons who filed Category D tort claims before the original bar date in 2009. Unfortunately, however, that is not the case.* Any recoveries by holders of Category A Claims will be relatively small, and I am powerless to do anything to change that outcome.

The confirmation of the 2010 plan of reorganization discharged all tort claims against the Tronox companies. That discharge was final in 2010. I have no continuing jurisdiction over Tronox or its predecessors or successors. Those companies have no obligation to contribute additional funds to the Tort Claims Trust. Claimants’ only recourse is to whatever funds are still held in the Tort Claims Trust. No other funds are available in these bankruptcy cases.

As noted above, most of the assets of the Tort Claims Trust were set aside for claimants who filed timely proofs of claim in 2009. Those funds have already been distributed, except for a minor amount that is awaiting distribution while administrative issues are resolved. *See* 2019 Trust Report. The only funds to which the movants would have access (if their motions were granted) are the funds that were set aside for “Category A” claims. At the end of 2019 the amount that remained for all of these claims was only \$20,705,258.

Once the claims process is finished, each Category A claimant whose claim is allowed will be entitled to a share of whatever is left. That share will be based on the size of the claimant’s allowed claim compared to the total of all allowed Category A claims. ***Given the amount of available funds, and the huge numbers of Future Tort Claims that have been filed, the Tort Claims Trustee anticipates that Future Tort Claimants will receive payments that are less than 1% of the “allowed amounts” of their claims.*** *See* 2019 Trust Report ¶ 6A. That means (for example) that a future tort claimant who has an “allowed” claim of \$10,000 will likely receive less than \$100 as an actual distribution. The actual amounts of the payments could be less, and ultimately will depend on how much (if anything) is left after paying the ongoing costs of reviewing and resolving the many thousands of remaining claims.

The Court understands that the holders of timely-filed tort claims received much larger distributions of approximately 35% of the “allowed” amounts of their claims. The payments to Future Tort Claimants therefore will necessarily be only a small fraction of the payments that were made to persons who filed timely claims. The claimants who have filed future tort claims understandably may feel that it is unfair that timely-filed claims received better recoveries. However, I cannot do anything to change that fact. The allocations of funds among the different categories of tort claims happened long ago – with participation by attorneys who represented



tort claimants – and those allocations became final when the plan was confirmed in 2010. *See Tronox Inc. v. Anadarko Petro. Corp. (In re Tronox Inc.)*, No. 14-cv-5495(KF), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 158767 \*21-22 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014) (rejecting a request in 2014 for a change to the allocation of settlement proceeds because the allocations had been set by the 2010 confirmation order and requests for changes were barred by *res judicata*). I do not have the power to alter the plan or the allocations of funds that were previously approved. In any event it would be fruitless to try, because as noted above all of the monies that were set aside for “timely” tort claims have already been distributed or designated for distribution.

**Claims that were Barred Before the Bankruptcy Filing.** In a bankruptcy case, the court sets a bar date for the filing of claims. Claimants may ask to be excused from the bar date based on excusable neglect, but such a showing only excuses a failure to comply with the bar date itself. The claim still must be a claim that is valid and enforceable under non-bankruptcy law. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). A very high proportion of the motions that have been filed, however, identify claims that plainly were barred prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

For example, many of the claims that are the subject of the pending motions have been filed by claimants in Mississippi. Mississippi imposes a three-year statute of limitations for the assertion of a tort claim of the kind that is at issue here. Miss. Code § 15-1-49. The Mississippi Supreme Court has held that a claim for latent injury or disease based on creosote exposure accrues when the claimant was diagnosed with the injury or a disease, regardless of whether the claimant knew of the cause of the injury or disease. *See Angle v. Koppers, Inc.*, 42 So.3d 1, 7 (Miss. 2010) (holding that “[n]o provision of Section 15-1-49 provides that a plaintiff must have knowledge of the cause of the injury before the cause of action accrues, initiating the running of the statute of limitations.”)

The Tronox bankruptcy case was filed on January 12, 2009. Claims under Mississippi law that are based on injuries or diseases that were diagnosed on or before January 12, 2006 therefore were time-barred when the Tronox bankruptcy cases were filed. Nevertheless, a very large number of claimants in Mississippi have filed claims based on conditions that admittedly were diagnosed before January 2006. An “excusable neglect” motion merely explains why a claimant did not file a claim in the bankruptcy case itself; it does not revive a claim that expired before the bankruptcy case was filed. It appears that many time-barred Mississippi claimants have somehow been misled into thinking otherwise, giving rise to false hopes that long-extinguished claims might have been revived, which is not the case.

Similarly, many movants were participants in other lawsuits that were resolved before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. They received compensation that was far less than the amounts that “Category D” claimants eventually received following the bankruptcy case, and many of them now want to renew their previously resolved claims in the hopes of getting increased recoveries. However, a prior resolution of a claim in another proceeding is final, and a claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding cannot be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case.

**Grounds for Bar Date Relief.** The grounds upon which late-filed claims may be permitted due to “excusable neglect,” or due to violations of due process, are limited. Many movants contend that they did not receive a direct notice of the claims process and that they were unaware of the bankruptcy case. But as a due process matter it is well-established that a failure to receive direct, individualized notice of the bar date is not a ground for relief unless there is a showing that the Debtors knew the identity of the claimant. The Debtors mailed notices to persons whose litigation claims were pending, and no movant who has complained about the lack of direct notice has shown that the Debtors knew of the movant’s identity and claim in 2009.

It is also well-settled that a lack of knowledge alone does not suffice to establish a right to relief from the bar date based on “excusable neglect.” Whether a claimant can show “excusable neglect” requires consideration of four factors:

- the danger of prejudice to others;
- the length of the delay and its potential impact on proceedings;
- the reason for the delay, including whether it was in the reasonable control of the movant; and
- whether the movant acted in good faith.

*Pioneer Inv. Servs. v. Brunswick Assocs, Ltd. P’ship*, 507 U.S. 380, 396 (1993).

Here, every late-filed claim that is allowed would reduce the already-low percentage recoveries of asbestos claimants and persons whose diseases had not even manifested at the time of the bar date, and therefore would be prejudicial to those other claimants. The costs of resolving disputes as to the merits of many thousands of additional claims also would deplete the Trust’s available resources and delay the wind-up of the Trust, thereby imposing huge administrative costs and forcing other claimants to wait longer to receive distributions. Overcoming these factors requires good reasons for movants’ delays in pursuing their claims and a showing that those delays were not in the movants’ reasonable control. But very few of the motions have explained the many years that passed after the bar date – in most cases more than six years – before the claimants took any action to pursue their claims.

I do not enjoy the job of enforcing the foregoing standards, particularly in the cases of claimants whose underlying injuries and illnesses seem very real. However, I am bound to apply strict due process and excusable neglect standards even if the movants may feel that the results are harsh.

**Conditions First Diagnosed After the Bar Date.** On a more positive note (from the perspective of the movants): many of the pending motions have identified claims that are based on conditions that allegedly did not manifest themselves until after the bar date. As explained in more detail below, I confirm that a claim based on a condition or disease that was first diagnosed after the bar date is automatically a “future” tort claim for purposes of the Trust, and a claimant does not need to establish “excusable neglect” or a violation of due process in order to pursue a claim of that kind. The Tort Claims Trust may dispute the merits of these claims, and as part of that process the Trust may dispute the dates on which the relevant diseases or conditions actually manifested themselves, but those disputes are to be resolved under the Trust’s normal dispute resolution procedures, which do not contemplate further involvement by the Court.

I have carefully reviewed each of the 4,676 pending motions and the many supplemental submissions that we have received with respect to those motions. Many claimants have expressed their frustration at how long this has taken, but the sheer number of motions and related filings, and the sheer number of issues raised, has made it impossible for me to finish the task earlier. It also made sense to rule on all the motions at one time, rather than ruling on them in a piecemeal fashion, to ensure consistency in our rulings and to preserve order in the handling of any appeals that might be filed. In addition, the Trust cannot calculate distributions to individual claimants until it knows what the total universe of allowed claims will be, so interim decisions would not have changed the ultimate distribution dates in any event.

Issues common to many or all of the motions are discussed in this Decision, and the individual motions and the Court’s rulings on them are summarized in the tables that are being filed as Tables A and B to this Decision. To be clear: statements made in Tables A and B as to diagnosis dates, movants’ knowledge and movants’ reasons for not having filed claims are

summaries of the movants' contentions, and should not be treated as factual findings by the Court. The tables are identical but they are sorted differently. Table A lists the motions by docket number, and Table B lists the movants by name (as did the list of motions that was submitted by the Trust when it filed its objections to the pending motions). The column entitled "Comments and explanations for rulings" is color-coded to reflect the outcome of the motion.

More particularly:

- Items shaded in red are motions as to which relief is denied in its entirety.
- Items shaded in green are motions as to which no grounds for relief from the bar date have been established but which (in whole or in part) also involve conditions that allegedly were first diagnosed after the bar date. The portions of those motions that are based on conditions first diagnosed after the bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
- Items shaded in blue are motions as to which relief from the bar date has been granted.
- Items shaded in yellow are motions as to which movants are permitted to make supplemental submissions to address certain issues that the Court has identified.

It is conceivable that there were inadvertent errors in the color-coding of the rulings and in such cases it is the text (and not the color coding) that specifies the actual rulings of the Court.

There were a number of motions that were filed with the Court that did not appear on the summaries that the Trust filed. In addition, there were instances in which the Trust's summaries contained minor errors (transposed claim numbers, for example). We have used bold-faced red type in Tables A and B to identify discrepancies and to assist the Trust in reconciling our rulings with the Trust's prior submission. An original copy of the Excel spreadsheet that was used to

generate the tables also will be sent to the Trust to assist the Trust in updating its database. As indicated at the end of this Decision, the Court will defer the entry of a formal Order until after a conference on March 19, 2021, at which the Trust is directed to discuss the most efficient means of providing notice of the Court's rulings to the movants.

### **Background**

Before 2000, Kerr-McGee Corporation and its affiliates engaged in a wide variety of businesses that included oil and gas exploration, uranium mining and milling, specialty chemical production, and wood treatment. Kerr-McGee's wood treatment business began in 1967 when it acquired T.J. Moss Tie Co., which operated 15 wood-treating plants using creosote and which had previously operated 18 other such plants throughout the country.

Over time, Kerr-McGee terminated most of its business lines except for oil and gas exploration and titanium dioxide production. The many past business lines had left Kerr-McGee and its affiliates with huge environmental and tort liabilities. Environmental liabilities posed the biggest challenge: the Kerr-McGee companies owned 2,700 environmental sites including at least seven federal Superfund sites, and incurred more than \$1 billion in environmental response costs just in the period from 2000 through November 2005. Tort claims (mainly based on creosote exposures) also posed big issues. Litigation over creosote exposures had begun in 1998, and from 2000 through early 2006 more than 24,500 creosote tort claims had been filed against Kerr-McGee, of which 15,000 had been settled at a total cost of \$72 million (excluding defense costs). *See Tronox Inc. v. Kerr McGee Corp. (In re Tronox Inc.)*, 503 B.R. 239, 249, 314 (2013) (the "**Anadarko Decision**").

During the period 2000-2006 Kerr-McGee and its advisors planned and executed a series of transactions through which certain profitable businesses were transferred to other entities.

The original Kerr-McGee entities were renamed and Kerr-McGee Corporation became Tronox Incorporated. The legacy environmental and tort liabilities of the discontinued business lines were left with Tronox and its affiliates. *Id.* at 251-260. The Tronox companies then were part a spin-off transaction in 2006, in which Tronox stock was distributed to Kerr-McGee shareholders.

Tronox did not fare well. Its ongoing businesses did not produce sufficient revenues to cover its expenses, and Tronox and its affiliates filed bankruptcy petitions on January 12, 2009. A Committee of Unsecured Creditors was named to protect the interests of unsecured claimants, and a tort claimant (Michael E. Carroll) was named as one of the seven members of that Committee. [ECF No. 76.]

One of the earliest events to occur in the bankruptcy cases was the filing of a large fraudulent transfer litigation that challenged the various transactions that had transferred businesses to other companies while leaving the Tronox companies with legacy environmental and tort liabilities. *See Tronox, Inc., et al. v. Anadarko Petroleum Corp., et al.*, Adv. Pro No. 09-01198. That lawsuit (the “Anadarko Litigation”) was filed on May 12, 2009 but it was not resolved until many years later.

### **The Bankruptcy Process in General**

Many movants appear to be under the mistaken impression that the Tort Claims Trust is somehow related to various class action lawsuits that preceded the Tronox bankruptcy case. For the benefits of the movants (many of whom have proceeded without counsel) we have set forth below a description of the bankruptcy process and how it differs from class action procedures.

Tronox and its affiliated companies filed bankruptcy petitions under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. A bankruptcy filing creates an “estate” that consists of all of a company’s

property. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1141. All competing claims against the company and its property are then resolved in the chapter 11 bankruptcy case.

The key event in a chapter 11 case is the confirmation of a plan of reorganization. A plan describes how the company's business will be conducted in the future. It also classifies the company's various creditors based on the natures of their claims, and describes the treatment that each class of creditors will receive.

Each member of a creditor class is entitled to share equally in the distributions that are available for that particular class. The first step in the process of identifying eligible creditors is the filing by the bankrupt company of a schedule that discloses the names of all persons and entities who are known to have claims. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 521. The schedule must state whether the debtor agrees that a debt is owed or whether the debtor believes that the claim is disputed, unliquidated or contingent. A claim is disputed if the debtor disagrees that a debt is owed. A claim is "unliquidated" if it has not been reduced to a specific dollar amount. A claim is "contingent" if the existence of the debt depends on future events that have not yet occurred.

If a debtor lists a creditor's claim and does not identify it as being contingent, unliquidated or disputed, then that creditor is entitled to be treated as a claimant in the bankruptcy case and does not need to file a proof of claim. However, any creditor whose claim is not listed in the debtor's schedule of liabilities must file a proof of claim in order to be treated as a creditor for purposes of voting and distributions. *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003(c)(2). Similarly, any creditor whose claim is listed as disputed, contingent or unliquidated must file a proof of claim in order to vote on a bankruptcy plan and to receive distributions. *Id.*

As a practical matter, a bankruptcy case cannot be administered unless a deadline is set for the filing of creditor claims. Creditors vote on a proposed plan of reorganization, and so a



process is needed to identify the people who are entitled to vote. In addition, creditors' shares of distributions cannot be calculated without knowing the universe of claims that are entitled to participate in those distributions. Without a deadline, all creditors would have to wait (and no distributions could be calculated or made) until it was no longer possible that any additional creditor claims could be filed. *See In re Waterman Steamship Corp.*, 59 B.R. 724, 726 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) ("Absent the setting of a bar date, a Chapter 11 case could not be administered to a conclusion. There would be no time established for the filing of claims"); *First Fid. Bank, N.A. v Hooker Invs., Inc. (In re Hooker Invs., Inc.)*, 937 F.2d 833, 840 (2d Cir. 1991) ("[i]f individual creditors were permitted to postpone indefinitely the effect of a bar order . . . the institutional means of ensuring the sound administration of the bankruptcy estate would be undermined."); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 129 B.R. 22, 26 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (without the finality of a bar date, reorganization would be impossible.)

Rule 3003(c)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure therefore provides that the bankruptcy court "shall" set a deadline for the filing of proofs of claim. *See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003(c)(3)*. That deadline is typically referred to as the "bar date." As a general rule any creditor who fails to file a claim before the bar date "shall not be treated as a creditor with respect to such claim for the purposes of voting and distribution." *See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003*.

The bankruptcy claims process differs from a class action procedure in at least one very important respect. In a typical class action, one or more plaintiffs seek permission to sue on behalf of all similarly situated persons. If a court allows the action to continue as a class action, then in the usual case all persons who fall within the class definition automatically become part of the class; the only exception is if they affirmatively exercise a right to "opt out" of the class. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23*. In a bankruptcy case, by contrast, claimants are only allowed to

participate if they (or someone authorized to act for them) affirmatively file claims before the bar date. If a claimant fails to take that affirmative step, then the claim is barred.

When a plan is confirmed, all prior “claims” against the reorganized company are discharged. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1141. This is true regardless of whether a claim was listed on the company’s schedules, and regardless of whether a proof of claim was filed. *Id.* For this purpose, the term “claim” has a very broad definition. It includes any and all rights to payment of any kind, “whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, or unsecured.” *See* 11 U.S.C. § 101(5)(A).

### **The Tronox Bar Date**

Tronox and its affiliates filed lengthy schedules of creditor claims, including a 1,301-page list of creditors who had made litigation-related claims. *See* Schedules [ECF No. 275], Rider F1. Those claims were listed as contingent, unliquidated and disputed. Tronox then filed a motion asking the Court to set a bar date. *See* Tronox’s Motion for Entry of an Order (A) Setting Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim, (B) Approving the Form and Manner for Filing Proofs of Claim, and (C) Approving Notice Thereof,” dated May 5, 2009 [ECF No. 399].

Tronox represented that 120 separate tort lawsuits were outstanding and that Tronox did not have the mailing addresses of some of the named plaintiffs; in those instances, Tronox proposed to mail notice of the bar date to counsel of record. *Id.* ¶ 16. Tronox also represented that “there may be thousands of unknown claimants who may have environmental, personal injury, property or other Claims against Tronox,” and proposed to publish site-specific notices in local newspapers that were chosen to correspond to locations where facilities had been operated and where prior waste disposals had given rise to injury claims. *Id.* ¶¶ 20-21 and Exs. E and F.

No party objected to the bar date motion, and the Court entered an order setting August 12, 2009 as the deadline (the “**Bar Date**”) for the filing of claims. The Order required the Debtors to send a notice of the Bar Date to all known creditors, including all parties to pending litigation with the Debtors, with the proviso that such notices were to be sent to counsel if the Debtors did not have the litigants’ addresses. *See* Order (A) Setting Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim, (B) Approving the Form and Manner for Filing Proofs of Claim, and (C) Approving Notice Thereof, May 28, 2009 [ECF No. 466] (hereafter, the “**Bar Date Order**”) ¶ 12(k). The Bar Date Order also approved the publication notices that the debtors had proposed. *See* Bar Date Order [ECF No. 466], ¶¶ 15-17. Judge Gropper found that the approved notices constituted “adequate and sufficient notice of each of the Bar Dates (including with respect to any environmental or tort Claims arising from or relating to the Legacy Businesses) . . .” *Id.* ¶ 20.

Notices of the bar date were mailed to all of the creditors listed on the Debtors’ Schedules, including all persons on the lengthy list of “litigation-related” creditors. *See* Affidavit of Service [ECF No. 497]. The mailed notices were accompanied by pre-printed proof of claim forms that included a description of how each creditor’s claim had been listed in the Schedules and whether the claim had been listed as contingent, unliquidated and/or disputed. Notices of the Bar Date were also published in 40 separate newspapers, which included one newspaper of national circulation (the Wall Street Journal) and 39 local newspapers. Copies of the published notices, and certificates attesting to their publication, were filed with the Court. *See* Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Bar Date [ECF No. 1465] and the Exhibits thereto.

The published notices described the bankruptcy filings and the claims process in general, and also included many site-specific terms relevant to the localities in which they were published. For example, a site-specific notice for Columbus, Mississippi was published in The

Commercial Dispatch (a local Columbus newspaper) on June 23, 2009. The first part of the notice described the bar date and the claims process generally. It also described the spin-off of Tronox from Kerr-McGee, and stated that “it is possible that you may have a Claim against the Debtors that is related to Kerr-McGee Corporation’s operations prior to March 2006.” The notice also listed all of the names under which the Tronox debtors had done business during the prior six years. Finally, the notice set forth the following statement about the Columbus site in a separate text box:

Tronox Incorporated and certain of its affiliates (collectively, the “Debtors”) are required to provide notice to parties who may have a claim against the Debtors related to exposure to hazardous materials at particular sites. One of these sites is a wood-treating facility in Columbus, Mississippi and surrounding areas, at which creosote contamination from operations at the wood-treating facility is alleged to exist or to have previously existed.

If you, your property, your spouse or an immediate family member was exposed to contaminants at or near the Columbus site, and if that exposure directly or indirectly caused injury that becomes apparent now or in the future, you may have a claim under various legal theories for damages. Personal injury damages could relate to physical, emotional or other personal injuries such as bodily injury, wrongful death, medical monitoring, survivorship or proximate, consequential, general and special damages or punitive damages. Property-related damages could relate to cost of removal, diminution of property value or economic loss, or proximate, consequential, general and special damages or punitive damages. More information about the definition of “claims” that must be filed before the August 12, 2009 deadline is included in the legal notice that appears above.

If you believe that you may have a claim related to you or your property’s exposure to any products, raw materials or contaminants that were produced, manufactured, supplied, used or disposed of at the Columbus site, you **MUST** file a proof of claim form with the Bankruptcy Court according to the legal instructions above by August 12, 2009, **or you will forever lose your rights to recover on your claim in the future.** Filing a proof of claim does not automatically entitle you to compensation.

For more information about the filing process and/or to receive a proof of claim form, please call (866) 381-9100 or visit [www.kccllc.net/tronox](http://www.kccllc.net/tronox).

*Id.*, Ex. N (emphasis in original). Similar site-specific notices were published in the areas where other wood-treatment plans had been located. The site-specific notices for Hattiesburg, Mississippi and Avoca, Pennsylvania – from which (together with Columbus, Mississippi) almost all of the creosote-related claims have originated – can be found at Exhibits T, U and HH to the Affidavit of Publication. *Id.*, Exs. T, U and HH.

### **The Confirmed Plan of Reorganization**

On November 30, 2010, the Court confirmed a plan of reorganization (the “**Plan**”), which later became effective on February 14, 2011. The term “Tort Claims” was broadly defined in the Plan so as to include claims that had already arisen or that might arise in the future:

“*Tort Claim*” means non-governmental Claims against Tronox, whether such Claims are known or unknown, whether by contract, tort or statute, whether existing or hereinafter arising, for death, bodily injury, sickness, disease, medical monitoring or other personal physical injuries or damage to property to the extent caused or allegedly caused directly or indirectly by the presence of or exposure to any product or toxin manufactured or disposed of, or other property owned, operated or used for disposal by, Tronox or any Entity for whose products or operations Tronox allegedly has liability . . .

Plan, Article I(A)(156) [ECF No. 2567, Ex. A]. All Tort Claims were to be channeled to the Trust for processing, allowance and payment, and the Plan made clear that holders of “Tort Claims” could only seek recovery from the Trust:

The sole recourse of Holders of Tort Claims shall be the Tort Claims Trust, and such Holders shall have no right at any time to assert Tort Claims against Reorganized Tronox. Final determinations on the allowance or disallowance of Tort Claims for distribution purposes shall be made in accordance with the Tort Claims Trust Distribution Procedures.

*Id.* Article III(B)(4)(b). The Confirmation Order approved these terms, discharged the reorganized Tronox companies from all claims (including Tort Claims), and directed that holders of Tort Claims could only seek recourse from the Tort Claims Trust and not from Reorganized Tronox or its assets. *See* Confirmation Order [ECF No. 2567] at ¶¶ 129, 134(ii), 184.

The Trust was funded with a cash deposit of \$12.5 million, the proceeds of available insurance policies, and the right to receive 12% of the proceeds of the fraudulent transfer litigation that had been filed against Anadarko and against the Kerr-McGee companies. Plan, Article IV(C)(4). The Plan established many separate subcategories of Tort Claims, and provided that the total amounts allocated to the Trust would be divided among those categories of claims as follows:

- “up to” 6.25% would be available for “Indirect Environmental Claims;”
- 6.25% would be available for “Holders of Asbestos Claims and Future Tort Claimants;”
- 6.25% would be available to “Holders of Property Damage Claims;” and
- the largest share (at least 81.25%) would be set aside for timely-filed Category D “Non-Asbestos Toxic Exposure Claims.”

*Id.*, Article III(B)(4)(b).

The Confirmation Order also approved a Tort Claims Trust Agreement (the “**Trust Agreement**”) and a set of Tort Claims Trust Distribution Procedures (the “**TDPs**”). The Trust Agreement established the categories into which allowed tort claims were to be divided, and the TDPs set forth rules that would govern the collection, review, allowance and payment of tort claims. The Confirmation Order approved the categories of claims and the procedures for the resolution of claims, and it provided that certain amendments that appeared in the Trust documents were deemed to have been incorporated into the Plan. Confirmation Order [ECF No. 2567] at ¶¶ 85, 184, 185. The confirmed terms of the Plan, the Trust Agreement and the TDPs included a condition that Category D “Non-Asbestos Toxic Exposure Claims” would be limited to persons who had filed timely proofs of claim. *Id.*

The TDPs set forth the procedures that the Tort Claims Trustee would follow in reviewing claims. One of the key procedures was paragraph 2.2(b) of the TDPs, which specified that a timely filed proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case “shall be accepted as irrefutable and final proof of exposure and injury asserted in the proof of claim with respect to such exposure . . .” [ECF No. 3030-2, ¶ 2.2(b).] However, the TDPs also contemplated that the Trust would solicit follow-up claim forms from those claimants who had filed prior proofs of claim, and that in those forms the claimants could specify injuries that differed from those set forth in their original proofs of claim:

Where illness/injury was not specified on a timely Proof of Claim Form or where the illness/injury sought to be compensated has changed, a sworn statement by the Holder of a Tort Claim or such Holder’s authorized representative shall be sufficient proof of injury.

*Id.* Effectively these provisions meant that claimants could modify their claimed injuries in sworn claim forms and those forms would simply be accepted as true statements of both the fact of a claimant’s injury and its cause, without any room for objection.

The TDPs also included a schedule that set forth the “allowed amounts” that would be assigned to tort claims depending on the nature of the injuries or claims alleged. These scheduled values were as follows for creosote victims:

<u>Disease</u>	<u>Scheduled Value</u>
Precancerous Skin Lesion	\$26,000
Skin Cancer	\$120,000
Lung Cancer	\$700,000
Breast Cancer	\$475,000
Other Cancer	\$600,000
Asthma Adult	\$150,000
Asthma Child	\$175,000
Cardiovascular	\$250,000
Respiratory	\$80,000
Medical Monitoring/Unimpaired	\$5,000

*See* TDPs, Schedule B. As noted above, Tronox had previously settled 15,000 creosote-based claims at a total cost of \$72 million, or approximately \$4,800 per claim. The allowed claim amounts provided pursuant to the TDPs therefore were dramatically higher than the average pre-bankruptcy claim settlements had been. However, the scheduled values of claims did not necessarily reflect what the payouts would be. Each claimant was entitled to receive a *pro rata* share of whatever the distribution funds turned out to be, based on how each claimant's scheduled claim compared to the total scheduled values of all allowed claims.

### **Claims Filed with the Tort Claims Trust and the 2015 Request for Instructions**

A total of 11,501 tort claims were filed before the bar date. *See* Disclosure Statement Regarding the First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Tronox Incorporated, et al. Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code [ECF No. 2196] at 30. Some of those filed claims likely may have been duplicative claims, as some claimants may have filed identical claims against more than one debtor.

During 2011 the Tort Claims Trust asked each claimant to submit a sworn statement describing the type of claim being asserted and the category into which the claim fell. A total of 6,783 claims were submitted as "Category D" claims. By the end of 2013 all but a handful of those claims had been processed and the vast majority had been assigned "allowed" amounts. Only ten claimants rejected the proposed "allowed" values of the claims, and three claims were withdrawn. *See Annual Report and Account of the Tronox Incorporated Tort Claims Trust for the Year ending December 31, 2013*, filed on April 30, 2014 [ECF No. 2986] at 5.

About 2,120 of the allowed Category D claims were submitted by claimants in Columbus, Mississippi; those claims were "allowed" in the total amount of \$357,215,000. *See* Transcript of Proceedings, Adv. Pro. 09-01198 [ECF No. 681] at 41:4-6; *see also* Declaration of



Bennett S. Silverberg, dated May 26, 2015 [ECF No. 3041, Ex. A]. Another 4,358 of the allowed Category D tort claims were filed by claimants in or near Avoca, Pennsylvania; those claims were allowed in the total amount of \$966,382,000. *Id.* Only 289 other Category D claims were filed and allowed, in the total scheduled amount of \$42,197,500. *Id.*

The cash funding of the Tort Claims Trust was relatively small, and so the recoveries by tort claimants depended primarily on the outcome of the Anadarko Litigation. That litigation led to a very large judgment and a subsequent settlement, with the result that the Trust received a payment of more than \$611 million in early 2015. *See* 2015 Trust Report, Ex. A at 14-15. Most of those funds were allocated to Category D Non-Asbestos Toxic Exposure Claims in accordance with the terms of the Plan and the Trust Agreement.

Disputes arose among some of the holders of Category D claims, and the trustee of the Tort Claims Trust sought instructions from the Court as to how to resolve those disputes. A group of Avoca-based claimants contended that claims filed by claimants from the Columbus, Mississippi area should be disallowed because an allegedly impermissible “group” proof of claim had been filed on behalf of the Columbus claimants. The Avoca claimants also argued that any Columbus, Mississippi claimants who had filed proofs of claim alleging “nuisance” damages should be barred from filing claims with the Tort Claims Trust based on personal injuries, wrongful death, sickness or disease, and suggested that some of the Mississippi claims should be investigated for fraud. This Court rejected those arguments, upheld the legitimacy of the “group” proof of claim that had been filed, and ruled that Columbus-area tort claimants who had filed timely proofs of claim were entitled to make claims against the Tort Claims Trust based on their then-current injuries or conditions. *See Memorandum Opinion as to Tort Claims Trustee’s Motion for Instructions*, dated June 17, 2015 [ECF No. 3046]. The Court also noted that no

specific evidence of fraud had been identified, and that the Trustee had reported finding no evidence of fraud in the course of the Trust's reviews of the filed claims.

The Trust then made distributions to the holders of "allowed" Category D claims. Most of those distributions were made in 2015 and 2016. The holders of allowed Category D claims received distributions equal to approximately 35% of the allowed amounts of their claims. *See* Oct. 25, 2016 Hr'g Tr. (ECF No. 3267) at 32:2-7

### **The 2016 Request for Instructions**

As of early 2015, when the Trust received its share of the litigation proceeds, a total of 880 asbestos claims had been filed, along with approximately 600 Future Tort Claims. *See* Jan. 24, 2018 Hr'g Tr. at 5:1-5. News of the large distributions to Category D claimants spread quickly, however, and led to the filing of many additional claims. In a four-month period more than 10,000 additional claims were filed. *Id.* 5:5-8. By October 2016, more than 19,000 purported future tort claims had been filed – a far greater number than anyone had anticipated, and more than twice the number of "allowed" Category D claims that the Trust had processed. *See* Oct. 25, 2016 Hr'g Tr. at 15:23-16:7, 28:19-29:4. About 15,000 of those 19,000 claims had been filed by claimants in Mississippi. *Id.* at 29:5-9.

In 2016, the Trustee asked the Court for instructions as to how to handle certain of the future tort claims that were being filed. The Trustee contended that the governing trust documents could be interpreted in different ways and did not provide sufficiently clear guidance as to which claims qualified as future tort claims. The Trustee suggested a resolution under which a "Future Tort Claim" would be allowed only if (1) the claimant was exposed to a Tronox product before the Effective Date of the Plan, and (2) the claimant was not diagnosed with the claimed disease or condition until after the Bar Date. All other claims, under the Trustee's

proposal, would have been barred by the prior discharge orders. *See* Motion of Tort Claims Trustee, Garretson Resolution Group, Inc., for Instructions Regarding Future Tort Claims, ECF No. 3069, ¶¶ 18-21.

The Court scheduled an evidentiary hearing and directed the Trustee to present evidence as to the intent of the parties in drafting the provisions that were at issue. The Court also directed the Trustee to serve notice of the Motion, and of the evidentiary hearing, on all persons who had filed Future Tort Claims. Hundreds of *pro se* responses to the Motion were sent to the Court and to the Trustee. *See* ECF Nos. 3072-3193, 3195-3258, 3260-61. The responses made the following arguments, among others:

- That some claimants were not aware of their injuries prior to the Bar Date;
- That some claimants were not aware of the Bar Date itself;
- That some claimants may have known of their diseases prior to the Bar Date but may not have had any reason to understand that the diseases had been caused by their exposure to creosote or to other products released into the environment by Tronox and its predecessors;
- That notice of the Bar Date was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; and
- That claimants' failure to file claims by the Bar Date was the result of excusable neglect and should be excused pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006.

Some claimants referred to payments made to the tort claimants who filed timely claims, and argued that in fairness later-filed claims should be given the same treatment. Many other responses simply stated that the claimants disagreed with any proposed disallowance of their claims, without further explanation.

At an evidentiary hearing on October 25, 2016 the Court heard testimony from three witnesses, two of whom had participated in the drafting of the Trust documents. The Court then issued its Memorandum Opinion as to Tort Claims Trustee's Motion for Instructions Regarding Future Tort Claims on December 14, 2016 (ECF No. 3268). The Court held that a claim qualifies as a "Future Tort Claim" if it does not fall into any of the other categories of Tort Claims under the Trust documents and if one or more of the following conditions are met:

- (1) The claim is based on an alleged exposure to a harmful substance that occurred on or after August 12, 2009;
- (2) The claim is based on an exposure that occurred before August 12, 2009, but as to which no injury or disease was manifested until on or after August 12, 2009; or
- (3) The exposure, as well as the manifestation of an injury or disease, predated August 12, 2009, but the claimant is able to establish (a) that the claimant's failure to file a timely proof of claim should be excused on grounds of excusable neglect, or (b) that the purported discharge of the claimant's claim was a violation of due process and therefore ineffective.

The Court ruled that determinations as to claims that fall into categories (1) and (2) would be made by the Trustee pursuant to the TDPs and subject to the dispute resolution procedures that are set forth in the TDPs. Claimants in category (3) who wished to obtain relief were required to file motions seeking such relief from this Court. The Court also approved the Trustee's proposed form of notice to be sent to claimants. *See* Order dated January 19, 2017 (ECF No. 3270).

### **The Pending Motions**

The Trustee sent Determination Notices that proposed the allowance of approximately 6,200 Future Tort Claims and the disallowance of 11,000 others. However, nothing seemed to

stem the tide of additional claim filings. *See* Jan. 24, 2018, Hr’g Tr. at 5:23-6:2 (“every time some notice goes out to existing claimants that we need additional information or their claims have been handled by the trust and either allowed or disallowed word gets out in the communities and additional claims get filed.”) By January 2018, when the Court held a status hearing, more than 17,000 additional future tort claims had been filed. (Hr’g. Tr., 6:3-8). The total number of future tort claims reached more than 38,000 by the spring of 2020, when the Trust filed its report for the year ended December 31, 2019. *See* 2019 Trust Report, Ex. B.

In January 2018, the Trust held \$23 million allocable to Category A claims. Approximately 7,000 claims had been allowed in the total allowed amounts of \$930 million, and many more claims remained to be processed. The Trustee projected that once the additional claims had been processed and resolved the likely distributions to allowed claimants would be 1% or lower. *See* Jan. 24, 2018 Hr’g Tr. at 6:11-20.

As described above, the Trust sent notices to claimants whose claims were disallowed due to a failure to file a timely claim by the Bar Date. As of December 11, 2017, 4,381 motions had been filed with this Court, seeking relief from the Bar Date based upon a claim of excusable neglect and/or due process. Some additional motions were filed thereafter, and about 4,676 such motions are now pending, some of which are just mistaken filings of rejection notices and claim forms rather than motions that actually seek affirmative relief.

The Trust filed an omnibus objection to all of the then-pending motions on April 25, 2018. (ECF No. 8047.) A notice that accompanied the omnibus objection advised all movants that they could submit additional evidence or other materials in response to the objection, *id.*, and hundreds of supplemental filings were submitted.

## Discussion

The pending motions all invoke “excusable neglect” or “due process” as grounds for relief, but many other issues arose during the course of reviewing the motions.

### **I. Whether Motions Were Timely Filed.**

The Court issued an Order that directed that motions seeking relief on grounds of excusable neglect or due process be made within ninety days after notice from the Trust. (ECF No. 3270.) The Trust sent notices on or before August 31, 2017, and the Trust has noted that a large number of the pending motions were not docketed until more than ninety days after August 31, 2017. However, the Court notes that the prior notices did not make clear whether a motion would be deemed to have been made at the time it was deposited in the mail (or deposited with other delivery services), or whether it would be timely only if it were actually received by the Court in 90 days. The Court has reviewed all of the allegedly untimely filings and has determined to accept those motions bearing a post-mark or equivalent deposit date of December 1, 2017 or earlier. Only a relative handful of motions were untimely on this basis. Tables A and B reflect the Court’s rulings in this respect.

Some movants sent motions to the Tort Claims Trust and did not file them directly with the Court. The Court will excuse those movants from strict compliance with the filing requirements, and will treat motions as timely, so long as the motions were timely served upon the Tort Claims Trust.

### **II. Claims Based on Alleged Post-Bar Date Diagnoses.**

Two of the grounds on which I previously held that a claim may qualify as a “future” tort claim are (a) if the claim is based on an exposure to a harmful substance that occurred after August 12, 2009, or (b) if the claim is based on an exposure that occurred before August 12,

2009, but as to which no injury or disease was “manifested” until on or after August 12, 2009. Claimants do not need to seek relief from the bar date in order to pursue such claims. However, the pending motions have identified a number of points of potential confusion as to which claims fall into these categories, and so clarifications are appropriate.

**A. When Claims “Manifest” Themselves.**

The Court previously held that a claim is a “future” tort claim if the injury or disease did not “manifest” itself until after the Bar Date. Many of the pending motions allege that the movants suffer from diseases or conditions that were not “diagnosed” until after the Bar Date. It is certainly possible that a disease or condition could “manifest” itself prior to the date on which the disease or condition has actually been formally diagnosed. I note, however, that the Tort Claims Trust originally proposed that diagnosis dates be used in deciding whether claims qualify as “future” tort claims. *See* ECF No. 3069, ¶¶ 18-21. We also understand that in processing claims the Trust has treated diagnosis dates as the dates on which diseases or conditions “manifested” themselves, as the diagnosis dates are easier to determine and to verify.

The Trust’s proposal (and its practice) make good sense. When I referred in my prior Order to the dates on which diseases or conditions had “manifested” themselves it was not my intent to require the Trust to follow a different and potentially more cumbersome rule. The administrative costs of investigating and possibly litigating the question of whether a disease or condition had “manifested” itself prior to the date of a formal diagnosis would likely far exceed the benefits given the level of the recoveries that have been projected.

Accordingly, I hereby clarify that claims that are based on diseases or conditions that were not diagnosed until after the bar date are “future” tort claims as defined in the Plan and the Trust documents and that claimants need not establish “excusable neglect” or a violation of due

process in order to pursue those particular claims. For the avoidance of doubt, however, the Trust has the right to object if it believes that the alleged condition or disease actually was diagnosed *before* the bar date, in which case the claim would not qualify as a “future” tort claim. Such objections, like all other objections to the merits of post-bar date diagnosis claims, should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

**B. Claims Based on Multiple Conditions, Some of Which Were First Diagnosed Before the Bar Date and Some of Which Were First Diagnosed After the Bar Date.**

Many claimants have submitted long lists of diagnoses of multiple conditions, some of which were diagnosed before the bar date and others of which were diagnosed after the bar date. A claimant, for example, may have been diagnosed with asthma in 1988, then with heart problems in 2007, and then with cancer in 2014.

The Order that I entered in 2016 stated that a claim would be a “future” tort claim if (among other things) the underlying exposure occurred before the Bar Date but “no” injury or disease manifested itself until after the Bar Date. The wording of this paragraph could be interpreted to mean that “future tort claim” treatment might be available only to claimants who manifested no pre-Bar Date injuries at all, and is not available to claimants who were diagnosed with some conditions before the Bar Date but who were then later diagnosed with additional (but new) conditions or illnesses after the Bar Date. Frankly, however, I do not recall why that paragraph was worded in that particular way. I note that the form of “Determination Notice” that I approved as part of the same Order advised claimants that a claim would be considered a “future tort claim” if “your alleged disease or condition had not manifested or been diagnosed” until after the Bar Date. *See* Order Regarding Tort Claims Trustee’s Motion for Instructions Regarding Future Tort Claims, Ex. A (ECF No. 3270-1) at p. 1 ¶ (b). The Determination Notice



therefore focused on whether the particular diseases or conditions for which compensation was sought were new, and not on whether the claimant had also manifested a different disease or condition at an earlier time.

I understand further that the Tort Claims Trust has been treating all claims based on new post-Bar Date diagnoses as “future tort claims,” regardless of whether claimants had been diagnosed with different conditions before the Bar Date. After reviewing the record, I agree and confirm that this is the correct approach.

I have reviewed the transcripts of the hearings in 2016. The witnesses at that hearing testified that the parties intended that conditions first diagnosed after the Bar Date would give rise to “future” tort claims. There was no suggestion or indication that a post-Bar Date diagnosis might be treated differently if the claimant had also had a separate pre-Bar Date diagnosis of a different condition, though in fairness that specific possibility had not occurred to the Court and that specific question was not posed to the witnesses.

I have also considered the terms of the Plan and the Trust documents, but they are of virtually no help in resolving this question. The Tronox plan of reorganization, when proposed, defined the term “Future Tort Claimant” as “an entity that establishes that it holds a Tort Claim that *did not arise prior to the Effective Date and was not discharged under the Plan.*” [ECF No. 2567, Ex A, Article I(A)(75) (emphasis added). The proposed Trust Agreement, which was prepared later, contained a different definition. The Trust Agreement defined the term “Future Tort Claimant” as “an entity that establishes that it holds a Tort Claim *that arose prior to the Effective Date and was not discharged under the Plan.*” See Trust Agreement, ECF No. 2343, Ex. C, § 1.2(m). The Confirmation Order then provided that the definition of “Future Tort Claimant” in the Trust Agreement would be deemed to have been incorporated into Article I of

the Plan and to have replaced the prior definition. *See* Confirmation Order [ECF No. 2567] at ¶ 185. As a result of this change the definition of “Future Tort Claimant” was changed from one that would include only claims that arose *after* the Effective Date to one that was limited to certain claims that arose *before* the Effective Date. There is no explanation in the record as to the reasons for this change in language, and the witnesses in 2016 could not recall why the change had been made.

As the Trust has previously recognized, the language used to define “future tort claims” cannot be applied literally without producing anomalous results. A claim cannot be a “future tort claim,” under the literal definition in the confirmed Plan, unless it arose “before” the effective date of the Plan and yet was not discharged. However, Section VIII.A of the Plan, and paragraph 129 of the Confirmation Order, stated that *all* Tort Claims that “arose” prior to the Effective Date were deemed to have been discharged. If “future tort claims” were limited to people whose claims arose before the effective date (for bankruptcy purposes) but were *not* discharged, then there could never be a “future tort claim.” Yet the parties plainly contemplated that there would be such claims, and made provision for them in the Trust documents.

In fact, the whole idea of defining “future tort claims” in terms of whether claims had been “discharged” never made any sense at all, and was a plainly ill-suited way of describing what the parties sought to accomplish. The whole purpose of the Trust was to handle the tort claims that *were* discharged under the Tronox Plan, including future tort claims. If parties were able to prove that their claims against Tronox had *not* been discharged, then there would be no basis upon which to channel those claims to the Tort Claims Trust in the first place. The question of how to treat future tort claims therefore is not really a question of whether a

bankruptcy discharge occurred. Instead, it is a question of interpretation as to how the Trust documents treated the various discharged claims.

It was quite clear, from the testimony I received in 2016, that the overriding intent of all the parties was that Tronox would be discharged from all claims; that all of those discharged claims (without exception) would be channeled to the Trust for resolution and payment; and that the category of “future tort claims” would include those claims based on conditions that had not manifested themselves as of the Bar Date. In short, the parties recognized that there would be types of claims that technically might have “arisen” for bankruptcy purposes (based on when exposures occurred) and from which Tronox would be discharged from liability, but as to which equity required some separate provision under the Trusts. Whether the claims had been “discharged” therefore was an inapt way to describe what the parties meant to accomplish with regard to “future” tort claims. The defining standard was not whether Tronox itself had been discharged from liability. Instead, the defining standard was whether the claim – based on the date of manifestation of the disease or injury – should fairly be excused from the rules that otherwise might have barred a recovery on the claim, such as strict Bar Date compliance.

I note that under state law questions often arise as to how to define a tort victim’s “claim” where exposures give rise, over time, to multiple but distinct conditions or illnesses. *See, e.g., Schiro v. American Tobacco Co.*, 611 So.2d 962, 965-66 (Miss. 1992) (a smoker who sued based on a cancer diagnosis suffered the relevant “injury” on the date of the cancer diagnosis in 1981, and not on the dates on which she had earlier been diagnosed with other smoking-related illnesses such as emphysema). It is certainly conceivable that new conditions and illnesses that were first diagnosed after the Bar Date may be treated under the applicable state laws as new and separate injuries giving rise to new and separate claims, regardless of the fact that a claimant

may have suffered from other conditions or illnesses at an earlier time based on the same underlying exposures.

We therefore concur with the Trust, and confirm that if a claimant has identified a new post-Bar Date diagnosis there is no equitable reason to distinguish between claimants who had prior diagnoses of other conditions and those who did not. We also confirm that claims based on conditions that were first diagnosed after the Bar Date are “future tort claims” to which the Bar Date itself did not apply.

Accordingly, where claimants have listed multiple conditions, we have done two things. First, we have made rulings as to whether the claimants have established grounds for relief from the Bar Date as to those conditions that were diagnosed prior to the Bar Date. If the movants have not done so, then claims based on those pre-Bar Date diagnoses are time-barred. Second, we have noted that the evaluation of any claims based on conditions that were first diagnosed after the Bar Date are for resolution by the Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures. If the Tort Claims Trust believes that an allegedly new post-Bar Date diagnosis actually should not be treated as a distinct condition or injury from one that was previously diagnosed, then the Tort Claims Trust may assert a defense to this effect. Similarly, if the Trust believes that the relevant condition or illness actually was diagnosed *before* the Bar Date, the Trust may assert that defense to the merits of the claim. Such defenses (like any other defense to the merits of a future tort claim) should then be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.

**C. Claims Based on Conditions that Were Diagnosed Before the Bar Date but Continued Thereafter.**

Many claimants have alleged that they suffer from “continuing” conditions or illnesses (asthma or heart conditions, for example) that were first diagnosed before the bar date but that

have continued to exist after the bar date. Those are claims that plainly accrued before the bar date. Their continuing character does not mean that the claim is based on a post-bar date diagnosis. They are not to be treated as “future” tort claims in the absence of relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process.

### **III. Claims that are Barred by Statutes of Limitation.**

Many movants appear to be under the misimpression that “excusable neglect,” if established, would enable the filing of any claim that a movant (or a related person) ever had, even if that claim was barred by the applicable statute of limitations prior to the commencement of the Tronox bankruptcy case. That is not correct. Even if relief from the bar date were granted, section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code would still require disallowance of any claim that is not valid under applicable non-bankruptcy law. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 502. Claims that were barred by the statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing could not be allowed as claims even if relief from the bar date were to be granted.

The Trust has objected to many of the pending motions on the ground that the movants seek to pursue claims that are barred by the relevant statutes of limitation and therefore that relief from the bar date would be pointless. We found that this objection was valid and could be decided as a matter of law as to many claims and motions filed by parties in some states. However, we could not rule on such issues as a matter of law as to many other movants, and so and statute of limitations defenses as to those movants must be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

#### **A. Mississippi.**

Mississippi requires that actions based on creosote exposures must be filed within three years from the point when “the plaintiff has discovered . . . the injury.” *See* Miss. Code Ann.

§ 15-1-49. The Mississippi Supreme Court and Mississippi federal courts have consistently held that the statute of limitations begins to run upon the discovery of the injury itself, regardless of whether the claimant knows of the cause of the injury or the identity of the person responsible for the injury. *See Angle v. Koppers, Inc.*, 42 So.3d 1, 6 (Miss. 2010) (holding that a cause of action for recovery on account of latent disease or injury “accrues upon discovery of the injury, not discovery of the injury and its cause”); *Lincoln Electric Co. v. McLemore*, 54 So.3d 833, 838 (Miss. 2010) (holding that “Section 15-1-49 does not require a plaintiff to know the cause of the injury before accrual of the cause of action,” and thus “knowledge of the cause of an injury is irrelevant to the analysis [under § 15-1-49(2)]”); *Owens-Illinois, Inc. v. Edwards*, 573 So.2d 704, 709 (Miss. 1990) (“[t]he cause of action accrues and the limitations period begins to run when the plaintiff can reasonably be held to have knowledge of the injury or disease . . . Though the cause of the injury and the causative relationship between the injury and the injurious act or product may also be ascertainable on this date, these facts are not applicable under § 15-1-49(2)”; *Barnes v. Koppers, Inc.*, 534 F.3d 357, 361 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008) (“[u]nder § 15-1-49, a cause of action accrues when the plaintiff has knowledge of the injury, not knowledge of the injury and its cause”); *Bryant v. Wyeth*, 816 F. Supp. 2d 329, 332 (S.D. Miss. 2011), *aff’d*, 487 Fed. App’x 207 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012) (holding that under § 15-1-49(20), a cause of action accrues “when the plaintiff “has knowledge of the injury, not knowledge of the injury and its cause”); *Hewitt v. Wyeth*, 812 F. Supp. 2d 768, 770 (S.D. Miss. 2011) (same).

The Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred in January 2009. Claims held by Mississippi residents that were based on injuries that were known before January 2006 were time-barred under Mississippi law before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Nevertheless, many motions filed by claimants in Mississippi allege that the relevant claims are based on conditions

or illnesses that were diagnosed before January 2006. The Trust has correctly noted that, if claims are barred as a matter of law by the Mississippi statute of limitations, then those claimants' requests for relief from the Bar Date make no difference, because there are no valid claims for which any bankruptcy relief or recovery would be available.

The Mississippi statute of limitations rules are subject to a few exceptions. For example, persons who were infants at the time they were injured may sue within three years after reaching adulthood, and persons who were unsound of mind at the time of injury may sue within three years after the date when the disability is removed. *See* Miss. Code Ann. § 15-1-59. The Mississippi exemption for "unsoundness of mind" applies only if a person cannot manage the ordinary affairs of life; mental illness is not sufficient. *Shippers Express v. Chapman*, 364 So. 2d 1097, 1100 (Miss. 1978); *Brumfield v. Lowe*, 744 So. 2d 383, 387-88 (Miss. Ct. App. 1999) (schizophrenia diagnosis insufficient). We have not sustained objections on statute of limitations grounds except in those instances where we could do so as a matter of law based on the statements made in the relevant motions. If claimants referred to facts that arguably invoked one or more of the foregoing exceptions, then we have not made legal rulings on the statute of limitations issues, and if bar date relief is granted the merits of any statute of limitations defense is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

**B. Pennsylvania.**

In Pennsylvania (where the Avoca site is located), the statute of limitations for a tortious injury to person or property is two years. *See* 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 5524. However, Pennsylvania follows a "discovery rule" as an exception to the strict statute of limitations. Under the discovery rule, the running of the limitations period is tolled where the existence of the injury is not known to the complaining party and such knowledge cannot reasonably be ascertained within

the prescribed statutory period. *Fine v. Checcio*, 870 A.2d 850, 860 (Pa. 2005); *Hayward v. Medical Ctr. of Beaver Cty.*, 608 A.2d 1040, 1043 (1992).

In contrast to Mississippi, the discovery rule in Pennsylvania apparently tolls the running of the statute of limitations until a claimant can reasonably ascertain both the fact of injury and the cause of the injury. *Id.*; see also *Waleski v. Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads, LLP (In re Tronox Inc.)*, 616 BR 280, 293 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2020); *Gleason v. Borough of Moosic*, 609 Pa. 353, 15 A.3d 479, 484 (2011). Nevertheless, in order to invoke the discovery rule a plaintiff must show that in the exercise of reasonable diligence the plaintiff could not have ascertained that the plaintiff had a cause of action. See *Pocono Int'l Raceway, Inc. v. Pocono Produce, Inc.*, 468 A.2d 468, 471 (1983); see also *Today's Express, Inc. v. Barkan*, 626 A.2d 187, 190 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1993) (“[t]he standard by which one’s efforts to learn of a cause of action, so as to forestall the running of a statute of limitations, is measured by the inability, despite the exercise of diligence, to determine the injury or its cause, not upon a retrospective view of whether the facts were *actually* ascertained within the period”) (emphasis in original) (citations omitted). Furthermore, “[t]he standard of reasonable diligence is an objective or external one that is the same for all individuals.” *Ingenito v. AC&S, Inc.*, 633 A.2d 1172, 1174 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1993) (citations omitted). “To demonstrate reasonable diligence, a plaintiff must ‘establish[] that he pursued the cause of his injury with those qualities of attention, knowledge, intelligence and judgment which society requires of its members for the protection of their own interests and the interests of others.’” *Mest v. Cabot Corp.*, 449 F.3d 502, 511 (3d Cir. 2006) (quoting from *Cochran v. GAF Corp.*, 666 A.2d 245, 250 (Pa. 1995)). The burden of proof is on the claimant, *Cochran v. GAF Corp.*, 666 A.2d 245, 250 (1995), and the determination of



whether a claimant could have known of a cause of action with reasonable diligence is normally a factual issue. *Hayward*, 608 A.2d at 1043.

The Trustee has objected to the claims of many of the movants who are from Pennsylvania on the ground that the claims are barred by the statute of limitations. As described below, many facts were available to residents in Pennsylvania about the risks of creosote exposures, and there was widespread publication of news about creosote-based lawsuits. I have considered that information in evaluating movants' contentions that they missed the bar date due to "excusable neglect." However, while movants were notified that they needed to show "excusable neglect" in order to obtain relief from the bar date, they were not asked to make any submissions regarding the application of the Pennsylvania statute of limitations and/or their diligence before 2009 in pursuing claims. We have therefore not made statute of limitations rulings with regard to the Pennsylvania claimants. We have ruled on the pending motions for relief from the bar date, but if such relief is granted, and if the Trust believes that the relevant claims are subject to statute of limitations defenses, then those defenses (like all other defenses on the merits) must be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.

**C. Louisiana.**

In Louisiana (where the Bossier City site is located), the "liberative prescription" for "delictual actions" is one year. *See La. C.C. Art. 3492*. The one-year period "commences to run from the day injury or damage is sustained," but it does not run against minors. *Id.* The prescriptive period may be suspended until a plaintiff discovers or should have discovered the facts upon which a cause of action is based. *In re Succession of Scurlock*, 140 So.3d 318, 322 (La. App. 2014). But actual knowledge is not required, and a plaintiff will be charged with knowing what he or she could have learned with reasonable diligence. *Id.* The fact that a

plaintiff has been diagnosed with an injury or condition is constructive notice that a plaintiff should investigate the cause of the condition, and the prescriptive period will not be suspended if the reason why the plaintiff did not know of a claim was that the plaintiff failed to make such an inquiry. *See Tenorio v. Exxon Mobil Corp.*, 170 So.3d 269, 275 (La. App. 2015) (no suspension for claimant who was diagnosed with throat cancer in 2009 but who did not file suit until 2013).

As noted above, movants were asked to explain why they did not file claims before the bar date, but they were not even asked to explain facts that were relevant to the application of the statute of limitations, and this Court does not even have copies of the complete claim files that were submitted to the Tort Claims Trust. We therefore cannot rule as a matter of law as to whether any of the Louisiana claims are barred by the statute of limitations. We have made rulings as to whether movants whose claims are subject to Louisiana law are entitled to relief from the bar date, but if such relief is granted, and if the Trust believes that the relevant claims are subject to statute of limitations defenses, those defenses (like all other defenses on the merits) should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.

**D. Alabama.**

The limitations period for personal injury claims in Alabama is two years. Ala Code § 6-2-38(1). In *Griffin v. Unocal Corp.*, 990 So. 2d 291, 293 (Ala. 2008), the Supreme Court of Alabama held that a personal injury claim accrues when a physical injury is manifested by observable signs or symptoms or is medically identifiable, even if the injured person is ignorant of it and even if the injured person is not aware of the cause or origin of the injury. However, the rule set forth in *Griffin* was to apply only prospectively – that is, to persons whose last exposure to a toxic substance, and first manifested injury resulting from that exposure, occurred on or after the date that was two years prior to the issuance of the *Griffin* decision in January 2008. *Id.*

The prior rule in Alabama (still applicable to some pre-*Griffin* exposures) was that a claim had to be brought within two years after the last exposure occurred, regardless of when an injury had manifested itself. See *Garrett v. Raytheon Co.*, 368 So.2d 516, 521 (Ala. 1979). However, if a claim is governed by *Garrett* the plaintiff can only recover damages that were attributable to injuries that were incurred within the two-year limitations period, *i.e.*, within two years prior to filing suit. *Jerkins v. Lincoln Elec. Co.*, 103 So.3d 1, 6-7 (Ala. 2011). Taken together, the rule under *Garrett* and *Jerkins* is that claims for damages based on an injury caused by long-term exposures to toxic substances must be brought no later than the sooner to occur of (a) the date that is two years after the date of last exposure to the substance, or (c) the date that is two years after the date of the relevant injury.

Trying to apply these rules to claims governed by Alabama law is a bit confusing, but the following seems to be the case:

- If a movant's "last exposure" occurred more than two years before *Griffin* was decided (*i.e.*, before January 2006), then the claim is governed by the *Garrett* rule, and the claim was time-barred unless suit was brought within two years of the last exposure, regardless of whether any injury had manifested itself.
- If an exposure continued after January 2006, but the injury had manifested itself before January 2006, then under *Garrett* and *Jerkins* the movant had until two years after the injury in which to file a claim. Otherwise, claims for damages based on that injury were barred.
- If the exposure continued after January 2006 and the first manifestation of injury was after January 2006, then under *Griffin* the claim had to be made within two years after the manifestation of injury.

In some instances, these rules could be applied as a matter of law to movants whose claims are governed by Alabama law. In other instances, we did not have sufficient information to make such rulings. In cases where we did not rule on the application of the statute of limitations those defenses would still be available to the Trust if relief from the bar date were to be granted.

**E. Other States.**

Some motions were filed by residents of other states. In many cases it was clear from the motions that the underlying exposures had occurred at plants in Mississippi or Pennsylvania. In some cases, however, it was not clear just where the underlying exposures had occurred. In those cases, we found an insufficient basis on the record to sustain the Trust's general statute of limitations defense. We have made rulings as to whether these movants have established grounds for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or violations of due process, but if such relief is granted, and if the Trust believes a claim is subject to a statute of limitations defense, that defense should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

**IV. Claims Resolved in Prior Litigations.**

Many movants have disclosed that they participated in prior lawsuits, and many previously received settlement payments. The Trust has argued that bar date issues need not be resolved as to these claims, because the prior litigation settlements are *res judicata* and bar the reassertion of the settled claims. As a general rule that is plainly correct. In cases where the diagnoses preceded the litigation settlements, therefore, we have sustained the Trust's objections as a matter of law.

However, some claimants have alleged that they suffered from additional diseases or conditions that arose after the prior litigation settlements, raising questions as to whether those

claims also are barred. It is certainly possible that a litigation settlement extinguished all claims that a person might ever have – even as to conditions that had not yet manifested themselves. However, the terms of the prior settlements are not available to the Court and so the Court cannot make such a determination as a matter of law.

Accordingly, in cases where new diagnoses were made after the date of a litigation settlement but before the bar date, we have evaluated whether the movant has established grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. If such relief is granted, any defenses based on the effects of prior litigation settlements are then to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures. Similarly, if a litigation claimant alleges a new condition or diseases that first was diagnosed after the bar date, we have referred that claim to the Trust, and the merits of any defense (including defenses based on the prior litigations) are for resolution by the Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

**V. Due Process/Challenges to Publication Notices.**

The confirmation of a company’s plan of reorganization extinguishes all debts and claims that arose prior to confirmation. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1141(d)(1). The discharge “operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process, or an act, to collect, recover or offset” any such discharged debt. 11 U.S.C. § 524(a)2). The confirmation order that was entered in these cases in 2010 provided for a discharge of all claims against the Debtors and Reorganized Debtors (while channeling future tort claims to the Trust). However, a discharge may be challenged on due process grounds.<sup>1</sup> Any claimant who makes

---

<sup>1</sup> For the reasons discussed above, it is somewhat anomalous to discuss future tort claims in terms of “discharge” standards. The Trust exists to handle the tort claims that *were* discharged by the Plan. When claimants seek access to the Trust on the ground of improper notice, then, the real issue for this Court is not so much whether Tronox was discharged of liability, but whether the enforcement of the Bar Date as to those claimants would violate

such a challenge bears the burden of proof. *See Waterman S.S. Corp.*, 200 B.R. 770, 774-75 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1996).

The failure to provide a form of notice that complies with due process requirements is a ground for relief from a discharge. *City of New York v. New York, N.H. & H.R. Co.*, 344 U.S. 293, 297 (1953) (“even creditors who have knowledge of a reorganization have a right to assume that the statutory ‘reasonable notice’ will be given to them before their claims are forever barred”). However, the type of notice that due process requires depends on whether creditors’ identities and claims are known or reasonably ascertainable by a debtor. Creditors whose identities and claims are known are entitled to be listed in a debtor’s schedules and are entitled to receive direct notice, by mail, of the bar date for filing claims. *Id.* However, it is true in most bankruptcy cases (and is often true in other types of *in rem* proceedings) that unknown persons may have claims.<sup>2</sup> This includes cases, like the Tronox case, that involve potential tort claims due to exposures to toxic substances. Direct notice cannot be given to claimants whose identities are not known, and so some substitute for direct notice must be used.

Notice to unknown claimants is governed by the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306 (1950). *Mullane* involved

---

due process as a result of improper notice. In any event, the due process standards are the same no matter how the issue is phrased.

<sup>2</sup> In an *in rem* proceeding a court exercises jurisdiction over property, often for the purpose of resolving competing claims against the property. The Supreme Court has held that a bankruptcy case is a form of *in rem* proceeding. *See, e.g., Tennessee Student Assistance Corp. v. Hood*, 541 U.S. 440, 447-8 (2004) (holding that the power to grant a discharge of all claims derives from the bankruptcy court’s *in rem* jurisdiction over all of a debtor’s property); *see also Central Va. Comm. College v. Katz*, 546 U.S. 356, 362 (2005) (“Bankruptcy jurisdiction, at its core, is *in rem*”); *Local Loan Co. v. Hunt*, 292 U.S. 234, 241 (1934) (same); *Gardner v. New Jersey*, 329 U.S. 565, 574 (1947) (same); *Hanover Nat’l Bank v. Moyses*, 186 U.S. 181, 192 (1902) (same).

a dispute over the sufficiency of a publication notice given by a trustee who sought to settle claims against a common trust fund, some of whose beneficiaries were unknown. 339 U.S. at 309. The Supreme Court declared that “[a]n elementary and fundamental requirement of due process in any proceeding which is to be accorded finality is notice reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to be heard.” *Id.* at 314. The Supreme Court recognized, however, that actual notice is not always possible. It therefore held that due process requires actual notice to *known* parties but that for *unknown* parties, reasonable publication notice is sufficient. *Id.* at 314-318.

The Supreme Court recognized, in *Mullane*, that publication notices often are not read and often do not actually come to the attention of all of the desired recipients:

It would be idle to pretend that publication alone as prescribed here, is a reliable means of acquainting interested parties of the fact that their rights are before the courts. It is not an accident that the greater number of cases reaching this Court on the question of adequacy of notice have been concerned with actions founded on process constructively served through local newspapers. Chance alone brings to the attention of even a local resident an advertisement in small type inserted in the back pages of a newspaper, and if he makes his home outside the area of the newspaper’s normal circulation the odds that the information will never reach him are large indeed. The chance of actual notice is further reduced when as here the notice required does not even name those whose attention it is supposed to attract, and does not inform acquaintances who might call it to attention. In weighing its sufficiency on the basis of equivalence with actual notice we are unable to regard this as more than a feint.

*Id.* at 315. Nevertheless, the Supreme Court held that such publication notice is the only option, and therefore is sufficient for due process purposes, where direct notice is not possible or practicable:

This Court has not hesitated to approve of resort to publication as a customary substitute in another class of cases where it is not reasonably possible or practicable to give more adequate warning. Thus it has been recognized that, in the case of persons missing or unknown, employment of an indirect and even a probably futile means of notification is all that the

situation permits and creates no constitutional bar to a final decree foreclosing their rights.

*Id.* at 317. The Court noted that publication notice is particularly appropriate in cases involving claimants whose claims are disputed, merely possible, or dependent on future events:

Nor do we consider it unreasonable for the State to dispense with more certain notice to those beneficiaries whose interests are either conjectural or future or, although they could be discovered upon investigation, do not in due course of business come to knowledge of the common trustee. Whatever searches might be required in another situation under ordinary standards of diligence, in view of the character of the proceedings and the nature of the interests here involved we think them unnecessary. We recognize the practical difficulties and costs that would be attendant on frequent investigations into the status of great numbers of beneficiaries, many of whose interests in the common fund are so remote as to be ephemeral; and we have no doubt that such impracticable and extended searches are not required in the name of due process.

*Id.* at 317-18.

*Mullane* was not a bankruptcy case, but the Supreme Court held that the principles set forth in *Mullane* apply to “any proceeding which is to be accorded finality.” *Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 314. For decades, the Supreme Court and other courts have applied the *Mullane* decision to determine the sufficiency of notice in bankruptcy cases. *See, e.g., United Student Aid Funds, Inc. v. Espinosa*, 130 S. Ct. 1367, 1378 (2010) (applying *Mullane* to a dispute concerning an individual’s discharge under chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code); *GAC Enterprises, Inc. v. Medaglia (In re Medaglia)*, 52 F.3d 451, 455 (2d Cir. 1995) (applying *Mullane* to a dispute concerning an individual’s discharge under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 995 F.2d 1138, 1144 (2d Cir. 1993) (applying *Mullane* in a bankruptcy case to consider the sufficiency of notice to creditors of a proposed settlement); *Curatola v. St. Vincent’s Catholic Medical Centers of N.Y.*, No. 07 Civ. 8257 (WHP), 2008 WL 1721471, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 10, 2008) (applying *Mullane* and holding that “publication notice is generally sufficient for ‘unknown’ creditors”); *DePippo v. Kmart Corp.*, 335 B.R. 290, 296



(S.D.N.Y. 2005) (same). The Supreme Court has also applied *Mullane* in assessing the sufficiency of notice in state court probate actions, which (like bankruptcy cases) require the filing of claims and impose bars against any claims that are not filed by a deadline. *See Tulsa Professional Collection Services, Inc. v. Pope*, 485 U.S. 478, 490 (1988).

In addition, courts have regularly applied *Mullane* in determining whether publication notice is sufficient as to unknown tort claimants. *See, e.g., In re Waterman S.S. Corp.*, 157 B.R. 220, 222 (S.D.N.Y. 1993); *In re Chateaugay Corp.*, No. 86 B 11334 (BRL), 2009 WL 367490, at \*5 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2009) (applying *Mullane* and related cases to determine that notice to unknown tort claimants was sufficient to bar their lawsuits); *In re Best Prods. Co. Inc.*, 140 B.R. 353, 357-58 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) (same); *see also Placid Oil Co. v. Williams (In re Placid Oil Co.)*, 463 B.R. 803, 815-17 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2012) (same); *Charter Int'l Oil Co. v. Young (In re Charter Int'l Oil Co.)*, No. 06 AP 00179 (GLP), 2007 WL 879176, at \*6 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. Mar. 14, 2007) (same).

In this case, many movants have complained that they should have received direct notice of the bar date by mail. However, a movant who is making such a complaint is required to show that Tronox knew of the movant's identity and claims. A few movants whose claims were resolved in prior class actions have argued that Tronox knew of their claims, but by definition the prior lawsuits resolved those claims, and none of those movants has shown that Tronox knew that the movants had additional claims that post-dated the prior litigations. No other movant who has complained about the lack of direct notice has even contended that Tronox actually knew of the movant's identity or claims. To the contrary: virtually all of those movants have alleged that they themselves were not aware that they had claims against Tronox. Requiring direct notice, by mail, to persons whose identities and claims are not known would be an impossible standard, and

one that due process does not require. *See Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 314, 317 (stating that the Due Process Clause requires the best notice practicable under the circumstances and warning against requirements so inflexible as to render due process an “impractical or impossible or impractical obstacle[.]”); *Drexel*, 995 F.2d. at 1144 (“No rigid constitutionally mandated standard governs the contents of notice in a case like the one before us.”); *see also Grannis v. Ordean*, 234 U.S. 385, 395 (1914) (the Due Process Clause “does not impose an unattainable standard of accuracy”); *In re A.H. Robins Co.*, 880 F.2d 709, 745 (4th Cir. 1989) (“Due process . . . ‘is a flexible concept,’ intended to ensure ‘fundamental fairness.’”) (quoting *Walters v. Nat’l Ass’n of Radiation Survivors*, 473 U.S. 305, 320 (1985)).

Other movants have argued that the Debtors should have canvassed the relevant communities to identify persons with relevant conditions or injuries and should have provided notice of the bar date directly to such persons. It is true as a general matter that direct notice is required if a creditor’s identity is “reasonably ascertainable,” which requires that a debtor use reasonable diligence to identify creditors. *See Mennonite Bd. of Missions v. Adams*, 462 U.S. 791, 798 n. 4 (1983)). To be reasonably diligent, however, a debtor’s efforts do not need to include “impracticable and extended searches . . . in the name of due process.” *Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 317-318). Accordingly, a debtor is not required to conduct a “vast, open-ended investigation.” *Chemetron v. Jones*, 72 F.3d 341, 346 (3d Cir. 1995). Rather, a creditor is generally treated as unknown if it cannot be identified through a search of the debtor’s own books and records. *Id.* at 347; *see also Louisiana Dep’t of Environmental Quality v. Crystal Oil Co. (In re Crystal Oil Co.)*, 158 F.3d 291, 297 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998) (holding that “in order for a claim to be reasonably ascertainable, the debtor must have in his possession, at the very least, some specific information that reasonably suggests both the claim for which the debtor may be liable

and the entity to whom he would be liable”); *Pacificorp. and Vancott Bagley Cornwall & McCarthy v. W.R. Grace*, No. 05-764 (SJB), 2006 WL 2375371, at \*4 (D. Del. Aug. 16, 2006). The Debtors were not required, by due process, to canvass the community to unearth possible claimants. See *In re Peabody Energy Corp.*, 579 B.R. 208, 216-17 (“[t]he Debtors were not required to conduct a search of the Tri-State Mining District to see who lives there and may have become ill”); *Placid Oil Co.*, 753 F.3d 151, 156 (5th Cir. 2014) (“to conclude that a creditor is known, a court must determine that, at a minimum, a debtor has ‘specific information’ related to an actual injury suffered by the creditor”); *In re Nat’l Steel Corp.*, 316 B.R. 510, 518 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2004) (“It is not the duty of the Debtors to make JFE or any of its creditors aware of every potential claim they may have against the Debtors. To the contrary, it was JFE’s responsibility to explore, investigate and file a proof of claim against the Debtors, not the other way around”); *In re Brooks Fashion Stores, Inc.*, 124 B.R. 436, 445 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (a debtor has no duty to search out each conceivable or possible creditor and urge that person or entity to make a claim against it).

Other movants have argued that they did not actually read the publication notices in this case and therefore should be treated as not having received notice at all. However, the bar date would effectively be nullified (and bankruptcy proceedings would be stymied) if publication notices were only given effect as to claimants who admit they read the notices. See, e.g., *In re Best Prods. Co., Inc.*, 140 B.R. 353, 359 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) (if failure to read a published notice were sufficient by itself to warrant relief from the bar date then “notice of a bar date by publication would be rendered a useless means of establishing a date by which all claims must be filed or forever barred”). The Supreme Court has recognized that it is always true that publication notices may not actually be read. See *Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 617; *City of New York v.*

*New York, N.H. & H.R. Co.*, 344 U.S. 293, 296 (1953) (observing that notice by publication “is a poor and sometimes a hopeless substitute for actual service of notice,” but that “when the names, interests and addresses of persons are unknown, plain necessity may cause a resort to publication.”) Nevertheless, bankruptcy proceedings, and many other legal proceedings, need a mechanism to provide finality as to the persons who are entitled to participate, and publication notices are given effect and are enforced even if claimants do not actually see them. *See In re US Airways*, No. 04-13819 (SSM), 2005 WL 3676186 at \*8 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Nov. 21, 2005) (noting that allowing a late claim because a claimant had not read the notice would render notice of the bar date by publication “a useless means of establishing a date by which all claims must be filed” (quoting *Best Prods, Inc.*, 140 B.R. at 159).); *see also In re New Century TRS Holdings, Inc.*, No. 07-10416 (KJC), 2012 Bankr. LEXIS 6245 (Bankr. D. Del. May 17, 2012) (allowing a creditor to assert a claim because of not having read the publication notice would render the notice “a useless means of establishing a date by which all claims must be filed or forever barred” (quoting *Best Prods. Co., Inc.*, 140 B.R. at 359).

Some movants have alleged generally that the publication notices in this case were too vague to enable claimants to know of their rights. However, no movant who has made these complaints has discussed the actual language of the published notices. The language was approved by Judge Gropper in 2009, and even with the benefit of hindsight I find the notices to be thorough and informative. An example (from the notice published in Columbus, Mississippi) is quoted above, and I have reviewed the notices published in other jurisdictions as well. The published notices included descriptions of Tronox’s connections to the Kerr-McGee companies, along with site-specific terms that described the types of substances that might have been released from particular plants and the types of injuries for which people needed to make claims.

Some other claimants have alleged that they no longer lived in the areas where the notices were published. Again, however, due process requires what is reasonable, not what is impossible. The possibility that claimants may not reside in the areas covered by the local newspapers is the reason why notice was also published in a newspaper with a national circulation (The Wall Street Journal). As the Supreme Court recognized in *Mullane*, there is of course no guarantee that claimants will receive such a publication or that they will read it even if they receive the newspaper. But it was the best that could be done and it satisfied due process under all applicable authorities. See *Chemetron Corp. v. Jones*, 72 F.3d 341, 348-59 (3d Cir.1995) (holding that publication in national newspaper is sufficient where supplemented by publication in local papers where debtor does business); *Placid Oil Co.*, 753 F.3d at 155, 158 (publication in a national newspaper is sufficient); *In re Best Prods. Co.*, 140 B.R. at 358 (“[i]t is impracticable, however, to expect a debtor to publish notice in every newspaper a possible unknown creditor may read”); *In re Motors Liquidation Company*, 576 B.R. 761, 776 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 2017) (publication notice in a number of global, national and local newspapers was constitutionally sufficient for unknown creditor).

A number of movants have argued that the notices that were published were insufficient because they did not name the individuals who had claims and the nature of the claims that those people owned. Again, however, due process did not require the impossible. The whole point of the published notices was that Tronox did not know who had claims, or what those claims might be. Not every person in an affected locality had diseases or conditions for which compensation might be sought. Furthermore, it is a simple fact of life that respiratory, pulmonary and other diseases or conditions affect persons throughout the country, and not every such disease or condition in a given area could reasonably be attributed to exposure to a Tronox product. More

specific notice to each claimant of the nature of each claimant's own injuries and claims was not feasible and was not required by due process. *See Castleman v. Liquidating Tr.*, No. 6:06-CV-1077 (LEK), 2007 WL 2492792 at \*9 (N.D.N.Y. Aug. 28, 2007).

Finally, some movants have complained that they did not receive additional, direct notices and an opportunity to file Category D claims after the fraudulent transfer litigation was settled in 2015. These movants appear to believe that the underlying settlement of the fraudulent transfer litigation was similar to a settlement of a pending class action and that the movants were members of a class who were entitled, under class action rules, to notice of the settlement. That is not correct. The 2015 settlement occurred in a fraudulent transfer litigation. That litigation was a source of funding for the Trust, but it did not involve a settlement of claims owned by tort claimants. The persons who were entitled to be treated as Class D claimants consisted only of persons who had filed claims on or before the Bar Date in August 2009, and that limitation was approved and became final in 2010. The settlement of the Anadarko action did not reopen that issue and did not entitle any person to additional notice or to a renewed opportunity to file claims.

For the foregoing reasons I did not find merit in any of the alleged requests for relief from the Bar Date based on due process grounds.

#### **VI. Excusable Neglect**

Rule 3003(c)(2) states that a creditor who fails to file a proof of claim before the bar date "shall not be treated as a creditor with respect to such claim for the purpose of voting or distribution." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003(c)(2). However, Rule 9006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure states that if an order of the court requires an action to be taken on or before a particular date, and if the action is not taken by the specified deadline, the court

nevertheless may extend the deadline after the fact, and may permit the act to be done belatedly, “where the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect.” *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(b)(1).

The leading decision on the criteria to be applied in considering an “excusable neglect” claim is the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Pioneer Inv. Servs. v. Brunswick Assocs, Ltd. P’ship*, 507 U.S. 380 (1993). In *Pioneer*, an attorney filed a claim 20 days after the bar date. The attorney claimed that he had been experiencing “a major and significant disruption” in his life due to his withdrawal from his former law firm and that he was unaware of the bar date until after the date had passed. The Supreme Court held that the wording of the rule shows that relief may be available even if a deadline is missed due to neglect, and that the term “neglect” encompasses “both simple, thoughtless omissions to act and, more commonly, omissions caused by carelessness.” *Id.* at 388. The Supreme Court also held that the determination of whether neglect is excusable is “at bottom an equitable one, taking account of all relevant circumstances surrounding the party’s omission.” *Id.* at 395. The relevant factors include: (1) the danger of prejudice; (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay, including whether it was in the reasonable control of the movant; and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith. *Id.*

Applying these principles, the Supreme Court held in *Pioneer* that excusable neglect had been demonstrated. *Id.* at 397-99. The Supreme Court confirmed that parties are responsible for the conduct of their attorneys, and it held that clients cannot obtain relief from deadlines that their lawyers missed unless the lawyers’ own neglect was excusable. *Id.* at 397. The Court also gave “little weight” to the fact that counsel was allegedly experiencing upheaval in his law practice. *Id.* at 398. However, since the bar date notice had been set forth in a notice of a creditor meeting, without any indication in the title of the notice that it also included information

about a bar date, the Supreme Court held that counsel's admitted lack of actual knowledge of the bar date, coupled with a lack of prejudice and a demonstration of good faith, constituted excusable neglect. *Id.* at 398-99.

The Second Circuit Court of Appeals has taken "a hard line" in applying the *Pioneer* factors. *See Silivanch v. Celebrity Cruises, Inc.*, 333 F.3d 355, 368 (2d Cir. 2003). In *Silivanch*, the Second Circuit applied the *Pioneer* factors in determining whether an untimely filing of an appeal was due to excusable neglect. The Court held in *Silivanch* that if a deadline is clear and understood, but is missed anyway, "we continue to expect that a party claiming excusable neglect will, in the ordinary course, lose under the *Pioneer* test," even if other factors favor the movant. *Id.* at 366-67. The *Silivanch* rule has been affirmed in subsequent decisions. *See Williams v. KFC Nat'l Mgmt. Co.*, 391 F.3d 411, 415-16 (2d Cir. 2004); *Midland Cogeneration Venture L. P. (In re Enron Corp.)*, 419 F.3d 115, 122 (2d Cir. 2005).

The burden of proving "excusable neglect" rests with the party who seeks relief. *See Midland Cogeneration Venture L.P. v. Enron Corp. (In re Enron Corp.)*, 419 F.3d 115, 121 (2d Cir. 2005); *Jones v. Chemetron Corp.*, 212 F.3d 199, 205 (3d Cir. 2000); *In re Andover Togs, Inc.*, 231 B.R. 521, 549 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1999). Applying the foregoing criteria, as interpreted in *Silivanch*, demonstrates that most of the pending motions do not qualify for relief on grounds of excusable neglect.

**A. Danger of Prejudice**

When the Plan was confirmed the parties believed that it was unlikely that there would be a large number of future tort claims. As a result, only a relatively small amount of money was set aside for such claims. Other categories were strictly limited to the payment of claims that were timely filed. The Plan (and the Tort Trust documents) did not make any explicit provision



for late-filed claims that might be permitted on grounds of excusable neglect. After hearing evidence in 2016, however, the Court held that claimants who can establish “excusable neglect” are to be entitled to treatment as “future” or “unaccounted for” tort claims in Category A. However, a claimant still must show “excusable neglect” under the applicable standards in order to be entitled to this treatment.

In this particular case, the only funds that remain for distribution to persons injured by creosote exposures are the limited funds that were set aside for Category A claimants, which include persons injured from asbestos exposures and persons whose creosote-related injuries did not manifest themselves until after the bar date. Each “excusable neglect” claim that is allowed, on behalf of a claimant whose injuries were manifested in 2009 or in many cases much earlier, would further reduce the already low recoveries that can be expected by those persons whose illnesses had not even appeared until after 2009, and who therefore had no opportunity to file a claim before the Bar Date. I am greatly sympathetic for the plight of ordinary persons who may not be well-versed in legal proceedings and who may not actually have known of the 2009 Bar Date, but I must also be mindful of the fact that an overly-generous allowance of “excusable neglect” claims would virtually destroy any chance for meaningful recoveries by those persons who had no prior opportunity to make claims.

It is well-settled that if the allowance of late-filed claims on the grounds of excusable neglect would “open the floodgates” to a large number of new claims, and if those additional claims would have a large impact on the recoveries of other creditors, then permitting the late-filed claims would be a form of prejudice that weighs against a finding of “excusable neglect.” *See Black v. Diamond*, 163 Fed. App’x 58, 60-61 (2d Cir. 2006) (holding that reductions in other claimants’ recoveries is a form of prejudice that is relevant under the *Pioneer* factors); *Midland*

*Cogeneration Venture L.P. v. Enron Corp (In re Enron Corp.)*, 419 F.3d 115, 130, 132 (2d Cir. 2005) (hereafter cited as “**Enron**”) (holding that a “dollar-for-dollar depletion of assets” is not automatically enough but that the size of the late-filed claim or claims “cannot be irrelevant to the analysis,” and approving a finding of prejudice where the lower court had found that allowing late-filed claims could lead to a “mountain” of such claims); *Meadows v AMR Corp.*, 539 BR 246, 252 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) (“allowing late-filed amendments years after the confirmation of the debtors' reorganization plan would create a serious risk of opening the floodgates to other potential late claims”).

It is plain here that we face a “mountain” of potential additional claims, and that is true regardless of whether one focuses on the 38,000 “future” tort claims or the 4,700 claims as to which “excusable neglect” motions have so far been filed. Allowing vast numbers of additional Category A claims would have a severe effect on the distribution fund and on other claimants. Accordingly, the “prejudice” factor weighs strongly against the movants who seek permission to file late claims.

**B. Length of the Delay/Effect on Proceedings**

Virtually all (if not all) of the late-filed claims that are the subjects of the motions that are presently pending before the Court were filed in late 2015 or later.<sup>3</sup> They therefore were filed more than six years after the bar date. In some Circuits a delay of that magnitude might be disqualifying by itself. *See, e.g., In re O'Brien Env'tl. Energy, Inc.*, 188 F.3d 116, 130 (3d Cir. 1999) (holding that in applying the *Pioneer* factors the length of a delay should be evaluated “in absolute terms”); *In re Energy Future Holdings Corp.*, 619 B.R. 99, 113 (Bankr. D. Del. 2020)

---

<sup>3</sup> In its Omnibus Objection the Trust argued that the earliest claim filed by any of the movants was filed in 2014, but the earliest claim filing identified in the information provided to the Court was on November 13, 2015.

(same). In this Circuit, however, the determination of whether to grant relief on grounds of “excusable neglect” is an equitable decision in which all of the relevant factors need to be considered together. Accordingly, “the lateness of a claim must be considered in the context of the proceeding as a whole” and also based on the excuse that is offered for the delay. *Enron*, 419 F.3d at 128-130. No bright-line rule exists, therefore, under which a certain amount of delay will automatically disqualify a late-filed claim without consideration of other factors. *Id.*

Notwithstanding the absence of a bright-line rule, it is still the case in this Circuit that a “presumption of prejudice is particularly appropriate where . . . the plaintiff’s delay was prolonged.” *Shannon v. Gen. Elec. Co.*, 186 F.3d 186, 195 (2d Cir. 1999); *Williams v. City of New York*, 771 Fed. Appx. 94, 95 (2d Cir. 2019). In this case, timely-filed claims were resolved years ago. The overwhelming majority of the pending late-filed claims were filed only after distributions were made in 2015 with regard to the timely-filed claims. We will not apply a bright-line rule, but we must note that we do not know, offhand, of any case in which significant numbers of late-filed claims have been permitted on grounds of “excusable neglect” after delays of the length that are at issue in this case.

The late-filed claims plainly are having an enormous impact on these proceedings, and if the lateness is excused they will have further adverse impacts. As explained above, the allowance of large numbers of late-filed claims in this case would drive down the recoveries of other Category A claimants and therefore would be prejudicial to those other claimants. The processing of late-filed claims also imposes huge administrative expenses, and additional litigation expenses would have to be incurred to evaluate and resolve the merits of the late-filed claims. In addition, *pro rata* distributions to Category A claimants cannot be made until the universe of participating claims is known, so that the time involved in resolving the merits of the

late-filed claims inevitably would delay distributions to those claimants who have acted with more diligence in the pursuit and protection of their legal rights. These long delays and high costs weigh strongly against the movants' requests for permission to file late claims.

**C. Good Faith**

For the most part the good faith of claimants has not been challenged. However, we have received many letters from claimants in Columbus, Mississippi who contend that some claimants in Mississippi have filed fraudulent claims.

The sheer number of the filed claims from the Columbus area is striking. The evidence submitted during the trial in the Anadarko Litigation before Judge Gropper confirmed that Columbus, Mississippi had accounted for 6,451 creosote-related litigation claims in 1999 and another 5,100 creosote-related litigation claims in 2002. *See Direct Examination of Denise Neumann Martin*, Adv. Pro. No. 09-01198 [ECF No. 421] (the "**Martin Report**"), Exhibit 2. Another 2,100 claims from Columbus were allowed as Category D claims during the Tronox case, raising the total to approximately 13,600. Columbus-area residents also accounted for about 10,000 of the first 19,000 of the future tort claims that were filed. *See* Oct. 25, 2016 Hr'g Tr. at 29:7-9. This means that more than 27,600 claims have come from the Columbus area, without even counting the Columbus-based claims that likely are included in the last 19,000 future claims that have been filed. The 2010 census showed that Columbus had a total population of only 23,640, and that Lowndes County (in which Columbus is located) had a total population of 59,779. *See* U.S. Dep't. of Commerce, Bureau of Census, Mississippi: 2010 Population and Housing Unit Counts (August 2012) (Table 9 at pdf p. 60 of 87) & (Table 4 pdf p. 32 of 87). That means that creosote-based litigation claims from the Columbus, Mississippi area have been filed in numbers that exceed the entire population of the city, and that represent

almost half of the entire population of the county. Granted, some claimants are former (not current) residents of Columbus, but the numbers still are astonishing.

Some of the letters and other papers filed with the Court have suggested that the collection and filing of claims and motions became a cottage industry in Columbus. Individuals or groups apparently contacted potential claimants and offered to make the necessary claim and motion filings in return for the payment of set fees. The Trust complained at one point that an individual had represented himself as a representative of the Trust and had solicited claims in exchange for the payment of a processing fee. We have noted that a large number of the pending motions use the same language, including pre-printed and cut-and-pasted explanations of why the bar date was missed. Many even repeat the same typographical or grammatical errors, suggesting that motions are being copied or mass-produced. The Court does not know who is engaging in these activities, whether attorneys are involved, or what representations have been made to claimants about what the likely outcomes might be. However, the potential for abuse is obvious.

While these are matters of concern, I have no evidence before me showing (or suggesting) fraud on behalf of any specific claimant or movant. The Tort Claims Trust has not challenged the good faith of any movant, and none of the generalized allegations that have been set forth in the thousands of letters and motions that the Court has received has identified any particular claimant who allegedly has proceeded fraudulently. I will presume, then, for purposes of these rulings that each motion has been filed in good faith, though “good faith” by itself is not sufficient to entitle a movant to relief. *Silivanch v Celebrity Cruises, Inc.*, 333 F.3d at 366 (“rarely in the decided cases is the absence of good faith at issue”); *In re Motors Liquidation Co.*,

No. 09-50026 (MG), 2020 WL 4589667, at \*14 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Aug. 11, 2020) (“the presence of good faith is almost never a determinative factor in the *Pioneer* analysis”).

**D. Reasons for Delay/Reasonable Control of Movants.**

As explained above, claims that are based on diseases or conditions that were not diagnosed until after the bar date constitute “future” tort claims under the Plan and the Trust. The claims (and motions) to which “excusable neglect” and “due process” arguments are relevant are claims based on conditions or illnesses that were diagnosed before the bar date.

The motions before the Court all involve claims that were filed in late 2014 or thereafter. They all involve claims, then, that were filed more than five years after the bar date, and some as long as eight years after the bar date. Given the long delays, the prejudice to other claimants, and the effects that the allowance of late claims would have on the process, movants bear a particularly strong burden of showing reasons for their delays. The Court has reviewed each motion individually to determine if, after all factors have been considered, the movant has demonstrated that relief based on excusable neglect should be granted. The Court’s rulings on each individual motion are set forth in summary form in the Tables that are submitted with this Decision, but the following paragraphs provide a more detailed explanation of the Court’s rulings as to many of the excuses that have been offered.

**1. Lack of Knowledge/Failures to Investigate.** Nearly all of the movants contend that they did not actually know of the bar date or of the Tronox bankruptcy process. Most of them also allege that they did not know that their diseases or conditions had been caused by exposure to Tronox products. However, all of the underlying diagnoses that are relevant to the “excusable neglect” motions predated the Bar Date, often by many years. Furthermore, more than six years passed after the Bar Date before the late claims were filed. But not a single one of

the pending motions has identified any efforts that the movant made (either before or after the Bar Date) to investigate the causes of the movant's illnesses or conditions, or to pursue the movant's potential legal rights.

Before the *Pioneer* decision, many courts had held that "excusable neglect" could not be demonstrated where a party's delay was attributable to its own lack of diligence in investigating and pursuing the party's rights. *See, e.g., In re Davis*, 936 F.2d 771, 774 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991) (holding that "excusable neglect" required proof of circumstances beyond the party's control and that a delay due to lack of diligence was not sufficient). The Supreme Court discarded such absolute rules in *Pioneer* and required courts to conduct a more general consideration of the equities before ruling whether "excusable neglect" has been established. Nevertheless, the Supreme Court confirmed that the reason for a claimant's delay, and whether that delay was in the reasonable control of the movant, continues to be a relevant factor, and the Court of Appeals for this Circuit has identified this as the factor that is usually the most important consideration in evaluating a request for relief. *Enron*, 419 F.3d at 123; *Pioneer*, 507 U.S. at 396.

Courts generally have held that a mere lack of actual knowledge is not sufficient to show that a delay was reasonable or that the delay was not within the movant's control. *PacifiCorp v. W. R. Grace & Co.*, No. 05-764, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57470 at \*49 (D. Del. Aug. 16, 2006) (confirming that ignorance of a claim does not by itself suffice to show excusable neglect); *Jones v. Chemetron Corp.*, 212 F.3d at 205 (same); *In re Motors Liquidation Co.*, 598 B.R. 744, 757 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2019) (same); *In re New Century TRS Holdings, Inc.*, No. 07-10416, 2014 Bankr. LEXIS 827 at \*27-28 (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 4, 2014) (same); *In re Best Prods. Co., Inc.*, 140 B.R. at 359 ("even ignorance of one's own claims does not constitute excusable neglect"). In particular, whether movants' lack of "actual knowledge" of their injuries or their legal options

was within the movants' own control depends on the amount of information that was readily available to persons who chose to investigate such matters.

In *Jones v. Chemetron Corp.*, 212 F.3d 199, for example, the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit considered “excusable neglect” arguments made by claimants who alleged that they incurred injuries from exposure to radioactive and other toxic substances that Chemetron had deposited at a waste site. The claims were filed four years after the bar date and two years after the confirmation of the plan of reorganization. The claimants argued that they “had no way of knowing that they had a claim against Chemetron prior to the 1988 bar date” and therefore that the delay in the filing of their claims “was beyond their control.” *Id.* at 205. The Court of Appeals rejected these contentions:

We conclude that the determinations of the bankruptcy court that contamination generally was known in the community in the early 1980's, and that some residents publicly expressed concern about the health effects of these toxins in press accounts and at public meetings, are supported by the record. Moreover . . . the record supports the court's observation that the plaintiffs introduced no evidence to show what measures they took to specifically investigate the cause of their medical problems. . . .

Accordingly, the bankruptcy court committed no abuse of its discretion in holding that the plaintiffs have failed to sustain their burden of proving excusable neglect. The prejudice to the “fresh start” to which Chemetron was entitled as a result of the Chapter 11 reorganization, the delay of four years after the bar date and two years after the confirmation date before the plaintiffs brought their claim, and their failure to specifically investigate the cause of their illnesses, even though the danger from the Bert Avenue dump generally was known in the community, combine to defeat their request that they be permitted to file late claims.

*Id.*

Similarly, in *In re Peabody Energy Corporation*, 579 B.R. 208, 218 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 2017), the court rejected “excusable neglect” claims by persons who claimed they had been injured by substances released by non-debtor companies and who further claimed that they did not know that the Debtors had indemnification obligations that covered their claims. The court



noted that the claimants “knew or certainly could have found out through investigation” that certain non-debtor companies were responsible for the relevant contamination and then could have “further pursued their claims by conducting additional investigations to see the relationship between Peabody Energy Corporation and Gold Fields, which is a matter of public record.” *Id.* at 219-20.

The court in *Peabody* went pretty far in holding claimants responsible for their own failures to make inquiries, given the fact that the debtor in that case was not the party who had caused the underlying contamination. In the Tronox case, however (as in *Chemetron*), there was a wealth of publicly available information about creosote contaminations, the injuries that were caused by creosote exposures, and the legal options available to injured persons.

Submitted as Exhibit A to this Decision is a summary of some of the publicly available information about creosote exposures, lawsuits and governmental actions in Columbus, Mississippi, and the Court takes judicial notice of the existence of these reports and litigations. Well more than 10,000 litigation claims were filed by Columbus-area residents and the progress of those claims was regularly reported in the local newspaper. A prominent local church sued Kerr-McGee because of creosote exposures. The federal government also investigated the Columbus site and issued bulletins and notices advising residents of the potential effects of creosote exposure. Public meetings were conducted to explain such matters as well. Many local attorneys were actively involved in creosote-related litigation, and thousands of local residents participated in such litigations and received settlement payments. In short, the risks of creosote exposures, Kerr-McGee’s and Tronox’s roles in such exposures, and the available litigation options plainly were widely known. The affidavits of service on file with the Court also show

that the local attorneys who had filed creosote-based lawsuits, and the claimants in pending creosote claims, were notified directly of the bankruptcy process and of the bar date.

Some movants from the Columbus area have alleged that groups within the city somehow conspired to keep information to themselves and not to share it with others. This contention is impossible to reconcile with the widespread public reporting that occurred and the widespread participation of the Columbus populace in prior litigations. I will accept the representations by individual movants that they personally were not actually aware of this activity. However, it is plain that even the smallest inquiry into the potential causes of their injuries or conditions certainly would have alerted them to the potential connection between their conditions and creosote exposures, and that even modest inquiries about legal remedies would have disclosed the many claims that had been made against Kerr-McGee and Tronox as well as the bankruptcy filing and the bankruptcy process.

Attached as Exhibits B and C are similar summaries of publicly available information regarding creosote exposures and controversies in Hattiesburg, Mississippi and Avoca, Pennsylvania, which are other jurisdictions from which large numbers of motions have been received. As in the case of Columbus, Mississippi, the local press for Hattiesburg and Avoca reported widely on the risks of creosote exposures, and very large numbers of local residents participated in lawsuits that themselves were widely reported. Again, I accept movants' statements that they did not actually know of these matters. However, any inquiry or investigation at all into the possible causes of a movants' injuries and medical conditions, and possible remedies therefor, would have identified the risks of creosote exposures, the many litigation claims that had already been filed against Kerry McGee and Tronox, and the existence of the bankruptcy case and the bankruptcy claims process.

In this case, movants may have elected not to investigate the possible causes of their injuries, or may have elected not to investigate potential legal claims they might have, but those were decisions that were within movants' control. A movant's lack of actual knowledge of the bar date, or of the causes of the movant's injuries, or of the movant's potential claims, is a relevant factor, but it is not enough, by itself, to show that a movant's delays were beyond the movant's reasonable control. See *Chemetron*, 212 F.2d at 205; *Peabody Energy*, 579 at 219-220; see also *In re Gordian Med., Inc.*, 499 B.R. 793, 798 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2013) (IRS sought permission to file late claim, alleging it did not know that the debtor was a successor in interest to another company, finding that the delay "was in the reasonable control" of the IRS because with reasonable diligence it could have easily discovered the link between the two companies); *US Airways*, No. 04-13819, 2005 WL 3676186 at \*8 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Nov. 21, 2005) ("the court is unable to find that failure to keep up with the news is a reasonable excuse for the lengthy delay in seeking to file a claim in this case.") I sympathize with movants who were surprised to learn that the bankruptcy claims process had produced such large recoveries. However, given the widespread availability of information, and the prejudice to other claimants and the long delays and costs that late-filed claims will pose, something more than a mere actual lack of knowledge is required to justify relief on grounds of excusable neglect. I conclude that under the governing case law and the circumstances of this case, given the very long delays and the prejudice to other claimants that would result from the allowance of large numbers of late-filed claims, that movants should be required to demonstrate not merely that they lacked an actual awareness of the process but also that it was not reasonably within their control to identify and to pursue their claims. In all but a very few cases the movants have failed to do so.

**2. Failure to Act Promptly after Discovery.** A party who misses a deadline, and who wishes relief based on equitable considerations, must act promptly to take the action that should have been taken earlier. *See, e.g., In re AMR Corp.*, 492 B.R. 660, 667 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2013), (holding that a three-month delay was an unreasonable delay in applying the *Pioneer* factors); *Board v. AMF Bowling Worldwide, Inc. (In re AMF Bowling Worldwide, Inc.)*, 520 B.R. 185, 196–97 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2014), *aff'd*, 533 B.R. 144 (E.D. Va 2015) (unknown creditor receiving notice of the administrative claim bar date by publication failed to demonstrate excusable neglect where creditor waited more than a year before commencing lawsuit against debtor in state court alleging post-petition personal injury claim, and only filed a motion for enlargement in bankruptcy case at state court judge’s direction, six months after she received actual notice of the bankruptcy); *Toscano v. RSH Liquidating Trust (In re RS Legacy Corp.)*, 577 B.R. 134, 142 (Bankr. D. Del. 2017) (refusing to find excusable neglect where creditor with actual notice of the bar date first learned about her potential claim after the bar date but waited an additional eleven months before asserting a claim); *Seven Oaks Partners, LP v. Licata (In re Seven Oaks Partners, LP)*, 749 Fed. Appx. 67, 69 (2d Cir. 2019) (summary order) (once creditor had knowledge of bankruptcy and of allegedly incorrect listing it was creditor’s obligation to file a claim); *In re Majestic Holdco, LLC*, No. 09-14142 (KG), 2013 Bankr. LEXIS 657, at \*1 (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 21, 2013) (five-month delay after learned of error barred relief); *State Dep’t of Env’tl. Prot. v. W.R. Grace & Co. (In re W.R. Grace & Co.)*, No. 01-1139 (JKF), 2008 WL 687357, at \*4 (D. Del. Mar. 11, 2008) (4-year delay).

The pending motions for the most part explain only the reasons why a movant did not file a proof of claim before the Bar Date in 2009. As noted above, with very few exceptions the motions fail to identify any effort by the movants to investigate or to pursue claims based on

injuries once they became known. As explained in the attached summary of reasons for the disposition of each separate motion, many of the motions identify particular dates or times at which the movants learned of the prior Bar Date, only to confirm that the movants then failed to act with sufficient promptness in then making a claim and seeking relief from the Bar Date.

**3. Movants who Participated in Prior Proceedings.** A large number of movants have contended that they were unaware that they had claims against Tronox and unaware that creosote was connected to their illnesses or conditions, while at the same time acknowledging that they had previously filed their own litigation claims against Tronox based on injuries caused by creosote exposures or that they had been members of class actions in which such claims were made. Any movant who was a litigant or a class member had good reason to know both of the connections between creosote exposures and various injuries and of the possible liabilities of Tronox, and has a particularly high burden of explaining why the movant took no action to pursue claims before the Bar Date. As indicated in the rulings set forth in Tables A and B, most if not all of those movants have failed to do so.

**4. Mistakes as to Legal Rights.** Some movants have contended (without elaboration) that they did not understand the terms of bar date notices and did not know that the notices applied to their claims. Courts have consistently held, however, that such mistakes of law do not constitute “excusable neglect.” *See, e.g., In re Manhattan Jeep Chrysler Dodge, Inc.*, 599 B.R. 247, 253 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2019) (mistaken legal judgment as to whether bar date order applied was not “excusable neglect”); *In re Motors Liquidation Co.*, 576 B.R. 761, 778-79 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2017) (holding that a claimant who did not file a claim because he believed his claim had not accrued made a mistake of law that did not constitute excusable neglect); *Canfield v. Van Atta Buick/GMC Truck Inc.*, 127 F.3d 248, 250 (2d Cir. 1997) (holding that, as a general

matter, a mistake of law does not constitute excusable neglect.) In addition, the movants who have raised this issue have failed to explain why they were confused by the bar date notices or how they could have interpreted the bar date notices as not covering their claims. Finally, the movants who have contended that they made such mistakes have not shown that their mistakes should be excused given the long delays in pursuing the movants' claims and the prejudice that late-filed claims will have on other claimants and on the claims process in general.

**5. Mistakes by Counsel.** A very large number of movants have claimed that attorneys lost their claim files or failed to take proper actions to protect the movants' interests. However, in *Pioneer* the Supreme Court rejected the contention that a party should not be held responsible for the excusable neglect of its counsel. *Pioneer*, 507 U.S. at 397. Parties generally are responsible for the actions of their attorneys, even when the attorneys act negligently. See *United States v. Malachowski*, 623 Fed. Appx. 555, 557 (2d Cir. 2015) (summary order) (late filing not excused by mistakes that counsel made); *Latshaw v. Trainer Wortham & Co., Inc.*, 452 F.3d 1097, 1101 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006) (“[P]arties should be bound by and accountable for the deliberate actions of themselves and their chosen counsel. This includes not only an innocent, albeit careless or negligent, attorney mistake, but also intentional attorney misconduct.”); *U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Comm’n v. eFloorTrade, LLC*, No. 16 Civ. 7544 (PGG), 2020 WL 2216660, at \*4 n.5 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) (rejecting argument that stipulation entered into as a result of poor legal advice should be set aside); *Mason Tenders Dist. Council Welfare Fund v. LJC Dismantling Corp.*, 400 F. Supp. 3d 7, 16 (S.D.N.Y. 2019) (“Litigants are generally bound by the professional conduct of the attorneys they choose to represent them, although the conduct of counsel may give rise to a claim for malpractice by the client.”) (internal citations omitted); *Brooks v. Kmart Corp. (In re Kmart Corp.)*, 315 B.R. 718, 723 (N.D. Ill. 2004) (where “the

responsibility for delay is that of claimant's counsel, that responsibility must be attributed to the claimant . . ."). As the Supreme Court held in *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1390, 370 U.S. 626, 633–34 & n.10 (1962):

Petitioner voluntarily chose this attorney as his representative in the action, and he cannot now avoid the consequences of the acts or omissions of this freely selected agent. Any other notion would be wholly inconsistent with our system of representative litigation, in which each party is deemed bound by the acts of his lawyer-agent and is considered to have 'notice of all facts, notice of which can be charged upon the attorney.' . . . [I]f an attorney's conduct falls substantially below what is reasonable under the circumstances, the client's remedy is against the attorney in a suit for malpractice. But keeping this suit alive merely because plaintiff should not be penalized for the omissions of his own attorney would be visiting the sins of plaintiff's lawyer upon the defendant.

Accordingly, errors by counsel do not constitute grounds for "excusable neglect" relief unless counsel's own failings should be excused. See *Pioneer*, 507 U.S. at 397 (holding that clients are bound by counsel's mistakes and permitting relief only if counsel can show that counsel's own mistakes were based on excusable neglect). Relief based on errors by counsel is particularly inappropriate where counsel has made a mistake in reviewing a bar date notice or in otherwise complying with a procedural requirement. *Id.* 392 ("inadvertence, ignorance of the rules, or mistakes construing the rules do not usually constitute 'excusable neglect'"); *Silivanch v. Celebrity Cruises, Inc.*, 333 F.3d at 36 (holding that "the equities will rarely if ever" favor a party who fails to follow a clear court rule and that a party seeking relief on grounds of excusable neglect in such a case ordinarily should lose under the *Pioneer* standard).

The movants who have pointed fingers at their attorneys have not offered any explanations or excuses for their attorneys' mistakes. The motions do not seek to excuse the attorneys' mistakes, but instead just ask the Court to relieve the clients from the effects of those mistakes. Those are not proper requests for relief based on "excusable neglect."

A large number of motions have also contended that the movants retained William Bambach as counsel and that Mr. Bambach passed away without filing their claims. However, public records show that Mr. Bambach died in 2013. His death in 2013 does not explain a failure to file a claim before the Bar Date in 2009.

6. **Bad Decisions that were Consciously Made.** Some movants have acknowledged that they were aware of the Bar Date but that they did not expect the bankruptcy case would lead to significant recoveries, and so they decided to wait to see if a better opportunity to make claims might present itself. The honesty of these movants is commendable, but their explanations do not warrant relief based on excusable neglect. *See In re Mother Hubbard, Inc.*, 152 B.R. 189, 193-94 (Bankr. W.D. Mich. 1993) (“Although [the creditor] may have made a bad decision in failing to timely file his claim, making such a conscious decision is not ‘excusable.’ Indeed [the creditor’s] decision is not ‘neglect’ -- it was a voluntary omission within his sole control.”).

7. **Allegedly Short Notices and Busy Schedules.** Many movants have argued that there was too little time between the date when notices were published and the Bar Date itself. Other movants have argued (without elaboration) that they were otherwise busy or distracted by other personal circumstances in August 2009 and should be excused from the consequences of their failures to file claims. As a general rule, “excusable neglect” requires something more than a simple failure to meet the deadline due to a busy schedule.” *United States v. Dumas*, 94 F.3d 286, 289 (7th Cir. 1996), *cert. denied*, 117 S. Ct. 1109 (1997); *Milligan v. Tupperware Worldwide*, 159 F.3d 1347 at \*2 (2d Cir. Mar. 13, 1998) (summary order). More importantly, however, all of the claims that are the subject of the pending motions were filed in 2015 or later – at least six years after the Bar Date. Even if personal circumstances, or the length of time



between the publication notice and the original bar date, might have explained a failure to file by August 2009, those excuses do not explain or justify the long delays after the bar date before claims were filed.

**8. Complaints about Notices to Counsel of Record.** Some movants have complained that notices were sent to counsel of record. However, that practice was followed only where Tronox did not have the addresses of the individual litigants. Sending notices to counsel in such situations is the only practical alternative and is sufficient. *See Robbins v. Amoco Prod. Co.*, 952 F.2d 901, 908 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992); *In re Northwest Airlines Corp.*, No. 05-17930 (ALG), 2007 WL 2815917 at \*4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007); *In re Solutia, Inc.*, Case No. 03-17949, Order Setting a Final Date to File Proof of Claim and Related Notice Procedures, dated Sept. 30, 2004 (ECF No. 1475, at 6 ¶ 10) (authorizing notice by mail to counsel of record for litigation claimants where personal information not available).

**9. Alleged Failures to receive mailed notices.** Mail properly addressed, stamped and deposited in the mail system is presumed to have been received by the party to whom it has been addressed. *Hagner v. United States*, 285 U.S. 427, 430 (1932) (“The rule is well settled that proof that a letter properly directed was placed in a post office creates a presumption that it reached its destination in usual time and was actually received by the person to whom it was addressed.”) No movant has offered evidence sufficient to overcome this presumption.

**10. Complaints about Instructions Regarding Future Tort Claims.** Many movants have complained that they allegedly were misled, or confused, when they filed future tort claims many years after the Bar Date. However, movants’ complaints about their later communications with the Trust do not explain the movants’ failures to file timely claims in 2009.

**11. Complaints that Additional Claims Were Not Solicited after the Anadarko**

**Settlement.** As noted above, many movants appear to be under the mistaken belief that the settlement of the Anadarko Litigation was a settlement of a class action in which they were class members, and as to which they should have been given the chance to submit class action claim forms in 2015. However, the Anadarko Litigation was not a class action, and the tort claimants' own claims were not at issue and were not resolved in the Anadarko Litigation. Instead, the Anadarko Litigation involved claims that were owned by the Tronox debtors under the Bankruptcy Code. The proceeds of the Anadarko Litigation were used (in part) to fund payments to tort claimants who were creditors in the bankruptcy case, but the deadline for the filing of claims in the bankruptcy case was in 2009, not in 2015.

**VII. Special Situations.**

A number of motions raised issues that require consideration of additional statutes and rules in considering whether relief from the bar date should be granted.

**A. Infants and Incompetent Persons.**

A number of motions have argued that claimants are entitled to relief from the bar date on the ground that the claimants were minors or were incompetent at the time of the bar date. These allegations potentially raise issues not only under Rule 9006 but also under Rule 3002(c)(2) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, which is incorporated into Rule 3003(c)(3). Rule 3002(c)(2) states that “[i]n the interest of justice and if it will not unduly delay the administration of the case, the court may extend the time for filing a proof of claim by an infant or incompetent person or the representative of either.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002(c)(2).

None of the motions identified Rule 3002(c)(2) as a ground for relief. However, neither the Court nor the Trustee identified Rule 3002(c)(2) as a possible ground for relief when the

Trustee sought instructions in 2016. The failure to refer to Rule 3002(c)(2) in 2016 was an oversight rather than an intentional omission, and the Court has the power to invoke Rule 3002(c)(2) on its own initiative. *See* 9 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 3002.03[3] (16th 2020). Most movants in this case have acted without counsel, and if a movant has identified infancy or incompetence as a ground for relief from the bar date, it is appropriate and fair for the Court to apply all of the rules that are relevant to those circumstances in deciding whether the movants are entitled to relief. Accordingly, the Court will apply Rule 3002(c)(2), as well as Rule 9006, in evaluating those motions that seek relief based on the movant’s age or competency at the time of the bar date.

Unfortunately, neither the Bankruptcy Code nor the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure defines the terms “infant” or “incompetent.” In popular usage the word “infant” usually refers to a young child or baby. *See, e.g.,* Collins English Dictionary, <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/infant> (last visited November 9, 2020) (“[a]n infant is a baby or very young child”). In some legal contexts the word “infant” similarly is limited to persons of very young age. *See, e.g.,* 42 U.S.C. § 1786 (defining “infant” as a person under one year of age for purposes of the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program). Legal dictionaries, however, more commonly equate the term “infant” with the term “minor,” meaning anyone who has not reached the age of adulthood (which usually ranges from 18 to 21 years old). *See* Black’s Law Dictionary (11th ed. 2019) (defining “infant” as a “person under the age of twenty-one years,” although by statute in some jurisdictions the age may be lower); *Ballentine’s Law Dictionary*, 3d ed. (noting that in “ordinary usage” an infant is “a child of tender and helpless age,” but that in law an infant is “a person who has not reached the age of majority, usually 21 years, at which the law recognizes a general contractual capacity”); 42 Am.

Jur. 2d Infants § 1 (noting that in ordinary usage an infant is a “child of a tender and helpless age” but that in law the word has “a technical meaning different” from its meaning in common speech, and refers to a person “who has not arrived at majority as fixed by law . . .”).

On its face, Rule 3002(c)(2) is meant to address claims by persons who do not legally have the capacity to represent their own interests. In this particular context, I believe it makes most sense to interpret the word “infant” in Rule 3002(c)(2) as referring to a person who is a “minor” under applicable law.

A “competent” person means someone who is legally qualified by age, mental and physical capacity to perform a relevant act. *See Ballentine’s Law Dictionary*, 3d ed. (defining a “competent” person as a “capable person; a person legally qualified by age and mental capacity.”) One of the few reported decisions that has addressed a claim of “incompetency” under Rule 3002(c)(2) has held that courts should look to applicable state law to determine a person’s legal competency. *See In re Toriello*, No. 08-18063 (DHS), 2010 WL 3943737 at \*4 (Bankr. D. N.J. Oct. 5, 2010). There are potential problems with this approach, however. A person’s “competency” under state law may be highly relevant in assessing competency for purposes of Rule 3002(c)(2), but people may be legally competent to perform some acts but not others. In the context of Rule 3002(c)(2), the issue ought to be whether or not, as a practical matter, a person suffered from a mental or physical incapacity of a kind that prevented the person from complying with a bar date. That means generally that a movant who seeks relief on the ground of incompetence should show the existence of a condition that rendered the movant incapable of understanding the need to file a proof of claim form before the bar date, or (if the person was capable of understanding that requirement) the existence of a condition that rendered

the movant incapable of making such a filing personally and also incapable of enlisting help from others for that purpose.

The movant, as the party seeking relief, bears the burden of proving that relief is warranted under Rule 3002(c)(2). A movant's age is relatively easy to prove, though some movants who have alleged that they were minors have failed to provide such information. "Incompetence" is more difficult to prove. With few exceptions, the movants who have alleged "incompetence" have provided no supporting details and no verification of that status.

There also remains the question of what standard to apply in considering whether to grant relief under Rule 3002(c)(2) to those movants who have demonstrated that they were infants or incompetent at the time of the bar date. Relief under Rule 3002(c)(2) "may" be granted and therefore is discretionary, not mandatory. *Vicenty v. San Miguel Sandoval (In re San Miguel Sandoval)*, 327 B.R. 493, 506 (BAP 1st Cir. 2005). The Rule provides that the Court "may" grant relief if doing so would serve the "interest of justice" and would not unduly delay the administration of the case. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3002(c)(2). The Court has found no authorities, however, that have definitively identified the considerations that should govern a decision as to whether relief is warranted under these standards. Indeed, there are surprisingly few reported decisions that discuss the application of the bar date to persons who are infants or incompetents.

In *In re Davis*, 243 B.R. 127, 130 (Bankr. M.D. Ala. 1999), the Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Alabama extended the time by which the Montgomery County Department of Human Resources could file a proof of claim for child support in a chapter 13 case as the representative of a minor child. The court determined that the "interest of justice" warranted relief based on (1) Congress's emphasis on familial responsibilities throughout the Bankruptcy Code, (2) the Supreme Court decision in *Clark v. Jeter*, 486 U.S. 456(1988), in which the

Supreme Court held that statutes of limitations which restrict the rights of illegitimate children to bring claims for financial support may be subject to heightened scrutiny under the equal protection clause of the fourteenth amendment to the United States Constitution, and (3) the fact that Rule 3002(c)(3) does not require a motion for relief to be filed prior to the expiration of the bar date. *Id.* at 129-130.

The court took a different approach in *In re Toriello*, No. 08-18064 (DHS), 2010 WL 3943737 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2010). In *Toriello* the court considered a request for relief on behalf of a married couple, one of whom had been incapacitated as a result of strokes and the other of whom suffered from memory loss and was in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease. The couple's daughter had assumed control of her parents' financial affairs and had actual knowledge of the bankruptcy well in advance of the bar date. Without making a finding that the creditors were incompetent, the court evaluated both the "interest of justice" and "undue delay" prongs as factual matters and determined that neither was met. As for the "interest of justice" prong, the court considered the fact that the creditors' daughter knew about the bankruptcy well in advance of the bar date but did not seek any legal counsel. *Id.* at \*7. As for "undue delay," the court considered the fact that the extension motion was brought after the confirmation of the debtors' plan and that the debtors would have to propose a modified plan if the creditors' claim were to be allowed. *Id.* at \*6.

At least one other court has considered requests for relief on grounds of infancy or incompetence in the context of due process claims. *See, e.g., Chicago, Rock Island & Pac. Ry.*, 788 F.2d 1280, 1283 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986). In the *Rock Island* case the court considered a request for relief from a bar date on behalf of a nine-year old boy who had lost his foot in a railroad accident. Notice of the bar date had been given to the boy's mother but no claim had been filed.

Years later (after the boy reached adulthood) the boy retained an attorney, who notified the railroad of the claim but who filed no application to the court for permission to make a claim. The railroad took the position that the claim was time-barred, and the injured party then took no action until after the railroad emerged from bankruptcy, at which point he filed suit against the reorganized entity. *Id.* at 1281. The court held that the application of the bar date did not violate due process, that the reorganization court was not obligated to appoint a *guardian ad litem* for the injured party, and that notice to the mother (and her opportunity to file a claim) was sufficient for purposes of due process. *Id.* at 1282-4. The court also noted that the claimant had not acted promptly and diligently in seeking relief from the application of the bar date, and so granting such relief would be barred by laches. *Id.* at 1284.

From the foregoing I draw the following conclusions.

First, although the “interest of justice” standard is open-ended, many of the factors that are considered by courts in deciding whether to grant relief on grounds of “excusable neglect” under Rule 9006 are plainly also relevant in deciding whether relief is warranted under Rule 3002(c)(2). The determination of whether a claimant missed a deadline due to “excusable neglect” requires consideration of: (1) the danger of prejudice; (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay, including whether it was in the reasonable control of the movant; and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith. *See Pioneer*, 507 U.S. at 396. The second “excusable neglect” factor (the potential impact on proceedings) is similar to the express requirement in Rule 3002(c)(2) that the court consider whether permitting a late claim would “unduly delay” the administration of the case. The first, third and fourth factors all are relevant in deciding whether the “interest of justice” warrants relief in favor of a particular claimant.

Second, relief from a bar date based on infancy or incompetence should not be automatic. As explained above, the bar date serves a critical function in a chapter 11 case. Granting automatic relief would effectively nullify the bar date as to many creditors and would make it impossible to deal comprehensively with all claims, particularly in cases involving tort liabilities that likely will include creditors who are still minors. State laws permit parents, guardians or other legal representatives to file claims or to take legal actions on behalf of minors or persons who are not competent, and the proper and efficient administration of bankruptcy cases require that such persons exercise those rights on behalf of minors and incompetent persons where they can do so. Accordingly, while a claimant's infancy or incompetence may itself be the movant's own "reason for delay" in filing a claim, the determination of whether the "interest of justice" calls for relief requires consideration of whether the movant had parents or guardians (in the case of infants) or representatives (in the case of incompetent persons) who had the authority and responsibility to act for the movant and, if so, the reasons why those responsible persons did not take action.

In cases where movants have sought relief based on infancy or incompetence, therefore, we have first assessed whether the motion provides sufficient grounds for the proposed relief.

There were a number of common problems in this regard:

- Many motions alleged the movants are entitled to relief as minors, while at the same time making clear (based on the movant's own contentions as to the dates of exposure) that the movant was 21 years or older at the time of the Bar Date. Plainly those movants were not minors at the time of the Bar Date and are not entitled to relief on that ground.



- Many movants have filed claims on behalf of persons who died before the Bar Date and have argued that the deceased claimants were “incompetent” due to their deaths. The “incompetence” that is relevant for bar date purposes, however, is the competence or incompetence of the person who owned the claim at the time of the Bar Date. If an injured person died, then the only question is whether the executor or personal representative who acquired that claim under state law was competent to assert it.
- A number of other movants have argued that they suffered from various infirmities but have failed to carry their burden of showing that they were “incompetent” to the extent that they were incapable of understanding the need to file a proof of claim form before the bar date, or (if the person was capable of understanding that requirement) that they were incapable of making such a filing.

We have noted that infancy or incompetence were mentioned as possible grounds for relief from the bar date in notices that the Trust previously sent, but that movants seeking relief on that ground were not directed to explain why their parents, guardians or representatives had not taken action on their behalf. In situations where movants have sufficiently demonstrated that they were minors or that they were incompetent at the time of the Bar Date, therefore, we will provide the movants with the opportunity make additional submissions, within sixty days after the issuance of an Order reflecting the Court’s rulings, showing why the movants’ parents, guardians or legal representatives did not file claims on the movants’ behalf, so that we may determine whether relief is warranted under Rule 3002 and/or Rule 9006. The movants who may make such additional submissions are marked on the tables that is submitted with this Decision as Tables A and B.

**B. Military Service.**

Section 3936(a) of title 50 of the United States Code (which formerly was codified at section 526 of title 50) provides as follows:

The period of a servicemember's military service may not be included in computing any period limited by law, regulation or order for the bringing of any action or proceeding in a court, or in any board, bureau, commission, department, or other agency of a State (or political subdivision of a State) or the United States by or against the servicemember or the servicemember's heirs, executors, administrators or assigns.

*See* 50 U.S.C. § 3936(a). Some movants have cited to section 3936(a) and have argued that the bar date cannot be applied to bar the claims of persons who were in active military service at the time of the bar date. Other movants have not made reference to section 3936(a) but nevertheless have noted that they were in military service at some points.

There is surprisingly little authority on the effect of section 3936(a) on bar dates in bankruptcy cases. One bankruptcy court held that section 3936 does not bar the application of a bar date in bankruptcy, but that decision was reversed on appeal by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. *See In re A.H. Robins Co.*, 129 B.R. 457, 461 n. 5 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1991), *rev'd on appeal*, 996 F.2d 716 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). The bankruptcy court in *Robins* ruled that a bar date should not be treated as a "statute of limitation" because it prescribes a date certain for the completion of an act. The Court of Appeals found that reasoning to be deficient:

We find the Trust's attempted distinction between a "period" of time and a "date certain" to be without substance. In our opinion the bar date in this case represents the end point of a *period of time*, beginning the day the order establishing the bar date was entered, November 21, 1985, within which Dalkon Shield-related claims were to have been filed. This period operates in precisely the same way as any other limitations period. All such periods are bound by terminal dates, a fact that does not transform every period into a "date certain" to which the tolling provision of the Act would not apply. Thus we decide that the filing period fixed by the bar date is a "period . . . limited by any law, regulation or order" for purposes of section 525.

996 F.2d at 719. The Court expressed sympathy as to the possible problems that could arise in bankruptcy cases if bar dates could not be applied to all claimants, but held that the plain language of the statute required relief. *Id.* at 719-20.

We have found some decisions in which courts have excused persons in military service from other kinds of deadlines set by bankruptcy court orders. *See, e.g., Detroit Harbor Terminals, Inc. v. Kuschinski*, 181 F.2d 541, 542-43 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1950) (holding that military service required an extension of the deadline for an exchange of stock under a confirmed bankruptcy plan). However, we are not aware of any relevant authorities in this Circuit.

In bankruptcy parlance a chapter 11 filing creates a “case,” and within that case individual “proceedings” (either contested matters or adversary proceedings) may be resolved. As a purely technical matter there could be an issue as to whether the filing of a proof of claim constitutes “the bringing of any action or proceeding in a court . . .” A proof of claim is deemed to be allowed unless an objection is filed; it is the objection to a claim (not the claim itself) that gives rise to a “proceeding,” which is either a contested matter (if only an objection is filed) or an adversary proceeding (if the objection is joined by a request for other relief). *See* 11 U.S.C. §§ 501, 502; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001, 3007, 7001, 9014. I suppose that on this basis a hyper-technical argument could be made that a deadline for the filing of a bankruptcy proof of claim is not a deadline for the “bringing of any action or proceeding . . .” However, such an argument would put far more weight on the technical definition of what constitutes a “proceeding” in bankruptcy than seems reasonable under the circumstances. We have found no indication that Congress intended to exempt bar dates from the application of section 3936(a), which is quite broad and mandatory in its application to “any” period limited by law, regulation or order. There may be good reasons why a bar date should be exempt from the application of section 3936(a),

but that is a decision for Congress to make. The filing of a proof of claim is a prerequisite to a creditor's participation in a bankruptcy case and to the creditor's right to seek relief in the bankruptcy case, and under those circumstances it would run afoul of the obvious intended meaning of the statute if we were to interpret bar dates as being exempt from section 3936(a).

On the other hand, section 3936(a) by its terms provides only for a tolling of a relevant time period, not for an outright exemption from a bar date. If (as the Court of Appeals held in *Robins*) a bar date order effectively establishes a limitation period equal to the time between the entry of the bar date order and the bar date itself, and if that time period is tolled by section 3936(a), then when the creditor's military service ends the time period would no longer be tolled and the creditor still would need to file a claim within the amount of time that the court originally allowed. In the *Tronox* case, the order setting the bar date was entered on May 28, 2009, and the bar date was August 12, 2009. That means that the "time period" that was tolled under section 3936(a) was 76 days. Claimants who invoke the protections of section 3936(a) therefore must still show that they filed proofs of claim within 76 days after their military service ended, or must seek relief from that requirement on grounds of excusable neglect.

Some movants have referenced military service, but it is plain from the papers they submitted that their military service ended prior to the entry of the bar date order, making section 3936(a) inapplicable. In other cases it is plain that a proof of claim was not filed within 76 days after military service ended, so that the "tolling" set forth in section 3936(a) would not itself justify the late filing, and so that the movant must show "excusable neglect" in order to obtain relief. In many other cases the period of military service simply is not clear from the papers that have been submitted; in those cases we have provided that the movants may make supplemental submissions to verify the precise dates of their military service.

\* \* \* \*

Our rulings as to individual motions, applying the foregoing standards, are set forth in the tables being filed with this Decision. The Court will hold a conference on March 19, 2021 at 10:00 a.m., at which time the Tort Claims Trust is directed to report to the Court as to the most efficient means of serving notice of the Court's decisions and of making this written decision available to movants. The Court will defer the entry of an Order (which otherwise would begin appeal periods) until after that conference.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 10, 2021

**s/ Michael E. Wiles** \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Michael E. Wiles  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

## Exhibit A

### Summary of Public Information Regarding Columbus, Mississippi Plant

1. In 1996, approximately 951 plaintiffs filed suit against Kerr-McGee in the federal district court for the Northern District of Mississippi, alleging injuries from creosote exposures. *See Hanson, et al. v. Kerr-McGee Corp., et al.*, 96-CV-00076 (N.D. Miss. 1996). The case apparently was combined with a later action. *See Barham, et al. v. Kerr-McGee Corp., et al.*, 99-CV-00025 (N.D. Miss. 1999). The two cases were settled in 1999, and the court approved distributions of \$5,242,909.69 to the settling plaintiffs. *See Hanson*, 96-CV-00076, docket no. 707. The number of participating plaintiffs is not clear.

2. In September 1999 the *Associated Press* released articles about the progress of the Mississippi actions and disputes over requests to test local sites. *See* “Attorney wants to drill to support lawsuit against Kerr-McGee,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, Sept. 21, 1999; “School board won’t allow digging on land,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, Sept. 23, 1999; “Attorney rejects conditions for tests near chemical plant,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, Oct. 1, 1999.

3. The United States Department of Environmental Protection (the “EPA”) and the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality began an investigation of the Columbus site in 1999. *See* “Contamination Found Near Plant,” *The Sun Herald* (Biloxi, MS), Jan. 5, 2001, p. A3.

4. The Maranatha Faith Center in Columbus, Mississippi filed a \$100 million lawsuit against Kerr-McGee in 1999 or 2000, alleging that creosote contamination had damaged its property. *See* “Miss. Church sues, says creosote fouls property,” *The Advocate* (Baton Rouge,

Louisiana) Feb. 23, 2000, p. 5-B; *see also* “Columbus church files \$100 million property contamination suit,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, Feb. 21, 2000.

5. Another tort action was filed in the state court in 1999 and was removed to the federal district court for the Northern District of Mississippi in 2000. *See Andrews, et al. v. Kerr-McGee Corp., et al.*, 00-CV-00158 (N.D. Miss 2000). In addition, a class action complaint was filed in the federal district court for the Northern District of Mississippi in 2001. *See Batchelder, et al. v. Kerr-McGee Corp., et al.*, 01-CV-00077 (N.D. Miss. 2001).

6. Newspapers reported the filing of the *Batchelder* action and reported that the attorneys had funded a research report that had analyzed the effects of creosote exposures in Columbus.<sup>1</sup> Newspaper reports quoted the attorneys for plaintiffs as stating that as many as 10,000 people could be members of the affected class. *See* “Creosote plant hit with class-action suit,” *The Clarion-Leader (Jackson, MS)*, Mar. 8, 2001, p. 5B; “Lawsuit Says Pollution from Plant Caused Serious Health Problems,” *The Commercial Appeal*, Mar. 10, 2001.

7. Stories about the 2001 class action appeared in national news sources as well. *See* “Kerr-McGee Sued in Mississippi Class Action for Creosote Syndrome,” *PR Newswire*, Mar. 9, 2001; “Lawsuit claims health problems associated with Columbus plant,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, Mar. 9, 2001; “Residents of Miss. Community Sue Kerr-McGee,” *Dow Jones Institutional News*, Mar. 9, 2001. CNN issued a televised report about the Columbus class action in March 2001. *See* “Residents of Columbus, Mississippi File Lawsuit Against Kerr-McGee,” *CNN Transcripts*, Mar. 13, 2001. It televised a follow-up report on creosote problems generally on April 2, 2001. *See* “Newsroom for April 2, 2001,” *CNN Transcripts*, Apr. 2, 2001.

---

<sup>1</sup> The report was also published. *See* Dahlgren, Warshaw, Horsak, Parker & Takhar, “Exposure assessment of residents living near a wood treatment plant,” 92 *Environmental Research* (2003) 99-1009.

8. By 2002 seven separate actions were pending against Kerr-McGee on behalf of Columbus residents, in addition to the class action that had been resolved in 1999. Two of the cases (*Andrews* and *Bachelder*) were pending in the federal district court for the Northern District of Mississippi; three other cases were pending in the Circuit Court for Lowndes County; and two cases were pending in the Circuit Court of Hinds County. See Kerr-McGee Corp., *SEC Form 10-K for Year Ended December 31, 2002*, filed March 27, 2003.<sup>2</sup>

9. In May 2002, the Associated Press reported nationally that settlement talks were underway in the Mississippi cases. See “Settlement talks continue in Kerr-McGee case in Mississippi,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, May 29, 2002.

10. In 2002 a \$50 million settlement was reached that potentially covered 6,000 north Mississippi plaintiffs. News reports stated that the settlement “includes most pending lawsuits against the company in state and federal courts in Mississippi,” but did not include the lawsuit filed by the Maranatha Faith Center. See “Kerr-McGee suit settled for \$50M,” *The Clarion-Leader* (Jackson, MS), June 21, 2002, p. 1B. News of the settlement was reported nationally by the Associated Press. See “Settlement offered by Kerr-McGee tops \$50 million in Columbus,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, May 31, 2002.

11. Also in 2002, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (the “ATSDR”), part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, decided to prepare a public health assessment to evaluate environmental hazards at the Kerr-McGee site in Columbus. See <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/background.html>; see also “Second federal

---

<sup>2</sup> Available at <https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1141185/000114118503000033/form10k2002.txt>, at Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 16 to Financial Statements [Contingencies, Forest Products Litigation].



agency eyeing pollution cleanup at Columbus plant,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, Nov. 20, 2002; “Columbus Wood-Treatment Plant Investigation Expands,” *The Commercial Appeal*, Nov. 21, 2002, p. DS4.

12. Between 2002 and 2005 approximately 250 additional lawsuits (filed on behalf of approximately 5,100 claimants) were filed in the federal district court for the Northern District of Mississippi. *See Kerr-McGee Corp., SEC Form 10-K for Year Ended December 31, 2005*, filed on March, 16, 2006.<sup>3</sup> A filing in the Tronox bankruptcy case by the Columbus, Mississippi Creosote Plaintiffs Ad Hoc Committee stated that 2,690 persons (or their estates) were plaintiffs in those pending cases at the time of the 2009 bankruptcy filing. *See* Dkt. No. 605. An unknown number of these plaintiffs may have been individuals who elected not to participate in the settlements that were offered in 2002.

13. In November 2006 the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (the “ATSDR”) published a “fact sheet” that described the effects of creosote exposure and that recommended certain steps to be taken by people in the Columbus area. The Court could not locate a copy of the original fact sheet that was issued in 2006 but an updated version can still be found at the ATSDR website.<sup>4</sup>

14. In June 2007 the ATSDR hosted a “health education workshop” in Columbus. Two presentations were made at the workshop. One was entitled “Creosote Health Effects and

---

<sup>3</sup> Available at <https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1141185/000114118506000039/annualreport2005.htm>, at Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 16 to Consolidated Financial Statements [Contingencies, Contingencies of Tronox, Litigation and Claims, Forest Products Litigation].

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/docs/Kerr%20McGee%20Fact%20Sheet%20-%20Creosote%20Health%20Effects.pdf>.

How to Prevent Exposure,” and the other was entitled “Drinking Water Quality in Your Community.” See ATSDR, Public Health Assessment for Kerr-McGee Chemical Corporation (a/k/a Tronox) Columbus, Lowndes County, Mississippi, September 22, 2008 at 37.<sup>5</sup>

15. On September 22, 2008 the ATSDR released two public health assessments with respect to the Columbus site. The assessment of hazardous substances in soil, sediment and surface water concluded “that the Kerr-McGee site is a past public health hazard and an indeterminate present public health hazard.” *Id.* at 36. The ATSDR encouraged local residents to read the reports at the Columbus Public Library and to comment upon them. The ATSDR also scheduled media availability seminars in Columbus, to be held at the Trotter Convention Center. See “ATSDR Seeks Public Comments on Public Health Assessments for the Tronox (Formerly Kerr-McGee) Chemical Corporation Site,” September 22, 2008.<sup>6</sup>

16. In October 2008, the *Commercial Dispatch* (the local Columbus newspaper) published an article about the September 2008 findings by the ATSDR and noted that lawsuits based on creosote exposure had been filed throughout the country and had been settled for hundreds of millions of dollars. See “Community members still concerned about contamination,” *The Commercial Dispatch* Oct. 15, 2008.

17. The ATSDR also published “fact sheets” that were specific to the Columbus site. The fact sheets were posted on the ATSDR web-site and may have been distributed by other means as well). The fact sheets described the dangers of creosote exposure, the status of

---

<sup>5</sup> This report is available at: [https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/hac/pha/kerrmcgeesite/kerr\\_mcgee\\_soil\\_surface\\_water\\_sediment%20PHA%20Pub%20Com%209-22-2008.pdf](https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/hac/pha/kerrmcgeesite/kerr_mcgee_soil_surface_water_sediment%20PHA%20Pub%20Com%209-22-2008.pdf).

<sup>6</sup> This release is available at <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/news/displaynews.asp?PRid=2415>.

investigative efforts, and a number of facts specific to the Columbus facility. It appears that fact sheets were published as early as 2006 but the versions available on the internet have been updated, so the precise history is not clear.<sup>7</sup>

18. In October 2008, the local newspaper reported that Rep. John Mayo, chairman of the House of Representatives' Conservation and Water Resource Committee, addressed local residents and assured them he would try to help to resolve their complaints of contamination from the Kerr-McGee site. See "Community members still concerned about contamination," *The Commercial Dispatch*, Oct. 15, 2008.

19. The Tronox bankruptcy filing was reported in the local newspaper on January 13, 2009. See "Tronox Bankruptcy won't affect Hamilton facility," *The Commercial Dispatch*, Jan. 13, 2009.

20. On June 23, 2009, notice of the Tronox bar date was published in *The Commercial Dispatch*. See Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Bar Date [ECF No. 1465], Exhibit N.

21. The local newspaper reported in July 2009 that additional testing for creosote was being done by officials from the Environmental Protection Agency. See "EPA testing soil at

---

<sup>7</sup> Updated versions of four separate fact sheets may be found at the following addresses:

- <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/docs/Kerr%20McGee%20Air%20Exposure%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>
- <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/docs/Kerr%20McGee%20Fish%20Exposure%20Investigation%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>
- <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/docs/Kerr%20McGee%20Site%20-%20Drinking%20Water%20Exposure%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>
- [https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/docs/Soil and Sediment Exposure factsheet.pdf](https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/sites/kerrmcgee/docs/Soil%20and%20Sediment%20Exposure%20factsheet.pdf).

local church,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, July 17, 2009. The article noted that thousands of creosote-related lawsuits remained pending. *Id.*

22. Another article in August 2009 referred to requests for more investigations of soil contamination and referenced the reports that the ATSDR had issued in September 2008. *See* “Church pastor asks city to investigate soil contamination,” *The Commercial Dispatch* Aug. 5, 2009.

23. In October 2010, the local newspaper reported that the EPA was taking action to add the Columbus site to the superfund list. *See* “EPA to take over cleanup of old Columbus Kerr-McGee site,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, Oct. 8, 2010. The article also reported that the EPA would host a community meeting at the Lee Middle School and referred to the bankruptcy of Tronox. *Id.*

24. Another October 2010 article noted that the EPA had sent notices of a local meeting to everyone who lived within one-half mile of the site, and that 160 people had attended. *See* “Residents skeptical of plans to clean up old Kerr-McGee site,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, Oct. 15, 2010. The article noted that creosote has been linked to cancer, skin irritation and breathing problems. *Id.*

25. More than 100 residents from various places in Mississippi, including from Columbus, appeared at a State Capitol hearing room in 2011 to air grievances over creosote contamination. *See* “Environmental Justice group exposes polluted chemical sites at Capitol hearing,” *Jackson Advocate*, Jan. 28, 2011.

26. The EPA designated the Columbus site as a superfund site in 2011 and held another community meeting in October 2011. *See* “Kerr-McGee land designated Superfund site; EPA to host community meeting tonight,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, Oct. 27, 2011.

27. An article in 2013 reported that a decade had passed since the Columbus plant had been closed but that local residents continued to believe that creosote effects threatened 3,500 people in the area. See “Work continues on Kerr-McGee rehabilitation,” *The Commercial Dispatch* Mar. 14, 2013. It also noted that prior claims by residents had been settled for \$50 million. *Id.*

28. In February 2014, The Commercial Dispatch reported that the bankruptcy court had ruled against Kerr-McGee in the pending fraudulent transfer litigation and that damages remained to be assessed. See “14<sup>th</sup> Ave. drainage project moving forward,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, Feb. 21, 2014.

29. In April 2014, The Commercial Dispatch reported the settlement that had been reached in the fraudulent transfer action and reported that, among other things, \$67 million would be allocated for environmental work at the Columbus site. See “Columbus site gets \$67M in Kerr-McGee settlement,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, Apr. 4, 2014.

30. A follow-up article on April 19, 2014 described the fraudulent transfer settlement and the terms of the Tort Claims Trust that had been established under the Tronox plan. It reported that the Trust would receive \$618 million to pay claims, and listed the telephone number and email address for the Trust. See “Settlement brings new hope for Kerr-McGee cleanup,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, Apr. 19, 2014.

31. The Commercial Dispatch reported on May 29, 2014 that the fraudulent transfer settlement had been approved by the bankruptcy court over objections that had been posed, including objections that had been raised by two attorneys from Columbus. See “Kerr-McGee settlement proceeds despite objections,” *The Commercial Dispatch*, May 29, 2014.

32. An article in November 2014 reported that the federal district court had upheld the bankruptcy court's approval of the settlement of the fraudulent transfer litigation, and that the settlement was final. See "Anadarko suit finalized, \$68M to Columbus site," *The Commercial Dispatch*, Nov. 12, 2014.

33. Another November 2015 article reported on the progress of the cleanup and again listed the contact information for the Tort Claims Trust. See "Columbus residents graduate with skills to cleanup community," *The Commercial Dispatch* Nov. 23, 2015.

**Summary of Public Information Regarding Hattiesburg, Mississippi Plant**

1. The Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality discovered creosote contamination in Hattiesburg in the early 1990s. *See* “No private wells found near Gulf States site,” *Hattiesburg American* Oct. 15, 2000, p. 1A.

2. On September 9, 1993, the Hattiesburg Public School District filed suit against Kerr-McGee for damages caused by creosote contamination. Several local business owners joined the suit in 1996. *See* “Creosote lawsuit timeline,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 24, 2007, p. 5A.

3. In 2002, the local newspaper reported that an agreement had been reached under which Kerr-McGee would clean up creosote contamination near the former plant on West Pine Street. *See* Untitled Article, *Hattiesburg American* July 16, 2002, p. 1A.

4. A public hearing was held in 2002 to discuss the plans for cleanup at the Hattiesburg site, and the local newspaper published an article about it in advance of the hearing. *See* “16<sup>th</sup> section cleanup plan set,” *Hattiesburg American* Oct. 20, 2002 p. 1A.

5. In August 2002, additional local residents attempted to intervene as plaintiffs in the lawsuit that had been filed in 1993 by the local school district, but their request was denied. *See* “Creosote lawsuit timeline,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 24, 2007, p. 5A. Many of them then filed separate lawsuits, some of which were removed to the federal district court for the Southern District of Mississippi. *Id.*

6. In November 2002 the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality held the first of two public meetings to discuss the Hattiesburg creosote site. *See* “Residents kept in dark about creosote issues,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 24, 2007, p. 1A.

7. An article in the Hattiesburg American reported in 2003 that Kerr-McGee had made settlement offers to 1,500 plaintiffs in creosote-based litigation. The article stated that Kerr-McGee had offered \$400 to each claimant and that 700 of the estimated 1,500 plaintiffs had already accepted the proposals. See “Residents protest \$400 offer,” *Hattiesburg American*, May 20, 2003, p. 2A.

8. In October 2003 the local newspaper in Jackson, Mississippi reported on the progress of cleanup efforts in Hattiesburg and described the potential health effects of creosote exposures. See “A toxic culprit,” *The Clarion-Ledger*, Oct. 19, 2003, p. 1B.

9. In January 2004, the local Hattiesburg newspaper reported that famous attorney Johnnie Cochran was scheduled to meet in mid-February with Hattiesburg residents who wished to pursue legal action based on creosote exposures. See “Cochran coming to Hattiesburg,” *Hattiesburg American*, Jan. 22, 2004, p. 1D.

10. In September 2005 a federal judge ruled that 1,600 Hattiesburg residents needed to file individual suits to the extent they wished to seek compensation for property damages or personal injury due to creosote exposures. The same judge also denied a motion to reopen a prior settlement of a damage action that had been filed in 1993 and settled in 2002. See “Judge orders individual suits in pollution case,” *Hattiesburg American*, Sept. 28, 2005, p. 1A.

11. In December 2005 the local newspaper reported that approximately 600 residents had accepted settlement offers ranging from \$500 to more than \$10,000 to resolve creosote-based claims against Kerr-McGee. See “Kerr-McGee suit settled with some residents,” *Hattiesburg American*, Dec. 2, 2005, p. 1A.



12. Another article in February 2006 reported that some residents continued to plan to sue Kerr-McGee and that a total of 2,000 claims had been settled at a total cost of more than \$1.3 million. *See* “Many wait on creosote settlement,” *Hattiesburg American*, Feb. 13, 2006, p. 1A.

13. A public meeting was held in March 2006 to discuss lawsuits that had been filed against Kerr-McGee and complaints by some local residents that they had not been included in the prior filings. *See* “Officials discuss Kerr-McGee suit,” *Hattiesburg American*, Mar. 24, 2006, p. 1C.

14. A group of 20 Hattiesburg residents staged a protest at the local city hall to complain about the city’s role in the lawsuits that had been filed against Tronox/Kerr-McGee relating to creosote exposures. *See* “Hattiesburg residents call for city to take a stand,” *Hattiesburg American*, Apr. 19, 2006, p. 9A.

15. Another protest was staged in 2007 as the final cleanup work in Hattiesburg was being prepared. *See* “Creosote project to resume despite officials’ requests,” *Hattiesburg American*, Apr. 21, 2007, p. 1A.

16. In May 2007, local officials agreed to conduct more creosote testing in response to complaints from local residents who had outstanding claims against Kerr-McGee. *See* “Officials OK more creosote testing,” *Hattiesburg American*, May 15, 2007, p. 3A.

17. The local newspaper reported in June 2007 that the city council would meet with the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality to discuss the creosote cleanup by Tronox, and noted that residents of the neighborhood were concerned that they had not been adequately compensated for work done on their properties. *See* “Council, MDEQ to discuss creosote,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 8, 2007, p. 3A.

18. Another protest in June 2007 was held in Hattiesburg. The local newspaper explained that the school district and other plaintiffs had settled claims against Kerr-McGee in 2002 but that other parties who sought to intervene in the late stages of the case had been denied the right to do so. Some of those residents had settled their claims but others continued to litigate. See “Residents stage protest,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 13, 2007, p. 3A.

19. In June 2007 the local newspaper publicly disclosed that Tronox had paid \$17 million in 2002 to settle the lawsuit filed by the Hattiesburg School District and several local businesses. See “Creosote lawsuit settled for \$17,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 23, 2007, p. 1A. The article also reported that separate settlements had been reached with 2,000 residents. *Id.*

20. In August 2007 the local newspaper reported that city officials and local residents were preparing to select an environmental firm to perform an analysis of cleanup work that Tronox had done. See “Officials set to decide on firm for study,” *Hattiesburg American*, Aug. 22, 2007.

21. In December 2007 the city council had a special meeting to hear complaints by local residents who alleged racial injustice in connection with the dissemination of information about cleanup efforts. See “Special meeting addresses creosote,” *Hattiesburg American*, Dec. 19, 2007.

22. In May 2008 the local newspaper reported that the consultant who had been hired to test groundwater samples had found only trace amounts of creosote and that there was no risk to area residents, but that many residents disagreed. See “Study: Chemical poses no danger,” *Hattiesburg American*, May 20, 2008. Two weeks later, local residents submitted a counter report contending that the amounts of creosote that had been found posed a danger to residents. See “Council received conflicting creosote report,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 3, 2008.

23. Another protest was held in June 2008 to voice concerns about creosote exposures and other issues. *See* “Minority treatment protested,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 7, 2008.

24. In June 2008 the mayor of Hattiesburg wrote to the CEO of Tronox, complaining that residents had not been fairly compensated. *See* “Mayor seeks ‘fair’ compensation from creosote company,” *Hattiesburg American*, June 19, 2008. Tronox responded with a letter stating that it would not offer additional compensation to those who had settled their claims. *See* “Residents will get no creosote compensation,” *Hattiesburg American*, July 19, 2008.

25. An October 2008 editorial reported that Councilman Henry Naylor had held meetings for constituents to address creosote contamination. *See* “Naylor’s actions make no sense,” *Hattiesburg American*, Oct. 6, 2008.

26. The Tronox bankruptcy filing was reported in the local newspaper on January 13, 2009. *See* “Tronox files for Chapter 11 bankruptcy,” *Hattiesburg American*, Jan. 13, 2009. The article noted that Tronox was the owner of the former Kerr-McGee plant in Hattiesburg and that the bankruptcy could affect efforts to clean up creosote contamination in Hattiesburg. *Id.* It further reported that Tronox had been “at the center of a controversy” in south Hattiesburg over creosote cleanup efforts. *Id.*

27. In June 2009, notices of the Tronox bar date were published in area newspapers. *See* Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Bar Date [ECF No. 1465], Exs. T, U.

28. In September 2009, the local newspaper reported concerns that substances found in a monitoring well were creosote. The article recited the history of local battles with Kerr-McGee and Tronox over creosote exposures and cleanup. *See* “Creosote believed found along creek,” *Hattiesburg American*, Sept. 3, 2009.

29. More than 100 residents from various places in Mississippi, including Hattiesburg and Columbus, appeared at a State Capitol hearing room in 2011 to air grievances over creosote contamination. See “Environmental Justice group exposes polluted chemical sites at Capitol hearing,” *Jackson Advocate*, Jan. 28, 2011.

**Summary of Public Information Regarding Avoca, Pennsylvania Plant**

1. In February 2001, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, at the urging of the mayor of Avoca, announced that it would investigate alleged contamination problems at the Avoca site. See “Pennsylvania Eyes Closed Kerr-McGee Plant for Possible Contamination,” *The Times Leader*, Feb. 5, 2001.
2. In May 2001, four Pennsylvania residents filed class action lawsuits alleging damages from creosote and other substances released from the Avoca plant. See “Pa. residents file contamination suite against Kerr-McGee,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, May 19, 2001. The case was *Cavalari, et al. v. Kerr-McGee Chemical, et al.*, Case No. 3:01-cv-00882, filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. For undisclosed reasons the docket shows that the action was dismissed in July 2001.
3. In 2001, 29 people filed four lawsuits in state court alleging that they had been injured by contamination from the Avoca plant. See “Suits filed against Avoca, Pa., Ex-Wood Treatment Plant,” *The Times Leader*, Oct. 26, 2001. The attorneys representing the plaintiffs stated that they had 500 additional clients who had been part of a federal class action that had been withdrawn. *Id.* The article also reported that some plaintiffs resided in Duryea and Dupont as well as in Avoca. *Id.*
4. The Associated Press wire service reported that the 2001 actions had been filed. See “Pa. residents file contamination suit against Kerr-McGee,” *The Associated Press State & Local Wire*, May 19, 2001.
5. The 29 lawsuits that had been filed in 2001 apparently were settled in 2003 without a class certification. A newspaper article reported on the range of recoveries that

plaintiffs had received. See “Kerr-McGee Settles Chemical-Exposure Cases in Wilkes-Barre, Pa,” *The Times Leader*, Nov. 6, 2003. The article noted that the settlements ranged from \$2,700 to \$457,360, with most of the settlements at less than \$20,000. *Id.*

6. In August 2004 a local newspaper reported that a new round of lawsuits was being prepared and that a law firm, The Powell Law Group, had made fliers available at the Dupont Borough Building. See “Ex-Avoca Plant Feels Legal Heat; Kerr-McGee Wood Treatment Site Might Face More Plaintiffs Seeking Damages,” *Wilkes Barre Times Leader*, Aug. 14, 2004, p. 1C. The law firm disclosed that approximately 71 persons had submitted information about injuries they might have suffered. *Id.*

7. The Powell Law Group filed 24 lawsuits on behalf of more than 3,000 plaintiffs in January 2005 in the Luzerne County Court of Common Pleas. See “Illnesses the Focus of Suits vs. Plant; Kerr-McGee Faces Legal Action Contamination from Ex-Avoca Facility Claimed by Thousands,” *Wilkes Barre Times Leader* Jan. 4, 2005, p. 1A. The article noted that “[t]he new wave of suits apparently stem from fliers the Powell Law Group distributed last year in communities surrounding Avoca. The fliers sought persons who ‘lived by the Kerr-McGee plant and/or have been harmed by the Kerr-McGee plant.’” *Id.*

8. At some point the Avoca plaintiffs and Kerr-McGee agreed that arbitrators would assess damage claims by at least some of the plaintiffs. In November 2008 the attorneys for the Avoca plaintiffs argued that Kerr-McGee had failed to pay damages that had been awarded and had breached the arbitration agreements. See “Attorneys: Kerr-McGee slow in paying damages: More than 3,000 people sued company, claiming its Avoca plant caused health problems,” *The Times Leader*, Nov. 19, 2008. The article also noted that Tronox was contemplating a bankruptcy filing. *Id.*

9. A local newspaper reported that a Luzerne County judge approved the disputed arbitration awards in late November 2008. *See* “Arbitration award ruling in Kerr-McGee suit upheld; Judge Olszewski signs orders confirming original award totaling \$943,885.72,” *The Times Leader*, Nov. 25, 2008. The article noted that the plaintiffs had alleged that they or their family members had been injured by creosote exposures and that developed various conditions and illnesses including cancer. *Id.*

10. Articles at year-end 2008 reported on efforts by local attorneys to attach assets of Tronox in order to secure the payments of monies awarded to plaintiffs in the Pennsylvania state court actions. *See* “Owner of former Kerr-McGee Corp. must pay: Attorneys for plaintiffs state owner of former Kerr-McGee has continually delayed in paying,” *The Times Leader*, Dec. 31, 2008. The article noted that the plaintiffs claimed they suffered health problems, including cancer, as the result of exposures to chemicals at the site. *Id.*

11. An article in February 2009 reported that the 8 plaintiffs who had received arbitration awards had been paid, but that Tronox had filed for bankruptcy in January 2009. *See* “8 plaintiffs in Kerr-McGee suit paid \$900,000: Original lawsuit involved nearly 3,500 plaintiffs who alleged health problems from Avoca plant,” *The Times Leader*, Feb. 2, 2009.

12. Later articles referred to the 2005 litigations as having included 4,000 employees and residents of the Avoca area. *See* “DEP Targets former Duryea plant for hazardous material removal,” *The Times Leader*, June 25, 2011.

13. On June 25, 2009, notice of the Tronox bar date was published in local newspapers. *See* Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Bar Date [ECF No. 1465], Ex. HH.

14. A June 2012 article described the “multibillion” dollar fraudulent transfer trial that was underway and stated that the outcome would determine “whether thousands of Avoca-

area residents will be compensated for health problems they say they suffered from living near a now-shuttered creosote plant.” See “Avoca families’ illness claims at stake in trial,” *The Citizen’s Voice & Sunday Voice*, June 11, 2012. The article described the Tort Claims Trust and its funding. *Id.* See also “Federal case to determine fate of Avoca families’ injury claims,” *The Times-Tribune*, June 12, 2012.

15. The settlement of the fraudulent transfer litigation was reported in April 2014, along with reports that local residents who had made litigation claims were waiting to learn of their recoveries. See “Avoca Residents Exposed To Toxic Waste Await News On Cut Of Settlement,” *The Times-Tribune*, Apr. 9, 2014.

16. Another local article reported in April that anyone who objected to the terms of the fraudulent transfer settlement had until mid-May to file objections. See “Regional Briefs,” *The Times Tribune*, Apr. 23, 2014.

17. In August 2014 the local newspapers reported on controversies that had arisen regarding fees owed to one of the attorneys who had represented the Avoca plaintiffs. See “Lawsuit: Powell to receive \$200 million from Avoca creosote plant settlement,” *The Citizens’ Voice*, Aug. 6, 2014.

18. In 2017, a local newspaper reported that 1,600 former and current residents of Avoca had settled creosote-related claims in 2003, and that a second wave of 4,400 sued in 2005 and received compensation through the Tronox bankruptcy plan. The article noted that Avoca had a current population of 2,600 and that additional residents were seeking compensation from the Tort Claims Trust as “future” tort claims. See “The aftermath in Avoca: Cancer, other health problems blamed on emissions,” *The Times Leader*, Nov. 12, 2017.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

**Legend**

- Group A Claims were barred by statute of limitations prior to Tronox bankruptcy
- Group B Claimant failed to show grounds for relief as to pre-bar date claims
- Group C Motion filed after 90-day deadline set by the court.
- Group D No grounds for relief as to pre-bar date claims, Trust to resolve other issues.
- Group E Alleges infancy, movant to have opportunity to file supplemental proof.

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1	TRO885678FTC	11/25/2015	Gregory, Leronn	Leronn Gregory	3285		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990s. Explanation for late filing: was not sure of deadline, was not sure claim could still be filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2	TRO884054FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Catina	Catina Petty	3286			X				Signature page with no further information or supporting details.
3	TRO884228FTC	11/25/2015	Wright, Katrina	Katrina Wright	3287			X				Signature page with no further information or supporting details.
4	TRO886424FTC	12/7/2015	McCottrell, Rosie	Rosie McCottrell	3288		X	X				Diagnosed in 1970, part of prior legal proceeding with Colom law firm; says lawyer did it all and did not know we were "redoing it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
5	TRO884153FTC	11/13/2015	Butler, Emma	Debra Walter	3289		X	X				Diagnosed in 1987, died in 1989; resident of Columbus, MS; rep says not aware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
6	TRO886466FTC	12/7/2015	Richardson, Patricia	Shannon Smith	3290		X	X				Diagnosis in 1985 or so; injured party died in 1997. Rep says did not know of claim because was away for military service for a few years but does not provide dates of service or any indication that movant was in military service at the time of the 2009 bar date. Also alleges that was told could not file for a deceased relative but no details provided as to who gave such advice or when. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
7	TRO886463FTC	12/7/2015	Bradford, Carrie	Carrie Bradford	3291		X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s and 1980s; says claimant is just a layperson who never heard of a publication summons or the need to look for one or where and could not afford the expense of an attorney. Says local newspaper may have published summons of which claimant knew nothing, says was unaware of after-effects of exposure at the time. Alleged failure to read published notice is not grounds for due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
8	TRO887230FTC	12/14/2015	Catchings, Lucas	Lucas Catchings	3292			X		X		Diagnoses February 2008; says made claim; no excuse for late filing offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If contends a timely claim was filed in 2009 that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process.
9	TRO885393FTC	11/25/2015	Dyson, Latisha	Latisha Dyson	3293		X	X				Diagnosis in 1987. Says had no way of knowing she was exposed to a Tronox product because she was away at college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
10	TRO886015FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Valerie	Valerie Porter	3294		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1983, 1985, 1998, 2016. Previously filed with the Colom law firm and with atty Howard Gunn. Claims as to pre-1998 diagnoses likely were resolved in earlier lawsuits; if not, they were barred by the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. To the extent movant alleges that counsel did not pursue claim, conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim based on an alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
11	TRO887365FTC	12/14/2015	Mitchell, William	William Mitchell	3295		X	X				2002 diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm and received settlement; disagrees with settlement amount. Participation in prior legal proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior litigation or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
12	TRO887609FTC	12/14/2015	Hall, Johnny	Johnny Hall	3296			X				Diagnosed in 2008. Says did not realize that the area where he lived was one of the areas affected by creosote until after the bar date. Says he does not understand legal rhetoric and would not have understood publication notices even if he had access to the publications. However, risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000, and movant acknowledges awareness of illness and awareness of creosote-related injuries in nearby areas. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
13	TRO887190FTC	12/14/2015	Hall, Lemita	Lemita Hall	3297		X	X				Diagnosis in 2000. Says was out of the state of Mississippi and family did not notify her of the claim and the paperwork. Only learned in 2015 when she had some surgery and her doctor asked if she had filed a claim and told her how to get the information. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
14	TRO886004FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Leroy	Leroy Porter	3298		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1980, 1999 and "after 2009 (sardoma)." Previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 1990s. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Filings with Colom law firm show awareness of claims and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
15	TRO884292FTC	11/25/2015	Clabon, Robert	Kimberley Clabon-Macon	3299		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990s and early 2002. Injured party deceased 2015. No explanation of failure to file claim, family rep says cannot answer the question. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
16	TRO884090FTC	11/13/2015	Marsh, William Jr.	William Marsh, Jr.	3300			X				Diagnosed 1990 and earlier. Says did not know of claim system until a relative informed him that he had received a settlement check. No specific excusable neglect or due process challenge set forth. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
17	TRO886364FTC	12/7/2015	Connors, James	James Connors	3301			X				Diagnosed 2007, alleges excusable neglect due to fact that he did not know he had been exposed until after the deadline (knew he had skin cancer, but not aware that it was due to creosote exposure). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
18	TRO885930FTC	11/25/2015	Provenzano, Paul	Paul Provenzano	3302			X				Diagnosed 1970 and 1992, but did not know that diagnosis was due to exposure prior to deadline. Formerly resided near the Avoca, PA plant. Also claims that he and his family re-located for work during this period of time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
19	TRO884089FTC	11/13/2015	Jackson, Atrinell	Louis Coleman	3303	3909 3382		X				The injured party died in 2015. Supplements filed at docket #3909 and docket #3382. Family member says he was unaware of bar date but no verification that deceased was unaware or that deceased had grounds to miss the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
20	TRO886904FTC	12/7/2015	Mullin, Kimberley	Kimberley Mullin	3304			X				Says diagnosis "began in 2009" but also says exhibited symptoms 1994-2012. Claims excusable neglect - unaware of filing rights due to fact that she was "incapacitated with trying to manage numerous illnesses." Insufficient details to show due process issue as to notices and insufficient showing of incapacity to extent alleges inability to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient to warrant relief.
21	TRO88690FTC	12/7/2015	Mullin, Harold	Harold Mullin	3305		X	X		X		Diagnosed 2005-2011 (numerous illnesses). Alleges lack of knowledge of right to file claims, says was suffering from significant medical problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
22	TRO885272FTC	Unknown	Burgin, Mary	Burgin, Mary	3306		X	X				Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1957. Alleges she was a child, but bar date was in 2009 (52 years later). No legally sufficient grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
23	TRO884358FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Joanne	Joanne Butler	3307	8247	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed in 2002 and 2004, was told by attorney in 2005 that she could not file a claim because she had filed for bankruptcy, then in 2009 when notices sent out she was living in Fayetteville, North Carolina. A supplement filed at dk #8247, says was unaware of the bankruptcy filing or that a trust for tort claims had been established. Attorney's advice is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorney shows awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
24	TRO885414FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Undra	Shannon Smith	3308		X	X				Diagnosis in 1999, exposure began in 1987. Contends she was a child but if exposure began in 1987 she had to be over 21 years old by the bar date in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. No legally sufficient showing of entitlement to excusable neglect or due process relief. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
25	TRO884291FTC	11/25/2015	Macon, Kimberly	Kimberly Macon	3309		X	X				Exposure in 1970s, diagnosed 2000. Alleges that she did not know she needed to supplement her late-filed claim but does not assert valid reasons as to why her failure to file by the bar date should be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
26	TRO884072FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Deborah Bishop	Deborah Bishop Ellis	3310		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, alleges did file a claim in a proceeding in 2001 and never heard back from lawyer. Filing in 2001 must have been in connection with a class action, bankruptcy was not filed until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior legal claim shows knowledge of rights, failure to pursue the same diligently is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Attorney error is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claims based on 2001 diagnosis were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
27	<b>TRO886430FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Harris, Gladys</b>	<b>Gladys Harris</b>	3311			X				Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
28	TRO866693FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Kimberley	Kimberley Moore	3312		X	X				Diagnosed 2003. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
29	TRO885632FTC	Unknown	Williams, Morgan	JaMilla Williams	3314			X			X	Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2001, filing on behalf of daughter, unaware exposed, child started daycare in 2001 and got meningitis which mom believes is from exposure; same motion filed at docket #4436 with some additional conditions, brochial conditions 2011 and 2012. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
30	TRO886396FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Jamar	Jamar Harris	3315		X	X				Date diagnosed is "yes." Alleges did do paperwork in 1999, but it was lost by attorney William Bambach, who is deceased. Mr. Bambach did not die until long after the bar date. Attorney error also is not proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Prior paperwork likely was in connection with different legal proceeding as Tronox bankruptcy was not filed until 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel confirm movant's awareness of legal rights and claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claims that had accrued as of 1999 were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
31	TRO886864FTC	Unknown	Morrison, Alice	Rosemary Horton	3316	8077	X	X				Diagnosed 1991, filing on behalf of mother who died in 1993. Child says had no reason to know she had been exposed. A supplement filed at docket # 8077 says child was unaware of bankruptcy case, had moved away from area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
32	TRO886694FTC	12/7/2015	Malone, Traveres	Kimberley Moore	3317		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, deceased 2010. Representative says he was not aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
33	TRO884359FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, James	Maggie Williams	3318		X	X				Diagnosed 1978, alleges did file POC and attaches letter from DOJ (from 2010). DOJ said this matter is not within their jurisdiction, and forwarded the matter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Complaint to DOJ does not constitute a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. No challenge to due process, no explanation as to excusable neglect or as to due diligence in pursuing claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
34	TRO884360FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Maggie	Maggie Williams	3319		X	X				Diagnosed 1986, alleges complained to Department of Justice in 2010 but it lacked jurisdiction and forwarded the matter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Complaint to DOJ does not constitute a claim in the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to reasons why failed to meet bar date or as to diligent investigation and pursuit of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
35	Unknown	Unknown	Durrah, Reonna	Reonna Durrah	3320			X				Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2004, filing on behalf of daughter. Says both that "I didn't find out about it until it was too late" and "I thought that she wasn't old enough." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Not a sufficient showing of timely action and diligent investigation to warrant relief based on excusable neglect, no claim of lack of due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
36	TRO886805FTC	12/7/2015	Oden, Turner	Turner Oden	3321		X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 2004, 2008. Thought he was part of a class action in 2003, then his claim "disappeared." Claim in prior action shows knowledge of rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on 1970 and 2004 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
37	TRO884471FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Virginia	Virginia Butler	3322		X	X				Diagnosed 1970, claims no notice of the case "in local Columbus, MS paper or on TV." In fact, notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch (the local Columbus newspaper) and in other area newspapers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
38	TRO884470FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Gilbert, Jr.	Gilbert Butler, Jr.	3323	8125	X	X				Diagnosed 1971, says no publication in local newspaper. A supplement with medical records filed at docket #8125. In fact, there was publication in the local newspaper (the Commercial Dispatch) in June 2009, as evidenced by the affidavit of publication on file with the court, which includes a copy of the published notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
39	TRO886949FTC	12/7/2015	Fortini, Carina	Carina Fortini	3325	8090		X			X	Diagnosed 2007-2009 (possibly two different conditions, but unclear). Seems to be saying that she had sent doctors' forms in. A supplement filed at docket #8090. Possible post-bar date diagnosis (dates not specified). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
40	TRO886773FTC	12/7/2015	Nicholson, Renel	Renel Nicholson	3326						X	Diagnosed 1997-2001 (various diseases). Was 17 at time of deadline. Claims he was not able to file a claim as a minor but does not allege lack of knowledge or reason why a guardian did not act. Will permit supplemental submission to explain why parent or guardian did not act, why claimant waited until 2015 to file a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
41	TRO886723FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Ryan	Ryan Hannon	3327		X					Answered "yes" to question about whether was diagnosed, but then says "did not think I had any reason that I had been exposed." Had moved to PA in 2004. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
42	TRO887140FTC	12/14/2015	Yancey, Yvonne	Yvonne Yancey	3328	X	X					Former resident of Columbus, MS. First diagnosed 1984; says "did not know or had no reason to know of any exposure to a Tronox-Kerr-McGee product prior to the claim filing deadline." Moved to CA in 1976. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
43	TRO884931FTC	11/25/2015	Baldwin, Willie	Willie Baldwin	3329	3999	X	X				Duplicate motion at docket # 3999. First diagnosed 1979, "never received paperwork." No challenge to sufficiency of publication notice, no showing of excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
44	TRO886922FTC	12/7/2015	Stewart, Fred Sr.	Luella Hughes	3330		X	X				Diagnosed 1987, filing on behalf of deceased father (Fred E. Stewart, Sr.). Claims "the lack of publication notice of the Claims filing Deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice." No evidence or explanation in support of this claim, amounts to a legal challenge to the sufficiency of publication notice in general rather than a challenge to the specific notices in this case. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
45	TRO884724FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Terrance	Terrance Moore	3331	8239					X	Diagnosed 1994, "no reason to know exposed." Minor child living in another state at the time. Rejection notice filed at docket # 6772. A supplement filed at docket #8329. Says did not have access to Wall Street Journal but notice also was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS on June 23, 2009 and in several other newspapers in Mississippi and Alabama. Will permit supplemental submission to explain why parent or guardian did not act, why claimant waited until 2015 before filing a claim, and whether that warrants relief.
46	TRO885096FTC	11/25/2015	Mosely, Marcus	Marcus Mosely	3332			X		X		Diagnosed in 2016 (after the bar date). Says "my claim was filed on time" but appears to be referring to a more recent claim rather than a claim made prior to the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
47	TRO884722FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Ruth	Ruth Moore	3333	8329	X	X				Diagnosed 1994, "no reason to know exposed," was living in another state at the time (now lives in Alabama). Rejection notice filed at docket #6773. A supplement filed at docket #8329, says did not get WSJ and did not have internet. Alleges no publication in local newspaper, but in fact notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS and also in The Birmingham News in Birmingham, Alabama. Says if she had known, she would have filed a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim appears to be based on exposures in Columbus MS, in which case the claim was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
48	TRO884261FTC	11/25/2015	Cunningham, David	David Cunningham	3334	6992		X		X		Lists diagnoses in 2009, 2015, 2014. Alleges that attorney failed to file paperwork, but time period unclear. Supplement filed at docket #6992, says sent paperwork many times; says attorney dropped the ball and claimant was left to resend paperwork numerous times, all of which appears to refer to correspondence with the Trust about the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Alleged misconduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process in the absence of a showing that counsel's own failures should be excused. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
49	TRO887363FTC	12/14/2015	Noland, Winston	Winston Noland	3335	8271	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed 1993, was out of state, also alleges lawyer was "crooked." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8271. Complaint about lawyer's services is not a basis for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the lawyer's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
50	TRO884876FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Lorey	Lorey Salter	3336		X	X				First diagnosed 2003, alleges that he made a claim in 2001-2005 through an attorney and against Kerr-McGee. Claim during that period must have been in connection with a prior class action as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in a prior lawsuit, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
51	TRO884361FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Rick	Rick Williams	3337		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges did file a claim with church group (Maranatha Faith Center), and that "other went to US Justice Dept." Filing with the Church or the DOJ is not the same as filing a lawsuit or filing a bankruptcy proof of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with church and DOJ show awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
52	TRO886724FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Nancy	Nancy Hannon	3338			X				Diagnosed 1993. Claims "did not know and no reason to know exposed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
53	TRO886725FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Michael	Michael Hannon	3339			X				Diagnosed "yes." No real allegations - says used to play baseball next to the plant (also now lives in PA, but does not allege lack of due process or excusable neglect or grounds upon which relief from the bar date should be granted. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
54	TRO886738FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Matthew	Matthew Hannon	3340			X				Diagnosed "yes." Says "did not know exposed." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
55	TRO884362FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Romone	Romone Williams	3341		X	X				Diagnosed 1988. Alleges filed claim with church group in 2009; unclear what that is, but it does not constitute a litigation or the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
56	TRO884353FTC	11/25/2015	Moody, Itell	Sarah Harris Hughes	3342		X	X				Diagnosed 1976. Was part of a prior class action. Not a "future tort claim" where disease was manifested and claimant already participated in a prior proceeding. Either the claim was resolved in a prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
57	TRO885778FTC	11/25/2015	Miglionico, Cory	Cory Miglionico	3343			X				Does not say when diagnosed exactly, but had kidney problems at 10 years old and was born in 1961. Says did not file because 1) "I was sick" and 2) did not know that he could - thought it was for Avoca residents only. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
58	TRO884877FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Corle	Corle Salter	3344			X			X	Says diagnosed in 2011 but also claims to have been part of a class action many years earlier with Wilbur Colon as counsel. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date and all defenses thereto (including defenses as to whether prior class action barred future claims) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
59	TRO887198FTC	12/14/2015	Green, Ivory	Ivory Green	3345		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, was "not aware disease was connected to chemicals until later," filed when heard of claims process in 2015. No specifics as to excusable neglect or due process contentions given diagnosis in 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
60	TRO884879FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Gavin	Gavin Salter	3346			X				Diagnosed 2007, was not aware of claims deadline because "there were no information given until 2015." But also claims was part of prior litigation with Wilbur Colon as counsel. Not a "Future Tort Claim" if participated in a prior legal proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
61	TRO887617FTC	12/14/2015	Congious, Sarah	Norcasha Congious	3347		X	X				Diagnosed 2005, filing on behalf of dead mother. Mom died, father allegedly became incompetent - drug and alcohol dependent. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Insufficient showing of incompetence at time of bar date. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
62	TRO885522FTC	Unknown	Richards, Atrice	Attrice Richardson	3348		X	X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1971, alleges child at time of deadline, but is old enough to have been diagnosed in 1971 so must have been approximately 38 years old at the time of the bar date. No other information provided, no other excuse offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
63	TRO886520FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Loretta	Latanya Moore	3349	8371	X	X				Diagnosed 1980, the injured party died in 1998; rep lived out of town in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8371, rep unaware of the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
64	TRO885038FTC	11/25/2015	Dunn, Melinda	Melinda Dunn	3350			X				Previously filed with atty Robert Powell in 2003, he was later incarcerated for corruption. Says she did not receive any notice or contact to inform her of bar date; later a friend informed her and she did some research and learned of future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Contact with counsel in 2003 confirms awareness of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect in the absence of a showing that counsel's own conduct should be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
65	TRO885254FTC	11/25/2015	Howard, Pearlle	Pearlie Howard	3351	3398 8060 8877		X			X	Diagnosed in 2010, exposed 12/13/2009. At time, did not know exposed. Moved out of area (lost all when house was destroyed) to Alabama; unaware of claims deadline. A duplicate of parts of this claim filed at docket #3398 and a supplement at docket # 8060. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8877 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
66	TRO886975FTC	12/7/2015	Woodrick, Demetrius	Demetrius Woodrick	3352		X	X				Diagnosed in 2000. Alleges he made a claim in 2000 but attorney lost it. Any claim filed in 2000 must have related to a different proceeding because the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior claim in another proceeding confirms prior awareness of injury and cause. Attorney conduct also is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief in the absence of a showing that the attorney's conduct was excusable. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
67	TRO887463FTC	12/14/2015	Hughes, Deborah	Deborah Hughes	3353		X	X				Diagnosed "yes," exhibited symptoms in 1999. Alleges that attorney lost the claim. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
68	TRO884817FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Cameron	Cameron Coleman	3354			X				Diagnosed 1997. Claims was minor child in 1997 but no allegations as to status in 2009 or as to reasons why claim not filed before bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
69	TRO885775FTC	11/25/2015	McArtur, Alicia	Alicia McArthur	3355			X				Diagnosed 1975. "Unaware of proceedings." No due process challenge, insufficient explanation as to alleged excusable neglect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
70	TRO885032FTC	11/25/2015	Ryan, Larry	Larry Ryan	3356		X	X				Diagnosed 1958. "Did not hear about claims filing." No explanation as to whether anything was done since 1958 diagnosis to pursue a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
71	TRO885834FTC	11/25/2015	Bishop, John	Scherrell Sturdivant	3357			X				Diagnosed 2008. Someone (no indication who) said could not file on behalf of deceased family members. Not contend lack of knowledge or awareness of bar date. Alleged bad advice from another person is not grounds for relief unless the bad advice can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
72	TRO885938FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Alfonzo	Alfonzo Smith	3358		X	X				Diagnosed 1980. "Had filed previous claim with no correspondes [sic] from Tronox. Did not realized I was qualified to reapply." Was part of prior litigation with Colon law firm. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with Colom firm confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior litigation or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
73	TRO886675FTC	12/7/2015	Dismuke, Timothy	Timothy Dismuke	3359		X	X				Diagnosed 2000. Says attorney (William Barbach) lost paperwork. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
74	TRO886674FTC	12/7/2015	Dismuke, Betty	Betty Dismuke	3360			X				Diagnosed "yes." Only says "I did paperwork was lost threw [sic] attorney." Unexcused attorney conduct is not grounds for due process or excusable neglect relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
75	TRO887459FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Tremarcus	Tremarcus Harris	3361			X				Diagnosed "yes." Says attorney lost paperwork. Unexcused attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
76	TRO887571FTC	12/14/2015	Bryant, Diana	Diana Bryant	3362			X				Was never diagnosed, "I sense it on my own." Began exhibiting symptoms not before 2004. Only says that did not file claim because didn't know about the process, sent claim when found out. Says did not get a lawyer to pursue claim due to cost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
77	TRO884247FTC	11/25/2015	Gadner, Eugene	Eugene Gadner	3363		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, says attorney lost paperwork in 1999. Part of prior litigation. Attorney conduct not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief without a showing that the attorney's conduct should be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorney confirms awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
78	TRO886794FTC	12/7/2015	Parks, Marquez	Marquez Parks	3364		X	X				First diagnosed 1988, says "I did file a proof of claim on July 12, 2009 and never heard anything else from my claim." Alleges that a timely claim was filed but there is no record of such a claim. Even if a claim had been filed, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
79	TRO886953FTC	12/7/2015	Pound, Carmen	Carmen Pound	3365			X				Diagnosed 1971, states that she moved her family twice in 2009, and had no permanent residence until September 2009. Does not challenge publication notice, does not make out legally sufficient case of due process violation. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
80	TRO886102FTC	Unknown	Swoop, Roosevelt	Roosevelt Swoop	3366			X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis year not included, exhibited symptoms in 1969, previously filed a claim or legal proceeding against Tronox, says that "I filed a tort claim trust" but appears to be referring to the late claim that was filed. No circumstances alleged to show excusable neglect, no due process claim made.
81	TRO884260FTC	11/25/2015	Bailey-Britton, LaTara	LaTara Bailey-Britton	3367	9469		X		X		Claims she filed a claim in connection with an earlier lawsuit and a claim in 2009 for which she heard nothing, then filed claims again in later years. No record of any proof of claim filed in the Tronox bankruptcy case in 2009. Prior lawsuit shows awareness of legal rights and claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claimant believes that she actually filed a timely claim in 2009 she may raise that issue with the Tort Claims Trust but that does not represent a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
82	TRO884258FTC	11/25/2015	Bailey, Brandon	LaTara Bailey-Britton	3368	9471		X		X		First diagnosed in 1997, states that she did file a POC on behalf of her son on July 10, 2009 and "never heard anything else from it." Alleges a timely claim was filed, that is to be addressed by the Tort Claims Trust. Not an excusable neglect or due process issue.
83	TRO885646FTC	11/25/2015	Bradley, McArthur	McArthur Bradley	3369	8784	X	X				First diagnosed in June 1999, states that she did not file POC because she had "no knowledge" of the lawsuit, which was "due to no publication notices of the filing deadline." In fact, the notice of the bar date was published in the Commercial Dispatch (the local Columbus newspaper) in June 2009. In addition, claimant says was part of a 2002 class action with Wilbur Colom as counsel. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8784 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
84	TRO884937FTC	11/25/2015	McCarter, Onassis	Onassis McCarter	3370		X	X			X	Some conditions diagnosed in 1999, others in April 2017. Says she "did not know much" about the Tronox bankruptcy case, thought she had to reside in the area her whole life. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on 1999 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on alleged post-bar date diagnoses are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
85	TRO887085FTC	12/14/2015	Brown, Earnestine	Earnestine Brown	3371		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, states that did not know about the possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
86	TRO887535FTC	12/14/2015	DePetro, Joel	Joel DePetro	3372	4069					X	Claims did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says was a minor. The same claim was filed again at docket #4069. Will allow supplemental submission to confirm age at time of bar date and to explain why parents or guardians did not pursue a claim by the bar date, why claimant waited until 2015 to do so, and whether relief is warranted.
87	TRO887536FTC	12/14/2015	DePetro, Alexis	Alexis DePetro	3373			X				Diagnosed 1990, "did not know or have reason to know exposed prior to deadline." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
88	TRO886545FTC	12/7/2015	Billups, Connie	Connie Billups	3374		X	X				First diagnosed in 2002, states that she DID file a POC prior to the deadline, but there is no record of such a filing. Even if filed, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. No grounds for relief from the bar date are alleged or are proper.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
89	TRO886933FTC	12/7/2015	Mack, Hattie	Hattie Mack	3375		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges that she was in and out of the hospital, did not know she had to file by a deadline, and is taking so much medication that she can hardly think. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
90	TRO887042FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Ricky	Ricky Davis	3376		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, alleges filed but paperwork was misplaced in 2000 by attorney in prior litigation. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
91	TRO885718FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Jala	Tiffany Wilson	3377			X				Diagnosed 2007, did not know prior to deadline that minor daughter's condition was due to exposure to creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
92	TRO886002FTC	12/7/2015	Wilson, Titus	Titus Wilson	3378			X				Says did not know and was not notified of his exposure to toxins but also says he made a prior claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
93	TRO886104FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Precious	Precious Smith	3379		X	X				Diagnosed 1992, alleges did not know exposed to product at the time, and no one gave her a specific deadline, she filed at the same time as others whose claims were accepted. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
94	TRO886105FTC	12/7/2015	Graham, Tekesha	Tekesha Graham	3380		X	X				Diagnosed 1984, alleges did not know exposed to product at the time, and no one gave her a specific deadline, she filed at the same time as her friends. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
95	TRO886368FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Arlicia	Arlicia Richardson	3381						X	Motion not included on Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2004, but "was a child" at the time of the bar date. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parent or guardian did not make a timely claim, why claimant waited until 2015 to do so, and whether relief is warranted.
96	Unknown	12/14/2015	Dickerson, Lemon	Yvonne Yancey	3383			X				No claim filed and no grounds for relief set forth; sent a letter asking that any correspondence re: her dead father be sent to her address.
97	TRO885076FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, William, Jr.	William Taylor, Jr.	3384		X	X				Diagnosed 1985, only says "did not know." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
98	TRO892144FTC	2/23/2016	Sempa, Barbe	Barbe Sempa	3385			X				Diagnosed 1982, not aware eligible and claims never received notices. Alleges did not live in Avoca area when plant first opened, but also says lived in Avoca since 1981. Only alleges lack of direct notice, not sufficient to establish excusable neglect or due process issue. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
99	TRO880348FTC	12/4/2015	Roberts, Teresa	Teresa Roberts	3386			X		X		First diagnosed 1981, additional diagnoses in 2011 and 2016. Says attorney did not inform them of filing deadline. Mistake by counsel is not grounds for due process or excusable neglect relief unless counsel's mistake can itself be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
100	TRO886598FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Eddie	Eddie Davis	3387			X				Illness in 2003, diagnosed 2008, says did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
101	TRO887627FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Torrione	Torrione Jones	3388			X		X		First diagnosed 8/14/2009. "Not notified of opportunity" to file a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
102	<b>TRO885883FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Burgin, Terrance</b>	<b>Terrance Burgin</b>	3389			X		X		Motion not included in Trust's summary. Never diagnosed. Exhibited symptoms beginning 10/28/2009. Alleges publication notice insufficient. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
103	TRO884560FTC	11/25/2015	Sykes, Annie	Kimberly Martin	3390		X	X				First symptoms 1996; as to whether diagnosed, answered "yes." Mother died, filing on behalf of mother. Alleges attorney filed claim in 2002 (must have been another proceeding) but is now dead or not practicing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Filing of prior claim shows awareness of rights. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
104	TRO887628FTC	12/14/2015	Ellis, Derrick	Priscilla Ellis	3391		X	X				Injured party diagnosed 1982, died in 1998. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
105	TRO886599FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Alma	Eddie Davis	3392		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
106	TRO902609FTC	9/14/2016	Snopkowski, Joseph	Nancy Walsh	3393	4963 8057		X				Father was diagnosed in 1978, died in 1979. "I filed all paperwork sent to me, for my father, every time they sent me paperwork I filled it out." Apparently is referring to paperwork filed in connection with the late-filed claim. Supplement filed at docket #4963 and 8057, complains that other people received money without doctor reports. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
107	TRO887613FTC	12/14/2015	Whitfield, Christopher	Christopher Whitfield	3394			X		X		Unaware of an opportunity to file a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Says was exposed to Tronox product August 12, 2009 and his symptoms and diagnosis were August 14, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
108	TRO88644FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Barbara	Barbara Gardner	3395	9109		X				Diagnosis year not included. Alleges allergies and sinus issues. Says "I made a mistake on my dates, I'm sorry about that." Seems to be saying that did not know of exposure prior to deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9109 complaining about the process. Insufficient details about circumstances and diligence in pursuit of claim to support relief. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
109	TRO884129FTC	11/13/2015	Hill, Edward	Edward Hill	3396	9296	X	X				Diagnosed 2001, attorney lost paperwork. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9296 complaining about the process. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own mistakes can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
110	TRO885455FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Amber	Amber Johnson	3397	8233		X				Diagnosed "yes," no reasons given for missing deadline. States that "I filed my claim at the same time as some of the ones you are allowing to be a future tort claim." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8233. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8647. Filing "future tort claim" in 2015 does not excuse failure to file by bar date, no grounds alleged upon which relief from the bar date could be granted.
111	TRO900359FTC	7/25/2016	Gorman, Bernard	Rosalie Gorman	3399			X				Diagnosed "at the age of 35" ... not filed on time because "wasn't aware until recently." Appears to have been filed on behalf of a relative. No verification of lack of knowledge of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
112	<b>TRO887320FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Doss, Letisha</b>	<b>Letisha Doss</b>	3400		X	X				Not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1996, "did not know about the claim at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
113	TRO885122FTC	11/25/2015	White, Victoria	Victoria White	3401		X	X				Diagnosis in 1989. Says was unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
114	TRO884462FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Bobby	Bobby Stewart	3402			X		X		Says filed in 2015 after diagnosed in 2015, says had symptoms in 2007 but a doctor could not provide definite diagnosis until 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
115	TRO887111FTC	12/14/2015	Dismuke, Kista	Kista Dismuke	3403			X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm, says attorney misplaced paperwork. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior filing with attorneys shows knowledge of claim. Conduct of attorney is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
116	TRO884286FTC	11/25/2015	Woods, Mario	Mario James	3404						X	Says was a minor and not aware of his rights. Exposures began in 1991. Will permit supplemental submission as to claimant's age at the time of the bar date, why parents or guardians did not file a claim, and why claimant waited until 2015 before filing a claim.
117	TRO886500FTC	12/7/2015	Baker, Lillie	Lillie Baker	3405	8230 8650	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8230. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8650. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, any claim that accrued in 1999 or earlier was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
118	TRO885982FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Fannie	Fannie Johnson	3406	8236 8624	X	X				Symptoms began in 1989, says "yes" when asked when first diagnosed. Says unaware of the deadline in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8236, complaining that claims of those who do not reside in Columbus were allowed while the trust rejected claims of residents. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8624. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
119	TRO887995FTC	12/18/2015	Moore, Tony	Tony Moore	3407						X	Minor, lived in another state; does not say why guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission as to why parents or guardians did not file a claim and why claimant waited until 2015 to file a claim.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
120	TRO887107FTC	12/14/2015	Martin, Eddie	Eddie Davis	3408		X	X				Diagnosis 1992. Says unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
121	TRO886970FTC	12/7/2015	Burgin, Dementrius	Dementrius Burgin	3409	8176 8634	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8176, a letter complaining that people who did not even live in the area were being compensated and his claim was denied. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8634. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Attorney's mistake is not a proper ground for relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
122	TRO886972FTC	12/7/2015	Burgin, Rone, Jr.	Rone Burgin, Jr.	3410	8174 8632	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8174, says he filed at same times as others whose claims were allowed and they do not live in the area. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8632. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of legal rights. Attorney's mistake is not a proper ground for relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
123	TRO887585FTC	12/14/2015	Fenton, ReVay	ReVay Fenton	3411	9167	X	X				1981 diagnosis. Says did not know where the application was done; was informed by parent that she had filed with atty Richard Burdine but he stopped practicing because of eye condition and office closed; says was just made aware could file as future tort claimant. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9167 complaining about the process. Attorney's mistake is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
124	TRO884130FTC	11/13/2015	Hill, Diane	Diane Hill	3412	9281	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 2001; paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9281 complaining about the process. Attorney's mistake is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
125	TRO887610FTC	12/14/2015	Alexander, Stephanie	Stephanie Alexander	3413			X		X		Says did not file because there was not an opportunity to file with the proper authorities; says she was exposed to Tronox product August 12, 2009 and symptoms and diagnosis August 14, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
126	TRO885856FTC	11/25/2015	Wilkerson, Tammy	Tammy Wilkerson	3414	8110 9165	X	X				Diagnoses 2001 and 2007. Says was not aware of the lawsuit or the bar date; unaware Tronox product could affect her. A supplement filed at docket #8110, did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9165 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 2001 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
127	TRO885523FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, De Forrest	De Forrest Johnson	3415	8226	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8226, says he filed at same times as others whose claims were allowed and they do not live in the area. Lawyer's mistake is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the lawyer's failure can itself be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Earlier filing with counsel awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
128	TRO887362FTC	12/14/2015	Anderson, Dan	Ruby Anderson	3416	9483	X	X				The injured party died in 1984; rep says she failed to file a claim because she had to take care of her business and her husband's business and work and she references that she was caring for two sick people, the injured party and her husband, but specifies that this was in 1982. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
129	TRO900248FTC	7/25/2016	Kabacinski, Adam	Adam Kabacinski	3417			X				Apparently resided near the Avoca, PA plant. Says he just found out about illness last year, but form also says his diagnosis was in 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
130	TRO887612FTC	12/14/2015	Whitfield, Jonathon	Jonathon Whitfield	3418			X		X		Did not know of an opportunity to file a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case; says exposure was August 12, 2009 and symptoms and diagnosis August 14, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
131	TRO886420FTC	12/7/2015	Fox, Billsha	Billsha Fox	3419						X	Minor at the time and did not have any knowledge of the claim; does not say why guardian did not file. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parents or guardians did not file a claim, why claimant waited until 2015 to do so, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
132	TRO885382FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Christopher	Christopher Richardson	3420							X	Not included on the Trust's summary. Says was a child in school and not aware of being in a lawsuit, does not say why guardian did not file. Insufficient support for excusable neglect relief. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parents or guardians did not file a claim, why claimant waited several years after 2009 before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
133	TRO887793FTC	12/14/2015	James, Dinah	Dinah James	3421		X	X					Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1983 diagnosis. Says that all paperwork was sent before deadlines but it appears she is referring to paperwork sent to Garretson Trust in recent years, not before bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
134	TRO886840FTC	12/7/2015	Blair, Shanta	Shanta Blair	3422		X	X					2001 diagnosis. Says that during in 2009 she was working out of town most of the time and she had a part-time job that she worked when she was in town; although she was aware of the contamination, she was not aware of the deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
135	TRO886158FTC	12/7/2015	Morris, Zandra	Zandra Morris	3423		X	X					2000 Diagnosis. Was not aware of deadline because she was still gathering all of her information at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
136	TRO884960FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, N	N Taylor	3424		X	X					Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; did not have knowledge of Tronox deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
137	TRO886937FTC	12/7/2015	Watson, Betty	Betty Watson	3425		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Previously filed with atty Bennie Turner; paperwork lost. Attorney error is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
138	TRO887109FTC	12/14/2015	Lowery, Lena	Lena Lowery	3426		X	X				1974 Diagnosis. No explanation offered as to failure to file by bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
139	TRO884340FTC	11/25/2015	Wiley, John	John Wiley	3427		X	X				1984 Diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; rep says did not know about Tronox bankruptcy claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
140	TRO888460FTC	12/18/2015	Stokes, Clenton	Clenton Stokes	3428		X	X				1963 diagnosis. Previously filed with attorney Howard Gunn 2000. Says did not file claim in Tronox case but trusted attorney and provided attorney with same medical records that they are providing to the Trust. Attorney error not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own error is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior work with attorney shows awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
141	TRO887502FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Elnoria	Shemika Craddieth	3429		X	X				The injured party died in 1992. Rep says that the person who was in charge has also died and rep did not know to file a claim by the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
142	TRO884363FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Jivaro	Jivaro Williams	3430		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Says proof of claim was filed but an attached letter shows a complaint to the Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division in 2010. No proof of claim filed until 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
143	TRO884502FTC	11/25/2015	Pestome, Brian, Jr.	Brian Pestome, Jr.	3431			X				2005 Diagnosis. Says was too young to understand what exactly was taking place legally, but alleges exposure began in 1990 so was at least 19 or so as of the bar date, and 18 is the age of majority in Pennsylvania. Says learned of process from social media, does not read newspapers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
144	TRO886677FTC	12/7/2015	Austin, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Austin	3432		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear, form says "1999-2015." Previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Attorney error is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
145	TRO885701FTC	11/25/2015	Martin, Annie	Betty Davis	3433		X	X				Diagnosis in 1969, the injured party died in 1988. Rep says she was not aware of Tronox deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
146	TRO885640FTC	11/25/2015	Baskin, Bernice	Sheryl Pusha	3434		X	X				1957 Diagnosis, injured party died in 2004. Rep says did not know that she was supposed to file in the bankruptcy court at all. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
147	TRO887224FTC	12/14/2015	Samuel, Glinda	Glinda Samuel	3435	4343 8220 9492	X	X				Previously filed with Cochran and Colom law firm 2000-2001, 2002, and Hamilton firm 2004; says that "Because of 'Judicial Malpractice' and counsellor ineptitude, [her] claim was Bounced around, Mishandled, and treated with disregard." She assumed that she was represented throughout the process. A duplicate is filed at docket # 4343; a supplement is filed at docket # 8220. Attorney mistake or malpractice is not a proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorneys show knowledge of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, there is no indication the claim was ever subject of a filed lawsuit and it therefore was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
148	TRO884948FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, Mary	Mary Taylor	3436	8999	X	X			X	Says diagnoses were in 2009 and 2013 but also says previously filed claim with the Colom law firm in a 2002 class action. Says unaware of the bankruptcy claims process, did not see any notification via TV or newspapers. Says symptoms of most serious conditions 2009 and after. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8999 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claim in prior class action shows awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
149	TRO886698FTC	12/7/2015	McGee, Anthony, Jr.	Anthony McGee, Jr.	3437						X	Diagnosis in 2003. Was a minor in 2009, does not say why a parent or guardian did not file a claim. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant did not make a claim until 2015, and whether relief is warranted.
150	TRO884056FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Francy	Francy Ellis	3438		X	X				1984 Diagnosis. Did not file by bar date because unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
151	TRO884457FTC	11/25/2015	Buckhalter, Charlie	Charlie Buckhalter	3439	9362	X	X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says not aware that his illness was caused by the Tronox chemicals; does not read WSJ and does not have computer or access to internet. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9362 complaining about the process. Notice was also published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of knowledge but insufficient information as to diligence in investigating and pursuing claims, not qualify for excusable neglect relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
152	TRO884351FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Tomarris	Tomarris Jones	3440		X	X				Diagnosis in 1991. Says did not receive a notice to file and was unaware of the lawsuit and was living out of town in a different Mississippi town; says that in his case the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to potential claimants. Notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch and other MS newspapers and complied with due process requirements. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
153	TRO884833FTC	11/25/2015	Irions, George	George Irions	3441		X	X				Diagnosis in 1970. Previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant class action. Says did not see any publications about Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior class action confirms awareness of legal rights and claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was fully resolved in a prior action or was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
154	TRO889220FTC TRO887636FTC	2/23/2016	Poster, Gussie	Gussie Poster	3442		X	X				Diagnosis in 1978. Says unaware of the information and the possibility of her receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
155	TRO884067FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Barbara	Barbara Ellis	3443		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says she was unaware of the information and/or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
156	TRO884834FTC	11/25/2015	Irions, Ada	Ada Irions	3444		X	X				1970 diagnosis, previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002. Says did not file a proof of claim because was unaware of this lawsuit; did not see any publication notification of this lawsuit or the bar date; standard language; her negligence to file by the bar date was because there was no publication notice of the claims filing deadline to provide notice for potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Publication notices did occur and they met due process standards as explained in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
157	TRO887583FTC	12/14/2015	Covington, Willie	Willie Covington	3445		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s. Says was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
158	TRO887049FTC	12/14/2015	Vaughn, Christine	Christine Vaughn	3446	8105		X			x	Says that first learned of Kerr-McGee lawsuit in 2003; she tried to find out where she could get an application. She learned that the Maranatha Faith Center had the application and tried to get one but was told that only church members were able to apply. It was about 11 years before they heard anything, nothing in news or paper, then on April 4, 2014, a big write-up about the settlement and clean up and on April 19, 2015, the WCBI news did an interview with Pastor Steve Jamison, these are the only times she heard about the case. (Given this timing the first references to picking up claims at the Church likely were in the early 2000s and in reference to a class action.) She did not know about a future tort claim until 2015 when a friend said her aunt called to check up on her claim and wanted to know if she could add a condition to her application and they told her to file a future tort claim; "unaware of deadline or that case was still open." A supplement was filed at docket #8105, does not understand why they say her claim was late when it was mailed at same time as others whose claims were allowed; says her diagnosis was after bar date and for others who had same diagnosis date, their claims were allowed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Movant alleges awareness of Kerr-McGee potential fault. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
159	TRO888986FTC	12/30/2015	Wynn, Lynn	Lynn Wynn	3447	8185		X			X	Says that she filed a legal proceeding in approximately July of 2009 without a lawyer, mentions that she is a paralegal in a law firm; then says she filed her proof of claim late because that was when she became aware of the bar date; says she was away with a family member who was critically ill and was out of the county, does not provide dates or any supporting documents or verification of any legal proceedings allegedly filed. A supplemental response filed at docket #8185, again says filed timely claim in July 2009, but that contradicts statement that she filed late. Any contention as to a timely claim is to be addressed by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. To extent the claim was filed late, no legally sufficient excuse for late filing has been shown.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
160	TRO886737FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Davis	3448			X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says not aware and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product as the plant was in a neighboring town; it was not until years later when the future tort claim forms and information became known and available; called Trust and learned of the radius of exposure and that his residence was in area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
161	TRO892317FTC	2/23/2016	Hollis, Dejuan	Dejuan Hollis	3449			X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosis date(s) not clear. Says did not file because "no knowledge of a claim was against Kerr McGee at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
162	TRO885936FTC	11/25/2015	Davenport, Pamela	Pamela Davenport	3450		X	X				1985 diagnosis. Says was told the bankruptcy case was closed and that claimants were not going to receive anything because Tronox was in bankruptcy, her spouse told her to file because they both were affected living there; he received his settlement. Alleges actual knowledge of bankruptcy and made a conscious decision not to file because of belief there would not be significant payouts, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
163	TRO886467FTC	12/7/2015	Hood, Charlene	Charlene Hood	3451			X		X		Some symptoms prior but claims all diagnoses were in 2009 or after; did not file due to illness such as symptoms and being placed in and out of hospital, complications with pregnancy. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
164	TRO884176FTC	11/25/2015	Conner, Geraldine	Amanda Crowell	3452			X		X		Says she did file (it appears with Garretson) but she called and they did not have them and they mailed her more forms. Dispute over an alleged prior timely filing is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
165	TRO884004FTC	11/13/2015	Fenton, Freddie	Freddie Fenton	3453	9158		X			X	Says had symptoms in prior years but no diagnosis until 2015. Says did not have condition before the time frame; there was no literature on the process of filing a claim; just did not know. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9158 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
166	TRO901153FTC	8/16/2016	Gardner, Ben	Ben Gardner	3454			X			X	Diagnosis 2010; did not know there was a deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
167	TRO891585FTC	2/23/2016	Hopkins, Patrick	Patrick Hopkins	3455			X			X	Says diagnosis 2009-2012; did not hear anything after filed claim in 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
168	TRO898266FTC	6/20/2016	Burgin, Mya	Julia Burgin	3456	8175 8711		X			X	Previously filed with atty Bambach 2002; paperwork lost. Says diagnosis 2009-2015 but filed with attorney in 2002. A supplement filed at docket #8175, complaining that filed at same time as others whose claims were allowed and they were not residents of area. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8711 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
169	TRO893184FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, John	John Robinson	3457		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1961 and 2017. Previously filed with the Colom law firm; says did not file because he is on disability and does not get around to socialize; says he was incompetent at that time and from time to time dealing with his illnesses. No supporting information provided sufficient to show inability to file a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participated in prior litigation, knew of claim and potential liability. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 1961 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
170	TRO886080FTC	12/7/2015	Martin, Karen	Karen Martin	3458		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm but was told paperwork was lost; she assumed she could not file again but she moved to another county and then relatives told her to try again. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior filing with Colom law firm shows knowledge of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
171	TRO887103FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Curtis	Curtis Moore	3459		X	X				1976 diagnosis, possibly another in 2007. Previously filed with Colom law firm 1999 and received \$411- says he was later diagnosed with a more serious condition in 2007 but Tronox bankruptcy case did not inform him that he was eligible to refile; after hearing about people receiving settlements for lesser conditions and diseases, he inquired for the paperwork. Says Tronox was aware of the original claimants and knew to whom they had made payments but Tronox did not contact him. Prior settlement did not give Tronox reason to know the claimant allegedly had a new claim and did not require additional direct notice. On the other hand, prior claims show claimant's awareness of causal connection and liability. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
172	TRO884558FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Herman	Bessie Moore	3460			X			X		Diagnoses 2007 and 2013, but also says the claimant filed with the Colom law firm in a 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. The injured party died in 2017. Allegedly did not see any publications. No indication that injured party lacked knowledge of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in class action shows knowledge of claims and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
173	TRO886452FTC	12/7/2015	Hunter, Tracey	Tracey Hunter	3461		X	X					2005 diagnosis. Says hired an attorney at an unspecified time but then cancelled the representation. Says he did not receive a notice to file and was unaware of the bar date; says that someone recently informed him and therefore the publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time. Publication notice was sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
174	TRO887334FTC	12/14/2015	Parks, Robert	Joyce Parks Johnson	3462			X					The injured party died in 2006; rep does not provide reason why he did not file in 2009, appears to contend that he did so. No record of any claim actually filed, no basis established for excusable neglect or due process relief.
175	TRO886987FTC	12/7/2015	Moody, Victor	Victor Moody	3463	8754		X			X		Says filed a claim with Wilbur Colom and alleges a timely claim was filed. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8754 complaining about the process. If claim was filed in a class action that does not constitute a claim in the bankruptcy case. If contends that Mr. Colom should have filed a bankruptcy claim but did not do so, that does not warrant relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's failure can be excused. If believes a timely proof of claim actually was filed in 2009, that is not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process, is to be resolved by Tort Claims Trust.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
176	TRO884328FTC	11/25/2015	Moody, Ashley	Ashley Moody	3464	8736		X			X	Says a claim was filed with Wilbur Colom. Says diagnosis September 2009 and inconsistently later says she has proof that her conditions were prior to 2009. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8736 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Assertion that a timely claim was filed is not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process, is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
177	TRO885749FTC	11/25/2015	Ervin, Yvette	Yvette Ervin	3465			X				1981 Diagnosis. Does not provide a reason why claim was not filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
178	TRO885729FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Earnest	Earnest Jones	3466			X			X	Says did not file because did not have the paperwork at the time; also says symptoms 2006 but no diagnosis until 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
179	TRO886129FTC	12/7/2015	Steels, Ernestine	Ernestine Steels	3467		X	X				Diagnosis 1983 and 1984. Says unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Submitted in 2015, alleges other claims submitted in 2015 have been accepted. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge, but insufficient showing of diligence in pursuit of claim and other elements to support excusable neglect relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
180	TRO887469FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Charlie	Charlie Wilkins	3468	9063	X	X				March 1976 diagnosis. Unaware of the Tronox claims process, then spoke to an attorney who said he would get back in touch with him. Says found out later that people were filing papers and so he filed. A supplemental letter filed with others at dk #9063 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
181	TRO880701FTC	12/4/2015	Scott, Virginia	Virginia Scott	3469		X	X				1986 Diagnosis. Says that she did not hear about it until later; having too much pain in her body going back and forth to the doctor. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
182	TRO887495FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Mattie	Mattie Wilkins	3470	9063	X	X				1989 Diagnosis. Did not know about the previous filing deadline, was not made public knowledge; went to talk to several attorneys at unspecified times, they took his name but did get back to him. Found out later that applications were getting filed. A supplemental letter filed with others at dk #9063 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
183	TRO885463FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Gilda	Jerome Wilson	3471		X	X				The injured party died in 2001; rep says knew nothing of the claim process until 2015; they knew nothing of the effects that this exposure would cause; victim lived and went to school near plant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
184	TRO881068FTC	12/4/2015	Lanphear, Olive	Janalee Serwinski	3472			X				1976 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1978. Rep says had no knowledge of Court filing for claim for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
185	TRO904284FTC	1/3/2017	Doran, William	Margaret Timlin	3473			X				The injured party died in 2008. Rep says was not aware that the injured party could get his illnesses from being exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
186	TRO896791FTC	4/25/2016	De Alba, Samuel	Samuel De Alba	3474			X				1987 diagnosis. Says was not notified; did not know this was going on; heard about it from a neighbor. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
187	TRO886585FTC	12/7/2015	Burgin, Julia	Julia Burgin	3475	8178 8709	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8178, complaining that claims of certain others who filed at same time were allowed and they were not residents of area. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8709 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior legal claim shows awareness of rights. Attorney misconduct is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct may be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that accrued in 1999 also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
188	TRO884627FTC	11/25/2015	Shields, Irene	Iren Shields	3476			X				Disease diagnosed in June 2009; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Does not allege lack of awareness of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
189	TRO880666FTC	12/4/2015	Ryczak, Peter	Peter Ryczak	3477			X				2005 and 2006 diagnoses and surgery. Says was unaware he could file a claim, no other details offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
190	TRO897243FTC	5/4/2016	Bailey, Alex	Yolanda Jones	3478		X	X				1968 diagnosis; injured party died in 1977. Rep says unaware could file claim for deceased relative; did not read an announcement regarding a filing date, nor did she see a deadline date in the news. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
191	TRO901989FTC	9/14/2016	Gilhooley, Patrick	Patrick Gilhooley	3479			X		X		Says diagnosis did not occur until September 2009. Does not allege a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief from the bar date is sought. Merits of any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
192	TRO891586FTC	2/23/2016	Hopkins, Doris	Doris Hopkins	3480			X		X		Did not know anything about tort claims process; says symptoms and diagnoses 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012. Date of 2009 diagnosis not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
193	TRO887133FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Sammie	Sammie Williams	3481		X	X				1994 diagnosis, says that Maranatha Center filled out paperwork for him on March, 15, 1999; says he did not get papers until 2 days before filing date, mailman put mail out at the wrong address. Appears to be referring to an earlier class action as the bankruptcy of Tronox was not filed until 2009. No explanation offered as to why missed the 2009 bar date in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior claims in 1999 confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
194	TRO895082FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Titus	Titus Shinn	3482			X		X		Says was a minor; says he is incompetent can't read; does not say why a guardian did not file; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis September 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
195	TRO895078FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Jahiem	Jahiem Shinn	3483			X		X		Says no diagnosis until June 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
196	TRO895077FTC	3/23/2016	Clay, Camelia	Camelia Clay	3484			X		X		Says diagnosis was in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
197	TRO895079FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Jolene	Jolene Shinn	3485		X	X				1998 Diagnosis. No excuse provided and therefore no basis for relief. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
198	TRO895080FTC	3/23/2016	Jennings, Toney	Toney Jennings	3486						X	March 2009 diagnosis. Says was a child and incompetent and a slow learner and can't read and has to have someone else do it for her; does not say why a guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission explaining why parents or guardians did not file, reason why claimant did not file until 2016, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
199	TRO895075FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Florence	Florence Shinn	3487			X			X	Says diagnosed in August 2009; says incompetent at time of claim filing deadline and can't read it; has to have someone do it for her. Unclear if diagnosis was before or after the August 12, 2009 bar date. August 2009 diagnosis might explain reason why August bar date was initially missed but no explanation is provided for the failure to file a claim until March 2016, more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Insufficient support offered as to asserted incompetence. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
200	TRO895085FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Cornelia Glenn	Cornelia Glenn Shinn	3488			X				July 2009 disease and diagnosis, did not know and no reason to know was exposed to a Tronox product. July 2009 diagnosis might explain reason why August bar date was initially missed but no explanation is provided for the failure to file a claim until March 2016, more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
201	TRO884665FTC	11/25/2015	Summerville, Eddie	Eddie Summerville	3489		X	X				September 2000 diagnosis. Explanation: "thought the deadline was completed and Tronox was not taking any more applicants." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
202	TRO885974FTC	11/25/2015	James, Kathleen	Kathleen James	3490		X	X				Says that symptoms started in 1977 but was diagnosed at time started school in 1990. Then says that it was her guardian who would have to fill out form but that person was the hospital sick at the time, does not specify time. Given onset of symptoms in 1977 the claimant was no less than 41 years old at the time of the bar date, no showing as to incompetence or infancy and no showing of why claimant could not file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
203	TRO884958FTC	11/25/2015	Jefferson, Ytansa	Ytansa Jefferson	3491		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Says was unaware of the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Only alleges lack of actual knowledge of claims process, insufficient showing as to elements of excusable neglect, not support a due process claim. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
204	TRO885827FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Pat	Pat Williams	3492			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2014. Says she applied in "the round first prior to the deadline" but did not receive written notice as to the status of her paperwork and she filed again when it was advertised; is apparently referring to post-2009 filings. No claim has been asserted based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, therefore no proper motion has been made for relief from the bar date to assert such a claim. The merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
205	TRO885478FTC	11/25/2015	Talley-Wilson, Lucy	Jerome Wilson	3493		X	X				The injured party died in 1978. Rep says knew nothing of this chemical waste or the lawsuit or the damage caused by the chemical or how it affected her relative. No showing as to relative's knowledge, or knowledge of other representatives, or of diligence in pursuing claim based on 1970s diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
206	TRO897558FTC	5/25/2016	Kress, Michael	Corinne Bendowski	3494			X				The injured party died in 1995. Rep does not provide an excuse or justification for late claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. No showing of relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
207	TRO884329FTC	11/25/2015	Moody, Victoria	Victoria Moody	3495	8738	X	X		X		2000 diagnosis. Previously filed with Wilbur Colom; says a claim was filed in bankruptcy by filing deadline but there is no record of such a bankruptcy claim, claimant may be confused with claim filed in prior class action. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8738 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior class action shows awareness of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel (Mr. Colom) received direct notice of the bar date. If claimant believes a proof of claim actually was filed, that is not a request for relief from the bar date, and instead is an issue to be raised with the Tort Claims Trust.
208	TRO887606FTC	12/14/2015	Jefferson, Tyrone	Tyrone Jefferson	3496		X	X				First diagnosed in 2004. Says tried filing a claim when he heard but never got form; not clear if is referring to 2009 or some other time period. Says did not think he was able to file a claim until he started getting eczema and high blood pressure, but motion alleges those symptoms began in 2004, which was five years before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
209	TRO881061FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Sallie	Judy Jennings	3497	9366	X	X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010. Rep does not provide an explanation for lack of timely filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9319 and docket #9366 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No proper grounds alleged for relief from the bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
210	TRO902526FTC	9/14/2016	Magda, Andrew	Theresa Magda	3498	5547		X				The injured party is deceased; rep says did not know that the residents of Avoca filed a class action lawsuit against Kerr McGee, but no information or verification as to whether deceased was aware. Additional letter is at docket # 5547. Risks of creosote exposures and news of class actions were the subject of widespread news coverage in Avoca. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
211	TRO886774FTC	12/7/2015	Nicholson, Denai	Denai Nicholson	3499						X	2006-07 diagnosis. Says at the time she was a minor and unable to file a claim; does not say why guardian did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
212	TRO892850FTC	2/23/2016	Glenn, Kelli	Kelli Glenn	3500		X			X		Former resident of Columbus, MS. Asthma diagnosis 2008, other diagnoses after 2009. Moved to Texas, and had no reason to know was exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
213	TRO888177FTC	Unknown	Weaver, Frank	Frank Weaver	3501	9325	X			X		Motion was not included in the Trust's summary. Did not fill out form or sign declaration but attached a statement. Inconsistent statements as to exposure dates (saying at one point that exposure started in 1965 but saying at another point that was first exposed after the bar date). Says was not diagnosed until 2012. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9325 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
214	TRO902956FTC	10/13/2016	Wroblewski, Anna	Maryann Schneider	3502	8028		X				Diagnosed in 1970's, the injured party deceased 1982; rep filing on behalf of dead parents, brother-in-law told him/her about the claims process in 2015 and then s/he filed this. A duplicate claim filed at docket #8028, unaware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
215	TRO901480FTC	8/16/2016	Wroblewski, Joseph	Maryann Schneider	3503	8027		X				The injured party died in 1961. Filing on behalf of dead parents, brother-in-law told him/her about the claims process in 2015 and then s/he filed this. A duplicate claim filed at docket #8027, unaware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
216	TRO897851FTC	5/25/2016	James, Tiffany	Tiffany James	3504		X	X				Diagnosed 2004. No knowledge of exposure prior to deadline. Was minor living with grandmother as guardian and relied on her. No explanation as to grandmother's knowledge or as to reasons why she did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
217	TRO884496FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Ella	Sarah Jones	3505			X				Diagnosed 1975, "unaware of the filing date." Lived out of state. Filing on behalf of deceased mother. Wants this to be considered "excusable neglect." Says that the injured party participated in a 2002 class action suit. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim resolved in prior litigation could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
218	TRO892003FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Earnest	Diane Stinson	3506		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Filing on behalf of deceased relative. Refers to unspecified presentations about Kerr-McGee chemicals causing injuries, alleges unfairness that cannot have compensation for Mr. Clark's injuries. No explanation as to failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
219	TRO892004FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Jessie	Jessie Clark	3507		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, "I was not aware." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Movant alleges it is unjust that people have not been compensated but does not explain any circumstances regarding prior knowledge of issues, pursuit of claim, or reasons why excusable neglect relief would be appropriate. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
220	TRO892008FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Diane	Diane Stinson	3508		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, does not properly allege excusable neglect or grounds for due process relief, just says "I was not aware." Same family group as claims 3506 and 3507. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
221	TRO892006FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Erica	Erica Stinson	3509		X	X				Diagnosed 1981. Refers (without date) to awareness of reports that Kerr-McGee/Tronox chemicals caused injuries and illness. Does not explain failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
222	TRO892007FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Jennifer	Jennifer Stinson	3510		X	X				Diagnosed 1983. Similar explanation offered as by claimant in claim 3509. Refers (without date) to awareness of reports that Kerr-McGee/Tronox chemicals caused injuries and illness. Does not explain failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
223	TRO892005FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Kimberly	Kimberly Stinson	3511		X	X				Diagnosed 1983. Similar explanation offered as by claimant in claim 3509. Refers (without date) to awareness of reports that Kerr-McGee/Tronox chemicals caused injuries and illness. Does not explain failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
224	TRO892483FTC	2/23/2016	Sims, Shameka	Shameka Simas	3512			X				Diagnosed 2009, alleges mom filed a claim with an attorney they never heard back from in 2009. Allegations about prior timely claim are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, not an excusable neglect or due process issue. If attorney failed to file, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's own failure can be excused.
225	Unknown	Unknown	Durrah, Abby	Abby Durrah	3513	8084		X		X		Motion is not included in the Trust's summary. Says she is filing for reconsideration of her claim but no motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process has been filed. At docket #3513, she filed a rejection of the amount the trust offered as an allowed claim. She filed a separate claim on behalf of a relative at docket #3774. Docket # 8084 is a request for reconsideration. Any review of the Trust's decision as to a post-bar date claim must proceed through the Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
226	TRO901860FTC	9/14/2016	Bishop, Fredia	Fredia Bishop	3514		X	X				Diagnosed 1978. Filing for mother. "Didn't know about deadline." Says was a child, does not know if mother ever made a claim. Alleges her own lack of knowledge of the bar date but makes no showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
227	TRO896372FTC	4/13/2016	Scaccia, Geraldine	Geraldine Scaccia	3515			X				Diagnosed 1959, 1960, 1971. Living in different city at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect in light of 1959-1971 diagnoses.
228	TRO896622FTC	4/25/2016	Ervin, Jason	Jason Ervin	3516		X	X				Diagnosed 1993, "did not know or had paperwork." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
229	TRO905465FTC	3/28/2017	Soroka, John	Patricia Pickering	3517			X				Diagnosed 1997, filing on behalf of husband, after he died wife's mom became ill so she was preoccupied, mom passed in 2000. Moved to Delaware in 2003 and remarried. "I don't remember getting notice then [in 2003]. When I did get them it was too painful to remember and put them aside."). Reference to notices in 2003 appears to confuse the 2009 bankruptcy process with a prior class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
230	TRO880509FTC	12/4/2015	Ward, Paula	Paula Ward	3518	8053		X				Diagnosed 2007, contacted Powell Law firm multiple times before filing deadline but was told they weren't accepting new claims, left name but attorney neglected to call her. Alleges that "this was a complete violation of due process by Powell Law Firm." A supplement filed at docket #8053, accuses Powell firm of misconduct. Bankruptcy filing did not occur until January 2009 and bar date was August 12, 2009, so prior communications with Powell firm in 2007 could not have been about the Tronox bankruptcy process. In any event unexcused conduct by counsel is not a proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001, and contact with the Powell firm shows movant's awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
231	TRO913662FTC	6/28/2017	Czaja, Louis	Louis Czaja	3519			X				Diagnosed 2000 and 2008. Alleges that had no reason to know exposed prior to deadline, first heard of claims process from a neighbor in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
232	TRO886831FTC	12/7/2015	Gordon, Pearl	Pearl Gordon	3520			X		X		Diagnosed 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
233	TRO890221FTC	2/23/2016	Ervin, Jerry	Jerry Ervin	3521		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed 2000. "Did not know or had paperwork to file." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
234	TRO893137FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Annette	Annette Stewart	3522		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, "did not understand, I only have a third grade education." But also alleges making a claim "back when the lawsuit began." Unclear if reference to prior claim is to a class action that preceded the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
235	TRO901861FTC	9/14/2016	Bishop, Peggy	Fredia Bishop	3523		X	X				Diagnosed 1977. Contends that a claim was filed in July 2000, which must have been part of a prior class action. Alleges lack of knowledge of Tronox deadlines. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
236	TRO902081FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, Seneca	Kamilah Ballard	3524	8069		X				Claim filed by representative of minor. Diagnosed "yes," says "the injured party was only 4 at the time." Injured party was born in 2004. Rep was living in Birmingham, Alabama at the time, complains that notice was not sufficient but provides no details other than allegation of lack of direct notice. Proof of publication on file shows that notice of the bar date was published in Birmingham, AL as well as in Columbus, MS. A supplement filed at docket # 8069. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
237	TRO903813FTC	11/23/2016	Perry, Jeanet	Jeanet Perry	3525			X		X		Diagnosed in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
238	TRO893067FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Dwain	Dwain Jackson	3526		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990, did not file because not in the state, only found out about deadline when came home for a funeral. States that he filed original claim back in 2001 and 2002, but he declined an offer, attorney "took the money and left the country." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
239	TRO886926FTC	12/7/2015	Starks, Doris	Doris Starks	3527	8040	X	X				Diagnosed 1990, did not see any publication notice. Claims due process issue - lived out of state and publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. A supplemental letter complaining concerning process for herself and 85 other claimants filed at docket #8040. Alleges participation in class action in 2002. Publication notices were reasonable in scope, with notices published in areas where plants were located and in national newspaper. Participation in prior proceeding also shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior class action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
240	TRO888231FTC	12/18/2015	Johnson, Lovess III	Lovess Johnson III	3528			X		X		Diagnosed in December 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
241	TRO890035FTC	2/23/2016	Mosely, Sammie	Sammie Mosley	3529			X				Diagnosed 1985, "was not aware of a claim." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
242	TRO886618FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Ellen	Ellen Henry	3530		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, only states that "there was a death to occur in my immediate family." No allegation of lack of knowledge of bar date, no dates as to death in family, no showing of prompt action and diligence in pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
243	TRO886316FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Lakoya	Lakoya Henry	3531		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, only states that "there was a death to occur in my immediate family." No allegation of lack of knowledge of bar date, no dates as to death in family, no showing of prompt action and diligence in pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
244	TRO886619FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Antoniyo	Antoniyo Henry	3532		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, only states that "there was a death to occur in my immediate family." No allegation of lack of knowledge of bar date, no dates as to death in family, no showing of prompt action and diligence in pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
245	TRO886620FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Jerome	Ellen Henry	3533		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, "incompetent" at time of deadline - no factual elaboration, e.g., circumstances surrounding alleged incompetence. Appears related to claimants in claims 3530, 3531, 3532. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
246	TRO903576FTC	11/23/2016	Henry, Cherish	Lakoya Henry	3534						X	Diagnosed 2005, "child - under the age of 18." No allegation as to conduct of parent or guardian, no allegation of lack of awareness. Appears related to claimants in claims 3530, 3531, 3532 and 3533. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
247	TRO890069FTC	2/23/2016	Grant, Alexandra	Alexandra Grant	3535			X				Diagnosed 1994, living in New Orleans and mail not forwarded and allegedly was dealing with hurricane Katrina, but Hurricane Katrina was in 2005, long before the bar date in August 2009. Says the bar date was not advertised in New Orleans area, but notice of the bar date was published in cities where plants had been located and in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
248	TRO884023FTC	11/13/2015	McCrary, Patricia	Patricia R. McCrary	3536			X				Listed in Trust's summary with a different claim number [TRO884024FTC]. Diagnosed 2003, filing on behalf of child, part of 2002 action. Says was "not given a direct notice of the significance of the claim filing deadline." Complains of lack of direct notice but no allegation that Tronox knew the claimant's child had a potential claim. Participation in prior litigation shows awareness of rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
249	TRO885430FTC	11/25/2015	Randolph, John	Patricia Randolph	3537			X				Diagnosed 2008, failed to file on dad's behalf because he was in hospice and child was caring for him during that time. However, dad died on 4/26/2008, and child could have filed by bar date. Insufficient showing to warrant relief based on excusable neglect.
250	TRO891849FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Albert	Albert Jackson	3538			X				Exposure started 1969, symptoms 1978, when asked when first diagnosed answered "yes." Says was living in Milwaukee at time of deadline, has to be on oxygen for breathing. A rejection notice filed at docket #3913. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
251	TRO887997FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Theresa	Theresa Brown	3539		X	X				First diagnosed 2000, "when the process begin, I did not understand that question ask to the best of my knowledge that I needed to list the dates and toxins." Describes first claim in 2015 but does not explain failure to file in 2009. Motion denied for failure to show grounds warranting relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
252	TRO901557FTC	8/16/2016	Lang, Sheena	Sheena Lang	3540		X	X		X		First diagnosed 1990 for eye muscle disorder, not diagnosed for hypertension, high blood pressure, anxiety, other conditions until after bar date. Says did not know/no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
253	TRO894769FTC	3/23/2016	Stewart, Kardy	Kardy Stewart	3541			X			X	Diagnosed 2007, states that "I did file a POC in the Tronox bankruptcy case by the claims deadline but I never heard from them." Dispute over alleged timely filed claim is not a proper request for excusable neglect or due process relief, any dispute over this claim is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust.
254	TRO893552FTC	2/23/2016	Colebrooke, Minola	Minola Colebrooke	3542	8170	X	X				Diagnosed 2003, filed initial claim in December 2004 with an attorney and corresponded with "Creosote Litigation Group" in 2005. Contends he should be entitled to an additional settlement for asthma condition, prior litigation submission was for sarcoidosis. Prior litigation proceeding shows awareness of rights and of connection to creosote exposure. A supplement filed at docket #8170, says unaware of lawsuit because lives in Florida. Also says Trust has been sending her responses under two different claim numbers even though she says that claim number TRO888080FTC was superceded by claim # TRO893552FTC. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was already resolved in prior litigation or it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
255	TRO897453FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Ola	Minola Colebrooke	3543	8199	X	X				Diagnosed 1985. Refers to prior dealings with Creosote Litigation Group in 2005, says did not know could submit on deceased mother's behalf. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8199, rep said unaware of lawsuit as she lived in Florida. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Reference to prior dealings with Creosote Litigation Group shows awareness of legal rights and participation (or opportunity to participate) in prior legal proceeding. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
256	TRO895494FTC	3/2/2016	Lucious, Christopher	Christopher Lucious	3544	8354	X	X			X	Trust's summary incorrectly listed the claim number as TRO894494FTC. Diagnoses in 2001 and 2010. Says did not file because "no one informed me about filing a claim." A supplement filed at docket #8354, says incarcerated from 2009-2012, unaware of lawsuit, no way to get notice to file a claim. Claim based on 2001 diagnoses claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
257	TRO888010FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Rebecca	Rebecca Smith	3545		X	X				Diagnosed July 2002. Says that filed in October 2015, "when the process began I did not understand that I needed to list all of my conditions." Reference to her understanding about what was required with filing appears to be a reference to her October 2015 filing. No explanation of failure to file by 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
258	TRO901385FTC	8/16/2016	Cituk, John, Jr.	John Cituk, Jr.	3546			X		X		Diagnosed 2002 with a non-cancerous lesion but more serious diagnoses in 2010 and later years for cancerous lymphoma. Also states that did not file a claim on time because "living in NJ and PA, was not very optimal of being informed about the claims." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
259	TRO887589FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Curtis	Curtis Malone	3547		X	X				Diagnosed 1985, 1999, 2001 and 2003. Says was bedridden "at the time." Does not state a timeline for when he was bedridden. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
260	TRO901556FTC	8/16/2016	Washington, Sheila	Sheila Washington	3548		X	X			X	First diagnosed 1997 for thyroid issues, 2000 for migraines, 2009 and later for other conditions. Alleges did not know or have reason to know of exposure to toxic substance. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
261	TRO902899FTC	10/13/2016	Aston, John	Genevieve Aston	3549			X			X	Diagnosed 2001, filing on behalf of deceased son who died in 2007, states that he sent a death certificate when he first filed (date unspecified) and never "received a proof of claim." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Insufficient allegations of lack of knowledge or of other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If believes he made a timely claim that is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust, is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief.
262	TRO886671FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Robert	Gretta Gardner	3550		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, didn't know needed to file, thought was "already being represented." Appears to be a reference to prior class actions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Awareness of prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
263	TRO888237FTC	12/18/2015	Lagrone, Johnny	Johnny Lagrone	3551		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, only states that he did not know about the deadline, but also alleges made a claim through the Colom law firm. Appears to be a reference to prior litigation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Complaints about counsel are not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless counsel's conduct can itself be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
264	TRO886114FTC	12/7/2015	Bush, Mary	Mary Bush	3552		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, "unaware of possibility of receiving a settlement." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
265	TRO897755FTC	Unknown	Stewart, Robert	Robert Stewart	3553		X	X				Motion is not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed in 1992, alleges publication notice was a due process violation because it did not actually reach everyone. The publication notices were reasonable and sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Does not allege that Tronox had reason to know of his injuries or of other circumstances that would make publication notice invalid. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
266	TRO891956FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Billy	Katherine Hill	3554			X		X		Exhibited symptoms in 2010, diagnosed upon death in 2017, alleges did not know until then of connection to Tronox chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
267	TRO890478FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, Stanley	Stanley Washington	3555		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS who alleges exposure during time of residency. Diagnosed in 1992. Claims did not know of bar date, does not read WSJ, believes should be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
268	TRO891030FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, Denise	Denise Malone	3556		X	X				1993 Diagnosis. Alleges lack of knowledge but nothing else. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
269	TRO892380FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Gemarqus	Gemarqus Stewart	3557	6333	X	X				Diagnosed 2000-2005, states that s/he currently lives in a different area. A duplicate of this claim filed at docket #6333. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
270	TRO901298FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Earl, Sr.	Earl Randle, Sr.	3558		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990's. Alleges "excusable neglect - did not know." without elaborating. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
271	TRO894401FTC	3/2/2016	Latham, James	James Latham	3559		X	X				Diagnosed 1995, alleges made claim with Colom in 2002. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
272	TRO896405FTC	4/13/2016	Latham, Norman	Norman Latham	3560		X	X				Diagnosed 1991, alleges made claim through attorney Howard Gunn but without providing dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
273	TRO904102FTC	1/3/2017	Spencer, Macarthur	Macarthur Spencer	3561		X	X				First diagnosed 1979, other conditions in 1990s. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
274	TRO888841FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Annie	Annie Harrison	3562		X	X				Diagnosed 1998, alleges made claim through Colom law firm in 2000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
275	TRO880487FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Angela	Angela Williams	3563		X	X				Diagnosed in 1967 - alleges violation of due process, and "also would like to claim excusable neglect," but doesn't give a reason why. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
276	TRO890244FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Carlean	Carlean Roby	3564		X	X				Symptoms in 1989-1991 - alleges did file a proof of claim previously, but appears to be referring to the late claim that was filed, apparently is under mistaken belief that the late claim was timely. No reasons offered as to why failed to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
277	TRO892544FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Cheryl	Cheryl Lee	3565		X	X				Diagnosed 1973. Alleges "not well informed of circumstances surrounding lawsuit against Kerr-McGee." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
278	TRO900782FTC	8/16/2016	McKinney, Freddie	Freddie Mckinney	3566		X	X				Diagnosed 1966. Alleges lack of actual awareness of deadline but no other circumstances. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
279	TRO880919FTC	12/4/2015	Guin, Charles	Charles Guin	3567		X	X			X	Diagnoses listed as 2000-2017, alleges paperwork lost by attorney. Attorney conduct is not a proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless conduct of counsel can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
280	TRO898197FTC	6/20/2016	Reives, Vonna	Vonna Rieves	3568		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990's - alleges "excusable neglect, did not know [exposed prior to deadline(?)]" Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
281	TRO904112FTC	1/3/2017	Spencer, Genita	Genita Spencer	3569		X	X				Diagnosed 1982, other conditions up to 1999. Alleges lack of actual notice, no other allegations. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
282	TRO888620FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Jamal	Jamal Harrison	3570			X			X	Diagnosed in 2013. Complains about notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
283	TRO897668FTC	Unknown	Stewart, Dian	Dian Stewart	3571		X	X				Motion is not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed in 1976, alleges publication notice insufficient, but does not give any reason why. Publication notices were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
284	TRO895334FTC	3/23/2016	Griffin, Brittany	Brittany Griffin	3572		X	X				Diagnosed 2004. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
285	TRO886001FTC	12/7/2015	Carter, Jerry	Jerry Carter	3573	8308	X	X				Diagnosed in 1980s, alleges filing with Colom law firm in 2002. A supplement filed at docket #8308, says was without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
286	TRO904209FTC	1/3/2017	Ewing, Henry	Genita Spencer	3574		X	X				Diagnosed in 1951, injured party died 2009 or earlier. Relative says had no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
287	TRO904015FTC	1/3/2017	Ewing, Pauline	Pauline Ewing	3575		X	X				Diagnosed in 1995, alleges injured party was incapacitated and "in and out of hospital" in 2009 but does not allege was continuously incapacitated and alleges no other circumstances sufficient to warrant excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
288	TRO902825FTC	10/13/2016	Lathan, Pearlie	Pearlie Lathan	3576		X	X				Diagnosed 2000-2008, alleges attorney lost paperwork. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
289	TRO904274FTC	1/3/2017	Spraggins, Marie	Pauline Ewing	3577		X	X				Diagnosed in 1945. Filing by next of kin. Alleges injured party was incapacitated and could not file. Does not elaborate on how incapacitated. No explanation of knowledge or actions by relatives at time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
290	TRO889637FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Shandrique	Shandrique Roby	3578			X		X		Diagnosed in 2009 - does not say the date in 2009. Alleges that a claim was indeed filed in a timely manner. May be referring to a claim filed after the bar date under the mistaken belief it was timely. If filed before bar date that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust. To extent filing was after the bar date, no excuse has been offered. Motion denied to the extent it seeks relief from the bar date with respect to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
291	TRO895773FTC	3/24/2016	Hill, Shalonda	Shalonda Hill	3579		X	X				Diagnosed in 1994, alleges did file timely claim but no claims on register except late-filed claims. May be referring to a claim in another proceeding, or to a claim filed after the bar date under a mistaken belief it was timely. If filed before bar date that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust. To extent filing was after the bar date, no excuse has been offered. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
292	TRO884390FTC	11/25/2015	Barry, Roosevelt	Loria Porter	3580	7987 8269	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1990, died in 2000. Representative says was unaware of process; did not know could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #7987 and docket # 8269 includes medical records, letter complaining about process. Movant says was without knowledge to wade through the process, complains that claimants should not be punished for not understanding the legal requirements. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing that the deceased's claim was diligently pursued and preserved, no explanation for lack of filing by relative except lack of actual knowledge. Not sufficient to support excusable neglect or due process relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
293	TRO904017FTC	1/3/2017	Tate, Geniya	Genita Spencer	3581			X				Parent filing on behalf of child. Symptoms in 2005, no diagnosis date listed. Says parents were unaware of the bar date, filed claim as soon as learned of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
294	TRO884577FTC	11/25/2015	Young, Ronald	Ronald Young	3582		X	X				Diagnosed 1997. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
295	TRO886279FTC	12/7/2015	Gore, Annie	Annie Gore	3583		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, says filed with Colom law firm in 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Actions of attorneys are not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorneys' actions are excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
296	TRO888567FTC	Unknown	Jackson, Willie	Willie Jackson	3584			X			X	Motion is not listed in the Trust's summary. First diagnosed in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
297	TRO893087FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Dorothy	Dorothy Cockrell	3585		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, alleges filed a claim in 2012. Appears to be under mistaken belief that the 2012 claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. No due process violations shown. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
298	TRO891967FTC	2/23/2016	Parker, Larry D.	Larry D. Parker	3586		X	X				Diagnosed in 1972-74. Alleges filed claim on time and received settlement proceeds through the Colom law firm, which is an obvious reference to a prior class action rather than to the bankruptcy claims process. Appears the claim was already resolved through prior legal proceedings, in which case it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not previously resolved, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
299	TRO893845FTC	3/2/2016	Acernese, Anne Marie	Anthony Acernese	3587			X				Diagnosed in 1980's, died in 2002. Alleges too unsophisticated to understand filings, partially blind, etc. Filing by daughter on father's behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
300	TRO900238FTC	7/25/2016	Nance, Latisha	Latisha Nance	3588		X	X				Diagnosed 1996, alleges that she DID previously file a claim after hearing about "the lawsuit," but only claims on file are late-filed claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
301	TRO898646FTC	6/27/2016	Miller, Charles	Michele Mayo	3589			X				Diagnosed 1992 and earlier years, injured party died in 1992. Claim filed by relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
302	TRO886165FTC	12/7/2015	Mosley, Mable	Mable Mosley	3590			X		X		Alleges diagnosis in 2011. Also alleges that made a claim with the Colom law firm in 2010 or 2011 but it was denied. Unclear what prior proceeding with Colom law firm was. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
303	TRO913770FTC	6/28/2017	Adams, Kenyada	Kenyada Adams	3591		X	X				Diagnosed 2000. Says someone wanted \$100 to help file claim forms, he did not have the money, when he eventually filed he was told he was too late. Unclear whether prior conversation about filing was in connection with a prior class action or with the bankruptcy process in 2009 or with the organized process to file late claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
304	TRO903090FTC	10/13/2016	Devauld, Joyce	Joyce Devauld	3592		X	X				Diagnosed 2000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
305	TRO886048FTC	12/7/2015	Gavin, Latoya	Latoya Gavin	3593	8351	X	X				Diagnoses in 1990, 2001, 2003, including cancer in 2003. Alleges lived out of state from 2004 to 2015 and was not aware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8351, merely a signature on a copy of the Trustee's objection. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
306	TRO888973FTC	12/30/2015	Hughes, Timenna	Timenna Hughes	3594	9398	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed 1999, alleges paperwork done by deceased attorney. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9398 complaining about the process. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
307	TRO886561FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, Glenda	Glenda Hill	3595	8225	X	X				Diagnosed 1986. Alleges previously filed a proof of claim in 2012, but that was after the bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8225. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8622. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
308	TRO889030FTC	12/30/2015	Neal, Barbara	Barbara Neal	3596		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, alleges previously filed a proof of claim but apparently that is a reference to the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
309	TRO893363FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Sandra	Sandra Jones	3597		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, alleges lack of knowledge, says filed when first heard about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
310	TRO915022FTC	7/26/2017	Love, Shanna	Shanna Love	3598			X		X		Claims 2010 exposures and March 2010 diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
311	TRO88420FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Veda	Veda Brooks	3599		X	X				Exposed/diagnosed in 1999, claims filed with an attorney in 2000 but paperwork was lost by attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior contact with attorney about claims shows awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct by attorney is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
312	TRO893441FTC	2/23/2016	People, Javonte	Javonte People	3600		X	X				Diagnosed 1997. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
313	TRO885848FTC	11/25/2015	Turner, Nicholas	Nicholas Turner	3601			X		X		Diagnoses in 2010 and 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
314	TRO897571FTC	5/25/2016	Belotti, Leonard	Gertrude Belotti	3602	8039		X				Diagnosed 1992 and prior years. Filing on behalf of husband, alleges did not know or have reason to know husband was exposed before filing deadline; says did not have notice. A duplicate filed at docket #8039. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
315	TRO898674FTC	6/27/2016	Askew, Martina	Martina Askew	3603		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, states not aware deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
316	TRO893416FTC	2/23/2016	Nat, John	John Nat	3604	8366		X				Diagnosed 2000, 2003 and 2008. "Unaware I should be included in the claim." Alleges his wife received direct notice of the process and that he assumed that if he did not get direct notice he was not eligible to file. Believes it was an "oversight on behalf of the original attorneys." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8366 indicating claimant is deceased. Knowledge of notices received by wife shows knowledge of the process, alleged oversight of attorneys or unexplained mistake in interpreting the notice is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
317	TRO900750FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Rodrigue	Rodrigue Jefferson	3605		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, states not aware deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
318	TRO898493FTC	Unknown	Areshai T. Elliot	Areshai T. Elliot	3606			X			X	Motion is not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2010, but does say "I actually did file before the deadline but I left some information out on my application." Reference to prior filing appears to be to the filing of the late claim, mistakenly believed it was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
319	TRO895764FTC	3/24/2016	Douglas, Frederick	Frederick Douglas	3607		X	X				Diagnosed 2000-2008, says filed in 2000 with William Bombach, does not know what happened. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior filing with counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed prior to 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
320	TRO889626FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Leshon	Leshon Hill	3608		X	X			X	Diagnosed 2000. Claims he did file a claim in the case but did not hear back; not clear if he is alleging a prior claim in a class action, or a timely bankruptcy claim filing before 8/12/09, or a late-filed claim. Any dispute as to timely filed claim is not a request for relief from the bar date and is an issue for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust. If claim was late: no reason is offered, not warrant excusable neglect or due process relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
321	TRO891590FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Kristina	Kristina Miller	3609	4962 8852		X			X	Some conditions diagnosed prior to bar date, some after bar date. Supplement filed at docket #4962. Says "did not know anything about deadline." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8852 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
322	TRO897604FTC	5/25/2016	Brown, Jekyra	Jekyra Brown	3610			X			X	Diagnosed in 2008 with asthma, 2010 with breakouts and dry eyes. Unaware of claims process because moved to another city. Filed late claim in 2016 (eight years after first diagnosis, 6 years after second diagnosis). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect relief as to conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
323	TRO898881FTC	6/27/2016	Kelly, Keyante	Manuela Kelly	3611		X	X				Diagnosed in 2005, alleges no knowledge of claims filing deadline and was not made aware of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
324	TRO893409FTC	2/23/2016	Doss, Zakquarius	Ruby Lee	3612		X	X				Says wheezing symptoms in 2004, then as of November 2008 had to be on a machine. Says did not know of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief.
325	TRO894311FTC	3/2/2016	Greenlee, Robin	Mary Lishman	3613	8012 8112		X			X	Father diagnosed with prostate cancer in 2007, died in late 2009. Relative says that "there was no publication notice or media notice" but certificates on file prove publication in accordance with Court's order, including publication in The Commercial Dispatch in June 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8012 identifies additional conditions allegedly diagnosed after bar date. Supplement at docket # 8112. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
326	TRO896730FTC	4/25/2016	Rush, Willie	Annie Rush	3614		X	X				Father diagnosed in 1981, died in 1989. States that at time of death he had no knowledge of the lawsuit. No explanation of representative's investigation or pursuit of claim or reason why not pursued by the 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
327	TRO884378FTC	11/25/2015	Gould, Willie Mae	Willie Mae Gould	3615	8350	X	X				Health problems date to 1992. Says did not receive any mail or contact concerning a deadline to file. A supplement filed at docket #8350, hand-written letter - says filed so much paperwork already and can't understand why the church got so much when they lived in the same area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
328	TRO901239FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Icola	Icola Lewis	3616		X	X				Diagnosed 1967, was not aware of deadline until it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
329	TRO900448FTC	7/25/2016	Hayden, Alphonso	Alphonso Kelly	3617		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, Filed a claim previously (not clear if in bankruptcy or in prior class action) but was only awarded \$500 and now resubmits. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
330	TRO900708FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Shakenya	Shakenya Jones	3618		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, family moved away from area and claims this is the reason she did not know about the case. Filed a late claim in April 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
331	TRO898877FTC	6/27/2016	Kelly, Manuela	Manuela Kelly	3619		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, had no knowledge of bar date and was not made aware of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
332	TRO889407FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Demetrius	Demetrius Robinson	3620		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file by bar date because wasn't aware of possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
333	TRO895875FTC	Unknown	Fannie Porter	Fannie Porter	3621		X	X				Motion is not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1978, did not file because mother died of heart disease in 1989 and was not aware of any claim to file at that time. No showing as to prior awareness of claims or as to diligent investigation and pursuit of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
334	TRO896921FTC	4/25/2016	Hudgins, Jimmie	Jimmie Hudgins	3622		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file by bar date because wasn't aware of possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
335	TRO892411FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Gary	Gary Williams	3623		X	X				Diagnosed 1967, made claims in 2005, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2015, but never received notice of filing deadline. Filed these claims pro se. Claims filed before 2009 could not have been in connection with the bankruptcy process as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. It appears this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. No grounds stated for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any dispute as to whether a timely claim was actually filed is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust as that is not a claim for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process.
336	TRO880934FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Edna	Edna Williams	3624		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, claims filed with Wilbur Colom but "attorney lost paperwork." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
337	TRO886645FTC	12/7/2015	Little, Delois	Delois Little	3625		X	X				Diagnosed 1956, "I didn't know about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
338	TRO885803FTC	11/25/2015	Tate, Eddie	LaCorey Tate	3626		X	X				Diagnosed 1988, alleges did file on time, encloses letter from US DOJ. Complaint to DOJ did not constitute a proof of claim filing in the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
339	TRO888475FTC	12/18/2015	Brewer III, Alexander	Alexander Brewer III	3627		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, incarcerated from July 2002-December 2005, then was in a halfway house. However, bar date was in August 2009. No allegations sufficient to establish grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
340	TRO901305FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Jersie	Linda Avant	3628		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed and died in 1991. Filer was unaware of deadline. No showing of diligent investigation and pursuit of claim, only alleges lack of actual knowledge of claims process in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
341	TRO886195FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, Vincent	Vincent Hill	3629	8234 8734	X	X				Diagnosed 1984, "did not know about the lawsuit." A supplement filed at docket #8234, complaining that the claims of others who did not reside in area were allowed while rejecting those of residents. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8734 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
342	TRO884401FTC	11/25/2015	Barry, Fannie	Loria Porter	3630		X	X				Diagnosed 1978, injured party died in 2007. Representative says was "unaware of claims process." No showing as to injured party's knowledge or diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
343	TRO899348FTC	8/16/2016	Hill, Lillie	Barbara Roland	3631		X	X				Mother diagnosed 1980 w. cancer, died in 1987. Child contends did not know of claims process until 2015. No showings as to mother's knowledge or her (or her heirs') investigation and pursuit of possible claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
344	TRO901501FTC	8/16/2016	Swanigan, Stevie	Stevie Swanigan	3632			X		X		Diagnosed 2010 and 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
345	TRO901249FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Thomas	Thomas Jefferson	3633		X	X				Diagnosed 1972, "not aware there was a deadline until after the deadline had passed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
346	TRO884016FTC	11/13/2015	Brown, Tosha	Tosha Brown	3634			X				Diagnosed "yes," alleged filed claims with an attorney in 2004 and again in September 2008. Prior dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights and claims. Attorney conduct is not a valid ground for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
347	TRO900484FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Norman	Norman Jefferson	3635		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, not aware of deadline until deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
348	TRO892736FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Walter	Walter Miller	3636	8138		X		X		Diagnosed 2010. A supplement filed at docket #8138, surgery after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
349	TRO898773FTC	6/27/2016	Grice, Mary	Mary Grice	3637		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, not aware of deadline until deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
350	TRO893924FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Charlie	Charlie Fenster	3638		X	X				Wrong claim number is listed in Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1979, alleges was "incompetent" at time of filing. Incompetence not verified or explained. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
351	TRO880978FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Stanley	Stanley Jones	3639		X	X				Diagnosed 2002, "did not know claim even existed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
352	TRO889189FTC	2/23/2016	Holmes, Judie	Judie Holmes	3640		X	X				Diagnosed 1968, moved away from Columbus for almost 30 years in the 70's. Then did fill out paperwork at some point re: this case, but "did not hear anything more until receiving this paperwork." Reference to paperwork appears to refer to late filings, not timely claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
353	TRO895711FTC	3/24/2016	Sherrod, Jesse	Jesse Sherrod	3641		X	X				Diagnosed 1972, no reason given for late filing except for statement "I don't know." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
354	TRO897605FTC	5/25/2016	Edwards, Shameka	Shameka Edwards	3642		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no longer lives in city where exposed, filed a claim in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
355	TRO880981FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Lavonda	Lavonda Jones	3643		X	X				Diagnosed 2005, did not know or have reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
356	TRO886196FTC	12/7/2015	Bush, Carnell	Carnell Bush	3644		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file because unaware of possibility of receiving payment. Also travels from state to state for work. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
357	TRO897613FTC	5/25/2016	Spencer, Tony	Tony Spencer	3645		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, alleges was not aware of the claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
358	TRO904957FTC	1/24/2017	Edwards, Bernice	Velma Johnson	3646		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, moved away from the area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
359	TRO892782FTC	2/23/2016	People, Jonathan	Jonathan People	3647		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file because unaware of possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
360	TRO901813FTC	9/14/2016	Hill, J'Khyiah	Glenda Hill	3648	8225 8690	X	X				Diagnosed 2005, not aware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8225. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8690 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
361	TRO897721FTC	5/25/2016	Avant, Willie	Willie Avant	3649		X	X				Diagnosed 1977, not aware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
362	TRO903034FTC	10/13/2016	Lyons, Dorothy	Dorothy Lyons	3650	4153		X				Diagnosed "yes," did not file because "I did no anything about at time." Duplicate at docket 4153. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
363	TRO898988FTC	6/27/2016	O'Hop, Rosemarie	Rosemarie O'Hop	3651			X		X		Diagnosed 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
364	TRO890888FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Karen	Karen Fields	3652		X	X				Diagnosed 1994, "not aware I could file a case." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
365	TRO897570FTC	5/25/2016	Tate, Georgia	Georgia Tate	3653			X		X		Diagnosed 9/21/2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
366	TRO894372FTC	3/2/2016	Keeton, Larry	Larry Keeton	3654	8191	X	X				Diagnosed 1962, incarcerated in Iowa 2004-2013. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8191. Incarceration does not explain lack of action in pursuit of claim from 1962 to 2004. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
367	TRO903678FTC	11/23/2016	Edwards, Tyreka	Tyreka Edwards	3655		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no prior knowledge of case until filed in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
368	TRO897592FTC	5/25/2016	Johnson, Velma	Velma Johnson	3656		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no longer lives in area, nobody told her about the claims process, she filed when she first heard about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
369	TRO889502FTC	2/23/2016	Howard, Danny	Danny Howard	3657	5024		X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
370	TRO891389FTC	2/23/2016	Rogers, Wilbert	Wilbert Rogers	3658			X		X		Diagnosed 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
371	TRO887822FTC	12/14/2015	Conner, Hearvin	Hearvin Conner	3659		X	X				Diagnosed 2002. Lawyer improperly filed claim (spelled name wrong, wrong diagnoses) but that apparently was in 2016. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
372	TRO900389FTC	7/25/2016	Jefferson, Marvin	Marin Jefferson	3660		X	X				Diagnosed 1983, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
373	TRO897690FTC	5/25/2016	Hairston, Zoe	Zoe Hairston	3661		X	X				Diagnosed 2004, unaware of deadline until filed in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
374	TRO901285FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Shirley	Shirley Jones	3662			X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
375	TRO886569FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, David	David Hill	3663	8229 8623	X	X				Diagnosed 1987, did not know of deadline. Supplement filed at docket 8229, a letter complaining that his claim was denied while that of others who did not live in the area were allowed. Supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket 8623. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
376	TRO895822FTC	3/24/2016	Rice, Glenn	Glenn Rice	3664			X				Diagnosed 2007, wasn't aware of case until after deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
377	TRO897830FTC	5/25/2016	Walker, Ashlea	Ashlea Walker	3665			X				Diagnosed January 2009. Made aware after deadline. Did not file claim until 2016, no explanation for waiting more than 6 years after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
378	TRO886216FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Louise	Louise Turner	3666		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, "doesn't get out much" and was not aware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
379	TRO886838FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, Candice	Candice Hill	3667	8235 8534 8525	X	X				Diagnosed 2005, not aware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8235, complaining that claims of residents were rejected, while allowing claims of nonresidents. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8534. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8525. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
380	TRO885043FTC	Unknown	Lula Mitchell	Lula Mitchell	3668			X			X	Motions was not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2010 & 2014. States, in explanation, that mailed a claim "during the time" but does not explain if that means before bar date or if claimant is just confused about whether a prior late claim was timely. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses (to the extent any are claimed) for lack of sufficient showing of grounds for relief, including diagnosis dates, actions taken to protect rights. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
381	TRO904101FTC	1/3/2017	Ewing, Gerald	Pauline Ewing	3669		X	X				Diagnosed 1973, allegation that victim was mentally incapacitated but no details as to timing or details of incapacity. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
382	TRO886573FTC	12/7/2015	Hendricks, Lenner	Julia Burgin	3670	8710	X	X				Diagnosed 1999, made claim with an attorney then, paperwork lost by attorney. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8710 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
383	TRO892407FTC	Unknown	Antonio Burgin	Antonio Burgin	3671		X	X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1983, incarcerated at time of bar date. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given date of diagnosis. Incarceration in 2009 does not explain delay in light of 1983 diagnosis or delay of many years after bar date before claim filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
384	TRO896396FTC	4/13/2016	Latham, Rachel	Rachel Latham	3672		X	X				Diagnosed 1979, did not know could file a claim. Alleges representation by attorney, unclear if that is in connection with the late-filed claim or a prior proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
385	TRO890669FTC	2/23/2016	Harvill, Cassandra	Cassandra Harvill	3673			X			X	Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
386	TRO897679FTC	5/25/2016	Avant, Willie	Willie Avant	3674		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, did not know could file a claim, but also says did file a claim in category D (text is whited out). No record of any timely claim filing, only record is of late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
387	TRO885667FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Nakiala	Nakiala Williams	3675		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no knowledge exposed or reason to know before deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
388	TRO880345FTC	12/4/2015	Rice, Roger	Roger Rice	3676		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, did not know case was still open. Says was underage when litigation began in 1990s, but was not underage at time of the 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
389	TRO897927FTC	6/10/2016	Jordan, Charlie	Charlie Jordan	3677		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, claims incompetence - could not see, writing makes head hurt, work 2 jobs, all his kids are in college, he was overwhelmed. Insufficient showing of incompetence particularly given that claimant was able to work. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date or of opportunity to participate. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
390	TRO887566FTC	12/14/2015	Skinner, Laura	Laura Skinner	3678		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges lack of direct notice and of knowledge of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
391	TRO892956FTC	2/23/2016	Coley, Mary	Mary Coley	3679	8324 8700	X	X				Diagnosed 2005. "Was not aware of claims process." A supplement filed at docket #8324, without knowledge to wade through process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8700 with others complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
392	TRO904276FTC	1/3/2017	Roberts, Ollie	Linnie Cooperwood	3680		X	X				Diagnosis was before 2009, filing on behalf of deceased, says that "the lawyers that filed the suits in 2000 said that they weren't eligible to file because we were already in a lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding then it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not fully resolved in prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
393	TRO892312FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Johnny	Unknown	3681		X	X				Diagnosed 1983, unaware able to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
394	TRO884121FTC	11/13/2015	Johnson, Tiffany	Tiffany Johnson	3682		X	X		X		Diagnosed 2005, believes did file on time but only record is of claim filed in 2015, claimant may be under mistaken belief that the 2015 claim was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed in 2009 that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If claim was late, no excuse offered. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
395	TRO904618FTC	1/24/2017	Wilson, JW	Linnie Cooperwood	3683		X	X				Diagnosed "before 2009," filing on behalf of deceased. Says that "the lawyers that filed the suits in 2000 said that they weren't eligible to file because we were already in a lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding then it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not fully resolved in prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
396	TRO904104FTC	1/3/2017	Windham, Eddie	Shirley Windham	3684			X				Diagnosed "yes," exposed in 2006 but exhibited symptoms in 2005, provided no reason for late filing, does not state grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
397	TRO897691FTC	5/25/2016	Ford, James	Dorothy Ford	3685		X	X				Diagnosed 1961, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
398	TRO894889FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Precious	Precious Johnson	3686	8967		X			X		Diagnosed 2007, says did not know/had no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8967 complaining about the process. Alleges a new and more serious diagnosis in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
399	TRO880232FTC	12/4/2015	Karriem, Yusuf	Yusuf Karriem	3687							X	Diagnosed 2003, recites reasons for not filing by quoting verbatim from the determination notice without elaborating on underlying reasons. Alleges was a child at time of bar date, no explanation as to awareness by parents or guardian or why they did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
400	TRO887972FTC	12/18/2015	Lacomis, Edmund	Edmund Lacomis	3688			X					Diagnosed 2003, "was not informed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
401	TRO880678FTC	12/4/2015	Graham, Monica McCrary	Monica McCrary Graham	3689			X					Diagnosed 1999, did not know of claim process, says did not know exposed at time of filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
402	TRO884119FTC	11/13/2015	Shinn, Joscia	Joscia Shinn	3690		X	X			X		Diagnosed first in 1989, series of later diagnoses, some after 2009. Discusses how long she has lived in area but does not provide any reason for why not filed by deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on diagnoses before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
403	TRO890017FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Lovenia	Linnie Cooperwood	3691			X				Injured party was diagnosed before 2009 and died before 2009. Relative says she did not know of the process or the deadline. No showing as to deceased's knowledge or awareness of rights, pursuit of other claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
404	TRO897291FTC	5/4/2016	Porter, Cora	Freddie Porter	3692	8279		X				Diagnosis date not provided, says "did not know about the claims process." A supplement filed at docket #8279, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
405	TRO884638FTC	11/25/2015	Hughes, Johnny	Johnny Hughes	3693		X	X				Diagnosed 1998, "not aware of proceeding." States that information was not widely known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
406	TRO893758FTC	3/2/2016	Handerson, Annie	Wilene Gray	3694	8232	X	X				Diagnosed 1999, attorney (Bambach) lost papers. A supplement filed at docket #8232, complains that claimants who did not live in area were allowed claims but she who lived in area was not. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
407	TRO887637FTC	12/14/2015	Stewart, Gennette	Genette Stewart	3695			X				Diagnosed with cancer in March 2009, "because I was treated until such time of sickness - and that why I filed." Appears to allege sickness at time of bar date as reason for not filing. No explanation, however, as to why claim was not filed until more than 6 years after the bar date, in December 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
408	TRO901151FTC	8/16/2016	Ford, Dorothy	Dorothy Ford	3696		X	X				Diagnosed 1968, not aware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
409	TRO898480FTC	6/27/2016	Davenport, James	James Davenport	3697			X				Form signed but not filled out at all, no information as to reasons why did not file a timely claim.
410	TRO880332FTC	12/4/2015	Poindexter, Vincent	Vincent Poindexter	3698		X	X				First diagnosed 1996. Says attorney (Bombach) gathered claim information and lost it. Says EPA visited in 2008 and took names but sent no other information. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
411	TRO886379FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Javonta	Javonta Harris	3699		X	X				Included on the Trust's summary but without a listed docket number. Diagnosed 2000, "was not aware of claims process." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
412	TRO891965FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Emma	Emma Harris	3700		X	X				Docket 3700 is actually a motion for Emma Harris; it was mislabelled as a motion for Annie Dixon. The motion for the Annie Dixon claim is actually at docket 4401. Emma Harris was diagnosed in 1996. Gave \$40 to Judy Bulgin (sp?) to file a claim, had an asbestos test done and was told she was not eligible, thought that meant she should file a Tronox claim. Did not fill out other paperwork because she did not understand importance of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
413	TRO898671FTC	6/27/2016	Walker, Essence	Essence Walker	3701			X				Diagnosed 2009, does not say what month. "Didn't have no idea at the time." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
414	TRO885951FTC	11/25/2015	Lang, Johnny	Unknown	3702	3737	X	X				Says diagnosed 2008, but alleges that he was part of a 2002 action through the Tollison law firm. Also says "I file in a timely manner, I was approved for funds. I don't understand why I am not approved now." Reference to timely filing appears to be reference to class action, which was a separate proceeding and not part of the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in the prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
415	TRO884234FTC	11/25/2015	Shinn, Laquilla	Lawuilla Shinn	3703		X	X		X		Diagnosed in 1988, 2000, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. Was told to send a copy of every location they lived in, they seemed to believe this was sufficient. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
416	TRO892255FTC	2/23/2016	Latham, Cherell	Cherell Latham	3704						X	Diagnosed 1997, was a child at time of deadline. No allegation as to knowledge or awareness of parent or guardian or as to reasons why they did not file a timely claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
417	TRO891331FTC	2/23/2016	Burns, Muriel	Muriel Burns	3705		X	X				Diagnosed 1993, job required traveling, states that publication notice was insufficient as a result. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege that Tronox knew of his claim and does not challenge the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publication notices were reasonable and in compliance with due process requirements for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
418	TRO891958FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Lenita	Lenita Hall	3706		X	X				Diagnosed 1996, was out of state, was not made aware of case until came back to Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
419	TRO890864FTC	2/23/2016	Givens, Dorothy	Dorothy Givens	3707		X	X				Diagnosed 1998, "was not aware of claims." Attached letter states that she was unaware of the dangers of living in the area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
420	TRO896692FTC	Unknown	Anthony Stewart	Anthony Stewart	3708		X	X				Motion was not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1981. Alleges publication notice not reasonable but only in a conclusory way. No allegation that Tronox should have known of his claim and should have sent an individualized notice, no criticism of publication notices that were approved. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
421	TRO898655FTC	6/27/2016	Moore, Nautica	Nautica Moore	3709			X				Diagnosed 2009, no month provided, "didn't have no idea at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
422	TRO888294FTC	12/18/2015	Patmon, Louise	Louise Patmon	3710		X	X				Diagnosed 1985 and 2005, not aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
423	TRO897996FTC	6/10/2016	Griffin, Terrell	Terrell Griffin	3711		X	X				Diagnosed 2004, was not aware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
424	TRO893893FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, April	April Griffin	3712		X	X				Diagnosed 1995 and 1997, not aware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
425	TRO896577FTC	4/25/2016	Jonts, Caroline Salter	Caroline Jones	3713			X				Diagnosed 2008, did submit claim in 2015, Kerr Mckee has allegedly misplaced her claim. Appears to be under the impression that the 2015 claim was timely. No reason given for failure to file by original bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
426	TRO902826FTC	10/13/2016	Cockrell, Pearlie	Pearlie Cockrell	3714		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, did file claim but alleges was not paid fairly. Apparently filed claim in a prior legal proceeding and not the bankruptcy case. If the claim was resolved in a prior legal proceeding then it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If the claim was not resolved, then the prior proceeding shows an awareness of legal rights and the movant has failed to show sufficient diligence in pursuing the same. In addition, if the claim was not fully resolved in the prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
427	TRO88041FTC	12/4/2015	Taggart, Dottie	Taggart, Dottie	3715	8058	X	X				Diagnosed 1972, Howard Gunn filed claim for her in 2001, family has been paid but she has not. A supplement filed at docket #8058 complaining that she filed with one law firm and case was transferred to a new law firm; says Garretson firm (for Tort Claims Trust) allegedly assured her that claim was in lawsuit; Tollison firm said she was represented, but later could not find papers; went to meeting of claimants in Columbus, and was assured was in lawsuit; law firms failed to properly file and handle claim or misplaced them. Refers to filing of claim in a prior class action, which was a different proceeding and which did not constitute a claim in the bankruptcy case. Prior work with attorney shows awareness of claim and of rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused attorney failures are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
428	TRO894029FTC	3/2/2016	Fenton, Mary	Mary Fenton	3716			X			X	Diagnosed March 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
429	TRO902922FTC	10/13/2016	White, Gregory	Gregory White	3717			X			X	Diagnosed 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
430	TRO89858FTC	6/27/2016	Moore, Hattie	Hattie Moore	3718			X			X	Diagnosed 2009. Does not say month. Only says "I had no idea at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
431	TRO884693FTC	11/25/2015	Young, Betty	Betty Young	3719		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, unaware of possibility of receiving settlement until after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
432	TRO890772FTC	2/23/2016	Henley, Virginia	Elizabeth Lee	3720		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1980s and died in 1997. Filing on mother's behalf, states that a claim was filed in November 2015. Mother mentally ill since 1967. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
433	TRO896631FTC	Unknown	Alexius Miller	Alexius Miller	3721		X	X				Motion was not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1997. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
434	TRO898576FTC	6/27/2016	Brown, Edward	Edward Brown	3722			X			X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
435	TRO898536FTC	6/27/2016	James, Lula	Timothy James	3723	4782		X			X		Injured party deceased 2017; rep refers to an earlier filing in 2014; symptoms 2000 and diagnosis 2016. There is a duplicate of this motion filed at docket # 4782. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
436	TRO902701FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Aaliyah	Aaliyah Thomas	3724		x	X					Diagnosed 2000, not aware of process. Contends she was present at meeting with EPA about creosote dangers. Says she did not know about the Tronox claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
437	TRO893609FTC	2/23/2016	McCrary, Marcellus	Marcellus McCrary	3725							x	Diagnosed 1999, was child at time of bar date. No showing as to knowledge or awareness by parents or guardian. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
438	TRO898565FTC	6/27/2016	Mathews, Jewelene	Jewelene Mathews	3726			X			X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
439	TRO900977FTC	8/16/2016	Slaughter, William	William Slaughter	3727		X	X					Diagnosed 1982, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
440	TRO892445FTC	Unknown	Kenneth Brewer	Kenneth Brewer	3728		X	X				Motion was not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1964. Wife died in June 2009, had to raise child alone, wife handled these sorts of matters. Alleges reason why did not know or did not pay attention to claims process in 2009, but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
441	TRO901158FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Ananias	Linda Avant	3729		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1983 and died in 2005. Relative filing on behalf of deceased, unaware of deadline until passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
442	TRO903817FTC	11/23/2016	Adams, Sylvester	Sylvester Adams	3730			X		X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
443	TRO898182FTC	6/20/2016	Tumlin, Wilma	Wilma Tumlin	3731	8163	X	X				Diagnosed 1984, unaware of deadline until it passed. A supplement filed at docket #8163. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
444	TRO891327FTC	2/23/2016	Guin, Terry	Terry Guin	3732	4363?	X	X				Diagnosed 2002, wasn't aware that health issues were caused by exposure. Motion at docket 4363 is possibly a duplicate though claim numbers differ. Says "the initial claim package was returned by the attorney" - unclear if that is a reference to the late-filed claim or to something else. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
445	TRO900620FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Antorondi	Antorondi Jefferson	3733		X	X				Diagnosed 2002, unaware of deadline until passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
446	TRO898880FTC	6/27/2016	Slaughter, Reola	Reola Slaughter	3734		X	X				Diagnosed 1984, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
447	TRO900873FTC	8/16/2016	Roby, Monique	Monique Roby	3735		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
448	TRO901609FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Dexter	Dexter Moore	3736			X				Diagnosed July 2009, "thought I did." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date or of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No record of actual claim filing until late claim filed in 2016. No excuse offered.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
449	TRO892071FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Oliver	Oliver Miller	3738		X	X				Diagnosed 1994, unaware case existed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
450	TRO904952FTC	1/24/2017	Walker, Jessie	Barbara Walker	3739		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed and died in 2002, representative says not aware of Tronox process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
451	TRO900874FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Barbara	Barbara Walker	3740			X		X		Diagnosed 2009, no month specified; says did not know of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
452	TRO880229FTC	12/4/2015	Karriem, Dinetta	Dinetta Krriem	3741	8872	X	X				Diagnosed 1986, copies reasons for non-filing verbatim from descriptions in notice but without elaboration. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8872 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
453	TRO888462FTC	12/18/2015	Salter, Susie	Caroline Ross	3742		X	X				Injured person was diagnosed in 1970, is now deceased. Representative says she "filed way before the deadline, something is wrong on your end." No record of a bankruptcy claim in 2009, may be under mistaken belief that 2015 claim was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
454	TRO893433FTC	2/23/2016	Silvers, Barbara	Barbara Silvers	3743		X	X				1997 diagnosis; unaware of the information or possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
455	TRO891202FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Sharron	Sharron Williams	3744			X		X		Alleges was diagnosed in 2010, but in answer to question #2 (dates exposed) she lists various conditions, some of which long pre-date the bar date in 2009. As to reason why did not file: says she "just didn't." Not a sufficient showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or lack of due process as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
456	TRO888396FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Mary Roby	Mary Roby Smith	3745		X	X				Diagnosed 1979, does not read Wall Street Journal and nothing was on the news. Alleges that "they told me that my name was in the system but I hadn't gotten any notice in the mail saying I could file until a person at Maranatha Faith Center in Columbus MS told me about it." However, affidavit of service shows that notice of the bar date was mailed to Mary Smith as a participant in the pending Creosote Litigations in MS. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
457	TRO885073FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, William Jr.	William Gray, Jr.	3746			X			X	Diagnosed 2009, no month, says filed with attorney Bambach but the paperwork was lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
458	TRO898677FTC	6/27/2016	O'Neal, Coty	Coty O'Neal	3747		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, unaware deadline passed. Does not allege lack of awareness of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
459	TRO885924FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Shirley	Shirley Salter	3748			X				Diagnosed 2007, says did file POC before deadline passed. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. No other ground for relief is claimed.
460	TRO903693FTC	11/23/2016	Edwards, James	James Edwards	3749		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, had no knowledge of case until 2016, moved away, does not say what new location was. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
461	TRO885802FTC	11/25/2015	Tate, Darlene	LaCorey Tate	3750		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges did file on time, attaches letter from DOJ saying that letter was referred to the EPA. Letters to DOJ and EPA were not bankruptcy claim filings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Appears to have been aware of risks of creosote given letters sent to government agencies. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
462	TRO894741FTC	3/23/2016	Farmer, Andre	Andre Farmer	3751		X	X			X	Ulcer and heart issues in 2000, diagnosed with cancer in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
463	TRO891094FTC	2/23/2016	Saddler, Manuel	Manuel Saddler	3752	8331	X	X				1987 diagnosis. Not aware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8331, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
464	TRO886060FTC	Unknown	Roland, Kelvin	Kelvin Roland	3753		X	X				Not included on the Trust's summary. 1995 Diagnosis. Claims (without explanation or further support) that was incapacitated at the time of the bar date, plus incompetent. Also alleges was incarcerated at time of bar date. Alleges he attended a meeting at Trotter Convention when "the claim first was initiated" and signed up with an attorney (William Cunningham) but never heard more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in meeting and dealing with counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
465	TRO900212FTC	7/25/2016	Avant, Bernice	Bernice Avant	3754		X	X				1978 Diagnosis. Says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
466	TRO900060FTC	7/25/2016	Mosley, Dewayne	Dewayne Mosley	3755			X		X		Form not filled out, just circles "b" - condition not manifested until after bar date. Insufficient supporting information to justify relief from the bar date as to any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
467	TRO900475FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Mary	Mary Jefferson	3756		X	X				1975 diagnosis, says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
468	TRO887875FTC	12/14/2015	Presley, Eddie	Eddie Presley	3757			X				Claims that paperwork was lost by attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
469	TRO884122FTC	11/13/2015	Shinn, Daryl	Daryl Shinn	3758			X		X		Some diagnoses before 2009, some after, unclear as to what conditions. Says was incarcerated but without timing details, says it was hard to get papers out. Provides Columbus MS addresses for 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
470	TRO887935FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Brenesha	Brenesha Smith	3759		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Says "sent off paper work not sure how I pass deadline." May be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
471	TRO892101FTC	2/23/2016	Mays, Fannie	Fannie Mays	3760		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Says not aware of claims process; uses standard but conclusory language saying publication notice was not good enough. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
472	TRO889623FTC	2/23/2016	Pilate, Marcy	Marcy Pilate	3761						X	Diagnosis in 1998. Says was a child at time of bar date. Lists attorney representation (William Colom) but without date, unclear if representation preceded bar date or post-dated it. No explanation as to parents' or guardian's knowledge or reasons why they did not make a timely claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
473	TRO894106FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Yolander	Yolander Jones	3762		X	X				Diagnoses in 1982, 1997, 2000 and 2007. Says did not see any notice, "since I was not notified I did not think I could file a claim." Unclear as to whether was aware of claims process before bar date. Mistake as to eligibility is not by itself grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
474	TRO890868FTC	2/23/2016	Givens, Desi	Desi Givens	3763						X	1996 diagnosis. Says not aware of claims process, attached letter says unaware of dangers while living in area. Says was child in 1996, cannot tell age of claimant in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age in 2009 and, if was a minor at that time, to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
475	TRO880913FTC	12/4/2015	Harriston, Willie	Willie Harriston	3764			X				The motion at docket #3764 was incorrectly listed in the Trust's summary as a motion by Willie Harrison, but that motion is at docket 4234. This claimant signed his name two different ways - once as Willie Hairston, once as Willie Harriston. Says he previously filed with the Colom law firm but was not paid enough. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not fully resolved, then participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims arising before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
476	TRO893925FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Charlie Jr.	Charlie Fenster	3765		X	X				Says was a child at time of deadline, but also says diagnosed in 1979, so had to be at least 30 years old. No other circumstances explained, including knowledge or actions by parents or guardian. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
477	TRO912170FTC	5/30/2017	Hardy, Lawrence	Lawrence Hardy	3766	8312	X	X				1997 diagnosis, says was unaware of claims process. Attachment argues that claimants should not be punished for a seemingly ever-changing claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8312, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
478	TRO890663FTC	2/23/2016	William, Cherry	Cherry William	3767	8056 8063	X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s. Says did not know had been exposed prior to bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8056 and a duplicate of 8056 is filed at 8063. Says she cannot afford a lawyer and does not have much education. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
479	TRO890664FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Frankie	Cherry William	3768		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s. Says did not know had been exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
480	TRO886046FTC	12/7/2015	Verdell, Meller	Meller Verdell	3769			X				1979 diagnosis, no justification given for failure to file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
481	Unknown	12/4/2015	Collins, Gregory	Gregory Collins	3770			X				1973 diagnosis. Says 2009 was a difficult year due to family deaths, was focused on estate matters until 2011. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
482	TRO895088FTC	3/23/2016	Brownlee, David	Mattie Brownlee	3771	8062	X	X				Diagnoses 1996 and earlier. Filed by relative. Says no reason to know exposed prior to death. A supplement filed at docket # 3771 and docket # 8062; simply educated, unaware of manner in which to proceed. No showing as to deceased's or his family's knowledge and diligence in pursuing claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
483	TRO895442FTC	3/24/2016	O'Hop, Thamas	Thamas O'Hop	3772			X				2007 diagnosis. Says didn't know about process until cousin told him, filed in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
484	TRO904998FTC	1/24/2017	Scott, Kawanis	Kawanis Scott	3773		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says was told by an attorney in 1985 that the deadline for claims had passed and that only employees of Kerr-McGee could file. Appears to have been attempting to pursue participation in prior lawsuits by the Colom law firm. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
485	TRO902463FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Charlie	Abby Durrah	3774	6644		X		X		Diagnosed "sometime 2009 or 2010." filing on behalf of brother. Filed in 2016 as well. Rejection notice filed at docket # 6644. Brother suffered, feels should be compensated. No showing as to brother's knowledge of claims process or diligence in pursuit of his rights, not qualify for relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to claims based on conditions diagnosed prior to the bar date. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
486	TRO880497FTC	12/4/2015	Bishop, Diane (Wells)	Marilyn Bishop Davis	3775		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Injured party died in 1993. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
487	TRO891531FTC	Unknown	Bridges, William	William Bridges	3776	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432	X	X				Motion not included on Trust's summary. 1972 Diagnosis. Previously filed in 2002 with atty Howard Gunn, did not live in Mississippi to get updates, says attorney of record did not advise that he had dropped out of the suit. Additional letters were filed by Billie W. Bridges for a group of people some of which mention this name also. The additional supplements are filed at docket #8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408 and #9432, complaining about the process. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Class action counsel had direct notice of 2009 bar date, if was still representing the movant then should have filed a timely claim, conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
488	TRO881005FTC	12/4/2015	Collins, Roy	Roy Collins	3777						X	Filed in 2015, says was a child at the time of the bar date. However, he was born in 1989, so was 20 at bar date. Alleges father's and brother's claims were allowed but unclear when they filed or as to when they were first diagnosed with symptoms. Does not allege that he or his parents were unaware of the claims process or of their claims and legal rights at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
489	TRO886956FTC	12/7/2015	Kundrat, Robert	Robert Kundrat	3778		X	X		X		Diagnoses in various years before 2009, once in 2014 (no details as to condition diagnosed then). Did not file because "we were told that since Kerr McGee was bankrupt, there would be no funds available for any claims that would be filed." Deserves credit for the honesty of the explanation but unfortunately a miscalculation of the likely recovery is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
490	TRO896710FTC	4/25/2016	Ropieski, Stanley	Marian Ropieski	3779			X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says did not know "of this class action lawsuit until it was in the paper." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
491	TRO894279FTC	3/2/2016	Humphries, Pamela	Pamela Humphries	3780		X	X				Diagnosis in 1996. Says was under the impression that only people with cancer could file, then found out in 2015 that "anyone who had attended Hunt High School could file." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
492	TRO901494FTC	8/16/2016	Wells, Lawrence	Lawrence Wells	3781			X				Former resident of Hamilton, MS. Various pre-bar date diagnoses. Alleges did not know of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
493	TRO886961FTC	12/7/2015	Dulney, Donna	Donna Dulney	3782		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Says she was told she was not eligible (does not say by whom or in context of what proceeding). Does not allege lack of knowledge of proceeding or of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
494	TRO898879FTC	6/27/2016	Abraham, Tonia	Tonia Abraham	3783			X				1987 diagnosis. Says was unaware of deadline. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given date of diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
495	TRO884464FTC	11/25/2015	Bailey, Hattie	Hattie Bailey	3784	8260	X	X				1989 diagnosis. Did not know about claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8260, says that at the time she was not aware of the dangers of the company to the community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
496	TRO891187FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Annie	Annie Tate	3785			X				Diagnosis in 2006. Says "did not know about it in time." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
497	TRO998695FTC	12/4/2015	Bridges, Kendrick	Kendrick Bridges	3786	7341 8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432	X	X				1976 diagnosis. Part of a group of Columbus MS claimants. Says filed with attorney in 2002, wasn't told the attorney dropped out. There were supplemental letters filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this party and a group of others at docket ## 7341, 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530). The letter filed at docket #7341 by Billy Bridges on behalf of various claimants complaining as to how the attorneys representing them in the class actions handled their case and requesting that payment to the attorneys get frozen until they certify as to the way they handled the claimants' documentation. Movants appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. There are additional supplements filed at docket #8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408 and #9432, complaining about the process. The class actions are different proceedings that are not pending in this Court. Prior filing in class action does not constitute a filing in the bankruptcy case. Claims resolved in prior action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Complaints that attorneys with Creosote Litigation Group should have filed claims on their behalf is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect in the absence of a showing that counsel's failures can be excused.
498	TRO904757FTC	1/24/2017	Tomassoni, Mark	Mark Tomassoni	3787			X				1998 diagnosis. Says never received direct notice that required to file a claim. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not allege that Tronox knew of the movant or of the movant's claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
499	TRO897888FTC	5/25/2016	Backowski, Albert	Albert Backowski	3788			X				November 2007 diagnosis. Says he did not see notice in the news. Found out later after other people got payments on claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
500	TRO998696FTC	12/4/2015	Bridges, Evaleem	Evaleem Bridges	3790	7341 8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432	X	X				Diagnoses 1997-2003. Part of a group of Columbus, MS claimants. Filed with attorney Howard Gunn in 2002. Attorney told her no action needed, part of 2002 action. There were supplemental letters filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this party and a group of others at docket ## 7341, 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and 9432, complaining about the process. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. The class actions are different proceedings that are not pending in this Court. Claim resolved in prior action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, and if claimant was represented by the Creosote Litigation Group, then a timely claim should have been filed because attorneys with that group received direct notice of the bar date. Failure of counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless failures of counsel can be excused. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
501	TRO912171FTC	5/30/2017	Hardy, Michael	L.H. Hardy	3791	8313	X	X				1980 diagnosis. Says "I was informed of the claims process" but presumably means "not informed." A supplement filed at docket #8313, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
502	TRO898907FTC	6/27/2016	Pippins, Alice	Mary Moore	3792		X	X				Filing for mother who died in 1968. No knowledge of case before deadline. Was 15 at time of mothers' death (in 1968) and didn't know reason for her death, so couldn't have blamed it on creosote. However, health risks of creosote exposure because the subject of widespread news coverage in Columbus, MS after 1999. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
503	TRO901270FTC	8/16/2016	Shelton, Charlie	Charlie Shelton	3793			X				Diagnosis in 2006; says unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
504	TRO899014FTC	6/27/2016	Ezell, Leo	Leo Ezell	3794		X	X				1955 diagnosis; says unaware of case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
505	TRO889729FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Tamika	Tamika Wilson	3795		X	X				1997-1998 diagnoses. Says was moving at the time of the deadline and was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
506	TRO897705FTC	5/25/2016	Harris, James	James Harris	3796			X				Trust's report treated motions at docket 3796 and docket 4194 as having been filed by the same person, but that may be an error since different addresses are listed. Motion at docket 3796 is for James A. Harris [TRO897705FTC], motion at docket 4194 is for James Harris [TRO900378FTC]. James A. Harris (docket 3796) alleges he was diagnosed in February 2008, was not aware of the bar date deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
507	TRO887799FTC	12/14/2015	James, Daria	Thaddea Bell	3797			X			X	Says was diagnosed in 2014 but also says retained attorney Bambach in 2006 to file paperwork. Bambach died in 2013, according to his obituary. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
508	TRO896556FTC	4/25/2016	Hardy, Jesse	Lillian Hardy	3798		X	X				Filing for husband who died in 1988. Says was unaware she could file on husband's behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
509	TRO898718FTC	6/27/2016	Irions, Elisabeth	Elisabeth Irions	3799		X	X				First exposed in 1986, diagnosed "at age five," so diagnosis had to be in approximately 1991. Explanation for lack of claim is that "I did not know to do it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
510	TRO905372FTC	3/1/2017	Moore, Henry	Shemika Craddieth	3800		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1952, died in 1992. Says she filed a Future Tort Claim but does not explain failure to file by the original 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
511	TRO889836FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Shemika	Shemika Craddieth	3801		X	X				Diagnoses in 1987 and 1989. Claims DID file a "Future Claims" form but that apparently is a reference to a late-filed claim. No explanation of failure to file by original 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
512	TRO894866FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Stefan	Stefan Williams	3802		X	X				Says filed in 2002 but unclear of date, claims to have filed again "when claims became available again" in October 2015. Says relatives were compensated from earlier claims. Any claim filed in 2002 must have been in connection with a prior class action. Unclear if claim was resolved in class action but if so the claim could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, then participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that accrued as of 2002 also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
513	TRO896381FTC	4/13/2016	Ellis, Mitt	Oscar Ellis	3803			X				1988 diagnosis, party died before bar date. No explanation as to failure to file by the original bar date, therefore no proper grounds stated for relief.
514	TRO895797FTC	3/24/2016	Mullens, John	Jacqueline Aderinto	3804		X	X				1981 diagnosis, says no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
515	TRO886665FTC	12/7/2015	Dowd, Linda	Linda Dowd	3805			X		X		2006 diagnosis of high blood pressure, 2011 diagnosis of back pain. Describes other conditions without diagnosis dates. Did not know about "the lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
516	TRO887323FTC	12/14/2015	Gray, Parine	Parine Gray	3806			X			X	Diagnosed 2013, but also says didn't file a claim by deadline because unaware of possibility of getting anything for injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
517	TRO891960FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, West	West Malone	3807			X			X	The correct claim number for this motion is TRO891960FTC (listed incorrectly by the Tort Claims Trust as TRO891690FTC, which is a claim for Fannie Hackman that is governed by the motion at docket #4754.) Movant at docket 3807 says he did not file because he was not aware of any lawsuit. Diagnoses listed are after bar date, none listed before bar date. Unclear if any relief sought as to pre-bar date diagnoses, but motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses for failure to identify a relevant pre-bar date diagnosis and lack of proper showing of diligence and other elements of excusable neglect claim. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
518	TRO899973FTC	7/25/2016	Johnson, Karsandra	Karsandra Johnson	3808		X	X				2000 Diagnosis. Says did not know of the process or the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
519	TRO903243FTC	10/27/2016	Wright, Gregory	Gregory Wright	3809		X	X				2005 Diagnosis. Says did not know that had been exposed or had reason to know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
520	TRO895842FTC	3/24/2016	Shumpert, Cedrick	Cedrick Shumpert	3810		X	X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says talked to an attorney (unnamed and at unspecified time) who said "it wasn't the deadline." Context is not clear. If he spoke to an attorney before the bar date and received bad advice from the attorney that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. If he spoke to an attorney after the bar date, that does not explain why he missed the original bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
521	TRO884624FTC	11/25/2015	Hairston, Lula	Lula Hairston	3811	6221 8273 8276	X	X				1960 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Says was not aware of the Tronox claim process. A supplemental claim filed at docket #6221 but in this one she says she did not previously file with an attorney. An additional supplement filed at docket #8276, says was without knowledge to wade through the process. There is also a supplement filed at docket #8273 that says without knowledge to wade through process but using a different TRO number [TRO880743FTC]. Unclear if did or did not participate in prior class action claims process, but if so then participation shows knowledge of claim, and any claim resolved in a prior class action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not part of class action, risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
522	TRO884048FTC	11/13/2015	Salter, Jarvaris	Jarvaris Salter	3812						X	Says was a child, did not know that had to show proof of medical condition. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
523	TRO903261FTC	10/27/2016	Vaughn, Michael	Sanders Vaughn, Sr.	3813		X	X				Filing on behalf of injured party who was diagnosed in 2001 and is now deceased. Rep says was dealing with PTSD and depression (dates not specified) and did not know to file a claim. Insufficient showing of incapacity to file claim or to arrange filing of claim. No showing as to deceased's knowledge or pursuit of rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
524	TRO894928FTC	3/23/2016	Vaughn, Sanders	Sanders Vaughn	3814		X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s. Says was dealing with PTSD and depression (dates not specified) and did not know to file a claim. Insufficient showing of incapacity to file claim or to arrange filing of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
525	TRO893432FTC	2/23/2016	Lane, Patta	Patta Lane	3815			X				Diagnosed with non-Hodgkins lymphoma before bar date but did not realize until after 2009 that illness was related to creosote. Explains why missed the bar date itself but does not explain why no claim was filed until early 2016, more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
526	TRO894583FTC	3/23/2016	Scott, Susie Summerville	Susie Summerville Scott	3816		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Says was part of 2002 lawsuit through Colom law firm. Claim resolved in a prior litigation could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, then participation in the prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
527	TRO886043FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Orlando	Orlando Smith	3817		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Was part of 2002 legal case with Colom law firm. Claim resolved in a prior litigation could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, then participation in the prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
528	TRO895480FTC	3/24/2016	Davis, Nathaniel	Bobby Irions	3818		X	X				1953 diagnosis. Injured party is deceased. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
529	TRO903146FTC	10/13/2016	Jones, W. T.	W. T. Jones	3819		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Says was unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
530	TRO900043FTC	7/25/2016	Hazenski, Joseph	Mary Grace Kabacinski	3820			X				Filed on behalf of person who died in 1989. Representative says does not read Wall Street Journal or watch the news. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given that injuries must have preceded 1989. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
531	TRO895832FTC	3/24/2016	Kabacinski, Mary Grace	Mary Grace Kabacinski	3821			X		X		Alleges various conditions, most of which pre-date the 2009 bar date. Says does not read Wall Street Journal and did not see notices in other papers. Became aware only when other people started receiving checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
532	TRO893063FTC	2/23/2016	Eads, J	Ardyce Eads	3822	8061 8398	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1998 and died in 2001. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Rep filing on behalf of deceased husband. Did not file prior claim because died before the class action. Rep believes that notice went to husband's house, which she wouldn't have seen (may be referring to a class action notice). States that in 2009 she had moved several times and was fighting breast cancer from the creosote exposure; however, she also says she has been free of it for 15 years. Supplements filed at docket # 8061 and # 8398. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Reference to class action suit shows awareness of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge by representative but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
533	TRO896713FTC	4/25/2016	Hill, Tommy	Tommy Hill	3823			X				1988 diagnosis. Says had no knowledge "of the claim" until 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
534	TRO898716FTC	6/27/2016	Howard, Caremella	Caremella Howard	3824		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnoses listed as 1/1/1980 and 12/31/2009, motion form says was diagnosed before bar date and should be excused for not filing because was unaware of lawsuit until sister told her. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claim based on pre-bar date diagnosis. Claim based on 1980 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
535	TRO881086FTC	12/4/2015	Collins, Timothy	Tim Collins	3825		X	X				Mother died in 2009, claimant was the executor of her estate. Says tried to be included in early 2000s class action settlement in Columbus, MS but was told he was too late. Alleges did not actually know of the Tronox bankruptcy claims process. Says mother's death was the main focus of his life in 2009. Lives in Colorado. States that he knows publication notice is technically considered to be adequate, but local MS notices provided discernable advantages to people who were living in that state. However, reference to the prior class action shows knowledge of legal claims and rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that had accrued as of the early 2000s also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
536	TRO895599FTC	3/24/2016	Cooks, Kemion	Kemion Cooks	3826						X	Diagnosis date listed as "2008-2010." Was 17 years old at time of bar date, living in California. Did not know of the claims process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
537	TRO889540FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Glendora	Glendora Jamison	3827			X			X	Diagnosed with cancer in 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
538	TRO903306FTC	Unknown	Murdock, Flentrus Jr.	Flentrus Murdock Jr.	3828		X	X				Motion not listed in Trust's summary. 1988 diagnosis. Says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
539	TRO887129FTC	12/14/2015	Shields, John, Sr.	John Shields, Jr.	3829		X	X				Filed for father. Says submitted a claim in 1997 in connection with a prior creosote litigation. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
540	TRO913182FTC	5/30/2017	Eads, Ardyce	Ardyce Eads	3830	8059	X	X				2000 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says did not get notice. Filed claim for deceased husband in November 2015. Called help line in April 2017 after rejection of husband's claim, mentioned her own cancer, was told to file a future tort claim. A supplement filed at docket #8059, does not read WSJ and saw no bar date news in media; however, publications included local newspaper in Aberdeen and other local papers in MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but does not explain her failure to file her own claim at the time she filed a claim for her husband, also does not address relevant factors other than lack of actual knowledge. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
541	TRO900772FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Dana	Dana Walker	3831			X		X		First diagnosed in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
542	TRO884031FTC	11/13/2015	Perry, Arthur	Arthur Perry	3832	8310 8920	X	X				2005 diagnosis. Original papers offer no reason why missed bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8310, says without knowledge to wade through process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8920 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
543	TRO893049FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, Mary	Mary Malone	3833		X	X				1993 diagnosis. Was unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
544	TRO890840FTC	2/23/2016	Payne, Nathaniel	Nathaniel Payne	3834			X			X	First diagnosed in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
545	TRO903242FTC	10/27/2016	Wright, Lashuna	Lashuna Wright	3835			X			X	Diagnoses at various dates. Filed claim through Wilbur Colom, unclear in what proceeding or when but apparently before the bar date. Says did not know of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of Tronox bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
546	TRO893149FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Coleman	3836	4823	x	X				1985 diagnosis but recurrence of tumors after bar date. Was unaware of exposure prior to deadline. She and her physicians did not know of connection to creosote. Says did not have access to any of the publications with notice, but notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus. Also says notice only allowed 52 calendar days or 36 business days to file a claim and that was unreasonably short, but that does not explain why she filed no claim until more than six years after the bar date. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; she says that "unlike the case heard by the court in Standard Bank who heard about the contamination in October 2012 and did not file until September 2014" she says that when she learned of it in 2015, she filed immediately. A supplemental form was filed at docket # 4823. Suggests she consulted with physicians about causal connection and was unaware of creosote link. However, connections between creosote exposures and health issues were the subject of widespread publicity in Columbus, MS beginning in 1999. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
547	TRO895086FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Joe	Joe Shinn	3837			X			X	Diagnosed in November 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
548	TRO892995FTC	2/23/2016	Reeves, Demetrics Lowe	Demetrics Lowe Reeves	3838		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Not aware of claim process, never saw "advertisements" in the newspapers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
549	TRO905724FTC	3/28/2017	Coleman, Brelana	Brelana Coleman	3839						X	Diagnosed with asthma in 2001. Child at time of deadline in 2009 but no showing as to parents' or guardian's knowledge of creosote related claims or explanation why they did not investigate and pursue possible claims. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
550	TRO893150FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Mary	Jackie Coleman	3840		X	X				Filed for a relative who was diagnosed in 1992 and died in 1998. Says unaware of exposure prior to bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
551	TRO892912FTC	2/23/2016	Harrington, Linda	Linda Harrington	3841			X		X		First diagnosed in 2010, but says did not file by bar date because "I misinterpreted conditions of the claim." No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
552	TRO902576FTC	9/14/2016	Askew, Mary	Mary Green-White	3842			X				Filing on behalf of deceased relative, dates of diagnosis and death unknown. Says movant and deceased were unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
553	TRO890496FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Jaquelin	Jacquelin Jackson	3843		X	X			X	In response to question about specific diseases or physical conditions allegedly caused by exposure the claimant appears to have listed all medical conditions that have ever been diagnosed, some of which are after the bar date. Connection to creosote of many of the conditions listed (contusions, soft tissue injuries) is not clear. Claims that did not know of exposure to Tronox products. Alleges lack of knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of legal rights and claims), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
554	TRO880295FTC	12/4/2015	Moore, Christine	Christine Moore	3844	3940	X	X				Diagnoses all 2004 and earlier. Unaware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #3940 alleges that the Tort Claims Trust denied an alleged future tort claim, then retracted the denial, then denied the claim again. No reasons stated to support grant of relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
555	TRO899972FTC	7/25/2016	Danner, Ada	Ada Danner	3845		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Copies and pastes language regarding lack of knowledge of the bar date, no other explanation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
556	TRO886198FTC	12/7/2015	Thompson, Alice	Alice Thompson	3846		X	X			X	Diagnosis for diabetes 1994, other condition 2004, blindness 2010. Says disabled and had no knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
557	TRO902855FTC	10/13/2016	Davis, Sarah	Clyde Moore	3847	8184	X	X				The injured party died in 2003; rep unaware of need to file a claim on behalf of mother. A supplement filed at docket #8184, was told he could not file a claim for a deceased person (does not say who gave such advice or when). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Bad advice is not grounds for excuse unless person giving the advice can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
558	TRO891062FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Harris	3848	6034 8997	X	X		X		Trust's summary incorrectly listed this motion as dkt # 3847. Diagnosis dates not clear. Lived outside of area at deadline. A rejection notice filed at docket #6034. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8997 complaining about the process and delays. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
559	TRO893726FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Bobby	Patricia Douglas	3849		X	X				Diagnosis in 1971, injured party died in 2000. Claim was filed with Colom law group but was denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
560	TRO894996FTC	3/23/2016	Triplett, Alberta	Helen Glass	3850		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2005 and died in 2006. Rep says no reason to know mother was exposed to Tronox product prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
561	TRO890884FTC	2/23/2016	Edwards, Bessie	Bessie Edwards	3851			X		X		Symptoms in 2006 but claims no diagnosis until 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
562	TRO887332FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Georgia	Georgia Davis	3852		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
563	TRO889900FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, William	William Jamison	3853			X		X		Diagnosed in 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
564	TRO885782FTC	11/25/2015	Thompson, LaMarcus	LaMarcus Thompson	3854		X	X				Diagnoses 1996-2000. "Wasn't aware of what kind of claim it was until later on when it became public." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
565	TRO888974FTC	12/30/2015	Hughes, Temona	Temona Hughes	3856	9400	X	X				1998 diagnosis, says paperwork was done and lost by William Bambach. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9400 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
566	TRO888008FTC	12/18/2015	Johnson, Kenneth	Kenneth Johnson	3857		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Says was hospitalized for one week at time of bar date. No explanation of why did not file before or after hospitalization and why no claim was filed until many years after the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
567	TRO880159FTC	12/4/2015	Harris-Platt, Sheila	Sheila Harris-Platt	3858		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
568	TRO884946FTC	11/25/2015	Baity, Dorothy	Dorothy Baity	3859		X	X				Diagnoses prior to 2000. Says did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
569	TRO886133FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Alvin	Alvin Johnson	3860		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
570	TRO886134FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Jimarcus	Jimarcus Johnson	3861		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
571	TRO886135FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Zachariah	Zachariah Johnson	3862		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
572	TRO880338FTC	12/4/2015	Pratt, Sheila	Sheila Pratt	3863		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
573	TRO896795FTC	4/25/2016	Thompson, Michael	Michael Thompson	3864						X	Diagnoses in 2006 and prior years. Says was a child at the time of the deadline. No showing as to parents' or guardian's knowledge, awareness or pursuit of claim or explanation why they did not act before bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
574	TRO887720FTC	12/14/2015	Griffin, Arianna	Arianna Griffin	3865		X	X				Asthma diagnosis in 1992, cancerous cells 2006. Claimant says filed a claim through Colom law firm and was told it was denied. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If counsel's conduct is being criticized, conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's failures are excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1992 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
575	TRO887798FTC	12/14/2015	Bell, Asjah	Thaddeu Bell	3866		X	X				Filed in 2001 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
576	TRO902888FTC	10/13/2016	Duck, Easter	Darlene Duck	3867		X	X				Filing for mother who was diagnosed in 1999 and died in 2006. Says did not know about the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
577	TRO890106FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Twila	Twila Williams	3868			X				1981 diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
578	TRO881082FTC	12/4/2015	Summerville, Lendward	Lendward Summerville	3869			X		X		Says first diagnosis in 2007 but also alleges some conditions were diagnosed after the bar date. Complains that publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege that Tronox knew of this claimant's claim. Publication notice complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
579	TRO901996FTC	9/14/2016	Prowell, Essie	Campranella Peowell	3870	7266	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1975 and died in 1981. Rep says unaware could file a claim. A duplicate of this claim is filed at docket # 7266. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim appears to have accrued in MS and, if so, it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
580	TRO885572FTC	11/25/2015	Perry, Brenda	Brenda Perry	3871	8867		X			X	Most diagnoses before bar date (sleep apnea, lower back pain, diabetes, carpal tunnel), heart disease diagnosed after bar date (2012). Unaware exposed prior to deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8867 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
581	TRO906061FTC	4/24/2017	Prowell, Donna	Campranella Peowell	3872		X	X				1962 diagnosis; death at unspecified date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim appears to have accrued in MS and, if so, it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
582	TRO902987FTC	10/13/2016	Bradford-Jones, Martha	Martha Bradford-Jones	3873		X	X				Diagnoses early 1990s. Unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
583	TRO912934FTC	5/30/2017	Williams, Willie	Glenda W. Harrison	3874		X	X				Injured party (father of movant) diagnosed in 2000, died in 2001. Says mother thought that only living persons could file in lawsuit (may be referring to prior class action) and only told living family members about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
584	TRO989612FTC	6/27/2016	Richardson, Roderick	Roderick Richardson	3875		X	X				Former MS resident. Diagnoses in late 1970s. Says "no prior knowledge and was contacted by mail." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
585	TRO895083FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Johnnie	Johnnie Shinn	3876			X			X	Says was diagnosed in 2017 but also contends that claimant filed a legal proceeding against Tronox prior to the bar date and did not get direct notice of the bar date. Affidavits of service on file show notices were mailed to all people with pending lawsuits or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to a specific pre-bar date claim or as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect for pre-bar date claims. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
586	TRO884782FTC	11/25/2015	Cox, Joyce	Joyce Cox	3877		X	X				Was part of 2002 class action. Claim resolved in a prior proceeding could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
587	TRO896415FTC	4/13/2016	Brewer, Barbara	Barbara Brewer	3878		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Has copied and pasted text saying claimant had no knowledge, saw no notices, called after the bar date and was told to file a future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
588	TRO901116FTC	8/16/2016	Monroe, Lucille	Lue Ann Hampton	3879		X	X				Diagnosis from 1970. Explanation for not filing is "we didn't know you could or she were really too sick to do so." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
589	TRO899307FTC	7/11/2016	McFarland, Constance	Constance McFarland	3880			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
590	TRO890390FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Edd	Mary B. Neal	3881		X	X				1956 diagnosis. Consulted attorney Howard Gunn in MS in 2002, was told could not file in class action for a deceased person. This 2002 conversation shows an awareness of connection to creosote and of potential legal rights. No explanation as to why did not pursue claims independent of the class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of 2009 bar date in Tronox case but does not show other factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of rights) to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
591	TRO889293FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Minnie	Mary B. Neal	3882		X	X				Similar to claim 3881. Says was told by Howard Gunn (after filed class action claim in 2002) that could not file for a deceased person. This 2002 conversation shows an awareness of connection to creosote and of potential legal rights. No explanation as to why did not pursue claims independent of the class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of 2009 bar date in Tronox case but does not show other factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of rights) to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
592	TRO886601FTC	12/7/2015	Deans, Stacey	Stacey Deans	3883		X	X		X		Various diagnoses; some after bar date, rest 2005 and earlier. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
593	TRO885286FTC	11/25/2015	Martin, Mariah	Lillie Richardson Wade	3884		X	X				1985 diagnosis, injured party died before the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
594	TRO884957FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, Lillie	Lillie Gray	3885	8995		X		X		First diagnosis in 2006 but multiple conditions alleged, separate dates for each are not clear. Says is elderly and did not know of process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8995 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
595	TRO902319FTC	9/14/2016	Farmer, Bruce	Bruce Farmer	3886		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
596	TRO890045FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Nathan	Nathan Taylor	3887		X	X		X		Lists 1981 as date of first diagnosis but conditions listed all have diagnosis dates after the bar date (2010 and later). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
597	TRO893907FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, William	William Johnson	3888		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1975, deceased at time of bar date. Claimant has cut-and-pasted typed text alleging lack of knowledge of the Tronox process, allegation that called Tort Claims Trust after bar date and was told to file a future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
598	TRO905569FTC	3/28/2017	Davidson, George, Jr.	George Davidson, Jr.	3889		X	X				Says diagnosis in 1944 but may be confusing exposure date and diagnosis date. Alleges lack of knowledge, believes would violate due process to deny claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
599	TRO905559FTC	3/28/2017	Howell, Leonard	Flenrus L. Murdock, Jr.	3890		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
600	TRO892316FTC	2/23/2016	Hanson, James	James Hanson	3891		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says was out of town working (does not say how long) in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
601	TRO893450FTC	2/23/2016	Mays, Darnell	Kristen Smith	3892			X		X		Filing for deceased father. Condition occurred after 2009, they say, but also say diagnosis was in 2007 or 2008 and that mailed a claim to an attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect based on conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
602	TRO904627FTC	1/24/2017	Mims, Lisa	Lisa Mims	3893	X	X					1971 diagnosis. Did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
603	TRO888058FTC	12/18/2015	Pruitt, Gloria	Gloria Pruitt	3894			X		X		Says was diagnosed 10/25/2009. Also says did not file by bar date because "I misinterpreted conditions of the claim." Latter statement suggests knowledge of bar date but no diagnosis until after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
604	TRO902039FTC	9/14/2016	Moore, Tracy	Tracy Moore	3895			X				Contends diagnosis in June-July 2009, same time frame for claims filed on behalf of children at dockets 3896 and 3897. Relatively recent diagnosis might explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why did not file until more than 6 years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
605	TRO902038FTC	9/14/2016	Moore, Destiny	Tracy Moore	3896			X				Contends diagnosis in July 2009. Relatively recent diagnosis might explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why did not file until more than 6 years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
606	TRO902033FTC	9/14/2016	Hogan, Undrea	Tracy Moore	3897			X				Contends diagnosis in July 2009. Relatively recent diagnosis might explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why did not file until more than 6 years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
607	TRO888197FTC	12/18/2015	Baloga, Carolyn	Carolyn Baloga	3898						X	Was 9 years old at claims deadline, and had no reason to know exposed. Father says he had no idea the condition (epilepsy) might be connected to creosote exposure. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file in 2009, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
608	TRO899321FTC	7/11/2016	Robinson, Johnny	Johnny Robinson	3899		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear, listed as "2002-2017," unclear if continuing condition(s) or new conditions. Claims to have filed with Wilbur Colom but that paperwork was lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel is excused. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
609	TRO894553FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Grover	Grover William	3900		X	X				Filed claim w. atty Will Bambach in 2000-2001, believes paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
610	TRO886713FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Julian	Annette Davis	3901	4142	X	X				Filing on behalf of child who died in 1991. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Signature page filed at docket 4142. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
611	TRO886714FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Jordan	Annette Davis	3902	4143	X	X				Filing on behalf of son, was told cause by doctor in 1990. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Signature page filed at docket 4143. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
612	<b>TRO885861FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Dooley, Kimberly</b>	<b>Kimberly Dooley</b>	3903		X	X			X	Motion not listed in Trust's summary. Diagnoses listed as 1999 and February 2017, unclear if different conditions. Says filed with William Bambach and her papers were misplaced. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed prior to the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
613	TRO903724FTC	11/23/2016	Sharp, Susie	Kimbrley Dooley	3904		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Filing for another person, claims filed with William Bombach in 1999 and her papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
614	TRO890074FTC	2/23/2016	James, Terry	Terry James	3905			X				2008 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges was incarcerated in 2009 and had lack of actual knowledge but no explanation of why did not file until more than six years later, no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
615	TRO886594FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Susie	Frances Staples	3906	8989	X	X				1983 diagnosis date. States "we file on time" but appears to be under mistaken belief that a late-filed claim (after 2009) was timely. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8989 complaining about the process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
616	TRO885011FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Melvin	Melvin Smith	3907			X		X		Some diagnoses before 2009, some after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
617	TRO894690FTC	3/23/2016	Fortini, Robert	Robert Fortini	3908			X		X		Diagnosed "2009/2010." Unaware of deadline until told by a neighbor. Dates of 2009 diagnoses unclear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
618	TRO895903FTC	4/6/2016	Jefferson, Theodore	Theodore Jefferson	3910			X		X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
619	TRO912940FTC	5/30/2017	Swanigan, Victor	Victor Swanigan	3911			X		X		Listed diagnoses are all after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
620	TRO904020FTC	1/3/2017	Putney, Taejion	Twila Williams	3912			X				2002 diagnosis. Says was not notified and did not know of exposure. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
621	TRO887285FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Gloria	Gloria Davis	3920			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
622	TRO893100FTC	2/23/2016	Henry, Willie	Willie Henry Courtney Smith, Esq.	3925	7428 7429	X	X		X		Rejection notice filed at Docket # 3925, motion and supporting papers at dockets 7428 and 7429. 1972 diagnosis. Says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Rejection notice filed at docket #3925 applies to post-bar date diagnoses though no post-bar date exposure or diagnosis is identified in motion papers. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
623	TRO893565FTC	2/23/2016	Blair, Shakeria	Brenda Roby	3930			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
624	<b>TRO898733FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Franks, Delois</b>	<b>Delois Franks</b>	3931			X				Motion not listed in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
625	TRO884047FTC	11/13/2015	Salter, Tracy	Tracy Salter	3932			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
626	TRO900783FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Kimberly	Kimberly Quinn	3933			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
627	<b>TRO895548FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gilkey, Queen</b>	<b>Queen Gilkey</b>	3934			X				Motion is not listed in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
628	TRO893564FTC	2/23/2016	Blair, Thevis	Brenda Roby	3935			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
629	TRO893386FTC	2/23/2016	Blair, Lazaraka	Labrenda Roby	3936			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
630	<b>TRO888378FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Petty, Dereck</b>	<b>Dereck Petty</b>	3937			X				Motion is not listed in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
631	TRO893384FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Xzavenne	Labrenda Roby	3938			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
632	TRO891332FTC	2/23/2016	Fenton, Tommy	Tommy Fenton	3939			X				No details as to diagnoses or dates thereof. Says unaware could file a claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
633	<b>TRO900398FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowen, Betty</b>	<b>Betty Bowen</b>	3941			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
634	<b>TRO901220FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowens, William</b>	<b>William Bowens</b>	3942			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
635	<b>TRO912160FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Woods, Paulevette</b>	<b>Paulevette Woods</b>	3943			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
636	<b>TRO912161FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Sherman, Kirby</b>	<b>Kirby Sherman</b>	3944			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
637	<b>TRO912162FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bell, Lillie</b>	<b>Lillie Bell</b>	3945			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
638	TRO889768FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Ethel	Ethel Shelton	3946			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
639	TRO893533FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Fannie	Fannie Turner	3947	8287		X				just a signature page. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8287, it appears she may have filed with one of the prior actions because she said that when she first filed, they tried to contact her but she had moved. Then she says when they ran it through the paper - she may be referring to the notice of the bar date - she was unaware. She moved more times. She did not get any mailings at her former address; complains that claims were allowed of people that did not live in area. No specific claims identified and no sufficient grounds alleged for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
640	TRO892784FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Jossie	Jossie Hodges	3948		X	X				Filed with Wilbur Colom in 2002. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9311 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
641	TRO880932FTC	12/4/2015	Gardner, Andrew	Andrew Gardner	3949			X				Says has filed claim (apparently with attorney Bambach), "they" say they could not find papers. If alleges filed a timely claim in 2009 that is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust. If is referring to a late-filed claim, no reasons offered to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Mistakes of counsel are not grounds for relief unless counsel's conduct is excused.
642	TRO891175FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Magnolia	Magnolia Tate	3950		X	X				Diagnoses 1985-1987. Says did not know of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
643	TRO881012FTC	12/4/2015	Lawrence, Rita	Rita, Lawrence	3951			X		X		Alleges some conditions after bar date, unclear if they are new conditions or just continuations. Did not know/no reason to know exposed prior to deadline, did not get notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
644	TRO900006FTC	Unknown	Willie Ware	Willie Ware	3952			X		X		Motion not listed on Trust's summary. March 2009 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline. Also a claim for Willie Ware at Docket 3988 (claim TRO901752FTC) that says he was diagnosed in 2010. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
645	TRO888627FTC	12/24/2015	Webber, Zoe	Zoe Webber	3953		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Retained William Bombach. Claims mother gave information to attorney but it is lost. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
646	TRO887872FTC	12/14/2015	Lowery, Charles	Charles Lowery	3954			X		X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
647	TRO895457FTC	3/24/2016	Deloach, Marcus	Marcus Deloach	3955		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Says unaware of claims process and possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
648	TRO900262FTC	7/25/2016	Vanessa Bateast	Vanessa Bateast	3956		X	X		X		Did file a claim with Wilbur Colom in 1999 but "got back in" for asbestos, lung cancer and sarcoidosis. Alleges some conditions diagnosed in 2017. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
649	TRO891117FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Brian	Brian Harrison	3957			X		X		Alleges allergy diagnosis in 2008, high blood pressure in 2010. Says was unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
650	TRO895911FTC	4/6/2016	Harper, Elsie	Elsie Harper	3958		X	X				Filed with Colom law firm in 1998. Only says "N/A" as to reason why did not file by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
651	TRO886962FTC	12/7/2015	Dulney, Jessica	Jessica Dulney	3959			X				1998 diagnosis. Was told ineligible, then was told qualified - unclear by whom or in connection with what proceeding (i.e., a prior class action or the bankruptcy case). Alleged advice is not grounds for relief without more specifics as to date, proceeding, and source of the advice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
652	TRO884317FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, James	Barbara Roland	3960			X				Conditions preceded bar date, father died in 2011. Did not know claims were being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
653	<b>TRO901754FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Kamran Ware</b>	<b>Kamran Ware</b>	3961			X				Motion not listed on Trust's summary. Diagnosed 6-21-09, says "I didn't know about it." Recency of diagnosis may explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation provided as to why no claim was filed until more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
654	TRO898749FTC	6/27/2016	Belk, Eric	Eric Belk	3962		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline until after date passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
655	TRO890521FTC	2/23/2016	McCarthy, James	James McCarthy	3963			X			X	Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
656	TRO903297FTC	10/27/2016	Gunn, Rosie	Rosie Gunn	3964		X	X				1956 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; alleges violation of due process to discharge claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
657	TRO898290FTC	6/20/2016	Johnson, Jessie	Jessie Johnson	3967	8283	X	X				1986 diagnosis. Alleges did not know of claim or claims process or exposure. A supplement filed at docket #8283, says was not aware of the dangers posed by the Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
658	TRO881083FTC	12/4/2015	Summerville, Vantee	Vantee Summerville	3968		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says period to file claims was too short but that does not explain the many years' delay in filing a claim after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
659	TRO894985FTC	3/23/2016	Walker, Bennie	Annie Walker	3969			X			X	Diagnosed in April 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
660	TRO884863FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Tyran	Tyran Smith	3970		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
661	TRO888666FTC	12/24/2015	Peoples, Elonia	Elonia Peoples	3971			X				Diagnoses 2009 and prior years. Unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
662	TRO901490FTC	8/16/2016	Hampton, Ida	Ida Hampton	3972	6169 8278		X		X		Says forgot paperwork, mother fell and broke ankle, was a stressful time, but may be referring to time it took to file the motion rather than compliance with the 2009 bar date. A duplicate motion filed with some additional conditions at docket #6169. A supplement filed at docket #8278, saying trying to get additional medical records but also attached is a separate acceptance for William Hampton. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
663	TRO893487FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Patricia	Patricia Bush	3973		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
664	TRO900265FTC	7/25/2016	Johnson, Mariah	Mariah Johnson	3974	8108	X	X				1986 diagnosis. Says did not know of claims process or deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8108, says was not aware of the dangers posed by the Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
665	TRO892910FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Dondell	Dondell Jones	3975	9199		X			X	Diagnoses 2007-2009. Says unaware of the filing deadline. Says spoke to attorney who said he would get back, then later filed claim when other people were doing so. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9199 complaining about the process. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
666	TRO887644FTC	12/14/2015	Hodges, Feshall	Feshall Hodges	3976		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Previously filed with Wilbur Colom. Says was not informed about the claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
667	TRO903294FTC	10/27/2016	Hatchett, Sherri	Sherri Hatchett	3977		X	X				1981 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
668	TRO888104FTC	12/18/2015	Baldwin, Willie	Willie Baldwin	3978		X	X				Diagnoses in 2005 and prior years. Never got information to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
669	TRO887514FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Tenitra	Tenitra Smith	3980	3981					X	2007 diagnosis. Duplicate at docket 3981. Child at time of deadline but no showing as to parents' or guardian's knowledge and/or pursuit of claim and legal rights. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
670	TRO894347FTC	3/2/2016	Cunningham, Danny	Danny Cunningham	3982		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline - doesn't read Wall Street Journal or other publications. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
671	<b>TRO901753FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Alexia Ware</b>	<b>Alexia Ware</b>	3983			X		X		Motion not listed in Trust's summary. Diagnosed in 2012; says did not know about process/claim in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
672	TRO901036FTC	8/16/2016	Lowe, Janice	Janice Lowe	3984		X	X				1999 Diagnosis. Was unaware of process or possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
673	TRO895958FTC	4/6/2016	Bailey, Patty	Patty Bailey	3985			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of the claim and process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
674	TRO888361FTC	12/18/2015	Malone, Annie	Annie Malone	3986		X	X				Diagnoses in 1985 and 1987. Alleges DID file claim in timely manner but form refers to claim filed in 2015, apparently claimant mistakenly believes that was a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
675	TRO902440FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Brenda	Brenda Johnson	3987		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 2000 diagnosis. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline, did not know injury attributable to Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
676	<b>TRO901752FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Ware, Willie</b>	<b>Willie Ware</b>	3988			X		X		Motion not listed on Trust's summary. See also docket number 3952 for separate claim also by Willie Ware, but with a different claim number (TRO889768FTC). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
677	TRO886395FTC	12/7/2015	Little, Tre'veon	Tre'veon Little	3989		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Was not made aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
678	TRO895959FTC	4/6/2016	Smith, Hattie	Hattie Smith	3990			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was told by a local lawyer that they were no longer taking cases for the Kerr-McGee lawsuits; date of that advice is not specified, may be referring to a prior class action claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
679	TRO881033FTC	12/4/2015	Tetlak, John	Ronald Tetlak	3991			X				Filing on behalf of deceased. Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s, death in 1995. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline, also says "thought you had to work at Kerr-McGee to file a claim." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
680	TRO895505FTC	3/24/2016	Gunn, Rita	Rita Gunn	3992		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Did not file claim because was unaware of possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
681	TRO895499FTC	3/24/2016	Deloach, Willie	Willie Deloach	3993		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Did not file claim because was unaware of possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
682	TRO903716FTC	11/23/2016	Deloach, Bennie	Bennie Deloach	3994	3995	X	X				1997 diagnosis. Did not file claim because was unaware of possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
683	TRO891504FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Undra	Undra Williams	3996		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Alleges did file a claim before the deadline but form states that the claim was filed around April 15, 2010, which was after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
684	TRO891486FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Calvin	Calvin Williams	3997		X	X				1992 diagnosis. Alleges did file claim on time but form says claim was filed "around" March 12, 2010, which was after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No explanation for failure to file by the bar date is offered. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
685	TRO889921FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Vance	Vance Porter	3998		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980 and 1986. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline, also unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
686	TRO880474FTC	12/4/2015	Petty, Lenzell	Lenzell Petty	4000			X				Diagnosed 4/1/2009, very sick, was being treated for aggressive leukemia at time of bar date. Illness explains why did not file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why no claim was filed until more than six years after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
687	TRO889653FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Linda	Linda Young	4001			X		X		Diagnosed with cancer in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
688	TRO900743FTC	8/16/2016	Ledbetter, Ruth	Ruth Ledbetter	4002			X				Diagnosed Jan 2009. Says not aware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
689	TRO889722FTC	2/23/2016	Ross, Mary	Mary Ross	4003		X	X		X		1980 diagnosis, was part of 2002 class action lawsuit. But also lists conditions that arose after Aug 2009. Prior class action shows awareness of legal rights and claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Motion is denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date and of defenses (including whether prior class action barred future claims by participants) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
690	TRO902402FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, Rosie	Rosie Brown	4004		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Alleges lack of knowledge, cut-and-paste of allegation that was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
691	TRO888908FTC	Unknown	Oden, Estella	Estella Oden	4005		X	X				Motion no listed in Trust's summary. Former Columbus resident. Diagnoses 2001 and prior years. Made claim in 2000 with Colom firm, represented by other counsel in 2005. Was offered \$500, then \$1,000 but says rejected offers. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, no showing of sufficient diligence in pursuing the same. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
692	TRO900204FTC	7/25/2016	Thames, Lenard	Lenard Thames	4006		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Says did not know, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
693	TRO886747FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Laura	Angie Williams	4007		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1979 and died in 1980. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
694	TRO886749FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Bob	Angie Williams	4008		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1983, died at unspecified time. Family member says did not know of the claim or the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
695	TRO886814FTC	12/7/2015	Eddins, Tyrone	Tyrone Eddins	4009		X	X				Diagnoses "1980s-2000s". Says was not aware of lawsuit because was in prison. Does not verify dates of imprisonment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
696	TRO885186FTC	11/25/2015	Shelton, James	Delerie Graham	4010		X	X				The motion at docket # 4010 is for James Lee Shelton (claim TRO885186FTC); another claim for James A. Shelton (TRO884095FTC) is at docket # 7221. The injured party was diagnosed in 1970s and 1980s and died in 1999. Rep says that at the beginning of the claim (not precise what time period this references) the rep was undergoing surgeries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
697	TRO891376FTC	2/23/2016	Graham, Virginia	Virginia Graham	4011	8574	X	X				1989 diagnosis. When she found out about process it was too late; she had moved out of town; she found out when other people started getting their money. She filed a supplemental letter complaining about process at docket #8574. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
698	TRO887293FTC	12/14/2015	Mosley, RD	RD Mosley	4012		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach who is now deceased. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, no showing of diligence in pursuing the same. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
699	TRO890148FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Jessie	Linda Williams	4013		X	X				Filing for deceased relative who was diagnosed in 1989. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
700	TRO889920FTC	2/23/2016	Byrd, Willie	Willie Byrd	4014		X	X				1998/1999 diagnosis. Says was unaware exposed to Tronox product, unaware of form to file, on active duty in U.S. Army from 2005-2009 (discharged 9/26/2009). Even if application of the bar date was tolled due to military service the claim still was not timely filed, as it was not filed until February 23, 2016, more than six years after the military service ended. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the beginning of military service in 2005 and prior to Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
701	TRO890385FTC	2/23/2016	Waldon, Georgia	Georgia Waldon	4015		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Cut-and-pasted language says was unaware, did not see notifications, called and was told to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
702	TRO880661FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Betty	Albert Williams	4016		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2004, died in 2007. Cut-and-paste allegations that was unaware of process, called and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
703	TRO901876FTC	9/14/2016	Beamon, Rosie	Rosie Beamon	4017			X			X	Claimed diagnosis date of 2014 but also says cancer dates back to 2005 and that respiratory issues have existed for 40 years. Says did not know how to fill out the claim form but appears to be referring to the late claim submissions and not to a claim filed in 2009. Unclear if seeks relief as to any condition diagnosed before the bar date and in any event the motion only alleges lack of understanding of the process with no showing as to other relevant factors (knowledge of the bar date, diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
704	TRO893010FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, T	Mary Malone	4018		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2000 and died in 2001. Says rep was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy or possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
705	TRO905702FTC	3/28/2017	Thompson, Deborah	Tommy Ewing	4019	8211	X	X				1986 diagnosis, death in 2001. Filing by representative. The rep filed a supplemental letter at docket #8211, but he references his own ailments and not those of the injured party. Says claim should be allowed because he stayed in area and has medical problem from the toxins, but there is no claim filed for him on his own behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
706	TRO902450FTC	9/14/2016	Vance, Mary	Mary Vance	4020		X	X				1997 diagnosis, followed by 2004 medical clinic visit connecting condition to asbestos exposure. Says was unaware who to contact about filing a claim until 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
707	TRO896465FTC	4/13/2016	Randle, Randy	Randy Randle	4021			X			X	Trust's summary listed the wrong claim number; the correct number is TRO896465FTC. Says diagnosed in 2009, 2010-2013. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
708	TRO890916FTC	2/23/2016	Vaughn, Lillie	Lillie Vaughn	4022	8818	X	X				1983 diagnosis. Part of Wilbur Colom 2002 class action lawsuit. Alleges did not know about process, alleges called and was told to file a future tort claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8818 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, it was not pursued with sufficient diligence and was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
709	TRO885841FTC	11/25/2015	Washington, Clyde	Clyde Washington	4023	9270	X	X				1971 diagnosis. Part of Wilbur Colom 2002 class action lawsuit. Cut-and-paste language alleges lack of knowledge, advice to file future tort claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9270 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, it was not pursued with sufficient diligence and was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
710	TRO885781FTC	11/25/2015	Rice, Shamika	Shamika Rice	4024		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Says moved to Memphis, no knowledge of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
711	TRO889724FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Eddie	Whirlie Byrd	4025		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Claimant was incapacitated in 2009, absence of understanding exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
712	TRO884400FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, William	William Gray	4026		X	X				Says filed with William Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights, no showing of reasonable diligence in pursuing the same. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
713	TRO898203FTC	6/20/2016	Woffard, Clayton	Clayton Woffard	4027			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
714	TRO898186FTC	6/20/2016	Woffard, Gaylor	Gaylor Woffard	4028			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
715	TRO885637FTC	11/25/2015	Cockrell, Marcus	Marcus Cockrell	4029			X				No diagnosis date but symptoms began in 1980s. Incarcerated from 1997-2011. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
716	TRO886051FTC	12/7/2015	Cockrell, Antonio	Antonio Cockrell	4030			X				No diagnosis date but symptoms began in 1990s. Says was incarcerated. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
717	TRO889541FTC	2/23/2016	Marks, Tiffani	Tiffani Marks	4031		X	X		X		Former Columbus, MS resident. 1997 asthma diagnosis, 2010 diagnosis of more serious lung condition. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
718	TRO884093FTC	11/13/2015	Williams, Amy	Amy Williams	4032		X	X			X	Different conditions, some diagnosed before 2006, some between 2006 and bar date, some after the bar date. Says no knowledge that condition was caused by Tronox chemicals; was young and in college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
719	TRO886769FTC	12/7/2015	Warren, Jesse	Dwayne Young	4033			X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2006 and 2008, died in 2009. Filing by family member. Claimant says father was incapacitated and then died in July 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No explanation of family's investigation or pursuit of causes of death or of claims related thereto, no explanation of delay of more than six years in filing claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
720	TRO888281FTC	12/18/2015	Ballard, Angela	Abby Robinson	4034		x	X				1994-1995 diagnoses. Says no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. The trust referred to motion as not having been filed with court but it is at docket #4034. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
721	TRO880941FTC	12/4/2015	Adkins, Willie	Sophia Adkins	4035	8268		X				Representative filing on behalf of a minor. 2001 diagnosis. Rep says filed claim with Tollison firm, was told "he had to have his own claim, or separate claim." Says she was told it was too late to file for him, but then another law firm said it was not too late. A supplement filed at docket #8268, says learned years later that could file claim, but this appears that this is a reference to filing with the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Representative alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but prior dealings with attorneys show awareness of claims, no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
722	TRO897841FTC	5/25/2016	Peoples, Billy	Billy Peoples	4036		X	X				Trust's summary listed the wrong claim number; the correct number is TRO897841FTC. Diagnoses 2001 and earlier. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
723	TRO897844FTC	5/25/2016	Peoples, Minnie	Minnie Peoples	4037		X	X				1994 diagnoses. No reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
724	TRO889251FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Dezzie	Gwenda Brown	4038		X	X				1987 diagnosis. The injured party died in 2009. Injured party had moved to Robbins, Illinois; rep lives in Illinois. Publication notice of bar date also appeared in the Chicago Tribune. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
725	Unknown	Unknown	Smith, Takena T.	Takena Smith	4039			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
726	TRO890875FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Vanessa	Vanessa Jones	4040			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 1985 and 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
727	TRO893486FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Willie	Willie Bush	4041		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Did not know claim was late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
728	TRO898946FTC	6/27/2016	Lowe, Robert	Janice Lowe	4042		X	X				Filed for injured family member who was diagnosed in 1980 and is now deceased. Rep not aware of information or possibility of a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
729	TRO891884FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Juanita	Juanita Jones	4043	9324	X	X				Diagnosis approximately 1998. Was out of state in Aug - Sept. 2005 but bar date notice was in 2009, so that does not explain lack of compliance with bar date. Says did not actually know about the bankruptcy. A supplemental letter filed with another at docket #9324 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
730	TRO888598FTC	12/24/2015	Jackson, Mattie	Mary Jackson	4044		X	X				First diagnosis 2000, died in 2005. Rep unaware exposed to dangerous product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
731	TRO891827FTC	2/23/2016	Gagliardi, Corinna	Corinna Gagliardi	4045						X	1997 and 2007 diagnoses. Minor at bar date (was at least 17). No explanation why parents or guardian did not file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
732	TRO900220FTC	7/25/2016	Avant, John	John Avant	4046		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
733	TRO895354FTC	3/24/2016	Grant, Owen	Owen Grant	4047			X				No diagnosis date, symptoms in 1979. Says was unaware of Tronox, no reason to believe exposed to Tronox product. Claim filed 12/9/2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
734	TRO904947FTC	1/24/2017	Pramick, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Pramick	4048			X				Miscarriages in 1977-78. Unaware that claims for illnesses or injuries other than cancer were being considered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
735	TRO900322FTC	7/25/2016	Waskiewicz, Carl	Carl Waskiewicz	4049			X			X	Pre-bar date diagnoses of hypertension, heart attack in 2015. Says insufficient notice but no allegation that Tronox knew of this claimant or of this claimant's injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
736	TRO900495FTC	8/16/2016	Waskiewicz, Mary	Carl Waskiewicz	4050		X	X				Diagnoses 1972, 1985, 1987. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
737	<b>TRO880854FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Dover, Betty</b>	<b>Michael R. Harris</b>	4051			X			X	Motion is not on Trust's summary. Not clear whether Tort Claims Trust has objected to this motion or to this claim. Injured party is deceased but rep says diagnosis in 2012. Says injured party did not get direct notice; did not know she had been exposed to a Tronox product, they had moved out of state. To extent the motion seeks relief on grounds of excusable neglect it is denied for failure to identify a specific pre-bar date claim for which relief is sought and a failure to make sufficient showings (regarding diligent investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) to warrant relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
738	TRO904664FTC	1/24/2017	Viercinski, Rosemary	Robert Viercinski	4052			X				Diagnoses of other conditions in 1970s and 1980s, uterine cancer 2007. Injured party died August 8, 2009. Says insufficient notice, but no allegation that Tronox knew of this claimant or of her injuries. Alleges unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date or of reasons no claim was made until many years after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
739	TRO897194FTC	5/4/2016	Lewis, Lucy	Lucy Lewis	4053			X			X	Symptoms 1981-2017, diagnoses 2010-2017. Says unaware of claims and filing in case. Cannot determine from claim form whether any conditions actually were diagnosed before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
740	TRO893438FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Niesha	Niesha Jordan	4054		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Not aware of process or the possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
741	TRO886727FTC	12/7/2015	Capone, Brenda	Brenda Capone	4055			X				Diagnoses 2007 and earlier. Unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
742	TRO904675FTC	1/24/2017	Viercinski, John	Robert Viercinski	4056			X			X	Diagnosed with prostate cancer 2009, lung cancer 2010. Says was unaware of bar date, unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
743	TRO897899FTC	5/25/2016	Miller, Gertrude	Michele Mayo	4057			X				1992 diagnosis. Rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
744	TRO893135FTC	2/23/2016	Pope, Willie	Roosevelt Davis	4058			X		X		Symptoms (2010) and diagnosis (2012) after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
745	<b>TRO891495FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Sharp, Betty</b>	<b>Betty Sharp</b>	4059			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
746	TRO892382FTC	2/23/2016	Shirley, Ernie	Ernie Shirley	4060	8996	X	X				Diagnoses 1960s-1970s. Moved away from area, was told to file by a family member based on childhood exposures. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8996 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
747	TRO904734FTC	1/24/2017	Viercinski, Louann	Louann Viercinski	4061			X				Diagnoses 2007 and earlier. Unaware of any notice; unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
748	TRO896789FTC	4/25/2016	Pointer, Leon	Alicia Mitchell	4062			X				The injured party died in 1984; no excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
749	TRO895510FTC	3/24/2016	Rich, Alisha	Carolyn Butler	4063			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of lawsuit until 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
750	TRO895511FTC	3/24/2016	Rice, Jessie	Carolyn Butler	4064		X	X				Diagnoses between 1980-2007; injured party died in 2007. Rep unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed prior to 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
751	TRO894098FTC	3/2/2016	Brown, Geraldine	Geraldine Brown	4065		X	X				1970s diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
752	TRO901555FTC	8/16/2016	Bogan, Thelma	Thelma Bogan	4066			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
753	TRO886821FTC	12/7/2015	Bolton, Cassandra	Cassandra Bolton	4067		X	X				Late 1998/early 1999 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
754	TRO895514FTC	3/24/2016	Butler, Carolyn	Carolyn Butler	4068		X	X		X		Not specific as to dates of diagnosis, alleges 1993-2016 period. Says was unaware of lawsuit until 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
755	TRO898164FTC	6/20/2016	Hawkins, Robert	Robert Hawkins	4070	6006	X	X				1989 and 2000 diagnoses. Unaware that Tronox was taking claims or of "new proceedings;" says death in family at time but does not provide date. A duplicate of this motion was filed at docket #6006. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
756	TRO885472FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Deloris	Deloris Brooks	4071	5971	X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1988; heart condition diagnosis date not listed. Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2005. Says was unaware of Tronox case, suffered from depression and anxiety after death of relative before 2009 but does not give that date; recovering from back surgery and other medical issues but does not provide dates. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 5971. Was did not file in 2009 because was unaware the attorneys were taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel confirms awareness of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims accruing before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
757	TRO894432FTC	3/2/2016	Brown, Leonard	Leonard Brown	4072		X	X				Late 1980s/early 1990s diagnoses. Says returned all forms but does not say what forms or when, appears to be referring to late claims and responses to Trust's requests for information after the late claims were filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
758	TRO888677FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Timmy	Timmy Williams	4073	8250		X		X		Says symptoms in 2012 but does not say diagnosed by doctor. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8250 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
759	TRO887119FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Melinda	Melinda Williams	4074		X	X				1969 diagnosis. unaware; unaware of dangerous toxins at time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
760	TRO898543FTC	6/27/2016	Reed, Mary	Mary Reed	4075			X		X		Diagnoses dates unclear, says 2009 and prior years. Alleges that workers were called to Macon, MS to be tested for something (unclear what), were told results would be sent; were not told the purpose of the test, got no answers. Timing of these events is unclear. Says was unaware and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox products. Also claims insufficient notice and that publication notice was not reasonable, but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices were reasonable for reasons stated in the accompanying decision, notices were published in several local newspapers in Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim alleging a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
761	TRO892404FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Ezetrick	Ezetrick Coleman	4076			X				Diagnoses in 2001 and 2003. Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
762	TRO902398FTC	9/14/2016	Irions, Jeanie	Ricky Butler	4077		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2000, died in 2006. Rep claims did not get direct notice, publication was not good enough. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
763	TRO889288FTC	2/23/2016	Richey, Bobbie	Bobbie Richey	4078		X	X				1966 diagnosis. Claim filed December 2015. Unaware of bar date, no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, heard of process in 2015 when visited Mississippi. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on a Mississippi exposure it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
764	TRO886950FTC	12/7/2015	Kilby, Tracie	Tracie Kilby	4079		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS who lived out of state in Tenn. in 2009. Says notice was not in local paper. Publication notices were reasonable for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
765	<b>TRO904770FTC</b>	1/24/2017	Tomassoni, Elizabeth	Louann Vercinski	4080			X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed the claim number as TRO904470FTC. Injured party died in 1998. Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
766	TRO896846FTC	4/25/2016	DeAlba, Jordan Christine	Christina DeAlba	4081			X				1982 diagnosis. Says was unaware of this "class action" and only found out about it in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
767	TRO893548FTC	2/23/2016	Beckwith, James	James Beckwith	4082		X	X				2002 diagnosis. Former resident of Lowndes County, MS. Says had no reason to know exposed to dangerous Tronox product; lived in Ohio at bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
768	TRO892143FTC	2/23/2016	Sempa, Catherine	Barbe Sempa	4083			X				1980 diagnosis, death in 1981. Rep unaware of process or that deceased was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
769	TRO886960FTC	12/7/2015	Dulney, Carolyn	Carolyn Dulney	4084			X				1990 diagnosis. Was originally told did not qualify (unclear when or told by whom); filed when learned that could file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
770	TRO886702FTC	12/7/2015	Vaughn, Oren	Oren Vaughn	4085		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1990s but claims one diagnosis in 2009 (not specific as to date). Says was unaware of lawsuit until filed a claim on the "second round." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
771	TRO886701FTC	12/7/2015	Vaughn, McNeil	McNeil Vaughn	4086			X				Says diagnosis in 1906, plainly that is wrong. Symptoms listed as "2005-1963-2003-2008." Unaware of lawsuit until people were talking about it; unaware of bar date. Filed a claim on the "second round" in 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
772	TRO880181FTC	12/4/2015	Hunt, Johnnie	Mack Hunt	4087	9442	X	X				Diagnosis in 1969, injured party deceased. Cut-and-paste language as to explanations for non-filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9442 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
773	TRO894496FTC	3/2/2016	Bullock, Renee Kennard	Renee Bullock	4088		X	X				2000 and 2002 diagnoses. Unclear as to prior legal proceedings. Says did not know of claim bar date. Appears claim was either involved in prior proceedings or was time-barred at time of Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
774	TRO895427FTC	3/24/2016	Shirtz, Evangeline-Zupon	Carol Haas	4089			X				1993 and 1994 diagnoses. Injured party deceased. Rep unaware of claim for Duryea Pa. resident. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
775	TRO895426FTC	3/24/2016	Shirtz, Gordon	Carol Haas	4090			X				1958 symptoms, no diagnosis date listed. Injured party deceased. Rep unaware of claim for Duryea Pa. resident. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
776	TRO880715FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, Willie	Willie Walker	4091	8189		X				Diagnoses in 1981, 2004, 2005. Says did not know about it because the name of the company was different, but publication notices (including notice in the Chicago Tribune) listed the prior company names. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8189. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
777	TRO888091FTC	Unknown	Barksdale, Jennifer	Jennifer Barksdale	4092		X	X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis. A duplicate motion is filed at docket # 4097. Says was not aware of the process, saw no notices, called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
778	TRO887182FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Ernest	Ernest Williams	4093		X	X				1970 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline; unaware of dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
779	TRO894494FTC	3/2/2016	Webber, Wright	Wright Webber	4094			X				2008 diagnosis. No excuse provided for failure to file by bar date.
780	TRO880683FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, Earnest	William Walker	4095	8195	X	X				Injured party was worker in Columbus, MS who died in 1950. Rep did not know of claim. Supplement filed at docket # 8195, rep says accident on job at Moss Tie Company but can't recall if there were health issues. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given date of death and absence of identified conditions attributable to chemical releases. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
781	TRO880684FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, Emma	William Walker	4096	8194	X	X				1965 diagnosis; death in 1977. Injured party resided in Columbus, MS. Supplement filed at docket # 8194; rep says unaware affected by chemicals from Moss Tie Company; unaware chemicals were toxic; Tronox was a foreign name, they referred to company as Moss Tie Co. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
782	TRO894167FTC	3/2/2016	Terruso, Mary Esther	Mary Esther Terruso	4098			X				2002 diagnosis of "choridopathy," breast cancer diagnosis June 20, 2009. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
783	TRO902374 FTC	9/14/2016	Kintz, Lillian	Kevin Kintz	4099			X				Rep says did not know could file claim until doctor made diagnosis, but diagnosis date is listed as March 21, 1970. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
784	TRO897295FTC	5/4/2016	Gibblets, Sarah	Sarah Gibblets-Bulford	4100			X				1989 diagnosis. Says was never made aware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
785	TRO897220FTC	5/4/2016	Bejeski, Christopher	Christopher Bejeski	4101			X				1990s diagnoses for allergies. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
786	TRO897219FTC	5/4/2016	Bejeski, Robert	Robert J. Bejeski	4102	8404		X				1990s diagnosis for allergies. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A duplicate filed a docket #t #8404, attached to a claim filed by Robert P. Bejeski. Says was minor at time of exposure but not at bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
787	TRO900788FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Lula	Patricia Stafford	4103			X				Diagnosis in 1975 for stomach problems, 2000 for cancer. The injured party died in 2007. Rep says no knowledge could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
788	TRO886704FTC	12/7/2015	Mitchell, LaShonda	LaShonda Mitchell	4104		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
789	TRO892174FTC	2/23/2016	Mallard, Beverly	Beverly Mallard	4105		X	X				Diagnoses 1988, 2000, 2008. Moved out of state, did not get notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
790	TRO886708FTC	12/7/2015	Mosely, Tavaris	Tavaris Mosely	4106		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Was unaware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
791	TRO894165FTC	3/2/2016	Webber, Kichanna	Kichanna Webber	4107			X		X		Contradictory info says symptoms 1997 for several conditions, but then says 2012 diagnosis for asthma, thought had submitted claim "for lupus" and breathing. No excuse offered to extent claim pre-dated the bar date. However, post-bar date diagnosis would qualify as a Future Tort Claim, any defense is to be pursued by Tort Claims Trust pursuant to its dispute resolution procedures.
792	TRO893191FTC	2/23/2016	McGee, Leon	Leon McGee	4108		X	X				2002 diagnosis. "Unaware of claims process." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
793	TRO886440FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Rosie	Rosie Gardner	4109	9235	X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS. Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date, some after. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9235 complaining of delay. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
794	TRO886444FTC	12/7/2015	Waldon, Corey	Corey Waldon	4110		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges asthma diagnosis as adult in 2012 but also alleges asthma diagnosis as child in 1990. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
795	TRO886443FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Joshua	Rosie Gardner	4111	9238	X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnoses for same conditions pre and post bar date. Rep unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9238 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
796	TRO886441FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, O'Brien	O'Brien Gardner	4112	9236	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1990 and 1992 diagnoses. Did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9236 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
797	TRO886442FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Quinton	Quinton Gardner	4113	9237	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnoses 1998 and earlier. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9237 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
798	TRO894677FTC	3/23/2016	Glenn, Zaira	Zaira Glenn	4115		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1991 diagnoses. Rep says claimant did not know and nor reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
799	TRO896088FTC	4/6/2016	Hill, Mary	Mary Hill	4116	8386		X		X		Some diagnoses before bar date, but cancer diagnosis in 2016. A duplicate filed at docket # 8386. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
800	TRO898206FTC	6/20/2016	Pointer, Katie	Catherine Pointer	4117			X				1961 and 1995 diagnoses, died in 1996. Rep lived out of state (Ohio) and was not aware of bar date. Unclear where deceased party resided or was exposed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
801	<b>TRO905756FTC</b>	4/24/2017	Bryant, Ronald	Ronald Bryant	4118		X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed the claim number as TRO905754FTC. Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1972 diagnosis. Alleges moved out of state; insufficient notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
802	TRO891379FTC	2/23/2016	Winston, Howard	Howard Winston	4119		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Alleges notice of bar date was not reasonable but does not contend that Tronox knew of the claimant or of the movant's claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
803	TRO884567FTC	11/25/2015	Fenton, Sheri	Sheri Fenton	4120		X	X				2002 Diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
804	TRO899044FTC	6/27/2016	James, Jonathan	Jonathan James	4121		X	X				1966 Diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Gunn 2002-2005; neither atty nor he received notice of bar date. Claim apparently was part of prior litigation. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
805	TRO884507FTC	11/25/2015	Morris, Rotrik	LaKetra Vaughn	4122		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Says filed in 2015 after "reopening" of the case. Says had a lot of prior misinformation and misunderstanding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
806	TRO898193FTC	6/20/2016	Thompson, Betty	Betty Thompson	4124			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
807	TRO884508FTC	11/25/2015	Sparks, Marco	LaKetra Vaughn	4125		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Alleges was late in first case but filed when case was "reopened." Absence of knowledge of issue; misinformation from unreliable sources. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
808	TRO895957FTC	4/6/2016	Jordan, Barbara	Barbara Jordan	4126	9353		X			X		Says diagnosis Oct. 2009, but then submits records for 2008 for other conditions. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9353 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
809	TRO893479FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Tenia	Tenia Tate	4127			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
810	TRO893588FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Robert	Robert Tate	4128			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
811	TRO892311FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Dudley	Dudley Robinson	4130			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
812	TRO890475FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Kermit	Kermit Shelton	4131			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
813	TRO889458FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Quashayla	Quashayla Young	4132			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
814	TRO893506FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Nichole	Nichole Young	4133			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
815	TRO889767FTC	2/23/2016	Kadropolis, Shelton	Shelton Kadropolis	4134			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
816	TRO889776FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Shaperara	Shaperara Shelton	4135			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
817	<b>TRO889777FTC</b>	<b>1/3/2017</b>	<b>Holmes, Willie Jr.</b>	<b>Willie C. Holmes, Jr.</b>	4136	8454		X					The motion at docket # 4136 actually is for Willie Holmes, Jr. (TRO889777FTC) and he filed it himself, it is merely a signature form. The Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion for Willie Holmes (TRO904310), filed by Teresa Holmes, but that motion is found at docket #7219. The motion at dkt # 4136 is supplemented by a filing at docket # 8454 that is also merely a signature form and rejection notice. The motion by Willie Holmes, Jr. at docket 4136 was not included on the Trust's summary. There is no excuse or information provided and therefore no basis for relief.
818	TRO886373FTC	12/7/2015	Guyton, Sheila	Sheila Guyton	4137			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
819	<b>TRO897677FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowen, Donald</b>	<b>Donald Bowen</b>	4138			X					Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
820	TRO889554FTC	Unknown	Selvie, Deon	Deon Selvie	4139			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
821	TRO889232FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Deon	Deon Young	4140			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
822	TRO897680FTC	Unknown	Bowens, Silvia	Silvia Bowens	4141			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
823	TRO898492FTC	Unknown	Thompson, Mary	Mary Thompson	4144			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
824	TRO902800FTC	Unknown	Walker, Shemiko	Shemiko Walker	4145			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
825	TRO897776FTC	Unknown	Thompson, William	William Thompson	4146			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
826	TRO887894FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Phillip	Phillip Jones	4147			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
827	TRO884052FTC	11/13/2015	Epps, Savannah	Mary Orr	4149	8837	X	X				injured party was diagnosed in 1997 and died in 1997. Rep does not offer excuse for late filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8837 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
828	TRO901918FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Clarence	Clarence Williams	4150	8314		X				1956 diagnosis. Was unaware could file claim; insufficient notice; only one local paper. A supplement filed at docket #8314, says his information was sent in timely when he received the information but appears to be referring to late claim. Says did not know about any motion to file with what court in what city, state or county. Also says it is "true" that claimant die not file a claim on the advice of a non-attorney third party, but no further details appear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
829	TRO899952FTC	7/25/2016	Graham, Angela	Angela Graham	4151		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Says moved, was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
830	TRO896011FTC	4/6/2016	Tucker, Brenda	Brenda Tucker	4152			X		X		Says diagnosis 2010; says proof was rejected; proof was two page summary of a hospital visit near time of filing claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
831	TRO900780FTC	8/16/2016	Doss, Wilie	Willie Doss	4154		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
832	TRO901635FTC	8/16/2016	Cole, Thomas	Thomas Cole	4155		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Previously filed with Cunningham law firm and then Tollison law firm, does not say date but apparently in connection with earlier lawsuits. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
833	TRO885769FTC	11/25/2015	Minor, Melinda	Melinda Minor	4156		X	X				Diagnoses 1994-2000. Previously filed with Colom law firm early 2000's. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
834	TRO896075FTC TRO896074FTC	4/6/2016	Baker, Lisa	Lisa Baker	4157	8168	X	X				1985 diagnosis. Claims based on MS law. Previously filed with Gunn firm in MS/released it to Tollison firm in 2002. She also filed a claim election form for a different form TLF [TRO995926TLF] at docket #8168, requesting to be included with Category D claimants. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
835	TRO886439FTC	12/7/2015	Mosley, Willie	Charlean Mosley	4158	9022	X	X				1995 and 2000 diagnoses. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9022 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
836	TRO905241FTC	3/1/2017	Buchanan, Tevin	Tevin Buchanan	4159		X	X				1992 diagnosis. There was no awareness of any such thing as a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
837	TRO880813FTC	12/4/2015	Lewis, Eric	Eric Lewis	4160			X		X		Diagnoses prior to bar date except one in 2010. No excuse provided for late filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
838	TRO887052FTC	12/14/2015	McKinley, Bobby	Bobby McKinley	4161	4162	X	X				<p>Skin rashes since 1965. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Duplicate at docket 4162. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>
839	TRO892302FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, George	George Coleman	4163		X	X				<p>1990 diagnosis. Says has poor vision, leg swelling, lost teeth. Did not know about the bankruptcy. No allegation that claim arose after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>
840	TRO884646FTC	11/25/2015	Dent, Monica	Monica Dent	4164		X	X		X		<p>Some diagnoses in 1990s, alleges one diagnosis after bar date. Did not file before bar date because did not know how to do it; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.</p>
841	TRO898544FTC	6/27/2016	Burns, Emmer	Emmer Burns	4165			X				<p>Did not know about claim until bar date passed; says diagnosed March 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.</p>

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
842	TRO895279FTC	3/23/2016	Burnside, Ida Mae	Shirley Sanders	4166		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1998, is deceased. Rep says unaware of proceeding, rep says no reason to know claimant was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
843	TRO880657FTC	12/4/2015	Perry, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Perry	4167	9025	X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1996. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9025 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
844	TRO888161FTC	12/18/2015	Wade, Donald	Donald Wade	4168			X				Just answered "yes" for diagnosis date. Previously filed with atty Bambach (deceased) in 1999, says attorney lost papers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
845	TRO892705FTC	2/23/2016	Murphy, Raymond	Raymond Murphy	4169	8961	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1990 and 1994 diagnoses. Did not know exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8961 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
846	TRO902208FTC	9/14/2016	Wells, Sam	Sam Wells	4170		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Didn't know about the process until too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
847	TRO896818FTC	4/25/2016	Tolon, Robert	Robert Tolon	4171	4172	X	X				Diagnoses in 1995, 1997, 2003. Says filed with Earnhart attys in McComb, Mississippi, whenever called to check always told matter was pending back in 2/2009. Duplicate at docket 4172. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorneys confirms awareness of claim. If attorneys failed to make a filing that is not grounds for relief unless the attorneys' failure can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
848	TRO898154FTC	6/20/2016	Murray, Estella	Estella Murray	4173		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
849	TRO888602FTC	12/24/2015	Occhiato, Dominick	Dominick Occhiato	4174			X				1987 diagnosis. For excuse refers to a separate list, item vi (prevented from filing by natural disaster), may mean v - incapacitated. No details provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
850	TRO892197FTC	2/23/2016	Lockett, Kevin	Kevin Lockett	4175			X			X	1982 asthma diagnosis, other conditions diagnosed after bar date. Did not receive notice to file such a claim; moved to different places in Pa; unaware company not complying with proper disposal procedures. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
851	TRO884715FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Bennie Mae	Bennie Mae Stewart	4176	8072	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Unaware could file a claim until it was too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
852	TRO889640FTC	2/23/2016	Conner, Kendrick	Arthur Conner	4177	8072	X	X				1979-1980s diagnoses. Says was incapacitated; did not know or no reason to know that exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8072. No details as to alleged incapacitation are provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
853	TRO902199FTC	9/14/2016	Thomas, Terry	Terry Thomas	4178		X	X				Diagnoses in 2001, 2002 and 2007. Says claim was filed timely but was repeatedly returned as incomplete; may be referring to late claim, may have mistaken belief it was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If late filing, no excuse offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
854	TRO897700FTC	5/25/2016	Robertson, Linda	Linda Robertson	4179		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
855	TRO893294FTC	2/23/2016	Hoskins, Terry	Terry Hoskins	4180			X		X		Says filed but did not get a response, probably is referring to late-filed claim. Alleges a 2010 diagnosis. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses for failure to identify a pre-bar date condition and for lack of diligence. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
856	TRO898662FTC	6/27/2016	Jefferson, Betty	Betty Johnson	4181		X	X				1977 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
857	TRO890999FTC	2/23/2016	Seals, Derrick	Derrick Seals	4182		X	X				1976 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach; was offered \$500 in 2007 but he declined. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
858	TRO896041FTC	4/6/2016	Kilgo, Pinkey Whitfield	Pinkey Whitfield Kilgo	4183			X		X		Various diagnosis dates, one in 2009 but date not specified. Says was incarcerated, unaware of proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date, reasons no claim was filed until many years after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
859	TRO888162FTC	12/18/2015	Wade, Gloria	Gloria Wade	4184			X				No diagnosis date listed; just says "yes." Filed claim with atty Bambach in 2009. Bambach is deceased, says papers may have been lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorney confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
860	TRO888642FTC	12/24/2015	Marcellini, Bruno	Connie Marcellini	4185			X				Injured party was diagnosed in 2004 and died in 2008. Rep refers to natural disaster as reason for not filing, but no specifics. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
861	TRO904943FTC	1/24/2017	Reap, Ethal	William Reap	4186			X				Injured party is deceased; rep says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
862	TRO889029FTC	12/30/2015	Granderson, Shantrell	Shantrell Granderson	4187		X	X				1971 diagnosis. Says did not know or have reason to know that exposed to Tronox product; unaware of bar date. Says was told (doesn't say by whom or when) that she could still file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
863	TRO891824FTC	2/23/2016	O'Boyle, Judith	Judith O'Boyle	4188			X				Diagnoses in 2000 and 2006. Did not know or have reason to that exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
864	TRO894257FTC	3/2/2016	Conner, Samuel	Arthur Conner	4189	8074		X			X		Symptoms in 1990s but says diagnosis was in 2016. Did not know or reason to that exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8074. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to any pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
865	TRO892211FTC	2/23/2016	Fulton, Lou	Lou Fulton	4190		X	X			X		Filed with atty Bambach (deceased), paperwork lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claims based on any conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. However, also alleges 2012 and 2017 diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
866	TRO881058FTC	12/4/2015	Johnson, Robert IV	Robert Johnson IV	4191		X	X					1991-1995 symptoms, no diagnosis date. Says unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
867	TRO888710FTC	12/24/2015	Campbell, Mary	Mary Campbell	4192		X	X					Lists diagnosis date as "2001-2017" but apparently refers to one continuing condition. Previously filed in 2000 with attorney Bambach (deceased), says paperwork lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. To the extent the claim is based on condition first diagnosed before 2006 the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
868	TRO880246FTC	12/4/2015	Lee, Barbara	Barbara Lee	4193		X	X				1972 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Navarro 2000 but atty did not file claim, said it was not worth his time. Alleges misconduct by counsel, but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleged misconduct also preceded the bankruptcy filing by nine years. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
869	TRO900378FTC	7/25/2016	Harris, James	James Harris	4194	4195		X		X		Trust incorrectly listed the motion at docket 3796 as being by this movant; the correct motion by James Harris is at docket 4194, which was not listed on the Trust's summary. Alleges September 2009 diagnosis. Says did not know about claims until after bar date. Duplicate at docket 4195. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
870	TRO888039FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Charlie	Charlie Harris	4196		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
871	TRO893459FTC	2/23/2016	Summerville, Vantee	Johnnie Summerville	4197		X	X				Diagnosis "after 2003." Unaware of bar date; did not receive publication notice. Claim would be time-barred based on 2003 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
872	TRO889285FTC	2/23/2016	Granderson, Hermas	Hermas Granderson	4198		X	X				1972 diagnosis. Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
873	TRO887551FTC	12/14/2015	Reives, Gladys	LaBaron Fenton	4199		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach (deceased) in 2006. Tried to reach out to atty but did not respond. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
874	TRO889209FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Sarah	Veronica Hairston	4200			X				1991 diagnosis. Did not know or reason to see exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
875	TRO892196FTC	2/23/2016	Lockett, Amy	Amy Lockett	4201			X		X		2002 asthma diagnosis, 2015 migraines. Never received notice to file a claim; assumed company used proper disposal and business practices. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
876	TRO888551FTC	12/24/2015	Bekanich, Andrew	Connie Marcellini	4202			X				Injured party diagnosed in 1970, died in 1991. Selected natural disaster as reason for failure to file by 2009 bar date, no details provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
877	TRO892110FTC	2/23/2016	Howard, Geraildean	Geraildean Howard	4203		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says moved to another town in Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
878	TRO892366FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Thomas	Thomas Lee	4204			X		X		Says symptoms started in 2008 but first diagnosis in 2014. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
879	TRO897870FTC	4/9/2016	Facciponti, Adam	Adam Facciponti	4205			X			X	2006 diagnosis. Says was a minor when case began but alleges exposure began in 1985 so was at least 24 years old at the time of the bar date. Also says was in U.S. Air Force and did not hear about this matter until 2014. Claim was not filed until April 9, 2016; even if the bar date was tolled by 50 U.S.C. 3936, the claim would be untimely so long as military service ended on or before January 23, 2016. The motion alleges lack of actual knowledge in 2009 but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date and why waited so long after learning of the process in 2014), would not be sufficient to warrant an untimely claim to be permitted on grounds of excusable neglect. If movant believes that military service continued until January 23, 2016 or later, movant may make a supplemental submission to verify the dates of military service.
880	TRO881057FTC	12/4/2015	Johnson, Olivia	Olivia Johnson	4206		X	X				1972 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not receive notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
881	TRO892072FTC	2/23/2016	Marcellini, Connie	Connie Marcellini	4207			X		X		Says diagnosed 2010 but says symptoms started in 1990s, unclear when conditions were actually diagnosed. Alleges natural disaster as reason for not filing, but no specifics are given. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
882	TRO884581FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Billy	William Coleman	4208	8333 8943	X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1969 and died in 1994. A supplement filed at docket #8333, without knowledge to wade through the bankruptcy process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8943 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
883	TRO899353FTC	8/16/2016	Summerville, Carl	Carl Summerville	4209		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not receive notice; publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
884	TRO890681FTC	2/23/2016	Morgan, Jerry	Jerry Morgan	4210		X	X		X		Symptoms started 1993, lists "first diagnosis" as "1993-2010." Did not know how to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
885	<b>TRO892308FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>McKinley, Patricia</b>	<b>Patricia McKinley</b>	4211			X		X		Motion not included in the Trust's summary. Says diagnosis in 2006 but previously filed with the Colom law firm 2004, and received \$200. Unaware could refile. Also alleges new post-bar date diagnosis of breast cancer. Claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
886	TRO893188FTC	2/23/2016	Murphy, Mary	Mary Murphy	4212		X	X				Diagnoses in 1993 and 1995. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
887	TRO901002FTC	8/16/2016	Rande, Jerry	Jerry Rande	4213			X				2007 diagnosis. Did not know claim was open, did not know of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
888	TRO900848FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Tatanishira	Tatanishira Quinn	4214		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
889	TRO890733FTC	2/23/2016	Morgan, Alexis	Alexis Morgan	4215		X	X				Lists diagnosis date as "1992-2009." Says did not know how to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
890	TRO891034FTC	2/23/2016	Haduch, Francis	Francis Haduch	4216			X				Symptoms in 1963, no specific diagnosis date. Says was living on a farm, not informed of a claim against this company. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
891	TRO897648FTC	5/25/2016	Long, Joseph	Joseph Long	4217			X				1977 diagnosis. Says unaware could file claim; insufficient notice, only heard when people started receiving checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
892	TRO893717FTC	3/2/2016	Sarti, Mary	Mary Asrti	4218			X				1975 diagnosis. Implies she filed a proof of claim but may be referring to the late-filed claim. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If filed after bar date, no excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
893	TRO905296FTC	3/1/2017	Long, Joann	Joann Long	4219			X				Diagnoses 1972-2000. Unaware of right to file claim; insufficient notice, did not see or hear anything about it, only heard when people received checks. Notices were in compliance with due process requirements for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
894	TRO888621FTC	12/24/2015	Occhiato, Maritta	Maritta Occhiato	4220			X				1972 diagnosis. Claims natural disaster as excuse, no specifics as to nature of disaster or when. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
895	TRO889048FTC	12/30/2015	Conner, Christopher D.	Arthur Conner	4221	8075					X	Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion by Christopher J. Conner. Docket 4221 actually is a motion by Arthur Conner for Christopher D. Conner (claim TRO889048FTC). A separate motion by Christopher J. Conner [TRO884802FTC] is at docket # 4338. Christopher D. Conner diagnosed 1992. Claims was a minor at bar date, grandfather filed this claim. Supplement at docket #8075. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
896	TRO902343FTC	9/14/2016	Jackson, Shaquille	Shaquille Jackson	4222							X	Diagnosis approximately 2000. Says was minor but alleges exposure began 1991 so was at least 18 at bar date. Rep did not know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
897	TRO889032FTC	12/30/2015	Talley, Earnest	Arthur Conner	4223	8073	X	X					1970s diagnosis. Says was in jail and did not know. A supplement filed at docket #8073. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
898	TRO900891FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Latoria	Latoria Jefferson	4224		X	X					1997 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
899	TRO898475FTC	6/27/2016	Jefferson, Henry	Henry Jefferson	4225		X	X					1975 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
900	TRO900656FTC	8/16/2016	Eacholes, Jesse	Jesse Eacholes	4226			X					2007 diagnosis. Says did not know about claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
901	TRO888659FTC	12/24/2015	Lyons, Willie	Willie Lyons	4227		X	X				1979/1980 diagnoses. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
902	TRO897702FTC	5/25/2016	Robertson, Victoria	Victoria Robertson	4228		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
903	TRO901003FTC	8/16/2016	Evans, Martha Jean Williams	Martha Jean Williams Evans	4229			X				March 2008 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
904	TRO900587FTC	8/16/2016	Robertson, Gloria	Gloria Robertson	4230			X		X		Some diagnoses before bar date, alleges sarcoidosis diagnosed in December 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
905	TRO880466FTC	12/4/2015	Wright, Emily	Emily Wright	4231		X	X				Previously hired atty Navarro 2002 to make a claim but after saying for years it was in litigation it turned out he apparently never filed a claim. Alleges misconduct by attorney, but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless the attorney's conduct is excused. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
906	TRO886314FTC	12/7/2015	Shelton, Rachael	Rachel Shelton	4232		X	X				1971/2002 diagnoses. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
907	TRO892127FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, William	William Harris	4233	4445	X	X				2002 diagnosis. Did not understand what to do; a duplicate motion filed at docket #4445. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
908	TRO894573FTC	3/23/2016	Harrison, Willie	Willie Harrison	4234		X	X				Movant for docket # 4234 is Willie Harrison [TRO894573FTC]. It was incorrectly on the Trust's chart at docket # 3764, but that docket entry is for Willie Harriston [TRO880913FTC]. 2002 diagnosis. The excuse for Willie Harrison [TRO894573FTC] is that he was unaware of the bankruptcy case; 2002 letter says brain injury precludes claimant from making decisions for himself, and spouse should make decisions but she did not file claim. No explanation of why spouse did not file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
909	TRO886604FTC	12/7/2015	Seals, Terence	Terence Seals	4235		X	X				X	1980 diagnosis. Says was deployed out of country while in military service but dates are not clear. To the extent the motion seeks relief based on excusable neglect the motion is denied. The risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If movant contends that the Mississippi statute of limitations was tolled due to military service and further contends that military service tolled the application of the bar date so that the claim was timely (which would require a showing that military service continued through September 21, 2015), movant may make a supplemental submission to verify the dates of military service.
910	TRO884168FTC	11/13/2015	Minor, Ashley	Ashley Minor	4236		X	X					1991 diagnosis. Was offered \$963 by Wilbur Colom firm - rejected it. Attending college, unaware could file claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
911	TRO898301FTC	6/20/2016	Spraggins, Ashley	Ashley Spraggins	4237			X					2007 diagnosis. Says was unaware of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
912	TRO898130FTC	6/20/2016	Givens, Desi	Mendes Givens	4238		X	X					1998-99 diagnosis. Deceased 1999. Rep unaware of claims process, unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
913	TRO887040FTC	12/14/2015	Richardson, Josiah	Tanaka Richardson	4239	9388		X				2007 diagnosis. Rep did not know needed to file med records (it seems referring to late claim filings with Trust). A supplemental letter filed at docket #9388 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
914	TRO898321FTC	6/20/2016	Franks, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Franks	4240		X	X				Diagnoses "1990s-2000s." Did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
915	TRO892984FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Joe	Joe Neal	4241			X		X		Did not know of claim process; unaware of dangers of Tronox product, says symptoms & diagnosis 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
916	TRO888299FTC	12/18/2015	Givens, Mendes	Mendes Givens	4242		X	X				1985 and 1991 diagnoses. Unaware of process and of dangers of Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
917	TRO888527FTC	12/24/2015	Fulton, Dwayne	Dwayne Fulton	4243		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Unaware was eligible to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
918	TRO901118FTC	8/16/2016	Bennett, Tina	Petina Bennett	4244		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2000, did not get recovery. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
919	TRO894405FTC	3/2/2016	Humphries, Johnnie	Johnnie Humphries	4245			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2015, but enclosed chart shows same conditions listed as being diagnosed in both 2007 and 2015. Cannot tell from papers if there really were any new post-bar date conditions, but the fact that a previously-diagnosed condition continued after the bar date is not enough to make it a future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
920	TRO892855FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, Willie	Willie Calloway	4246		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Unaware of what was occurring because of personal obligations dealing with health issues of self and relatives. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
921	TRO912403FTC	5/30/2017	Ewing, Yvonne	Yvonne Ewing	4247		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says was totally incompetent at bar date, dealing with medical issues that left her unable to care for herself. Claim filed in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
922	TRO887989FTC	12/18/2015	Bradley, Evelyn	Rosemary Horton	4248	8076	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed and died in 2000. Rep had no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8076, rep unaware of bankruptcy case, moved away from area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
923	TRO895814FTC	3/24/2016	Shamily, Jimmy	Annie Shamily	4249		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 2003, died in 2004. Rep unaware of lawsuit or claims, unaware was qualified to make claim; did not understand because without education. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
924	TRO889743FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Eddie	Whirlie Byrd	4250		X	X				1964 and 1966 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1968. Rep does not say why he did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
925	TRO901886FTC	9/14/2016	Bullock, Gavin	Gavin Bullock	4251		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Did not know of any such claim that was pending. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
926	TRO891451FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Jocquetavious	Jocquetavious Harris	4252		X	X				1995 diagnosis. No specific excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
927	TRO900276FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Jim	Jim Key	4253			X		X		Says symptoms 2010, diagnosis 2010 & 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
928	TRO901973FTC	9/14/2016	Kabacinski, Mary	Mary Kabacinski	4254			X				Diagnoses in 1984 and 1986; says did not subscribe to any of the publications used. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
929	TRO891450FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Shaquille	Shaquille Harris	4255		X	X				1995 diagnosis. No excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
930	TRO891452FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Calvin	Calvin Harris	4256		X	X		X		Alleges diagnosis in 1999 and "again" in 2010. Does not provide excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
931	TRO897742FTC	5/25/2016	Perry, CB	CB Perry	4257			X			X	Did not know or have reason to know was exposed to Tronox product; symptoms 2010; diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
932	TRO888907FTC	12/30/2015	OdNeal, Earnest	Estella Oden	4258	8113	X	X				Injured party diagnosed 1979/1981, died 1988; previously filed with Cochran law firm and rejected \$500 offer from 2002 action; not receive notice of bankruptcy case. A supplement filed at docket #8113. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
933	TRO887189FTC	12/14/2015	Wallace, Roderick	Roderick Wallace	4259			X			X	Standard language; says symptom and diagnosis Dec 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
934	TRO890366FTC	2/23/2016	Stephenson, Gwen	Gwen Stephenson	4260		X	X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says diagnosis was in 2012 but also says previously filed with Tollison law firm in class action in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. In addition, if claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
935	TRO901230FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Toshia	Toshia Jones	4261			X			X	Cut-and-paste conclusory language re reasons for missing bar date. Symptoms 2007, says diagnosis 2017. Unclear if diagnosis date is listed correctly. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
936	TRO890139FTC	2/23/2016	Newman, Bobby	Jennifer Barksdale	4262		X	X			X	Submitted many medical records but unclear when various conditions were first diagnosed, as opposed to when treatments or medical visits occurred. Injured party died in 2013. Hand-copied language about lack of notice, unawareness of claim, alleged advice to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
937	TRO890142FTC	2/23/2016	Newman, Betty	Jennifer Barksdale	4263		X	X				Injured party died in 2006. No information on diagnosis dates. Hand-copied language about lack of notice, unawareness of claim, alleged advice to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
938	TRO888801FTC	12/30/2015	Harris, Daylan	Charming Harris	4264			X				2008 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Mother (filing for son) says unaware of lawsuit; lived in Tenn. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
939	TRO889758FTC	2/23/2016	Garner, Toby	Toby Garner	4265		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1992, 2000, 2010, 2017. Previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$400. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Says unaware of claim or injury caused by Tronox product, but that is inconsistent with prior filing with Colom law firm and prior receipt of compensation. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to the effect of any release issued in connection with the prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
940	TRO893749FTC	3/2/2016	Hodges, Vivian	Vivian Hodges	4266			X			X	Alleges a 2013 diagnosis but also confirms was part of Colom law firm class action in early 2000s. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
941	TRO888556FTC	12/24/2015	Harris, Charming	Charming Harris	4267		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Moved away from Columbus Miss, unaware of lawsuit and deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
942	TRO897362FTC	5/25/2016	Boykins, John	Selma Boykins	4268		X	X				The Injured party was diagnosed in 2000 and died in 2000. Rep unaware of lawsuit, bar date or that qualified; informed that allowance was for certain distance from plant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
943	TRO890855FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Luberta	Anita Davis	4269		X	X				Trust's summary referred to motion by Luberta Brown but the motion that is filed with the court at docket # 4269 is by Anita Davis for Luberta Davis [TRO890855FTC]. Motion for Luberta Brown is at docket # 4349. As to Luberta Davis motion: the injured party was diagnosed in 1970s and died in 1993; rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
944	TRO892176FTC	2/23/2016	Monroe, Mildred	Mildred Monroe	4270		X	X		X		Alleges various conditions diagnosed at various dates: high blood pressure (1989), diabetes (1990), arthritis (2012), skin rash (2012), cholesterol (2009). Previously filed with atty Navarro but in 2003 requested exclusion from a prior class action. Says was unaware of bar date. Proof of claim filed in December 2015. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
945	TRO898032FTC	6/20/2016	Sherrod, Gene	Gene Sherrod	4271		X	X				1986, 1995 and 2005 diagnoses. Says unaware exposed to a Tronox product, unaware cause of illness was creosote. Statute of limitations in MS runs from date the injury is diagnosed, not the date the cause is identified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
946	TRO902146FTC	9/14/2016	Ames, Annie	Annie Ames	4272		X	X				No diagnosis date listed, symptoms started around 1990. Says unaware of the claims and process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Appears that the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
947	TRO884475FTC	11/25/2015	Cunningham, Therman	Therman Porter	4273	8897	X	X		X		Some conditions were diagnosed prior to bar date beginning in 1984, many are listed after bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8897 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
948	TRO886435FTC	12/7/2015	Hairston, Annie	George Hairston	4274		X	X				1994 diagnosis. No excuse provided for failure to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
949	TRO894414FTC	3/2/2016	Weaver, Da'Briyan	Da'Briyan Weaver	4275	9266		X			X	Alleges 2008 and 2015 diagnoses. Was not aware of the filing, spoke to an attorney who did not get back to her, filed after heard other people were filing. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9266 complaining about the process. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
950	TRO893994FTC	3/2/2016	Buchanan, Debra Stewart	Debra Stewart Buchanan	4276			X				First diagnosis "1970 to 2009." Says papers were filed on time. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To the extent the claim was late-filed, no excuse has been offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
951	TRO888280FTC	12/18/2015	Morrison, Kenneth	Rosemary Horton	4277	8143	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1970 and died in 1977; rep did not know claimant had been exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8143; rep moved away from area, unaware of bar date, when first learned of it, it appeared relief only applied to those in area. (May be referring to a prior class action in which class membership was limited based on residence.) Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
952	TRO888081FTC	12/18/2015	Morrison, Milton	Rosemary Horton	4278	8078	X	X				Diagnosis and death in 1983; rep did not know claimant exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8078; rep unaware of bankruptcy case, moved away from area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
953	TRO904945FTC	1/24/2017	Guarnieri, Charles	Cynthia M. Guarnieri	4279			X				1996 diagnosis and death. Rep does not read Wall Street Journal or subscribe to other publication newspapers; aware at time people got settlement checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
954	TRO895597FTC	3/24/2016	Kishel, Andrew	Caroline Kishel	4280			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2012. Rep says was unaware could file for deceased claimant. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
955	TRO914835FTC	7/26/2017	Berry, Ellis	Sheila Davis	4281		X	X				Says first diagnosis was in 2003. Spoke with Colom atty in 2004, was told it was a waste of time "so I left it alone." Consultation with attorney shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If believes advice was incorrect that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
956	TRO892869FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Stella	Cotesio Johnson-Jones	4282	8668 9023		X			X	Says first diagnosis in 2010, the injured party died in 2016. Also says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2009 but papers lost. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8668 and at docket #9023. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Actions by counsel would not constitute grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's actions could be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect to the extent claim is based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
957	TRO896943FTC	4/25/2016	Harris, DeAnthony	DeAnthony Harris	4283		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Colom in 2006, not receive anything. Unclear if attorney pursued the claim, but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. If the claim was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel (Mr. Colom) received direct notice of the bar date.
958	TRO888929FTC	12/30/2015	Orr, Tanisha	Tanisha Orr	4284			X			X	Alleges symptoms and diagnosis in December 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
959	TRO901975FTC	9/14/2016	Guarnieri, Cynthia	Cynthia Guarnieri	4285			X				Diagnoses in 1977 and 1982. Not subscribe to Wall Street Journal or read the other papers, became aware when others received settlement checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
960	TRO894411FTC	3/2/2016	Weaver, Earnestine	Earnestine Weaver	4286	9266	X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all before 2003. Spoke to atty who did not get back, learned of others filing papers. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9266 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
961	TRO886415FTC	12/7/2015	Hubbard, Sergio	Sergio Hubbard	4287	8219 8344 9429	X	X				1994 diagnosis. Unaware of bankruptcy case, says has mild retardation. A supplement filed at docket #8219 (says disabled) and at docket #8344, without knowledge to wade through the process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9429 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
962	TRO886617FTC	12/7/2015	McCarter, Bertha	Bertha McCarther	4288	8116	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm, did not receive any money. Says was unaware condition was connected to Tronox product but that is inconsistent with the movant's participation in the prior class action. A supplement filed at docket #8116, says atty Colom failed to file proof of claim. Colom firm handled prior class actions, not clear if was counsel to claimant at time of bar date. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel (Mr. Colom) received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures by counsel (if they occurred) are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
963	TRO894961FTC	3/23/2016	Deal, Lauretha	Lauretha Deal	4289			X				Diagnosis 1989/1993. Filing for mother. Refers to former residence near Kerr-McGee plant but does not specify which plant. Unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement; the chart is corrected to reflect docket # 4289, the trust referred to the incorrect number. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
964	TRO893744FTC	3/2/2016	Stewart, James	Brenda Blevins	4290		X	X			X	Injured party diagnosed before 1990; filing by relative. Says papers filed on time. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To extent claim was filed late no excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
965	TRO890007FTC	2/23/2016	Prowell, Charlie	Robert Prowell	4291	4292	X	X				Duplicate motions at dockets 4291 and 4292. The injured party died in 1977 after diagnosis in 1970. Cut-and-paste language: not aware of bankruptcy case, did not see notifications, allegedly was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
966	TRO898268FTC	6/20/2016	Brown, Thanuras	Thanuras Brown	4293			X			X	March 2009 diagnosis. Says filed claim prior to deadline but may be confused as to when the bar date was. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
967	TRO897773FTC	5/25/2016	Perry, Tyanna	Tyanna Perry	4294			X			X	Symptoms 2010, diagnosis 2011, claim filed 2016. Says minor, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
968	TRO886035FTC	12/7/2015	Evans, Bobbie	Zerrick Evans	4295		X	X				1995/2003 diagnoses and symptoms. Deceased 2008; rep says he was minor at time, does not indicate responsible person for estate in 2009 and does not explain why that person or persons did not take action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1995 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
969	TRO892179FTC	2/23/2016	Dixon, Margaret	Mildred Monroe	4296		X	X				1998 diagnosis; claim filed December 2015. The injured party deceased 2007; rep says insufficient notice. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
970	TRO893750FTC	3/2/2016	Brooks, Keith	Keith Brooks	4297			X		X		Says symptom and diagnosis onset in 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
971	TRO895280FTC	3/23/2016	Burnside, Walter	Shirley Sanders	4298			X				The injured party was diagnosed in March 2007, died in 2008; sibling/claimant says first learned of case in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
972	TRO885106FTC	11/25/2015	Dent, Sallie	Sallie Dent	4299		X	X			X	1995 diagnosis listed; enclosed medical records show long history of post-bar date doctor visits, unclear if any show new conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date; cut-and-pasted language saying did not know of bankruptcy, did not see publications, allegedly called and was told to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
973	TRO912645FTC	5/30/2017	Thames, Frederick	Frederick Thames	4300			X			X	Says symptoms 1990, diagnosis 2010. Alleges no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges 2010 diagnoses but date of diagnosis may be disputed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect, motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim to conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any issues as diagnosis dates) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
974	TRO896754FTC	4/25/2016	Lowe, Tony	Tony Lowe	4301		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Cut-and-paste standard language as to reasons why did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
975	TRO884679FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Sallie	Carline Porter	4302		X	X				1983 diagnosis; injured party now deceased. Previously filed with Colom law firm, part of 2002 class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
976	TRO887196FTC	12/14/2015	Wallace, Annette	Annette Wallace	4303			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm, part of 2002 class action. Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
977	TRO902426FTC	9/14/2016	Bridges, Johnson	Walter Bridges	4304		X	X				Filing for father. Diagnosis in 1993. Says father had alzheimer's disease, but rep does not provide excuse as to why rep did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
978	TRO886037FTC	12/7/2015	Jones, Jacqualan	Erika Jones	4305						X	Minor, rep uncertain of process to file a claim. Alleges some diagnoses before bar date but other conditions diagnosed after bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
979	TRO887718FTC	12/14/2015	Brabham, Amanda	Amanda Brabham	4306			X			X	Alleges various diagnoses that pre-date the bar date, also alleges osteoarthritis diagnosis in 2017. Husband was in military service, later relocated to Tennessee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
980	TRO887660FTC	Unknown	Morris, Mary	Mary Morris	4307	4313	X	X				Not listed on Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis. Unaware exposed to Tronox product, unaware there was a claim. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #4313. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
981	TRO889712FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Fairie	Fairie Johnson	4308			X				Says previously filed with Curtis Austin law firm in 2007. No record of a bankruptcy claim filing in 2009, however. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
982	TRO894290FTC	3/2/2016	Rodgers, Terrie Johnson	Terrie Johnson Rodgers	4309	8171	X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some before bar date and some after. Not aware of facts or claim; unaware how to file a claim; says taking care of sick siblings at time. A supplement filed at docket #8171. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
983	TRO891655FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Meco	Morris, Meco	4310		X	X				Refers to diagnosis in 1975 and various conditions that pre-date 1990. Says was unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
984	TRO891656FTC	2/23/2016	Greason, Rayon Denise	Rayon Denise Greason	4311		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before 2002, some after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
985	TRO894436FTC	3/2/2016	Miller, Marki	Marki Miller	4312		X	X				1982 and 1983 diagnoses. Incarcerated, without funds to pay lawyer. However, the claim was was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
986	TRO887646FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Geshia	Geshia Davis	4314		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
987	TRO891200FTC	2/23/2016	James, LaShuntay	LaShuntay James	4315			X			X	Symptoms started in 2008 but alleges no diagnosis until 2013. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect to the extent conditions were diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
988	TRO888450FTC	12/18/2015	Girman, Barbara	Barbara Girman	4316			X				Most diagnoses in 1970s but also alleges biopsy in 2007 (results not clear). Says was unaware of bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
989	TRO885394FTC	11/25/2015	Davis-Prescott, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Davis-Prescott	4317	8272	X	X				2001 diagnosis. Reason for late filing: death in the family; also assisting ill relative at the time. Says was taking care of sick aunt in Michigan from 2007-2009, returned to Mississippi in late 2009 to care for husband on dialysis and to assist sister taking care of spouse with a stroke. A supplement filed at docket #8272. No explanation as to why filed so many years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
990	TRO887833FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Ruth	Ruth Williams	4318		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
991	TRO886480FTC	12/7/2015	Boles, Lechandra	Lechandra Boles	4319		X	X		X		Says was unaware had been exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis for one condition 2001 and another condition 2009, unclear when in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
992	TRO897172FTC	5/4/2016	Ellis, Malcolm	Malcolm Ellis	4320		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
993	TRO888897FTC	12/30/2015	Hopkins, Mattie	Mattie Hopkins	4321		X	x				1981 diagnosis. Says claim form was unclear as to bar date, publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
994	TRO895238FTC	3/23/2016	Westbrook, Donald	Donald Westbrook	4322		X	X				Exposed while resident of Columbus, MS. 1987 and 1991 diagnoses. Incarcerated, suffers from ADHD, bipolar, slow learner, unaware of claim. Insufficient showing of inability to make claim or to enlist others to help in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
995	TRO889051FTC	12/30/2015	Whooper, Jamie	Jeannette Diggs	4323		X	X				First diagnosed 1989. Says claim was filed on time but may think a late-filed claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
996	TRO894209FTC	3/2/2016	Smith, Charles	Charles Smith	4324			X				Diagnoses in 2009. Says unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
997	TRO888031FTC	12/18/2015	Williams, Jeff	Jeff Williams	4325		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
998	TRO888323FTC	12/18/2015	Kuma, John	John Kuma	4327			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
999	TRO884637FTC	11/25/2015	Hawkins, Louise	Deloris Brooks	4328	5999	X	X				1970s diagnoses. Duplicate motion at docket # 5999. Reasons did not file: old age, unaware of filing claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1000	TRO885487FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Latonya	Latonya Brooks	4329	5990	X	X			X	1994 diagnosis for skin irritation, 2010 for migraines. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2005; unaware attorneys were taking claims in Tronox bankruptcy case. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 5990. The Trust incorrectly says that this claim was not filed with the Court but it was filed and docketed twice at docket #4329 and docket #5990. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Filing with Colom law firm shows awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to whether such claims are barred by prior class action proceedings) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1001	TRO901962FTC	9/14/2016	Latham, Terry	Terry Latham	4330		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, but does not allege Tronox had reason to know of his claim and does not provide specific challenge to publication notices that were approved. Says did not know, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1002	TRO885466FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Eugene	Deloris Brooks	4331	5972	X	X				Diagnoses in 1960 and 1990. Rep unaware attorneys were taking claims; a duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 5972. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1003	TRO894937FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Cameron	Cameron Jones	4332			X			X	Says symptom and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1004	TRO893422FTC	2/23/2016	Hood, Caroline	Caroline Hood	4333		X	X				Previously filed 1999 with atty Bambach (deceased); paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1005	TRO887068FTC	12/14/2015	Wilson, La Sharra	La Sharra Wilson	4334						X	1995 and 2001 diagnoses. Minor, unaware exposed to chemicals. Filed claim in 2015. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1006	TRO904969FTC	1/24/2017	Davis, Earnest	Stephanie Davis Myles	4335	4339	X	X				injured party diagnosed in 1974, died in 2009; rep had no knowledge of claims. Motion at docket 4339 appears to relate to the same injured party but has a different claim number. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1007	TRO895436FTC	3/24/2016	Henry, Angel	Angel Henry	4336		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1008	TRO895985FTC	4/6/2016	Dankins, William	Sandra Latham	4337	9018	X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1980, died in 1987. Rep says unaware of bankruptcy case, did not see publications, allegedly called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9018 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1009	TRO884802FTC	11/25/2015	Conner, Christopher	Christopher Conner	4338	8137		X			X	X	The correct file for docket # 4338 is Christopher J. Conner [TRO884802FTC] and he filed it himself. The file for Christopher D. Conner [TRO889048FTC] filed by Arthur Conner is at docket # 4221. As to Christopher J. Conner: the excuse is that he was a minor (age 15) and unaware of the claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8137, says was diagnosed after the bar date in 2009. Unclear if claimant wishes to pursue any claim based on a diagnoses that preceded the bar date, but if so will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1010	TRO902427FTC	9/14/2016	Davis, Earnest	Shannon Burchfield	4339	4335	X	X					The injured party was diagnosed in 1974 and died in 2009; rep says no knowledge of claim. (Another motion at docket # 4335 applies to the same injured party and attaches the same death certificate, but this motion refers to a claim with a different TRO number, a different party filing the claim and a different address). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1011	TRO904201FTC	1/3/2017	Wilson, Kebebe	Kebebe Wilson	4340		X	X					Diagnoses 1990 and prior. Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy or where to file any complaints. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1012	TRO896758FTC	4/25/2016	Hall, Christopher	Clarissa Hall	4341			X			X		Diagnoses in 2004, 2010 and 2014. Rep unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1013	TRO902860FTC	10/13/2016	Topps, Ayuna	Carla Heath	4342	8389	X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process, without knowledge to wade through process (it appears that rep rejected offer from trust). A supplement filed at docket #8389, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1014	TRO893741FTC	3/2/2016	Kundrat, Jeffrey R.	Jeffrey R. Kundrat	4344			X		X		Diagnoses in 1980 (migraines), 1988 (hypertension), 2014 (polyps). Unaware of claims action; moved to another town in Pa. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1015	TRO886721FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Theresa	Theresa Williams	4345			X				November 2005 diagnosis. Unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1016	TRO893285FTC	2/23/2016	Shirley, Howard	Alfred W. Shirley	4346		X	X				Motion filed by former resident of Columbus, MS on behalf of his father. The injured party had symptoms in 1965/1970; diagnosis date unknown but injured party died in 2002. Rep was unaware of any claims, rep moved to another state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1017	TRO884376FTC	11/25/2015	Bush, Enon	Enon Bush	4347		X	X				1992 diagnosis. Reference to a 2004 legal proceeding with Colom law firm. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1018	TRO886544FTC	12/7/2015	Hendricks, Eddie	Eddie Hendricks	4348		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1019	<b>TRO903775FTC</b>	<b>11/23/2016</b>	<b>Brown, Luberta</b>	<b>Shirley Brown</b>	4349	8340	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this motion as being dkt # 4269; correct listing is at dkt # 4349. 1970 diagnosis. Unaware of ongoing Tronox case, insufficient notice. A supplement filed at docket #8340, without knowledge to wade through process. Notice complied with due process requirements for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1020	TRO886481FTC	12/7/2015	Junkin, Camryn	Lechandra Boles	4350		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2003 (asthma) and August 26, 2009 (learning disability). Unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 2003 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1021	TRO902435FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Cedric	Cedric Johnson	4351		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Resident of Columbus, MS until 2001. Unaware condition related to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1022	TRO893777FTC	3/2/2016	Mickens, Robert	Lucile Cowans	4352		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party deceased 1999; previously filed with Bambach in 1997, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1023	TRO889268FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Dontaquius	Renata Jennings	4353			X		X	X	Alleges diagnoses in 2006, 2010 and 2012. Minor, unaware exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file as to pre-bar date diagnoses, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1024	TRO895198FTC	3/23/2016	Heath, Carla	Carla Heath	4354	8388	X	X				1985 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. A supplement at docket # 8388, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1025	TRO891712FTC	2/23/2016	Sciandra, Charles	Charles Sciandra	4355			X				The motion at docket # 4355 is for Charles A. Sciandra (TRO891712FTC). The motion for Charles J. Sciandra (TRO893405FTC) is at docket # 4847. Charles A. Sciandra is a resident of Duryea, PA who says he lived elsewhere from 2003 through 2009. He alleges diagnoses in 2005 and prior years. His excuse is that he was not in area, rather was in Iowa and California. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1026	TRO890121FTC	2/23/2016	Bolton, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Bolton	4356		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1027	TRO884195FTC	11/25/2015	Brandon, Jonathan	Johnathan Brandon	4357						X	1999 diagnosis. In high school in 2009, unaware of a lawsuit or of claim that he should have filed; at time dealing with the July 2009 death of close relative. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age in 2009 and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1028	TRO897174FTC	5/4/2016	Mickens, Vicki	Vicki Michens	4358		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1029	TRO884449FTC	11/25/2015	Miller, Katie	Katie Miller	4359		X	X			x	1995 symptoms; for date of first diagnosis says "1998-2017" but appears to refer to continuing condition. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1030	TRO885468FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Kelvin	Kelvin Brooks	4360	5952	X	X				1988 and 1998 diagnoses. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2005; unaware attorneys were taking claims. A duplicate motion is filed at docket # 5952. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1031	TRO891367FTC	2/23/2016	Hendricks, Freddie	Eddie Hendricks	4361		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1991 and died in 1993; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1032	TRO893413FTC	2/23/2016	Cowans, Lucile	Lucile Cowans	4362		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1033	TRO880860FTC	12/4/2015	Guin, Terry	Terry Guin	4363	3732?	X	X				Date of first diagnosis listed as "1960s-2006." Motion at docket 3732 is possibly a duplicate though claim numbers differ. Appears to be referring to continuing condition. Did not receive "claim" in time, original claim package was returned by "acting attorney" during initial filing process (unclear when). Appears to be confusing a prior class action process with the bankruptcy claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1034	TRO901286FTC	8/16/2016	Shirley, Sadie	Alfred Shirley	4364			X				Movant is filing on behalf of mother. The injured party died in November 2005; did not receive any type of notice during times of exposure and manifestation, relied on home remedies. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1035	TRO891775FTC	2/23/2016	Pounds, Shirley	Shirley Pounds	4365			X				Diagnosis 1991 or earlier. Not clear where exposure occurred and what law governs the claim for statute of limitations purposes. Was told in 1991 her thyroid condition was attributable to "the plant." Did not file before bar date because did not understand what it was asking and if she needed to respond or not. Unclear if knew of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1036	TRO884396FTC	11/25/2015	Bush, Casey	Casey Bush	4366		X	X				1980s diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2004; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy claims. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1037	TRO904199FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Melvin	Melvin Dancy	4367		X	X				Diagnoses between 1979-1990. Unaware of settlement in law suit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1038	TRO894994FTC	3/23/2016	Richards, Letisha	Letisha Richards	4368			X		X		Alleges September 2009 diagnosis. Says filed claim when the claims were sent to the people in Columbus Mississippi in 2015; called to receive papers. Unclear if diagnosis date is disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1039	TRO893220FTC	2/23/2016	Radle, Matthew	Matthew Radle	4369	8142		X				1979 diagnosis. Unaware that Tronox had a creosote facility nearby; got no direct notice; was unaware of bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8142, says was unaware of the legal issues resulting from the environmental pollution; no notice was mailed to him; the ignorance of being aware of a legal action has been placed on him; says someone should have canvased the neighborhood and taken names and followed through with respect to those who lived in the affected area. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1040	TRO885999FTC	12/7/2015	Scott, Conston	Conston Scott	4370		X	X				2003 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit, also received notice to boil water but not about contamination, not notified of further contamination that was seen. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1041	TRO892212FTC	2/23/2016	Clemmons, Carlos	Carlos Clemmons	4371		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says was unaware could file claim in Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1042	TRO900121FTC	7/25/2016	Bradshaw, Mary	Yoland Sparks	4372			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1043	TRO896610FTC	4/25/2016	Jennings, Henrico	Renata Jennings	4373		X	X				1989, 1995 symptoms; unsure when the injured party was diagnosed but died in 2004; rep says was unaware and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1044	TRO904213FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Anjerlina	Anjerlina Dancy	4374		X	X				1988 and 1989 diagnoses. Unaware of settlement or bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1045	TRO893012FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Sylvia	Sylvia Thompson	4375		X	X				Diagnoses 1992, 2003, 2006. Unaware of Tronox lawsuit or bar date; did not have knowledge to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1046	TRO894641FTC	3/23/2016	Carr, Maria	Maria Carr	4376	4377		X			X	Alleges 2009 diagnoses but does not give specific dates. Says did not know or understand; unaware Tronox had anything to do with her illness. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Note: the motion listed on the docket at docket # 4377 is purportedly a motion by "Deandre Hugh" but docket # 4377 actually contains another copy of the motion by Marcia Carr that appears at docket # 4376. The motion by Deandre Hughes actually appears at docket no. 8457.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1047	TRO891353FTC	2/23/2016	Clemmons, LaToya	Charles Clemmons	4378		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware could file claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1048	TRO901215FTC	8/16/2016	Townsend, Thomas	Thomas Townsend	4379			X		X		Alleges diagnosis in December 2009 but no excuse provided, does not identify a specific diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1049	TRO887329FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Keyanka	Keyanka Wilkins	4380		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990, 1991 and 1993. Says misinterpreted condition of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1050	TRO887956FTC	12/18/2015	Phinizee, Robert	Robert Phinizee	4381			X		X		Alleges diagnoses 2010 and later. Says worked at Sanderson company and was told that employees who filed a claim would no longer have a job there. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1051	TRO890445FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Eunice	Eunice Harrison	4382			X		X		November 2009 diagnosis. Says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1052	TRO897169FTC	5/4/2016	Clark, Cora	Cora Clark	4383		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1053	Unknown	Unknown	Peoples, Ernest	Ernest Peoples	4384		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Mr. Peoples used a blank form that had originally been sent to Barbara Silvers and that lists her claim number. Ms. Silvers' motion is at docket # 3743. Mr. Peoples' tort claim number is unknown. In his motion he references himself as the injured party on page one, but it appears he used the second page directly from Ms. Silvers' filing as the second page refers to the injured party as Barbara Silvers. Alleges a 2007 diagnosis, offers no excuse or grounds for motion on behalf of Mr. Peoples himself. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1054	TRO892436FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Stephen	Stephen Sykes	4385		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Says certain groups kept the information privately to themselves, false information was provided that only those who worked for the company could file a claim. May be referring to prior lawsuits rather than to the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1055	TRO896717FTC	4/25/2016	Latham, Ozie	Fannie Mays	4387		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1056	TRO889286FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Renata	Renata Jennings	4388		X	X				1983 and 2008 diagnoses. Unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; unaware Tronox product caused condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1083 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1057	TRO896925FTC	4/25/2016	Hamilton, Clara	Annie Tremble	4389		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1972 and died in 2002; previously filed with Colom law firm but did not receive any compensation. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1058	TRO901252FTC	Unknown	Alice White	White, Alice	4390			X			X	Trust listed this motion as relating to the claim for Annie Dent; that motion is at dkt # 4584. The motion at dkt # 4390 is by Alice White for herself. Alleges diagnoses in 2010, 2014, 2017. Says did not know about the process at the time of the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1059	TRO889225FTC	2/23/2016	Bolton, Jimmy	Jacqueline Bolton	4391		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1060	TRO884804FTC	11/25/2015	Sanders, Abaris	Abaris Sanders	4392	8104	X	X				1990s diagnosis. Unaware of the claims process; in and out of college during bankruptcy case; unaware and no reason to know of bankruptcy case. A supplemental claim filed at docket #8104. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1061	TRO880955FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Lynn	Lynn Jones	4393		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm and atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1062	TRO893778FTC	3/2/2016	Michens, Estella	Lucile Cowans	4394		X	X				Lists first diagnosis date for relevant condition as "1999-2007." Filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1063	TRO892830FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Linda	Linda Johnson	4395		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1064	TRO894077FTC	3/2/2016	Congress, Shundale	Shundale Congress	4396	8845		X		X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8845 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1065	TRO892214FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Robert, III	Robert Brown III	4397		X	X				1981 diagnosis (as infant). Did not receive any paperwork concerning the Tronox tort claims trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1066	TRO903669FTC	11/23/2016	Watkins, Betty	Betty Watkins	4398		X	X				June 1982 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1067	TRO880770FTC	12/4/2015	Frazier, Willie Mae	Willie Mae Frazier	4399		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Says she did file but may be referring to her late claim and not to a claim before bar date. Says each time she filed, the papers were sent back. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1068	TRO901203FTC	8/16/2016	Waitayangkoon, Songsak	Songsak Waitayangkoon	4400			X				1989 diagnosis. Says sent letter to the Department of Justice, Washington D.C. in 2009. The letter is not included but it appears that DOJ forwarded the letter to the EPA. Letters to government agencies do not constitute bankruptcy proofs of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1069	TRO892534FTC		Dixon, Annie	Annie Dixon	4401		X	X				A summary by the Trust incorrectly referred to Annie Dixon's motion as docket # 3700 but that motion is by Emma Harris [TRO891965FTC]. Ms. Dixon's motion is at docket # 4401 and is based on a 1988 diagnosis; she contends that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1070	TRO891636FTC	2/23/2016	Matrix, Damien	Damien Mattix	4402		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product, unaware required to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1071	TRO897929FTC	6/10/2016	Ganderson, Sr., Hermas	Doris Granderson	4403		X	X				1970 diagnosis. The injured party died in 2002; rep says moved away, unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1072	TRO904202FTC	1/3/2017	Washington, Jamika	Jamika Washington	4404						X	2002-2003 diagnoses. Says was minor; unaware could file claim. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at time of bar date in 2009 and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1073	TRO899355FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Chantell	Chantell Howard	4405		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Says there was a death in immediate family, does not specify date and does not explain why claim not filed until many years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1074	TRO894932FTC	3/23/2016	Hoskins, Millie	Millie Hoskins	4406			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1075	TRO903403FTC	11/23/2016	Howard, Damond	Annie Love	4407		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep does not provide excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1076	<b>TRO887975FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Harashinski, Carol</b>	<b>Carol Harashinski</b>	4408	4749			X			Not included in Trust's summary. Duplicate at docket # 4749. 1999 diagnosis; says did not know about bar date, offers no other explanation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1077	TRO892669FTC	2/23/2016	Granderson, Joe	Joe A. Mitchel	4409		X	X				Filed with Colom law firm in 2001, then moved away; unaware of Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1078	TRO896645FTC	4/25/2016	Miller, Demetricit	Demetricit Miller	4410			X		X		Diagnosis January 12, 2009. Says did file claim. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1079	TRO895528FTC	3/24/2016	Hendricks, Brenda	Brenda Hendricks	4411		X	X				August 2002 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware until friend received settlement in 2014. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1080	TRO903027FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Lucy	Lucy Fenton	4412		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Unaware that needed to file claim, can't afford lawyer, information on proceedings vague, says filed a claim in 2009 as soon as learned of process. No record of 2009 claim, only record is of late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1081	TRO898459FTC	6/27/2016	Cummings, Cheryl	Cheryl Cummings	4413		X	X				June 1986 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1082	TRO899357FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Dasie	Dasie Howard	4414		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to death in immediate family but does not provide dates. Same handwriting as on other claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1083	TRO903251FTC	10/27/2016	Howard, Marcus	Marcus Howard	4415		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to death in immediate family but does not provide dates. Same handwriting as on other claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1084	TRO902713FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Curly	Curly Howard	4416		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to death in immediate family but does not provide dates. Same handwriting as on other motions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1085	TRO904269FTC	1/3/2017	Silvers, Lewis	Lewis Silvers	4417		X	X				1971 diagnosis. Says publication notice in 2009 was not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1086	TRO904335FTC	1/3/2017	Doss, Alva	Alva Doss	4418		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1087	TRO904278FTC	1/3/2017	Lyons, J.C.	J.C. Lyons	4419	6000	X	X				Diagnoses in 1976, 1980, 1983. Unaware exposed to Tronox product or that it was cause of condition; a duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #6000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1088	TRO892437FTC	2/23/2016	Sherron, Jesse	Natasha Sykes	4420		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980s, died in 2008. Rep unaware of case because certain group allegedly maintained information privately, false information provided that only people in company could file a claim. No details as to any particular false information allegedly given or who was responsible for the same. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1089	TRO900397FTC	7/25/2016	Townsend, Joyce	Joyce Townsend	4421			X		X		No excuse provided; says symptoms commenced dec 2009; no date for diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1090	TRO887156FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Robert	Robert Malone	4422		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1091	TRO889825FTC	2/23/2016	Lodell, Tillman	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4424		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1996, died in 2003; got no information concerning class action; "no information coming from Chicago." Notice of the bar date was published in the Chicago Tribune as well as in other papers across the country. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1092	TRO901240FTC	8/16/2016	Baskin, Ashialyette	Ashialyette Baskin	4425			X		X		No excuse provided; says symptoms December 2009, does not reference a diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1093	TRO904616FTC	1/24/2017	Rupert, Eliza	Velma Williams	4426		X	X				1970 diagnosis. The injured party died in 1988; says the claimant did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; form of notice deficient on face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1094	Unknown	#N/A	Kindell, Kristi	Kristi Kindell	4427			X		X		Various conditions, some diagnosed before bar date, some after. Says was unaware of the case. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1095	TRO892661FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor	4428	8838	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8838 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1096	TRO884700FTC	11/25/2015	Boykin, Gloria	Gloria Boykin	4429		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says has difficulty seeing and walking. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1097	TRO892697FTC	2/23/2016	Radle, Chelsea	Chelsea Radle	4430	8152					X	2006 diagnosis. Was a minor (17) in 2009, unaware exposed to Tronox product at bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8152, says that a prudent course of action would have been to have followed the minors for many years after the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1098	TRO880887FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Margaret	Margaret Latham	4431	4578		X				2008 diagnosis. Was unaware of claim process. The same motion was filed at docket # 4578 with a different claim number [TRO893979FTC]. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1099	TRO894597FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Curtis	Curtis Gore	4432		X	X				1970s diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of Tronox claim process because incarcerated. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1100	TRO886387FTC	12/7/2015	Taylor, James	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4433		X	X				No diagnosis date listed but says had surgery for the condition in 1988. Says he tried unsuccessfully to find an attorney in late 1990s. Was unaware of the "class action" until 2016. Prior effort to find counsel shows awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that had accrued as of the 1990s also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1101	TRO898990FTC	6/27/2016	Brown, Patricia	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4434		X	X				1993 diagnosis; was unaware of the "class action." Bankruptcy process is separate from the prior class actions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1102	TRO884253FTC	11/25/2015	Erby, Mary	Mary Erby	4435		X	X				Part of the 2002 class action with Colom law firm; claims there was insufficient notice, had no access to internet, unaware of bar date, learned from neighbors who received checks. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1103	TRO895539FTC	3/24/2016	Chapmon, Robert	Robert Chapmon	4437			X				1993 diagnosis. No excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1104	TRO892899FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Frances	Francis Williams	4438			X		X		Diagnoses in 2008 (diabetes), 2010 (high blood pressure). Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1105	TRO893971FTC	3/2/2016	Leech, Martha	Martha Leech	4439		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$1,000 settlement but thinks that was not fair. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Even if claim were not barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), is not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1106	TRO887034FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Jamarcus	Jamarcus Jones	4440						X	1993 and 2006 diagnoses. Minor, says not aware exposed to Tronox product, but no explanation of parents' or guardian's knowledge or actions or their diligence in pursuing rights and claims. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1107	TRO900049FTC	7/25/2016	Powell, Latonya	Altonya Powell	4441			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1108	TRO900294FTC	7/25/2016	Bradshaw, Charlie	Charlie Bradshaw	4442			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1109	TRO898905FTC	6/27/2016	Chandler, Dorothy	Dorothy Chandler	4443		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1110	TRO897358FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Marie	Marie Jones	4444	9421	X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware needed to file claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9421 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1111	TRO903256FTC	10/27/2016	McLaughlin, William	Anne McLaughlin	4446			X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980s and 1990 and died in 1999; rep unaware claimant exposed to Tronox product. Place at which exposure occurred is not specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1112	TRO888928FTC	12/30/2015	Keaton, Cynthia	Cynthia Keaton	4447		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm, did not hear back on claim; unaware needed to file. Received notice in 2014 of affirmance of the settlement of the Anadarko list so apparently was on the Rule 2004 service list at some time, unclear when. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1113	TRO901193FTC	8/16/2016	Waitayanakoon, Songol	Songol Waitayanakoon	4448		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Sent letter to DOJ (date unspecified). Complaint to DOJ showed awareness of claim but did not constitute a filing in the bankruptcy claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1114	TRO894469FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Joann	Joe Ann Jones	4449		X	X				The injured party died in 2002; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1115	TRO886217FTC	12/7/2015	Whitfield, Martha	Martha Whitfield	4450	4452	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of bankruptcy case or any notice. A duplicate of this motion is at docket # 4452. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Even if claim were not barred, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1116	TRO905004FTC	1/24/2017	Cunning, Calvin	Calvin Cunning	4452		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware and had no reason to know had been exposed to Tronox product, attended high school several hundred yards near company, Tronox legal team had access to public school records but did not send notice. Fact that claimant attended nearby high school did not mean Tronox knew the claimant was injured or had knowledge of his claim for notice purposes. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1117	TRO880061FTC	12/4/2015	Congress, Sam	Sam Congress	4453	8776		X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as reasons for not filing but alleges symptoms and diagnosis started Dec. 2009. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8776 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1118	TRO887697FTC	12/14/2015	Beck, Alton	Evelyn Beck	4454		X	X				2005 diagnosis and death; rep unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1119	TRO887698FTC	12/14/2015	Beck, Evelyn	Evelyn Beck	4455		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm and was offered \$250, rejected offer; unaware could file claim, caring for sick immediate family member who had stroke 2009 and died Sept. 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Even if illness of family member explained failure to file in 2009 it does not explain failure to file until many years after the bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1120	TRO891466FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Larry	Earnestine Richardson	4456			X		X		Diagnoses in 2002 and 2009 (cancer in 2009). The injured party died in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1121	<b>TRO896105FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Richardson, Earnestine</b>	<b>Earnestine Richardson</b>	4457		X	X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. 2004 diagnosis. Contends made a timely filing. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. If claim is based on exposure in Mississippi it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1122	TRO898618FTC	6/27/2016	Perry, Carl	Carl Perry	4458			X		X		Says symptoms Feb 2009; diagnosis Jan 2010. Says had no reason to know of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1123	TRO886623FTC	12/7/2015	Roby, Omekia	Omekia Roby	4459		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Says did not receive direct notice. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not contend Tronox knew of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1124	TRO886491FTC	12/7/2015	Young, Ricky	Ricky Young	4460	8816	X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says did not receive direct notice; out of town at the time. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8816 complaining about the process. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not contend that Tronox knew of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1125	TRO900982FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Shirley	Shirley Williams	4461		X	X				1964 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1126	TRO896106FTC	4/6/2016	Richardson, Christopher	Christopher Richardson	4462			X		X		Various diagnoses, some after bar date. Says made timely filings but appears to be referring to responses to questions after filed late claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1127	TRO887713FTC	12/14/2015	Carter, Wardell	Wardell Carter	4463			X		X		Diagnosis in September 2012; says was unaware of case, insufficient notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1128	TRO884071FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Sallie	Sallie Ellis Watt	4464		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Says was unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1129	TRO896929FTC	4/25/2016	Foote, Jimmie	Jimmie Foote	4465			X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as "October 2010 and present" and "September 2006 through November 2009." However, the only condition listed is asthma (as child and as adult), so appears to be one continuous diagnosis. Says was unaware of claims process; unaware of dangerous toxins of Tronox products. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1130	TRO880750FTC	12/4/2015	Hairston, Catrice	Catrice Hairston	4466		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001; no excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1131	TRO885339FTC	11/25/2015	Marks, Sabrina	Sabrina Marks	4467		X	X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001; no excuse provided, says filed on time but may reference claim filed after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1132	TRO894256FTC	3/2/2016	Hudson, Monica	Monica Hudson	4468			X			X	Standard language repeated as to reasons why seeks relief; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1133	TRO897230FTC	5/4/2016	Dickerson, Sierra	Sierra Dickerson	4469			X			X	Cut-and-pasted language regarding reasons for not filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1134	TRO896056FTC	4/6/2016	Hardin, Charles	Faye Hardin Greggs	4470		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980 and died in 1993; rep says unaware could file for a deceased spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1135	TRO896055FTC	4/6/2016	Greggs, Gearl	Faye Greggs	4471		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Rep unaware claimant did not file claim and did not know could file a claim for deceased spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1136	TRO891624FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Oradell, Jr.	Oradell Brown	4472		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates not clear. Says was unaware of ongoing Tronox case, did not receive direct notice, did not see anything on TV, did not learn about the claims process until 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1137	TRO896933FTC	4/25/2016	Conner, Ada	Ada Conner	4473		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date, unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1138	TRO884180FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Arlee, Sr.	Arlee Jordan, Sr.	4474		X	X			X	Motion by Arlee Jordan Jr., is actually at docket # 4602, motion for Arlee Jordan Sr. is at docket # 4474. Arlee Jordan Sr.'s excuse is the standard language response. On form, Sr. says diagnosis 1970, but then attaches paper and says diagnosis 2014. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 1970 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1139	TRO902220FTC	9/14/2016	Lee, Shannon	Shannon Lee	4475		X	X				Previously filed with Harold Barkley in class action lawsuit 1998; standard language; also inconsistent on dates of diagnosis, main form says 2003. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1140	TRO890105FTC	2/23/2016	White, John	John White	4476		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware eligible to file claim until did so in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1141	TRO902791FTC	8/16/2016	Patterson, Rudy	Rudy Patterson	4477		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case, saw no notifications. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1142	TRO888317FTC	12/18/2015	Stevenson, Cozette	William Thomas	4478		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980 and died in 2002; cut-and-pasted language as to reason for not filing by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1143	TRO905027FTC	3/1/2017	Lowery, Katie	Terri Cistrunk	4479	9466	X	X				2001 diagnosis; injured party has since passed away. Rep says that claimant unaware and no reason to know she was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1144	TRO890077FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Robert	Robert Coleman	4480		X	X			X	Form says that first symptoms and diagnosis were in December 2009 and attaches list of post-bar date diagnoses, but also says claimant previously filed a claim with the Colom law firm; standard language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1145	TRO891740FTC	2/23/2016	Sharp, Robert	Robert Sharp	4481		X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Form says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but that is inconsistent with attached document that says diagnosis was in 1996. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1146	TRO887155FTC	12/14/2015	Thomas, Annie	Annie Thomas	4482		X	X				August 1995 diagnosis. Unaware of bankruptcy case, unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1147	TRO900076FTC	7/25/2016	Warren, La'ura	La'ra Warren	4483			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing earlier. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1148	TRO891275FTC	2/23/2016	Treadwell, Eric	Eric Treadwell	4484		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Says called after bar date and was told there was no deadline; no identification as to date of call or who allegedly gave such advice. Wants to make a claim based on future conditions that might develop but has not identified any such conditions. Claim based on 1997 diagnoses was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Future tort claim is not available based just on speculation that a possible injury could develop in the future.
1149	TRO902988FTC	10/13/2016	Kintz, Dawn	Dawn Kintz	4485			X				Diagnoses in 1990, 1996 and 2003. Says was "unaware of settlement." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1150	TRO903219FTC	10/13/2016	Bankhead, Barbara	Barbara Bankhead	4486			X				1954 diagnosis. No notification of the bankruptcy case or bar date or that able to participate in action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1151	TRO895593FTC	3/24/2016	Fenton, James	James Fenton	4487			X				2008 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1152	TRO891277FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, William	William Calloway	4488		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date and other people in his area did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. In fact, thousands of Columbus-area residents either sued Tronox or filed claims in the bankruptcy process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1153	TRO913382FTC	5/30/2017	Maciborski, Celia	Cynthia Vender	4489		X	X				Diagnoses in 1983, 1988 and 1989; the injured party died in 1989; rep unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1154	TRO900413FTC	7/25/2016	Griffith, Beatrice	Shagaria Griffith	4490		X	X				2003 diagnosis. The injured party is deceased; rep says the injured party was unaware and no had reason to know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1155	TRO913230FTC	5/30/2017	Kilgore, George	George Kilgore	4491		X	X				1944 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1156	TRO890889FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Demetra	Abby Robinson, Esq.	4492		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1157	TRO890890FTC	2/23/2016	Ballard, J. Gloria	Abby Robinson, Esq.	4493		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Was unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1158	TRO884170FTC	11/13/2015	Coleman, Sarah Ann	Helen Coleman	4494		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2005 and died in 2007; previously filed with atty Bambach (date unclear), paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1159	TRO888130FTC	12/18/2015	Hudson, Julia	Monica Hudson	4495		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2000 and died in 2008; cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1160	TRO888078FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Patricia	Patricia Brown	4496		X	X				Diagnoses in early 2000s; previously filed with Howard Gunn 2002; moved from Columbus and did not receive any more paperwork from Gunn; did not receive written notice of bar date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1161	TRO884548FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Carline	Carline Porter	4497		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 1998; part of 2002 class action; standard language; unaware of bankruptcy case. Alleges a 2006 diagnosis date but does not allege any new condition. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if a new condition arose in 2006, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1162	TRO884364FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Jamie	Jamie Williams	4498		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Sent letter to DOJ, it forwarded letter to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in 2010. Letters in 2010 did not constitute timely claims in the 2009 bankruptcy process. However, they do show awareness (as early as 2010) of possible claims. No explanation why claim was not filed until many years after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1163	TRO904344FTC	1/3/2017	Taylor, Sherritt	Sherritt Taylor	4499			X			X	Filed claim once found out how to file it in 2014; says symptoms and diagnosis in Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1164	TRO884554FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Quinton	Quinton Porter	4500		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 1998; part of 2002 class action; standard language; unaware of bankruptcy case. Alleges a 2006 diagnosis date but does not allege any new condition. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if a new condition arose in 2006, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1165	TRO889115FTC	2/23/2016	Watford-Jones, Connie	Connie Watford-Jones	4501	8201						Symptoms and diagnosis 1991, serving in military air force since 2000, unaware of bar date, military deployment; supplement at docket # 8201 attaches copy of Service Members Civil Relief Act and personal data re: military duty. Military service began 2/2/2000 and continued as of the filing of the motion in 2017. Deadline for filing proof of claim apparently was tolled by 50 U.S.C. 3936 and so claim filed in February 2016 must be treated as timely and subject to resolution by the Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1166	TRO892716FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Nick	Nick Taylor	4502			X			X	Symptoms and diagnosis 10/2009 but refers to earlier filing with earlier conditions; says was unaware of bar date, misinterpreted conditions of filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1167	TRO896419FTC	4/13/2016	Stewart, Katrice	Katrice Stewart Ward	4503		X	X				There are actually two separate motions for this TRO claim number; the first is for Katrice Stewart, which is on the docket at claim #4503, and the second is for Dominique Stewart at docket # 4504. The motion at docket 4503 alleges diagnoses in 2007, but movant also contends she previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003. Says did not receive notice of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Proof of service shows direct mailing of bar date notice to the Colom law firm, so if movant was represented by that firm in 2009 direct notice was provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims that had accrued as of 2003 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1168	TRO896419FTC	4/13/2016	Stewart, Dominique L.	Katrice Stewart Ward	4504		X	X				There are actually two separate motions for this TRO claim number; the first is for Katrice Stewart, which is on the docket at claim #4503, and the second is for Dominique Stewart at docket # 4504. The motion at docket 4504 alleges diagnoses in 1987 and 2006. Movant also contends she previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003. Says did not receive notice of bar date. Claims was a child, but also says exposure began in 1987 and diagnoses were in 1987, so movant had to be approximately 22 years old at the time of the bar date in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Proof of service shows direct mailing of bar date notice to the Colom law firm, so if movant was represented by that firm in 2009 direct notice was provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1169	TRO893209FTC	2/23/2016	Carr, Mika	Mika Carr	4505		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS. In response to question about first diagnosis date says "1996 and between 2017." Did not know how to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on any conditions first diagnosed before 2006 were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1170	TRO891910FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Veleria	Veleria Scott	4506	6640	X	X			X	Duplicate motion at docket # 6640. Various conditions listed; first diagnosis date listed as 1975 but some conditions are described as having later onsets; says was not aware, no idea she was being exposed until she was told during a meeting because she is a homeowner in this area; due to the affected area, she cannot sell her home, the value is worth nothing of what she paid for it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if claiming new conditions were diagnosed for the first time after the bar date, but if so the merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1171	TRO900297FTC	Unknown	Melton, Tracy	Tracy Melton	4507			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges symptoms and diagnosis 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1172	TRO890813FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Willie	Denise Davis	4508		X	X				Diagnoses of different conditions at different dates, some in 1979 or 1980s, but alleges lung cancer diagnosis in 2006 and prostate cancer in 2008. Says claimant was deceased on bar date, rep does not explain failure to file by bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1173	TRO886682FTC	12/7/2015	Jackson, Velma	Geno Frazier	4509		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Did not know she could file a claim; injured party died in 1995. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1174	TRO901879FTC	9/14/2016	Frazier, Geno	Geno Frazier	4510		X	X				Unaware of claims process, symptoms and diagnosis 1967. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1175	TRO884563FTC	11/25/2015	Frazier, Kelanie	Kelanie Frazier	4511		X	X				Symptoms 1999 & diagnosis 2000, unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1176	TRO886681FTC	12/7/2015	Frazier, Kelsey	Kelsey Frazier	4512		X	X				Alleges symptoms 2000 & diagnosis 1995 (prior to symptoms), unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1177	TRO884561FTC	11/25/2015	Frazier, Patricia	Patricia Frazier	4513		X	X				Symptoms & diagnosis 1994, made claim with Colom firm in 2002. Says unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1178	TRO888324FTC	12/18/2015	Scott, Vincent	Vincent Scott	4514		X	X				Symptoms & diagnosis 1975, moved from Columbus and unaware of litigation, part of 2002 class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1179	TRO887689FTC	12/14/2015	Vaughn, Leketa	Leketa Vaughn	4515		X	X				Symptoms and diagnosis 1980; first filed in 2002 (apparently in class action), filed a second time in 2015 (apparently the late claim filed in Tronox case), says there was confusion about entire thing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1180	TRO900923FTC	8/16/2016	Crump, Markeda	Sandra Carroll	4516			X				Diagnosis and symptoms 2006; deceased 2008; says documents filed timely but appears referring to class action claims or to mistaken belief that late-filed Tronox bankruptcy claims were timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If claim was late, no excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1181	TRO886182FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Rosie	Rosie Turner	4517		X	X		X		Form does not specify diagnosis dates, refers to medical records, not completely clear what dates of all relevant diagnoses were. Says was unaware of her entitlement at the time of the bar date; refers to participating in a prior class action but does not recall who the lawyer was. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1182	TRO895496FTC	3/24/2016	Chapman, Mary	Jim Chapman, Sr.	4518			X				Symptoms 2005, diagnosis 2006, rep says his own health was affected after his wife's illness and death in May 2007, but bar date was in 2009. Says it was difficult for him to focus on meeting deadlines. Also says that he had to seek medical attention but only provides records of such treatment for 2010 and 2012. Alleges stress from Hurricane Katrina but that was in August 2005. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1183	TRO886169FTC	12/7/2015	Pegues, Timothy	Timothy Pegues	4519			X			X	Refers to several earlier conditions with earlier onset but says respiratory condition was diagnosed in 2009 (date unclear); only became aware claims were "still accepted" in last 2 years. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1184	TRO897162FTC	5/4/2016	Spann, Beverly	Beverly Spann	4520		X	X			x	Says symptoms & diagnosis in 2010 but provides medical records for 1994; previously filed with Colom firm in 2002 class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims accrued as of 2002 either were resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Other claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1185	TRO896390FTC	4/13/2016	Bigbee, Larry	Larry Bigbee	4521		X	X			X	Provides many medical records, serious condition in 2009 but there were earlier hospital records for minor things and other uncertain things. Made a claim in the 2002 class action with the Colom law firm. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim asserted during the class action either was resolved in that prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1186	TRO895497FTC	3/24/2016	Robu, Lucy	Lucy Robu	4522			X			X	Says she filed but made "a mistake on [her] dates," alleges symptoms began in 2006, diagnosis in 2016. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1187	TRO885395FTC	11/25/2015	Coble, Kennika	Kennika Coble	4523		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis. Lists reason for not filing as death in family but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1188	TRO886309FTC	12/7/2015	Brewer, Jerry	Jerry Brewer (possibly Jessie Brewer)	4524	8114	X	X				First diagnosis in 1980s. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2006 but did not get any response, unaware of bar date. Medical records filed at docket # 8114. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. If counsel failed to act that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, and no such excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1189	TRO899358FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, David	David Howard	4525		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis. Lists reason for not filing as death in family but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1190	TRO904200FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Sir Arthur	Sir Arthur Dancy	4526		X	X				May 1987 diagnosis. Form says was "aware" of the bankruptcy but likely means "unaware." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1191	TRO887258FTC	12/14/2015	Weaver, Lillie	Lillie Weaver	4527		X	X				Refers to 2001 operation. Unaware of eligibility to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1192	TRO904210FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Jakayla	Jakayla Dancy	4528						X	2005 and 2005 diagnoses. Says was too young to know about it and mother did not know about it. Age at time of bar date is unclear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of 2009 bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1193	TRO904272FTC	1/3/2017	Silvers, Kimmely	Kimmely Silvers	4529		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Says notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1194	TRO895310FTC	3/23/2016	Rander, Evelyn	Claudette Hurley	4530		X	X				Symptoms and diagnosis 1980; deceased 2009; rep unaware of the claim filing, did not get notice, and not residing in Mississippi any longer. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1195	TRO892263FTC	2/23/2016	Fenster, Diana	Diana Fenster	4532		X	X				1960 diagnosis. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1196	TRO890701FTC	2/23/2016	Mazur, Patricia	Renee Mazur	4532	8154		X				Diagnosis 1980-1982. Rep said worked 2 jobs, did not know about class action suit, claimant deceased 1998; filed additional letter (docket # 8154) saying medical records were destroyed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1197	TRO898870FTC	6/27/2016	Congress, Lashunda	Lashunda Congress	4533	8855		X		X		Says symptoms began 1988, diagnosis in Sept 2010. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8855 complaining about the process. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1198	TRO892264FTC	2/23/2016	Fenster, Jovi	Jovi Fenster	4534		X	X				1977 diagnosis. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. A rejection notice filed at docket # 7823. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1199	TRO892265FTC	2/23/2016	Fenster, Joshua	Joshua Fenster	4535		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says that in 2009 had a proceeding against Tronox but did not personally get anything from Tronox, nor a written notice of the bar date. A rejection notice filed at docket # 7825. No record of 2009 proceeding but counsel in all such proceedings were given direct notice of the bar date and claimants in pending proceedings were given direct notice if their addresses were known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1200	TRO894357FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Charlie	Diana Fenster	4536		X	X				1988 diagnosis, death in 1994. Reps says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. A rejection notice is also filed at docket # 7822. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1201	TRO885652FTC	11/25/2015	Horton, Kady	Kady Horton	4537		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1202	TRO897935FTC	6/10/2016	Ganderson, Doris	Doris Ganderson	4538		X	X				1970 diagnosis. Moved and did not know about claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1203	TRO887343FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Stephanie	Stephanie Williams	4539		X	X				1989 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in connection with class action; unaware of bankruptcy claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1204	TRO887945FTC	Unknown	Barry, Justin	Justin Barry	4540						X	Not included in the Trust's summary. Minor, apparently 11 years old at the 2009 bar date; says parent did not "put me in at the time" but does not explain why she did not do so. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1205	TRO902703FTC	8/16/2016	Bishop, Marcel, Sr.	Scherrel Sturdivant	4541		X	X				Injured party died in 1996. Rep says was informed could not file for a deceased person, then later got different advice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1206	TRO896155FTC	4/6/2016	Brewer, Dorothy	Dorothy Brewer	4542		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Previously filed in 2002 in Colom firm class action and was paid \$300 but says breathing problems have continued. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. No new conditions or diagnoses identified after 1979. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior class action proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1207	TRO891807FTC	2/23/2016	Clemmons, Jr., Frierson	Carlos Clemmons	4543		X	X				1952 diagnosis. Rep unaware could file claim for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1208	TRO894862FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Jones	4544			X			x	Says diagnosed with asthma in 2010 (also high blood pressure in 2008); says unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1209	TRO892917FTC	2/23/2016	Bradley, Tory	Tory Bradley	4545	X	X					1986 symptoms, previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class actions; says unaware could file claim in bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved in the prior proceeding, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1210	TRO880768FTC	12/4/2015	Frazier, Tommie	Tommie Frazier	4546			X			X	Symptoms in 2007, says diagnosis sometime in 2009; says he did not file because he thought the first claim filed "in this suit" (unclear what is referring to) would result in being still signed up for "this suit." May have previously filed in one of the lawsuits that preceded bankruptcy. If so, then that shows awareness of legal rights. Counsel in all then-pending lawsuits, and counsel in the former class actions, had direct notice of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1211	TRO884961FTC	11/25/2015	Owens, Willie	Rita Jordan	4547	X	X					1995 diagnosis. Rep says injured party was deceased and could not file papers, but rep does not say why she did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1212	TRO887328FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Beyanka	Beyanka Wilkins	4548		X	X				Diagnoses in 1989, 1999 and 2002. Says misinterpreted conditions of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1213	TRO887325FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Aurlethia	Aurlethia Wilkins	4549			X		X		Separate diagnosis (tumor on spine) in 2015. Says misinterpreted conditions of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1214	TRO889555FTC	2/23/2016	Latham, Quincy	Quincy Latham	4550	8844	X	X		x		Says first diagnosis in 2011 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Cut-and-pasted language re reasons for not filing before bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8844 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to any condition diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1215	TRO890905FTC	2/23/2016	Ferrow, Donna	Donna Ferrow	4551	8254	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8254, a letter requesting reconsideration. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1216	TRO884196FTC	11/25/2015	Brandon, Bradley	Bradley Brandon	4552							X	2004 diagnosis. Says 2009 was a difficult time, freshman year at college, away from home, in July grandmother passed away. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1217	TRO880243FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Eveline	Patty Latham	4553	8856	X	X					1981 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted language about reasons for not filing, injured party died in 1984. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8856 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1218	TRO880242FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Patty	Patty Latham	4554	8856		X			X		Cut-and-pasted language re reasons for not filing, says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but many items on form are whited out. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8856 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1219	TRO903457FTC	11/23/2016	Howard, Kerry	Kerry Howard	4555		X	X					Appears identical in handwriting to many similar forms that allege a February 2001 diagnosis and explain a failure to file by the bar date by reference to an unspecified death in the family. No details as to the timing of the death in the family are provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1220	TRO902204FTC	9/14/2016	Dancy, Imogene	Imogene Dancy	4556		X	X				1976 diagnosis. Worked for Kerr-McGee but unaware of bankruptcy settlement because on leave; says she will accept the payment for Tronox (not certain of the reference) but wants to be considered for damages from Kerr-McGee. Court has no jurisdiction over Kerr-McGee or Tronox at this point, the claims process relates only to the Tort Claims Trust that was set up under the 2010 Tronox plan of reorganization. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1221	TRO892691FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Raymond	Raymond Johnson	4557		x	X				The motion at docket # 4557 is for a Raymond Johnson at 65 East Peach Street and it was filed by Mr. Johnson himself (claim TRO892691FTC). A separate claim filed by Annie Johnson for a Raymond Johnson at a different address (claim TRO885933FTC) is at docket # 5114. As to docket 4557: the motion and the underlying claim are based on a 1999 diagnosis; the excuse for not filing is that previously filed with Bambach and paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1222	TRO904203FTC	1/3/2017	Washington, Jamisha	Jamisha Washington	4558			X				Diagnosis dates unclear. Says unaware that company would pay for his medical treatments. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1223	TRO904205FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Aarrington	Aarrington Dancy	4559		X	X				Diagnoses mostly before 2006; alleges one 2006 diagnosis but condition unclear, not clear if it was just a continued condition. Says was not aware of any settlement in Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1224	TRO895311FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley, Claudette	Claudette Hurley	4560		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Former resident of Mississippi who moved in 1996. Says was unaware of claim, did not receive notification. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1225	TRO902349FTC	9/14/2016	Randle, Chanequa	Chanequa Randle	4561			X		X		Unaware of bar date, says symptoms and diagnosis in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1226	TRO904207FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Kenyetta	Kenyetta Dancy	4562		X	X				Diagnoses before 1990. Says when she was sick, Kerr McGee sent a letter saying they could file doctor bills with the company; date of such letter not specified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1227	TRO890932FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Tania	Tania Smith	4563		X	X				Diagnoses before 1999. Says form of notice deficient on its face; that publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; that there was no direct written notice; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1228	TRO904198FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Keletha	Keletha Dancy	4564		X	X				Diagnoses before 1987. Rep says was a child at time of bar date but is filing for parent, and parent's claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1229	TRO904279FTC	1/3/2017	Silvers, Lucille	Lucille Silvers	4565	9015	X	X				1972 diagnosis. Says the publication notice was not calculated to give notice to unknown claimants. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9015 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1230	TRO902746FTC	8/16/2016	Alexander, Johnnie	Jeffrey Alexander	4566	8129 8216	X	x				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993 (supplements filed at docket # 8129 and docket #8216), rep unaware could file claim on behalf of deceased relative; rep says did not know and had no reason to know family exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1231	TRO887620FTC	12/14/2015	Mullins, Bessie	Yvonne Robinson	4567		X	X				1995 diagnosis; rep did not provide excuse; injured party deceased. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1232	TRO897363FTC	5/25/2016	Pointer, DREWNETTA	DREWNETTA Pointer	4568			X				2003 diagnosis. Says that all medical records were submitted before claims filing deadline but unclear to what filing she refers. If contends a timely claim was filed in 2009 that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To extent movant seeks relief on grounds of excusable neglect, no grounds are specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1233	TRO914991FTC	7/26/2017	Bradford, Shirley	Shirley Bradford	4569			X				Exposure began 1958; diagnosis around 2008. Says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1234	TRO885385FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Gail	Gail Harris	4570		X	X				1963 diagnosis. No reason provided for late filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1235	TRO901422FTC	8/16/2016	Gibbs, Kenneth	Kenneth Gibbs	4571		X	X		X		Did not know who to see or contact, attaches some medical records for visits after bar date for minor conditions, many records of doctor visits but unclear from records whether movant had any new conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1236	TRO892690FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Juliet	Juliet Johnson	4572	8765	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8765 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1237	TRO904334FTC	1/3/2017	Doss, Harvey	Harvey Doss	4573		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date and claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1238	TRO896162FTC	4/6/2016	Henderson, Cedric	Cedrik Henderson	4574		X	X		X		First diagnosis date listed as "2000-2011." Previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1239	TRO892709FTC	2/23/2016	Anthony, Alberta	Alberta Anthony	4575	8767	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost; injured party deceased 2008. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8767 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1240	TRO897239FTC	5/4/2016	Latham, Shameaca	Margaret Latham	4576		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Rep unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1241	TRO900886FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Jim, Jr.	Jim Randle, Jr.	4577		X	X				The motion at docket # 4577 is for Jim Randle, Jr. (TRO900886). The motion by Jim Randle (TRO898783) is at docket 5556. Jim Randle, Jr. relies on 1986 diagnosis, says was unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1242	TRO893979FTC	3/2/2016	Latham, Margaret	Margaret Latham	4578	4431		X				2008 cancer diagnosis. Says unaware of claims process; the same motion was filed at docket #4431 with a different TRO number [TRO888087FTC]. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1243	TRO900287FTC	7/25/2016	Devauld, Gladys	Gladys Devauld	4579		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Unaware of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1244	TRO892838FTC	2/23/2016	Stephenson, Jimmy	Jimmy Stephenson	4580		X	X		X		Alleges a 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1245	TRO888736FTC	12/24/2015	Turner, Steven	Steven Turner	4581		X	X				2008 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1246	TRO895891FTC	3/24/2016	Billups, Deborah	Deborah Billups	4582		X	X				Diagnoses in 1985, 1988 and 1989. She understood the information to say that she needed to hire a lawyer or legal representative which she could not afford, also did not understand legal terminology. Apparently was aware of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to lack of awareness of bar date or of other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1247	TRO902750FTC	8/16/2016	Johnson, Jakylan	Lillie Baker	4583	8230		X		X		Claims diagnoses 2009 and later. Also says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8230. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8636. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1248	<b>TRO901280FTC</b>	<b>8/16/2016</b>	<b>Dent, Annie</b>	<b>Alice White</b>	4584			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this motion as being filed at dkt # 4390. The injured party is deceased; rep says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1249	TRO889089FTC	12/30/2015	Hargrove, Adline	Adline Hargrove	4585		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1250	TRO901914FTC	9/14/2016	McCoy, Jessica	Jessica McCoy	4586		X	X				1974 diagnoses. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1251	TRO895477FTC	3/24/2016	Roland, Curtis	Curtis Roland	4587		X	X				1990 symptoms; says condition was diagnosed but does not give specific date. Says unaware of claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1252	TRO886934FTC	12/7/2015	Watson, Mickey	Mickey Watson	4588		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom and received \$2,000, which he says was unfair (it is not clear if any portion of the award was for him as he later says his children were granted a monetary award but he was not). Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1253	TRO884904FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Sammy	Sammy Porter	4589		X	X		X		Says there were complications and confusions around the claim, still confused. Attachment states that claimant lived in Columbus, MS for entire life, so deserves a claim. Diagnosis dates not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1254	TRO886939FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Derrick	Derrick Porter	4590		X	X				1989 symptoms, diagnosis "years ago." Says there was confusion around filing claim, did not know the proper steps to take concerning it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1255	TRO891948FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Betty	Mary Dooley	4591		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with Bambach, paperwork lost, injured party died in 2001. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1256	TRO884497FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Cedric	Cedric Porter	4592		X	X				1990 symptoms; says was diagnosed but gives no date. Says did not understand, was confusion that surrounded filing. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1257	TRO886936FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Barbara	Barbara Porter	4593		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom firm, received settlement of \$500, believes the amount was unfair. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. A claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1258	TRO893271FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Mose, Sr.	Mary Dooley	4594		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says previously filed with Bambach, paperwork lost, injured party deceased 2001. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1259	TRO885392FTC	11/25/2015	Watson, Antonio	Antonio Watson	4595		X	X				1991 symptoms; says was diagnosed but does not list date. Says was unaware that needed to file claim, there has been confusion. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1260	Unknown	12/4/2015	Collins, Gregory	Gregory Collins	4596	8122	X	X				1963 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says the year 2009 was challenging and stressful, two immediate family members died and for the next two years he was preoccupied with funerals and estate issues; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; moved away from area in 1979; supplemental letter filed at docket #8122, notice was not sufficient; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1261	TRO887605FTC	12/14/2015	Bowser, Felicia	Felicia Bowser	4597			X		X		Alleges various conditions, some of which were diagnosed before the bar date, some after. Says unaware, did not see information about future tort claim announced. Does not offer excuse for missed bar date as to conditions that were diagnosed before the bar date, no grounds for excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1262	<b>TRO898717FTC</b>	<b>6/27/2016</b>	<b>Williams, Arthur</b>	<b>Arthur Williams</b>	4598		X	X				The motion at docket 4598 relates to claim number TRO898717FTC and was filed by Arthur Williams of 57 James Street, West Point, Miss. on his own behalf. A different motion filed for Arthur Williams (claim TRO891378FTC), apparently a different person, is at docket # 7797, filed by Sylvia Bradford. The motion at docket 4598 file is based on a condition diagnosed in 1958 and alleges that the claimant was unaware of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1263	TRO900838FTC	8/16/2016	Hester, L	L.E. Hester	4599		X	X				Diagnoses in 1975 and 1996. Says was unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1264	TRO896028FTC	4/6/2016	Hardin, Dorothy	Rickey Hardin	4600		X	X				2004 cancer diagnosis; rep says spouse died in 2008, and rep was too distraught to know she could file a claim for a deceased spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1265	TRO884179FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Corey	Corey Jordan	4601		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1266	TRO884178FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Arlee, Jr.	Arlee Jordan, Jr.	4602			X				The motion at docket # 4602 if for Arlee Jordan Jr.; a separate motion at docket # 4474 was filed on behalf of Arlee Jordan Sr. The motion at docket 4602 on behalf of Arlee Jordan alleges that a claim was previously filed with Colom firm in 2004 or so but paperwork was lost. Diagnosis date is not clear. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Colom firm had direct notice by mail of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1267	TRO884248FTC	11/25/2015	Sunivelle, Roderick	Roderick Sunivelle	4603	8706	X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 but also says filed with Colom firm, was part of 2002 class action. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8706 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim asserted in class action either was resolved in that proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1268	TRO893448FTC	2/23/2016	Farmer, Alexius	LaTanya Brewer	4604			X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing; says symptoms and diagnosis Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1269	TRO893454FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, LaTanya	LaTanya Brewer	4605	8671		X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing; says symptoms and diagnosis December 2010. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8671. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1270	TRO886641FTC	12/7/2015	Hughes, Bronzetta	Bronzetta Hughes	4606		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom firm; unaware could file claim in Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1271	TRO900021FTC	7/25/2016	Ball, Helen	Helen Ball	4607			X				April 2009 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS who still resided there at the time of the bar date. Says she did not know about the process or her rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1272	TRO892245FTC	2/23/2016	Sharp, James	James Sharp	4608			X			X	Repeats standard language as reason for not filing: was not aware of the bankruptcy case, did not see notices, called after bar date and was told to submit a Future Tort Claim. Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1273	TRO903122FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Anderson	Mildred Fields	4609		X	X				1999 diagnosis; injured party died in 1999; part of 2000 class action lawsuit, atty Jeffrey Navaro. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1274	TRO903123FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Shirley	Mildred Fields	4610		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1969, died in 1987. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1275	TRO880944FTC	12/4/2015	Chromey, William	William Chromey	4611			X				1964 diagnosis; not aware of initial suit; was not residing in Duryea; family member who is an attorney recommended filing a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1276	TRO899021FTC	6/27/2016	Trimble, Kennedy	Kennedy Trimble	4612		X	X				Says diagnosis was in 2008 and symptoms began in 2008 but also says was part of 1998 class action. Cut-and-pasted reasons why did not file. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Any claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1277	TRO886199FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Sha Tanya	Sha Tanya Turner	4613		X	X				Diagnosis date not listed but symptoms began in 1978. Was part of a prior class action but not certain of the name of the lawyer; says was unaware of entitlement to file in Tronox. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1278	TRO902368FTC	9/14/2016	Morgan, Corzell	Corzell Morgan	4614			X		X		Diagnoses dates listed as "1956-2017 currently." Alleges some conditions manifested after bar date, also undergoing radiation treatment in summer 2009. Residence at time of exposures not specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1279	<b>TRO886178FTC</b>	12/7/2015	Turner, Eddie	Turner, Eddie	4615		X	X				The Trustee's summary lists the claim number as TRO886178FTC, but the page that was docketed lists it at TRO886179FTC. Claimants says was part of an earlier class action but does not recall name of atty. Claimant says was unaware of entitlement to file. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1280	TRO887480FTC	12/14/2015	Payne, Richard	Sharlene Payne Martin	4616	9293	X	X				1974 diagnosis; rep says injured party died in 1992; rep did not file because there were not any class action law suits regarding Kerr-McGee illnesses. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9293 complaining about the process. Absence of a class action filed by another party does not explain injured party's failure to take action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1281	TRO898685FTC	6/27/2016	Larcholey, Zacharias	Zacharias Larcholey	4617			X				September 2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1282	TRO898519FTC	6/27/2016	Agnew, R.C.	Laferta Agnew-Lee	4618		X	X				1981 diagnosis. Rep says that injured party was deceased and rep was unaware of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1283	TRO897693FTC	5/25/2016	Cooperwood, David	David Cooperwood	4619			X				2006 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1284	TRO901184FTC	8/16/2016	Woods, Cecilia	Cecilia Woods	4620		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1285	TRO898249FTC	6/20/2016	Blunt, Alexander	Alexander Blunt	4621			X		X		Diagnoses of different conditions in different years but some more serious conditions were first diagnosed in 2014 and 2017. Did not see publication notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1286	TRO887882FTC	12/14/2015	Jordan, Sylvester	Mattie Jordan	4622		X	X				1983 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002 class action. Injured party deceased 2004. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1287	TRO886530FTC	12/7/2015	Doughty, Linda	Linda Doughty	4623			X			X	Previously filed with atty Bambach, now deceased. Public records show that Mr. Bambach died in 2013, long after the bar date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Any failure to proceed by Mr. Bambach is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless his failures can be excused, and no excuse is offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Also alleges a condition was diagnosed in 2017. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1288	TRO895587FTC	3/24/2016	Moore, Alexia	Deborah Moore	4624	8358	X	X				1991 diagnosis, child died in 1993. Rep says has physical mental and emotional problems but does not document same. A supplement filed at docket #8358, it provides an update as to beneficiary. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1289	TRO886529FTC	12/7/2015	Doughty, Anstacia	Anstacia Doughty	4625		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Any failure to act by Mr. Bambach is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless his failures can be excused, and no excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1290	TRO885318FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Mary	Mary Jones	4626			X			X	Diagnoses in 2006 and 2017. Says was unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1291	TRO913784FTC	6/28/2017	McGregory, Kenneth	Kenneth McGregor	4627		X	X				1965 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says was a violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1292	TRO901598FTC	8/16/2016	King, Carolyn	Carolyn King	4628		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Caring for sick relative at time of receiving "these documents," unclear if she means the documents that she filed in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1293	TRO912957FTC	5/30/2017	Love, George	Ruth Love	4629		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; alleges violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1294	TRO893540FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Sharon	Sharon Smith	4630		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Was incarcerated at time of bar date in 2009; says prison would not allow her to file claim, there no access to forms. She learned of it in 2010 and was told was too late. Later, in 2016 filed claim. Does not explain six-year delay before filing. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1295	TRO888771FTC	12/30/2015	Bennett, Mary	Mary Bennett	4631		X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. In the form, she says that symptoms and diagnosis were in 1974, but attaches a sheet with diagnoses in 2011 or after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1296	TRO912217FTC	5/30/2017	Mims, Robert	Robert Mims	4632		X	X				1956 diagnosis. Was unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1297	TRO912218FTC	5/30/2017	Love, Ruth	Ruth Love	4633		X	X				1980 symptoms; lists diagnosis date in 1938 but presumably means 1983. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1298	TRO912484FTC	5/30/2017	Mims, Arma	Arma Mims	4634		X	X				1952 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1299	TRO884812FTC	11/25/2015	McGregory, Allies	Frank McGregor	4635		X	X			X	Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2003, no response from atty; paperwork lost; injured party deceased 2015; claimant says other conditions arose in 2012. Claim filed with attorney Bambach shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused, and no excuse has been offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1300	TRO890547FTC	2/23/2016	Munson, Joseph L.	Joseph L. Munson	4636		X	X				Diagnoses in 1976 and 1977. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware of claims process, worked for the federal gov't both in US and abroad from 2009-2011. Says that "after the first round of settlements had been completed and [he] learned of a second round, [he] downloaded the forms from the internet and filed." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1301	TRO888622FTC	12/24/2015	Mickens, Ulyess	Ulyess Micken	4637			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears that these were added after earlier filing with the Trust as he says that he did not add his most recent conditions that are in this motion; says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1302	TRO897761FTC	5/25/2016	Cooperwood, Robert	Robert Cooperwood	4638			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1303	TRO901187FTC	8/16/2016	Ford, Bobby, Jr.	Bobby Ford, Jr.	4639			X				2007 diagnosis. Says did not have know of the claim prior to the bar date, when discovered it the bar date had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1304	TRO897753FTC	5/25/2016	Cooperwood, Larry	Larry Cooperwood	4641			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1305	TRO897772FTC	5/25/2016	Lee, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Lee	4642		X	X				2002 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1306	TRO897747FTC	5/25/2016	Agnew-Lee, Laferta	Laferta Agnew-Lee	4643			X				January 2006 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1307	TRO901180FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Tanganika	Tanganika Randle	4644			X				July 2009 diagnosis. Says has no recollection of the claims being filed. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1308	TRO888937FTC	12/30/2015	Walker, Mattie	Mattie Walker	4645			X		X		Unaware of the claims process; says diagnosis in Oct 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1309	Unknown	12/7/2015	Pound, Carmel	Carmel Pound	4646			X			X		Letter saying that family member claims were accepted and claimant lived at same address; does not provide excuse for not timely filing; no information concerning dates of symptoms or diagnosis. No showing of circumstances that call for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1310	TRO901974FTC	9/14/2016	Guarnieri, Louis	Louis Guarnieri	4647		X	X					First diagnosis date not clear but condition traces back to 1978. Says does not read the Wall Street Journal or any other of the 38 site specific publications. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1311	TRO906044FTC	4/24/2017	Urban, Nicole	Nicole Urban	4648			X					November 2004 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1312	TRO895213FTC	3/23/2016	Blunt, Bettina	Bettina Blunt	4649		X	X			X		Diagnoses 1972, 1975, 2014. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. Says was a child but first exposure listed as 1965, to had to be approximately 44 years old at the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on diagnoses that predated 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1313	TRO889801FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Johnny	Annie Williams	4650		X	X					1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; cut-and-pasted language re reasons for not filing by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1314	TRO915012FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Angie	Angie Tate	4651		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1315	TRO886719FTC	12/7/2015	Houseman, Dwight	Dwight Houseman	4652			X		X		Diagnosis date not provided. Unaware of lawsuit; did not know action needed to take. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1316	TRO886943FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Jenna	Jenna Hannon	4653			X		X		2009 diagnosis. Was unaware of "lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1317	TRO914990FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Udies	Udies Tate	4654		X	X				1954 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date, unaware had been injured by a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1318	TRO914989FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Beulah	Beulah Tate	4655	4656	X	X				1957 diagnosis. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1319	TRO897928FTC	6/10/2016	Granderson-Sanders, Sonja	Sonja Granderson-Sanders	4657		X	X				1959 diagnosis. Place of exposure is not clear. Says filed in a timely manner but form refers to a 2015 filing, which was long after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1320	TRO896112FTC	4/6/2016	Jackson, Kim (Reynolds)	Kim Jackson (Reynolds)	4658			X		X		Says symptoms were in 2007 but diagnosis in 2012. Does not appear to be seeking relief based on excusable neglect, instead appears to be pursuing a Future Tort Claim based on a post-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any disputes as to the dates of diagnoses) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1321	TRO889343FTC	2/23/2016	Smith-Daniels, Sallie	Sallie Smith-Daniels	4659		X	X				Diagnoses 2003 and earlier. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; focused on dialysis treatment in 2009, kidney disease led to transplant in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1322	TRO913558FTC	6/28/2017	McClenton, Anthony	Anthony McClenton	4660		X	X				1981 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1323	TRO891278FTC	2/23/2016	Treadwell, Ann	Ann Treadwell	4661		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Says she filed after she called the Trust and was told there was no deadline. Trust did not exist at the time of the bar date so such a call does not explain a failure to file in 2009. In addition, there was no deadline as to claims based on post-bar date diagnoses, so any advice to that effect was correct. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1324	TRO893134FTC	2/23/2016	Jethrow, Christine	Christine Jethrow	4662		X	X				Former Columbus resident. Alleges a diagnosis in 2006 but previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1325	TRO886490FTC	12/7/2015	Young, Mary	Mary Young	4663	8816	X	X				1987 diagnosis. Says did not receive direct notice which violates due process; out of town at the time. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8816 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1326	TRO890354FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Carter	Evelyn Jones Vaughn	4664			X				1974 diagnosis; injured party died in 1985; rep unaware of bankruptcy case and bar date; did not see any notices. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1327	TRO888565FTC	12/24/2015	Jones, Kawanda	Kawanda Jones	4665			X		X		Alleges some diagnoses before bar date but other conditions after bar date. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1328	TRO895733FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Nicole	Nicole Brown	4666			X		X		Symptoms and diagnosis after bar date; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1329	TRO893210FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Ivie	Diane Davis	4667		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Gunn 2000-2001, which they claim was not received or misplaced. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If counsel lost or misplaced a claim in another proceeding, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1330	TRO892138FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Marco	Marco Brewer	4668			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1331	TRO892325FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Sammie	Pauline Lee	4669		X	X				2005 diagnosis; injured party died in 2008; cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1332	TRO886920FTC	12/7/2015	Rice, Christopher	Christopher Rice	4670			X		X		Lists various diagnosis dates but may be continuing conditions rather than new conditions or injuries. Unaware of lawsuit or that he could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1333	TRO886918FTC	12/7/2015	Hopkins, Felicia	Felicia Hopkins	4671		X	X				Diagnoses 1981-1996. Says was unaware of lawsuit or that she could file a claim. Says was a child, but given alleged exposure onset (1981) and first diagnosis (1981) claimant must have been 27 or 28 at the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1334	TRO891362FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Joe	Joe Williams	4672			X		X		Says that symptoms and diagnosis began 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1335	TRO902802FTC	8/16/2016	Fields, Carolyn	Carolyn Fields	4673		X	X				2004 diagnosis. No excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. In addition, claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1336	TRO886253FTC	12/7/2015	Ivy, Jesse	Jesse Ivy	4676			X		X		Standard form language as to reasons why did not meet bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis were in September 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1337	TRO902792FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Eric	Eric Howard	4677			X		X		Alleges a 2009 diagnosis without a specific date. Says was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case until heard about it from a friend at an unspecified date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on a pre-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1338	TRO913557FTC	6/28/2017	Cousin, Rufus	Rufus Lee Cousin	4678		X	X				1942 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after husband had passed; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of due process but claim had already expired and in any event there is no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1339	TRO902793FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Judy	Judy Moore	4679			X		X		Symptoms in 1998 and diagnosis 2009 without specific date. Says was unaware of the case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1340	TRO913552FTC	6/28/2017	Kilgore, Mary	Mary Kilgore	4680		X	X				1953 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but claim had already expired and in any event there is no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1341	TRO901404FTC	8/16/2016	Spratt, Chadsity	Chiquita McMillian	4681	8203					X	2005 diagnosis of daughter at birth, rep says unaware of claim; says also had no reason to believe injured party was exposed to a Tronox chemical. Filed supplement at docket # 8203, complains about WSJ publication rather than local MS papers, but in fact the notice also was published in the Columbus Commercial Dispatch and in newspapers located in Jackson and Hattiesburg, MS. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1342	TRO901390FTC	8/16/2016	McMillian, Willie Lamar	Willie Lamar McMillian	4682	8205		X				2006-2007 diagnoses. Unaware of the claim; no reason to believe exposed to a Tronox chemical, filed as soon as he heard about the process. Supplement at docket #8205. Complains about publication in WSJ but publication notice also appeared in the Columbus Commercial Dispatch and in two other newspapers in Mississippi. No explanation of many years' delay after the bar date before claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1343	TRO894102FTC	3/2/2016	Moody, Willie	Willie Moody	4683	8151		X			X		The motion at docket # 4683 is by Willie Moody [TRO894102FTC]. A different motion by a person named Willie Moody [TRO891159FTC] is at docket #5042. In the motion at docket 4683, Mr. Moody says his symptoms and diagnosis were in 2012-2013, after the bar date. However, he also says that he previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2007, says that he filed ten years ago but the Tronox/Kerr McGee froze an account at Bank First. Appears to be referring to a claim in a prior class action, but details are not clear. A supplement filed at docket # 8151, it is merely a copy of the certificate of service filed by the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1344	TRO888047FTC	12/18/2015	Polite, Wallace	Wallace Polite	4684		X	X					1991 diagnosis. Says was incompetent at the time of the bar date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Incompetence or lack of knowledge in 2009 therefore make no difference. Also makes no showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1345	TRO887800FTC	12/14/2015	Laing, Caroline	Caroline Laing	4685	8123	X	X					Symptoms 1996-1998; says "yes" for diagnosis but without alleging a date. Says moved away from Mississippi, joined army in 1989 and moved a number of times, received no direct notice and was unaware of bankruptcy proceedings. A supplement at docket # 8123, says retired from army in 2001. Military service ended in 2001, so 50 U.S.C. 3936 is not applicable. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1346	TRO904951FTC	1/24/2017	Guarnieri, Irene	Cynthia M. Guarnieri	4686			X					Numerous diagnoses, the most recent of which was in 2005. The injured party is deceased; rep says does not read Wall Street Journal or any of the other 38 site specific publications, and was not aware of it. She became aware when neighbors started receiving settlement checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1347	TRO897205FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Cynthia	Cynthia Lynn	4687			X				1990 diagnosis. Says was not made aware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1348	TRO897206FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Michael, Sr.	Cynthia Lynn	4688			X				Diagnoses 1989-1996; the injured party is deceased; rep says not made aware of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1349	TRO897207FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Michael	Michael Lynn	4689			X				1990 diagnosis. Says was not made aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1350	TRO897208FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Kelly	Kelly Lynn	4690			X				1994 diagnosis. Says was not made aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1351	TRO890457FTC	2/23/2016	McSwine, Doris	Doris McSwine	4691	8258		X				Alleges 2006 diagnosis; former MS resident; says previously filed with Tollison law firm in 2016, may be referring to late-filed claim with Trust; says did not know to file by 2009. Supplement at docket # 8258. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1352	TRO880746FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Carol	Carol Williams	4692		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Was unaware of bar date or case; did not see any publication notice; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; resides in TN. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but claim had already expired and in any event there is no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009.
1353	TRO886622FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Antoine	Antoine Brown	4693	8816 9155	X	X				1988 diagnosis. Working out of state; did not receive direct notice that was required to file in bankruptcy case which allegedly violated due process; unclear if was previously part of Colom class action. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8816 complaining about the process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9155 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Even if the claim was not resolved in a prior class action the claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleged lack of direct notice did not matter because claim had already expired. In addition, movant has made no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, offers no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009.
1354	TRO887532FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Jimmie	Gwen Thompson	4694	7973 8215 8375	X	X				Different address listed in last supplement (docket 8375), both addresses are listed here. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; injured party deceased 2003; rep says unaware of process; supp filed at docket # 7973 (letter complaining of process, refers to paperwork sent by attorneys in 2002 and need to prove lived in the area; complains is not responsible for the high volume of claims and that people who did not live in the area were paid) and docket #8215, unaware of deadline, community was not informed of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8375 by Gwen Malone Thompson, wants to know why they did not get property damage settlement and why Pastor Jamison got it all. However, there is no record that this movant ever made a property damage claim. Participation in prior class action shows knowledge of claim and rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1355	TRO889627FTC	2/23/2016	Joiner, Brian	Brian Joiner	4695			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1356	TRO886159FTC	12/7/2015	Sykes, Sr., Stephen A.	Stephen A. Sykes Sr.	4696		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Says was not aware of the Tronox future tort claims because certain groups maintained information privately. There was false information that only those who worked in plant could file. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1357	TRO901403FTC	8/16/2016	Haughton, Catherine	Catherine Haughton	4697	8206		X			X	Unaware of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; serious conditions were in 2011 and diagnosed in 2012; supplement at docket # 8206. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1358	TRO897827FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Jesse	Jesse Jones	4698		X	X				1964 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1359	TRO900541FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Sabrina	Sabrina Smith	4699	6313	X	X				1988 diagnosis. Apparently filed in one of the earlier actions and was denied, and says did not know could file again. A supplement filed at docket # 6313. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1360	TRO894421FTC	3/2/2016	Smith, Cora	Barry Jefferson	4700	8066	X	X				1968 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1971; rep unaware could file for deceased party. A supplement filed at docket #8066. The hospital threw records out after 10 years. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1361	TRO894428FTC	3/2/2016	Daugherty, Beatrice	Barry Jefferson	4701	8064	X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep unaware could file claim. A supplement is filed at docket #8064. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1362	TRO891682FTC	2/23/2016	McMath, Porthia	Porthia McMath	4701	8118	X	X				Diagnoses in 1965 and 1999. Previously filed with Colom firm; may have received a \$4,553.25 settlement. A supplement filed at docket #8118. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved, then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), would not be sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect even if claim had not already been resolved or time-barred.
1363	TRO894427FTC	3/2/2016	Wells, Emma	Barry Jefferson	4702	8079	X	X				1971 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1982; rep unaware could file claim for deceased person. A supplement filed at docket #8079. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1364	TRO894420FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Alberta	Barry Jefferson	4703	8065	X	X				Incorrectly listed by Trust as having docket number 3850, the correct docket number is 4703. The injured party died in 1987; rep unaware he could have gone to a lawyer or anyone else. A supplement filed at docket #8065, rep says hospital threw out records after 10 years. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1365	TRO886022FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Estella	Estella Smith	4704			X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2013 but also filed with Colom, part of 2002 class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim asserted in class action either was resolved in that prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including the merits of any defense based on the resolution of the prior class action claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1366	TRO901185FTC	8/16/2016	Edward, Tommy	Tommy Edward	4705		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Was not aware he could file because he worked over there, and lived with a friend but didn't have own home, but was told that he could file because he can't work anymore because of this. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1367	TRO912499FTC	5/30/2017	Cousins, Curlie	Curlie Cousins	4706		X	X				1935 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; a violation of due process. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of due process but the claim had already expired and there is also no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Even if the claim had not expired the motion merely alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1368	TRO888224FTC	12/18/2015	Clayborn, Mary	Mary Clayborn	4707		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2002 and 2011. Former resident of Columbus, MS who relocated to Alabama; did not receive notice, publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim also was time-barred to the extent it is based on a condition diagnosed in 2002. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1369	TRO896007FTC	4/6/2016	Cooper, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Cooper	4708						X	2003 diagnosis. Says was a minor at bar date and that discharge violates due process; does not say why guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1370	TRO891503FTC	2/23/2016	Stallings, Lacisha	Lacisha Stallings	4709			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis Oct. 2009; cut-and-pasted reasons for not filing earlier. Diagnosis date may be disputed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1371	TRO896810FTC	4/25/2016	Butler, Parko	Parko Butler	4711						X	December 2008 diagnosis. Says was incapacitated by injuries and mental illness, schizophrenia. Will permit supplemental submission to verify alleged mental incapacity at the time of the bar date and to explain why movant could not enlist others to help in filing a claim, why movant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1372	TRO896359FTC	4/13/2016	Cooper, Trayvon	Trayvon Cooper	4712						X	2003 diagnosis. Was a minor at the time of the bar date, says violation of due process. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1373	TRO902301FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Arvin	Mattie Williams	4713		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Premature infant deceased, rep says residing in Arkansas since 2000; unaware of class action until late, then unaware of bar date, then when another lawsuit was opened (presumably she means the filing of claims with the Trust in 2015 or so) she received an application from Garretson but they did not mention that the deadline had passed. Claim based on a 1978 diagnosis is not a future tort claim. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if claim were not time-barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1374	TRO880717FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Augusta	Mattie Williams	4714		X	X				1985 diagnosis; injured party died in 2006, filed by same representative as claim 4713 (a former MS resident), refers to an attached letter. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Claim based on a 1985 diagnosis is not a future tort claim. Even if the claim were not time-barred, the movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1375	TRO880718FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Ofie	Mattie Williams	4715		X	X				Injured party died in 2000, filed by same representative as claims 4713 and 4714 (a former MS resident), same excuse. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim were not time-barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1376	TRO900895FTC	Unknown	Holliday, Lou Dean	Lou Dean Holliday	4716	5300		X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges a 2016 diagnosis. Says was not notified about the filing of any papers until the time he did file, an unidentified man told him it was not too late and got their money (apparently referring to someone who charged for filling out and filling relevant forms). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1377	TRO887846FTC	Unknown	Pruitt, George	George Pruitt	4717			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1378	TRO900574FTC	8/16/2016	Cunningham, Cornelius	Cornelius Cunningham	4719			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1379	TRO900575FTC	8/16/2016	Dumas, Thomas	Unknown	4720			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1380	TRO900560FTC	8/16/2016	Cunningham, Vallie	Vallie Cunningham	4721			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1381	TRO886763FTC	12/7/2015	King, Andrew	Andrew King	4722	8643		X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8643
1382	TRO901219FTC	8/16/2016	Ballard, Jr., Theodore	Theodore Ballard, Jr.	4723			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1383	TRO886768FTC	12/7/2015	King, Margaret	Margaret King	4724	8664		X				Merely a signature form. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8664
1384	TRO900396FTC	7/25/2016	Ballard, Mable	Mable Ballard	4725			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1385	TRO891770FTC	2/23/2016	Franklin, Eretha	Eretha Franklin	4726			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1386	TRO892659FTC	2/23/2016	Edmond, Larry	Larry Edmond	4727			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1387	<b>TRO901147FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Vanoe, Mable</b>	<b>Mable Vanoe</b>	4729			X				Not on Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1388	<b>TRO901148F</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Baskins, Mattie</b>	<b>Mattie Baskins</b>	4730			X				Not on Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1389	TRO892819FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Terry	Terry Young	4731			X				Merely rejection notice and signature form.
1390	<b>Not listed</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>McClinton, Jennie</b>	<b>Jennie McClinton</b>	4732			X				Not on Trust's summary. Merely a signed form.
1391	<b>TRO891009FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Clemons, Maxine</b>	<b>Maxine Clemons</b>	4733			X				Not on Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1392	<b>TRO889725FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Moore, Roy Jr.</b>	<b>Carlius Moore</b>	4734			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter rejecting an offer from the Trust and also asking for relief from the court. No grounds specified for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any disagreement with the Trust regarding a settlement offer is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust through its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1393	TRO891860FTC	2/23/2016	Aaron, Carolyn	Carolyn Aaron	4735			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1394	TRO891861FTC	2/23/2016	Aaron, Bennie, Jr.	Bennie Aaron, Jr.	4736			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1395	TRO891862FTC	2/23/2016	Aaron, Erika	Erika Aaron	4737			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1396	<b>TRO895765FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Moore, Carlius</b>	<b>Carlius Moore</b>	4738			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter rejecting an offer from the Trust and also asking for relief from the court. No grounds specified for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any disagreement with the Trust regarding a settlement offer is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust through its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1397	<b>Not listed</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Outlaw, James</b>	<b>James Outlaw</b>	4739			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Signed forms, no other information.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1398	TRO889069FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Alphonso	Maude Harrison	4740	5949	X	X				1990 diagnosis; injured party died in 1992; rep unaware could file claim for deceased relative. A duplicate claim filed at docket #5949. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1399	TRO886524FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Antonio	Antonio Moore	4741		X	X				Diagnosis at birth in 1978. Says was incarcerated from 2001-2011. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1400	TRO901957FTC	9/14/2016	Latham, Elix	Elix Latham	4742	8204	X	X				1978 diagnosis. Publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8204. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1401	TRO893333FTC	2/23/2016	Alfred, Shirley	Shirley Alfred	4743			X		X		Did not get notice; says those who lived in area should get compensated. Place where exposure occurred is not clear. Alleges a 2013 diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1402	TRO885411FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Johnnie	Celia Moore	4744			X		X	X	2009 diagnosis; says filed with Tollison firm in 2011; alleges was incapacitated in 2009, rep says injured party was seeking medical attention in 2009 due to illness, surgery and died Jan. 2010. Will permit supplemental submission to provide further support for injured party's alleged incapacity in 2009 and to explain reasons why guardians did not file, why rep waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1403	TRO898339FTC	6/20/2016	Hill, George	Velma Stalling	4745		X	X				First diagnosis date listed as "1999-2009." Injured party died in 2009. Previously filed with Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1404	TRO889460FTC	2/23/2016	Gandy, Veleria	Veleria Gandy	4746			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1405	TRO884197FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Marcus	Marcus Moore	4747		X	X				1984 diagnoses except for cataracts in 2007. Traveled with job and whenever in town taking care of ill spouse and children; unaware of bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1406	TRO897936FTC	6/10/2016	Stewart, Eddie	Eddie Stewart	4748		X	X				1973 diagnosis. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1407	TRO884596FTC	11/25/2015	Wiley, James	James Wiley	4750		X	X				Diagnosed as a child (apparently in 1960s). Unaware of bankruptcy case, contract worker, during that period working in Texas. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1408	TRO902681FTC	8/16/2016	Coleman, Ned	Ned Coleman	4751			X				March 2006 diagnosis. Was not aware of filing until spoke with atty (date unspecified) and he said he would get back in touch with him; later when others were filing application he filed also. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1409	TRO890954FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Hattie	Carlos Clemmons	4752		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm; injured party deceased, rep unaware could file claim for deceased person. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if claim had not already been resolved or had not already expired, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1410	TRO904989FTC	1/24/2017	Gilkey, Grattye	Grattye Gilkey	4753	8200	X	X				1987 diagnosis; standard language; says the fact that he used standard language identical to others is because it was exactly what happened to him and is the truth and he should be allowed to use it. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8200. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1411	TRO891690FTC	2/23/2016	Hackman, Fannie	Fannie Hackman	4754		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Did not know of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1412	TRO891206FTC	Unknown	Mosely, Freddie	Freddie Mosely	4755		X	X				The Trust's summary correctly listed the motion at docket 4755 as a motion by Freddie Mosely but the correct claim number is TRO891206FTC. The claim number on the Trust's summary (TRO891291FTC) belongs to Thomas Taylor at docket # 4837. The motion filed by Mr. Mosley alleges a 1999 diagnosis. Motion asserts that the claim was previously filed with Mr. Bambach but that the paperwork was lost. Even though the claim lists the injured party as Freddie Mosely himself, it may actually be Shirley Mosely as her death certificate from 2000 is attached to the file, and Mr. Mosely may be her representative. In any case, prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1413	TRO894033FTC	3/2/2016	Clayborn, Cheyenne	Clayborn, Cheyenne	4756		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1414	TRO887729FTC	12/14/2015	Dora, Dominic	Dominic Dora	4757		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Says did not know or understand that the symptoms she had were due to the exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1415	TRO900856FTC	8/16/2016	Baskin, Asia	Asia Baskin	4758			X		X		Says symptoms first appeared 12/2009, there is no diagnosis date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1416	TRO899277FTC	7/11/2016	Cunning, LeShawn	LeShawn Cunning	4759		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware of the Tronox claims; unaware of bar date because did not know about a claim at that time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1417	TRO896080FTC	4/6/2016	Williamson, Marva	Marva Williamson	4760		X	X				1986 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Gunn and the Tollison law firm in MS in 2002. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been previously resolved or if it had not already expired, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1418	TRO890246FTC	2/23/2016	Murray, Morris	Morris Murray	4761		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1419	TRO889274FTC	2/23/2016	Temple, Gregory	Gregory Temple	4762		X	X				2000 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; no excuse provided for failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1420	TRO887188FTC	12/14/2015	Craddieth, Christena	Christena Craddieth	4763		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Says was unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1421	TRO900009FTC	7/25/2016	Ivy, Natasha	Natasha Ivy	4764		X	X				June 1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1422	TRO899945FTC	7/25/2016	Ivy, William, Jr.	William Ivy, Jr.	4765		X	X				June 1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1423	TRO897801FTC	5/25/2016	Ivy, William, Sr.	William Ivy, Sr.	4766		X	X				June 1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1424	TRO891704FTC	2/23/2016	Colister, Frederick	Frederick Colister	4767			X				March 2006 diagnosis. Not aware of filing; spoke to atty and atty said he would get back in touch; when claimant found out later that people were filing paper was when he did his application. Date of contact with attorney is not clear, may have been in connection with the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1425	TRO888797FTC	12/30/2015	Callie, Payne	MS Payne	4768						X	2005 diagnosis. Rep says that injured party was incapacitated and incompetent at the time, she resided in a nursing home and was unable to communicate. Rep does not specify date and it is unclear if this condition predated the bar date, particularly because the Trust says the claim is barred by the statute of limitations. Will permit supplemental submission to explain timing and duration of the alleged incapacity, whether the same affected the running of the statute of limitations, and reasons why guardians or reps did not file a claim until many years after the bar date.
1426	TRO897338FTC	5/25/2016	Glenn-Sylvester, Hailey	Kemberlyn Smith	4769						X	2006 diagnosis. Injured party is a minor and lived out of state in S.C.; unaware of exposure to dangerous Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1427	TRO885739FTC	11/25/2015	Allen, Albert	Emmaline Allen	4770	8976	X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; was not aware of the case, did not see publication notices, says he called a number and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1428	TRO885412FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Celia	Celia Moore	4771			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis end of 2008/ 2009; previously filed with Tollison law firm in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1429	TRO903008FTC	10/13/2016	Bogen, Steven	Steven Bogen	4772		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1430	TRO887573FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Flozell	Flozell Harris	4773		X	X				1961 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; did not know where to get paperwork. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1431	TRO889039FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Robert	Robert Harrison	4774			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; unaware of claims and filing date; was not informed of the proceedings. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1432	TRO894220FTC	3/2/2016	Robertson, Thomas Earl	Thomas Robertson	4775			X		X		Conditions began in 2008 and 2009. Specific diagnosis dates not clear. Did not know and had no reason to know of any exposure to toxins. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1433	TRO888542FTC	12/24/2015	Fulton, Robert	Dwayne Fulton	4776	8093		X		X		Injured party diagnosed in 2015, died in 2017; rep says that unaware could file a claim; says diagnosis as 2015 but does not provide any supporting paperwork; a supplement at docket # 8093. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1434	TRO894505FTC	3/23/2016	Burrell, Claude	Dorothy Burrell	4777		X	X				1997 diagnosis; injured party died in 2006; rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1435	TRO891741FTC	2/23/2016	Sharp, Melissa	Melissa Sharp	4778			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; says did not know of the Tronox case, did not see a public notification, called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1436	TRO891687FTC	2/23/2016	Sharkey, James	James Sharkey	4779			X				2008 diagnosis. Says did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1437	TRO892115FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Willie	Diane Coleman	4780		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to filing claim but it appears she is referencing filing with the trust after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1438	TRO899265FTC	7/11/2016	Richardson, Jeanice	Jennifer Latham	4781			X				Injured party diagnosed in June 2006, died in 2007; rep was unaware of filing date and of Tronox case, the tort claim or any suit. Filed claim in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1439	TRO887727FTC	12/14/2015	Murray, Katherine	Katherine Murray	4783	5022	X	X				Diagnoses 1989 to present (unclear if any new conditions after bar date); did not know symptoms were caused by Tronox product; says previously filed with Garretson in 2007 but that is obviously not a reference to the bankruptcy process, as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Supplement filed at docket # 5022; rep says filed earlier in 2007 without details, says was unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemicals and condition from it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No record of any lawsuit against Tronox or other claim allegedly filed in 2007. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing and before the alleged 2007 filing of a claim.
1440	TRO905002FTC	1/24/2017	Rupert, M. L.	Velma Williams	4784		X	X				1975 diagnosis; injured party died in 1989; rep says form of notice was deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; says filing was prevented by disaster but does not specify what the disaster was; says did not know and had not reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1441	TRO899276FTC	7/11/2016	Latham, Jennifer	Jennifer Latham	4785			X			X	Unaware of bar date; diagnosed with allergies in 2016, after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1442	TRO889462FTC	2/23/2016	Gandy, Tom	Lue E. Gandy	4786		X	X				2003 diagnosis of heart condition, cancer in 2008. Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; injured party deceased Jan. 2009; she says was taking care of husband at time but the bar date was in Aug. 2009. Claims based on conditions diagnosed in 2003 (or covered by Colom class action) are not Future Tort Claims, and to the extent the 2003 conditions were not part of the Colom class action they were time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1443	TRO891443FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Sonia	Sonia Porter	4787		X	X				May 1995 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claim or that was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1444	TRO896778FTC	4/25/2016	Washington, Eddie	Eddie Washington	4788		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Unaware until the last minute, has had series of knee problems and surgeries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1445	TRO894387FTC	3/2/2016	Sanders, Jessica	Jessica Sanders	4789		X	X			X	Diagnosed with some minor conditions prior to bar date (beginning in 1999) and some after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1446	TRO885650FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Louisa	Amario Smith	4790		X	X				2003 diagnosis; injured party died in 2017; rep says that the injured party was unaware of the proceedings; in a letter submitted with the claim, a reference is made to guidance from Colom & Lundy; also says the proceedings were not made public in the community and some persons held private meetings with only minimal details to the community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1447	TRO898537FTC	6/27/2016	Fields, Donice	Donice Fields	4791		X	X				Diagnoses in "1980s" and "2000s." Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1448	TRO901406FTC	8/16/2016	Selvie, Ella	Ella Selvie	4792		X	X			X	Says was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement, includes most recent record of medical visit but does not indicate when certain of the conditions were diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1449	TRO903392FTC	10/27/2016	Howard, Oscar	Oscar Howard	4793		X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted summary language as to excuses for not making a timely filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in a Kerr-McGee class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions that manifested prior to bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1450	<b>TRO891144FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Foote, Mary</b>	<b>Mary Foote</b>	4794			X			X	Trustee's summary listed claim number as unknown but a separate list shows the correct claim number (TRO891144FTC) as a claim that purportedly was not filed with the court. The claimant says symptoms and diagnosis Nov. 2009; unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to Tronox product until diagnosis in Oct 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1451	TRO886125FTC	12/7/2015	Stewart, Georgia	Mary Linton	4795		X	X				1970 diagnosis; injured party died in 1996. Rep says claim was timely filed but apparently is under the mistaken belief that the late-filed claim was timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1452	TRO897822FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Dorothy	Deborah Jones	4796			X				1998-99 diagnosis; injured party died in 2011; representative says injured party was incapacitated physically, emotionally and mentally because of her illness; claims representative did not have adequate notice of the bar date; unaware of filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1453	TRO896352FTC	4/13/2016	Smith, Danielle	Danielle Smith	4797			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis Sept. 2009; cut-and-pasted summary language as to reasons for not filing. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1454	TRO904009FTC	1/3/2017	Bush, Odessa	Odessa Bush	4798		X	X				1993 diagnosis; says that she tried to file a claim but was told it was over with and she did not know what to do. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1455	TRO888225FTC	12/18/2015	Vaughn, William	William Vaughn	4799		X	X				1993 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case and bar date; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1456	TRO886074FTC	12/7/2015	Verdell, Wililie	Annie Thomas	4800		X	X				1990 diagnosis; injured party deceased 1996; rep says unaware of bar date, standard summary language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1457	TRO893501FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Devontess	Devontress Harris	4801						X	Minor at time of bar date; does not say why guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at time of the bar date, explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Check the claim filing date.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1458	TRO894273FTC	3/2/2016	Braddock, Clara	Robert Braddock	4802		X	X				Diagnosis date "1997-2005"; injured party died in 2005; previously filed with Colom law firm; rep says unaware of the ongoing case and filing proceedings; rep attaches a standard letter that says "no justifiable allowance" because of guidance from Colom and Lundy; refers to private meetings that some people held that allegedly limited information those people gave to the community. Prior dealings with Colom law firm show awareness of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1459	TRO889593FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Cynthia	Cynthia Robinson	4803		X	X				1995 diagnosis date; was not aware of the filing; spoke with an atty but did not hear back and he then passed away; filed claim later. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1460	TRO896564FTC	4/25/2016	Carter, Rebecca	Rebecca Carter	4804		X	X				Refers to knee and heart problems first diagnosed in 1995 but leading to surgeries in 2017. Standard summary language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1461	TRO903661FTC	11/23/2016	Holiday, Otis	James Otis Holliday	4805			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2015; standard cut-and-pasted summary language as to reasons for not filing. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1462	TRO891720FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Frederic	Frederic Scott	4806		X	X				1960s diagnosis. Says was unaware of process or of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1463	TRO884262FTC	11/25/2015	Peterson, Robert	Robert Peterson	4807	8621	X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says that he filed a timely claim but appears to be under the mistaken impression that his late-filed claim was timely. References in letter (same language as submitted with many motion) to alleged mis-guidance from Colom and Lundy firm and to private meetings of certain sectors. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8621. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1464	TRO897163FTC	5/4/2016	Mayfield, Nellie	Nellie Mayfield	4808			X		X		Unaware of bankruptcy claim/case; most symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1465	TRO885710FTC	11/25/2015	Lucious, Cantrell	Cantrell Lucious	4809		X	X				1999 diagnosis; incarcerated for a period but does not say dates; away living in another state; filed same letter as others referring to alleged guidance from Colom and Lundy firm; complains of private meetings of certain people who kept information to themselves. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1466	TRO895324FTC	3/23/2016	Latham, Thomas	Thomas Latham	4810		X	X		X		Says first symptoms were in 2010 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; standard summary language as to reasons for not filing; no diagnosis date, says a doctor has not treated the claimant. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1467	<b>TRO898602FTC</b>	3/24/2016	Perry, Coretta	Coretta Perry	4811			X		X		The correct number of the claim to which the motion at docket # 4811 is related is TRO898602FTC. The claimant says that symptoms were 2010 and diagnosis 2011. The claimant says she did not have any reason to know that she was exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1468	TRO905415FTC	3/28/2017	Tate, L.C.	Glenda Young	4812	8251	X	X				1967 diagnosis; claimant died 1986; says violation of due process; a supplement filed at docket #8251. Standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1469	TRO896383FTC	4/13/2016	Windham, Brandon	Brandon Windham	4813	9325		X				2007 diagnosis; unaware of the filing; spoke to attorney (date unspecified) who said would get in touch but did not; claimant filed when he found others were filing. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9325 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1470	TRO886528FTC	12/7/2015	Doughty, Stace	Stace Doughty	4814			X				Previously filed with atty Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1471	TRO888105FTC	12/18/2015	Collins, Lucille	Betty Edward	4815		X	X				2001 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; rep did not know she could file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1472	TRO884648FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Ozella	Ozella Coleman	4816		X	X				Diagnoses in 1992-1994; unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1473	TRO884094FTC	11/13/2015	Blackwell, Louis	Louis Blackwell	4817		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says filed papers on time but appears to be referring to responses to Trust's questions rather than timing of initial late-filed claim. Filed a letter referring to guidance of Colom and Lundy; violation of due process; and the private meetings of certain sectors. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1474	TRO885776FTC	11/25/2015	Ball, Sammie	Sammie Ball	4818			X		X		Sinus and blood pressure issues in 1942 and 1980, prostate malignancy in 2017. Says filed timely claim but claim was disallowed, alleges that now (in 2017) there is a more serious diagnosis and says claim should be reconsidered as a future tort claim. It is unclear what the prior symptoms were or the basis of denial. Motion does not seek relief as to a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, just asks for consideration of claim based on prostate malignancy in 2017. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1475	TRO905826FTC	4/24/2017	Tate, Michelle	Michelle Tate	4819		X	X				1997 diagnosis; says was unaware of the bar date or the possibility of a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1476	TRO88835FTC	12/30/2015	Bennett, Samella	Samella Bennett	4820			X			X	The correct claim number to which this motion relates is TRO88835FTC. The claimant says the diagnosis was in 2007 but in a separate paper all diagnosis dates are after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1477	TRO900770FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Earnestine	Earnestine Walker	4821			X			X	Claimant disputes a scheduled determination value offered by the Tort Claims Trust. That dispute is to be resolved through the normal dispute resolution procedures for the Tort Claims Trust. This is not a motion for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process, it is an expression of dissatisfaction with a proposed claim amount and with the likely recovery. The recovery percentage is based on the assets that remain to be shared and cannot be altered.
1478	TRO905537FTC	3/28/2017	Fields-Young, Annie	Annie Fields-Young	4822		X	X			X	Some conditions (bronchitis, skin irritations) diagnosed in 1970s and 1980s, kidney failure in 2008, lupus in 2010. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, since learning of exposure she traced illnesses to her exposure to the product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1479	TRO890044FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Patricia	Patricia Wilson	4824		X	X				1998-99 diagnosis. The claimant says moved and unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1480	TRO898547FTC	6/27/2016	Fields, Nellie	Nellie Fields	4825			X		X		Unaware exposed to Tronox product; some conditions prior to bar date but most serious are listed as being diagnosed in 2016 and later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1481	TRO889742FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Vondell	Vondell Harris	4826		X	X		X		Standard summary language as to reasons for not filing; on form says diagnosis was 2000 but attached chart indicates diagnosis was in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1482	TRO898520FTC	6/27/2016	Brooks, Ruby	Ruby Brooks	4827		X	X				1998 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted standard summary language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1483	TRO889748FTC	2/23/2016	McNeal Helen	Helen McNeal	4828			X		X		Not aware of filing, spoke with atty who said he would get back with her; says symptoms were in 2008 and diagnosis in 2011 but all medical records are for 2007 or earlier. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1484	TRO891041FTC	2/23/2016	Gilkey, Nikita	Abby Robinson	4829			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product prior to bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1485	TRO899948FTC	7/25/2016	Hutchinson, Helen	Helen Hutchinson	4830			X		X		Alleges a 2010 first diagnosis date but also says was part of 1998 Tronox class action, represented by Jeffrey Navarro; standard excuse language. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including merits of any defense as to the scope of any release granted in a prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1486	TRO884436FTC	11/25/2015	Ellis, Cedric	Cedric Ellis	4831		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously represented by atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1487	TRO884181FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Susie	Susie Jordan	4832		X	X				Says previously filed with Colom law firm; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1488	TRO887794FTC	12/14/2015	Taylor, Richard	Richard Taylor	4833		X	X				Diagnoses 1969-1974; says was not provided adequate notice of bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1489	TRO884929FTC	11/25/2015	Baity, Pearlean	Pearlean Baity	4834		X	X				Diagnoses all predated 1988; say unaware creosote had affected her. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1490	TRO890410FTC	2/23/2016	White, Linda	Linda White	4835			X				Merely a rejection notice; no excuse provided.
1491	TRO898015FTC	6/10/2016	Vaccaro, James	Sarah Vaccaro	4836			X				Diagnoses pre-dated 1983; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know about bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1492	TRO891291FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Thomas	Thomas Taylor	4837		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1493	TRO886111FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Daphne	Daphne Brown	4838	8102	X	X				1988 diagnosis; filed with Wilbur Colom in 2002 class action; unaware of process; did not know could file for deceased relative; supp filed at docket #8102. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not already resolved in a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1494	TRO884748FTC	11/25/2015	Randle, Willie	Tie Randle	4839		X	X				Diagnoses before 1984; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know about bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1495	TRO895345FTC	3/24/2016	Malone, Gloria	Gloria Malone	4840			X		X		Does not offer excuse based on failure to assert a pre-bar date claim but instead says symptoms and diagnosis occurred after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1496	TRO900664FTC	8/16/2016	Petty, Payton	Payton Petty	4841		X	X				1965 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1497	TRO889368FTC	2/23/2016	Allen, Moroline	Moroline Allen	4842		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says not aware of conditions at time of bar date but also says previously filed with atty Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1498	TRO887067FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Shanita	Shanita Jones	4843	8547		X				2007 diagnosis; says living with ill mother at time of bar date; unaware exposed to dangerous product. A supplement filed at docket #8547 complaining of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1499	TRO904196FTC	1/3/2017	Ivy, Flazel	Antoinette Crawford	4844		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know injured party had been exposed to a dangerous product; says notice was deficient on its face; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice terms were clear and sufficient for reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1500	TRO884315FTC	11/25/2015	Gordon, Ka'derion	Lutece Roland	4845			X				2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of a claim against Tronox until 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1501	TRO893556FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, J. P.	J. P. Perry	4846		X	X				Diagnoses in childhood (1960s or so); unaware of bankruptcy case; when worked at Kerr-McGee, they did not tell him about the harmful chemicals; says hospital does not have medical records for years at issue. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1502	TRO893405FTC	2/23/2016	Sciandra, Charles	Charles Sciandra	4847			X				The claim to which the motion at docket # 4847 relates is for Charles J. Sciandra (TRO893405FTC) and his excuse is that he was working out of town and home on weekends. A separate motion by Charles A. Sciandra (TRO891712FTC) is at docket #4355. As to Charles J. Sciandra: the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1503	TRO901317FTC	8/16/2016	Fleming, Leona	Thomas Fleming	4848			X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002. Representative says was unaware of claim prior to 2015; did not see it in paper; says was caring for wife then but says wife died in 2002. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1504	TRO890814FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Carlos	Carlos Fields	4849		X	X		X		Refers to symptoms of respiratory conditions as in 1995-2001, then says diagnosis 2012-2015, medical records attached for those dates are not for respiratory conditions. Does not offer excuse for failure to file claim by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1505	TRO886401FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Mary	Mary Turner	4850			X		X		Says was unaware exposed to Tronox product; says asthma, gallstones and removal of gall bladder; does not state diagnosis date for asthma, says gall bladder symptoms were in 2009, diagnosed in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1506	TRO900855FTC	8/16/2016	Baskin, Taylor	Taylor Baskin	4851			X		X		Says symptoms began December 2009, no further information. Unclear if any dispute over date of symptoms and diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1507	TRO880320FTC	12/4/2015	Perry, J. P., Sr.	J. P. Perry	4852		X	X				The motion for J.P. Perry Sr. is at docket #4852; injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of case as he is a contract worker and spends time out of town. Diagnosis dates unclear but submissions say heart problems traced to 1980s. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1508	TRO898318FTC	6/20/2016	Wega, Kenneth	Sarah Vaccaro	4853			X				1954 diagnosis; injured party died in 1970; rep says unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1509	TRO891126FTC	2/23/2016	Gamble, Elaine	Elaine Gamble	4854			X				2005 diagnosis. Unaware of claims against plant; difficulty doing daily chores. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1510	TRO886112FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Chauncey	Chauncey Brown	4855	8103	X	X			X	Some diagnoses in 1986 and 1996, alleges cardiovascular conditions in 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says unaware could file claim. A supplement filed at docket #8103. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim based on a pre-2006 diagnosis that was not resolved in a prior class action was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1511	TRO894034FTC	3/2/2016	Baptist, Sally	Sally Baptist	4856	8323	X	X				2003 diagnosis; was unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8323, with recent medical records; unaware of possibility of getting a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1512	TRO885743FTC	11/25/2015	Pippins, Annie	Annie Pippins	4857		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware could file a claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1513	TRO885338FTC	11/25/2015	Turner, Isaac	Isaac Turner	4858		X	X				2001 diagnosis; surgeries in 2004 and 2010. Says did not have any reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1514	TRO898741FTC	6/27/2016	Howard, Omar	Pearlie Howard	4859		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of claim during time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1515	TRO886071FTC	12/7/2015	Lee, Cleo	Cleo Lee	4860	8096	X	X				1965 and 1995 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm 2001; unaware could file a bankruptcy claim. A supplement filed at docket #8096. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1516	TRO880274FTC	12/4/2015	Melvin, Helen	Helen Melvin	4861		X	X				1939 diagnosis; says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" not certain if that means she did receive an award but considers it too little; refers to meeting by private sectors. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1517	TRO880062FTC	12/4/2015	Cooper, Carlee	Carlee Cooper	4862		X	X				1941 diagnosis; says did file timely but must be referring to late-filed claim, no record of a claim at the time of the bar date. Says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy," appears to be referring to a class action claim rather than a Tronox bankruptcy claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1518	TRO894901FTC	4/24/2017	Cotton, Diante	Diante Cotton	4863			X				Not included in Trust's summary. The medical records for Aretha Fields [TRO894900FTC] at docket #8441 are in Mr. Cotton's envelope. The excuse is that he did not know about the bar date; did not see any advertisement about filing; did not see anything in the newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1519	TRO906060FTC	4/24/2017	Wilson, German	German Wilson	4864		X	X				1997-1998 diagnosis; moved to another town and was unaware at bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1520	TRO885586FTC	11/25/2015	Mozeleski, Angela	Angela Mozeleski	4865		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier; unaware of any legal action that she could have filed until learned much later that others had received compensation; does not regularly purchase local newspapers; heard about it from neighbor who received compensation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1521	TRO887512FTC	12/14/2015	Tate, Lillie	Lillie Tate	4866		X	X				2000 and 2005 diagnoses; did not know or understand because of age and limited education. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1522	TRO885659FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Cartina	Cartina Johnson	4867		X	X				Early 1980s diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case. Says no notice in newspaper but proof of publication service shows that notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1523	TRO885654FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Jamal	Jamal Moore	4868						X	2002 birth and diagnosis; minor; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1524	TRO885657FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, William, Sr.	William Moore, Sr.	4869		X	X				1980s diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; travels state to state with job. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1525	TRO885655FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, William, Jr.	William Moore, Jr.	4870						X	1997 birth, 1998 diagnosis; minor at time and unaware of bankruptcy case. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1526	TRO894017FTC	3/2/2016	Price, Tracy	Tracy Price	4871		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1527	TRO902813FTC	10/13/2016	Powell, Ciara	Ciara Powell	4872			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1528	TRO896719FTC	4/25/2016	Hill, Nancy	Tommy Hill	4873		X	X				1947 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; she did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; rep does not provide excuse although probably same did not know injured party exposed to Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1529	TRO888698FTC	12/24/2015	Harris, Rosie	Rosie Turner	4874			X				Previously filed with Colom law firm; was not aware of public notices of bar date; says was not properly notified nor made aware of a specific deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1530	TRO888774FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Danyae	Danyae Harrison	4875		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of the public notice and its bar date; says was not properly notified nor made aware of a specific deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1531	TRO902367FTC	9/14/2016	Bridges, Tyler	Angela Bridges	4876							X	Diagnosis at birth in 2003; rep says unaware of deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1532	TRO885154FTC	11/25/2015	McKnight, Jr., Hughlon	Hughlon McKnight, Jr.	4877		X	X					Conditions predated 1986; says unaware of bar date or of Tronox case. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1533	TRO898011FTC	6/10/2016	Bishop, Marcell, Jr.	Marcell Bishop	4878		X	X					2004 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1534	TRO885131FTC	11/25/2015	Vaughn, Don	Don Vaughn	4879		X	X					Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was unaware of a claim in 2009 and did not have knowledge concerning this, did not get it from any source, letter, newspaper. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1535	TRO886722FTC	12/7/2015	Azzeroni, Marie	Mary Lou Azzeroni	4880	8190	X	X					2000 diagnosis; injured party died in 2001; rep unaware of proceeding or bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1536	TRO885173FTC	11/25/2015	McKnight, Hughlon	Hughlon McKnight	4881		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; received \$265; unaware of the bankruptcy case; did not receive notice form letter, newspaper TV or radio. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No other claim specified that was not already resolved. Even if 2002 claim had not been resolved it would have been barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Participation in prior proceeding also shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect in any event.
1537	TRO898214FTC	6/20/2016	Lloyd, Amy	Amy Lloyd	4882		X	X				2003/2004 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1538	TRO887225FTC	12/14/2015	Smart, Howard	Howard Smart	4883			X		X		Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; forgot to include most recent health conditions. says diagnosis was October 2009. Motion does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1539	TRO885766FTC	11/25/2015	Robinson, Doris Ann	Doris Ann Robinson	4884		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1540	TRO891839FTC	2/23/2016	Smart, Bobby	Bobby Smart	4885			X		X		Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; forgot to include most recent health conditions. says diagnosis was October 2009. Motion does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1541	TRO885031FTC	11/25/2015	McKnight, Latanya	LaTanya McKnight	4886		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates not listed, unclear if medical records complete. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1542	TRO895057FTC	3/23/2016	Vaughn, Steve, Jr.	Steve Vaughn, Jr.	4887		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates not listed, unclear if medical records complete. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1543	TRO890876FTC	2/23/2016	Vaughn, Bobby	Bobby Vaughn	4888		X	X			X	Did not hear from any source about bankruptcy case; injured party's attention and memory level is very low, alleges is incompetent; does not understand many things. Diagnosis dates not listed, unclear if medical records complete. Insufficient documentation of incompetence and alleged inability to file claim or to enlist the aid of others for that purpose. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1544	TRO914876FTC	7/26/2017	Beatie, Corey	Corey Beatie	4889	8121	X	X				2006 diagnosis; says was unaware of claims process because in 2004 moved away to Atlanta, Georgia; supplement filed at docket #8121, says he was a minor when had symptoms but says was first exposed in 1986, therefore he was not a minor at the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1545	<b>TRO884818FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Davis, Sean</b>	<b>Sean Davis</b>	4890			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1546	<b>TRO886406FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Davis, Debra</b>	<b>Tom Davis</b>	4891			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1547	<b>TRO884820FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Davis, Tom</b>	<b>Tom Davis</b>	4892			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1548	TRO902642FTC	4/20/2016	Petty, James	Doris Petty	4893	7167		X				Rejection notice at docket 4893; claim filed by a rep; rep says did not file before bar date because of illness of sister who was given only a few months but does not provide any records concerning the ill sister. No explanation of lengthy post-bar date delay before claim filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1549	TRO884097FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Vickey	Vickey Petty	4894	7015		X		X		Rejection notice at docket 4894. Diagnoses April and June 2009 and another in 2010; says unaware of the deadline; says was traveling between Alabama and Mississippi to care for seriously ill relatives in each location does not say specific dates for this aid. Also says that her own health issues were taking a toll on her mind, her issues were in 2009. She presents hospital records for periods during 2009, including 8/10/2009; says her "family was in a total spin between April 2009 til August 2009 [because they] lost 10 close family members." Explains circumstances in August 2009 but offers no explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1550	TRO914495FTC	7/26/2017	Mills, Mary	Mary Mills	4896			X			x	Did not understand or know it; says symptoms and diagnosis sept 2009 and 2010, does not provide medical records but claims first diagnosis was in 2010. Does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis or condition for which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim based on alleged post-bar date diagnosis is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust based on its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1551	TRO901123FTC	8/16/2016	Ciliberto, Angeline	Marlene Smigiel	4897			X				1992 diagnosis; injured party died in 2004; rep says the injured party did not know and no reason to know she was exposed to Tronox product; publication notice of bar date not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1552	TRO888263FTC	12/18/2015	Phinzee, Derrick	Derrick Phinzee	4898			X			X	Incarcerated until December 2009; also says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date; does not provide medical records. Motion does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis as to which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim based on post-bar date diagnoses is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1553	TRO902483FTC	9/14/2016	Oleksy, Paula	Paula Oleksy	4899			X				1995 diagnosis; moved away from area in 1999; did not hear or read in newspaper or any mail regarding lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1554	TRO890249FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Marjorie	Marjorie Gardner	4900	9108	X	X				1997 diagnosis. Did not know had been exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9108 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1555	TRO905565FTC	3/28/2017	Petty, Mary	Mary Petty	4901		X	X				1993 diagnosis; did not know how to go about getting paper and did not have the number; former MS resident, moved away to Ohio in 1990. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim were not barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1556	TRO902940FTC	10/13/2016	Eiland, Woodrow	Brenda Eiland Gund	4902		X	X				1990 diagnosis; injured party died in 1995; rep did not provide excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1557	TRO897880FTC	5/25/2016	Morreale, Mary Jo	Mary Jo Morreale	4903			X				2004 diagnosis; only reason for not meeting bar date is "did not know." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1558	TRO898746FTC	6/27/2016	Morreale, Salvatore	Mary Jo Morreale	4904			X				2008 diagnosis; no excuse listed for failure to file by bar date.
1559	TRO892097FTC	2/23/2016	Hendry, Wade	Sandra Henry	4905		X	X				Condition arose in 1982, no diagnosis date listed; says unaware of the claim. Former MS resident. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1560	TRO885403FTC	11/25/2015	Eddines, Hallie	Hallie Eddines	4906		X	X				2003 and 2004 diagnoses; refers to not understanding the question that was asked and trying best to answer. It appears she is referring to the Trust's procedures. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1561	TRO893995FTC	3/2/2016	Horton, Willa	Horton, Willa	4907			X				1987 diagnosis; says she was very sick in hospital with a thyroid condition but lists no dates. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1562	TRO901855FTC	9/14/2016	Thompson, Ozzie	Ozzie Thompson	4908		X	X				1974 diagnosis; says did not know about it, moved from MS to Chicago, Illinois. Bar date notice was published in the Chicago Tribune. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1563	<b>TRO900845FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Parker, Sophia</b>	<b>Sophia Parker</b>	4909			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1564	TRO901124FTC	8/16/2016	Ciliberto, Joseph	Marlene Smigiel	4910			X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; says did not know and had no reason to know he had been exposed to Tronox product; publication notice nor reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown potential claimants. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1565	TRO896640FTC	4/25/2016	Latham, Rufus	Rufus Latham	4911		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy claim/case, did not see any notices. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1566	TRO886412FTC	12/7/2015	Innamorati, Chartan	Chartan Innamorati	4912			X				Diagnoses in 1973 (bronchial) and 2006; unaware of the process in 2009; unaware exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1567	TRO895361FTC	3/24/2016	Lambus, Francine	Lambus, Francine	4913			X				2005 diagnosis; unaware of claim until her brother told her about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1568	TRO887888FTC	12/14/2015	Ball, Diane	Diane Ball	4914			X				Diagnosis more than 20 years ago; unaware of process; says had severe depression from diagnosis and radiation treatments but does not list dates; did not read news. Insufficient showing of inability to file claim or to enlist aid of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1569	TRO885075FTC	11/25/2015	Murray, Sallie	Sallie Murray	4915		X	X				1995 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case and claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1570	TRO897213FTC	5/4/2016	Gavin, William	William Gavin	4916		X	X			X	2003 diagnosis; says was incapacitated at the time of bar date; on paid sick leave during that time due to debilitating migraine headaches, says experienced dizziness and migraines beginning July 7, 2003 until 2/1/2012, appears to be continuing condition but not completely clear whether movant alleges that any new condition was diagnosed after the bar date. At time of bar date, injured party unaware of exposure to toxic products. There is a letter in his filing, dated 2004, from his lawyer in support of his attempt to get SSI benefits at the time, indicating that the brain damage shown in the 2003 MRI could be from chemical exposure on his job, and that the severity of his condition left him without ability to walk without a cane for balance, difficulty remembering and with cognitive impairment slowing his mental processing. Demonstrates disabilities but does not demonstrate inability to file a claim or to enlist the aid of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1571	TRO898850FTC	6/27/2016	Misencik, Mary	Mary Misencik	4917		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says not properly notified; did not receive mail or newspapers. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1572	TRO898269FTC	6/20/2016	Brown, Aretha	Aretha Brown	4918			X				Says she filed on time but made a mistake with the dates, appears to be under the impression that her late-filed claim was timely; says symptoms and diagnosis in early 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1573	TRO895718FTC	3/24/2016	Williams, Albert	Albert Williams, Jr.	4919		X	X				1988 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; cut-and-pasted standard summary language as to reasons did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1574	TRO894053FTC	3/2/2016	McCarthy, Richard	Richard McCarthy	4920			X		X		Symptoms in Sept. 2009 and diagnosis Nov. 2009; says did not understand how to complete the claim; did not fully understand what he had to do had been suffering a long time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1575	TRO897537FTC	5/25/2016	Douglas, Shakita	James Douglas	4921			X				2008 diagnosis and death; says no publication notice before death in 2008, but the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009, publication notice appeared in Commercial Dispatch in June 2009. Says injured party did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1576	TRO903691FTC	11/23/2016	Peters, Irish	Irish peters	4922		X	X				1950 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; a violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1577	TRO905969FTC	3/28/2017	Bowen, Jean	Jean Bowen	4923		X	X				The correct claim number for this motion is TRO905969FTC. 1976 diagnosis; says unaware of bar date; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges a violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1578	TRO903216FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Samuel	Samuel Fields	4924		X	X			X	1962 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro 1998; std lang. The motion form states that the symptoms and diagnosis were in the 1960s but attached papers are for 2010 or thereafter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1579	TRO898866FTC	6/27/2016	Wofford, Otha	Otha Wofford	4925			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011; says was ill and does not recall receiving papers until 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1580	TRO888605FTC	Unknown	Townsend, Lillian	Lillian Townsend	4926		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2003 diagnosis; says did not understand the situation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1581	TRO888472FTC	Unknown	Townsend, Arthur	Lillian Townsend	4927		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1970 diagnosis; rep says did not understand everything; tried to do something (unspecified). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1582	TRO888471FTC	Unknown	Townsend, Debra	Lillian Townsend	4928		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1973 diagnosis; rep says did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1583	TRO889534FTC	2/23/2016	Deloach, Timothy	Timothy Deloach	4929		X	X		X		Movant says unsure of diagnosis dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1584	TRO889731FTC	2/23/2016	Minor, Shakyra	Tanikka Profeit	4930						X	Diagnosis in 2000 at birth; representative claims 2004 claim filing of some kind in addition to filing with Trust in September 2015; says she sent the minor's papers with her own but for some reason they only discovered her papers. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why representative did not file before the bar date, why waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1585	TRO887112FTC	12/14/2015	Profeit, Tabia	Tabias Profeit	4931						X	Says diagnosis at birth; says symptoms began in 1996 but also says first exposure was in 2003; minor at time of bar date; says mother filed papers in 2004 but was told they did not get any results. Appears to be referring to a failed claim in a prior class action. Filing in 2004 indicates awareness of legal rights by parent or guardian. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1586	TRO893543FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Dominique	Dominique Stewart	4932		X	X				1987 diagnoses; says previously filed with Colom law firm before the bar date but that neither claimant nor his atty received direct written notice. However, the proofs of service show service upon Colom law firm, and the Colom firm actively participated in the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1587	TRO891461FTC	2/23/2016	Pippins, Shaquanda	Shaquanda Pippins	4933		X	X				1984 diagnosis; reason for not making a timely claim is "did not know about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1588	TRO892327FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Calvin	Calvin Gardner	4934		X	X				1985 symptoms onset, diagnosis date not listed; says was unaware of suit; "thought that since I didn't file in the beginning I wasn't eligible to file. Once I found out I could file, I did." Appears to be under misimpression that a new filing period occurred some time after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1589	TRO901134FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Virginia	Virginia Randle	4935			X		X		Alleges symptoms 2012 and diagnosis 2013; says was not informed about the Tronox tort claim trust; unaware of bankruptcy. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1590	TRO897536FTC	5/25/2016	Douglas, Jayden	James Douglas	4936						X	Minor; 2006-07 diagnoses; representative does not give reason for lack of timely filing. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file earlier, why so many years passed after the bar date before a claim was filed, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1591	TRO900802FTC	8/16/2016	Davenport, Geneva	Geneva Davenport	4937			X				2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of the claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1592	TRO894389FTC	3/2/2016	Sanders, Jarvis	Jarvis Sanders	4938		X	X		X		1992 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted form language to reasons did not file before bar date; unclear if any new conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1593	<b>TRO884856FTC</b>	11/25/2015	Lowery, George	George Lowery III	4939		X	X				The correct claim number for this motion is TRO884856FTC. 1993 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1594	TRO884854FTC	11/25/2015	Lowery, Catherine	Catherine Lowery	4940		X	X				1995 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1595	TRO888747FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Barbara	Ida Williams	4941	8958	X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; previously filed with Colom law firm in connection with prior class action; standard form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8958 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1596	TRO903225FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Johnny	Johnny Fields	4942		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro 1998; standard form language as to reasons why did not file. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1597	TRO888993FTC	12/30/2015	Hines, Kathy	Kathy Hines	4943		X	X				1995 and 1999 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; says previously filed several sets of paperwork before 2000 but does not recall details or name of attorney. Appears to be referring to participation in prior class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1598	TRO896757FTC	4/25/2016	Brownlee, Shenqualia	Shenqualia Brownlee	4944						X	2002 diagnosis; says was a minor at bar date; did not see any publication regarding bankruptcy case or bar date; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1599	TRO892554FTC	2/23/2016	Wallace, Juanita	Juanita Wallace	4945		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not get call, letter, info or anything from TV or radio concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1600	TRO899347FTC	8/16/2016	Mosley, Ada	Katie Salter	4946		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1601	TRO892326FTC	2/23/2016	Salter, Katie	Katie Salter	4947	8257		X			X	Some earlier medical conditions but claim appears to be based on conditions (high blood pressure, heart murmur) that arose in 2014 and 2017; says was unaware of bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8257, says paperwork to Tronox was timely filed in 2016. When first heard of lawsuit, thought it was just for those living in a particular town, she did not realize it was for company's plant. She filed and it was handled by a lawyer in Aberdeen, she did not receive any money. Prior consultation with attorney shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1602	TRO903348FTC	10/27/2016	Fields, Clemma	Clemma Fields	4948			X				Previously filed with attorney Harold Barkley, does not provide date of it; alleges a 2006 diagnosis; standard form language as to reasons why did not make a timely filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1603	TRO899218FTC	7/11/2016	Lee, Joyce	Joyce Lee	4949			X		X		Says previously filed without an atty but the paperwork was filled out incorrectly, is probably referring to a late-filed claim. The claimant does not provide date for diagnosis, but it appears that the medical records refer to conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1604	TRO903694FTC	11/23/2016	Peters, Paul	Paul Samuel Peters	4950		X	X				1969 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1605	TRO900016FTC	7/25/2016	Hall, Hiawatha	Hiawatha Hall	4951		X	X				2003-04 diagnoses; says was unaware of rights regarding any such claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1606	TRO900668FTC	8/16/2016	Perry, Thomas	Thomas Perry	4952			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 8/13/2019, one day after the bar date; standard form language as to reasons for not filing. Diagnosis date may be disputed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1607	TRO885867FTC	11/25/2015	Abrams, Jasmine	Jasmine Abrams	4953						X	Diagnosis when two years old in 1990s; did not directly or indirectly receive notice of claims process; did not know or have reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1608	TRO892886FTC	2/23/2016	Patterson, Brenda	Brenda Patterson	4954			X		X		Claims first diagnosis in 2012; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1609	TRO887440FTC	12/14/2015	Hayden, Andrew	Andrew Hayden Jr.	4955		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; standard cut-and-paste form language as to reasons for not filing. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1610	TRO886925FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Reginald	Reginald Moore	4956			X				1995 diagnoses; did not see any publication notice; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants, moved to Georgia. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1611	TRO886924FTC	12/7/2015	Samuels, LaToya	LaToya Samuels	4957			X				1997 diagnosis; did not see any publication notice; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants, moved to Georgia. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1612	TRO897257FTC	5/4/2016	McCrary, Julian	Julian McCrary	4958	8321	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 action; unaware could file claim in Tronox case; a supplement filed at docket #8321, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1613	TRO886777FTC	12/7/2015	McCrary, Betty	Julian McCrary	4959	8320	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware could file claim in bankruptcy case. A supplement filed at docket #8320, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1614	TRO886776FTC	12/7/2015	McCrary, Julian, Jr.	Julian McCrary, Jr.	4960	8322		X				Says unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8322, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1615	TRO885630FTC	11/25/2015	Young, Sammie	Sammie Young	4961			X				Motion contains no information, just a rejection notice and signature page.
1616	TRO915237FTC	7/26/2017	Smith, Anna	Anna Smith	4964			X				Motion contains no information, just a copy of a determination notice.
1617	TRO896069FTC	4/6/2016	Clemons, Gloria	Gloria Clemons	4965	8255	X	X				Diagnoses in 2001-2002; says she did not know; filed supplement at docket # 8255 says she could not file because she cracked her ankle and it was difficult to get around. Not a sufficient showing of inability to file claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1618	<b>TRO880350FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Robinson, Brenda</b>	<b>Brenda Robinson</b>	4966			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of the Trust's determination, no motion based on excusable neglect or due process.
1619	TRO885836FTC	11/25/2015	Mills, Estell	Mills, Estell	4967		X	X				Conditions diagnosed in 1970s and 1980s; unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; formerly lived in Columbus, MS, now in Alabama. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1620	TRO886233FTC	12/7/2015	Cornwell, Mary	Deana Powell	4968		X	X				2000 diagnosis; injured party died in 2006; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; standard language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1621	TRO887853FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Lee	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4969			X		X		Diagnoses of different conditions, some before bar date, some after. Not aware of everything going on with this suit; lawyer says claimant did not understand what heard or felt that it held any truth. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. To the extent claimant alleges post-bar date diagnoses, the merits of that claim (and any defenses) are to be resolved under Tort Claims dispute resolution procedures.
1622	TRO884578FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, Martell	Martell Taylor	4970			X		X		Alleges a 2012 diagnosis but also alleges a previously filing with Colom law firm, the parents received an offer but rejected it. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1623	TRO901210FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Norman	Norman Jefferson	4971		X	X				1976 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1624	TRO900462FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Dean	Dean Jefferson	4972		X	X				1978 diagnosis; unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1625	TRO892726FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Corey	Corey Turner	4973			X			X	Unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 (date unspecified) but without medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1626	TRO885628FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman-Marweg, Scottie	Scottie Coleman-Marweg	4974		X	X			X	1986 diagnosis of respiratory condition, also alleges 2010 diagnosis for shortness of breath, unclear if that is really a new condition. Says unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1627	TRO894774FTC	3/23/2016	Adams, Michelle	Michelle Adams	4975			X			X	Unaware of claims process, says diagnoses were in May 2009 and in 2010, says medical records are already on file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1628	TRO886346FTC	12/7/2015	Darring, Lucille	Lucille Darring	4976		X	X				Lists diagnoses in 1960s, 1997, 2005. Says knew nothing about the Tronox bankruptcy or claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1629	TRO886175FTC	12/7/2015	Taylor, Timiko	Timiko Taylor	4977		X	X				First diagnosis 1980; no excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1630	TRO894249FTC	3/2/2016	Harrison, Marco	Marco Harrison	4978						X	1996 diagnosis; minor; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1631	TRO898904FTC	6/27/2016	Cruzoe, Noel	Lenara Crusoe	4979		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party was exposed to creosote in Columbus, MS and died in 1976; rep says did not know or hear about it because was in Chicago, Ill; on a visit heard that a relative's neighbor received a settlement check. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1632	TRO902697FTC	8/16/2016	Poole, Shanquarius	Shanquarius Poole	4980		X	X				The correct file is at docket # 4980. 2003 diagnosis; movant says that due to misleading information (not specified) was not aware of suit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1633	TRO886861FTC	12/7/2015	Brooks, Leslie	Leslie Brooks	4981		X	X				Diagnosis "2000-2007"; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2000; says does not know what happened to the paperwork. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1634	TRO905572FTC	3/28/2017	Hodges, Sallie	Barbara Clark	4982		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says she was incapacitated at time (unspecified) because she had a brain tumor, leg weakness and loss of memory. The rep does not include the rep's medical records as to timing. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1635	TRO902700FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Jontavius	Jontavius Thomas	4983		X	X				Heart problems beginning in 2000, diagnosis dates "same"; says unaware of this case and when found out was given misleading information (no details as to how that information was given or the timing of the same). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1636	TRO898906FTC	6/27/2016	Jones, Bertha	Lenara Crusoe	4984		X	X				1959 diagnosis; the injured party was a MS resident who died in 1999; rep says she did not hear about it or have any knowledge of it because she stayed in Chicago, Ill. When visiting relative, heard that neighbor had received settlement from Tronox; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1637	TRO894695FTC	3/23/2016	Toney, James	William Toney	4985	8339	X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware could file for the injured party; without knowledge to wade through process; supplement filed at docket #8339. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1638	TRO897882FTC	5/25/2016	Beard, Pierre	Pierre Beard	4986			X		X		Says symptoms began in 2010; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; medical records not provided. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1639	TRO891215FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Kenneth	Kenneth Harris	4987	8081	X	X				1984 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says back then family could not afford health care and some things were just taken care of from home. A supplement filed at docket #8081, complaining that the claim of one family member was allowed yet they all lived in the same place. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1640	TRO892378FTC	2/23/2016	Toney, Ethel	William Toney	4988	8348		X				1970 symptoms, 2007 diagnosis, but says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; the injured party is deceased; representative says was unaware could file a claim for deceased relative. A supplement filed at docket #8348, without knowledge to wade through the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1641	TRO886929FTC	12/7/2015	Taylor, Aundrae	Aundrae Taylor	4989			X				2000 diagnosis; says should be allowed a late claim because he was exposed and has to live with condition for rest of life. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1642	TRO894607FTC	3/23/2016	Lang, Kameryn	Josie Moore	4990						X	Minor; rep does not give excuse for not timely filing. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1643	TRO886229FTC	12/7/2015	Westbrook, Grady	Wilda Smith	4991		X	X				The motion at docket # 4991 is for Grady Westbrook, Sr. [TRO886229FTC]; a separate motion for Grady Westbrook, Jr. [TRO880902FTC] is at docket # 5777. Grady Westbrook, Sr. is deceased; diagnoses listed were all 1978 and earlier. Representative says was unaware of claim process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1644	TRO888611FTC	12/24/2015	Wright, Dava	Dava Wright	4992		X	X				1971 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach but never heard back; says attorney died before he turned in claims, but Mr. Bambach did not die until long after the bar date. It is not clear what she means but she also says "and attorney Colom I did not meet the deadlines;" this is possibly an indication that she was late to file with the Colom firm, perhaps in another proceeding. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1645	TRO905813FTC	4/24/2017	Pegues, David	Johnny Pegues	4993		X	X				Diagnosis in January 2003; the injured party died in 2005; rep says the community was not adequately notified of who could file claims, if relatives could file for deceased persons. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1646	TRO893866FTC	3/2/2016	Turner, Sandra	Sandra Turner	4994	8704	X	X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2009 but does not insert medical records, says did not know about bar date at that time; was working out of state; did not know and had no knowledge that was exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8704 complaining about the process. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1647	TRO900779FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Dessie	Dessie Jefferson	4995		X	X				1979 diagnosis; unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1648	TRO904356FTC	1/3/2017	Worshaim, Rowena	Rowena Worshaim	4996	8157	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed the claim number. 1969 diagnosis; parents did not have the funds at that time to pursue any claims. A supplement filed at docket #8157. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1649	TRO896654FTC	4/25/2016	Smigiel, Stacey Velez	Stacey Velez	4997			X				Diagnoses in 1997 (high blood pressure), 1995 and 2002 (thyroid). Says she was unaware of nature of findings as to Kerr-McGee plant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1650	TRO915224FTC	7/26/2017	Harris, Roetta	Essie Harris	4998		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; says the publication notice was not calculated to give notice to unknown claimants; did not know had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1651	TRO904113FTC	1/3/2017	Harmon, Wyatt	Sammy Horton	4999		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1987; rep says form of notice deficient on its face and that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Terms of the notice were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1652	TRO901662FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Malcolm	Lee, Rosa	5000	8346	X	X				1984 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; reps says unaware of process and did not know could file for deceased relative. A supplement filed at docket #8346, without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1653	TRO880827FTC	12/4/2015	Nabors, Marcellus	Marcellus Nabors	5001	9302	X	X				Diagnoses 1995, 1998, 2008. Unaware of claims process and did not know could file claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9302 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1654	TRO892249FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Jennifer	Jennifer Cockrell	5002			X				July 2006 diagnosis; not aware of filing, spoke to an attorney and said he would get back in touch, "later on" she heard people were getting payments. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1655	TRO891328FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Sheffield	Eddie Cunningham	5003		X	X				The injured party died in 1988; rep says unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1656	TRO886288FTC	12/7/2015	Lowe, Nellie	Willa Smith	5004		X	X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1981; rep says did not know or have reason to know that injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product; unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1657	TRO887169FTC	12/14/2015	Nalls, Malaysia	Nalls, Shervica	5005		X	X				2004 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says not aware of process and that she could file a claim for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1658	TRO887304FTC	12/14/2015	Nalls, Shervica	Shervica Nalls	5006		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1659	TRO899298FTC	7/11/2016	Clay, Larry	Larry Clay	5007			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1660	TRO894232FTC	3/2/2016	Horton, Luther	Luther Horton	5008			X				Says was taking care of sick immediate relative who died in 2009 and also caring for ill other immediate relative. File does not have any papers to support dates. No indication of diagnosis dates or place of exposure. Does not explain long post-bar date delay without taking action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1661	TRO895745FTC	3/24/2016	Robinson, John III	John Robinson, III	5009		X	X				1980 and 1996 diagnoses; unaware of bankruptcy case; also job required some traveling out of state; unable to get medical records as the hospital no longer has records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1662	TRO889854FTC	2/23/2016	Ferrow, Barry	Barry Ferrow	5010	8222	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach in 1999, says paperwork was lost; supplemental letter filed at docket # 8222, complains that people who did not live in the area are compensated while people who lived nearby have been turned down. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1663	TRO885498FTC	11/25/2015	Beard, Robert	Robert Beard	5011		X	X				1996 diagnosis; publication notice of claim not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1664	TRO891771FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, Anthony	Anthony Perry	5012		X	X				1971 diagnosis; unaware of the bankruptcy case; traveled for job out of state; hospital discarded medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1665	TRO915137FTC	7/26/2017	Toney, Maggie	William Toney	5013	8347	X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1971; rep not aware of process; supplement filed at docket # 8347; without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1666	TRO885164FTC	11/25/2015	Robinson, Early	Early Robinson	5014	8534		X			X		Says at time was working for Tronox under JIMCo and was afraid of losing employment if made a claim; says injury was caused by working a pulling press at Tronox, symptoms started 2011, hip replacement 2015. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8534. Unclear if claim is based on exposure to a harmful substance; appears it is an alleged work-related injury. However, the claim is not based on a pre-bar date diagnosis so a motion for relief from the bar date is not needed or proper. To the extent the claim alleges a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, the merits of the claim, and whether it is of a type covered by the Tort Claims Trust, are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust based on its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1667	TRO893515FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Tyshiwon	Mary Morris (Rejto?)	5015			X			X		Says symptoms & diagnosis 2010; deceased 2015; did not know anything about filling out a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1668	TRO885979FTC	11/25/2015	Golden, Litton	Litton Golden	5016	8270 8395	X	X					2000 diagnosis; unaware of process and not aware could file claim for deceased relative; without knowledge to wade through process; supplements filed at docket # 8270 & 8395. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1669	TRO887431FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Janice	Janice Smith	5017		X	X					1974 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process as claim filing in Columbus allegedly was held in secret meetings, asks Court to reconsider the Trust's decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1670	TRO896076FTC	4/6/2016	Randle, Stella	Stella Randle	5019			X			X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; did not know of Tronox case or process; medical records not provided to Court. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1671	TRO886469FTC	12/7/2015	Shinn, Darecia	Darecia Shinn	5020			X			X	Alleges various diagnosis dates; says most conditions were after bar date; provides one paper reflecting a doctor visit in November 2009; says filed in timely manner but appears to be under misimpression that late filing was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1672	TRO904148FTC	1/3/2017	Rupert, Velma	Velma Williams	5021		X	X				1968 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1982; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; form of notice was deficient; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1673	TRO887102FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Jeanette	Jeanette Smith	5023		X	X				1974 diagnosis; unaware of claims filing; in area it allegedly was a secret and told to certain people and churches; unaware Tronox was releasing toxic chemicals in environment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1674	TRO889499FTC	2/23/2016	Howard, Danny	Gloria Williams	5024	3657		X				Minor; rep says unaware claims were filed; she had left town to stay and care for severely ill sister in Tennessee. Motion at docket 3657 covers the same injured party (claim TRO889502FTC), apparently filed by the injured party himself, same address is listed. This separate application is denied; see docket 3657 for resolution of injured party's own motion.
1675	TRO900284FTC	7/25/2016	Hill, Charles	Charles Hill	5025			X			X	Unaware could file a claim; says symptoms 2010 and surgery and diagnosis in 2010, does not insert medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1676	TRO887845FTC	12/14/2015	Washington, Willie	Willie Washington	5026	8246	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost; supplement letter filed at docket # 8246, says filed in a timely manner but appears to be under misimpression that late claim was timely. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1677	TRO897409FTC	5/25/2016	Mills, Linda	Linda Mills	5027			X		X		Diagnoses in 1989, 1992 and 1995, "chest pains" in 2010. Unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; did not hear about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Unclear if chest pains in 2010 represented a new condition, but the merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1678	TRO902696FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Gayle	Gayle Thomas	5028		X	X				Says he learned of problems when EPA came to town and was told to fill out paperwork but was never contacted. EPA visit pre-dated the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Dates of diagnoses unclear but motion admits knowledge of Tronox connection to health issues. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1679	TRO891196FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Eddie	Eddie Harris	5029		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says was not aware that there were court proceedings and that he could file a claim pertaining to his illness. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1680	TRO897731FTC	5/25/2016	Brennan, Ann	Ann Brennan	5030			X				Lists 2007 as her first diagnosis date; says husband's cancer was diagnosed in 1993 and lymphoma in 1996 and he received a settlement in a prior class action; says she thought she could not file for her illness because her husband had previously received the class action settlement. Does not allege lack of knowledge of the bar date, does not allege consultations with counsel or other efforts to clarify her rights, insufficient showing to warrant relief based on excusable neglect.
1681	TRO891950FTC	2/23/2016	Biesaideski, Celia	Celia Biesaideski	5031			X				1997 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1682	TRO887844FTC	12/14/2015	Bradley, Annette	Annette Bradley	5032	8155	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost; supplemental letter at docket # 8155. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1683	TRO894415FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Jessie	Jessie Harris	5033		X	X				1973 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1684	TRO891174FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Arthur	Arthur Gardner	5034		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1685	TRO885756FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Chantanna	Chantanna Brooks	5035		X	X				2003 diagnosis; resident of Columbus, MS at time of exposure and diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2003; says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1686	TRO895523FTC	3/24/2016	Kresge, Stephan	Stephan Kresge	5036			X				Condition dates from childhood in late 1950s or early 1960s. Says that he filed a timely claim (does not specify when) but did not receive any secondary papers on his claim saying that the paperwork was incomplete or that they needed more information. Apparently under the impression that late-filed claim was timely filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1687	TRO889447FTC	2/23/2016	Chisdock, Mary Theresa	Mary Theresa Chisdock	5037			X				1998 cancer diagnosis; says the form of notice was defective on its face; the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know or have reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Form of notice was sufficient as explained in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1688	TRO887656FTC	12/14/2015	Gordon, Terrence	Terrence Gordon	5038		X	X				1986 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know could make a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1689	TRO884461FTC	11/25/2015	Lowe, Marzett	Lowe, Marzett	5039		X	X		X		Says previously filed in 2002 and says paperwork was either lost or not submitted by atty Colom; says she was advised to submit another claim and she filed with atty Bambach, later learned that no information in files of case, was told no information on file; but says paperwork lost; says not informed of any proceedings that were advertised; relocated to another town; not informed by calls, correspondence, newspaper or radio; unaware of bankruptcy filing. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1690	TRO894686FTC	3/23/2016	Crawford, Timothy	Marilyn Crawford	5040		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; says notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; references the injured party's incompetence because in nursing home but this was long prior to the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice terms were sufficient as explained in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1691	TRO891159FTC	2/23/2016	Moody, Willie	Willie Moody	5042		X	X		X		There is also a motion at docket #4683 for a different Willie Moody; the motion at docket #5042 alleges diagnoses in 2000, 2009, 2012 and symptoms as early as 1970; says was not aware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1692	TRO889728FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Barbara	Barbara Clark	5043			X				2007 diagnosis; as reason for not filing says "incapacitated, memory loss, leg weakness, help with daily activities." Insufficient showing of an incapacity that prevented movant from filing or from eliciting the help of others in doing so, particularly given long delay after the bar date before any filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1693	TRO898842FTC	6/27/2016	Lowe, Robert	Robert Lowe	5044			X			X		Most diagnoses dates 2003 and earlier, one listed in 2009, unclear if new condition. Says unaware of claims against Tronox; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; mentions army duty, possibly in mid-1970's; movant says exposure started in 1957 and that movant entered the army at age 18, no allegation that was still in military service at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1694	TRO894538FTC	3/23/2016	Averhart, Mondrale	Mondrale Averhart	5045	8586	X	X					1992 diagnosis; says was unaware exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket # 8586 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1695	TRO886066FTC	12/7/2015	Hunter, Cynthia	Cynthia Hunter	5046		X	X					1988 and 1989 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of claim process, no knowledge of the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1696	TRO890291FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Javokco	Javokco Harris	5047						X	X	Says had different conditions with different diagnosis dates from 1998-2014. Says was unaware of deadline and unaware of "pending litigation" regarding this matter. Says was a minor at the time of the bar date; also says underwent a surgery that left him incapacitated at this time but does not provide date or medical records. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age and alleged incapacity and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file by bar date, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1697	TRO896386FTC	4/13/2016	Taylor, Bernice	James Taylor	5048		X	X			X	The injured party died in 2010; rep says symptoms and diagnosis were after bar date but also says the injured party previously filed with the Colom firm and received a settlement offer but rejected it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1698	TRO889504FTC	2/23/2016	Wilkins, Gus, Sr.	Gus Wilkins, Sr.	5049		X	X				1987 diagnosis; previously filed claim with the Colom law firm; did not know that there were any claims or applications in 2009, was not so informed. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1699	TRO900861FTC	8/16/2016	Cooper, Sandra	Sandra Cooper	5050		X	X				1978 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1700	TRO901520FTC	8/16/2016	Latham, Sylvester	Sylvester Latham	5051			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but does not provide medical records with motion; says unaware and had no knowledge of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice by newspaper, TV or social media. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1701	TRO893190FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Ebony	Smith, Ebony	5052	8332	X	X				Diagnoses all before 1998. Unaware of claims process; supplement filed at docket #8332, without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1702	TRO890806FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Roderick	Lee, Roderick	5053	8335	X	X				1973 diagnosis; unaware of claims process or that could file claim; supplement filed at docket #8335; says was without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1703	TRO885058FTC	11/25/2015	McGrew, Reginold	Reginold McGrew	5054		X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1704	TRO888084FTC	12/18/2015	Davis, Watt	Watt Davis	5055			X		X		Says diagnosis in 2015; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1705	TRO892291FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Dorothy	Dorothy Brown	5056	9034		X				Just a signed form with no information or excuse provided. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9034 complaining about the process. No grounds for relief shown.
1706	Unknown	2/23/2016	Wilson, Gloria	Gloria Wilson	5057	6281 9179		X				2007 diagnosis; says no reason to know exposed since did not live or spend significant time in area from 2009-11 but did spend much time earlier and later learned effects of contamination. Unclear if connected to the Gloria Wilson claim at docket #6281 with a different address and conditions. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9179 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1707	TRO903563FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Janie	Peggy Butler	5058		X	X				Diagnoses in 1960s and 1970s; the injured party died in 1985; rep says did not know could file for deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1708	TRO893042FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Charlie	Margaret Brewer	5059		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1989; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice of bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1709	TRO895328FTC	3/23/2016	Woodrick, Abaris	Abaris Woodrick	5060			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2012; did not know what to do until she got the right understanding. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1710	TRO880814FTC	12/4/2015	Nabors, Willie Mae	Willie Mae Nabors	5061	9303	X	X				Says was unaware of claims process and that could file a claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9303 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Attachments show that all diagnoses pre-dated 2006, claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1711	TRO903548FTC	11/23/2016	Stephens, Ginger	Ginger Stephens	5062			X		X		Unaware of any lawsuit or claims; says symptoms in 2009 but does not give diagnosis date or medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1712	TRO903565FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Geneva	Peggy Butler	5063		X	X				1957 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1957; rep says unaware could file for deceased relatives. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1713	TRO902634FTC	4/20/2016	Walker, Diamond	Diamond Walker	5064						X	Conditions at childhood; says was 18 at bar date and did not have any legal counsel to advise. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1714	TRO884117FTC	11/13/2015	Yetna, Zykheria	Darecia Shinn	5065			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010; infant was born 2010 and died a few months later; she says her claim was denied because of the way the dates were split up. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1715	TRO885165FTC	11/25/2015	Robinson, Christine	Christine Robinson	5066	5074 8435	X	X				Motions at dockets 5066 and 5074 are duplicates. 1997 diagnosis; says did not know anything about this process; no knowledge of claim. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8535. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1716	TRO904620FTC	1/24/2017	Woolever, Margaret	Margaret Woolever	5067			X		X		Unaware of bar date; says diagnosed in 2008, surgeries in 2008, 2011 and 2016, chemotherapy in 2011 and 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1717	TRO887574FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Brenda	Lucille Darring	5068		X	X				Diagnoses 1972 and earlier; rep filing for deceased child with Down syndrome; rep says she was ill at time often going to hospital with illnesses but does not provide medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1718	TRO912451FTC	5/30/2017	Harrington, Deangus	Deangus Harrington	5069			X				2007 symptoms, does not know diagnosis date; says unaware it was going on at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1719	TRO897470FTC	5/25/2016	DeAlba, Samuel, Jr.	Samuel DeAlba, Jr.	5070			X				2005 diagnosis; says never received notice nor was aware of this action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1720	TRO896095FTC	4/6/2016	Latham, Johnny	Johnny Latham	5071		X	X				1983-84 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; merely asserts that medical records unavailable, Colom would have files. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1721	TRO901308FTC	8/16/2016	Davidson, Willie	Willie Davidson	5072		X	X				Says was unaware of deadline; not residing in specific local area therefore assumed did not qualify; unaware that qualified. Diagnoses pre-dated 2006. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1722	TRO887473FTC	12/14/2015	Knight, Raven	Raven Knight	5073		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 2000, 2004 and 2017; was not receiving mail at this address; mail was forwarded to an old address. Does not challenge publication notice, does not contend that Tronox knew of claimant's identity or claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on 2000 and 2004 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1723	TRO901545FTC	8/16/2016	Butler, Kimberly	Ceola Boyd	5075		X	X				2002 diagnosis; rep says unaware concerning filing in bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1724	TRO900847FTC	8/16/2016	Davidson, Odell	Odell Davidson	5076		X	X				Diagnoses 1973 and earlier; unaware of bar date; not living in specific local area, therefore, assumed did not qualify; unaware that qualified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1725	TRO891976FTC	2/23/2016	Haney, Bobby	Bobby Haney	5077			X				2004 diagnosis; says incapacitated during bar date period in 2009 and provides supporting medical records to show that he became very ill again in August 2009 and was admitted to hospital and began treatments including chemotherapy; admissions and treatments continued that year and into following years. However, no explanation of lack of action for many years following the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1726	TRO904281FTC	1/3/2017	Shelton, Loretta	Loretta Shelton	5078			X				2008 diagnosis; incapacitated; provides medical records that show she was admitted to hospital the week of the bar date but does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1727	TRO893626FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Whitney	Whitney Tate	5079						X	2002 and 2008 diagnoses but says was a minor (16) at bar date; illness and says recovering hospitalized for two weeks but the medical records do not reflect anything like that in 2009, not clear when she was hospitalized. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1728	TRO901503FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Marcus	Marcus Walker	5080			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in September 2009 but it appears may have filed previously with dates that were earlier; says was unaware of bar date or bankruptcy case, lacked knowledge of how to complete a claim, unaware of all chemicals at issue. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1729	TRO900055FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Mary	Mary Trimble	5081			X			X	Unaware of bar date or bankruptcy case; lack of knowledge on how to complete a claim; unaware of all chemicals at issue; says symptoms and diagnosis August 31, 2009 but it appears may have filed previously with dates that were earlier. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified in motion for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1730	TRO886403FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Victoria	Victoria Johnson	5082			X			X	Says symptoms 2014 and diagnosis 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1731	TRO895084FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Steven	Steven Shinn	5083		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says was incapacitated; provides a hospital record for an emergency room visit 9/15/2009, a month after bar date for bronchitis, could have been sick for prior period. However, given the 2002 diagnosis this claim was already time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1732	TRO887026FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Raeburn	Betty Vonsee	5084		X	X				1969 diagnosis; injured party died in 1971; rep says did not know and had no reason to know injured party had been exposed to Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1733	TRO887027FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, William	Betty Vonsee	5085		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1975; rep says did not know and had no reason to know that injured party exposed to creosote. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1734	TRO889745FTC	2/23/2016	Collins, Louis	Louis Collins	5086	8885	X	X				1995 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; standard form language as to reasons why did not file before bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8885 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1735	TRO894726FTC	3/23/2016	Wilson, Brittany [Harris]	Brittany Wilson [Harris]	5087			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Cut-and-pasted standard excuses for not meeting bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1736	TRO891064FTC	2/23/2016	Trimuel, Cassandra	Cassandra Trimuel	5088			X		X		Says symptoms 2009 and diagnosis 2012; says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2003 and received \$3,000; was not aware of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same also were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claim resolved in a prior class action also could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. To the extent the claim alleges a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date (cysts in 2012) the merits of that claim (including any issues as to whether the prior class action settlement foreclosed claims based on future conditions) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1737	TRO897373FTC	5/25/2016	Strother, Linda	Maggie Gardner	5089		X	X				2000 diagnosis; injured party died in 2011; rep was the caregiver; they were away from home and due to her illness could not go to meetings or file; says that they were not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of attorneys Colom and Lundy; private meetings by certain sectors provided minimal details for other residents. Meetings held by private attorneys, and participants in them, may affect participants' knowledge of bar date but otherwise are not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1738	TRO884938FTC	11/25/2015	Hudson, Rickey	Rickey Hudson	5090		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1739	TRO887821FTC	12/14/2015	Gardner, Richard	Richard Gardner	5091			X		X		Says was offered \$5,000 in a letter dated 8/31/2017 but rejected offer. It appears that the trust reviewed the file and made a settlement proposal; movant says was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; refers to private meetings and minimal detail to residents. This is a dispute over the Trust's proposal regarding a post-bar date claim (not an issue as to the application of the bar date) and is to be resolved through the Trust's normal dispute resolution procedures, not by motion to the Court.
1740	TRO899000FTC	6/27/2016	Pate, Cleveland	Barbara Pate	5092		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; rep says timely filed but appears to be under mistaken belief that the late-filed claim was timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1741	TRO894137FTC	3/2/2016	Prandy, Margaret	Margaret Prandy	5093		X					1994 diagnosis. Says she had many hardships and refers to all her illnesses and the illnesses and deaths of relatives. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1742	TRO902756FTC	8/16/2016	Tucker, Donald	Donald Tucker	5094		X		X			Alleges 2010 diagnosis. Says was in hospital but does not provide dates or any medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1743	TRO893593FTC	2/23/2016	Prandy, Barry	Barry Prandy	5095		X					Condition dates back to 1992. Says that in 2007-2008 he had many illnesses and refers also to illnesses and deaths of relatives, says was not thinking of filing a claim in 2007-08, but bar date was later (in 2009). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1744	TRO891066FTC	2/23/2016	Trimuel, Shead	Shead Trimuel	5096		X		X			Says diagnoses in 2009 and thereafter without specifics but also was part of Colom class action, with class action claim filed in 2003. Says was not aware of the bankruptcy process timeline, did not know of the bar date. Filing in connection with class action shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1745	TRO891383FTC	2/23/2016	Pate, Barbara	Barbara Pate	5097	X	X					1977 diagnosis. Says filings were timely but appears to be under the mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1746	TRO884666FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrrod, Eric	Eric Sherrrod	5098		X	X			X	Diagnosis in 1999 (sarcoidosis), others in 2016; says was unaware of claims process or deadline; not made aware of any opportunity to file or seek a claim. Claim based on 1999 diagnosis was time-barred at the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. To the extent the claimant alleges new condition first diagnosed after the bar dates the merits of that contention (and any defenses thereto) are to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust pursuant to its dispute resolution procedures.
1747	TRO892456FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Brian	Brian Thompson	5099		X	X				2003 diagnosis; rep says did not know of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1748	TRO888485FTC	12/18/2015	Gordon, Janie	Janie Gordon	5100			X			X	Says symptoms 2009 and diagnosis 2009-2010 (some before bar date, some after); rep says did not know she was exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnoses before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1749	TRO895293FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Stella	Stella Jones	5101		X	X			X	Alleges various diagnoses, all of which are pre-bar date except possibly for cataract diagnosis in 2009. Says she did not know about the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1750	TRO900507FTC	8/16/2016	Lowe, L.C.	L.C. Lowe	5102		X	X			X	Standard form language regarding reasons for delay; form says first diagnosis in 1985, attachments only refer to conditions after 2015. To the extent the conditions were diagnosed in 1985 the claim is time-barred. To the extent other conditions were diagnosed before the bar date there is an insufficient showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. To the extent the claim alleges new post-bar date diagnoses of different conditions, the merits of that claim and any defenses to it are to be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
1751	<b>TRO887186FTC</b>	<b>12/14/2015</b>	<b>Hogan, Shelly</b>	<b>Shelly Hogan</b>	5103		X	X				The motion at docket # 5103 is for Shelly Hogan (TRO887186FTC) on her own behalf. Shelly Hogan also filed separate motions as to Mitchell Marlowe (TRO896066FTC) at docket # 5392 and for Mitchell Marlowe (TRO888805FTC) at docket # 5457. As to the motion at docket # 5103: Ms. Hogan says she was diagnosed in 1972 and previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$1,200; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; asserts that the discharge of her claim is a violation of due process. Participated in a prior legal proceeding and already received compensation. Claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. In addition, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), is not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1752	TRO898968FTC	6/27/2016	Beatty, Oleather	Oleather Beatty	5104		X	X				1986 diagnosis; did not know about it then. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1753	TRO894823FTC	3/23/2016	Brown, Anthony	Anthony Brown	5105			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2012, does not provide medical records; offers cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons why did not file a claim before the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1754	TRO902397FTC	9/14/2016	Daniel, Jettie	Evelyn Daniel Brown	5106			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2011, does not provide medical records; offers cut-and-pasted standard form language as to bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1755	TRO898511FTC	6/27/2016	Brown, Evelyn Daniel	Evelyn Daniel Brown	5107		X	X				Alleges a first diagnosis in 1980; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons why did not file a claim before the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1756	TRO901593FTC	8/16/2016	Austin, Donald	Donald Austin	5108			X			X	Most diagnoses before 2001; alleges diagnosis for cardiovascular condition in 2008 but symptoms for that started in 1990s; alleges diagnosis for Parkinson's disease in 2015. Says was unaware of bar date; did not see anything about it in newspapers; was dealing with health issues at the time and is still dealing with them. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1757	TRO903097FTC	Unknown	Mosley, Sarah	Sarah Mosley	5109			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Unaware of deadline; says symptoms 2011-2012, diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1758	TRO888288FTC	12/18/2015	Brewer, Milton	Milton Brewer	5110		X	X			X	Says saw a doctor in 2010 but form makes clear he has been treated for the conditions since childhood in 1970s. Says he did file a claim but never received anything. However, no record of a claim in the bankruptcy process. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To the extent a timely claim was not filed, there is an insufficient showing of relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), motion is not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1759	TRO888115FTC	12/18/2015	Mitchell, Dotsy	Dotsy Mitchell	5111		X	X				Diagnoses in 2005 and earlier; unaware could file a claim; not made aware or informed by anyone about filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1760	TRO897173FTC	5/4/2016	Bridges, Natasha	Natasha Bridges	5112		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1761	TRO898697FTC	6/27/2016	Taylor, Shirely	Shirely Taylor	5113		X	X			X	1962 asthma diagnosis, 2017 cancer diagnosis; unaware of filing; not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; refers to secret meetings and minimal details given by other local people to residents. Motion denied as to 1962 diagnosis; the claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing, and in any event the motion makes showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) to support relief. Merits of claim based on 2017 diagnosis and all defenses thereto are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1762	TRO885933FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Raymond	Annie Johnson	5114			X			X	See notes for docket # 4557. The excuse for the rep for Raymond Johnson at docket # 5114 is that movant was not aware of the filing or the deadline; says diagnoses in 2010 and 2016 but appears there were earlier conditions as well. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions manifested before the bar date. To the extent the claim alleges new condition first diagnosed after the bar date the merits of that claim (and any defenses thereto) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1763	TRO892111FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Sharlene Payne	Sharlene Payne Martin	5115	9293	X	X				Diagnoses in 1997 (miscarriage) and 2008 (headaches) though appears headache symptoms have been ongoing for many years. Says that at the times of his diagnoses there were no claims processes or class action lawsuits. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9293 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1764	TRO887131FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Virginia	Virginia Howard	5116		X	X				1971 diagnosis; says filed claim "on the second round," apparently is under the mistaken belief that the process was re-opened in 2015 or so; was unaware of this suit until people were talking about it after first time people filed; did not read or hear of a deadline for filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1765	TRO898231FTC	6/20/2016	Hinton, Venson	Venson Hinton	5117			X			X	Claims first symptoms on August 15, 2009 and first diagnosis in September 2009; says had to make some corrections to prior filings. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1766	TRO891645FTC	2/23/2016	Dooley, Tracey	Tracey Dooley	5118		X	X				Diagnoses in 1999, 2005, 2007. Says previously filed with Bambach; says was sick and going to and from hospital in 2008-2009. Claims Mr. Bambach passed away before 2009 but actually he did not die until 2013. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights, but there is no indication any claim or lawsuit was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1767	TRO891643FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Kashaeyla	Kashaeyla Brooks	5119						X	Minor; says exposure began in 1999. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1768	TRO891646FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Isiah	Isiah Brooks	5120						X	Minor; says exposure began in 1999. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1769	TRO891644FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Antavrio	Tracey Dooley	5121						X	Minor; rep says child had diagnosis of schizophrenia but does not say why rep did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1770	TRO899250FTC	7/11/2016	Straughter, Elaine	Elaine Straughter	5122		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1771	TRO899251FTC	7/11/2016	Straugher, JT, Jr.	JT Straugher, Jr.	5123		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1772	TRO899252FTC	7/11/2016	Straugher, Deja	Deja Straugther	5124		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1773	TRO905522FTC	Unknown	Valentine, Brittani	Brittani Valentine	5125			X		X		Not included on Trust's summary. Says was away at school and unaware of the filings; says not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of local attorneys Colom and Lundy; refers to private meetings with minimal details to residents; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1774	TRO891649FTC	2/23/2016	Lathan, Kimberly	Kimberly Lathan	5126			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in December 2009 or later; residing in Alabama at time of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1775	TRO886302FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Katrina	Katrina Porter	5127		X	X				1983 diagnosis; alleges was not aware of case, did not see notices, called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1776	TRO898983FTC	6/27/2016	Robinson, Eddie	Eddie Robinson	5128		X	X				1978 condition; unaware of bar date; did not know all he needed to know at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1777	TRO900164FTC	7/25/2016	Stewart, Roosevelt	Doris Starks	5129		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case and that injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product; did not see publication notice and says it was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; lived out of state in Tenn. Challenges publication notice but does not allege that Tronox had reason to know of this claim, no specific challenge to the notices that were approved in 2009, those notices were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1778	TRO895826FTC	3/24/2016	Miller, J.W.	Luevirda Miller	5130		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; rep unaware of lawsuit or claims; does not have education and did not understand; says was unaware of deadline and did not know husband qualified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1779	TRO887696FTC	12/14/2015	Butler, Timothy	Timothy Butler	5131			X		X		Alleges 2014 symptoms and 2015 diagnosis; unaware that condition was because of creosote. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1780	TRO885581FTC	11/25/2015	Braddock, Aree	Aree Braddock	5132			X		X		Symptoms began in 2007 but says they were not diagnosed until 2015. Unaware of bar date. Is not a request for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect; alleges a post-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1781	TRO905406FTC	3/28/2017	Gordon, Samuel	Samuel Gordon, Jr.	5133			X		X		Did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonable; says symptoms began Oct 2009, diagnosis Dec. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1782	TRO887503FTC	12/14/2015	Washington, Regina	Regina Washington	5134		X	X			X	Former Columbus resident. Alleges various conditions, some diagnosed 1996, others 2009 and 2017. Says previously filed with Colom law firm but claim was denied; basis for denial not clear. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same also were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1783	TRO890346FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Horace	Sarah Hughes	5135		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2009; rep did not see any publication, was unaware of deadline and coping with death of her spouse. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and the filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1784	TRO905007FTC	1/24/2017	Harrison, Rosie	Rosie Harrsion	5136		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; information not publicly available to her; unaware of the proceeding or danger of product; not properly notified nor made aware of specific deadline. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding also shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1785	TRO902635FTC	4/20/2016	Jones, Wanda	Wanda Jones	5137		X	X				Diagnoses before 2000; moved from town in 2009 to another city in Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1786	TRO888784FTC	12/30/2015	Butler, Debra	Debra Butler	5139		X	X				1985 diagnosis; moved away to Atlanta, Ga and unaware of lawsuit against Kerr-McGee. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1787	TRO895657FTC	3/24/2016	Miller, Luevirda	Luevirda Miller	5140			X		X		Unaware of deadline or that qualified despite not living in certain area; says symptoms 2009-2011 and diagnosis 2011 but does not provide any medical records. No specific pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion denied to extent it seeks relief based on excusable neglect for pre-bar date claims. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1788	TRO890175FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Trey	Trey Harris	5141			X		X		Cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons missed bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis began in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1789	TRO886637FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Toby	Toby Smith	5142		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says was not informed of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1790	TRO894834FTC	3/23/2016	Blunt, Gloria (Griffin)	Gloria Griffin	5143	8198		X				2009 diagnosis; unaware of any legal proceedings, litigation, bankruptcy filing, or claims deadlines; says was diagnosed in March 2009 and then was hospitalized for quite a while and then the surgeries started. It appears this was near bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8198, says it is unclear to her into which category she falls whether allowed or disallowed. Illness was near bar date and treatments may explain reasons why bar date was initially missed but there is no explanation of the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1791	TRO900170FTC	7/25/2016	Whitfield, Connie	Connie Whitfield	5144			X		X		Says was offered an amount that is unfair; someone offered to assist and provided forms prefilled that referred to certain chemicals; says was misled. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
1792	TRO891653FTC	2/23/2016	James, Leon	Debra James	5145			X				1968 diagnosis; rep says that they were unaware at time that this was going on; says injured party was sick at the time frequently in hospital but does not provide specific dates or medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1793	TRO886128FTC	12/7/2015	Adams, Mary	Mary Adams	5146		X	X				1995 diagnosis; says was unaware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1794	TRO900259FTC	7/25/2016	Walton, Mataeiah	Mataeiah Walton	5147			X		X		Says was not placed in correct toxin category to get compensated; sent a letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
1795	TRO902193FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Makayla	Teresa Haynes	5148			X		X		Diagnoses 2008, 2009, 2012, 2014. Rep says unaware of bankruptcy case or of claim to be filed; says that in prior filing referred to incorrect chemical, unclear if Trust made an offer. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1796	TRO900067FTC	7/25/2016	Hudson, Emma	Emma Hudson	5149			X		X		Says someone provided claimant with prefilled forms that were wrong. Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1797	TRO900168FTC	7/25/2016	Dennie, Seth Ethan	Sequoia Trimble	5150			X			X	Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
1798	TRO888772FTC	12/30/2015	Turner, Willie	Willie Turner	5151		X	X				1975 diagnosis. Did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1799	TRO889140FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Bradford	Bradford Hughes	5152			X				1991 diagnosis; says unaware of case or bar date; unaware could file claim; relocated to Tennessee; says notice was insufficient, publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1800	TRO900169FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Sequoia	Sequoia Trimble	5153			X			X	Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1801	TRO900054FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Joe Louis	Joe Louis Trimble	5154			X		X		Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
1802	TRO887015FTC	12/14/2015	Gordon, Christopher	Christopher Gordon	5155		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1803	TRO894407FTC	3/2/2016	Newman, Larry	Larry Newman	5157			X				Unaware of process and did not know could file claim; dates of diagnoses unclear but letter says were before bar date. No supporting information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1804	TRO891067FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Duane	Duane Jones	5158	6227		X		X		Signed forms filed at docket # 5158; related letter filed at docket # 6227. Letter says does not understand why claim was denied because was exposed from 1959 to 1971 and later developed various medical conditions. To the extent the claimant seeks to pursue claims based on conditions that were manifested before the bar date the motion is denied as there is no showing of diligence in pursuit of claim and no explanation of why did not file by the bar date. To the extent the claimant alleges new conditions after the bar date, the merits of that claim are to be resolved through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
1805	TRO890952FTC	Unknown	Smith, James	James Smith	5159			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page. Same address as claimant Ebony Smith at docket #5052. These papers appear to seek review of the Trust's determination as to an alleged post-bar date claim (not relief based on excusable neglect or due process), is to be resolved through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
1806	TRO897184FTC	Unknown	McCloud, Corey	Corey McCloud	5160			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1807	TRO901179FTC	8/16/2016	Brownlee, Tashekia	Tashekia Brownlee	5161			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1808	TRO892200FTC	Unknown	Colvin, Laverne	Laverne Colvin	5162			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges first diagnosis in 2013 but says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was not aware of the bankruptcy claims process; does not provide medical records. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1809	TRO885129FTC	11/25/2015	Richardson, Olmarie Poole	Olmarie Poole Richardson	5163		X	X				Diagnoses all 1990 and earlier. Unaware of process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1810	TRO895326FTC	3/23/2016	Lee, Phillip	Lee, George	5164	8309	X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of claims process or that could file claim for deceased relative. A supplement filed at docket #8309, says was without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1811	TRO903547FTC	11/23/2016	Pleasants, Phyllis	Phyllis Pleasants	5165		X	X				1969 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1812	TRO891570FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Patricia	Patricia Clark	5166		X	X				1954 diagnosis; part of prior proceedings with Wilbur Colom; unaware of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1813	TRO895637FTC	3/24/2016	Harris, Walter	Beverly Harris	5167		X	X				1970s/2000 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm; unaware of claims process for bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1814	TRO891928FTC	2/23/2016	Adams, Xaiver	Xaiver Adams	5168		X	X				Allergies beginning in 2000; unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1815	TRO890352 FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Starling	Starling Jones, Jr.	5169			X				Diagnoses between 1984 and 2006; did not know and no reason to know that condition was caused by exposure to Tronox product; says bar date notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1816	TRO890946FTC	2/23/2016	Love, Miracle	Rosemary Love	5170			X				June 2007 diagnosis; rep's reason for not filing by bar date is "Didn't think I could." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Motion does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1817	TRO885724FTC	11/25/2015	Pippins, Xavier	Xavier Pippins	5171		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1818	TRO889341FTC	2/23/2016	Seals, Malik	Malik Seals	5172						X	1997 diagnosis; says was a minor in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1819	TRO892020FTC	2/23/2016	Blair-Brooks, Ruby	Ruby Blair-Brooks	5173		X	X				Diagnoses all before 1996; unaware required to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1820	TRO902474FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Willis	Eddie Williams	5174		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; filed the std letter complaining about the prefilled forms and the low payout. The rep complains that the claim was denied because of the dates of the underlying illnesses. The rep says that a lot of claimants were not aware of the bar date, bankruptcy case or how to complete a claim; they did not know the chemicals to which they were exposed; they were told to complete a category A form and did not know that category D was available. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1821	TRO902197FTC	Unknown	Rush, Andrew	Andrew Rush	5175			X				Not included in Trust's summary. May 2008 diagnosis; says did not understand paperwork; only 5th grade of schooling. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1822	TRO887178FTC	12/14/2015	McCoy, Sammie	Sammie McCoy	5176			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1823	TRO892406FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Quaylin	Quaylin Smith	5177		X	X				1993 diagnosis; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1824	TRO891301FTC	2/23/2016	Greason, Raymond	Raymond Greason	5178		X	X				1993 symptoms, no diagnosis date listed; incarcerated from 1993-2013; says was unaware of this claim because of limited resources; unaware the company could be a cause of any conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1825	TRO898573FTC	6/27/2016	Merritt, Minnie	Minnie Merritt	5179		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2000 and new condition in 2015 (cancer); says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 2000 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1826	TRO900663FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Shalonda	Shalonda Quinn	5180		X	X				1996 diagnosis; unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1827	TRO887287FTC	12/14/2015	Dillard, Lavaris	Lavaris Dillard	5181		X	X			X	Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; refers to symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but then says that in previous filing forgot to refer to most recent conditions and those may be the ones that he says were after bar date. Diagnosis dates may be in dispute. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1828	TRO887806FTC	12/14/2015	Holladay, Anna	Anna Holladay	5182		X	X				1987 (cancer) and 2005 (gallbladder) diagnoses; says was unaware of claim or bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1829	Unknown	3/2/2016	Marlowe, Larry M.	Larry Marlowe	5183			X			X	The motion at docket #5183 is on behalf of Larry M. Marlowe though the form that was used is one that was prepared for Ricky Lee. The motion actually filed on behalf of Ricky Lee [TRO893766FTC] is at docket # 6769. A motion by another movant named Larry Marlowe (apparently a different claimant, with a different address) is at docket # 1924. Larry M. Marlowe's excuse in the motion at docket #5183 is that he previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2008; says did not file a claim because he was in car wreck and was in the hospital, does not assert specific dates for car wreck or insert any medical records as to hospital stay. Also says symptoms were 2008 and diagnosis 2013 but that may be inconsistent with having filed with atty in 2008. No explanation of why attorney could not file or why nothing was filed until many years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1830	TRO891049FTC	2/23/2016	Whitfield, Susie	Susie Whitfield	5185			X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed, unclear how to match to particular conditions; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive any mail about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1831	TRO0896590FT C	4/25/2016	Hughes, Tekesha	Tekesha, Hughes	5186	9439		X		X		Alleges first symptoms in September 2009 and a 2009 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Colom (dates not specified); no excuse provided. Supplemental letter filed at docket #9439 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1832	TRO901943FTC	9/14/2016	Colbert, Nanette	Eugene Wilson	5187	5330	X	X				1983 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1833	TRO887406FTC	12/14/2015	Evans, Kenneth	Kenneth Evans	5188	8590	X	X				First diagnosis apparently in 1980; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket # 8590 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1834	TRO890949FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Casey	Casey Lee	5189		X	X				1967 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Colom 2002; unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1835	TRO890652FTC	2/23/2016	Evans, Metrice	Metrtice Evans	5190		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach, says filed claim in 2000 and does not know what happened. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in another action in 2000 or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1836	TRO904106FTC	1/3/2017	Douglas, Eric	Eric Douglas	5191			X		X		Says symptoms began 1989; alleges first diagnosis in 2009 but that may be disputed. Says unaware of ongoing case; did not receive call, letter or any information from TV or radio. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1837	TRO901189FTC	8/16/2016	Richardson, Ruby	Ruby Richardson	5192		X	X				1995 diagnosis; did not hear about it at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1838	TRO889601FTC	2/23/2016	Prowell, Antonia	Antonia Prowell	5193	8262	X	X				1992 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8262, says due process rights were violated; says claim was filed in time for future claims. Says not aware of bar date, not living in the area at time the ad was posted, therefore, did not receive or have adequate notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1839	TRO887303FTC	12/14/2015	Council, Larry	Larry Council	5194			X			X	Says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but diagnosis dates may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1840	TRO887487FTC	12/14/2015	Council, Corey	Corey Council	5195			X			X	Says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but diagnosis dates may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1841	TRO902004FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Sammie	Eddie Williams	5196		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1842	TRO885889FTC	11/25/2015	Scott, Bordrick	Bordrick Scott	5197		X	X				Most diagnoses in 1970s and 1980s but alleges stroke in 2008. Previously filed with Colom law firm and in 2006 received \$9,800; says did not receive any notice of bankruptcy case; did not see any advertising. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, were also time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1843	TRO901022FTC	8/16/2016	Vance, Walter	Julia Vance	5198		X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit or bar date; rejection notice filed at docket # 5345. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1844	TRO905512FTC	3/28/2017	Lowery, Ben	Patricia Lowery	5199			X		X		Previously filed with Tollison law firm; injured party deceased; rep says she thought her spouse had previously filed a claim but apparently he did not. Alleges a 2010 first diagnosis but given prior counsel retention that date may be disputed. Date of Tollison retention is not clear. Suggests that husband was aware of his rights in 2009 but did not pursue them. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. To the extent the claim alleges a post-bar date diagnosis of a new condition, that claim is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1845	TRO894703FTC	3/23/2016	Porter, Robert	Robert Porter	5200		X	X		X		Asthma diagnosis in 1999, says more serious lung and spinal conditions were first diagnosed in 2016-2017. Unaware exposed to creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was on 1999 diagnoses also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1846	TRO890902FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Barbara	Mary Swanigan	5201		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; unaware of creosote exposure or hazards of creosote. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1847	TRO891167FTC	2/23/2016	Love, Martin	Rosemary Love	5202			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2012; as reason for not filing the rep says "I didn't think I could." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1848	TRO891368FTC	2/23/2016	Milan, Williams	Milan Williams	5203		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1849	TRO891721FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, De'Andre	De'andre Scott	5204		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1850	TRO898256FTC	6/20/2016	Anthony, Brittnee	Brittnee Anthony	5205	9104	X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware eligible to file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9104 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1851	TRO889677FTC	2/23/2016	Flowers, DeAviren	DeAviren Flowers	5206		X	X		X		Alleges 2005 and 2015 diagnoses; says did not know and not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1852	TRO885576FTC	11/25/2015	Foster, Yolanda	Yolanda Foster	5207			X			X	Did not know and not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox; says diagnosis 2010 and 2011, 2015 for asthma. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1853	TRO889583FTC	2/23/2016	Flowers, Yasmeen	Yasmeen Flowers	5208			X			X	Did not know and was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox; all alleged diagnoses were after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1854	TRO885580FTC	11/25/2015	Foster, Letricia	Letricia Foster	5209		X	X				1986 diagnosis; did not know or was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1855	TRO887166FTC	12/14/2015	Brewer, Marie	Marie Brewer	5210		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1856	TRO888244FTC	12/18/2015	Wheeler, Teresa	Teresa Wheeler	5211		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2005 and 2015; unaware exposed to or of hazards of creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on 2005 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1857	TRO886667FTC	12/7/2015	Gilmore, Sandra	Sandra Gilmore	5212			X				2006 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; also caring for child with heart condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1858	TRO901284FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, David	David Jefferson	5213		X	X		X		Refers to 2000 diagnosis but also refers to April 2016 issues, unclear if they are just a continuation of prior condition. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 2000 diagnosis also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1859	TRO889615FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Corey	Corey Guyton	5214		X	X				In answer to question about first diagnosis date says "2000-2008." Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2000, unaware what happened to claim; not aware that claim was not included in these claims; publication notice insufficient. Alleged filing with attorney Bambach in 2000 was 9 years prior to the bankruptcy, probably was in connection with a prior class action, no indication what happened to the class action claim. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1860	TRO892545FTC	2/23/2016	Butler, Precious	Precious Butler	5215						X	2001 diagnosis; minor; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1861	TRO888890FTC	12/30/2015	Shetton, James	James Shetton	5216		X	X			X	Claims diagnosed in 1990, 2009, 2016, 2017; unclear if diagnoses are for different conditions. Previously filed with attorney Bambach; says attorney misplaced paperwork. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1862	<b>TRO881028FTC</b>	12/4/2015	Elliot, Delois	Delois Elliot	5217	5251		X				The correct claim number for the motion at docket # 5217 is for Delois Elliot [TRO881028FTC]. 1981 or 1992 diagnosis (unsure of date). Unaware of claim filing; lived out of state at the time; also says paperwork was mailed back but unclear what paperwork. A duplicate motion for Delois Elliot is at docket #5251, but with a different claim number [TRO880820FTC]. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1863	TRO885380FTC	11/25/2015	Dixon, Brandon	Alma Dixon	5218						X	Minor with cerebral palsy; rep parent is only caretaker and guardian and did not have time or support to adequately notice the claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file on time, why waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1864	<b>TRO890578FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Bembry III, Elbert</b>	<b>Elbert Bembry III</b>	5219			X				Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion at docket #6585, but the motion at dkt 6585 is a separate motion by Rosie Bembry. As to Elbert Bembry III: 2002 diagnosis; says found out about bar date too late. The Trust incorrectly referred to this movant as having filed the motion at docket #6584, but that motion if by a different person. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1865	TRO888247FTC	12/18/2015	Lewis, Cassie	Arthur Eastern	5220		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; moved from MS to St. Louis MO. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1866	TRO890901FTC	2/23/2016	Hall Jr., Tommie	Swanigan, Mary	5221		X	X				Diagnoses were all 1990 and prior; the injured party died in 1990; unaware of exposure to and hazards of creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1867	TRO886416FTC	12/7/2015	Townsel, Andy	Townsel, Andy	5222		X	X				1974 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1868	TRO889885FTC	2/23/2016	Shearer, Sharon	Sharon Shearer	5223			X				1978 and 2002 diagnoses; unaware of any suit; did not get notice from anyone, did not get the newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1869	TRO891722FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Cameron	Scott, Cameron	5224		X	X				1995 diagnosis; was unaware of this process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1870	TRO885773FTC	11/25/2015	Bush, Willie	Willie Bush	5225		X	X				1996 diagnosis; says publication notice was not calculated to provide notice to claimant that he was able to be a claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1871	TRO897602FTC	5/25/2016	Anderson, Grace	Marilyn Crawford	5226		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1989; rep says the claimant was incapacitated because she was in a nursing home but claimant was deceased long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing, statute of limitations for a filing by decedents passed in 1990s. Says notice was deficient on its face but does not say how. Says publication notice of bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publication notices were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1872	TRO897481FTC	5/25/2016	McCrary, Mary	Mary McCrary	5227			X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; says did not understand how to complete the claim form and did not understand some of the questions but may be referring to correspondence with Trustee over her claim filed after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1873	TRO900903FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Ella Mae	Ida Moore-Orr	5228		X	X				1995 diagnosis; did not know anything about the Tronox claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1874	TRO887577FTC	12/14/2015	Murphy, Jennifer	Jennifer Murphy	5229		X	X				Diagnoses in 1996 and prior; was not aware of the need to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1875	TRO898686FTC	6/27/2016	Hayes, Rodrick	Rodrick Hayes	5230		X	X				August 2006 diagnosis; was not aware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1876	TRO888794FTC	12/30/2015	Lyons, Eric	Eric Lyons	5231		X	X				1983 symptoms; diagnosis date marked "N/A"; reason why did not file by bar date is marked "N/A." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1877	TRO888479FTC	12/18/2015	Phinizee, Syrena	Syrena Phinizee	5232		X	X		X		Bronchitis diagnosis in 1996, more serious conditions (tumors and cysts) in 2011 and 2012; says that the place of work said that if they filed they would lose their jobs and so she waited until 2013, after the plant closed, before filing. However, the relevant Kerr-McGee/Tronox plant was closed many years prior to the bankruptcy filing in 2009 and many years prior to the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 1996 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of claims based on 2011 and 2012 diagnoses are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1878	TRO888195FTC	12/18/2015	Sherron, George	George Sherron	5233		X	X				1966-1975 diagnoses; unaware exposed to Tronox product; unaware of future tort claims because certain groups allegedly maintained the information privately and falsely said that only people who worked at the Kerr-McGee plant could file. There were earlier lawsuits that limited participation either to workers or to people who lived in a certain area but those pre-dated the bankruptcy process by many years and are unrelated to the bankruptcy process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1879	TRO886874FTC	12/7/2015	Allen, JC	Maxine Allen	5234	8976		X			X	Alleges irritated eyes in May 2009, more serious conditions after bar date; unaware Tronox was taking claims for his diagnosed illnesses. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1880	TRO896144FTC	4/6/2016	Shields, Tony	Tony Shields	5235		X	X				1968 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999, says he received a \$9,500 check in 2002 but then he crossed the amount out; says lived out of state in Texas. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1881	TRO880851FTC	12/4/2015	Perry, Mario	Mario Perry	5236		X	X				1992 diagnoses; says did not know and had no knowledge that he had been exposed to Tronox products. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1882	TRO888482FTC	12/18/2015	Williams, Janice	Janice Williams	5237		X	X			X	The correct claim number is TRO888482FTC. Diagnosis "1980-present." Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says that similarly situated relatives qualified for allowance. Unclear if alleges one continuing condition that began in 1980 or if alleges any new diagnoses after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1883	TRO891979FTC	2/23/2016	Stevenson, Leroy	Joe M. Stevenson	5238		X	X				Diagnoses 1987 and 2002; rep says that injured party's guardians and he lived in contaminated area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1884	TRO888176FTC	12/18/2015	Saulsberry, Betty	Betty Saulsberry	5239		X	X				1990 diagnosis; she moved out of town for her job, moved around often and did not receive any mail at that time, says mail must have gotten lost. No indication that movant qualified for direct notice by mail, no challenge to the sufficiency of publication notices. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1885	TRO892806FTC	2/23/2016	Gibbs, Margaret	Gibbs, Margaret	5240		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says unaware of bankruptcy process and that could file a claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1886	TRO893023FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Wesley	Tina Edwards	5241		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know and no reason to know of injured party's exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1887	TRO887513FTC	12/14/2015	Brewer, Kirby	Kirby Brewer	5242		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1888	TRO896078FTC	4/6/2016	Reed, Almateen	Almateen Reed	5243		X	X			X	Diagnosis in 1999 (unclear what condition), complains about arthritis, unclear if alleges post-bar date diagnosis of new condition. Says was unaware about the claims process, suffers from serious kidney problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1889	TRO888379FTC	12/18/2015	Owens, Charlie	Charlie Owens	5244		X	X				1990 diagnosis; incarcerated and did not know about claims process in 2009, when released nobody informed him; had to stay with people and did not have an address at that time. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1890	TRO903746FTC	11/23/2016	Rogers, Ardell	Ardell Rogers	5245		X	X				1957 diagnosis; says was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; she mentions many responsibilities including caring for her sick husband and five children and being the only person working, and an ill grandchild but she does not provide the time frame for anything. Mentions being displaced in 2005-2006 by hurricane Katrina but that was 4-5 years prior to the bar date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1891	TRO889624FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Dewayne Quentarius	Dewayne Quentarius Smith	5246		X	X				Says was diagnosed between 2000 and 2008; previously filed with atty Bambach; does not have access to any of the records; unaware claim form was being settled; the form of notice deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculate to provide notice to potential claimants. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1892	TRO890903FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Mary	Mary Swanigan	5247		X	X				1956 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1969; unaware of exposure to and hazards of creosote. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1893	TRO903747FTC	11/23/2016	Bradley, Pearlene	Pearlene Bradley	5248		X	X				1957 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy decision; says her daughter was undergoing surgery, chemo and radiation and that from January -October 2009 she was the primary care giver for daughter and granddaughter, this was during time of bar date. However, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
1894	TRO895002FTC	3/23/2016	Smith, Melvin	Geraldine Smith	5249		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; says understood that only the residents who lived in the affected area presently could file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1895	TRO885023FTC	11/25/2015	Selvie, Kristi	Kristi Selvie	5250			X		X		Was not aware of the claims filing deadline. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1896	TRO889450FTC	2/23/2016	Mixon, Annie	Annie Mixon	5252		X	X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 1986, 1987, 2000, 2016, 2017; unclear if for different conditions; says did not know if those from her area could file; unaware of process and did not know could file claim. Says tried Colom firm and Bambach, they did not respond; dates unclear. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to claims based on diagnoses before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1897	TRO884645FTC	11/25/2015	Whitfield, Flora	Sarah Williams	5253		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1898	<b>TRO892373FTC</b>	2/23/2016	Gibson, Andrew	Bertha Gibson	5254		X	X				The correct claim number for this motion is TRO892373FTC; the number listed on the Trust's summary (TRO889237) relates to the claim and motion at docket # 5402 for Summer Wilson. As to docket # 5254: The injured party died in 1969; rep unaware of claim process and that could file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1899	TRO887808FTC	12/14/2015	Stevens, Rebecca	Rebecca Stevens	5255		X	X				1971 and 2005 diagnoses; unaware of the claim at the time of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1900	TRO886504FTC	12/7/2015	Golden, Larry	Larry Golden	5256		X	X			X	1980 diagnosis for respiratory condition, other diagnoses in 2010 (cardiovascular) and 2015 (diabetes); unaware of process and that could file claim. Claim based on 1980 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1901	TRO885662FTC	11/25/2015	Pippins, Ashanti	Pippins, Ashanti	5257		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of Tronox claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1902	TRO888330FTC	12/18/2015	Flournoy, Kevin	Kevin Flournoy	5258			X				1997 diagnosis; not aware that was eligible for possible claims that were filed in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1903	TRO890891FTC	2/23/2016	Marlowe, Larry	Larry Marlowe	5259			X				This motion is by Larry G. Marlowe [TRO890891FTC]; says living in Jackson Miss; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Says did not know about this until 2006, may mean 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1904	TRO880783FTC	Unknown	Smith, Taeisha	Taeisha Smith	5260	5280	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses all prior to 1998; says did not know and had no knowledge that she had been exposed to Tronox products. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #5280. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1905	TRO899229FTC	7/11/2016	Boswell, Tracy	Tracy Boswell	5261			X				2007 diagnosis; minor at time of exposure; in military 2008-2012. Not a minor at the time of the bar date. Military service ended January 20, 2012; even if application of the bar date was tolled by military service the claim nevertheless was due no later than April 15, 2013, but no claim was filed until February 2016. Movant does not verify when learned of claims process, why waited so many years after bar date to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1906	TRO891449FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Augusta	Porter Hill	5262	9286	X	X				2002-03 diagnoses; injured party died in 2004; rep says did not know at the time, was going through sickness with hospital stays. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9286 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1907	TRO893204FTC	2/23/2016	Golden, Johnnie	Johnnie Golden	5263			X				Diagnoses 1986 and prior; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product, moved to Ohio; did not know anything about filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1908	TRO880774FTC	Unknown	Glenn, Devonta	Devonta Glenn	5264	5341	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; says did not know and had no knowledge that exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1909	TRO886841FTC	Unknown	Henry, Lillie	Norma Saddler	5265	8452	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1996 and earlier; the injured party died in 2001. There were duplicate motions docketed for this claimant using different TRO claim numbers, one is filed at docket #5265 [TRO886841FTC] and the other at docket # 8452 [TRO880837FTC]; the rep's excuse is she was not aware of the process or that she could file a claim; says violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1910	TRO885948FTC	11/25/2015	Brown, Tekenya	Tekenya Brown	5266			X		X		Unaware of the claims process or that could file claim; says most diagnoses after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1911	TRO901425FTC	8/16/2016	Wilson, Victoria	Victoria Wilson	5267			X		X		Unaware there was a deadline, says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1912	TRO901398FTC	8/16/2016	Bynum, Demarcus	Demarcus Bynum	5268			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but does not provide medical records; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1913	TRO888048FTC	12/18/2015	Sparks, Eddie	Eddie Sparks	5269	8238 8724	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach, paperwork lost, supplement filed at docket #8238; complains that the claims of those not living in area were allowed. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8724 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1914	TRO893112FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Zipporah	Zipporah Sherrod	5270	8231					X	Diagnoses 1992 and 2001; says tried to file in 1998 but they were not accepting claims from children, but that must have been in connection with a prior lawsuit as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009; filed supplement at docket # 8231, says unaware health issues were due to Tronox product, but admitted having tried to file with prior class action. Was 17 in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1915	TRO904042FTC	1/3/2017	Rogers, Percy	Pearlene Bradley	5271		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983; rep says unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; also says that from Jan - Oct 2009, daughter was in surgery, chemo, radiation and she was caregiver for daughter and granddaughter, same as why she did not file her own claim docket # 5248. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1916	TRO888285FTC	12/18/2015	Jones, Joshua	Joshua Jones	5272		X	X			X	In answer to question about first diagnosis says "2/20/1990 and again 2/15/2017;" appears all to be based on one continuing condition but that is not entirely clear. Says did file a claim before but did not get a response, does not specify date of filing, is likely referring to late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1917	TRO914697FTC	7/26/2017	Sadowski, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Sadowski	5273			X				1992 and 1997 diagnoses; did not receive direct notice which allegedly violated due process; unaware of claims filing. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not allege that Tronox was aware of the claimant's claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1918	TRO890687FTC	2/23/2016	Plucinik, Gloria	Gloria Plucinik	5274		X	X				1975 diagnosis and procedures; says was unaware of lawsuit because of husband's death, does not give date; spent time living in California, during 2009 lived in PA. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1919	TRO888349FTC	12/18/2015	McCrimon, Betty	Mary Jane Wyens	5275		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says did not know could have filed for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1920	TRO886872FTC	12/7/2015	Allen, Maxine	Maxine Allen	5276	8976		X			X		Unaware Tronox was taking claims for his diagnosed illnesses; claims that all symptoms were diagnosed from Oct 2009 - Jan 2010. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1921	TRO886445FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Willie	Willie Gardner, Jr.	5277		X	X					1993-94 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not have knowledge exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9107 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1922	<b>TRO888837FTC</b>	12/30/2015	Mays, Alice	Alice Mays	5278	8369		X			X		The correct claim number to which this motion relates is TRO888837FTC. Says symptoms and diagnosis between 2011 and 2013; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; copied form language as to reasons why did not file a claim; a relative filed a supplement at docket #8369 that mentions a condition not mentioned in the motion and does not say the date of diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1923	TRO885232FTC	11/25/2015	Hargrove, Vickie	Hargrove, Vickie	5279		X	X					1994 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1924	TRO892924FTC	2/23/2016	Haiston, Kenneth	Kenneth Haiston	5281		X	X					1968 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was unaware of claims process or that could file claim for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1925	TRO896652FTC	4/25/2016	Smigiel, Marlene	Marlene Smigiel	5282			X				2006 diagnosis; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1926	TRO890492FTC	2/23/2016	Buck, Deandra	Deandra Buck	5283						X	1995 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach 2007; paperwork lost; minor at time of bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1927	TRO901522FTC	8/16/2016	Johnson, Diane	Johnson, Diane	5284		X	X				1974 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved away from the area in 1974; says did not know anything about the lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1928	TRO896030FTC	4/6/2016	Boyland, Tonda	Tonda Boyland	5285			X				Symptoms began in 1970s; for diagnosis date, says "yes." Did not know anything about this process, called attorneys and was told it was too late, filed a claim when saw it "resurface" on social media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1929	TRO887442FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Vatarine	Vatarine Smith	5288		X	X				1970 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001; says was part of a proceeding was against Tronox before Bar Date but "due to my attorney he did not receive direct notice of the deadline" and she did not know of the deadline. If filed with Colom firm in a prior action that shows awareness of legal rights. To the extent the claim was resolved in that action it cannot be reasserted. If not resolved, and if Colom firm did not file the claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case, the allegation that the Colom firm was unaware of the bar date is rejected. The Colom firm was actively involved in the Tronox bankruptcy case and received direct notice of the bar date. If counsel failed to file claim that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim based on 2001 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1930	TRO893158FTC	2/23/2016	Golden, Cassandra	Cassandra Golden	5289		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; lived near Columbus MS plant; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to Ohio; did not know anything about Tronox, Kerr-McGee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1931	TRO886116FTC	12/7/2015	Jones, Trigon	Trigon Jones	5290		X	X				1999 and 2000 diagnoses; says caring for family for sickness of elderly family member during time, does not provide dates of it or any papers to confirm. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1932	TRO890851FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, Derek	Derek Calloway	5291			X				No diagnosis dates listed, no reason provided as to failure to file by bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1933	TRO898234FTC	6/20/2016	Smith, Lucia	Lucia Smith	5292			X		X		Alleges "August 2009" diagnosis; no excuse provided other than saying had to make corrections. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1934	TRO893820FTC	3/2/2016	McCrary, Robert	Mary McCrary	5293			X		X		Alleges 2008 symptoms but 2010 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of lawsuit, also had issues getting a copy of the application; does not provide medical records. If diagnosis did not occur until 2010 that would reasonably explain a failure to file by the bar date. Diagnosis date may be disputed, however. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1935	TRO892693FTC	2/23/2016	Harper, Beulah	Beulah Harper	5294		X	X			X	Diagnoses dates listed as 1973, 1980, 2011; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know and did not have reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to Ohio; rejection notice filed at docket # 5346. Claims based on 1973 and 1980 diagnoses were time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1936	TRO887599FTC	12/14/2015	Thompson, Alether	Thompson, Alether	5295			X			X	Says misinterpreted conditions of claim; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears from letter that these are additional conditions claimant asserts that may not have been covered in prior claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1937	TRO891517FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Shemere	Shemere Jones	5296		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1938	TRO898609FTC	6/27/2016	Bell, Freddie	Freddie Bell	5297	8162	X	X				1997 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket # 8162, did not hear or know about the lawsuit. Alleges lack of direct notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1939	TRO892401FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Betty	Betty Brooks	5298			X				Headache and rash beginning in 2005; says did not get a diagnosis because does not have insurance to go to doctor; says did not know anything about filing a claim, he found out about it when people started getting money. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claimant also says because toxins stay in system for many years before manifesting, wants to know what he is supposed to do if he gets sick 2 or 3 years. Cannot make payments based on speculation about possible future conditions. If future condition manifests itself and claim has not been resolved already, claimant should file supplement with Tort Claims Trust if assets remain.
1940	TRO900952FTC	8/16/2016	Exter, Cecilia	John Warnek	5299			X		X		Alleges various diagnoses before 2000, stroke in 2016; rep says unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; says notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1941	TRO898515FTC	6/27/2016	Monelli, Leo	Rosemary Monelli	5301	8052		X				1998 diagnosis; injured party died before 2009; rep did not know and had no reason to know exposed to creosote; no notice provided; not informed of bar date. A supplemental filed at docket #8052, did not know about the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see anything about it on TV and it was not mentioned to her. She does not buy newspapers and lives on a budget. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1942	TRO897442FTC	5/25/2016	Winters, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Winters	5302		X	X				Condition since 1990; was not diagnosed by a doctor; unaware of bankruptcy; did not know anything about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1943	TRO891931FTC	2/23/2016	Killingsworth, Moriah	Cynthia Killingsworth	5303			X				2003 symptoms; diagnosis date "unknown"; unaware of bar date; not diagnosed by a doctor. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1944	TRO887417FTC	12/14/2015	Baldwin-Harris, Shaquala	Shaquala Baldwin-Harris	5305		X	X				1999 onset of symptoms; diagnosis date "yes"; previously filed with attorney Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1945	TRO884910FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Ezell	Ezell Coleman	5306			X		X		Says "wasn't aware of a deadline was warranted"; says symptoms 2010, not diagnosed by a doctor. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1946	TRO880836FTC	Unknown	Saddler, Norma	Saddler, Norma	5307		X	X		X		The correct claim number to which this motion relates is TRO880836FTC. Various diagnoses alleged, one pre-bar date and the rest post-bar date. Unaware of claims process and did not know could file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1947	TRO892033FTC	2/23/2016	Prude, Gloria	Gloria Prude	5308		X	X				1992 diagnosis; was a resident of Columbus, MS in 2009; says she filed during "the time," not clear if referring to class action filing or to late claim filed with Tort Claims Trust. No record of a timely claim in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1948	TRO900200FTC	7/25/2016	Quinn, Lou	Lou Quinn	5309			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis February 2010; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1949	TRO892034FTC	2/23/2016	Prude, Willie	Willie Prude	5310			X				2005 symptoms, no diagnosis date; says he did send his case in time but may be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. No reason stated as to why missed the bar date, no grounds established for relief from the bar date.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1950	TRO890353FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson-Jones, Felisha	Felisha Jackson-jones	5311	5312		X				1993 diagnosis; had no reason to believe her conditions were caused by exposure to Tronox product; bar date notice not reasonably calculated to reach claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Duplicate motion at docket # 5312.
1951	TRO890156FTC	2/23/2016	Kyles, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Kyles	5313	8974	X	X				1991 diagnosis; unaware she was eligible to file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8974 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1952	TRO898599FTC	6/27/2016	Orr, Joe	Ida Moore Orr	5314			X		X		Claim based on cancer; diagnosis date unclear; says did not know about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1953	TRO884437FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Sandee	Sandee Williams	5315		X	X				Diagnoses 1989 and earlier; unaware of claims process and that could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1954	TRO912396FTC	5/30/2017	Hollis, Patricia	Patricia Hollis	5316			X		X		Says she just received the paper to file with court in 2017; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011 but Trust may dispute dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1955	TRO888380FTC	12/18/2015	Deloach, Taquila	Taquila Deloach	5317		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1985 except for headache (2014); unaware exposed to Tronox product. Claims were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations as to the 1985 diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1956	TRO901341FTC	8/16/2016	Nanni, Arline	Mary Ann Warnek	5318			X				2007 cancer diagnosis; injured party deceased; rep says unaware of case and did not know could file a claim; says form of notice was deficient but does not say how; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants, was unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1957	TRO893431FTC	2/23/2016	Shields, Diquana	Diquana Shields	5319			X				Alleges first diagnosis in 2008 but says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2005; former resident of Columbus, MS who lived in Texas in 2009. Prior claim with Bambach shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1958	TRO899242FTC	7/11/2016	Lee, George	George Lee	5320	8128	X	X			X	Large volume of medical records but no clear indication of when various conditions were first diagnosed, as opposed to dates of doctor visits and ongoing treatments. Says claim was filed before by someone who did not know what to file; says symptoms and diagnosis Nov. 2009, but a death certificate filed for 2001. A supplement with medical records filed at docket #8128. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1959	TRO889911FTC	2/23/2016	Biscontini, Dolores	Nicholas Biscontini	5321			X				1988 diagnosis; injured party was confined to her home from 2004 until her death in 2015. She was not ambulatory; she did not receive a newspaper delivery. No showing of incapacity to a degree that movant could neither file a claim for herself or enlist the aid of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1960	TRO892339FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Nickie	Nickie Johnson	5322		X	X				Diagnosis in 2004; says did not know could file a claim and was too sick to seek any assistance, does not provide dates or verification for alleged incapacity. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1961	TRO880739FTC	12/4/2015	Barnes, Rosa	Rosia Barnes	5323		X	X				Diagnoses all 2004 and earlier. Unaware of process and did not know could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1962	TRO891569FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Dan	Dan Clark	5324			X				2006 diagnosis; says previously filed with Johnny Cochran/Wilbur Colom; unaware of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a different litigation it cannot be asserted in the bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, attorneys should have filed it in the bankruptcy proceedings. Colom firm was active in the bankruptcy proceedings and had direct notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1963	TRO895433FTC	3/24/2016	Cebula, Joseph	Joseph Cebula	5325	8263		X				1990s diagnosis; says he filed claim 10 years ago but Tronox lost his records; supplement filed at docket # 8263 complaining that they already received medical records. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. No excuse offered as to reasons why missed the bar date.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1964	TRO902070FTC	9/14/2016	Samuels, Thomas	Thomas Samuels	5326		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says makes annual visits to Columbus, MS; was not aware of claims, once learned of it was not aware could still file; refers to military and government jobs without specifics but movant was 75 years old in 2017, no allegation that was in military service at the time of the bar date in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1965	TRO898325FTC	6/20/2016	Looney, Ronald	Ronald Looney	5327			X		X		Heart disease diagnosis in 1993, facial cyst in 2014; says was unaware of bankruptcy case. Unclear where exposure occurred. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1966	TRO891875FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Bobby	Bobby Jordan	5328		X	X				Diagnosis apparently in 1998; did not know of Tronox case in 2009; was out of town when he heard about it; unaware his conditions were related to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1967	TRO913621FTC	6/28/2017	Stevenson, Hattie	Mattie Jethroe	5329		X	X				The injured party died in May 2006; rep says that there was never a meeting that informed that part of town that they were living in a contaminated area; was unaware. Records show there were meetings in Columbus that advertised the dangers of creosote, as indicated in the summary attached to the Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1968	TRO880006FTC	12/4/2015	Anthony, Deloris	Deloris Anthony	5331	8207	X	X				Diagnoses 1990 and earlier. Unaware of process or that could file claim; moved to another town in Mississippi in 2003. A supplement filed at docket #8207. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1969	TRO894501FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Robert	Robert Johnson	5332		X	X				Diagnosis apparently in 2000; says he did file a claim with medical records but appears to be might be referring to late-filed claim with the Tort Claims Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1970	TRO902138FTC	9/14/2016	Gray, Will	Millie Gray	5333		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; unaware of claims filing; unaware what was cause of conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1971	TRO886554FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Cherilyn	Cherilyn Williams	5334		X	X				2001 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1972	TRO892374FTC	2/23/2016	Gibson, Rosie	Gibson, Bertha	5335		X	X				The injured party died in 1970; rep unaware of claims process and did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1973	TRO904709FTC	1/24/2017	Daniel, Johnnie	Johnnie Daniel	5336	8267	X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of process; moved to Akron, Ohio. A supplement at docket #8267, in Akron, Ohio at time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1974	TRO886540FTC	12/7/2015	Fulgham, Jadalyn	Jadalyn Fulgham	5337						X	2002 diagnosis; says was a minor. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8967 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1975	TRO904109FTC	1/3/2017	Ivy, Frances	Stephanie Ivy	5338	9119	X	X				1972 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9119 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1976	TRO895008FTC	3/23/2016	Carr, Latasha	Latasha Carr	5339	9344	X	X				1982 diagnosis; challenges reasonableness of publication notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9344 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1977	TRO902009FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Kenrie	Kenrie Fields	5340		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; sent all paperwork in that he filled out, was unaware of a deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1978	TRO895758FTC	3/24/2016	Douglas, Chasity	Chasity Douglas	5342		X	X				Diagnoses "2000-2008"; previously filed with atty Bambach 2000; says does not know what happened. If claim was resolved in a prior litigation it cannot be reasserted. If claim was not resolved the filing with counsel shows awareness of legal rights. Bambach and other attorneys were aware of the Tronox bankruptcy and the bar date. If counsel failed to file a claim, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's failure is excusable. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1979	<b>TRO897692FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Foard, Jerome</b>	<b>Jerome Foard</b>	5347			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1980	<b>TRO897682FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Foard, Linda</b>	<b>Linda Foard</b>	5348			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1981	TRO889617FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Mark	Mark Johnson	5349	5989 6771		X				1995 symptoms, diagnosis date not listed; unaware of any proceedings concerning Tronox products; signature form filed at docket # 5349; rejection notice filed at docket # 6771. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1982	TRO902449FTC	9/14/2016	Poe, Angela	Angela Poe	5351			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1983	TRO898581FTC	6/27/2016	Standifer, Linda	Linda Standifer	5352			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1984	TRO906028FTC	4/24/2017	Smith, Jonathan	Jonathan Smith	5353	8197		X			X		Signature page for letter at docket # 8197; letter refers to a brain tumor and surgery in 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1985	TRO898570FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Angela	Angela Smith	5354			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1986	TRO894356FTC	3/2/2016	Annie, Earl	Teresa Dora	5355			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1987	TRO902431FTC	9/14/2016	Bryant, Ella	Rosie Poe	5356			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1988	TRO906154FTC	4/24/2017	Smith, Tommy	Tommy Smith	5357			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1989	TRO901538FTC	8/16/2016	Poe, Rosie	Rosie Poe	5358			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1990	TRO906021FTC	4/24/2017	Westbrook, Marilyn	Marilyn Westbrook	5359			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1991	TRO880822FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, April	April Walker	5360		X	X					Diagnoses 2007 and earlier, most prior to 2006; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to Texas; oversight because relatives in Mississippi thought they had informed her. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on exposures in MS that led to conditions that were diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1992	TRO885921FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Kenya	Kenya Jones	5361						X		1997 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; still in high school and unaware what was going on; was misinformed and told she had to live in direct vicinity of the plant; unaware condition was because of exposure to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1993	TRO885920FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Darnell	Darnell Jones	5362		X	X			X	Unaware of bar date; says diagnosed in 1996 for some condition but then says hospitalized in 2016 for spot on lungs, unclear if is alleging a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1994	TRO885922FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, De'Asia	De'Asia Jones	5363						X	1998 diagnosis; minor (12 years old in 2009); guardian unaware of deadline or that condition was caused by Tronox product; did not reside directly in the vicinity of the plant. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1995	TRO901656FTC	8/16/2016	Hairston, Reggie	Reggie Hairston	5364		X	X				1998 diagnosis; moved to another county and unaware of the claim; there wasn't any discussion in his area until people received settlements; says he did not see any advertisement about the claim; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1996	TRO901655FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Jaqualyn	Jacquelyn Smith	5365						X	Minor, moved to another county and was not aware of the claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1997	TRO901659FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Doris	Doris Smith	5366		X	X				1993 and 1996 diagnoses; moved to another county and unaware of the claim; did not see any advertisement about the claim; alleges did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1998	TRO881051FTC	12/4/2015	Catchings, Frederick	Shirley Kidd	5367		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says the injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1999	TRO888972FTC	12/30/2015	Sutton, Chrandra	Chrandra Sutton	5368		X	X				1983 diagnosis; has not resided in Columbus, Miss for several years and was unaware of exposure to Tronox product; filed a form letter that many have filed saying that she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy." Also says that she "should be entitled to fair representation under the Garretson Resolution Group and any other entity." Says violation of due process; refers to private meetings and minimal details to the community. Complaints about prior class actions and communications with class action counsel are not within this Court's jurisdiction. Complaints about attorneys also are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless the attorneys' conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2000	TRO895952FTC	4/6/2016	Reed, Urie	Almateen Reed	5369		X	X				2002 diagnosis; injured party died in 2008; rep says she was unaware of claims filing because there were certain private meetings by some claimants and they were not informing the community more broadly. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2001	TRO880476FTC	12/4/2015	Simon, Minnie	Alfred Simon	5370	8091		X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice; violates due process; lack of adequate notice. A supplement filed at docket #8091, says trusts omnibus objection was late, that the trust should have petitioned the court for more money to distribute and that residents could have been considered known claimants. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. There was no deadline for the Trust's objection and so its objection was not late. Trust has no access to additional funds and the Court has no power to provide additional funds for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2002	TRO893968FTC	3/2/2016	Watson, Mary	Mary Watson	5371		X	X		X		2002 diagnosis; explains basis for claim but does not explain failure to file by bar date or even within reasonable time thereafter. However, while form alleges a diagnosis in 2002, all medical records provided are for after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2003	TRO889917FTC	2/23/2016	Daniels, Delanne	Dilanna Daniels	5372							X	1999 diagnosis; minor (age 15) in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2004	TRO898651FTC	6/27/2016	Bush, Zettie	Zettie Bush	5373		X	X					2001 diagnosis; moved out of area; unaware exposed to Tronox product; filed same form letter that says she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" Also says that she "should be entitled to fair representation under the Garretson Resolution Group and any other entity." Says violation of due process; refers to private meetings by some claimants who did not give details to others in the community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2005	TRO893969FTC	3/2/2016	Brock, Jessica	Brock, Jessica	5374			X					2008 diagnosis (eczema); parent filing on behalf of child; parent says was unaware that her area qualified for filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2006	TRO894652FTC	3/23/2016	Coleman, Helen	Rhonda Lee	5375		X	X					1970 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2007	TRO890918FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Frank	Frank Harris	5376		X	X					1986 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; says did not know where to get paperwork in 2009. Dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2008	TRO891434FTC	2/23/2016	Cattladge, Thomas	Cattladge, Thomas	5377		X	X			X	Diagnoses before and after bar date; unaware of claims process or that could file claim; alleges violation of due process. Complains that notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2009	TRO893439FTC	Unknown	Williams, Rosie	Rosie Williams	5378		X	X				The motion at docket # 5378 is for Rosie Williams [TRO893439FTC]; a differnt motion at docket #6194 is by Rosie M. Williams [TRO903064FTC]. Motion at docket # 5378 Lists a 1976 diagnosis but not clear if that was for all of the claimed conditions. Her excuse is she did not receive direct notice that she was required to file a claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Motion at docket # 6194 but that is a different Rosie Williams. As to docket 5378: Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2010	TRO885367FTC	11/25/2015	Derry, John T.	John T. Derry	5379			X			X	Listed with wrong claim number on Trust's summary. Alleges 2011 diagnosis; says that at his age you don't hear right away about things like this, you hear about it later. No medical records provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2011	TRO888200FTC	12/18/2015	Nichols, Deborah	Paul A. Kelly, Jr., Esq.	5380			X				Diagnoses 2005-06; did not know that there was such a forum or procedure to file a claim; heard about in from neighbors in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2012	TRO897457FTC	5/25/2016	Hoover, Mary	Paul A. Kelly, Jr., Esq.	5381			X				Diagnoses 2005-06; did not have notice that there was a trust fund and that he could file a proof of claim, learned in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2013	TRO884373FTC	11/25/2015	Shirley, Charles	Charles Shirley	5382	8978	X	X				1982 diagnosis; does not reside in the affected area and unaware he could file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8978 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2014	TRO900058FTC	7/25/2016	Bryk, Julie	Julie Bryk	5383			X				1986 diagnosis (at birth); was not made aware of situation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2015	TRO890775FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Vickie	Vickie Harrison	5384		X	X				1965 diagnosis; unaware there was a deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2016	TRO896037FTC	4/6/2016	Taylor, Magkehia	Magkeshia Taylor	5385		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says filed documents in a timely manner but appears to be referring to responses to questions about the late-filed claim; filed form letter saying that she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy." Also says that she "should be entitled to fair representation under the Garretson Resolution Group and any other entity." Says violation of due process; refers to private meetings and minimal details to the community. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2017	TRO890756FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Roosevelt	Ro'Mario Gunter	5386		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says "due to dealing with the death and other factors, we were unable to" file claim, but does not state date of death or its relation to bar date, does not explain delay of many years after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2018	TRO885370FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Sallie	Doris Butler	5387	8285	X	X				Diagnoses in 1972 and 1979; the injured party died in 1980; rep says not aware of claims filing deadline; unaware of exposure to chemicals from plant or that it would result in illness; did not know the deadline was published in the paper. A supplemental letter filed at docket # 8285. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2019	TRO890619FTC	2/23/2016	Savors, Annette	Annette Savors	5388			X		X		Various diagnoses alleged, all post-bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2020	TRO893895FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Willie	Willie Johnson	5389		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm but says the claim was based only on exposure and not for disease; was awarded \$250-\$500; unaware that exposure to creosote could be the cause of his illness; says he was told that the Colom lawsuit was for exposure if you lived within the contaminated zone but was not told about illnesses years after exposure. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2021	TRO894478FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Deborah	Deborah Johnson	5390			X				Diagnoses 2006 and 2007; previously filed with Colom law firm but only for exposure and was awarded \$250-\$500; unaware of the dangers and harmful exposure could cause long-term illness years after exposure. Dealings with Colom law firm show knowledge of risks and legal claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2022	TRO894386FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, April	April Johnson	5391		X	X				1987 and 1994 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm as a minor "based on exposure not the disease"; unaware that illness could have been caused by exposure to creosote; filed same letter that says compensated \$250-\$500, unaware of future injury. Alleges first exposure in May 1985 so was at least 24 by the bar date. Dealings with Colom firm show awareness of risks and legal claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim not resolved in a prior proceeding also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2023	TRO896066FTC	12/30/2015	Marlowe, Mitchell Lee	Shelly Hogan	5392	5457	X	X				The motion at docket # 5392 relates to Mitchell Marlowe (claim TRO896066FTC), Trust incorrect listed this as docket 5103 (which is a motion by Shelly Hogan on her own behalf). Another motion by Shelly Hogan as to Mitchell Marlowe appears at docket 5457 with a different claim number. The injured party died in 1995; rep claims did not receive direct notice that relative was required to file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2024	TRO892207FTC	2/23/2016	Buckhalter, Shante	Shante Buckhalter	5393		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of a claim that she needed to file; thought that after initial claim, further claims were not accepted; unaware condition connected to Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2025	TRO892208FTC	2/23/2016	Buckhalter, Michael	Michael Buckhalter	5394			X		X		Alleges symptoms in 2013 and diagnosis in 2014; says did not file claim because did not know the cause of condition was contaminated water; unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2026	TRO901329FTC	8/16/2016	Neal, John	Angie Williams Craddieth	5395			X	X			1982 diagnosis; says not aware of process, saw no notices, only learned after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2027	TRO901657FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Devondra	Devondra Smith	5396						X	1999 diagnosis; minor; says relocated to another county and was unaware of claim; did not see any advertisement about it; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2028	TRO901750FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Shaness	Shaness Lee	5397		X	X			X	Alleges 2014 first diagnosis but also says previously filed legal claim in 1998 with Harold Barkley. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including whether they are barred by prior cases) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2029	TRO892686FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Barbara	Jennifer Thompson	5398		X	X				1981 and 1982 diagnoses; the injured party died in Feb. 2009; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2030	TRO892685FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Jennifer	Jennifer Thompson	5399		X	X				1997 diagnoses; did not see or hear of any publication notice of bar date; says notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2031	TRO893961FTC	3/2/2016	Walton, Devin	Devin Walton	5400		X	X				Diagnoses in 1993, 1996 and 2008; no excuse provided for failure to meet bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2032	TRO892682FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Jacqueline	Jennifer Thompson	5401						X	2007 diagnosis; minor in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2033	TRO889237FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Summer	Summer Wilson	5402		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says was not knowledgeable enough to file a claim; was still going to doctors because of condition and did not know the root cause; after she started researching her symptoms and gaining information from different doctors and pamphlets, she realized her condition was caused by some sort of bacteria that she was consuming in her body. After she learned more about the lawsuit, she researched more about it and it seemed to her that the Tronox products could have been the root cause to all her complaints. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2034	TRO892221FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Lourine	Lourine Smith	5403		X	X				1976 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action lawsuit; standard language as to lack of knowledge of Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim not resolved in a prior proceeding also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2035	TRO897276FTC	5/4/2016	Morris, Lionel	Lionel Morris	5404	9448	X	X			X	Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product; says that although Tronox was aware of product dangers, it did not inform claimant of it. The claimant says that his physicians were also unaware that he had been exposed to this environmental contaminant. The form says the diagnosis was in 2005 but the attached paperwork is more recent. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9448 complains about the process. If diagnosis was in 2005 the claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2036	TRO885582FTC	11/25/2015	Foster, Helen	Helen Foster	5405		X	X			X	Multiple diagnoses, some before 1983, one in March 2009, two after the bar date; says she did not know and was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Apparently submitted a claim base on asthma diagnosis (original diagnosis date not clear) but now includes diagnoses of other conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2037	TRO889013FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Arthur	Arthur Harrison	5406		X	X				Diagnosis in "2000s"; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was not properly notified or made aware of deadline in Tronox bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if claimant alleges was still represented by the Colom firm but that firm received direct notice of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2038	TRO887848FTC	12/14/2015	Verdell, Mattie	Mattie Verdell	5407		X	X				Diagnoses before 1995; previously filed with atty Bambach 2000 or 2001 and Howard Gunn 2004; never got anything back that was definite from Bambach; when the atty died, says was told of another attorney but was never contacted. Bankruptcy case for Tronox was not filed until 2009, actions by counsel in prior years are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2039	TRO901423FTC	8/16/2016	Bush, Ruthie	Ruthie Bush	5408		X	X		X		Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; lists diagnosis dates as 2002 and 2005 but also says did not have a claim at the bar date because his condition did not manifest until after deadline, surgery was performed in 2015. Filing with Colom law firm shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any dispute as to the date of diagnosis) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2040	TRO888464FTC	Unknown	Hayden, Jamerius	Lasondra Smith	5409			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2009 symptoms, 2013 diagnosis; may have been a minor; rep says he has had a hole in his heart; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2041	TRO888463FTC	Unknown	Jackson, Roosevelt	Lasondra Smith	5410			X			X		Not on Trustee's summary; may have been a minor; rep says he has mental problems; says was not aware of exposure until 2015; diagnosis (disability) in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2042	TRO888465FTC	Unknown	Smith, Lasondra	Lasondra Smith	5411			X			X		Not on Trust's summary; symptoms have not yet manifested; was not aware of exposure until 2015. Unclear what condition is the basis for the claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis is identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. To the extent that the claim is based on post-bar date diagnoses (or possible future diagnoses that have not occurred) it is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2043	TRO888468FTC	Unknown	Smith, Jaysia	Lasondra Smith	5412			X			X		Not on Trust's summary; symptoms have not yet manifested; was not aware of exposure until 2015. Unclear what condition is the basis for the claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis is identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. To the extent that the claim is based on post-bar date diagnoses (or possible future diagnoses that have not occurred) it is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2044	TRO888466FTC	Unknown	Hayden, Jayni	Lasondra Smith	5413			X			X		Not on Trust's summary; may have been a minor; rep says not aware of the danger or exposure to chemicals; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2045	TRO895707FTC	3/24/2016	Smith, Ricco	Ricco Smith	5414			X			X		Correct claim number is TRO895707FTC. Unaware of deadline; informed to qualify had to live in a certain area; says symptoms 2010-2011 and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2046	TRO892986FTC	2/23/2016	Hogan, Mikayla	Shelly Hogan	5415						X	Minor; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2047	TRO892049FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Johnathan	Johnathan Brown	5416						X	1992 diagnosis; minor; unaware of the claim; standard language. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2048	TRO894258FTC	3/2/2016	Harvery, Marilyn	Marilyn Harvery	5417		X	X			X	Same claimant filed a separate motion (docket # 5418) on behalf of a different injured party; docket 5418 makes clear the claimant formerly resided in Columbus, MS but moved to Tennessee in early 2009. Says first symptoms were in 2009 but lists first diagnosis date as 1997; says did not receive direct information or written notice of claim filing deadline due to relocating with spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2049	TRO896167FTC	4/6/2016	Robb, Kelvin	<b>Kelvin D. Robb</b>	5418		X	X				1989 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not receive direct information or written notice of claim filing deadline due to relocating with family for job reassignment to NY, then to NM; representative fiduciary says injured party was incapacitated and that treatment for his condition eliminated his potential as a wage earner, but does not show incapacity of a kind that would prevent the filing of a claim or enlisting help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2050	TRO899226FTC	7/11/2016	Evans, Kendra	Kendra Evans	5419		X	X				Symptoms started in 1996; former resident of Columbus, MS; no diagnosis date; did not have knowledge of claim; moved to Tennessee in early 2009, eventually moved to Texas. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2051	TRO896443FTC	4/13/2016	Wilkins, Brittany	Brittany Wilkins	5420		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says that "at the time, filled out forms with attorney, but he said that file lost," so put in another application. Reference to filing with attorney in 2001 must have related to pre-bankruptcy lawsuits. Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in another proceeding, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2052	TRO894308FTC	3/2/2016	Henry, Betty	Betty Henry	5421	8352		X		X		2008 diagnosis; says did not know about the claims process. A supplement filed at docket # 8352 with an additional ailment diagnosed in 2018. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2053	TRO903799FTC	11/23/2016	Howard, Jarius	Angie Williams	5422		X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1995; rep says did not know of exposure, did not hear of claims process until called to get forms in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2054	TRO894395FTC	3/2/2016	Sanders, Brittany	Brittany Sanders	5423			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in October 2009; unclear if these are new conditions. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2055	TRO892053FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Joe	Joe Wells	5424		X	X				August 1975 diagnosis; uncertain needed to file a claim because there was much fraudulent information in the community; wanted certainty that he had correct information and he filed in 2015. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2056	<b>TRO889054FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Jenkins, Floyd</b>	<b>Floyd Jenkins</b>	5425			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2057	TRO892121FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Demorius	Demorius Walker	5426	8293					X	1995/2003 diagnoses; minor; very poor, no access to internet, cable tv or radio; says did not understand anything about Tronox company; unaware of lawsuit against Kerr McGee because people were calling it Tronox. A supplement filed at docket # 8293. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2058	TRO892123FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Ternisha	Ternisha Walker	5427	8295	X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox claim; no knowledge of Tronox company; did not have access to internet. A supplement filed at docket # 8295. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2059	TRO885333FTC	11/25/2015	Storey Gafrey, Beverly	Beverly Story Gafrey	5428		X	X				1974 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who relocated to Houston, Texas in 2006. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2060	TRO912901FTC	5/30/2017	Shelton, Shalonda	Shalonda Shelton	5429		X	X				1987 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; alleges violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2061	TRO895508FTC	3/24/2016	King, Sandra	Sandra King	5430		X	X				1951 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process to bar the claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2062	TRO892122FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Tysjman	Ternisha Walker	5432	8294		X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox claim or of Tronox company; knew Kerr McGee as Moss Tire; could not afford internet. A supplement filed at docket #8294. Claim filed on behalf of a minor so statute of limitations not applicable. However, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Parent alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2063	TRO902955FTC	10/13/2016	James, Yonesha	Yonesha James	5433						X	Minor (12 at bar date); 1999 diagnosis. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2064	TRO892541FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, V.	Deloris Washington	5434	9245	X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see publication notices. A supplement filed at docket #9245 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2065	TRO887836FTC	12/14/2015	Brown, James	James Brown	5435		X	X				Diagnoses all 1997 or earlier. Unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2066	TRO880766FTC	12/4/2015	Shields, John	Althea Griffin	5436			X				Diagnosis dates unknown; place of alleged exposure not specified; the injured party died in May 2006; rep says violation of due process; unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; unaware of the spinoff of Tronox from Kerr-McGee; unaware of sale of Kerr-McGee to Anadarko. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2067	TRO891276FTC	2/23/2016	Treadwell, Abreiah	Abreiah Treadwell	5437		X	X				March 1999 diagnosis; unaware of the filing; spoke to an attorney who did not get back to claimant. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2068	TRO892162FTC	2/23/2016	Ellis, Dorothy	Dorothy Ellis	5438	8133	X	X		X		1960 symptoms onset, diagnosis in "2000s"; unaware of claim process; attachments refer to some conditions before and some after the bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8133, a request to reconsider claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on diagnoses before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2069	TRO902954FTC	10/13/2016	Petty, Yulonda	Yulonda Petty	5439		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1989 and 2017; unaware that the area where she lived was affected; did not know exposed to Tronox product. Claim based on 1989 diagnosis was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2070	TRO884313FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, Almonzo	Barbara Roland	5440						X	Minor; rep says unaware of claim being filed; did not get information by mail or from anyone about bar date; the injured party has mental problems that are attributable to chemicals, breathing problem, asthma; the injured party was in a behavior center in 2015. Will permit supplemental submission to verify injured party's age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2071	TRO893166FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Lulla	Caroline Harris	5441		X	X				Diagnoses 1995-2003; the injured party died in 2003; unaware claims were being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2072	TRO893456FTC	2/23/2016	Wilkins, Ashley	Ashley Wilkins	5443			X			X	2010 diagnosis; rep says that at the time she was unaware of the injured party's condition; says symptom and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2073	TRO899255FTC	7/11/2016	Boggan, Raina	Candice Straughter	5444							Representative filing for a minor who has been committed to a behavior center; rep says injured party was diagnosed with asthma and upper respiratory infection in June 2009. Court is satisfied with explanations, motion for permission to file late claim is granted, Trust to resolve merits of the claim pursuant to its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2074	TRO899253FTC	7/11/2016	Straughter, Candice	Candice Straughter	5445		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2075	TRO890830FTC	2/23/2016	Farmer, Raymond	Martha Gordon	5446		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2076	TRO890825FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Nettis	Martha Gordon	5447		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2077	TRO889733FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon, Martha	Martha Gordon	5448		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2078	TRO893167FTC	2/23/2016	McCarthy, Johnny	Johnny McCarthy	5449		X	X				2006 diagnosis (date unclear); rep says unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2079	TRO885270FTC	11/25/2015	Price, Barbara	Barbara Price	5450	8977	X	X			X	2003 and 2016 diagnoses; says that as she did not reside in the affected area during the time of the suit, she did not know she was able to file; says sinusitis, seizures in 2003; nodule on lung 2016. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8977 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2080	TRO888374FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Jayden	Brandy Harris	5451						X	Injured party is a minor with mild retardation, diagnoses in April 2009; rep says did not file because unaware of claim; standard language. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8912 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2081	TRO904973FTC	1/24/2017	Brown, Mary	Mary Brown	5452	8342	X	X				1974 diagnosis; unaware she could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket # 8342, says was without knowledge to wade through the claims process. In its submissions the trust incorrectly referred to this motion as filed at docket #6088. As to the motion actually filed at docket # 8342: the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2082	TRO901115FTC	8/16/2016	Addison, Laura	Mary Brown	5453	8343	X	X			X	Diagnoses 1969 (respiratory), 2008 (breast cancer), 2010 (lung cancer); unaware she could file a claim for a relative. A supplement filed at docket #8343, without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2083	TRO895517FTC	3/24/2016	Williams, Robert	Tony Hampton	5454		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says that the claimant's health continued to decline while the rep was incarcerated and injured party was too sick to file his own claim; rep says not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; violation of due process; private meetings, minimal details to community. Insufficient showing of incapacity in sense of inability to file claim or to enlist others' help in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2084	TRO890033FTC	2/23/2016	Troupe, Emma	Sheril Richey Rice	5455		X	X				The motion at docket # 5455 is for Emma Troupe [TRO890033FTC]; 1986 diagnosis, injured party died in 1987; reps says that she was unaware she could file on behalf of deceased relative. The trust incorrectly referred to this motion as being at docket # 6061, but that is the motion filed by Sheril Richey Rice [TRO895413FTC] on her own behalf. Motion at docket 5455 is denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2085	TRO887699FTC	12/14/2015	Tate, Hattie	Hattie Tate	5456		X	X				The motion for Hattie Tate [TRO887699FTC] is filed at docket # 5456; the Trustee's summary chart incorrectly referred to it as docket #5656, but another motion is filed at that docket number. As to Hattie Tate: diagnoses dates in 1986 and 1994; claimant says was unaware of the deadline, publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; lives in rural area on a fixed income and can't afford newspapers or computer, information was not publicized on TV. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2086	TRO888805FTC	12/30/2015	Marlowe, Mitchell Lee	Shelley Hogan	5457	5392	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this as docket # 5392, which is a separate motion by Shelly Hogan as to Mitchell Lee Marlowe but with a different claim number. As to the motion at docket 5457: 1990 diagnosis. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2087	TRO896067FTC	4/6/2016	Saddler, Ozella	Shelly Hogan	5458		X	X				1955 and 2006 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not receive direct notice that relative was required to file a claim; did not know and had no reason to know injured party had been exposed to Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2088	TRO904623FTC	1/24/2017	Sheilds, Anenet	Anenet Sheilds	5459	8092		X				Symptoms began before 1992; no diagnosis; place of exposure not specified; says did not know about bankruptcy filing "until about 2001" (may be referring to class actions as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009). A supplement filed at docket #8092, doctor bills. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2089	TRO894406FTC	3/2/2016	Edwards, Emma	Parks, Hattie	5460			X				2008 diagnosis; injured party died in 2013; rep argues that mother had many health issues but does not explain failure to file a timely claim. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2090	TRO887308FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Davarus	Davarus Jones	5461			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2012; says did not know about deadline and his illnesses manifested after deadline in 2012, but he includes a medical record for chronic sinusitis for 2008. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2091	TRO901965FTC	9/14/2016	Lang, Ashley	Ashley Lang	5462	8341	X	X		X		Diagnosis date listed as "2002-2017"; previously filed with Colom law firm but rejected offer, then filed with Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8341, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if new conditions were diagnosed after the bar date or if prior conditions just continued. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2092	TRO897842FTC	5/25/2016	Shinn, Lasondra	Lasondra Shinn	5463		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says that did not have enough information, called the 800 number and was told that, according to the system, she was deceased. Appears to be referring to contacts with Trust long after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2093	TRO901963FTC	9/14/2016	Lang-Harris, Cassie	Cassie Lang-Harris	5464	8345	X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as "2000" and "2002-2017"; previously filed with Colom law firm but rejected offer, also filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8345, without knowledge to wade through bankruptcy process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if alleges conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date or just a continuation of prior conditions. Conditions diagnosed before the bar date are not "future" claims just because they continued after the bar date. To the extent the claimant alleges a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, the merits of that claim are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2094	TRO905329FTC	3/1/2017	Butler, Ceabon	Ineze Robinson	5465		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; previously filed with Colom law firm 2004-2006; says filed twice and both times paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel in prior action is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2095	TRO903800FTC	Unknown	Richards, Dick	Frances Richards	5466		X	X				This motion was not listed on the Trustee's summary. 1985 diagnosis, injured party died approximately 1992; motion refers to see death certificate but it is not attached to motion papers; rep says that during bar date period, claimant did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; notice deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Complains that notice was deficient but does not say how, notice language was proper for reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2096	TRO889805FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Johnnie	Johnnie Cunningham	5467			X				Says he did file a claim (date unspecified) but was turned down; likely is referring to the late-filed claim, under misimpression that it was timely. If filed a timely claim before the bar date on August 2009, any dispute over its resolution should be done through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures. If did not file a timely claim, no reason has been offered as to why relief from the bar date should be granted.
2097	TRO896723FTC	4/25/2016	Furr, Ramekia	Ramekia Furr	5468		X	X				2002 diagnosis; unaware of bar date as had left the state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2098	TRO886374FTC	12/7/2015	Sherrod, Darrell	Darrell Sherrod	5469		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to Georgia in 2003; says he was never notified nor did he receive any correspondence about the Tronox bankruptcy claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2099	TRO887191FTC	12/14/2015	Richardson, Selvin	Selvin Richardson	5470	6142?	X	X				<p>This motion may be a duplicate of the motion at docket #6142. It is not, however clear if that is the case because of certain discrepancies. The motion at docket # 5470 is for Selvin Richardson [claim TRO887191FTC] and the motion at docket #6142 is for Selvin I. Richardson [claim TRO894564FTC]. It may be the same person using a different TRO number because the addresses are the same and the signatures are very similar. However, while some of the symptoms overlap, they are not identical. The motion at docket #5470 says 1979 is the date for exposure, symptoms and diagnosis, while the motion at docket #6142 says 1988 is the date for exposure symptoms and diagnosis. The motions both provide the same excuse, did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, discharge of debt violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Court will treat them as two separate motions and two separate claims out of abundance of caution. As to the motion at docket 5470, relief is denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>
2100	TRO887180FTC	12/14/2015	Webber, Louvenia	Louvenia Webber	5471		X	X				<p>1962 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, but that is not consistent with the filing with the Colom law firm, which handled a class action lawsuit against Tronox based on creosote discharges. Says discharge of debt violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2101	TRO887262FTC	12/14/2015	Webber-Richardson, Vickie	Vickie Webber-Richardson	5472		X	X				1971 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges discharge of debt violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2102	TRO893958FTC	3/2/2016	Summerville, Jamaya	Jamaya Summerville	5473						X	Minor at the time of the bar date, apparently born in 1999; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; discharge of claim is a violation of due process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2103	TRO885875FTC	11/25/2015	Abrams, Jaitra	Jaitra Abrams	5474						X	Minor at the time of the bar date; says did not know and had no reason to know she was sick; did not know and no reason to know about the claim against Tronox; she did not see or get the newspaper. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2104	TRO887659FTC	12/14/2015	Bailey, Anne	Anne Bailey	5475		X	X				1979 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm; received less than \$300, says she was unaware that she could dispute the amount. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy, the bankruptcy process cannot be used to complain about a lawsuit outcome from years earlier. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not fully resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2105	TRO893207FTC	2/23/2016	Augustus, Georgia	Georgia Augustus	5476			X		X		Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; says that symptom and diagnosis Dec. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2106	TRO889236FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Sandra	Sandra Moore	5477		X	X				1979 diagnosis; moved from Mississippi to Florida in 1983; says was a minor at time of injury but was at least 40 years old by the time of the bar date; says unaware of exposure to creosote and of her circumstances; did not see any advertising pertaining to Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2107	TRO901810FTC	9/14/2016	Neal, Rasheed	Taheata Neal	5478		X	X		X		Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons why did not file, alleges some conditions prior to bar date and some after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2108	TRO887142FTC	12/14/2015	Sanders, John	John Sanders	5479			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2012; cut-and-pasted standard form reasons for not filing by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2109	TRO888213FTC	12/18/2015	Delaine, Angeletha	Lou Knox [Louella]	5480	8167	X	X				1983/84 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; rep says she was incapacitated due to surgery and dealing with nervous problems and was not aware of bar date; rep does not provide date of her conditions although in a separate motion on her own behalf she says it was in 2008. A supplement filed at docket #8167. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2110	TRO888211FTC	12/18/2015	Knox, Sr., Joe	Lou Knox [Louella]	5481	8165	X	X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says unaware of bar date; says she was incapacitated from surgery and dealing with nervous problems but does not provide date of those conditions although in her own motion she indicates surgery was in 2008; unaware exposure to Tronox product caused condition; says was primarily in Alabama. A supplement filed at docket #8165 that appears to be directed to the Trust. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2111	TRO888212FTC	12/18/2015	Knox, Lou [Louella]	Lou Knox [Louella]	5482	8166	X	X			X	Diagnoses 1998 and 2010; says that during bar date period she was having nerve problems and had nerve back surgery in Nov 2008, again back surgery in 2013, mentions a third surgery does not provide a date for it. A supplement filed at docket #8166. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2112	TRO888210FTC	12/18/2015	Knox, Joe	Joe Knox	5483	8166		X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; not aware of any filing procedures; did not receive any information to know where to file before bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8166. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2113	TRO905770FTC	4/24/2017	Longmire, Kacharra	Kacharra Longmire	5484	5728	X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; was not aware of the proceedings; was not notified of a deadline. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #5728. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2114	TRO888717FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Evette	Evette Harrison	5485	5725	X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1978, 1983, 1988, 2004, 2015; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says lawyers took their money and gave them pennies; unaware of the Tronox proceedings; says she was not informed of the deadline: it appears that the trust made her a \$5,000 allowed claim offer and she has rejected it. Prior dealings with Colom firm show awareness of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to whether the prior litigation bars such claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures. Duplicate motion at docket #5725.
2115	TRO885635FTC	11/25/2015	Weston-Robinson, Margaret	Margaret Weston-Robinson	5486		X	X				1975 diagnosis; unaware eligible to file until she heard from her family; did not know or have reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2116	TRO897359FTC	5/25/2016	Foote, Will	Will Foote	5487			X		X		Says he did not know until he began telling other people about his symptoms and they were experiencing some of the same symptoms and that is when he heard about the case; says first diagnosis in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2117	TRO898961FTC	6/27/2016	Cockrell, Kathryn	Alicia Cockrell	5488		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep says previously filed 2002 with Colom law firm, Lundy and Davis; unaware of bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2118	TRO900349FTC	7/25/2016	Little, Charlie	Theodore Little	5489		X	X				1960 diagnosis; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case or bar date; did not know how to complete form; was not aware of all chemicals exposed to by Tronox; form letter that says someone misled them to file late-filed claim forms a certain way. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2119	TRO903315FTC	10/27/2016	Butler, Alina	Alina Butler	5490		X	X				1997 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy settlement; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; notice of the filing deadline did not reasonably account for potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2120	TRO897124FTC	5/4/2016	Blaylock, Odell	Joyce Blaylock	5491	9465	X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; the injured party died in 2016; rep says "did not have a full understanding about the deadline date all though it shows on the paper. It was not push to be a major factor of this case." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2121	TRO902656FTC	8/16/2016	Neal, III, Rufus	Rufus Neal III	5492		X	X			X	Diagnoses listed as 2000/2015; unclear if different conditions. Says unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see publication notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2122	TRO889747FTC	2/23/2016	McNeal, Johnny	Johnny McNeal	5493			X				Diagnosis around 2008; says learned of the claim at a later date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2123	TRO892046FTC	2/23/2016	Huggins, Andrew	Stephanie Banks	5494			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2013; rep says she was not informed about this by anyone, it was kept under wraps. Even though she lived in the contaminated area, she was not informed by anyone. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2124	TRO912463FTC	5/30/2017	Norton, Handsol	Yvonne Norton-Ewing	5495		X	X				The injured party died in 2017; diagnosis 1980; rep says that at time of bar date, the injured party was incompetent, suffering from schizophrenia, dementia and other problems; rep says schizophrenia started around 1980 but does not provide medical records; also does not assert or provide medical records of date that dementia started; rep says there wasn't anyone taking care of his affairs until she did so starting in 2013. No support for brother's alleged inability to file a claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Listed diagnosis is very old (1980). In absence of verification of incapacity the claim was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2125	TRO898510FTC	6/27/2016	Rice, Ellis	Gwen Doss	5496		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep says did not receive any documentation in this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2126	TRO892484FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Ricardo	Ricardo Little	5497		X	X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but not clear if that is just newer conditions; unaware exposed to chemical; unaware of bankruptcy case; no knowledge how to fill out claim; attaches the same standard letter complaining that someone allegedly misled them in filling out the late-filed claim forms for the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2127	TRO880470FTC	12/4/2015	Hunt, Andrea	Andrea Hunt Bessick	5498			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2014 but unclear if that is just alleged newer conditions; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2128	TRO896898FTC	4/25/2016	Sales, Selma	Selma Sales	5499	8107	X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS; lists various diagnoses but dates on which each condition was first diagnosed are not clear. Says moved and had little knowledge of local events; does not read Wall Street Journal or other publications. A supplement filed at docket # 8107. Supplement alleges late 2017 diagnosis of pancreatitis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2129	TRO895881FTC	3/24/2016	Ferguson, Elmer	Elmer Ferguson	5500			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but unclear if that is just alleged newer conditions; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2130	TRO893086FTC	2/23/2016	Dancy, Michael	Michael Dancy	5501			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in November 2009; says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; in prior filing forgot to add most recent conditions; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears those are the most recent conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2131	TRO886850FTC	12/7/2015	Joiner, Peggy	William Petty	5502	8641	X	X				2001 diagnosis; filed for an injured party who resided in Columbus, MS; the injured party died 8/11/2009; rep says that injured party had been dealing with chemotherapy immediately prior to the bar date. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8641. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2132	TRO904948FTC	1/24/2017	Rogers, Charlene	Charlene Rogers	5503			X				Diagnoses 1985 and earlier; does not read Wall Street Journal or any other publication; aware when neighbors started receiving settlement checks for similar conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2133	TRO899219FTC	7/11/2016	Hodges, Martha	Martha Hodges	5504		X	X			X	First diagnosis date listed as "2009-2017;" says unaware of ongoing Tronox case; did not receive phone call, letter or learn anything from TV or radio concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2134	TRO887009FTC	12/14/2015	Cockrell, Sandra	Sandra Cockrell	5505		X	X			X	Various diagnoses before and after the bar date; says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2135	TRO886817FTC	12/7/2015	Petty, Larry	Larry Petty	5506	8755	X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date and some after. Former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to Alabama in June 2009. Says he has psychiatric issues but enclosed medical documents indicate that these episodes did not commence until 2015, long after the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8755 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2136	TRO894275FTC	3/2/2016	Gregory, Ellittie	Charles Gregory	5507	5508?		X				Diagnoses in January 2006 and earlier dates; the injured party died in 2006; place of exposure/injury not clear; rep says had no information regarding a claim to file on injured party's behalf. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2137	TRO896042FTC	4/6/2016	Gregory, Ellittie	Charles Gregory	5508	5507?		X				This is a duplicate of docket #5507 with a different TRO number for the underlying claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2138	TRO894080FTC	3/2/2016	Gregory, Walter	Charles Gregory	5509			X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; place of exposure not clear; rep says he had no information regarding a claim to file on his behalf. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2139	TRO896025FTC	4/6/2016	Gregory, Walter	Charles Gregory	5510			X				This is a duplicate of the motion at docket #5509 with a different TRO number for the underlying claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2140	TRO891780FTC	2/23/2016	Hood, Deondray	Deondray Hood	5511		X	X		X		Unaware claims were being filed; says symptoms 1990, diagnosis 2013; says did not have financial resources to go to doctor until she got sick. Unclear if diagnosis date is disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2141	TRO905733FTC	3/28/2017	Anthony, Lerond	Tremata Anthony	5512		X	X				1953 diagnosis; injured party died in 1994; rep says that rep was away with the Armed forces and did not return to Columbus, Miss after her tour (does not specify dates of service, how they relate to bar date, or why waited so many years after the bar date before filed a claim). Rep resides in Georgia and was unaware and did not know injured party exposed to Tronox product. Rep attaches the same form letter that refers to not being given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy and refers to private meetings and minimal information to community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1953 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Since the claim was barred under the statute of limitations by some time in 1956 (18 years before the injured party's death) the claim was barred before any representative would have succeeded to the claim, and so the dates of the representative's military service could not make a difference in determining whether the claim could be allowed.
2142	TRO894444FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Trevino	Trevino Harris	5513		X	X				November 1977 diagnosis; unaware of claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2143	TRO894441FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, George	George Harris	5514		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1980 and in December 2009; unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2144	TRO894442FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Panky	Panky Harris	5515		X	X			X	1997 thyroid diagnosis and treatment, asthma symptoms beginning 2000 but not "treated" until 2013; says did not file proof of claim in Tronox bankruptcy case because he was "unaware of such claim taking place." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2145	TRO888309FTC	12/18/2015	Mitchell, Jonathan	Jonathan Mitchell	5516		X	X				Says diagnosis dates were "12/1983-1/01/1985"; says no reason to know could file a claim; learned of it when people started receiving compensation. Also refers to "newspaper ads about the Tronox settlement and how they had money for people to file claims," apparently is referring to articles that prompted the filing of the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2146	TRO900607FTC	8/16/2016	Whitfield, Robert	Annie Whitfield	5517		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep was unaware the injured party was exposed to any Tronox product. Also, attached form letter referring to lack of "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and referencing secret meetings and alleged minimal sharing by some claimants of details with the rest of the community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2147	TRO887645FTC	12/14/2015	Roberts, Wanda	Wanda Roberts	5518			X				Diagnosis in 2008, condition continued in 2009; was unaware of the process; says was in the hospital at the time but does not explain why claim was not filed until so many years after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2148	TRO892988FTC	2/23/2016	Hogan, Marcella	Marcella Hogan	5519		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2149	TRO901725FTC	8/16/2016	Skinner, Georgie	Georgie Skinner	5520		X	X				Early 1990s diagnosis; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2150	TRO894778FTC	3/23/2016	Lowery, Samantha	Samantha Lowery	5521			X				Symptoms 2006-2009; diagnosis "yes"; unaware of bar date due to being a working single mother of 4 and dealing with sickly kids at the time and her own health issues; does not provide medical records to demonstrate any incapacity as of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2151	TRO894979FTC	3/23/2016	King, Travonte	Travonte King	5522						X	Symptoms 2006-2009; minor at time of bar date, mother had no knowledge of bankruptcy case, mother was single with 4 kids and had her own health issues; does not provide medical records. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2152	TRO902127FTC	9/14/2016	Adamn, U.W.	Blair, Lillie	5523		X	X				Diagnosis between 1978-1981; unaware of the claims filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2153	TRO8956553FT C	3/24/2016	Tate, Eugene	Harris, Annie	5524			X				1997 symptoms; diagnosis date "unknown"; the injured party is deceased; rep was unaware of claim filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2154	TRO890534FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Christopher	Christopher Hodges	5525	8099	X	X				1996 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; standard cut-and-pasted form language re reasons for not filing a claim by the bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8099. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2155	TRO892056FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Dominique	Dominique Wells	5525		X	X				1995 diagnosis; says there was so much fraudulent information she was not sure whether to file a claim. Does not specify what fraudulent information she is referring to or the timing or source of that information. Says she wanted to make sure she got correct information and filled out papers in 2015 or so. May be under the impression that the 2015 claim was timely, though it was six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2156	TRO900591FTC	8/16/2016	Foote, Nellie	Anita Foote	5526		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware of a lawsuit against Tronox during 2009; says nobody discusses lawsuits "untl (1) after they've received their settlement, (2) deadline is within the next week or less, and (3) you walk up on someone discussing someone else's business." Rep says did not see any advertisement about anything during that time via television or newspaper. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2157	TRO890535FTC	2/23/2016	Manning, Curtis	Christopher Hodges	5528	8098	X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; standard cut-and-pasted form language as reasons for not filing. A supplement filed at docket #8098. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2158	TRO891029FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon, Geraldine	Geraldine Gordon	5529		X	X				Symptoms began in 1994, diagnosis in 2006; says filed a legal claim in 1994, no details; says hired Chucky Easley as counsel in 2017; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2159	TRO886673FTC	12/7/2015	Irions, Irma	Irma Irions	5530		X	X		X		Alleges August 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; standard cut-and-pasted language as to why did not file by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2160	TRO901301FTC	8/16/2016	Nave, Katie	Katie Nave	5531		X	X		X		Some conditions diagnosed before 1990, polyps in 2011; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2161	TRO886751FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Iesha	Iesha Williams	5532							X	1992 diagnosis; minor at time of bar date, did not know of proceeding and did not see notices. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2162	TRO903634FTC	11/23/2016	Lowery, MC	MC Lowery	5533	8805	X	X			X		Symptoms 1999-2017; diagnosis date "yes"; previously filed with Chucky Easley 1999, did paperwork at his office. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8805 complaining about the process. Engagement of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2163	TRO900251FTC	7/25/2016	Moore, Jonathan	Jonathan Moore	5534		X	X					1982 diagnosis; says filed documents in a timely manner, but appears to be referring to answers to questions by Tort Claims Trust; filed form letter saying that she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" refers to secret meetings, minimal information to community. Allegation that some claimants had meetings without telling others is not a basis for relief. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2164	TRO884962FTC	11/25/2015	Macon, Annie	Annie Macon	5535	8031		X			X	Diagnosis dates listed generally as "2008/2009"; says no knowledge of the deadline; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8031 containing additional information and address. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2165	TRO889687FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Hazel	Kimberly Williams	5535		X	X				1953 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; place of exposure not specified; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2166	TRO888143FTC	12/18/2015	Taylor, Larry	Betty Taylor	5537	8900	X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; standard cut-and-pasted reasons why did not file by bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8900 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2167	TRO884718FTC	11/25/2015	Grassree, Deloris	Deloris Grassree	5538		X	X				2002, 2003 diagnoses; she says did not know because nobody told her anything, that is why she did not know she had to show proof. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2168	TRO897706FTC	5/25/2016	Hall, Mary	Mary Hall	5539			X				August 2006 diagnosis; did not know about the claim until after the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2169	TRO901175FTC	8/16/2016	Webb, Wyvonia	Wyvonia Webb	5540			X				August 2006 diagnosis; did not know about the claim until after the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2170	<b>TRO893193FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Harris, Sammie</b>	<b>Sammie Harris</b>	5541			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
2171	TRO901511FTC	8/16/2016	Richey, Pamela	Pamela Richey	5542	5543	X	X		X		Claimant alleges unaware of bar date; did not know how to complete form; wants Trust to consider further and/or to treat conditions that continued after the bar date as post-bar date conditions. A supplemental letter filed at docket #5543 filed by Pamela Richey for herself and additional claimants. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and allegedly misled them in 2015 or later. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not itself mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; there must be a condition that has not been diagnosed before the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2172	TRO901510FTC	8/16/2016	Keaton, Larry	Pamela Richey	5543		X	X			X	Letter at docket # 5543 filed by Pamela Richey appears to be on behalf of several claimants including this claim. there is no excuse provided concerning bar date. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent the trust instructed what to fill out and what form to use and allegedly misled claimants. Complaints about the communications with the trust do not explain why bar date was missed, the trust did not exist at the time of the bar date. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; a claim is not a post-bar date claim unless it is based on a condition that was first diagnosed after the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or if there was a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2173	TRO901443FTC	8/16/2016	Richey, Laken	Pamela Richey	5543		X	X			X	Letter at docket # 5543 filed by Pamela Richey appears to be on behalf of several claimants including this claim. there is no excuse provided concerning bar date. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent the trust instructed what to fill out and what form to use and allegedly misled claimants. Complaints about the communications with the trust do not explain why bar date was missed, the trust did not exist at the time of the bar date. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; a claim is not a post-bar date claim unless it is based on a condition that was first diagnosed after the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or if there was a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2174	TRO901445FTC	8/16;16	Trimble, Christopher	Pamela Richey	5543		X	X			X	Letter at docket # 5543 filed by Pamela Richey appears to be on behalf of several claimants including this claim. there is no excuse provided concerning bar date. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent the trust instructed what to fill out and what form to use and allegedly misled claimants. Complaints about the communications with the trust do not explain why bar date was missed, the trust did not exist at the time of the bar date. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; a claim is not a post-bar date claim unless it is based on a condition that was first diagnosed after the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or if there was a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2175	TRO90053FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Demetrice	Demetrice Trimble	5545			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust did not exist until long after the bar date, so this must be a reference to the filing of the late-filed claim. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim, wants another opportunity to add certain chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2176	TRO884923FTC	11/25/2015	Wallace, Reginald	Reginald Wallace	5546			X			X	Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2177	TRO901277FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, George	George Randle	5548		X	X			X	Diagnosis date unclear; says was unaware of the tort claim; only provides medical record for 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2178	TRO901050FTC	Unknown	Hendrix, Debbie	Debbie Hendrix	5549			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2008 and in April 2009; says she was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for her physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses.
2179	TRO880300FTC	12/4/2015	Neal, Mallie	Mallie Neal	5550		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Navarro 2002; atty stopped answering calls and then moved away. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2180	TRO894749FTC	3/23/2016	Thompson, Portis	Portis Thompson	5551		X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002; contacted atty numerous times but he said he had not heard anything about application and would contact claimant. Later found out atty closed office and views this as an opportunity to file once again. Any filing in 2002 had to be in connection with a different proceeding because the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2181	TRO894776FTC	3/23/2016	Wells, Bertha	Bertha Wells	5552		X	X				July 1996 diagnosis; unaware of the filing; spoke to atty Bambach to start the filing but before the process the atty died. Later discovered that residents were filing and that was when she decided to try filing an application again. Attorney Bambach died in 2013, which was already long after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2182	TRO901293FTC	8/16/2016	Holmes, Gloria	Gloria Holmes	5553		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after it had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2183	TRO884018FTC	11/13/2015	Purnell, Dorothy	Dorothy Purnell	5554			X				1972/73 diagnosis; says she was too young at the time of the injury (14) to do anything, but if was 14 in 1973 she must have been 50 as of the bar date; says was unaware of published claim against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2184	TRO893307FTC	2/23/2016	Lapeyrolerie, Dave	Barbara Lapeyrolerie	5555	9260	X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; unaware could file claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9260 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2185	TRO898783FTC	6/27/2016	Randle, Jim	Jim Randle	5556		X	X				This motion is on behalf of Jim Randle; a separate motion at docket #4577 is for Jim Randle, Jr. [TRO900886FTC]. The excuse offered in the motion at docket #5556 is that the claimant was unaware of the bar date. 1952 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2186	TRO900335FTC	7/25/2016	Henley, Shereka	Shereka Henley	5557		X	X				June 2005 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2187	TRO886155FTC	12/7/2015	Sherrod, Brandon	Brandon Sherrod	5558			X		X		Did not know about claims process; says symptoms and diagnosis in 2009 but does not specify when in 2009 and does not provide medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2188	TRO901274FTC	8/16/2016	Powell, Martha	Martha Powell	5559		X	X				1982 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2189	TRO902348FTC	9/14/2016	Jackson, Vincent	Vincent Jackson	5560						X	2000 diagnosis; minor; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product, guardian was unaware that condition was related to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2190	TRO893009FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Melissa	Melissa Robinson	5561		X			X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2015; unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2191	TRO885688FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Germar	Germar Harris	5562	X	X					1980s diagnosis; not aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2192	TRO894775FTC	3/23/2016	Moore, Josie	Josie Moore	5563	X	X					Diagnoses in 1955, 1960, 1982, 1991, July 2006; did not receive any information by advertisement, mail, email newspaper or telephone. After people started receiving checks she became aware that claims were taken earlier. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2193	TRO894384FTC	3/2/2016	Brook, Betty	Betty Brook	5564	X	X					1973 diagnosis; not included in Trust's summary report; claimant says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2194	TRO890742FTC	2/23/2016	McLeod, Mamie	Bertha Wells	5565		X	X				1995 diagnosis; was not aware of the filing. Spoke with atty Bambach and he was going to file but died before the process. However, Mr. Bambach died in 2013, long after the bar date. Later found out from friends and filed again. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2195	TRO900676FTC	8/16/2016	Cooper, Chisa	Chisa Cooper	5566		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2196	TRO892672FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Castella	Castella Young	5567		X	X		X		2016 diagnosis; says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; in prior filing forgot to add most recent conditions; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears those are the most recent conditions, prior filings may have referred to others. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2197	TRO893212FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Nicklaus	Tate, Nicklaus	5568		X	X				Diagnoses 1995 and earlier; unaware of claim process or that could file a claim; discharge of claim in violation of due process, does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2198	TRO894681FTC	3/23/2016	Lang, Keesha	Keesha Lang	5569		X	X			X	Refers to 1973 diagnosis at birth but also refers to various conditions (including cancer) that appear to be of later manifestation; says she did not see any form of the claims filing deadline and that notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2199	TRO895087FTC	3/23/2016	Douglas, Mark	Mark Douglas	5570		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002; says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2200	TRO894863FTC	3/23/2016	Hodges, Marquis	Hodges, Marquis	5571			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2201	TRO894417FTC	3/2/2016	Outlaw, Annette	Outlaw, Annette	5572			X			X	Says was not aware; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2202	TRO898611FTC	6/27/2016	Bell, Freddie	Bell, Percy	5573	8139 8144 8162	X	X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis but papers say the injured party died in 2001; rep unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. There is a supplement filed by the rep at docket #8139, at docket #8144 and docket #8162 saying he did not know about the lawsuit. Date of death is inconsistent with alleged diagnosis date, reason for the disparity is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2203	TRO896417FTC	4/13/2016	Vasser, Linder	Vasser, Linder	5574			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2204	TRO903662FTC	11/23/2016	Bell, Earlen	Bell, Percy	5575	8139 8144 8162	X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep not aware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process. Supplements filed by the rep at docket #8139, docket #8144 and docket #8162 saying he did not know about the lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2205	TRO895629FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Dorothy	Brown, Dorothy	5576		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; moved away from area; when she called an atty did not get to speak with anyone and they did not return call (timing of these events and identity of attorney is not specified). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2206	TRO904366FTC	1/3/2017	Cotton, Julia	Julia Cotton	5577			X			X	2009 diagnosis without specific date; lists Columbus, MS address for the period 1/12/09 through 3/1/11; says she moved and did not return until 2012 and was unaware she had been exposed to Tronox product or the filings against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2207	TRO895930FTC	4/6/2016	Thompson, Anthony	Anthony Thompson	5578			X			X	The motion at docket #5578 is for Anthony Thompson [TRO895930FTC]; a motion for another claimant named Anthony Thompson, with a different claim number [TRO895930FTC] and different address, is filed at docket #7608. Motion at docket 5578 alleges claimant did not see publication notice of claims; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; provides medical record that his dialysis for renal failure began in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2208	TRO894822FTC	3/23/2016	Brown, Aaron	Aaron Brown	5579		X	X			X	Alleges 2012 diagnosis for diverticulitis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; standard cut-and-pasted form language as reason for not filing by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2209	TRO895873FTC	3/24/2016	Barnes, Luella	Luella Barnes	5580		X	X				1958 diagnosis; moved away from Columbus after college and unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Also attaches the same form letter that says was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; secret meetings by various sectors, minimal information to community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2210	TRO905493FTC	3/28/2017	Costello, Ruth	Joseph Costello	5581			X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1975; unaware of the toxic waste but was made aware by the group filing it from Avoca, Pa. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2211	TRO912763FTC	5/30/2017	Walls, Marvin	Marvin Walls	5582		X	X				1988 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know about the claims filing deadline until 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2212	TRO889469FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Jewellean	Jewellean Walker	5583		X	X				1974 diagnosis; no knowledge of such lawsuit or claim, she was not contacted. The hospital no longer has records from that time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2213	TRO889471FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Brenda	Tanya Reed	5584		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010; rep says injured party had no knowledge of lawsuit or claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2214	TRO894927FTC	3/23/2016	Roland, Adeline	Caroline Harris	5585		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1979; rep had no knowledge that claims were being filed years ago before they knew about creosote. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2215	TRO888971FTC	12/30/2015	Sutton, Arthur	Gloria Sutton	5586		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware exposed to Tronox product. Also filed same letter concerning not being given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy, alleged secret meetings, minimal information to community. Actions of Colom and Lundy firm are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Meetings held by other claimants similarly are not grounds for relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2216	TRO887395FTC	12/14/2015	Lewis, Mary	Lewis, Mary	5587		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 1941 but many conditions are alleged, not clear if all were diagnosed then; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says filed before deadline but appears to be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2217	<b>TRO893069FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Tate, Eddie Will</b>	<b>Eddie Will Tate</b>	5588		X	X				The motion at docket # 5588 is for Eddie Will Tate [claim TRO893069FTC]; the excuse is that he did not know about the deadline. The separate motion for Eddie C. Tate [TRO892861FTC] is at docket #5600. As to Eddie Will Tate: diagnosis in 1980. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2218	TRO887815FTC	12/14/2015	Frierson, Margaret	Margaret Frierson	5589			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claims process; no knowledge of the case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2219	TRO0894629FT C	3/23/2016	Harris, April	April Harris	5590						X	2004 diagnosis; minor in 2009; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2220	TRO889472FTC	2/23/2016	Henderson, Tanya	Henderson, Tanya	5591			X				2007 diagnosis; says did not know about claim, did not hear about it from anyone. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2221	TRO887814FTC	12/14/2015	Frierson, Michael	Michael Frierson	5592			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim process; no knowledge of case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2222	TRO904328FTC	1/3/2017	Brownlee, Pearlie	Pearlie Brownlee	5593		X	X				1940s symptoms, 2004 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; unaware condition caused by Tronox product; unaware exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2223	TRO894929FTC	3/23/2016	Roland, Jim	Jim Roland	5594		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983; rep did not have knowledge about a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2224	TRO892165FTC	2/23/2016	Cotton, Christopher	Christopher Cotton	5595		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says was incarcerated in Mississippi dept of corrections and was not aware of filing and not aware of his exposure to Tronox products. Also included form letter of not receiving justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; secret meetings; minimal information to community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2225	TRO892607FTC	2/23/2016	Peek, Mary	Rosie Jethrow	5596			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2009; no excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2226	TRO892608FTC	2/23/2016	Prude, Johnny	Rosie Jethrow	5597		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why did not file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2227	TRO895139FTC	3/23/2016	Barry, Willie	Annie Barry	5598	8337	X	X				Diagnoses in 1972, 1980 and 2000; the injured party died in 2001; rep did not have knowledge of ongoing case; did not receive call, letter or information from TV or radio. A supplement filed at docket #8337, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2228	TRO898623FTC	6/27/2016	Quinn, Phillip	Phillip Quinn	5599		X	X				December 1996 diagnosis; moved to another city and unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2229	TRO892861FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Eddie C.	Eddie Tate C.	5600	8214	X	X			X	The motion at docket #5600 is for Eddie C. Tate [claim TRO892861FTC]. Eddie C. Tate's excuse is that he was unaware of and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case. A serious condition diagnosed in 2017, although he includes earlier conditions as well. A supplement filed at docket #8214, says there were no public notices to warn the people of the effects that the toxins would have. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2230	TRO894751FTC	3/23/2016	Moore, Henry	Henry Moore	5601			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2014; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reason did not file by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2231	TRO880354FTC	12/4/2015	Roland, George	Tate, Janice	5602		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; previously filed with Colom law firm, offered a \$20,000 settlement but rejected it (also was told law firm would get 50% of settlement for legal fees). Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2232	TRO888141FTC	12/18/2015	Erby, Lincoln	Janice Wallace	5603		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2233	TRO904365FTC	1/3/2017	Cotton, Jeanette	Jeanette Cotton	5604			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2009 (date not specified in form) but also alleges that hired atty Chuck Easley to pursue a claim in 2007 or 2008; says attorney filed out paperwork wrong, put down diseases she did not have and submitted an erroneous claim. Also, she includes same letter as numerous others that refers to not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to private meetings and minimal information to community. Unclear when actually retained attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2234	TRO900665FTC	8/16/2016	Anthony, Michael	Michael Anthony	5605	8402		X			X	Alleges diagnosis in 2009 (date not specified in form); moved prior to 2009; unaware of the proceedings and of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8402, same letter concerning not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information in community. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Meetings held by other claimants are not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2235	TRO880273FTC	12/4/2015	Melvin, Donald	Donald Melvin	5606			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis; says was in the armed forces; unaware of these proceedings until returned from tour of duty but does not provide dates of service. Also attaches the form letter that says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meeting and minimal information to community. Movant may make a supplemental submission verifying dates of military service if movant contends that military service tolled the application of the bar date past September 2015 or otherwise contends that the dates of military service provide "excusable neglect" for a late-filed claim. Regardless of the ultimate disposition of the claims as to pre-bar date diagnoses the movant's claims based on any conditions first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2236	TRO889719FTC	2/23/2016	Cobb, Curtis	Curtis Cobb	5607			X			X	Claimant says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2237	TRO895670FTC	3/24/2016	Cheatham, Angelica	Cheatham, Angelica	5608			X			X	Various diagnosis dates 2009-2012, date of first 2009 diagnosis not specified; says unaware of the tort process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2238	TRO891872FTC	2/23/2016	Lenoir, Alice	Lenoir, Alice	5609			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2015 but provides medical records for 2008; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2239	TRO897535FTC	5/25/2016	Douglas, Jakayla	James Douglas	5610						X	2003/2004 symptoms, no diagnosis date; minor at time of bar date, no other excuse offered. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2240	TRO900972FTC	8/16/2016	Bush, John	Ethel Bush	5611		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says claim was filed in a timely manner but may be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Also attaches a form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2241	TRO904360FTC	1/3/2017	Cotton, Liza	Cotton, Liza	5612		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Also attaches the form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2242	TRO885998FTC	12/7/2015	Barry, Feeanaya	Feeanaya Barry	5613		X	X				1983 diagnosis; on road driving trucks; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Also attaches the form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2243	TRO903237FTC	10/27/2016	Cotton, Alexeddie	Cotton, Liza	5614			X		X		Alleges 2010 symptoms and diagnosis but includes earlier medical records; the injured party died in 2015; rep says unaware injured party was exposed to Tronox product. Attaches form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy." Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2244	TRO900606FTC	8/16/2016	Whitfield, Annie	Annie Whitfield	5615		X	X				1966 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Attaches form letter complaining about Colum and Lundy guidance and alleged secrecy in community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2245	TRO887686FTC	12/14/2015	Brown, Rochelle	Rochelle Brown	5616			X			X	Alleges 2009 symptoms and diagnosis but medical records go back to 2007 and says previously hired atty Benny Turner in 2008; after atty died office claimed they had no records on injured party. Attaches form letter complaining about guidance of Colom and Lundy and alleged secrecy in community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2246	TRO891112FTC	2/23/2016	McCloud, Scylind	Skylind McCloud	5617		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says unaware could file claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2247	TRO891114FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Caleb	Tameka Williams	5618						X	2007 diagnosis; minor, rep says unaware could file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2248	TRO891115FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Tameka	Tameka Williams	5619		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990 (asthma) and 2005 (miscarriage); previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware could file a bankruptcy claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2249	TRO891116FTC	2/23/2016	Tyus, Takhari	Tameka Williams	5620		X	X				2002 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2250	N/A	10/30/2017	McDowell, Tandra	Tandra McDowell	5621			X				Merely a trust claim form; no excuse provided.
2251	N/A	12/7/2015	Watson, Mikel	Mikel Watson	5622			X				Merely a copy of a trust claim form; says previously filed claim (apparently referring to the late-filed claim), there is no information on dates of illnesses; does not provide an excuse or make any showing as to sufficient grounds for relief.
2252	TRO886224FTC	12/7/2015	Hamilton, Arthur	Arthur Hamilton	5623			X		X		Signed rejection notice. Letter at docket #9313 complaining about the process. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2253	TRO901483FTC	Unknown	Trimble, Symorion	Symorion Trimble	5624			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, Trust did not exist until several years after the bar date so this explanation does not explain a failure to file a claim by the bar date. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2254	TRO900051FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Valerie	Valerie Trimble	5625			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, Trust did not exist until several years after the bar date so this explanation does not explain a failure to file a claim by the bar date. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2255	TRO900052FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Camelia	Valerie Trimble	5626			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, Trust did not exist until several years after the bar date so this explanation does not explain a failure to file a claim by the bar date. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2256	TRO900053FTC	8/16/2016	Badon, Lee	Lee Badon	5627			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2257	TRO894824FTC	3/23/2016	McDowell, Linda	Linda McDowell	5628		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of future tort claim because certain groups of claimants allegedly maintained information privately and did not tell others. In addition, false information was imparted saying that only people who worked in the plant could file. No specification of time period. There was an earlier action that apparently was limited to people who worked for Kerr-McGee, that is unrelated to the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2258	TRO898084FTC	6/20/2016	Doss, Montresa	Montresa Doss	5629			X		X		Claim is based on 2010-2011 miscarriages; unaware of the claim process; she later learned of it and did research and discovered the chemicals could have caused her conditions. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2259	TRO887481FTC	12/14/2015	Bateast, Damarcus	Damarcus, Bateast	5630		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2005. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2260	TRO900765FTC	8/16/2016	Henley, Dorothy	Dorothy Henley	5631		X	X				June 1977 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2261	TRO892965FTC	2/23/2016	Lyons, Tavoris	Tavoris Lyons	5632		X	X				1994 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2262	TRO893828FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Demetrice	Williams, Demetrice	5633			X		X		Says symptoms Oct 2009 and diagnosis Dec. 2009; unaware of claim filed against Tronox; unaware how to obtain information or assistance with the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2263	TRO891811FTC	2/23/2016	Kidd, Roy	Roy Kidd	5634		X	X				Diagnosis date "45 years"; no excuse provided for failure to make a timely filing. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2264	TRO892688FTC	2/23/2016	Pippins, Darla Holt	Darla Holt Pippins	5635		X	X				1991 diagnosis; says filed over and over again (apparently referring to communications with trust about late claim) and still has not gotten a response. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2265	TRO896647FTC	4/25/2016	Bedford, Edward	Betty Chmiel	5636			X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says previously filed "whenever the first papers were mailed out by Powell & Powell," which appears to be a reference to a law firm that handled a class action in Avoca, PA. Rep says that he assumed the injured party filed his claim when the rep filed his because lived with him and both got the claims at the same time. Motion is referring to a prior proceeding (not the bankruptcy case). Prior proceeding and awareness of claim filed by injured party shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2266	TRO887878FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Martha	Martha Jones	5637		X	X				1994-95 diagnosis; never heard anything about the claim filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2267	TRO894418FTC	3/2/2016	Outlaw, Dean	Dean Outlaw	5638			X		X		Was not aware; says that asthma and respiratory issues were diagnosed in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2268	TRO890752FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, John	John Smith	5639			X				1965 and 1996 diagnoses; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Place of alleged exposure not specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2269	TRO884828FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Helen	Helen Harris	5640			X		X		Says diagnosis in 2009 but also says previously filed with atty Bambach and was told another attorney had his files but he could not find out anything. Says symptoms Feb. or Mar. 2009, diagnosis Sept. 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2270	TRO886705FTC	12/7/2015	Kidd, Tyriq	Tyriq Kidd	5641		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2271	TRO893822FTC	3/2/2016	Calhoun, Mary	Calhoun, Mary	5642			X		X		Says symptoms Sept. 2009 and diagnosis Oct. 2009; says did not know that there was a claim filed against the company. Later told that her signs and symptoms related to the company and she needed to file a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2272	TRO893826FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, James	James Williams	5643			X		X		Says symptoms Nov 2010 and diagnosis Jan. 2011; says was not informed of the claim against the company, later learned of it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2273	TRO893062FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Jimmie	Jimmie Sherrod	5644	8237	X	X				1985-2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn 2000; but did not know about dealing with any other attorney until recently; says received one letter from atty Gunn, and his condition remained the same and he decided to file with the Trust. A supplement filed at docket #8237, says unaware of filing in 1998 and 2009. Says the Columbus Dispatch informed residents about future claims on October 10, 2015. Notice of the bar date was published in the Columbus Dispatch in June 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same also were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2274	TRO885784FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, David	David Sherrod	5645		X	X				1990s diagnosis; unaware of claims process until this claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2275	TRO893959FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Jean Thompson	Jean Thompson Johnson	5646		X	X				1974-75 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002; filled out application and tried to reach out to attorney but he always responded that he had not heard anything from the applicant. He moved, office closed and claimant says he filled out another application. Dealings with Bambach show awareness of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2276	TRO898632FTC	Unknown	Hadley Acuri, Margaret	Margaret Sardo Hadley	5647			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1980, 1985, 1990; injured party is deceased; rep says did not know who to blame until years later when found out about all illness in her town Avoca. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2277	TRO895023FTC	3/23/2016	Lavelle, Timothy	Timothy Lavelle	5648			X		X		Says does not currently have a decease but may have one in the future; says moved and never received mail. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claims are not available for people who have not been injured, but any dispute over that fact as to condition first diagnosed after the bar dates is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2278	TRO900898FTC	8/16/2016	Morton, Arma	Arma Morton	5649			X				July 2006 diagnosis; was unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2279	TRO893673FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Jada	Jada Harris	5650			X			X	Previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Unclear if claims a new condition arose in 2017. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2280	TRI902638FTC	4/20/2016	Sempa, Frank	Frank Sempa	5651		X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; rep unaware of lawsuit or that he could file for a deceased person. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2281	TRO891841FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Wayne	Wayne Jamison	5652		X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all in 1970s; unaware of any case against Tronox; unaware of claims filing deadline; says that he was ill at the time of the bar date, thyroid surgery was in June 2009 and the medication prescribed caused him "not to think clearly." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2282	TRO887529FTC	12/14/2015	Malone III, Jimmie	Jimmie Malone III	5653		X	X				Unaware of the claims process; also attaches the letter by Carl A. Lee that complains about the alleged "incompetence" of the trust and the Colom law firm in the process. Colom law firm handled earlier class actions, received notice of the bar date but had no official role in the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2283	TRO905755FTC	4/24/2017	Lis, Alexander	Anna Donovan	5654			X				1958 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1965; rep says that it never occurred to him to file a claim. When the judge granted him another chance in June 2016, he decided to file the claim. (Appears to be under the impression that the bar date was simply lifted for everyone in 2016.) Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2284	TRO905210FTC	3/1/2017	Gorkowski, Richard	Uriah Harris	5655			X				Diagnoses in 1960s; unaware of this lawsuit; never received notice that one was being filed; did not realize that lived in direct region of where exposure occurred, thought he was far enough away from the plant, did not realize that asthma could have been caused by Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2285	TRO895031FTC	3/23/2016	Lavelle, Tara	Tara Lavelle	5656			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit until relatives received checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2286	TRO896947FTC	Unknown	Spraggins, Willie	Willie Spraggins	5657	4148		X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signature page is at docket # 4148. Says did not know when the case came up in court; symptoms 2014 and provides 2014 medical diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2287	TRO884604FTC	Unknown	Kelly, Shaquala	Shaquala Kelly	5658			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 diagnosis; says did not know about the claim at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2288	TRO887968FTC	12/18/2015	Edwards, Catherine	Catherine Edwards	5659		X	X			X	2005 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware of the claim and filing dates. Date of 2009 condition and diagnosis is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2289	TRO892571FTC	2/23/2016	Hairston, Courtney	Courtney Hairston	5660			X			X	Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says diagnosis 2011. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2290	TRO898181FTC	6/20/2016	Young, Julia	Julia Young	5661			X			X	Says diagnosis 2011 unclear if refers to new issues; says did not get a detailed understanding on the condition to the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2291	TRO902820FTC	10/13/2016	Abromavage, Denis	Denis Abromavage	5662			X				2004 diagnosis; moved from PA to FLA in 1999 and was not informed of the Tronox tort claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2292	TRO900382FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Traequan	Traequan Key	5664			X				March 2007 diagnosis; unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2293	TRO901257FTC	8/16/2016	Key, Tymeka	Tymeka Key	5665			X				April 2008 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2294	TRO900483FTC	8/16/2016	Key, Willie	Willie Key	5666			X				October 2006 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2295	TRO900383FTC	7/25/2016	Key. Sara	Sara Key	5667			X				February 2008 diagnosis; no idea claim could be filed until after the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2296	TRO900384FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Taraus	Taraus Key	5668		X	X				October 2004 diagnosis; was not aware of claim until after deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2297	TRO893672FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Connie	Connie Harris	5669		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach; paperwork lost. Work with counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2298	TRO897925FTC	6/10/2016	Williams, Julia	Julia Williams	5670		X	X				1999 diagnosis; was incapacitated at the time of the bar date due to his heart condition and was unaware of the filing process. Also files letter that says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of the Colom law firm" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to the community. Says psychosis is one of her conditions but does not refer to it in excuse. Does not verify incapacity to a degree that rendered claimant unable to file a claim or to get help in doing so. More importantly, claim was time-barred years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In any event, movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2299	TRO884441FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Mindy	Mindy Petty	5671	8169 9041	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with William Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8169. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9041 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2300	TRO904052FTC	1/3/2017	Ellis, Barry	Barry Ellis	5672	8336	X	X				1979 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of the ongoing case of Tronox, never received a phone call, letter or anything on TV or radio. A supplement filed at docket #8336, without knowledge to wade through bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2301	TRO881090FTC	12/4/2015	Wilson (Smith), Brenda	Brenda Smith Wilson	5673		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline never received; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2302	TRO885931FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Joanne	Joanne Smith	5674			X			X	2009 diagnosis (precise date not specified on motion form); unaware of the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2303	TRO886696FTC	12/7/2015	Lash, Addie	Irene Hairston	5675		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as "1978-2009 until she died"; the injured party died in Jan. 2009; rep says did not file because did not know and had no reason to know about the deadline; discharge of claim is a violation of due process. Unclear if continuing condition or series of different diagnoses, but accrual of claim based on a continuing condition occurs when it was first diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2304	TRO893371FTC	2/23/2016	Dismuke, Queen	Joanne Smith	5676		X	X				1994 diagnosis; was not aware of the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2305	TRO895735FTC	3/24/2016	Butler, Michael	Michael Butler	5677			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; does not provide medical records; says was unaware of the process until 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2306	TRO889383FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Fannie	Fannie Jordan	5678		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2307	TRO903499FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Alforzinie	Alforzinie Sims	5679			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis on August 30, 2009; did not have knowledge of the bankruptcy case, deadline date, and lack of knowledge on how to complete a claim for excusable neglect; unaware of exposure to chemicals. Also complains of "someone portraying to represent Tronox Trust" that misled them to refer to certain chemicals; trust did not exist as of the bar date, so this must relate to the late-filed claim. Also files the standard letter that refers to an unnamed person who allegedly misled residents. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a post-bar date diagnosis is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2308	TRO884924FTC	11/25/2015	Lash, Walter	Irene Hairston	5680		X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1979; rep says did not know and had no reason to know about the deadline; says discharge of claim violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2309	TRO889238FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Paula	Paula Baker	5681		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990, 2005 and 2008; says did not know of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2310	TRO900102FTC	7/25/2016	Perry, Sammie	Sammie Perry	5682		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says filed for disability on his job and was denied and wants assistance; does not provide an excuse for failing to file by bar date. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Complains about disability proceedings but this Court has no jurisdiction over such proceedings.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2311	TRO885022FTC	11/25/2015	Cunningham, Tennie	Tennie Cunningham	5683	8134	X	X			x	Diagnosis dates unclear, form says "beginning in 1997-2009," may be describing continued condition though many separate conditions and symptoms are listed. The injured party died in 2018; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; was not aware of the case in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8134, rep provides death certificate. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2312	TRO888570FTC	12/24/2015	Lamley, Nancy	Nancy Lamley	5684	8289	X	X				1989 diagnosis; unaware of the process and did not know that could file a claim for option 2. She states that "according to 'The Dispatch' newspaper," a local newspaper in Columbus, MS, the residents were not properly notified of how to receive claims for personal injury, but what she quotes from the article was the position taken by the Colom and McClanahan attorneys representing some class action plaintiffs, and the judge disagreed and found the notice sufficient. A supplement filed at docket #8289, says was without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2313	TRO893020FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Leroy	Lorraine Shelton	5685		X	X				1998 and 2005 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2006; previously filed with the Colom law firm 1998 and received an award in 2002. Excuse: ill from treatments, but deceased prior to bar date; rep does not provide excuse. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2314	TRO887924FTC	12/18/2015	McKnight, Jean	Jean McKnight	5686		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of Tronox claim; did not have knowledge, nor did he receive a letter, email, phone call; did learn anything from a magazine ad or TV or radio. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2315	TRO886296FTC	12/7/2015	Petty, Genice	Genice Petty	5687		X	X				1973 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; unaware and no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case; saw no publication notices. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2316	TRO886297FTC	12/7/2015	Guyton, Romalro	Romalro Guyton	5688		X	X				1978 diagnosis; not aware of claim, no knowledge of bankruptcy case, did not see publication notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2317	TRO892757FTC	2/23/2016	Pippins, Alice	Syboris Pippins	5689			X				Alleges 2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; previously filed with the Colom law firm (dates not specified); cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2318	TRO897369FTC	5/25/2016	Triplett, Travis	Travis Triplett	5690			X		X		January 2009 diagnosis; moved to another county and not aware of the claim; says is currently suffering from cardiovascular conditions, may or may not be different from conditions for which January 2009 diagnosis was rendered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2319	TRO903093FTC	10/13/2016	Turner, Edward	Joan Turner	5691	6732		X				See also motion at docket # 6732; motions are not identical in form but are filed by the same person on behalf of the same injured party. The movant says that as far as she knows, the injured party was diagnosed in 2008 but she did not learn about it until after the bar date because they did not reside together nor have any communication prior to the bar date. it is not clear what the relationship is between the movant and the injured party. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2320	TRO891753FTC	2/23/2016	Bluitt, Juanita	Juanita Buitt	5692			X		X		Alleges 2008 symptoms, November 2009 diagnosis; moved and did not know about the claim until later date; retained counsel on June 14, 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2321	TRO886336FTC	12/7/2015	Petty, Minnie	Minnie Petty	5694	8153	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork misplaced. A supplement filed at docket #8153. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9039 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2322	TRO892359FTC	2/23/2016	McCottrell, Sr., William	William McCottrell, Sr.	5695		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says that he filed on time but apparently is under misimpression that the late-filed claim was timely. Also filed a form letter concerning not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information shared by other claimants in the community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not clear but in any event is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2323	TRO893737FTC	3/2/2016	Lacomis, Karen	Karen Lacomis	5696			X				1954 diagnosis; when her spouse filed, she thought claims were restricted to certain conditions but later discovered that another relative received a payment for another condition. She says she is uncertain what more to tell as the process is confusing and she is not a lawyer. Filing by spouse shows awareness of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2324	TRO887771FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Kimberly Andrews	Howard, Kimberly Andrews	5697			X		X		Says symptoms 2010 and diagnosis 5/9/2013; was not aware of the bankruptcy case. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2325	TRO887117FTC	12/14/2015	Cowans, Kyla	Kyla Cowans	5698		X	X				1985 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; moved from Mississippi after graduation when she married a Marine. A supplemental letter filed, unaware of bar date, moved to another state. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2326	TRO898664FTC	Unknown	Pierce, Maggie	Maggie Pierce	5699			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2327	TRO891715FTC	Unknown	Balchune, Florence	Florence Balchune	5700			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2328	TRO891714FTC	Unknown	Balachune, Frank	Frank Balachune	5701			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2329	TRO903017FTC	Unknown	Malone, Debra	Debra Malone	5702			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2330	TRO903491FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Terrika	Terrika Sims	5703			X		X		Was offered \$500 claim from Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2331	TRO903498FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Trinitee	Terrika Sims	5704			X			X	Was offered \$2500 claim from Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2332	TRO903490FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Tjah	Terrika Sims	5705			X			X	Was offered \$500 claim by Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2333	TRO903489FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Destiny	Terrika Sims	5706			X			X	Was offered a \$2,500 claim by Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2334	TRO886982FTC	12/7/2015	Kuna, Martin	Kuna, Martin	5707			X				2001 diagnosis; says that prior to lawsuit he was injured in a workplace accident, had multiple surgeries and loss use of hand; was a very traumatic time and was completely unaware of lawsuit, but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2335	TRO886736FTC	12/7/2015	Kuna, Marianne	Kuna, Marianne	5708			X				1987 diagnosis; she refers to spouse's injury in workplace and being consumed with caring for him and taking care of household while traveling back and forth to Philadelphia, but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2336	TRO895343FTC	3/24/2016	Harris, Wanda	Wanda Harris	5709		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001-2002 lawsuit; says thought law firm continued to represent her throughout process; says "[b]ecause of Judicial malpractice and counselor ineptitude, my claim was mishandled;" says was not notified about the bankruptcy case or deadlines. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if Colom firm continued to represent her but if it did that does not show excusable neglect as the Colom firm had direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2337	TRO898317FTC	6/20/2016	Wega, Katherine	Vaccaro, Sarah	5710			X				Diagnoses 1958 and earlier; says she did not know about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2338	TRO886735FTC	12/7/2015	Kuna, Matthew	Kuna, Matthew	5711		X	X				1991 diagnosis; says was a minor but also says exposure began in 1987, so was at least 21 or 22 by the bar date; says was unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2339	TRO889026FTC	12/30/2015	Bridges, Melissa	Bridges, Melissa	5712							June 2000 diagnosis; unaware of any claims and the process; joined the U.S. Air Force in January 2003 and was stationed in Georgia in 2009, serving in Texas as of 2017 motion filing. Military service tolled application of state statute of limitations (if not already expired as of January 2003) and tolled application of the bar date, so the claim cannot be excluded on timeliness grounds. The claim is permitted subject to such defenses as the Trust may assert, which are to be resolved under the Trust's normal dispute resolution procedures.
2340	TRO901613FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Catina	Catina Brown	5713		X	X				Exposure began 1974, diagnosis in "middle school"; did not know about the legal proceedings or the class action lawsuit against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2341	TRO888269FTC	12/18/2015	Demich, Joseph	Joseph Demich	5714			X				1982 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Robert Powell, the Powell Group 2011, the law firm said his claim was "excepted" but may mean accepted, but he never received any other correspondence. He thinks they confused him with another relative with the same name as other relatives received settlements; says he did not receive notice of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Any confusion as to a prior class action needs to be addressed in that proceeding. Powell firm participated in the bankruptcy case, if did not file a claim for this movant that is not grounds for relief unless counsel's failure can be excused, no such excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2342	TRO912810FTC	5/30/2017	Potorski, John	M Potorski	5715			X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says not residing in Avoca when notice was sent out to residents about lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2343	TRO903257FTC	10/27/2016	Farrell, Michael	Michael Farrell	5716	8187		X		X		Says first diagnosis in 1996 but lists various different conditions, does not appear to have listed the first diagnosis date for each condition, some conditions listed as starting before bar date, some after. Rep says the injured party worked at the plant and that he was threatened by management not to file any lawsuit against the company or he would not be able to collect pension. Motion acknowledges that the plant was closed in 1996, 13 years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009, but movant alleges that the injured party was afraid that his pension would be affected if made a claim. Does not allege lack of notice of bar date or of his legal rights. No identification of who allegedly said not to file a lawsuit, or when. Rep says the injured party suffers from functional illiteracy, incompetence in reading, writing and mathematics, and rep says she was the only person to assist but at the time was deployed in Iraq, but not a sufficient showing of injured party's inability to file or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Insufficient showing of relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2344	TRO901610FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Jerry	Jerry Brown	5717		X	X				Exposure began 1975, diagnosis "early adulthood;" submitted a claim through "the class action lawsuit" but may be confused and may be thinking about the late claim filed with the Trust; says was unaware of legal proceedings or class action against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2345	TRO896722FTC	4/25/2016	Harris, Ollie	Wanda Harris	5718		X	X				1970-71 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who is now deceased; rep says that she was informed that another relative who is now deceased filed a claim by legal counsel but the rep has no way to retrieve that information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2346	TRO890881FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Young	5719			X		X		Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2347	TRO885153FTC	11/25/2015	Scott, Jovante	Jovante Scott	5720		X	X				1978 and 1988 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$500; says did not file in 2009 because was not notified of the legal proceeding against Tronox. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2348	TRO913334FTC	5/30/2017	White, Lawrence	Lawrence White	5721		X	X		X		Says first diagnosis in 1968 but refers to many conditions, unclear if all were diagnosed then; says was unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2349	TRO900945FTC	8/16/2016	Hill, Terry	Terry Hill	5722						X	1997 and 2005 diagnoses; minor, and as a child did not know about Tronox product claim filing, does not say why parent or guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2350	TRO892699FTC	2/23/2016	Pruitt, Eunice	Pruitt, Eunice	5723		X	X				Diagnoses at various dates, all 2004 or earlier; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; was not aware of the case in 2009. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2351	TRO892513FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Arlandus	Kimberly Harris	5724	9171 9192		X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; diagnosis date written as 8/2009, then overwritten as 9/2009; the injured party died in 2014; rep says that they were both unaware of bankruptcy case, and did not know that Tronox and Kerr-McGee are the same company. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9171 and at docket #9192 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2352	TRO896749FTC	4/25/2016	McCarthy, Anna (Nan) Ida	Sheila Strucke	5726	8083		X				Printed claim form lists injured party's name as "Nancy McCarthy" but handwritten entries list name as "Anna (Nan) Ida McCarthy." 1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1975; rep moved away from Avoca in 1981. A supplement filed at docket # 8083, rep unaware of bar date; did not receive notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2353	TRO905774FTC	4/24/2017	Hicks, Amanda	Hicks, Amanda	5727						X	1993 diagnosis; lived in Columbus, MS at time of the bar date but moved away with parents who were serving in active military - Air Force - provides dates of parents' service. Unclear if movant was a minor as of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2354	TRO892509FTC	2/23/2016	Webber, Louise	Louise Webber	5729		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says she was incapacitated at time of the 2009 bar date because of her illnesses; provides same letter concerning not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colum and Lundy" and referring to private meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Insufficient showing of incapacity in terms of inability to file a claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2355	TRO906005FTC	4/24/2017	Lucious, Tiffany	Lucious, Tiffany	5730			X				Diagnosis date unclear; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002 plant class action; unaware, no knowledge of bankruptcy case; standard language as to why did not file a claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2356	TRO902296FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Shantis	Shameka Williams	5731			X				2001 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; when she learned of the earlier class actions, she was too late to file; then she did not become aware that "another lawsuit was reopened for this case" until after the bar date, she was unaware of any deadlines. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2357	TRO902298FTC	9/7/2016	Wright, Jamaricus	Shameka Williams	5732			X				2002 diagnosis; rep says when she learned of the earlier class actions, she was too late to file; then she did not become aware that "another lawsuit was reopened for this case" until after the bar date, she was unaware of any deadlines. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2358	TRO891941FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Beverly	Beverly Fields	5733	8315		X				Mid-80s to mid-90s diagnoses; former Mississippi resident; previously filed with Colom law firm and attorney Bambach; unaware case had been "reopened;" the law firm said she did not have a claim back then which she did not understand as she lived in the area and had medical issues and her children were born with conditions. A supplement filed at docket #8315. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct or advice of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2359	TRO890128FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Thelma Davis	Thomas, Thelma Davis	5734		X	X				1979 diagnosis; injured party resided in Columbus, MS; says was out of state taking care of a sick relative who was dying, when she returned to state, it was too late to file, does not provide dates of this care and does not explain why waited so long after the bar date before filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2360	<b>TRO898721FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Tate, Anderson</b>	<b>Margaret Hill</b>	5735		X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed this motion as docket 6975, which is a separate motion by Anderson Tate III on his own behalf. As to the motion at docket 5735: 2001 diagnosis; the injured party lived in Columbus, MS but died in 2006; rep not aware of claim or that it could be filed against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2361	TRO900969FTC	8/16/2016	Tate, Anna	Margaret Hill	5736		X	X			X	Alleges 2001 and 2010 diagnoses; the injured party was a Columbus, MS resident who died in 2010; unaware of claims filing for exposure to Tronox product. Unclear if 2010 diagnosis was for a new condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2362	TRO901033FTC	8/16/2016	Hill, Bobbie Jean	Bobbie Jean Hill	5737		X	X				1972 diagnosis; says incapacitated at time of bar date, "recently had a stroke when first tort claim" (does not provide date or medical record). Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2363	TRO896748FTC	4/25/2016	Strucke, Irene	Strucke, Richard	5738	8082		X				2006 and 2008 diagnoses; injured party died in 2008; rep moved from DuPont, Pa in 1981; rep unaware of Tronox matter. A supplement filed at docket #8082, rep unaware of bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2364	TRO892178FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Adrian	Adrian Brown	5739			X			X	2009 diagnosis; resident of Columbus, MS as of the bar date; says filed legal proceedings against Tronox prior to claim filing deadline "but I/my attorney did not receive direct written notice of the claims filing deadline," but in answer to another form question says that did not engage an attorney at any time to make a claim. No record of claim under this name. Precise date of alleged 2009 diagnosis is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2365	TRO902584FTC	9/14/2016	O'Hop, Christine	Christine O'Hop	5740			X		X		Various diagnoses, some before and some after the bar date; says worked a 12 hour shift, after work was very tired and went to bed, no TV. On days off was caregiver for parents, and running errands and taking care of responsibilities, no time to socialize. At job, worked independently, no socializing. Not incapacitated in a manner that would provide relief from the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2366	TRO889560FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Patricia	Robinson, Patricia	5741			X		X		Unaware and no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 but does not say precise month. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2367	TRO888881FTC	Unknown	Hicks, Johnny	Tracey L. Hicks	5742			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; the injured party died before the period for which addresses were requested in the form (January 12, 2009 through March 10, 2011); rep says that the injured party did file a claim but could not recall the info, but given the date of death any claim must have been in a different proceeding and not in the bankruptcy case, as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Rep says she did not receive notice of bar date and was unaware of any. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2368	TRO890116FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Alonzo	Alonzo Thomas	5743		X	X				1965 diagnosis; says was misinformed that you must work at or live near company site; later learned could file if you worked near the site. May be referring to information about an earlier action on behalf of employees. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2369	TRO890616FTC	2/23/2016	McDavis, Dorothy	McDavis, Dorothy	5744		X	X				1992 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; did not receive direct notice required to file a claim. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2370	TRO897422FTC	5/25/2016	Smith, Torincule	Torincule Smith	5745		X	X				2000 diagnosis; standard form cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2371	TRO898839FTC	6/27/2016	Richardson, Tamela	Tamela Richardson	5746			X				August 2006 diagnosis; unaware of the claim until after the deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2372	TRO901951FTC	9/14/2016	Spann, Anthony	Anthony Spann	5747		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm, 1998 or 1999; says did not know of Tronox case. Participation in prior action shows notice of legal rights and claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2373	TRO894370FTC	3/2/2016	Claborn, Edward	Edward Claborn	5748		X	X				Diagnoses in 2002, 2003 and 2008; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2374	<b>TRO896832FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Mickens, Earnestime</b>	<b>Earnestine Mickens</b>	5749	5755	X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. December 2009 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says symptoms and diagnosis in late 2009 but also includes records for 1994, so diagnosis date may be disputed. A duplicate motion filed at docket #5755. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2375	TRO898719FTC	6/27/2016	Coleman, Willie	Coleman, Willie	5750		X	X				1980 diagnosis; was unaware of claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2376	TRO885026FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Gaelan	Gaelan Petty	5751	8159 9042	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork misplaced. A supplement filed at docket #8159. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9042 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2377	TRO900937FTC	8/16/2016	Ferretti, Patricia	John Chopyak	5752			X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party resided in Pittston, PA; injured party was sick with multiple sclerosis; rep says taking care of other sick relative also but does not provide dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2378	<b>TRO889699FTC</b>	2/23/2016	Hahn, Catherine	Roland Casper	5753							Claim number is listed incorrectly in the Trust's summary. The injured party died in 2014; rep says that the injured party's spouse was in the process of filing when he became ill, made trips to hospital and died 12/15/2009; rep says injured party thought claim had been filed. Court will accept the explanation and permit the late filing of the claim, the merits of which will be resolved by the Trust under its normal procedures.
2379	TRO890515FTC	2/23/2016	Casper, Ronald	Ronald Casper	5754			X				Alleges a 2007 diagnosis but says previously filed with the Powell law firm in 2004; claim may have been resolved in a prior proceeding. If not, the Powell firm was actively involved in the Tronox bankruptcy case and had notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reasons why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2380	TRO901673FTC	8/16/2016	Coleman, John	Coleman, John	5756		X	X				Diagnoses 1981 and earlier; unaware of claim process; unaware of the dangers of the Tronox products. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2381	TRO880220FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Quintin	Quintin Joiner	5757		X	X				1988, 2005 diagnoses; says "I wasn't informed by the lawyer," not clear which attorney the claimant has in mind. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2382	TRO897353FTC	5/25/2016	Mickens, Glen	Glen Mickens	5759		X	X		X		Says was incompetent at time of bar date and now, unclear who filled out form motion; did not receive phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning matter; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but refers to a "previous claim" and an "updated claim." Insufficient showing of alleged incompetence to extent of inability to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2383	TRO891393FTC	2/23/2016	Whitfield, Monique	Monique Whitfield	5760		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and rejected an offer; unaware of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2384	TRO895578FTC	3/24/2016	Harmon, Linda	Linda Harmon	5761			X		X		Says during first proceeding it was reported that only homeowners in certain area had right to file claim; that is a reference to earlier class actions that were on behalf of such persons. Alleges diagnoses in 2012 and 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2385	TRO888027FTC	12/18/2015	Harmon, William	Linda Harmon	5762			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis; says did not know could file a claim, was misinformed that you had to own property in area (that is a reference to a prior class action on behalf of property damage claimants). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2386	TRO887895FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Zelda	Zelda Williams	5763		X	X			X	Did not know; misinformed, told that must own property to file claim (that is a reference to an earlier class action on behalf of property damage claimants); says minor issues 1975; major issue Nov. 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2387	TRO894114FTC	3/2/2016	Pruitt, Doris	Pruitt, Doris	5764		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1981 and earlier; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2388	TRO890016FTC	2/23/2016	Dismuke, Richard	Johnikin, TaWanda Dismuke	5765	8394 9474 9475	X	X				Symptoms began before 1990; diagnosis date uncertain; the injured party died in 2008; rep says living out of town; did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8394, rep says she moved out of town and publication notice was not available to her; not aware and had no reason to understand that the condition was caused by exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2389	TRO897961FTC	Unknown	Bigbee, Jr., Bennie	Bennie Bigbee, Jr.	5766			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2390	TRO890719FTC	2/23/2016	Latham, Charles	Lisa James	5767		X	X				1978 and 2003 diagnoses; the injured party died 07/2009; rep says previously filed with Colom law firm and they lost paperwork and she was advised to contact atty Gunn but he said he was not taking any more cases; rep says unaware of claims process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2391	TRO880740FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook, Willie	Willie Westbrook	5768			X		X		Unaware of claims process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process; some conditions prior to bar date, more serious conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2392	TRO901182FTC	8/16/2016	Taylor, Willie	Bobby Taylor	5769		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep says claim process was closed when he learned of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2393	<b>TRO891671FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	Clay, Erika	Erika Clay	5770			X		X		Unaware of claims process; symptoms before bar date, says diagnosis after bar date. The TRO number on the relevant claim is TRO891671FTC. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2394	TRO905791FTC	4/24/2017	Goulde, Davin	Davin Goulde	5771	8922	X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed the injured party as Willie Whitfield; this claim is by Davin Goulde on his own behalf. Diagnoses 1990 and earlier; unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8922 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2395	TRO880870FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook,Beulah	Beulah Westbrook	5772		X	X			X	Alleges 2010 heart condition; 2011 adult asthma (but childhood asthma diagnosed in 1981); rest of diagnoses all 2001 or earlier. Unaware of claims process and did not know could file a claim; says violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2396	TRO900095FTC	7/25/2016	Jones, Clay	Clay Jones	5773		X	X				1977 diagnoses; injured party died in 1985; unaware of the claims process; previously filed with Colom law firm and they lost paperwork, was advised to contact atty Gunn but he said he was not taking any more cases; alleges violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2397	TRO880871FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrooke, Arthur	Arthur Westbrook	5774			X			X	Unaware of process and did not know could file claim; some conditions prior to bar date and some after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2398	TRO891095FTC	2/23/2016	McCully, Ethel	Thomas --	5775		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; rep unaware of process and that could file claim for relative; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2399	TRO897587FTC	5/25/2016	Dorroh, Gladys	Cleo Lee	5776	8096		X				The injured party is deceased; unaware of process or that could file claim for deceased relative; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket # 8096. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2400	TRO880902FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook, Jr., Grady	Grady Westbrook, Jr.	5777			X				The motion at docket # 5777 is for Grady Westbrook, Jr., [TRO880902FTC]; a different motion is at docket #4991 for Grady Westbrook, Sr. [TRO886229FTC]. The excuse for Westbrook, Jr. is that he was unaware of claims process or that could file a claim; alleges violation of due process to extinguish claim. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2401	TRO902532FTC	9/14/2016	Mullins, Bessie	Tony Webber	5778		X	X				1980 diagnosis; says did not know could file for a relative, publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice, incapacitated at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2402	TRO880735FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook, Stanley	Stanley Westbrook	5779		X	X			X	Alleges two diagnoses in 2010 and 2011, rest all 2000 and earlier. Says was unaware of the claim process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2403	TRO902836FTC	10/13/2016	Buchanan, Tymechie	Tymechie Buchanan	5780		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; claim was misplaced. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2404	TRO901674FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Rosa	Rosa Lee	5781	8338	X	X				1969 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of the Tronox process or that she could file a claim; alleges violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8338, without knowledge to wade through process; claimants should not be injured by inability to understand the law and process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2405	TRO891523FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod-Wells, Angela	Angela, Sherrod-Wells	5782		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident who moved out of state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2406	TRO902688FTC	8/16/2016	Hargrove, Tiffani	Tiffani Hargrove	5783			X			X	1993 diagnosis; minor; no excuse or information provided. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2407	TRO898837FTC	6/27/2016	Dempsey, Orian	Dempsey, Orian	5784			X				1991 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Place where exposure occurred is not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2408	TRO893071FTC	2/23/2016	Billups, Jessie	Anne Billups	5785		X	X				1980 and 1985 diagnoses; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not receive any documents pertaining to the judgment against Kerr-McGee; not informed of deadline; unaware of the creosote contamination or the severity of it; form of notice deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonable calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Says notice was deficient in its terms but does not say how. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2409	TRO903092FTC	10/13/2016	Stallings, Travus	Travis Stallings	5786	8217	X	X				1992 symptoms, saw doctor but was not aware of the cause of the illness; unaware of the pending settlement. A supplement filed at docket # 8217, says worked near chemicals and should be compensated. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2410	TRO893882FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Reginald	Reginald Harris	5787		X	X				1980s diagnosis; did not know of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2411	TRO902965FTC	10/13/2016	Tate, Arthur	Arthur Tate	5788		X	X				1994 symptoms; saw doctor but did not know cause of illness; not aware of the claim settlement. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2412	TRO880136FTC	12/4/2015	Goulde, Willie	Goulde, Willie	5789	8319	X	X				Diagnoses 2008 and earlier; unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8919 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2413	TRO885051FTC	11/25/2015	Draper, Travis	Travis Draper	5790		X	X				Diagnosis 1984; because of unawareness and lack of information, had no knowledge of a case or deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2414	TRO893484FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Tralanda	Tralanda Tate	5791		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of MS; previously filed with William Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2415	TRO893083FTC	2/23/2016	Billups, Aaron	Anne Billups	5792		X	X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not receive a notice concerning the judgment against Kerr-McGee; was not informed of a deadline; unaware of creosote contamination or exposure to asbestos. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2416	TRO885345FTC	11/25/2015	Clayton, Courtney	Clayton, Courtney	5793		X	X				Diagnoses in 1984 and 1986; unaware of deadline; also says "filed with a local attorney who passed away and never pursued the case." Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2417	TRO897477FTC	5/25/2016	Ferguson, Orlando	Orlando Ferguson	5794	8193	X	X				Had to use respiratory machine in 1993; says was incarcerated at the time of the bar date and had no way nor anyone to carry out anything of that nature for him. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8193, incarcerated from 12/2008 to 8/2013. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
2418	TRO894919FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Jarvis	Jarvis Billups	5795		X	X				1998 diagnosis; moved away with parents to another city and was not aware that the lawsuit was being pursued. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2419	TRO888126FTC	12/18/2015	Shepherd, Shakiyla	Shepherd, Shakiyla	5796			X		X		Says she was a child at the time and guardian did not have knowledge of the claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2420	TRO893703FTC	3/2/2016	Buchanan, Barry	Barry Buchanan	5797		X	X				Diagnoses and treatments in 1990s, some ongoing; says he filed every time but there is no record of a claim filing until the late-filed claim was filed; does not know dates at this time; says lost and misplaced paperwork from past claims (appears to refer to filing with Garretson because says "if you check your records, you can see my claims"). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2421	TRO892365FTC	2/23/2016	Bell, Jeremie	Jeremie Bell	5798			X			X	Says did not have adequate notice of the claims filing deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2422	TRO900465FTC	8/16/2016	Dean, Calvin	Calvin Dean	5799		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not know about claim until after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2423	TRO891158FTC	2/23/2016	Bradely, Roscoe	Roscoe Bradely	5800		X	X				Diagnosis dates listed as "yes," symptoms "1999-2017." Previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Continuing condition is not a "future" tort claim unless first diagnosed after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2424	<b>TRO891576FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Cotton, Jerry</b>	<b>Jerry Cotton</b>	5801			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Says filed claim but apparently without enough information but must be referring to the late-filed claim; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2425	TRO902437FTC	9/14/2016	Taylor, Joseph	Joseph Taylor	5802		X	X				2000 diagnosis; had no knowledge of a claim to file against Tronox nor did he know about a deadline; was totally unaware of this claim; moved to another city. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2426	TRO888665FTC	12/24/2015	Lyons, Anthony	Anthony Lyons	5803		X	X				Symptoms 1989 or 1990; unclear if diagnosed; did not know or had no knowledge was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2427	TRO885337FTC	11/25/2015	Vaughn, Barbara	Vaughn, Barbara	5804		X	X				1982 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; unaware of deadline; was not given written notice. Prior dealings with Bambach show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2428	TRO891508FTC	2/23/2016	Hayden, While	Magalene Hayden	5805		X	X				1976 and 1978 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1995; rep does not provide reason why missed bar date. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2429	TRO888127FTC	12/18/2015	Shepherd, Ashaki	Ashaki Shepherd	5806			X		X		Minor; says parent had no knowledge of the claim; says symptoms 2011 and diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2430	TRO894918FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Jamaiya	Tameca Billups	5807			X				2007 diagnosis; moved away with parents to another city and was not aware that a lawsuit was in action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2431	TRO894921FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Alvin	Billups, Alvin	5808		X	X				1993 diagnosis; moved away and was not aware the lawsuit was in place. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2432	TRO900985FTC	Unknown	Quinn, L.L. Jr.	L.L. Quinn, Jr.	5809			X				Symptoms since 1976; did not see a doctor; did not know about bar date. Unclear if condition was diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2433	TRO887843FTC	12/14/2015	Abrams, Takika	Takika Abrams	5810	8158	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8158. Work with Bambach shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2434	TRO892272FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Lee	Lee Sanders	5811		X	X				2004 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach and upon his death could not get paperwork. No indication that any action was ever filed. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2435	TRO904644FTC	1/24/2017	Kania, Robert	Rostock, Robert A.	5812			X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep is grandson and says that in 2009, he was away at college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2436	TRO904645FTC	1/24/2017	Kania, Wanda	Rostock, Robert A.	5813			X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep is grandson and says that in 2009, he was away at college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2437	TRO901259FTC	8/16/2016	Taylor, Bobby	Bobby Taylor	5814			X				2008 diagnosis; did not know about claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2438	TRO894920FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Tameca	Billups, Tameca	5815		X	X				1999 diagnosis; moved away for a better job and later not knowing this lawsuit had occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2439	Unknown	2/23/2016	Clay, Curtis	Curtis Clay	5816			X		X		Unaware of claims process, says symptoms and diagnosis Oct. 29, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2440	TRO894539FTC	3/23/2016	Allen, Mary	Mary Allen	5817			X		X		Was living in Louisiana at time learned of Tronox bankruptcy; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2441	TRO894804FTC	3/23/2016	Vaughn, Corey	Vaughn, Corey	5818		X	X				1988/1989 diagnosis; unaware of any deadlines; filed with a local attorney who later passed away with no result of the claim; did not hear from or receive any papers from that atty. No indication that any lawsuit or claim was ever filed. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2442	TRO896560FTC	4/25/2016	Leach, Bobby	Bobby Leach	5819		X	X				Diagnoses 2008 and earlier; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2443	TRO885737FTC	11/25/2015	Allen, Emmaline	Emmaline Allen	5820	8976	X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware exposed to Tronox product; parents did not know cause of claimant's health issues, claimant did not know cause when reached adulthood. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2444	<b>TRO898954FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gandy, Ivy</b>	<b>Ivy Gandy</b>	5821			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page. No basis for relief.
2445	TRO884670FTC	11/25/2015	Lee, Patricia	Patricia Lee	5822		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says that, at the time of the bar date, she was sick and was traveling to the infusion center twice a week. However, does not explain why no action taken between 1990 diagnosis and 2009 bar date and why no claim filed until years after the bar date. In addition, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2446	TRO897953FTC	6/10/2016	Golden, Alfonso	Alfonso Golden	5823		X	X				1985 and 1992 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved in 1985; did not know of exposure to Tronox products. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2447	TRO888981FTC	12/30/2015	Harris, Rufus	Rufus Harris	5824		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says did not file because did not know, also missed filing in the "first" lawsuit against Kerr-McGee. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2448	TRO894742FTC	3/23/2016	Cockrell, Tawana	Tawana Cockrell	5825		X	X		X		Unaware exposed to a Tronox product that caused disease; also unaware of the deadline. Diagnosis date unclear from form. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2449	TRO901090FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Cathy	Cathy Smith	5826		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2450	TRO902686FTC	8/16/2016	Hargrove, Timothy	Timothy Hargrove	5827	6343		X			X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page and also a signed rejection notice; another copy of the rejection notice is filed at docket #5827. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2451	TRO895734FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, James	James Brewer	5828			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2452	TRO890470FTC	2/23/2016	Bell, Barbara	Barbara Bell	5829			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2453	TRO895709FTC	3/24/2016	Turner, Linda	Linda Turner	5830			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2454	TRO888560FTC	12/24/2015	Taylor, James	Mary Taylor	5831			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2455	TRO897284FTC	5/4/2016	Thomas, Brandon	Thomas, Brandon	5832			X			X		Alleges symptoms in 2010, diagnosis in 2015; says was not aware of such claim through any publications nor media; unaware exposed to creosote contamination. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2456	TRO897285FTC	5/4/2016	Thomas, Keisha Denise	Thomas, Keisha Denise	5833			X			X		Says was not aware of such claim through any publications nor media; unaware exposed to creosote contamination; says symptoms and diagnosis 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2457	TRO897181FTC	5/4/2016	Thomas, Tanyla I'yon	Thomas, Tanyla I'yon	5834			X			X		Says was not aware of such claim through any publications nor media; unaware exposed to creosote contamination; says symptoms 2010 and diagnosis 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2458	TRO902161FTC	9/14/2016	Chamberlain, Earlie	Earlie Chamberlain	5835		X	X				1979 diagnosis; did not know creosote had infected him. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2459	TRO900107FTC	7/25/2016	Fields, Timothy	Timothy Fields	5836		X	X				Diagnoses dates listed as "1970-2009;" says had family tragedy in 2009, a death in immediate family and had to deal with that person's business and funeral, does not provide dates or support and does not explain lengthy delay after the bar date before filing of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2460	TRO893560FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Susie M.	Susie M. Thompson	5837		X	X				1979 and 1985 diagnoses; no publication notice; says incarcerated but it was in 1982-1986, more than 20 years before the bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to California in 1986. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2461	TRO892218FTC	2/23/2016	Spencer, Jamal	Jamal Spencer	5838		X	X				1995 diagnosis; was not aware of any proofs of claims in Tronox proceeding in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2462	TRO899263FTC	7/11/2016	Wells, Donald	Andrew Robertson	5839		X	X		X		2011 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; rep used cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Unclear if any conditions were diagnosed before 2011. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2463	TRO895691FTC	3/24/2016	Posly, Louis	Louis Posly	5840		X	X				May 2005 diagnosis; did not know about the eligibility and circumstances to file a claim against Kerr-McGee. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2464	TRO889352FTC	2/23/2016	Reggie, Maryann	Mary Ann Reggie	5841		X	X				2005-2008 diagnoses; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; the publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2465	TRO888543FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Julia	Julia Harrison	5842		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; not made aware of the deadline; says was not properly notified nor made aware of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claims was represented by the Colom firm in 2009: the Colom firm was actively involved in the Tronox bankruptcy case and received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2466	TRO900996FTC	8/16/2016	Maxwell, Robert	Robert Maxwell	5843			X		X		Unaware of deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2467	TRO903533FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Terry	Williams, Terry	5844		X	X			X	Form lists a 1972 diagnosis but other papers refer to conditions for later dates; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2468	TRO903532FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Nora	Williams, Nora	5845		X	X			X	Form says diagnosis in 1969 but on included paper refers to conditions for later dates; part of 1998 class action through attorney Jeffrey Navarro; standard cut-and-pasted form reasons why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2469	TRO893027FTC	2/23/2016	Hogans, Rosie	Rosie Hogans	5846		X	X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was not aware could file a claim in Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2470	TRO893720FTC	3/2/2016	Brooks, Khamaya	Khamaya Brooks	5847						X	January 2001 diagnosis; minor; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a dangerous Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2471	TRO897159FTC	5/4/2016	Thompson, Dominic	Susie Thompson	5848		X	X				1979 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1979. Rep refers to infancy but the infant died in 1979, claimant is the representative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2472	TRO897152FTC	5/4/2016	Thompson, Mario	Susie Thompson	5849		X	X				1981 diagnosis; claim filed by representative; says the injured party was a child but with 1981 diagnosis the injured party had to be 27 or 28 at the time of the bar date; also says injured party was incompetent at time of filing, cerebral palsy, but representative does not claim that representative suffered from any incompetence; rep says did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2473	<b>TRO897148FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Thompson, Tiffany</b>	<b>Susie Thompson</b>	5850		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges a 1975 diagnosis but also says the injured party died at birth in 1987. Alleges that the injured party was a child at the time of the bar date but the infant died 32 years before the bar date, rep (not the infant) is the claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2474	TRO897188FTC	5/4/2016	Hill, Annette	Annette Hill	5851			X				2007 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why did not file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2475	TRO884659FTC	11/25/2015	Mullins, James	James Mullins	5852			X				2008 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, was informed that paperwork was given to another attorney and they would contact him but they did not. When he tried to find out by asking he was told it was too late. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2476	TRO884916FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Iesha	Iesha Williams	5853			X		X		Diagnoses in 2010; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2477	TRO889761FTC	2/23/2016	Phillips, Marcus	Beverly Phillips	5854			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2478	TRO899956FTC	7/25/2016	Henley, Janie	Willie Henley	5855		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; says claim should be a Future Tort Claim because mother had many illnesses, but mother died in 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2479	TRO902935FTC	10/13/2016	Henley, Richard	Willie Henley	5856		X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2480	TRO903399FTC	10/27/2016	Trimble, Lucian	Lartha Lenoir	5857		X	X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; previously filed with atty Navarro, Kerr-McGee Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in that action or, if not, it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2481	TRO896617FTC	4/25/2016	Brooks, Mildred	Mildred Brooks	5858			X			X	Alleges conditions that arose before and after bar date. Says the published bar date notice did not allow a reasonable amount of time to file claims, but that does not explain the many years of delay before claimant filed a claim. Also says was unaware exposed to a dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2482	TRO890131FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins, Gerrol	Gerrol Hawkins	5859	9125	X	X				1982 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why did not file before bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9125 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2483	TRO894330FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, Chiquita	Chiquita Griffin	5860			X			X	Did not know about the claim until a neighbor told her; says all symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2484	TRO900355FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Charles	Charles Trimble	5861		X	X			X	Alleges a 2014 diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Navarro, Kerr-McGee Plant Action; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2485	TRO889367FTC	2/23/2016	Potorski, Robert	Robert Potorski	5862			X				1996, 2002 and 2004 diagnoses; unaware this was going on because he was not living in Avoca at that time and was never notified. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2486	TRO889860FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, Kira	Kira Calloway	5863		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says that she was told by Tronox office to wait until further notice to send in documents of verification of illness but that apparently is a reference to her communications with the Trust long after the bar date, no indication of any initial filing before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2487	TRO889859FTC	2/23/2016	McGee, Kayson	Kayson McGee	5864		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says he was told when made a call to the Tronox office when he first filed that he should mail in paperwork first, then he would be told to send in proof of ailments at a later date. However, that apparently is a reference to communications with the Trust about the late-filed claim as there is no indication of any claim filing before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2488	TRO892423FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Mary	Mary Wilson	5865		X	X				2008 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to California in 1980; says was not aware of the claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2489	TRO901358FTC	8/16/2016	Koger, Ethel	Carol Williams	5866			X				The injured party died in 2007; diagnosis some time before that, rep does not know when; rep says mother was in the hospital before death but rep does not give an excuse for not filing by bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2490	TRO903536FTC	11/23/2016	Pointer, Carolyn	Carolyn Pointer	5867		X	X		X		Two diagnoses in 2014, one in 2006, rest 2004 and earlier. Unaware of the claims process and did not know could file a claim; says was a violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2491	TRO890344FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Nancy	Nancy Cunningham	5868		X	X				Diagnoses in 1978, 1981 and 1982; says unaware of the information or possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injury. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2492	TRO904626FTC	1/24/2017	Shelton, Brittny	Brittny Shelton	5869						X	Says was a minor; says exposed from birth until moved to Florida with parents in 1991, so was 18 or older by the bar date; did not get a diagnosis but symptoms began in 1998. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2493	TRO897471FTC	5/25/2016	Byrd, Thomas	Thomas Byrd	5870		X	X				1968 diagnosis; says he never made the connection between his condition and creosote but now he knows; says he did not have the filing information; says he suffers from PTSD. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2494	TRO904711FTC	1/24/2017	Terrell, Erica	Erica Terrell	5871			X				Diagnoses in 1976, 2003 and 2004; unclear where exposure occurred; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; moved to Tennessee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2495	TRO889253FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, J.D.	J.D. Walker	5872			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; only refers to dates of recent conditions after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2496	TRO896137FTC	4/6/2016	Hodges, Octavian	Octavian Hodges	5873		X	X			X	Alleges 2015 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2497	TRO897186FTC	5/4/2016	Hill, Jet	Jet Hill	5874			X		X		2009 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why did not file by bar date; says all conditions after bar date but that is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2498	TRO897447FTC	5/25/2016	George, Michael	Joyce George	5875			X				1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says that she did file paperwork prior to bar date and in the beginning was told the Powell law group would be handling the claims. When she heard that the Powell law group would not be handling it any longer, she called the 800 number (Garretson), but that must have been long after the bar date because the trust was not established until after the plan was confirmed. After inquiring several times was later told they did not have any paperwork and she should file again. To the extent that the movant alleges a failure by the Powell law group, as counsel, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the failure by the Powell law group can be excused, and no excuse has been offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2499	TRO898886FTC	6/27/2016	Hughes, Malone	Malone Hughes	5876			X				Symptoms began in 1962, no diagnosis; no excuse provided as to why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2500	TRO898885FTC	6/27/2016	Hughes, Cheyenne	Cheyenne Hughes	5877		X	X				1971 diagnosis; says she did file claim but "they" claim it wasn't received but she did mail it. (May be referring to late-filed claim with Garretson group as there is no record of a timely claim.) Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing so the claim must be disallowed regardless of whether a timely proof of claim was filed.
2501	TRO898887FTC	6/27/2016	Morgan, Genia	Genia Morgan	5878		X	X				1960-61 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2001; she says that she went to doctors provided by attorney Wilbur Colom in 2001; she says the claim was mailed, however, "they" claim they did not receive it. She mailed it over and over and they still said they did not receive it. Then she began to get proofs of mailing. No record of any claim in 2009, references to mailings may be references to late-claim filings with the trust. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2502	TRO894369FTC	3/2/2016	Claborn, Anthony	Anthony Claborn	5879		X	X				Diagnoses 2001, 2003, 2008; says no reason to believe exposed to Tronox product; the publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says was incapacitated at the time but provides no details or verification. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2503	TRO897991FTC	6/10/2016	Patman, Girlo Johnson	Melissa Johnson	5880		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2008, 2009, 2012, rest before 2006. Unaware of how to properly file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2504	TRO890367FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Clinton, Sr.	Marvin Brown	5881		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2505	TRO898525FTC	6/27/2016	Thompson, Annette	Annette Thompson	5882			X		X		No excuse provided; says conditions after bar date but also refers to having asthma as a child, which would have been before bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2506	TRO892300FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Maxine	Maxine Hall	5883		X	X				1991 diagnosis; says did not have access to publication notice; not aware and no reason to know exposed to dangerous creosote product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2507	TRO895482FTC	3/24/2016	Jones, Lorene	Frances Stewart	5884	8878	X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1967; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8878 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2508	TRO890819FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Mary	Marvin Brown	5885		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2509	TRO901156FTC	8/16/2016	Shelton, Pearlina	Perlina Shelton	5886	8302	X	X				1962 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8302. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2510	TRO892897FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Willie	Carolyn Pointer	5887		X	X				The injured party died in 2000; unaware of process and did not know could file claim; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2511	TRO885115FTC	11/25/2015	Keye, James	Tietti Chadle	5888		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1995; rep says filed timely in the 1990's and again later with the Tronox group, earlier filings must have been in different legal proceedings because the Tronox bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009, other filing must be a reference to the late-filed claim as there is no record of a claim in 2009; says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; refers to alleged secret meetings among claimants and limited information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Meetings among other claimants are not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2512	TRO892219FTC	2/23/2016	Macarthur, Spencer	MacArthur, Spencer	5889		X	X				1996 diagnosis; was not aware of any proofs of claims in Tronox proceeding in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2513	TRO895356FTC	3/24/2016	Harris, Johnnie	Johnnie Harris	5890			X		x		Standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed bar date; says conditions and first diagnosis after bar date, does not include medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2514	TRO886432FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Claudine	Gladys Harris	5891		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2515	TRO886431 FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Jordan	Jordan Harris	5892			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; only refers to dates of recent conditions after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2516	TRO902521FTC	9/14/2016	Donahue, Frank	Frank Donahue	5893		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says Garretson group continues to send mail to wrong address. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2517	TRO900951FTC	8/16/2016	Chopyak, Patricia	John Chopyak	5894	5960		X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; after traveling with spouse to address medical issues, rep moved from Pennsylvania and settled in Wisconsin and did not hear about Tronox claims on news or any other source nor did he receive any mail about it. A duplicate of this motion claim is filed at docket #5960. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2518	<b>TRO893488FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gore, Nicki</b>	<b>Nicki Gore</b>	5895			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just signed rejection form and signed motion form, no information. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2519	<b>TRO893810FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gore, Eddie</b>	<b>Eddie Gore</b>	5896			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just signed rejection form and signed motion form, no information. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2520	<b>TRO893504FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gore, Iola</b>	<b>Iola Gore</b>	5897			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just signed rejection form and signed motion form, no information. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2521	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Wayne, Mamie</b>	<b>Mamie Wayne</b>	5898			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2522	TRO903504FTC	11/23/2016	Dobbs, Kaneshia	Kaneshia Dobbs	5900			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust was not formed until long after the bar date so this does not excuse a late filing. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow the claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2523	TRO903502FTC	11/23/2016	Cunningham, Kailey	Kaneshia Dobbs	5901			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust was not formed until long after the bar date so this does not excuse a late filing. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow the claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2524	TRO903496FTC	11/23/2016	Cunningham, JaQualen	Kaneshia Dobbs	5902			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust was not formed until long after the bar date so this does not excuse a late filing. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow the claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2525	TRO899293FTC	7/11/2016	Harris, Kristy	Kristy Harris	5903						X	Diagnosis "2002-2010 maybe"; minor; previously filed with Colom law firm. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2526	TRO896338FTC	4/13/2016	Jackson, Harry	Harry Jackson	5904		X			X		Not aware of time limit; not informed of "expiration date"; unaware Tronox product was cause of condition; says disease had not manifested by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2527	TRO892133FTC	2/23/2016	Rice, Diamond	Diamond Rice	5905		X					April 2009 diagnosis; unaware of filing process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2528	TRO890585FTC	2/23/2016	Ellis, Lillian	Kashayla Williams	5906	X	X					1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2529	TRO889239FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Willie	Willie Sanders	5907		X			X		Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy; worked for Kerr-McGee but received nothing by mail, did not see anything in newspaper; some minor conditions diagnosed prior to bar date, some major conditions after. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant's injury, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2530	TRO887969FTC	12/18/2015	Hughes, Bertine	Bernard Hughes	5908			X				Diagnoses 1985 to 1997; rep says nothing received regarding lawsuit, says claimant suffered from memory loss and dementia, incapacity from strokes and heart attacks, could not handle business affairs, but rep does not provide dates; unclear if claimant is deceased as rep says symptoms and diagnosis from 1985 to 1997 but in response to her residence from 2009-2011, says Tennessee, does not include any medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2531	TRO903629FTC	11/23/2016	Lancaster, Cathy	Cathy Lancaster	5909		X	X		X		Previously filed with Colom law firm 1999; offered a settlement of \$562,000 but says it was for cancer and loss of child, says is still owed over \$100,000. She says that attorneys took \$17 million and 60% of every claim. She says "[w]e only agreed to 33% but toward the end they sent us a letter stating that we would not get the balance of any money that we were owed, and no reason why"; as for current action, she says was not contacted about bar date; the lawyers got all the money and the claimants were cheated. Prior class action is not under this Court's jurisdiction. Participation in that action shows awareness of claims and legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2532	TRO902766FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Jeanine	Trimble, Emma Bell	5910		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep says was told he could not file for a deceased person, does not say who gave such advice or when or in connection with what proceeding. In any event, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2533	TRO891250FTC	2/23/2016	Sparks-Leech, Charlotte	Charlotte Sparks-Leech	5911			X				Unaware of the ongoing Tronox case; says symptoms began in 2008, diagnosis date not listed; did not receive a phone call, letter, nor information from TV, radio or advertisement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2534	TRO890428FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Henry	Sammie Hill	5912		X	X				Diagnoses 1980s-1990s; the injured party died in 1999; rep says was not informed of Tronox case or claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2535	TRO891251FTC	2/23/2016	Green, Alashia	Alashia Green	5913		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after the bar date; previously filed with Colom law firm; says around 2002 she found her name on some form, she did not meet with the attorney, it was just presented to her whether she accepted it or not. The attorney just sent a letter where to meet after the settlement but no other information was provided. Those allegations all relate to the prior class action and not to the bankruptcy process. Also says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to effects of prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2536	TRO892150FTC	2/23/2016	Patmon, Sierra	Sierra Patmon	5914		X	X			X	Not aware had to file a claim by bar date; recently diagnosed, but also refers to other conditions as a child. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2537	TRO891492FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Johnny Westbrook	Johnny Westbrook Hodges	5915			X			X		Diagnoses in 2014. Unaware of process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2538	TRO887242FTC	12/14/2015	Mitchell, Angela	Angela Mitchell	5916		X	X					2000 diagnosis; did not hear anything about the Tronox claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2539	<b>TRO895805FTC</b>	<b>3/24/2016</b>	<b>Jones, Lula B.</b>	<b>Lula B. Jones</b>	5917						X	X	The motion filed with the Court at docket #5917 is for Lula B. Jones [TRO895805FTC], signed on her own behalf; a separate motion for a Lula Jones [claim TRO890012FTC] was filed by Andre Jones and is at docket #6274. The excuse for Lula B. Jones [TRO895805FTC] is that claimant did not know about bar date but also says symptoms and diagnosis came after the bar date; does not include medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2540	TRO893358FTC	2/23/2016	Weston, Hazel	Hazel Weston	5918		X	X					1972 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2541	<b>TRO889909FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Richardson, April</b>	<b>April Richardson</b>	5919	6083	X	X					Not included in Trust's summary. 1981 symptoms onset, diagnosis "yes"; says that at the time of the bar date she was rendered homeless and in an institution in Mississippi and had no way of knowing about the deadline, but no dates provided for this situation and does not include medical records. A duplicate of this motion was filed at docket #6083. No explanation as to lengthy delay before claim actually filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2542	TRO887695FTC	12/14/2015	Jackson, Kenneth	Jackson, Kenneth	5920		X	X				1978 symptoms; diagnosis "yes"; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; not aware could file a bankruptcy claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2543	TRO900793FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Linda	Linda Walker	5921		X	X				2004 diagnosis; did not know she was exposed; did not know there was a time limit to file a claim. She says that at that during that time she was very sick and unable to walk or stand for a long period of time. She's been unable to walk since 2005. She includes a facts and conclusions statement related to a social security claim. Movant has identified physical limitations but not an inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2544	TRO887786FTC	12/14/2015	Lidell, Annie	Morris & Emogene Liddell	5922	8382	X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; did not know about such a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8382, says as excuse for lateness they were in midst of a family crisis, but it is unclear to which lateness it refers, the date is not provided and it may more likely refer to the lateness of the filing with the trust as this excuse was not provided in the initial filing. In any event, family crisis does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2545	TRO889897FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Josiah	April M Richards?	5923						X	Diagnosis at age 6 (date unclear); minor; rep says at time she was incapacitated and homeless. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age and alleged incapacity as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2546	TRO898282FTC	6/20/2016	Lindsey, Willie	Dorothy Whitfield	5924		X	X				Diagnosis before 2005; the injured party died in 2005; rep does not provide a reason for not meeting the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2547	TRO894453FTC	3/2/2016	Hill, Claudette	Teloria Hill	5925		X	X				1988 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; spouse consulted Colom law firm but was told he did not have a case and was offered \$500 but he rejected it, however the motion form also says the injured party received \$2,500; rep says was unaware of bar date as it was not widely advertised, not on TV or radio; rep says was caring for sick relative in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2548	TRO904958FTC	1/24/2017	Dora, John	Slaughter, R. C.	5926		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; the injured party died in 2000; rep did not know of filing a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2549	TRO886263FTC	12/7/2015	Shinn, Cleveland	Shinn, Mary Ann	5927	6041	X	X				Diagnoses 1987, 1999 and 2001; the injured party died in 2001; says all of the law firms, Howard Gunn, Colom, say they do not have his file; say he filed timely but appears to be referring either to a class action filing or to the late-claim filing with Garretson, no record of a timely proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. A supplement filed at docket #6041, says publication notice of the claims filing deadline not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2550	TRO897658FTC	5/25/2016	Walker, James	James Walker	5928		X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2551	TRO893619FTC	2/23/2016	Slaughter, R.C.	R.C. Slaughter	5929		X	X				Diagnoses in 1974, 1983, 2008; says he did not file a claim because he thought the case ended with lawyer Colom when he had the case. Then he learned that Tronox still "had the case open" and so he filed in 2015 and 2016. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2552	TRO894067FTC	3/2/2016	McCarthy, John	John McCarthy	5930		X	X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2012 and 2017; previously filed with Colom law firm (date unspecified, unclear whether in connection with a prior action); unaware of deadline, says symptoms and diagnosis were after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2553	TRO895303FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Hilbert	Hilbert Williams	5931		X	X				Diagnoses 2001 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$550 settlement in 2003; says was a minor at the time and had no knowledge of another potential claim, but obviously someone was aware and acting for the movant if the movant received a settlement in a prior class action; says was unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2554	TRO890704FTC	2/23/2016	Cobb, Hannah	Curtis Cobb	5932		X	X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1987; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2555	TRO896432FTC	4/13/2016	Whitfield, Willie	Whitfield, Willie	5933			X			X	Alleges diagnoses 2010 to 2016; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product or chemicals; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2556	TRO892186FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Chequita	Chequita Thomas	5934		X	X			X	Various conditions, unclear what first diagnosis date is as to each condition; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; did not receive any mail about filing before the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2557	TRO895414FTC	3/24/2016	Richey, Leandrae	Leandra Richey	5935						X	1999 diagnosis; says was too young to know a claim could be made but age as of the bar date is not clear, says exposure began in 1993. Unclear if claims relief on ground of being a minor. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2558	TRO895314FTC	3/23/2016	Jordan, Brian	Jordan, Brian	5936		X	X				1976 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2559	TRO900757FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Robert	Smith, Robert	5937		X	X				1968 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2560	TRO901062FTC	8/16/2016	Tally, Jessie	Saberdia Tally	5938		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2016; rep says "at the time the publication notice was issued, [he] was a potential claimant who was unknown at the time the deadline was published." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2561	TRO900080FTC	7/25/2016	Hairston, Martha	Hairston, Martha	5939			X				August 2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of the claim when it first began. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2562	TRO894759FTC	3/23/2016	McCoy, Terrance	Terrance McCoy	5940		X	X				1985 diagnosis; unaware of the claims filing deadline due to not having TV or radio or transportation to get out. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2563	TRO887187FTC	12/14/2015	Stallings, Amy	Stallings, Amy	5941		X	X				1990-1994 diagnosis; never heard anything about the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2564	TRO896775FTC	4/25/2016	Davis, Bunny	Diane Johnson	5942		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1991; rep says moved away and did not know about the Tronox tort claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2565	TRO896429FTC	4/13/2016	Whitfield, Dorothy	Dorothy Whitfield	5943			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnoses after bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product or any chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2566	TRO894748FTC	3/23/2016	Suggs, Eugene	Eugene Suggs	5944			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in December 2009; unaware of Tronox tort claim proceeding. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2567	TRO896362FTC	4/13/2016	Moss, Twaino	Twaino Moss	5945		X	X				2000 diagnosis; parent filing for child; parent says was unaware child was eligible. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2568	TRO904271FTC	1/3/2017	Lyons, Eddie	Eddie Lyons	5946		X	X				1976 diagnosis; after reviewing past health issues realized that this was possibly the source of his conditions; did not know until he read the side effects caused by exposure. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2569	TRO884708FTC	11/25/2015	Henry, Kashaya	Kashaya Henry	5947		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; did not know where to get the paper work. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2570	TRO889849FTC	2/23/2016	Bailey, Catherine	Willie Humphries	5948		X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know she was exposed to a Tronox chemical; says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2571	TRO898903FTC	6/27/2016	Elam, Jalen	Jalen Elam	5950			X		X		Various diagnoses from 2007 to 2010; unaware of the claims process and did not know could file a claim; alleges violation of due process, does not say why. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2572	TRO880314FTC	12/4/2015	Payne, Leigh Ann	Leigh Ann Payne	5951	8929	X	X			X	Diagnoses 1988, 2009, 2010; did not know anything about filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8929 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2573	TRO902693FTC	8/16/2016	Deloach, Sara	Sara Deloach	5953			X			X	All of the stated diagnoses dates in the form motion are after the bar date; says was not aware; did not see any commercial on TV or hear anything on radio; tort claim call line provided misleading information. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2574	TRO895204FTC	3/23/2016	Burgin, Eric	Eric Burgin	5954						X	1987 diagnosis; left Columbus in 1987 to join military; does not provide dates of military service. If military service ended before 2006 then the claim would have been time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Military service would not have tolled the application of the bar date in 2009 unless the movant continued to be in military service at that time. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service if movant believes that the application of the bar date was tolled due to military service or that the dates of military service justify relief based on excusable neglect. Otherwise, the motion will be denied, as there is an insufficient showing as to factors relevant to excusable neglect relief and as it appears, unless contrary information is provided, that the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2575	TRO892351FTC	2/23/2016	Leigh, Demetrice	Demetrice Leigh	5955		X	X			X	Says she filed for earlier conditions but did not know she had to file her claims for conditions after 2009 or about a deadline for doing so. Unclear if the reference to a filing for earlier conditions was in connection with the bar date or the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2576	TRO886228FTC	12/7/2015	Barry, Eddie	Eddie Barry	5956			X			X	Alleges a 2013 diagnosis; standard form language as to why missed the bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but may be referring to additional conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2577	TRO901495FTC	8/16/2016	Ashley, Victoria	Victoria Ashley	5957			X				1955, 1957 diagnoses; place of exposure not specified; unaware of claims; moved on several occasions; was not informed at the time of these events. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2578	TRO890419FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Clara	Clara Harris	5958			X				Early 2006 diagnosis; did not file because did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2579	TRO894833FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Judy	Judy Johnson	5959		X	X				1975 symptoms; diagnosis date "yes"; did not realize she had a deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2580	TRO893776FTC	3/2/2016	Sherrod, Brenda	Brenda Sherrod	5961		X	X				Says symptoms started in 1970s; crossed off "1970" as diagnosis date and then inserted "2006"; says previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; was not aware of other claims until she filed this claim. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2581	TRO902970FTC	10/13/2016	Sherrod, John	John Sherrod	5962			X		X		Says was diagnosed after bar date; did not receive direct notice that she had a claim or was required to file before deadline; the notice form was deficient and publication notice was not calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product or needed to file before the deadline; did not see anything about this in newspapers or television. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2582	TRO888153FTC	12/18/2015	Manning, Margaret	Larry Manning	5963		X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1978; rep says the form of notice deficient but does not specify how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2583	TRO884460FTC	11/25/2015	Lowe, Denetra	Denetra Lowe	5964		X	X				2002 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002, paperwork misplaced or not submitted by attorney; did not know anything about the suits taking place; not informed by any type of correspondence, TV newspaper or radio; no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case or of bar date; no knowledge on how to file a complete and accurate claim for a "Future Tort Claim." Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2584	TRO896051FTC	4/6/2016	Nowakowski, Stanley	George Nowakowski	5965			X				1970s diagnosis; "information was published in the local news media that former Avoca Pa mayor James Haddock filed a complaint to represent people who had no idea that they could file on behalf of deceased members of family." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2585	TRO891688FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Elizabeth	Adams, Mary	5966		X	X		X		Various conditions, unclear what the first diagnosis dates was for each condition; the injured party died in 2017; rep says that the injured party did not know and had no reason to know she was exposed to a Tronox product; did not get any mail about the Tronox filing prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2586	TRO890008FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Marshanae	Thomaxine Mosley	5967		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; case denied because attorneys said the child did not live long enough. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct or advice of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct or advice can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2587	TRO901261FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Alvin	Alvin Quinn	5968			X				Did not know about the claim until process was closed; says symptoms Jan 2009 and diagnosis Feb 2009. No explanation for long delay in filing claim after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2588	TRO894747FTC	3/23/2016	Suggs, Suzette	Suzette Suggs	5969			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis Nov 2009; says unaware of the claim filing process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2589	TRO896536FTC	4/25/2016	Drungo, Deadra	Deadra Drungo	5970		X	X				Diagnoses 1977, 1996, 1997; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call, letter, or learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2590	TRO903318FTC	10/27/2016	Smith, Bonita	Bonita Smith	5973			X			X	Various diagnoses, most before bar date but at least one in 2012; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product because not a resident of Mississippi, she visited parents there and had no knowledge of the case until she moved in with her disabled parent. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2591	TRO896016FTC	4/6/2016	Davis, Jeff	Annie Davis	5974		X	X				November 2006 diagnosis; the injured party deceased 2007; rep says filled out all of the paperwork, makes no reference to the 8/12/09 bar date or to reasons why missed it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2592	TRO901819FTC	9/14/2016	Buckner, Charlene	Charlene Buckner	5975		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2593	TRO889597FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Lisa	Lisa Brown	5976			X			X	Says was incompetent as well as incapacitated; was a caregiver for ill spouse and was simultaneously managing her own condition; also misled into believing that filing of claim was reserved for members of Maranatha Center. Says her condition manifested prior to bar date but became drastically different and now requires daily dialysis. Alleges physical disabilities in 2009 but not inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2594	TRO897820FTC	5/25/2016	Jordan, Yolanda	Yolanda Jordan	5977			X				2004 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2595	TRO914902FTC	7/26/2017	Lindsey, Christopher	Christopher Lindsey	5978		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after bar date; unaware a claim process was going on. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2596	TRO894831FTC	3/23/2016	Eaves, Tiffany Johnson	Tiffany Johnson Eaves	5979		X	X				Symptoms began in 1988; diagnosis "yes;" "wasn't for sure what was going on with this." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2597	TRO888114FTC	12/18/2015	Derry, John Julius	John Julius Derry	5980			X		X		Alleges diagnoses in 1990 and 2010, unclear if for same condition or different conditions; was not aware of a proof of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2598	TRO902312FTC	9/14/2016	Vaughn, Donnell	Donnell Vaughn	5981		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS; various diagnosis dates before and after bar date, unclear if these are dates of doctor visits or actual dates of separate diagnoses; was attending college and not exposed to newspaper or ads; not informed of proceedings; unaware of ongoing case; did not receive phone call, letter or learn anything concerning this matter from TV or radio. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2599	TRO887955FTC	12/18/2015	Stallings, Pamela	Stallings, Pamela	5982		X	X				1990-1994 diagnosis; was not aware of this lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2600	TRO884617FTC	11/25/2015	Glenn, Betty	Betty Glenn	5983		X	X			X	Refers to first diagnosis in 1990 but also describes many different conditions, unlikely all were at same time; says illness would not allow him to perform too much, was only able to walk and get around day by day, after treatment, was not able to do anything at all, was required to frequent the infusion center, says "very few knew about that, it was kept very quiet;" does not provide dates for these treatments. Shows physical incapacities but does not show inability to file a claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2601	TRO902916FTC	10/13/2016	Nagy, Raymond	Marian Nagy	5984		X					2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep says unaware of filing deadline and had not seen any newspaper publication regarding deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2602	TRO893919FTC	3/2/2016	Hairston, Edna	Edna Hairston	5985	X	X					June 2004 diagnosis; says having surgery but does not provide dates or medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2603	TRO892419FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Stephen	Stephen Jennings	5986	X	X					Diagnosed at birth (approximately 1970); unaware because incarcerated at time of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2604	TRO897815FTC	5/25/2016	James, Adonna	Thomas Bellinder	5987	X	X					2001 diagnosis; retained Bellinder law firm in 2016; motion says “[h]eirs of the injured party were unrepresented at the time of the claims filing deadline. As lay people, they were unaware of the filing requirements and legal prerequisites to protect their interests and to preserve their claims. The Court should consider that the heirs of the injured party were unaware of the applicable deadline, did not have formal representation and that once an attorney was retained, they took every measure in an attempt to pursue their claims.” However, this claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2605	TRO0889217FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Chester	Chester Jordan Jr.	5988		X			X		Says was diagnosed after bar date, in 2010; does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2606	TRO887831FTC	12/14/2015	Richardson, Nancy	Nancy Richardson	5991			X		X		Alleges some post-bar date new manifestations of illness, unclear if new post-bar date diagnosis; says unaware and did not know about bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2607	TRO891536FTC	2/23/2016	McGee, Monica	Monica McGee	5992		X	X				1988 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process; unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2608	TRO897210FTC	5/4/2016	Lewis, Bobby	Bobby Lewis	5993		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2609	TRO889714FTC	2/23/2016	Billups, Destinee	Tonya Ruff	5994		X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS at time of bar date; says she filed a proof of claim for herself and daughter when she found out about the lawsuit, but that apparently is a reference to the late-filed claim; did not receive any information back until now. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2610	TRO893887FTC	3/2/2016	Cheeks, Shirley [Richey]	Shirley Cheeks [Richey]	5995		X	X			X	Former Columbus, MS resident; alleges various conditions and diagnosis dates, unclear if any conditions were first diagnosed after the bar date; unaware of the bankruptcy case claims filing deadline; the publication notices were not reasonably designed to reach potential claimants; says it is not reasonable or fair to assume that working people have time to read the Wall Street Journal or any of the other 38 publications; has no current communication with Columbus Mississippi residents, where chemical was found and where the legal action started; did not hear of the class actions until later. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices are enforceable and comply with due process for reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date). Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2611	TRO885859FTC	11/25/2015	Meady, Jotina	Jotina Meady	5996		X	X				1996 diagnosis; no reason provided why did not meet bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2612	TRO896040FTC	4/6/2016	O'Neal, Carlos	Carlos O'Neal	5997		X	X				Says went to doctor but diagnosis date(s) not listed; says mail went to neighbor's house, it was not given to him until afterwards. No explanation for many years' delay after the bar date passed before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2613	TRO896050FTC	4/6/2016	Pratt, Stacy	Stacy Pratt	5998			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2009 but also says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2614	TRO893375FTC	2/23/2016	Drungo, Roosevelt	Ruth Drungo	6001		X	X				1968 diagnosis; the injured party deceased 2006 (unclear); rep says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2615	TRO893376FTC	2/23/2016	Drungo, Roosevelt II	Roosevelt Drungo	6002		X	X				1982 diagnosis; says did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2616	TRO897985FTC	6/10/2016	Jenkins, Barbara Jean	Barbara Jean Jenkins	6003		X	X			X	Various conditions and illnesses, some before bar date and some after; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not know might be eligible to file a claim; unaware of filing deadline; did not subscribe to Wall Street Journal or local newspapers and did not see any newspaper notices concerning the deadline. Publication notices were effective and must be given effect regardless of whether claimant saw them, for the reasons explained in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2617	TRO886968FTC	12/7/2015	Kidd, Barbara	Barbara Kidd	6004	9203	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9203 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2618	TRO887881FTC	12/14/2015	Mitchell, Stacy	Stacy Mitchell	6005		X	X				2000 diagnosis; claimant says did not hear anything about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2619	TRO904382FTC	1/3/2017	Teplir, Judith	Judith Teplir	6007		X	X				1974 diagnosis; did not know that there was a deadline; said sent in paperwork before and received nothing in response, but that apparently is a reference to communications with the trust about the late-filed claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2620	TRO903113FTC	10/13/2016	Goss, Edith	Willie Goss Jr.	6008		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; rep says did not know about claim until after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2621	TRO898639FTC	6/27/2016	Vance, Julia	Julia Vance	6009		X	X		X		Unaware of bar date; rejection notice filed at docket # 5344; vague as to diagnosis dates, lists November 1990 and August 2009 as symptom onsets. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2622	TRO893665FTC	3/2/2016	Caine, Shemaiah	Shemaiah Caine	6010		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know about this claim; publication of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to inform potential claimants of the claims filing deadline; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2623	TRO880869FTC	12/4/2015	Lowe, Roy	Lowe, Roy	6011			X			X	Two diagnoses in 2012, rest January 2009 and earlier, most prior to 1990. Unaware of the claims process; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2624	TRO899035FTC	6/27/2016	Giles, Alvin	Alvin Giles	6012			X				2008 and June 2009 diagnoses; place of exposure unclear; did not know about the lawsuit prior to filing a claim, says had pacemaker surgery in 2010 but that is after the bar date. Does not explain long delay after bar date before filed claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2625	TRO901785FTC	9/14/2016	Shelton, Earlie	Jake Shelton	6013			X			X	Retained the Colom law firm, date unclear; says did not know about the case at the time; people were saying different dates; she did not know who was telling the truth, says diagnosis was 8/12/09 but includes a paper from Jan. 2009 that refers to a chronic condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2626	TRO900466FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Albert	Albert Lee	6014		X	X				1996 diagnosis; was not aware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2627	TRO899979FTC	7/25/2016	Williams, Eddie	Eddie Williams	6015			X			X	Says unaware of the claims process; files same letter that refers to a purported representative of Garretson directing them how to file pre-filled forms with certain chemicals, but that had to be after the bar date because the trust was not established until long after the bar date; says diagnosis was in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2628	TRO900677FTC	8/16/2016	Wood, Brian	Carol Wood	6016			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; rep says did not file timely because at that time did not think injured party was eligible for compensation, now thinks otherwise. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2629	TRO889337FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Alacia	Alacia Sherrod	6017		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, unsure of when but thinks in 2007. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2630	TRO894963FTC	3/23/2016	Spratt, Jame R.	Jame R. Spratt	6018		X	X		X		Symptoms and diagnosis dates not specified; says did not know there was a deadline and did not know where to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2631	TRO898085FTC	Unknown	Harris, Brittany	Brittany Harris	6019			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various conditions alleged, some before bar date and some after; no excuse offered as to failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2632	TRO898086FTC	Unknown	Harris, Brandi	Brandi Harris	6020		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2000, 2013; did not have all information and did not understand conditions; says certain conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2633	TRO900500FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Dusty	Dusty Smith	6021			X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; was not aware of claim process; says diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2634	TRO897211FTC	5/4/2016	Butler, Catina	Catina Butler	6022		X	X				2003 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2635	TRO889863FTC	2/23/2016	Fort, Marlowe	Marlowe Fort	6023		X	X				1980 diagnosis; had no prior knowledge of any deadline date; questions why others who lived in same residence were approved and his claim was not. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2636	TRO892025FTC	2/23/2016	Jefferson, Ola	Ola Jefferson	6024		X	X				Diagnoses all pre-date 1993; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2637	TRO901999FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Shemeka	Shemeka Williams	6025			X			X	Says she was unaware that these chemicals were affecting her that much; attaches same letter concerning purported Garretson rep directing them to file certain pre-filled forms, but that had to be long after the bar date because the trust was not established until long after the bar date; says diagnosis was in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2638	TRO893664FTC	3/2/2016	Clayton-Cane, Theresa	Theresa Clayton-Cane	6026		X	X				1984 and 1996 diagnoses; publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2639	TRO900753FTC	8/16/2016	Guyton, Aloce	Aloce Guyton	6027		X	X				1979 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2640	TRO897449FTC	5/25/2016	Jordan, Clarence	Clarence Jordan	6028		X	X				1969 diagnosis of medical condition; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; was not notified nor aware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says 2009 was a very devastating time for him as he lost three loved ones in January and March 2009; also alleges violation of due process. This claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2641	TRO888152FTC	12/18/2015	Manning, James	Larry Manning	6029		X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; says the form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know that the injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2642	TRO903554FTC	11/23/2016	McCoy, Tequila	Tequila McCoy	6030			X				2007 diagnosis; did not file before the deadline because she was incapacitated, was diagnosed with sarcoidosis and was dealing with her illness (says diagnosed in 2007); also says was traveling back and forth to Tenn. for a relative's treatments. Allegations of incapacity explain why filing did not occur in 2009 but do not explain the length of time that passed before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2643	TRO898584FTC	6/27/2016	Pierce, Willie	Willie Pierce	6031			X		X		Alleges a series of post-bar date diagnoses; says rejected an offer from the Trust; standard form language as to reasons for not filing (not aware, did not see public notice, called 800 number and was told to file a claim). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2644	TRO898495FTC	6/27/2016	Harris, Bernard	Bernard Harris	6032		X	X				July 2004 diagnosis; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2645	TRO893666FTC	3/2/2016	Caine, Jeremiah	Jeremiah Caine	6033			X			X		Various diagnosis dates, one (sinusitis) after bar date, rest before. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2646	TRO889606FTC	2/23/2016	Bonner, Timothy	Timothy Bonner	6035			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2647	TRO889520FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Alphonso	Alphonso Harrison	6036			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2648	<b>TRO890211FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Baker, Dennis</b>	Dennis Baker	6037			X					Motion is by Dennis Baker on his own behalf, not for Paula Baker; the motion by Paula Baker as to her claim (TRO889238FTC) is at docket # 5681. Motion by Dennis Baker contains no information, just a signature page.
2649	TRO889608FTC	2/23/2016	Bonner, Monica	Monica Bonner	6038			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2650	TRO900376FTC	7/25/2016	Cofer, Robert	Robert Cofer	6039			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2651	<b>TRO889609FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bonner, Deondre</b>	<b>Deondre Bonner</b>	6040	6366		X					Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page. Duplicates at 6040 and 6366.
2652	<b>TRO901093FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Howard, Kyler</b>	<b>Deidre Lewis</b>	6042			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice as to a proposed \$80,000 allowed claim. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2653	<b>TRO904939FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Howard, Jakylen</b>	<b>Deidre Lewis</b>	6043			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2654	TRO891385FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Irma	Irma Jones	6044			X			X		Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2655	<b>TRO897638FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>McGregory, Sondra</b>	<b>Sondra McGregor</b>	6045			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2656	<b>TRO896330FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Jackson, Cheryl</b>	<b>Cheryl Jackson</b>	6046			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2657	TRO900243FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Deidre	Deidre Lewis	6047			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust. There is also a rejection filed by Deidre Lewis for the rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust at docket #6049, but it has a different TRO number [TRO901091FTC]. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2658	TRO893360FTC	Unknown	Weston, Willie	Hazel Weston	6048			X			X	The motion at docket #6048 is by Hazel Weston for Willie Weston [TRO893360FTC]; the motion by Willie D. Weston [TRO893357FTC] is at docket #7736. The paper filed at docket#6050 is merely a rejection an offer to provide an \$80,000 allowed claim. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2659	TRO901091FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Deidre	Deidre Lewis	6049							Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust. There is also a rejection filed by Deidre Lewis for the rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust at docket #6047, but it has a different TRO number [TRO909243FTC]. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2660	TRO901958FTC	9/14/2016	Bostick, Alexis	Alexis Bostick	6050			X				Filing for father; says diagnosis before 2009 but does not say when; says discharge of claim is violation of due process, unaware of the claims process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2661	TRO896596FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Larry	Larry Jamison	6051	6120	X	X			X	Possibly a duplicate of the motion at docket # 6120 but claim numbers differ. Alleges diagnoses in 2004, 2009, 2017; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; had no reason to know and did not know of his exposure to a Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process, was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2662	TRO891777FTC	2/23/2016	Andrade, Tunya	Tunya Andrade	6052			X			X	One diagnosis in 2016, rest in 2007-08; unaware of the claims process; discharge of claim violation of due process (does not say how), unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2663	TRO900510FTC	8/16/2016	Harge, Matthew	Matthew Harge	6053		X	X				1998 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2664	TRO896384FTC	4/13/2016	Webber, Floyd	Floyd Webber	6054		X	X				1959-1965 diagnoses; previously filed with atty Bambach associated with Gunn, Cunningham and Flint; refers to a 2005 settlement disbursement; appears to think the Tronox bankruptcy claims process is connected to the prior litigation handled by other Mississippi firms. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not fully resolved, and was not the subject of a proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not fully resolved, and was the subject of a pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, as counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Motion denied.
2665	TRO901959FTC	Unknown	Harvey, Bridney	Bridney Harvey	6055		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2666	TRO895838FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Scennie	Ollie Brown	6056			X				1990 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not know of any ongoing case with Tronox; was not notified by mail, telephone or by anyone; did not learn anything about this matter from TV or radio. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2667	TRO887932FTC	8/16/2016	Gibbs, Annie	Annie Gibbs	6057		X	X				1955 and 1959 diagnoses; did not file "on first time around" because did not know about it, filed "on second time;" did not know about the lawsuit; did not read or hear about a deadline for filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2668	TRO900832FTC	12/18/2015	Gholson, Nekeydra	Nekeydra Gholson	6058		X	X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2009, dates not clear; says unaware exposed to a Tronox product, also letter saying "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; discharge of claim violation of due process; refers to secret meetings in community and minimal details to town at large. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2669	TRO889922FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Annie	Annie Smith	6059		X	X				1985 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2670	TRO891778FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Ruth	Ruth Jones	6060		X	X			X	One diagnosis in 2011, one in 2007, one in 2006, rest 1998 and earlier. Unaware of claims process; says discharge of claim is violation of due process but does not say how or why; was unaware of the process and did not know that she could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2671	TRO895413FTC	3/24/2016	Richey Rice, Sheril	Sheril Richey Rice	6061		X	X				The motion at docket #6061 is for Sheril Richey Rice on her own behalf [TRO895413FTC]; the motion for Emma Troupe [TRO890033FTC], filed by Sheril Richey Rice as representative and not as the injured party, is filed with the court at docket #5455. As to Ms. Rice's motion in her own name: alleges a 1997 diagnosis, says previously filed with Colom law firm; she says that she was unaware and did not have proper representation of the claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2672	TRO895306FTC	3/23/2016	Golya, Michael	Stanley Tetlack	6062			X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1970; rep says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, did not have adequate notice of claims filing deadline; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; rep says Tronox did not have a reasonable plan to provide adequate form of notice, he did not have access to any of the publications, Tronox should have used other forms of communication like radio, TV or mail, he should have been notified by certified or regular mail, Tronox should have contacted the local radio and TV station to run stories on it, it should have contacted the surrounding town public officials to have town meetings, if the internet was around then, Tronox should have used it. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Form of notice was approved with knowledge and participation by counsel representing tort claimants, including Pennsylvania counsel representing Pennsylvania claimants. Motion fails to provide any explanation as to claimant's own diligence in investigating possible claim in light of 1967 diagnosis and death of decedent in 1970 and passage of almost 50 years before action was taken to pursue the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2673	TRO889834FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Ruthie	Unknown	6063		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party was a former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Georgia in 1999 and died in 2003; rep says the injured party did not know of the claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2674	TRO901027FTC	Unknown	Terry, Nita	Nita Terry	6064		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1987 and earlier; was unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for her injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2675	TRO891519FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Roland	Roland Hargrove	6065		X	X				Diagnosis in 1970; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, after atty died, he had no knowledge of his claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case (however, Bambach died in 2013). Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2676	TRO893928FTC	3/2/2016	Lee, Catherine Moore	Catherine Moore Lee	6066			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2677	TRO893927FTC	3/2/2016	Wright, Christopher	Christopher Wright	6067			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2678	TRO889206FTC	2/23/2016	Short, John	John Short	6068		X	X				1979-2000 diagnosis; not sure if filed a prior legal proceeding; was not aware that the case had been reopened and that claims were getting filed; says was prevented from filing proof of claim but does not say how; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; also circled all of the examples of reasons that would not qualify as excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2679	TRO893136FTC	2/23/2016	Short, Doris	Doris Short	6069		X	X			X	Says made some kind of prior claim for conditions that had developed in 70s and 80s, is not sure of the details; says has other conditions, was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy claim process, had no knowledge that the case had been "reopened" to make a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2680	TRO893037FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Rodger	Doris Short	6070		X	X				1969 and 1971 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1971; rep says did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2681	TRO888690FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Marcus	Marcus Harrison	6071		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1993 diagnosis; unaware of the proceedings or would have filed timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2682	TRO888809FTC	12/30/2015	Moore, Marqus	Marqus Moore	6072		X	X				1993 diagnosis; unaware of the proceedings or would have filed timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2683	TRO895307FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley, Tyler	Thomas Hurley	6073			X				Father filing for son as the injured party; father's motion on behalf of a different son is at docket # 6074 and his motion on his own behalf is at docket #6075; father says his son's exposure began in July 2005 but father says that the father has not resided in MS since 1989; father says he did not know of the claims process. Son apparently has lived in Georgia since some time before 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2684	TRO895308FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley II, Thomas	Thomas Hurley	6074			X				Former resident of Columbus, MS; father filing for son; says diagnosis in May 2004; father says was not aware of the claim process, did not receive any notification from anyone in the affected area about this claim and has not resided in Mississippi since 1989 making it difficult to be current on local proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2685	TRO895309FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley, Thomas	Thomas Hurley	6075		X	X				First diagnosed in 1989; former resident of Columbus who moved to Georgia in 1989; says was not aware of the claim; did not receive any notification from anyone in the area about this claim and has not resided in Mississippi since 1989 making it difficult to be current on local proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2686	TRO894398FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Sha'Kian	Sha'Kian Jones	6076		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; letter saying "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" says discharge of claim is a violation of due process; refers to private meetings and minimal details to the town at large. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2687	TRO884917FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Gemria	Gemria Williams	6077		X	X		X		Unaware of the claims process; attaches a chart which only refers to conditions after bar date but then also inserts a letter that says condition diagnosed prior to bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2688	TRO901827FTC	9/14/2016	Roland, Luther	Roland, Luther	6078		X	X				1989 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not have knowledge of this claim until December 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2689	TRO887454FTC	12/14/2015	Carr, Teresa	Teresa Carr	6079		X	X		X		Says diagnosis in 1990 for COPD and "in 6 month 2017" and did not know how to make a claim. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. To the extent the claimant alleges claims based on conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date, the claim is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2690	TRO889588FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Sammy	Sammy Brown	6080			X				2006 diagnosis (colon cancer); says that at the time of the filing deadline, he had just begun to live life in its new normality due to procedures, doctor appointments and stresses after surgery. Also says he was led to believe through local papers, TV and community leaders that the filing was a private entity for the Maranatha church; that apparently is a reference to the fact that the Church filed a pre-bankruptcy lawsuit. Did not file claim until 2016, does not explain that long delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2691	TRO900771FTC	8/16/2016	Toliver, Temesha	Toliver, Temesha	6081			X		X		Cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons did not file, but says symptoms and diagnosis were after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2692	TRO888496FTC	12/18/2015	Beck, Alan	Alan Beck	6084			X				1974 diagnosis; unaware that creosote was a problem, did not read about it in newspaper, did not talk about it or publicize until later. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2693	TRO886079FTC	12/7/2015	Brooks, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Brooks	6085		X	X				1970 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2694	TRO901386FTC	8/16/2016	Whitfield, Fannie	Fannie Whitfield	6086		X	X				1961 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2695	TRO903179FTC	10/13/2016	Brown, Mary	LaMonica Hunt	6087		X	X				The injured party died in 1995; rep did not fill any information in the form, in a letter says the actions occurred before the bar date and rep was not made aware of the claim; filed when learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2696	TRO903180FTC	10/13/2016	Brown, Ellen	Stephanie Hunt	6088		X	X				The injured party died in 1993; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attachment that the actions occurred before the bar date and rep did not file because was not made aware of the claim, and filed right away when learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2697	TRO902904FTC	10/13/2016	Coleman, Fred	Destiny Coleman	6089		X	X				The injured party died in 1993; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attached form letter that the actions occurred before the bar date and she did not file because she was not made aware of the claim, she filed right away when she learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2698	TRO903182FTC	10/13/2016	Coleman, Groyer	Effie Coleman	6090		X	X				The injured party died in 1998; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attached form letter that the actions occurred before the bar date and rep did not file because not made aware of the claim, filed right away when learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2699	TRO903377FTC	10/27/2016	Hunt, Henry	Tabitha Goss	6091		X	X				The injured party died in 1993; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attached form letter that the actions occurred before the bar date and she did not file because she was not made aware of the claim, she filed right away when she learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2700	TRO894342FTC	3/2/2016	Gray, Dennis	Dennis Gray	6092		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former employee of plant in Hamilton, MS who was living in Alabama in 2009 and was not aware of receiving any document or information in regards to this claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2701	TRO889564FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Travante	Travante Jackson	6093		X	X		X		Says he filed a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case by the claims filing deadline, but the only record of a claim is one filed on 2/23/16, which was long after the bar date. Says he got "locked up" on several occasions after filing the claim, got out of jail in August 2017, but that does not explain failure to file by bar date. Also filed form letter saying "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; discharge of claim violation of due process; refers to secret meetings in the community and minimal details to the community at large. The form initially had a date of diagnosis prior to bar date but then movant wrote over it to make it a date near bar date. Various conditions are alleged, not clear if alleges anything first diagnosed after bar date. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, no excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2702	TRO891068FTC	2/23/2016	Spearmon, Ruby	Ruby Spearmon	6094		X	X				2002 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach (not clear when or in connection with what proceeding); after atty died [in 2013], he did not hear anything; says "tried to get a claim" and it seems that he thought he "would be notified by someone else. And hearing about it." No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2703	TRO902453FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Tommie	Mattie Fields	6095	6132 8277	X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; supplement at docket # 6132. Rep says was in the hospital at the time of the bar date and forgot the deadlines; provided the same excuse for her own claim but in that claim she also says rehabilitation was in 2016 to 2017 (not 2009). No explanation for long delay after bar date before claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2704	TRO888807FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Shancee	Shancee Harrison	6096		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, unclear if received recovery; says was unaware of the Tronox claim deadline and proceedings. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2705	TRO888093FTC	Unknown	Lowe, Lizzie	Lizzie Lowe	6097			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Was not aware of filing a claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2706	TRO889662FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Ruby	Harrison, Ruby	6098		X	X				1971 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted language as to why did not file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2707	TRO888749FTC	12/24/2015	Martin, Bobby	Bobby Martin	6099	9293	X	X				1989 diagnosis; says that the year of his surgery, 1989, there were no lawsuits pending. However, there did not need to be a pending class action for claimant to seek relief. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9293 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2708	TRO887680 FTC	12/14/2015	Webb, Rosie	Rosie Webb	6100		X	X		X		Says she misinterpreted the conditions of the claim; did not know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product, also mentions that when she responded to a prior deficiency notice she forgot to add her most recent health conditions. Therefore, although in this form she says her symptoms and diagnosis were after bar date, unclear if she is referring to her most recent conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2709	TRO904082FTC	1/3/2017	Walker, Alexis	Alexis Walker	6101	8356	X	X				2000 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to why missed bar date; a supplement filed at docket #8356, says without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2710	TRO890929FTC	2/23/2016	Butler, R	Gloria Jones	6102	8355	X	X				Diagnoses at various dates; the injured party died in 2007; rep says unaware qualified for claim; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplement filed at docket #8355, rep says without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2711	TRO891176FTC	2/23/2016	Butler, Phil	Gloria Jones	6103	8353	X	X				Symptoms began 1984; the injured party died in 1985; rep says did not receive any information indicating he could file in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8353, says without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2712	TRO892511FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Rosie	Gloria Wilson	6104	8290 9176	X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says unaware of how to file a claim or any awareness of the Tronox company; supplement filed at docket # 8290, poor, no access to internet. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9176 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2713	TRO891840FTC	2/23/2016	Bridges, Junious	Christine Washington	6105	9268	X	X				The trust incorrectly listed Eula Bridges at this docket number but her motion is at docket #6115. This motion is for Junious Bridge as the injured party (TRO891840FTC). 1989 diagnosis; prior claim through Colom law firm; the injured party is deceased. Claimant says did not know about the Tronox process. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9268 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2714	TRO889833FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Mattie	Mattie Jamison	6106			X			X	Various diagnosis dates listed. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim based on condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2715	TRO890983FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Tom	Tom Epps	6107		X	X			X	1975 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm in 2003; says that he filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under the mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1975 rather than 2009. The reason being that he filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement in 2002 and he was offered \$8,500. He rejected the offer because he had had surgery and other pending health issues. The offer did not compensate for his noticed injuries, diagnoses and surgery. In 2003, he filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now he wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2716	TRO890985FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Sharvin	Sharvin Epps	6108		X	X			X	1992 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm 2003; says that he filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under the mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1992 rather than 2009. The reason being that he filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement offered in 2002 that did not compensate for the noticed injuries, diagnoses and surgery. In 2003, he filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now he wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Also rejected an offer from the trust for a \$5000 allowed claim. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2717	TRO890984FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Sallie	Sallie Epps	6109		X	X			X	1974 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm 2003; says that she filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under the mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1975 rather than 2009. The reason being that she filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement in 2002 and she was offered \$8,500. Movant rejected the offer. In 2003, filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now movant wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2718	TRO890987FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Latanya	Latanya Epps	6110		X	X			X	1973 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm in 2003; says that filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1973 rather than 2009. The reason being that claimant filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement in 2002 that did not compensate for injuries, illnesses or diagnoses. In 2003, filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2719	TRO895941FTC	4/6/2016	Craddieth, Sylvester	Sylvester Craddieth	6111		X	X				1967 diagnosis; unaware of the lawsuit; standard language as to why did not file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2720	TRO900273FTC	7/25/2016	Selvie, Jr. Albert	Albert Selvie, Jr.	6112		X	X				1996 diagnosis; moved to another town, was not aware of the proceedings or that filings were being done; never received notice. Complains of lack of direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2721	TRO893719FTC	3/2/2016	Jethrow, Kimberlin	Kimberlin Jethrow	6113			X				January 2009 diagnosis; says commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox prior to the claims filing deadline but the only claim referenced as having been made was a claim filed in 2015 with atty Landis Sexton, only record of claim in this proceeding is the claim filed on March 2, 2016; says symptoms first started in Jan 2009, does not provide a date for diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2722	TRO898703FTC	6/27/2016	Sykes, Lizzie	Lizzie Sykes	6114			X				2006 diagnosis; moved away from Columbus, MS in 1998; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; letter saying was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; discharge of claim violation of due process; refers to private meetings in the community and minimal details to the town. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Complains about information in Columbus, MS but risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in Columbus starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2723	TRO894642FTC	3/23/2016	Bridges, Eula	Christine Washington	6115	9268	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this motion as docket # 6105, which is a different motion on behalf of Junious Bridges as the injured party. 1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep says not aware, no knowledge of the case, did not see any publication, newspaper or other media, standard language. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9268 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2724	TRO914825FTC	7/26/2017	McAllister, Ricky	Ricky McAllister	6116			X		X		Says was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says the dates that he put on the first claim were wrong; the dates he lists now are all after the bar date. Trust may dispute diagnoses dates, but no pre-bar date diagnosis is alleged for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2725	TRO889898FTC	2/23/2016	McEachin, Zinzi	Zinzi McEachin	6117			X		X		Says was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; had no knowledge of this before he filed a claim; did not receive a letter or telephone call or learn of anything from media; publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim a violation of due process; unaware of the process and did not know he could file a claim for himself; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Trust may dispute diagnoses dates, but no pre-bar date diagnosis is alleged for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2726	TRO901702FTC	8/16/2016	Donahue, Joseph	Joseph Donahue	6118		X	X				Diagnosis 2004; says that was originally offered a \$2,500 claim to settle any future compensation, decided not to accept that, thinking that if something happened later the claimant could not be compensated for it. Unclear when or in what proceeding that settlement offer was made but it shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2727	TRO895335FTC	3/23/2016	Bailey, Alix	Alix Bailey	6119		X	X				1978 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was not informed properly or receive notification that a claim should be filed in a timely manner, it violated his right of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2728	TRO889420FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Larry	Larry Jamison	6120	6051		X			X	Possibly a duplicate of the motion at docket 6051 but claim numbers differ. Various diagnosis dates for various conditions; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product, discharge of claim was a violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know that he could file a claim for himself, all but one listed diagnosis was after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2729	TRO902847FTC	10/13/2016	Brand, Theophilus Joshua	Brand, Theophilus Joshua	6121		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says was not informed of the claims process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2730	TRO891669FTC	2/23/2016	Blevins, Tyrone	Tyrone Blevins	6122		X	X		X		Alleges a first diagnosis in September 2009 but also says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in 1998 action re Tronox plant; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2731	TRO900304FTC	7/25/2016	Smith, Charles	Dorothy Smith	6123		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in 1998 Kerr McGee Class Action. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2732	TRO899924FTC	7/25/2016	Taylor, Nellie	Nellie Taylor	6124			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in December 2009; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2733	TRO900914FTC	8/16/2016	Taylor, Annie	Taylor, Annie	6125		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1966 and 2014; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2734	TRO887685FTC	12/14/2015	Shirley, Zaveria	Zaveria Shirley	6126			X			X	Diagnosis and symptoms started in 2015; minor in 2009; moved away and was not aware of the proceedings, unaware exposed to a Tronox product; says "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" discharge of claim was a violation of due process; refers to private meetings in area, minimal details to town. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, no such excuse has been offered. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2735	TRO901701FTC	8/16/2016	Donahue, Susan	Susan Donahue	6127			X				1964 diagnosis; says "when they originally offered a claim to settle any future compensation we decided not to accept. That thinking that if something happened after that we could not be compensated for it." Not specific as to when original offer was made or in connection with what proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2736	TRO901498FTC	8/16/2016	McKinley, Julian	Julian McKinley	6128						X	1993 diagnosis; minor in 2009 (age 17 or so) but no claim by parents, no claim by injured party until 2016. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2737	TRO897431FTC	5/25/2016	Simon, Ruth	Sam Simon	6129		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says unaware of the case, did not see any type of publication of the case or filing deadline in newspaper or any media form. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2738	TRO904684FTC	1/24/2017	Jenkins-Cotton, Bessie	Bessie Jenkins-Cotton	6130			X				Symptoms 1990-1992; place of exposure not clear, but says moved to Florida in 1992 for a job transfer; did not have any knowledge of bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2739	TRO888712FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Cedric	Cedric Harrison	6131		X	X				Diagnoses 1988, 1990; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001; not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved, and was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy.
2740	TRO900105FTC	7/25/2016	Fields, Mattie	Mattie Fields	6132		X	X				Claim number was omitted from Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates from 1970 to 2009. Says broken leg and other sickness required hospitalization and rehabilitation in 2016 to 2017 (appears referring to filing with trust in 2016 rather than original bar date in 2009). No explanation of failure to file in 2009, no explanation of further delay from 2009 until 2016 except for explanation as to leg injury in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2741	TRO885578FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Tony	Tony Sherrod	6133			X			X	Diagnoses in 2006, 2014; says was not aware and was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2742	TRO898996FTC	6/27/2016	VanLuvender, Christopher	MaryKathryn Dineen	6134	8242		X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984. A supplement filed at docket #8242, rep says was never approached to file a claim and not aware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2743	TRO898995FTC	6/27/2016	VanLuvender, Ruth	MaryKathryn Dineen	6135	8239		X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; rep says that no contact was made to file a claim on her behalf. A supplement filed at docket #8239, rep says was never approached to file a claim and not aware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2744	TRO898994FTC	6/27/2016	Dineen, MaryKathryn	MaryKathryn Dineen	6136	8243		X				1985 diagnosis; moved from Avoca in 1983; was not approached to be part of claim process. A supplement filed at docket #8243, says was never approached to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2745	TRO894445FTC	3/2/2016	Sturdivant, Charles	Charles Sturdivant	6137	8658						Ongoing conditions but appears first diagnoses were in 2004 and 2006; unaware exposed to Tronox products; says is veteran who suffered from PTSD, has long and short term memory loss, forgets things easily, is getting treatment for mental health; needs assistance with his daily living; was unaware of deadline. The VA issued evaluation of the PTSD, determined as 70% disabling effective July 2004. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8658. Will accept explanation for late filing on grounds of incapacity and permit the late-filed claim, the merits of which will be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2746	TRO902562FTC	9/14/2016	Wells, William	William Wells	6138	8054	X	X				1980 diagnosis; originally from Columbus, MS; says was stationed overseas during that time (must be referring to earlier lawsuits as his term of service was from 1974-1994); was not informed of any class action by letter or publication. A duplicate claim filed at docket # 8054. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2747	TRO896839FTC	4/25/2016	Ferguson, Oscar	Dorothy Lovelace	6139		X	X				1976 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2748	TRO905428FTC	3/28/2017	Sturdivant, Josie	Josie Sturdivant	6140	8660						Diagnoses 1993, 2004; says unaware of exposure; says is a veteran who suffers from PTSD; long and short-term memory loss; forgets things easily; needs assistance with daily routine. She was evaluated at 70% disabled (the same diagnosis as Charles Sturdivant from same address). A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8660. Will accept explanation for late filing on grounds of incapacity and permit the late-filed claim, the merits of which are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal procedures.
2749	TRO894705FTC	3/23/2016	O'Neal, Lekeshie	Lekeshie O'Neal	6141		X	X				Diagnosis in 1987; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2750	TRO894564FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Selvin	Selvin Richardson	6142	5470?	X	X				The motion at docket #6142 is for Selvin I. Richardson [TRO894564FTC]; there is another motion filed for Selvin Richardson [TRO887191FTC] at docket #5470. It is not clear whether or not it is the same person, the signatures are very similar and the addresses are the same, but while some of the symptoms overlap they are not identical. In addition, Selvin I. Richardson [TRO894564FTC] says 1988 is date for exposure, symptoms and diagnosis, while Selvin Richardson [TRO887191FTC] at docket #5470 says 1979 is date for exposure, symptoms and diagnosis. They both provide the same excuse, i.e.: did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, discharge of debt is a violation of due process, publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Court will treat them as two separate motions and two separate claims out of abundance of caution. As to the motion at docket 6142, relief is denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2751	TRO899977FTC	7/25/2016	McCain, Kim	Kim McCain	6143			X				1992 diagnosis; place of original exposure is not specified; says that no longer lives in the state and was not aware. Note of the bar date was published in the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel in June 2009, as verified by the proofs of publication filed on the docket. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2752	TRO896094FTC	4/6/2016	Burgin, Cassandra	Cassandra Burgin	6144		X	X				1990s diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001; says it is not right that people who never lived in the area are receiving money. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2753	TRO898780FTC	6/27/2016	Key, Roosevelt	Roosevelt Key	6145		X	X				1987 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2754	TRO900035FTC	7/25/2016	Carter, Mary	Johnathan West	6146		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2755	TRO900033FTC	7/25/2016	Carter, Vanessa	Marcus West	6147			X		X		2011 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; rep cites standard cut-and-pasted form language as to bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2756	TRO900034FTC	7/25/2016	West, Johnathan	Johnathan West	6148		X	X				1985 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2757	TRO900032FTC	7/25/2016	West, Marcus	Marcus West	6149		X	X				1986 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2758	TRO902290FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Byron	Demetric Williams	6150		X	X				2003 diagnosis; rep says moved to Arkansas in 2000; heard of lawsuit against Kerr-McGee at this time but did not contact right people and was informed too late to file a claim and the courts were already issuing payments. When another lawsuit was reopened for this case, she did not become aware of it until after bar date; unaware of deadlines or any such matters. After getting informed of current lawsuit, reached out to Tronox and they sent an application without mentioning that the deadline to file had passed. Instead, was told to get application back quickly to get it processed. Was aware of legal proceedings, did not pursue claim with sufficient diligence to warrant relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2759	TRO902291FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Demetric	Demetric Williams	6151		X	X				2002 and 2006 diagnoses; this motion is filed by the same person who filed the motion as to injured party Byron Williams [docket 6150]; same explanation as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Was aware of legal proceedings, did not pursue claim with sufficient diligence to warrant relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2760	TRO887708FTC	12/14/2015	Hinton, Sammie	Sammie Hinton	6152	6180	X	X		X		Diagnoses in 2004 and 2007; did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from media concerning this matter. Also has filed a rejection notice [duplicate is at docket #6180] alleging entitlement to a future tort claim based on post-bar date exposures and/or post-bar date diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2761	TRO888001FTC	12/18/2015	Eggleston, Christine	Eggleston, Christine	6153		X	X				Diagnoses in 2005; previously filed claim with Howard Gunn in 2002; says shortly thereafter she was incarcerated and was released in 2008 and was told the atty went bankrupt and was told there was no record of her claim. Tronox bankruptcy case is a separate proceeding that was not commenced until 2009. No explanation of any further effort to pursue claim from 2008 until filing with Trust in December 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If not resolved in a prior case the claim also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2762	TRO898714FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Sammy	Smith, Sammy	6154		X	X				1965 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2763	TRO903559FTC	11/23/2016	Martin, Debra	Debra Martin	6155		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2002, 2010, 2012; says had no knowledge; the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says was in the hospital taking different medications was not aware of such proceedings; says a relative with same conditions and who lived in same area was approved. Unclear whether particular conditions were first diagnosed after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2764	TRO899907FTC	7/25/2016	Jones, Shemar	Jones, Shemar	6156		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis on August 23, 2009 but also says previously filed claim in Kerr-McGee Plant Class Action; standard form language as to why missed the bar date. If a claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including whether terms of prior class action barred further claims) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2765	TRO892499FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Colanda	Colanda Baker	6157			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2013; says had no idea there was a claim until it was too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2766	TRO886312FTC	12/7/2015	Abrams, Paula	Paula Abrams	6158		X	X				1988 diagnosis; was not aware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2767	TRO894400FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Pearlie	Pearlie Jones	6159			X				Diagnosis date not provided; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; letter says "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; secret meetings in town minimal details to town. Alleges due process violation but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2768	TRO888919FTC	12/30/2015	Cobb, Roderick	Roderick Cobb	6160			X		X		1995 symptoms, diagnosis "1995-2016;" place of exposure not specified, movant relocated to Alabama; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Also has filed a rejection notice as to condition first diagnosed after the bar dates. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2769	TRO905236FTC	3/1/2017	Bailey, Devione	Bailey, Devione	6161		X	X				1991 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2770	TRO892581FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Joe Renée	Williams, Joe Renée	6162	8101		X				2008 diagnosis; says was not aware of any such claim that she could have filed; no type of media contained the information she needed that she was exposed to chemical. A supplement filed at docket # 8101; unaware could file claim or that any type of settlement was going on; learned about it at a town hall meeting in 2016; there are parties that did not live in the area and their claims were allowed; she was unaware of the environmental issues. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2771	TRO904624FTC	1/24/2017	Jones, Katelynn	Lisa Mims	6163			X				Rep says unaware of deadline; did not know of exposure to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; says symptoms and diagnosis were in April 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2772	TRO888902FTC	12/30/2015	Taylor, Mary	Charlie Fenster, III	6164		X	X				1982 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not file a claim because was told that only the people who lived in area could file (probably referring to a class action suit that was limited to residents in a certain area). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2773	TRO912251FTC	5/30/2017	Walker, James	James Walker	6165	8325	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; was not aware could file a claim, says discharge of claim was a violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8325, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2774	TRO888884FTC	12/30/2015	Logan, Ernest	Ernest Logan	6166		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; says was informed that only people who lived in area could file (probably referring to a prior class action lawsuit that was limited to residents of a certain area). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2775	TRO896677FTC	4/25/2016	Wilson, Thullah	Wilson, Thullah	6167		X	X				2002 diagnosis; no knowledge of claim; unaware of causes of her conditions during the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2776	TRO902738FTC	8/16/2016	Cole, Ernestine	Christine Washington	6168	9268	X	X				1968 diagnosis; did not know about it or get any knowledge from media. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9268 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2777	TRO902621FTC	4/20/2016	Gordan, Kunta	Kunta Gordan	6170	8931	X	X		X		Says first symptoms and first diagnosis were after bar date but also says previously filed a legal claim in 1999; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says did all paperwork. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8931 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2778	TRO889899FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Curtis, Sr.	Curtis Jamison, Sr.	6171			X		X		Diagnoses in 2010 and 2011; was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy in 2009; did not receive a letter or telephone call, or learn anything through media; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2779	TRO894023FTC	3/2/2016	Billups, Annie	Maddox, Sonia	6172		X	X				Diagnosis date 1975; the injured party died in 1991; standard language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2780	TRO893639FTC	3/2/2016	Banks, Thaddues	Maddox, Sonia	6173			X			X	Alleges an October 2009 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2015; rep provides standard form language as reasons missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2781	TRO894022FTC	3/2/2016	Dillard, Gwendolyn	Maddox, Sonia	6174		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2000; rep says was not aware/had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification via any media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2782	TRO894024FTC	3/2/2016	Maddox, Quaylan	Maddox, Quaylan	6175			X				2009 diagnosis; says parent filed a claim with an attorney in Aberdeen, Mississippi and never heard anything and when she called they say didn't have the paperwork; unclear when that was. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2783	TRO896595FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Bianca	Bianca Jamison	6176			X			X	Diagnoses 2009 and 2010; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; had no reason to know and did not know of her exposure to Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process, unaware of the process and did not know that she could file a claim for herself. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2784	TRO892514FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Erise, Sr.	Gloria Wilson	6177	8292 9214	X	X				Diagnosis 1/3/06; the injured party died in 2008; rep says they did not know who Tronox was, did not have access to the internet. A supplement filed at docket #8292, poor, no access to internet. A supplement at docket #9214, merely a signature and address probably meant to have been attached to a letter complaining about the delay. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9214 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2785	TRO887707FTC	Unknown	Hinton, Etta	Etta Hinton E.	6178		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, late 1990s and case was later turned over to atty Colom; accepted \$375 payment in early 2000s; says did not have knowledge of ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a telephone call, letter or learn anything from media. Claim apparently was already resolved in prior proceeding, and if so it could not be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2786	TRO893520FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Christian	Christian Williams	6179			X				No diagnosis date listed; says did not know about the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2787	TRO894584FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Jessie	Williams, Jessie	6181			X		X		Was not aware of the claim process; discharge of claim violation of due process, unaware of the process and did not know that could file a claim, says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2788	TRO894606FTC	3/23/2016	Tate, Barbara	Barbara Tate	6182			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2789	TRO912931FTC	5/30/2017	Mims, Robert	Robert Mims	6183		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim a violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2790	TRO899268FTC	7/11/2016	Walls, Pamela	Pamela Walls	6184		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; previously filed with Howard Gunn, Bambach, Cunningham, the Creosote Litigation Group 2003, unclear if claim resolved in another proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2791	TRO889765FTC	2/23/2016	Keye, Terrance	Tietti Chandler	6185							Injured party was a minor awaiting adoption at time of the bar date; parent was living in a group home; rep files the letter that says "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; violation of due process; refers to private meetings in community and minimal details imparted to rest of community. Rep also says that symptoms and diagnosis were after the bar date. Claim allowed on grounds of excusable neglect, merits to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2792	TRO897673FTC	5/25/2016	Dismuke, Jacob	Carla Harris	6186			X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had not reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2793	TRO899290FTC	7/11/2016	Thomas, Jamel	Jamel Thomas	6187		X	X			X	Was not aware of the claim during that period; motion says symptoms and diagnosis 2000 but medical records are only for 2009 and after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2794	TRO892768FTC	2/23/2016	Sunivelle, Derwin	Sunivelle, Derwin	6188			X			X	Did not have any proof but now has proof to show high blood pressure; symptoms after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2795	TRO886787FTC	12/7/2015	Adams, Aisha	Aisha Adams	6189		X	X				Diagnoses 1999 and 2006; says has an out-of-town residence in Georgia but resided in Columbus, MS in summers; was not aware of proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2796	TRO888883FTC	12/30/2015	Brown, Perry	Perry Brown	6190		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says was told only the people who lived in the area could file, apparently is thinking of prior class action that was limited to persons who lived in a certain area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2797	TRO888398FTC	12/18/2015	Harrison, Gregory B.	Gregory Harrison	6191		X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; did not know about the bankruptcy process, would have filed if he had been told about it. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2798	TRO904149FTC	1/3/2017	Hanson, Kay	James Hanson	6192		X	X				1991 diagnosis; rep says that the injured party did not file because of her health condition in 2009 but does not explain many years' delay after 2009 before filed the claim. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2799	TRO884893FTC	11/25/2015	Bradley, Shonda	Shonda Bradley	6193			X				Diagnosis date not listed; says was a minor but lists birth date as June 24, 1988, so was 21 years old as of the bar date and was not a minor; says mother filed a claim because she was a minor but may be thinking of a claim in a prior lawsuit as there is no record of a timely filed claim in the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2800	TRO903064FTC	10/13/2016	Williams, Rosie M.	Rosie M. Williams	6194		X	X				The motion at docket #6194 is by Rosie M. Williams [TRO903064FTC]; a different motion by Rosie Williams [TRO893439FTC] is at docket # 5378. Rosie M. Williams previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was paid \$8,000. Says she never received a letter concerning a filing deadline and she is and was incapacitated. Alleged diagnosis date is 2003. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges physical limitations but does not show inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2801	TRO895801FTC	3/24/2016	Miller, James	Miller, James	6195	8164	X	X			X	2005 diagnosis but unclear if also claims later conditions; says was unaware of the process, did not see any publication in regards to the Tronox bankruptcy case regarding a deadline or information on the case; says the publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplement filed at docket #8164 adding an additional post-bar date condition (high cholesterol). Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 2005 diagnosis also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2802	TRO891972FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, RL	RL Reed	6196		X	X				1966 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Texas in 2006; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area and did not qualify; does not explain why she did not file her own lawsuit or take other steps after not qualifying for class action; says she did not reside in Mississippi in 2009 and did not receive timely information. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2803	TRO891971FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, Stephanie	Stephanie Reed	6197		X	X				1971 diagnosis; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to TX in 2006; alleges did not receive timely information. No explanation as to why did not file own lawsuit or take other steps after failed to qualify for class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2804	TRO891970FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, BilQis	BilQuis Reed	6198		X	X				1991 diagnosis; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to TX and did not reside in Mississippi in 2009; says did not receive timely information. No explanation as to why did not file own lawsuit or take other steps after failed to qualify for class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2805	TRO891969FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, RL MK [III]	RL Reed III	6199		X	X				1988 diagnosis; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area; moved to TX from Columbus, MS; says did not receive timely information. No explanation as to why did not file own lawsuit or take other steps after failed to qualify for class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2806	TRO901563FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Queen Ester	Lee, Johnny	6200			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party resided in Columbus, MS and died in January 2009; rep says did not know and had no reason to know the injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2807	TRO900411FTC	7/25/2016	Hopkins, Olivia	Belinda McCain	6201	6202 6929	X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party lived in Columbus, MS and died in 1997; rep says did not know of claim. Also filed a letter at docket # 6202 saying that she rejects the trust's offer. A signature page filed at docket #6929. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2808	TRO895320FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Jimmy	Mary Mosley	6203		X	X				1986 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1991; rep says was unaware of the lawsuit as she had moved away from the area; says publication notice in her area was not reasonably sufficiently calculated to provide notice to a potential claimant who was unknown of opportunity to file a claim on a relative's behalf; nor did she receive notice; unaware of process, did not know she could file a claim for deceased relative. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Bar date notice was published in three different MS newspapers in June 2009 including the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus (which was only 26 miles from Starkville), the Clarion-Ledger in Jackson, and the Hattiesburg-American in Hattiesburg), as well as in newspapers in nearby states and the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2809	TRO905256FTC	3/1/2017	Jones, Albert	Ernest Jones	6204		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2810	TRO890280FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Andrea	Andrea Martin	6205		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says that at the time there wasn't a claim going on (meaning a class action, apparently) while they lived in that area majority of her life. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2811	TRO889141FTC	2/23/2016	Cole, Latashia	Latashia Cole	6206		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS who moved in 2007; diagnoses 2003, 2015 and 2016; only became aware of the lawsuit against Tronox in 2015, in 2009 was unaware of the filing deadline or her filing eligibility. Says that to bar her future tort claim violates her due process rights because she did not receive notice of the lawsuit and the 2009 deadline; says that public notice provided was not sufficient for her case because she did not live in the local newspaper area at the time notice was made. She says that some conditions were diagnosed prior to the bar date and some after. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2812	TRO889691FTC	2/23/2016	Henley, Lucious	Lucious Henley	6207						X	2007 diagnosis; minor at time of bar date (21 years old at time of motion in 2017); did not know about the deadline; did not understand all of the issues and still does not. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2813	TRO893819FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Linda	Linda Johnson	6208			X			X	Alleges a 2017 diagnosis; says was not aware of the bankruptcy process; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how and does not allege that movant read the notice; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; these excuses were checked off in the sample list of issues provided by the Trust. She also checked off that neither she nor her atty received direct written notice of bar date after commencing a legal proceeding against Tronox, but in answer to other questions the application says there was no such prior proceeding. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2814	TRO888739FTC	12/24/2015	Jamison, Curtis, Jr.	Curtis Jamison, Jr.	6209			X			X	2010 and 2011 diagnoses; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; no knowledge of this before filing a claim, did not receive a letter or telephone call or learn from media; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim a violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.	
2815	TRO901001FTC	8/16/2016	Spencer, Jeff	Jeff Spencer	6210			X			X	2014 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.	
2816	TRO905979FTC	4/24/2017	Lyles, Betty	Lyles, Betty	6211		X	X				1958 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim is a violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.	
2817	TRO902588FTC	9/14/2016	Wells, Devalin	Devalin Wells	6212	8055	X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved in 1994; not notified by mail or publication; did not know of any class action claim until 2016 when visiting and made aware any claim was "reopened." Claims process was not reopened, this appears to be a misconception that has spread among movants. A duplicate motion filed at docket #8055. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.	
2818	TRO892500FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Eryannah	Colanda Baker	6213						X	X	Says diagnoses 2009-2011; says was a minor and parents had no idea there was a claim. Unclear whether 2009 diagnosis was before or after the bar date. If was before the bar date, will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2819	TRO897365FTC	5/25/2016	Carr, Erica	Erica Carr	6214			X			X	Lists a January 2009 diagnosis but mentions a number of conditions, unclear if some might have been first diagnosed after the bar date; former resident of Columbus, MS; says from 2008 -2009 began to get very sick causing her to be in and out of the hospital; she had nowhere to stay but with different family members every day, became pregnant with third child and stress overtook her, she gave birth early because of health issues. She does not state the year but based on the earlier narrative, it appears it may have been 2009, near the bar date in August. Claimant explains why missed the bar date itself but not the subsequent delays (nearly 7 years) before filing a proof of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2820	TRO884063FTC	11/13/2015	Moore, Jaimee	Jaimee Moore	6215			X				February 2006 diagnoses; did not know exposed to a dangerous chemical; does not have access to any of the newspapers where the notice was posted and does not even know of most of them; in any event, the publication only allowed 52 calendar days or 36 business days to file, not a reasonable amount of time to gather the necessary information, including medical records, to adequately support and document a claim for contamination. Complaints about short amount of time before the bar date do not explain or justify the claimant's seven-year delay before making a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2821	TRO904996FTC	1/24/2017	Edwards, Joe Jr.	Alesia Edwards Kennedy	6216	8438	X	X				The Trust's submission listed docket 6216 as a motion for Alesia Edward Kenney on her own behalf but docket # 6216 actually relates to a claim filed on behalf of Joe Edwards, Jr.; Alesia Edward Kennedy's own motion is at docket #6219. As to the motion at docket # 6216; alleges a 2003 diagnosis; the injured party was a Columbus, MS resident who died in 2007; rep only became aware in 2016 that the area was affected with these chemicals; were not notified then. A supplement filed at docket #8438. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2822	TRO888840FTC	12/30/2015	Edwards, Shirley	Shirley Edwards	6217			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; alleges 2010 and 2013 diagnoses; just found out that area was affected by chemicals, says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2823	TRO888838FTC	12/30/2015	Edwards, Yvonne	Yvonne Edwards	6218			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; did not file because was not aware area was affected by chemicals, was not notified to file; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 (date unclear). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2824	TRO889655FTC	2/23/2016	Kennedy, Alesia Edwards	Alesia Edwards Kennedy	6219			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this as the motion at docket # 6216, which is a motion on behalf of a different injured party. The motion at docket 6219 alleges an October 2012 diagnosis; just became aware of all of the information that her area was affected by the chemicals and that a claim needed to get filed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2825	TRO900804FTC	8/16/2016	Johnson, Tyra	Johnson, Tyra	6220			X			X	September 2009 diagnosis; relocated to another state and was not aware of the claim; says symptoms 2000 and diagnosis Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2826	TRO886655FTC	12/7/2015	Fairley, Doris	Anthony Bailey	6222		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; rep says that she lives in Tennessee and besides work, she went back and forth to care for the injured party in Mississippi who was in and out of hospitals but she does not provide the dates or documentation for this care-giving. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2827	TRO891684FTC	2/23/2016	Tremble, Tynesha	Tynesha Tremble	6223		X	X				Diagnosis dates listed as "1985, 1990s, 2000s"; former resident of Columbus, MS who previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says should not have to prove entitlement to compensation given her injuries, gave the information to the Colom firm in 2002. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2828	TRO902181FTC	9/14/2016	Bostick, Eboni	Bostick, Eboni	6224			X				2006 diagnosis; was not aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2829	TRO890986FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Nicole	Nicole Epps	6225	7664	X	X			X	Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001 but did not accept offer; after rejected offer there was no information made available regarding optional recourse; filed again in 2003 with Dalrymple law firm; says that she is uncertain if she has excusable neglect or a violation of due process she did everything she knew to be considered and included in the bankruptcy claimant. A rejection notice for this claim is filed at docket #6225. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2830	TRO897674FTC	5/25/2016	Short, Devin	Devin Short	6226			X			X	This is merely a rejection of an allowed claim of \$5,000 offered by the trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2831	<b>TRO890224FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Washington, Eddie</b>	<b>Eddie Washington</b>	6228			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2832	TRO895207FTC	3/23/2016	Smith, Alfonza	Alfonza Smith	6229	8120		X			X	Appears that he filed an original application with earlier dates for a diagnosis and now is saying that was all a mistake that he was only referring to symptoms decades before the bar date but his "official" diagnosis was not until after the bar date. However, claimant also mentions trying to file a claim in 2002. A supplement filed at docket #8120, says diagnosis for palpitations after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2833	TRO904691FTC	1/24/2017	Jones, Tyler	Tyler Jones	6230	8330	X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8330, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2834	TRO888386FTC	12/18/2015	Warren, Tranesha	Tranesha Warren	6231		X	X		X		Correct claim number is TRO888386FTC. Diagnoses 1982 and 2015; did not know and had no knowledge exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2835	TRO905495FTC	3/28/2017	Crusoe, Frances	Frances Crusoe	6232		X	X				Diagnosed in childhood (early 1980s); says paperwork was initially filed along with that of relatives but the law firm could not find papers; later was told that because did not still live in Columbus, could not file a claim (this is apparently a reference to the prior class action on behalf of nearby residents). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2836	TRO889685FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Mary	Brenda Jackson-Johnson	6233		X	X				1945 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep says did not know could file for her; unaware of any lawsuit; discharge of claim violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2837	TRO895294FTC	3/23/2016	Harris, Felix	William E. Harris	6234		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep says previously filed with the Colom law firm, 2002 Kerr-McGee creosote Plant class action but was not paid any money; reps says not aware nor had knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2838	TRO894381FTC	3/2/2016	Willis, Reginald	Reginald Willis	6235		X	X				1976 diagnosis; says (without details) that notice was deficient on its face; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2839	TRO889390FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Darryl	Darryl Craddieth	6236	8208	X	X				1993 diagnosis; says unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8208, at the time he filled out the claim, he had no knowledge that it was on a deadline; unaware of the claim until a neighbor told him that he could file it based on where he lived. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2840	TRO891339FTC	2/23/2016	Stallings, Genevery	Genevery Stallings	6237		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says did not hear anything about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2841	TRO895285FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Louise	Diane Gore	6238	6507		X			X	Rep says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 and mentions that she is referencing most recent conditions. The same motion was filed with a different TRO claim number at docket #6507 [TRO896699FTC]. It appears that the original claim may have mentioned earlier conditions and/or diagnoses, but motion only references alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar dates. Motion does not identify any pre-bar date diagnoses for which relief from the bar date is sought, and so motion for permission to file such claims is denied. The merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2842	TRO895333FTC	3/23/2016	Taylor, Sandra	Sandra Taylor	6239		X	X				Conditions and diagnoses prior to 2000; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; was not aware nor had any knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2843	TRO884013FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Mesha	Mesha Sanders	6240		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; says the lawsuit was not advertised enough and his family did not know that it was going on. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2844	TRO892860FTC	Unknown	Russell-Williams, Frances	Frances Wms Russell	6241			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 diagnosis; was not aware at that time that injury was caused by being near contaminated area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2845	TRO888033FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Patricia	Patricia Smith	6242		X	X				2004 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2846	TRO889392FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Ashley	Ashley Craddieth	6243		X	X				Diagnoses 1995, 1998, 2005; says had no knowledge that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2847	TRO898114FTC	6/20/2016	Clark, Hattie	Dean Taylor	6244		X	X				1990 diagnosis; rep says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior retention of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2848	TRO898113FTC	6/20/2016	Prince, Willie	Dean Taylor	6245		X	X				1990 diagnosis; rep says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior retention of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unexcused conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2849	TRO900897FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Sr., Willia W.	Willia W. Smith, Sr.	6246			X			X	Says diagnosis in 2010; was not aware of bar date; health declined fast and impacted thinking and mobility to care for self and to handle personal business; was overwhelmed with news and life; says not diagnosed until after the bar date, therefore could not participate in the suit/claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2850	TRO903075FTC	10/13/2016	Martin, Steven	Steven Martin	6247			X		X		Did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2851	TRO891010FTC	Unknown	Minor, Stevie	Wilda Minor Barkley	6248			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion by Wilda Barkley on her own behalf; that motion is at docket 6335. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2852	TRO894479FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Deon	Deon Lewis	6249			X		X		Not included on Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2853	TRO904191FTC	Unknown	Brown, Mattie	Mattie Brown	6250			X		X		Not included on Trust's summary. Docketed as a rejection form but actually indicates that claimant is willing to accept a proposed allowed claim offered by the Trust. Does not seek relief from the Court.
2854	TRO880798FTC	Unknown	Jones-Williams, Mary Jane	Mary Jane Jones-Williams	6251			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2855	TRO896130FTC	Unknown	Taylor, Laranda	Laranda Taylor	6252			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2856	TRO890209FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Azcona	Aczona Baker	6253			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2857	TRO890786FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Johnnie	Johnnie Richardson	6254			X		X		Refers to kidney and polyp surgeries in 2008 and pacemaker in 2014; says was not aware that he was supposed to file a claim; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says it was a while before he healed after surgery but that was in 2008. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2858	TRO896916FTC	4/25/2016	Anthony, Larry	Larry Anthony	6255		X	X				Continuous conditions with initial diagnosis dates in 1951, 1965 and 1975; previously ""on a list" that the Colom law firm had in 2002 of people in the area, did not meet with atty, was told either accepted or not, says was wrongfully compensated; discharge of claim violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2859	TRO913679FTC	6/28/2017	Hams, Valecia	Valecia Hams	6256		X	X				1995 diagnosis; did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2860	TRO897913FTC	5/25/2016	Bell, Aneia	Aneia Bell	6257		X	X				1999 diagnosis; did not know about the deadline; there were no advertisements in local newspapers or any TV coverage informing of any deadlines. Notice of the bar date was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2861	TRO900542FTC	8/16/2016	Lowery, LaPrecious	LaPrecious Lowery	6258						X	1996-97 diagnoses; minor; says was incompetent was not fully aware of anything, says everything was done for her at that age; unaware of dangers of the chemicals to cause injuries. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2862	TRO889769FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Van	Van Jamison	6259			X			X		Diagnoses in 2009 and 2011; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to California in 1989; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy claims in 2009; had no knowledge of this; did not receive a letter or telephone call or learn anything from media; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2863	TRO896093FTC	4/6/2016	Porter, Debra	Debra Porter	6260			X			X		2009 and 2011 diagnoses; says had no knowledge that she had been exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but dates of 2009 diagnoses are not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2864	TRO890210FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Montero	Montero Baker	6261			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2865	<b>TRO898258FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Williams, Larry</b>	<b>Larry Williams</b>	6262			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2866	<b>TRO896131FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Taylor, Demetries</b>	<b>Demetries Taylor</b>	6263			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2867	<b>TRO890067FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Lewis, Sandra</b>	<b>Sandra Lewis</b>	6264			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2868	TRO889586FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Alice	Alice Martin	6265			X			X	Various alleged diagnoses dates, some in 1998/99, most after the bar date; place of exposure not clear; says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2869	TRO900232FTC	7/25/2016	Middlebrooks, Tolita	Tolita Middlebrooks	6266		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2005, August 2009, September 2009; says at the time was unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2870	TRO889393FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Sharon	Sharon Craddieth	6267		X	X				Various diagnoses dates before bar date; says was unaware of deadline; did not know or had any knowledge that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2871	TRO889681FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Justin	Justin Jackson	6268		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says discharge of claim was violation of due process; he did not know about it and had not heard of any lawsuits that could have affected him. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2872	TRO889686FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Zacheus	Brenda Jackson-Johnson	6269		X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says deceased had a diagnosed injury but did not know cause, but under Mississippi law the limitations period ran so long as the condition had been diagnosed, regardless of whether the cause was known. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2873	TRO894953FTC	3/23/2016	Malone, Cassandra	Cassandra Malone	6270			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2874	TRO888238FTC	12/18/2015	Dora, Mikayla	Mikayla Dora	6271			X		X		Unaware of the Kerr-McGee claim; alleges first diagnosis in 2011, after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2875	TRO892745FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Jimmy	Redia Wells	6272		X	X				Diagnoses 1987 and earlier. The injured party is deceased; rep says not aware of the claims process; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not elaborate; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2876	TRO893687FTC	3/2/2016	James, Annie	Annie James	6273		X	X				In answer to question as to when was first diagnosed the claimant says "1973 (Jan) and 2017 April," not clear if referring to different conditions or one continuous condition; says only informed of the claim process by word of mouth. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2877	TRO890012FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Lula	Andre Jones	6274	8357	X	X				The motion at docket # 6274 is for Lula Jones by Andre Jones; a separate motion by Lula B. Jones at a different address and with a different claim number [TRO890012FTC] is at docket # 6274. The Trust's summary incorrectly referenced the motion at docket #5917 as the motion which actually is at docket # 6274. The motion by Andre Jones on behalf of Lula Jones [TRO890012FTC] says the injured party was diagnosed in 1984 and died in 1985; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. A supplement filed at docket #8357, rep says without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2878	TRO905760FTC	4/24/2017	Ivy, Chartis	Mark Cliett	6275		X	X			X	1990-91 diagnosis for asthma, 2013-14 diagnosis for hypertension and cardiovascular issues; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; had moved to Alabama when notice was published. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2879	TRO890713FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, Barbara	Perry, Barbara	6276		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 2002/2016; says did not know about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2880	TRO890309FTC	2/23/2016	Koonce, Taiyjuan	Taiyjaun Koonce	6277		X	X				1980 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Class Action; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2881	TRO892853FTC	2/23/2016	Lowe, Ruby	Ruby Lowe	6278		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2882	TRO888706FTC	12/24/2015	Morgan, Lula	Lula Morgan	6279	9246	X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after bar date; standard language as to reasons did not file by far date. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9246 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2883	TRO892036FTC	2/23/2016	Frierson, Anthony	Anthony Frierson	6280			X		X		No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2884	TRO892512FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Gloria	Gloria Wilson	6281	8136 8291	X	X				Separate motion is at docket #5057 for a Gloria Wilson with a different address, different conditions and dates. As to docket #6281: motion alleges a 1982 diagnosis; she did not understand the lawsuit; not aware of Tronox or what it had done; uneducated and unfamiliar with the internet. A supplement filed at docket #8291 saying people in area are poor and do not have internet. Supplement filed at docket # 8136; says unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2885	TRO891890FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Andrew	Andrew Richardson	6282		X	X				Alleges a first diagnosis in 2005 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; says had no knowledge of the Tronox case; says it would not be a reasonable assertion that he had access to any of the publications; he did not see any public notification via newspaper, TV or any kind of media; the information was not made available to him as a possible claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2886	TRO904139FTC	1/3/2017	Bryant, Larry	Larry Bryant	6283			X				1997 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know anything about the filing or deadline; says sometimes he forgets things. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2887	TRO896081FTC	4/6/2016	Rogers (Jones), Doris	Doris Jones Rogers	6284			X		X		Alleges 2013 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2888	TRO894358FTC	3/2/2016	Gore, Marcus	Marcus Gore	6285		X	X			X	Alleges a 2011 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm, 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2889	TRO894060FTC	3/2/2016	Porter, Larry	Larry Porter	6286		X	X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2890	TRO885215FTC	11/25/2015	Campbell, John, Jr.	John Campbell, Jr.	6287		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2891	TRO891102FTC	2/23/2016	Lowery, Tyrone	Rhonda Ballace	6288			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; says previously filed with atty Howard Gunn, date unknown; rep says unaware of getting medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2892	TRO888121FTC	12/18/2015	Mullins, Jake	Mullins, Jake	6289		X	X				2002 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, was not contacted, attorney later died [in 2013]. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2893	TRO905988FTC	4/24/2017	Lyles, Larry	Lyles, Larry	6290		X	X		X		Lists a 1957 diagnosis but papers show some conditions before and some after bar date; unaware that there was a deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2894	TRO915011FTC	7/26/2017	Gore, William	Patricia A. Gore	6291		X	X				1957 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2895	TRO894343FTC	3/2/2016	Gore, Annie	Patricia A. Gore	6292		X	X				1988 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2896	TRO894558FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Patricia M.	Patricia M. Gore	6293		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2897	TRO880972FTC	12/4/2015	Gore, Patricia A.	Patricia A. Gore	6294		X	X				1959 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2898	TRO895823FTC	3/24/2016	Stewart, Arsie	Betty Stewart	6295		X	X				Diagnoses all 1987 and earlier. Rep says that she was physically and mentally disabled during the claims filing period, loss of one eye 20-30% low mobility in legs, 30% use of one arm. Says was transported from Mississippi to stay and a rehabilitation center in Alabama. She does not provide any dates for these conditions or documentation to support. Alleges physical limitations but not incapacity to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2899	TRO901961FTC	9/14/2016	Mosley, Mary	Mary Mosley	6296		X	X				Did not receive a notice to file and was unaware of a lawsuit. At time of bar date had moved out of the area and the publication notice of the claim filing deadline was not reasonably sufficiently calculated to provide notice to a potential claimant who was unknown at the time of notice nor was she given a notice; discharge of claim was in violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2900	TRO892124FTC	2/23/2016	Bell, Roger	Roger Bell	6297			X		X		Does not provide excuse; provides a pack of medical records for conditions in 2009 and later. No showing as to relevant factors (knowledge, diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2901	TRO891543FTC	2/23/2016	Wallace, Rhonda	Rhonda Wallace	6298			X		X		Lists diagnoses in February 2009 and post-bar date; says was unaware of medical records for claim; sends medical records for conditions in 2009 and later but did not sign motion declaration. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2902	TRO891136FTC	2/23/2016	Dora, Riquala	Riquala Dora	6300	6299		X		X		Unaware of any forms being claimed against Kerr McGee; says diagnosis after bar date, unclear as at least one diagnosis was in January 2009 according to the motion itself. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2903	TRO898052FTC	6/20/2016	Terry, Angela	Mark Terry	6301		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died before the bar date; rep says was not aware of the Tronox trust claim because people within the various church affiliations and associates allegedly kept the information private throughout the city of Columbus (although rep also says the injured party was a member of one of those churches). Rep also says that false information was provided saying that only people from the plant were eligible to file a claim. (May be thinking of earlier proceedings with different eligibility requirements.) Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2904	TRO890183FTC	2/23/2016	Toliver, Tekler	Dell Jackson	6302		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says did not know about this, and did file a claim long ago with other people from the church, but Trust's records show bankruptcy claim was filed in February 2016, no record of any other bankruptcy claim. When he moved he contacted the attorney with the change of address and has no idea what happened then. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2905	TRO890583FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Timothy	Timothy Williams	6303		X	X				April 2001 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim; says discharge of claim is a violation of due process; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2906	TRO894534FTC	3/23/2016	James, Raleigh	Raleigh James	6304	9413		X				January 2008 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to believe he had been exposed to these chemicals. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9413 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2907	TRO904311FTC	1/3/2017	Windham, Linda	Linda Windham	6305		X	X				1982 diagnosis; says did not have any knowledge of the harmful chemicals and waste that were present in the environment, nor did she know anything about filing a claim; was not aware of the spill and contamination; says "how can I be expected to meet a deadline if I knew nothing about this." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, under MS law the claim accrues upon diagnosis, regardless of whether the injured party knows the cause of the injury, so this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2908	TRO904312FTC	1/3/2017	Windham, Zquita	Zquita Windham	6306		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed, some before and some after bar date; says had no knowledge that these chemicals and waste were present in the community, did not know one could file a claim against the company; says did not know what conditions stemmed from. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2909	<b>TRO914268FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Peterson, Jessie</b>	<b>Jessie Peterson</b>	6307			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2910	TRO888893FTC	12/30/2015	Brown, Demarco	Demarco Brown	6308			X				1999 symptoms onset, no diagnosis date listed; did not file a claim the first time because was told only the people who lived in the area could file a claim, may be thinking of the class action in early 2000s on behalf of nearby residents. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2911	TRO886258FTC	12/7/2015	Orr, Bessie	Bessie Orr	6309		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 2001-present, unclear if any new conditions first diagnosed after the bar date; had no knowledge that claims were getting filed; did not get any document through mail or learn anything from newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2912	TRO891016FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins, Devin	Devin Hawkins	6310			X				Diagnoses 1990-1994; says was a minor but also lists an address in Columbus for "1988-present," meaning was at least 21 at the time of the bar date; did not know about the action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2913	TRO891017FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins-Terry, Tabitha	Tabitha Hawkins-Terry	6311			X			X	Diagnoses in 2006, 2009 and 2013; did not know about claim; traveled frequently in job and was only home periodically; did not see any advertisement regarding this action, it was not in local paper or local TV stations. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2914	TRO897459FTC	5/25/2016	Moore, Larrie	Larrie Moore	6312		X	X				1988 diagnosis; did not know about legal proceeding. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2915	<b>TRO890066FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Lewis, Dennis</b>	<b>Dennis Lewis</b>	6314			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2916	TRO886294FTC	12/7/2015	Brooks, Melvin	Melvin Brooks	6315			X			X	The is merely an acceptance of an offer by the trust for a \$5,000 allowed claim. It seeks no relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2917	TRO904023FTC	1/3/2017	Phillips, Ethel	Kay Gypson	6316		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep does not provide excuse, no grounds for relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2918	TRO896751FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Christopher	Christopher Jamison	6317		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS; motion lists diagnoses as 2010, 2011 (after the bar date) but in a letter says both were before the bar date; was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; did not receive a letter or telephone call nor learn anything from TV or radio; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2919	TRO896750FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Randall	Randall Jamison	6318		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 2010, 2011 and 2014; says was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; did not receive a letter or telephone call, did not learn on TV or radio; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Similar to many claimants, on application says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but attaches a letter saying qualifies as future tort claimant because both time frames were before bar date. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2920	TRO906059FTC	4/24/2017	Wilson, Tiquita	Tiquita Wilson	6319		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Says she moved and was unaware during time of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2921	TRO887299FTC	12/14/2015	Andrews, Rosie	Rosie Andrews	6320		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says during time claims were getting filed, she was in and out of hospital, but does not explain why no action was taken after 2000 and why claim was filed so long after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. However, the Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2922	TRO901683FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Jessie	Jessie Williams	6321			X		X		Says claim was filed but returned because of deadline, says symptoms 2009 and diagnosis 2011, but no supporting documentation. No record of any timely claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2923	TRO887862FTC	12/14/2015	Coleman, Cyntaria	Cyntaria Coleman	6322						X	1994-95 diagnosis; says was minor, incompetent. No explanation of alleged incompetence other than status as a minor. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2924	TRO902704FTC	8/16/2016	Burdine, Mildred	Sabrina Robinson	6323			X				No diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2008; rep says previously filed with the Colom law firm; were not aware they could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2925	TRO897650FTC	5/25/2016	Aaron, Shelton	Bessie Shelton	6324			X				Diagnosis dates 2006-2008; the injured party died in 2017; rep does not provide an excuse. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2926	TRO881039FTC	12/4/2015	Anderson, Ruby	Ruby Anderson	6325	9483	X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says was very ill during the time frame allowed, was hospitalized for several months but does not provide dates. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2927	TRO889146FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Tom	Norma Sanders	6326		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1970s diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says injured party was elderly and did not understand proceedings of filing a claim; rep does not say why she did not file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2928	TRO891665FTC	2/23/2016	Bardley, Monesa	Monesa Bardley	6327		X	X				1980 diagnosis, but unclear if other conditions manifested; says discharge of claim is violation of due process; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2929	TRO894528FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Luecille	Debra Tuggle	6328		X	X				1960, 1965 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2003; rep does not provide excuse; rep says does not understand how people who did not live in the area are getting awarded and those who resided in area were denied. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2930	TRO888590FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Lashander	Lashander Williams	6329		X	X				2005 diagnosis; was not aware of deadline; moved out of state to California and did not have access to Mississippi news and happenings, later moved to Florida. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2931	TRO895640FTC	Unknown	Shield, Curtis	Curtis Shields	6330			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2006 diagnosis; did not know of the claims process until other people received checks, then it was too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2932	TRO890896FTC	2/23/2016	Minor, Eula	Wilda Bardley	6331		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; rep says discharge of claim a violation of due process; publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2933	TRO887184FTC	12/14/2015	Robinson, Wilson	Wilson Robinson	6332			X				2007 diagnosis; says did not hear anything about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2934	TRO891304FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Curry	Curry Johnson	6334		X	X				1983 diagnosis; says the publication notice of the claim filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2935	TRO890895FTC	2/23/2016	Bardley, Wilda	Wilda Bardley	6335		X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this motion as docket # 6248, which is a different motion. Diagnoses date back to 1946; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says was wrongfully compensated, never met with lawyer, it was presented to you either accept or not, he just sent a letter saying where to meet after it was settled in court.; says discharge of claim is violation of due process, publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2936	TRO896931FTC	4/25/2016	Anthony, Larry	Larry Anthony	6336		X	X				Diagnoses 1976, 1989 and 1995; Columbus, MS resident who still lived there in 2009 but subsequently moved; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; never met with him, no explanation provided, was not given an option, was not done fairly, wrongly compensated; says discharge of claim violation of due process, publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; many who did not live in area got compensated. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2937	TRO881038FTC	12/4/2015	Anderson, Dedrick	Dedrick Anderson	6337	9483	X	X				1966 diagnosis; says that during filing time in 2009 was incapacitated from months in rehab but does not provide dates or documentation. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2938	TRO902719FTC	8/16/2016	Burdine, Aurby	Aurby Burdine	6338		X	X				1996 Diagnosis; did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2939	TRO905116FTC	3/1/2017	Frederickson, Donald	Donald Frederickson	6339			X				2000 diagnosis; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know nor had reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2940	TRO893177FTC	2/23/2016	Brewes, Ethel	Ethel Brewes	6340		X	X				2003 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; asserts that based on her particular circumstances did not have adequate notice of the bar date. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2941	TRO902718FTC	Unknown	Robinson, Sabrina	Sabrina R. Robinson	6341		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; not aware could file a claim. Unclear if contends new conditions after 2002. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2942	TRO889888FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Leslie	Dewayne Walker	6342			X				June 2009 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2012; rep says sister was not living in Columbus and did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, symptoms and diagnosis June 2009. Does not explain or justify lengthy delay until filed claim in February 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2943	TRO890054FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Lisa	Lisa Miller	6344			X			X	Did not know anything about the claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2944	TRO902873FTC	10/13/2016	Thiede, Donald	Andrea Cooper	6345			X				August 2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says could not file because of the duress present at that time involving the onset of mental health concerns of rep's daughter, a juvenile. Rep says that It required the most undivided attention, the fullest participation and the closest care; will provide daughter's health information on request but she does not provide the exact dates of this psychiatric care other than saying claim was not able to be filed by August 2009. Does not deny knowledge of the bar date, and while circumstances may explain missing the August 2009 date they do not explain or justify the lengthy delay before filing a claim in October 2016, more than seven years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2945	TRO902874FTC	10/13/2016	Thiede, Theresa	Andrea Cooper	6346			X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says could not file because of the duress present at that time involving the onset of mental health concerns of rep's daughter, a juvenile. Rep says that It required the most undivided attention, the fullest participation and the closest care; will provide daughter's health information on request but she does not provide the exact dates of this psychiatric care other than saying claim was not able to be filed by August 2009. Does not deny knowledge of the bar date, and while circumstances may explain missing the August 2009 date they do not explain or justify the lengthy delay before filing a claim in October 2016, more than seven years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2946	TRO901225FTC	8/16/2016	Harris, Sammie	Sammie Harris	6347		X	X				1991 diagnosis; did not know about claim until case was closed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2947	TRO894917FTC	3/23/2016	Harper, Gregory	Gregory Harper	6348		X	X			X	2000 and 2010 diagnoses; unaware could file a claim until he filed this claim; says symptoms of respiratory problems were in 1994 but diagnosis in 2010; says symptoms and diagnosis of high blood pressure 2000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2948	TRO899913FTC	7/25/2016	Keaton, Ever	Annie Keaton	6349		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in 1998 Kerr McGee Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2949	TRO901234FTC	8/16/2016	Drake, Mable	Mable Drake	6350			X			X	Alleges various diagnoses starting in 2012; was not aware/had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2950	TRO901236FTC	8/16/2016	Drake, Herman	Herman Drake	6351			X			X	Alleges various diagnosis dates, all of which are after the bar date; had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2951	TRO890227FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Sallie	Sallie Jones	6352		X	X			X	1999, 2006 and 2013 diagnoses; completely unaware that a time limitation was imposed on filing a claim; refers to caring for spouse and multiple hospitalization but does not provides dates of that care and does not explain many years' delay before filing a claim in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2952	TRO885606FTC	11/25/2015	Latham, Wilda	Wilda Latham	6353		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of the bankruptcy case; did not see publication of the case or filing deadline; unaware could file a claim; says did not have adequate notice of the deadline and the publication was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to her as unknown claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2953	TRO901666FTC	8/16/2016	Lyons, Uluster	Johnny Lyons	6354		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says that the injured party did not know and had no reason to know that he had been exposed to a Tronox product in the years before the bar date. Statute of limitations in Mississippi runs from date of known injury, regardless of whether cause of the injury was known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2954	TRO903596FTC	11/23/2016	Doughy, Martha	Martha Doughy	6355			X				2007 diagnosis; says she did not receive notice that she was to file a claim; unaware that she could file a claim and did not know anything about a deadline and who to contact; she did not see a publication notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2955	TRO893178FTC	2/23/2016	Staples, Mary Pearl	Mary P. Staples	6356	8839	X	X				1988 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm and received a small settlement. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8839 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2956	TRO0886574FTC	12/7/2015	Staples, William	William Staples	6357	8863	X	X				1988 diagnosis; not aware of this matter; says filed a claim but is likely referring to the claim filed in December 2015. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8863 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2957	TRO887692FTC	12/14/2015	Henry, Liboria	Liboria Henry	6358		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some long before bar date, some in 2013-15; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, never met with atty, was told either to accept or decline, says was wrongfully compensated and did not have a choice; says discharge of claim violation of due process; notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses as to the effect of the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2958	TRO893833FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Tealester	Tealester Harris	6359			X				Was not aware of the bar date; says his mental/reasoning state is less than 100%, says symptoms began Jan. 2009. Insufficient showing of incapacity and insufficient justification of lengthy delay before filing claim in March 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2959	TRO892388FTC	2/23/2016	Gore, Klaus	Klaus Gore	6360			X		X		Says misinterpreted the conditions of the claim; did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product; says conditions arose after the bar date but also says in earlier filing did not add most recent health conditions; unclear whether any condition first diagnosed after the bar dates were mentioned in the claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of claims based on conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2960	TRO898022FTC	Unknown	Brooks, Brandon	Brandon Brooks	6361		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1990 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2961	TRO894394FTC	Unknown	Vance, Brittney	Brittney Vance	6362		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; previously applied with a lawyer and received \$300 benefits from 2005-2008 and now she is reapplying "for the rest." Unclear whether benefits were due to disability or were obtained in a tort proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2962	TRO904741FTC	1/24/2017	Vaughn, Calisha	Calisha Vaughn	6363		X	X				May 2004 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; she tried to apply after the bar date in 2011 with atty Bambach and did not receive any correspondence; does not reference 2009 bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2963	Unknown	Unknown	Wayne, Lee F.	Lee F. Wayne	6364			X				Not included in Trust's summary. This is merely a Tronox tort claims trust form. Does not identify any relief sought or grounds therefor.
2964	TRO902157FTC	9/14/2016	Blasavage, Theresa	Theresa Blasavage	6365			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2965	TRO902818FTC	Unknown	Brewer, Michelle	Sabrina Robinson	6367			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just a signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2966	TRO889894FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Renotta	Renotta Harrison	6368			X		X		Alleges September 2009 diagnosis; says her claim should be considered because she had direct contact with Kerr-McGee because parent worked there and went to school by it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2967	TRO887572FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Elander	Elander Harris	6369		X	X				1968 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; says did not file because did not know where to get the paperwork. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2968	TRO888873FTC	12/30/2015	Brooks, Darrell	Darrell Brooks	6370	8757	X	X				Many conditions alleged, first diagnosis 1999, unclear if that covered all conditions; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999 and paperwork lost and office closed; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8757 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Court records show that direct notice of the bar date was sent by mail to various attorneys in Columbus, including Mr. Bambach. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2969	TRO888872FTC	12/30/2015	Brooks, Joe	Joe Brooks	6371	8753	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost and office closed; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says the form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8753 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2970	TRO0888869FT C	12/30/2015	Brooks, Mary	Mary Brooks	6372	8735	X	X			X	First diagnosis 1999, many conditions listed so unclear if that covers all; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999 and paperwork lost and office closed; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8735 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2971	TRO891290FTC	2/23/2016	Lockett-Watkins, Veronica	Veronica Watkins	6373						X	2006 diagnosis; says was not aware of the claims process and did not have knowledge of the case; says is in the U.S. Navy and due to deployments did not see or hear any advertisements on TV, radio or other media. Dates of military service not clear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service and to permit the Court to assess the possible application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 and to determine whether military service provides grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
2972	TRO889214FTC	2/23/2016	Mosley, Erma	Gregory Stephens	6374		X	X				1996 diagnosis; was not aware or knowledgeable of the life-threatening health issues that she would acquire from living in the plant contaminated environment, therefore, had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2973	TRO904086FTC	1/3/2017	Gordan, Catherine	Catherine Gordan	6375			X			X	Alleges October 2009 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2974	TRO900617FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Rosie	Rosie Brown	6376		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with lawyer Colom in 2002; says the information was not available re bankruptcy proceeding, if he had known would have filed a timely claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2975	TRO900950FTC	8/16/2016	Loyd, Bobby	Bobby Loyd	6377		X	X				1964 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says if had known would have filed a timely claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2976	TRO893663FTC	3/2/2016	Cockrell, Latrina	Latrina Cockrell	6378		X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 2005; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2977	TRO895504FTC	3/24/2016	McCrary, Polly	Polly McCrary	6379		X	X				Diagnoses 1957 and 1980; place of exposure not clear; says did not know anything related to this lawsuit; moved to California. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2978	TRO889087FTC	12/30/2015	Stephens, Pamela	Pamela Stephens	6380		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some in 1990s, one in 2008, some after bar date; says was not aware of the threatening health issues that she would acquire from living in the contaminated environment; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2979	TRO889434FTC	2/23/2016	Stephens, Bobbie	Gregory Stephens	6381		X	X				2003 diagnosis; was not aware of the threatening health issues that she would acquire from living in the contaminated environment; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2980	TRO889425FTC	2/23/2016	Stephens, Gregory	Gregory Stephens	6382			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2007 and October 2009; says filed claim in November 2015, was told it did not qualify; says was not aware of the threatening health issues that he would acquire from living in the contaminated environment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any complaints about the trust's denial of such a claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2981	TRO900963FTC	8/16/2016	Lloyd, Littleton	Littleton Lloyd	6383		X	X				1968 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; if he had known, would have filed a timely claim; former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Mansfield, Ohio. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2982	TRO897902FTC	5/25/2016	Miller, Katrina	Katrina Miller	6384			X		x		Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date (but also "whited out" original dates of diagnosis on application). Motion does not identify a pre-bar date claim for which relief is sought, so motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2983	TRO904085FTC	1/3/2017	Clay, Horace	Horace Clay	6385			X		X		Did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date (but also "whited out" original dates on application). Motion does not identify a pre-bar date claim for which relief is sought, so motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2984	TRO889210FTC	2/23/2016	Easley, Anisha	Anisha Easley	6386			X		X		Diagnosis dates not listed but conditions listed as starting both before and after bar date; unaware of exposure to Tronox product; says did file a claim but refers to filing with the trust, no timely claim identified and court records do not show any. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2985	TRO890272FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, Cory	Cory Washington	6387			X				1985 diagnosis; relocated to N.Y. for work in April 2009; unaware of exposure; says filed a claim but is referring to filing with trust, no record of a timely claim in 2009. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2986	TRO888579FTC	Unknown	Archibald, Eddie	Doreen Archibald	6388		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says publication notice of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2987	TRO885863FTC	Unknown	Brown, Jarvos	Jarvos Brown	6389		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis, apparently for mesothelioma; other conditions listed without diagnosis dates; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Also says was a minor, but alleges exposure began in 1986, so was at least 23 years old at the time of the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2988	TRO895217FTC	Unknown	Smith, Richard	Richard Smith	6390			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various conditions alleged, diagnosis dates listed as "2010-presently under treatment;" says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know of exposure to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2989	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Everett	Everett Little	6391		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, one in 1977 (asthma), others in 2009 and 2012; says notification process was not properly calculated for potential claimants to file claims by filing deadline; not aware of exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2990	TRO915035FTC	Unknown	Thomas, Susie	Cathy Thomas	6392		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses "1961-2000;" the injured party died in 2000; rep says publication of notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2991	TRO897579FTC	5/25/2016	Toney, Gina	Gina Toney	6393			X				2008 diagnosis; says submitted a form in 2002 but did not hear from it (says it is unclear who supplied the form but it asked for any diagnosis and information of where the person lived in the area). Any form prepared in 2002 must have been in connection with a prior class action, particularly if it asked if person lived in covered area. Says did not file in 2009 because was not aware of a deadline or a claim at the time. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2992	TRO897349FTC	5/25/2016	Guyton, Terrance	Terrance Guyton	6394		X	X				1999 diagnosis; was not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2993	Unknown	Unknown	Henley, Kevin	Kevin Henley	6395		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1994; says publication of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated and made unavailable to those needing to file a claim; no way of knowing of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2994	TRO901984FTC	Unknown	Clay, Della	Charlie Clay	6396			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; the injured party is deceased; rep does not provide date but says injured party was incapacitated at the time the claim form was due; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2995	TRO892708FTC	Unknown	Little, Mary	Mary Little	6397		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1992; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2996	TRO900989FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Terica	Terica Thomas	6398		X	X				1999 diagnosis; was not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2997	TRO891581FTC	Unknown	James, Willie	Willie James	6399			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Seems to allege that diagnoses were all after the bar date, though some in 2009 and specific dates are not clear; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2998	TRO901616FTC	Unknown	Clay, Charlie	Charlie Clay	6400		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2004 diagnosis; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2999	Unknown	Unknown	Archibald, Vincent	Vincent Archibald	6401		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion is submitted on pre-printed form for Abdul Karriem but the injured party's name is Vincent Archibald. 1994 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential clients/claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3000	TRO884270FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Marilyn	Marilyn Dickerson	6402		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1983 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential clients/claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. She says she was bedridden from a crushed ankle and was not able to walk for years and could not do anything but does not provide date for ankle injury or any supporting documentation. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3001	TRO898309FTC	Unknown	Perterson, Tom	Glenda Smith	6403		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis dates listed as 2000, 2003, 2009; the injured party died in March 2009; rep says publication notice was unreasonably calculated to provide notice; claimant had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3002	TRO887764FTC	Unknown	Stephenson, Bobby	Bobby Stephenson	6404		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Previously filed with Colom law firm in November 2000; says Colom law firm did not inform him that he could file again due to the "second claims file"; says the filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; also says was prevented from filing by a natural disaster, but no such disaster is specified. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No indication Colom firm represented the movant in 2009 but if it did that is not grounds for relief, because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and alleged failures of counsel are not grounds for relief unless counsel's failures may be excused. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3003	TRO894183FTC	3/2/2016	White, Lashundra	LaShundra White	6405		X	X				No diagnosis date listed; did not know at the time that there was a tort claim being filed, filed a claim later when heard about the process from a friend. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3004	TRO887999FTC	12/18/2015	Williams, Jarvis	Jarvis Williams	6406		X	X				Diagnosis dates not specified in motion form; says unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3005	TRO0900416FT C	7/25/2016	Jones, James	James Jones	6407	9046	X	X				Various diagnoses before 2000; was not informed could file a claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9046 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3006	TRO885913FTC	Unknown	Archibald, Deneen	Deneen Archibald	6408		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1982 and 2008; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3007	TRO894871FTC	Unknown	Grays, Nelson	Nelson Grays	6409		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1980-1987 "and continued health problems even presently (2010);" says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3008	TRO898656FTC	6/27/2016	Hintze, Edward	Edward Hintze	6410		X	X				1975 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3009	TRO892518FTC	Unknown	Little, Sharon	Sharon Little	6411		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1993; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3010	Unknown	Unknown	Hairston, Ozzie	Lisa Ramsey	6412		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1998, 2004, 2005; the injured party died in 2005; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated for potential claimants; did not know of exposure to a Tronox product. This is another person who used the preprinted form for Abdul Karriem as did the claimant at docket #6401. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3011	TRO889380FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Margaret	Terrance Guyton	6413		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says unaware could file a claim for a deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3012	TRO900245FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Mark	Mark Sanders	6414		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1988-1990 diagnoses; says publication notice was unreasonably calculated to provide sufficient time for potential claimants to meet the claims filing deadline; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3013	TRO884974FTC	Unknown	Selvie, Mark	Mark Selvie	6415	9334	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980 diagnosis; says publication deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claims; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9334 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3014	TRO880072FTC	Unknown	Davis, David	David Davis	6416		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 and 2004 diagnoses; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of notice; had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3015	TRO885869FTC	Unknown	Davis, Ebony	Ebony Davis	6417		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001-2002 diagnoses; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3016	TRO898883FTC	6/27/2016	Collins, Robert	Robert Collins	6418		X	X				1983 diagnosis; unaware of the case until after the deadline passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3017	TRO895111FTC	Unknown	Acron, Reuben	Reuben Acron	6419		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1954-1960 diagnoses; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3018	TRO890796FTC	Unknown	Williams, Lyrian	Lyrian Williams	6420		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1966, 1988 and 1992; says publication notice was unreasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants by claims filing deadline; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3019	Unknown	Unknown	Stallings, Gloria	Gloria Stallings	6421			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after bar date; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach potential claimants before claims filing deadline; had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3020	TRO895938FTC	4/6/2016	Singleton, Carlivs	Carlivs Singleton	6422		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says that his wife is out of town and he is trying to do the best that he can. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3021	TRO889086FTC	Unknown	Rice, Clara	Clara Rice	6423		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis, care for that condition is continuing; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claim is not a future tort claim just because it continues; claim accrual is based on initial diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3022	TRO880277FTC	12/4/2015	Minor, Bruster	Buster Minor Jr.	6424			X		X		Alleges March 2010 diagnosis; says was incarcerated at the time of filing; not notified of the filing deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3023	TRO903624FTC	Unknown	Lee, Carzell	Carzell Lee	6425		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses dates 2001, 2010, 2017; says publication of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide time to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3024	TRO884374FTC	11/25/2015	Turner, Malcolm	Malcolm Turner	6426		X	X				1991 diagnosis; unaware of the claim during the time of the claims filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3025	TRO894870FTC	Unknown	Grays, Barbara	Barbara Grays	6427			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 and 2010 diagnoses; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3026	TRO887863FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Kevin	Kevin Richardson	6428		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 1999, 2015 diagnoses; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3027	TRO895923FTC	Unknown	Cochran, Anthony	Anthony Cochran	6429		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1972 (skin irritations), 2017 (cysts); says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3028	TRO902668FTC	8/16/2016	Jackson, Kiona	Kiona Jackson	6430		X	X				1991 asthma diagnosis, 2007 additional condition; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; not aware could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3029	TRO894529FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Lee	Debra Tuggle	6431		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1986. No excuse listed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3030	Unknown	Unknown	Cunningham, Vernita	Vernita Cunningham	6432		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1979, 1993 diagnoses; says publication notice for the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3031	TRO903729FTC	11/23/2016	Mitchel, Jerry	Jerry Mitchell	6433		X	X				1984 diagnosis; did not know about lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3032	Unknown	Unknown	Hodges, Marco	Marco Hodges	6434		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, one in 1991 (asthma), others before and some after bar date; says publication of notice was not reasonably calculated to meet the claims filing deadline for claimants; did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3033	TRO885384FTC	Unknown	Deloach, Raymond	Raymond Deloach	6435		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1994 (including "asthma,") but alleges "respiratory" diagnosis in 2009; says publication of notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3034	TRO880896FTC	Unknown	Ellis, Margaret	Margaret Ellis	6436		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, all before 1986; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3035	TRO889456FTC	2/23/2016	Pope, Michael	Michael Pope	6437		X	X			X	Various conditions and diagnosis dates; tried to sign up with the Colom law firm and Bambach but they were not taking new clients, does not say dates when this occurred; did not know and no one helped. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights, there were other attorneys who could have been contacted to pursue a claim even if Messrs. Colom and Bambach would not do so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3036	TRO897963FTC	Unknown	Moffitt, Ruthie	Ruthie Moffitt	6438		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1972 diagnosis; says publication of notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know nor had reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3037	TRO890950FTC	Unknown	Staples, Mary	Mary Staples	6440		x	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2001, 2005, 2008; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3038	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Chaston Everett	Chaston Everett Little	6441		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999-2000 diagnoses; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide notice for potential clients who were unknown at time of notice; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3039	TRO892010FTC	Unknown	Madison, Reginald	Vernita Cunningham	6442		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says she was unaware at time of deadline; "claim was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants"; unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3040	TRO897203FTC	Unknown	Cohen, Nellie	Essie Cohen	6443		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, all before 1996; the injured party died in 2001; rep says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; injured party did not know and had not reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3041	TRO893972FTC	Unknown	Leech, Arthur	Martha Gray Leech	6444		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1977 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased, possibly in 1979; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3042	TRO884268FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Frankie, Sr.	Frankie Dickerson, Sr.	6445	6447	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1989 diagnosis; says filed before claim filing deadline, but appears to be under mistaken impression that 2015 and later filings were timely, no record of a timely claim in 2009; says he had to take care of his wife and children. His wife handled all the paperwork; says did not know the deadlines or any information; says his wife was "down on her bed for over 3 yrs" but does not specify what were the years. A duplicate motion was filed at docket #6447 but with a different TRO number [TRO880676FTC]. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3043	TRO892009FTC	Unknown	Lenoir, Angleique	Vernita Cunningham	6446		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1979, 1993 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1999; rep says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3044	TRO887763FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Mary	Mary Lee Sanders	6448		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1991, 1992 diagnoses; says publication of claims notice was not reasonably calculated to reach potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3045	TRO880231FTC	Unknown	Karriem, Naml	Naml F. Karriem	6449						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2003 diagnosis; minor; says publication notice of filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential clients unknown at the time of notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3046	TRO904110FTC	Unknown	Triplett, Arbelia	Glenda Smith	6450		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says publication of notice was unreasonably calculated to give proper notice to potential claimants by the claims filing deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3047	TRO895812FTC	3/24/2016	Robinson, Dwight	Dwight Robinson	6451		X	X			X	Alleges 2009 diagnosis, unclear if contending any new diagnoses after bar date; says previously filed with atty Bambach (date unspecified), paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3048	TRO891054FTC	Unknown	Hudgins, Bryant	Bryant Hudgins	6452		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2002, 2006, 2011; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants not known at the time of notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3049	TRO892011FTC	Unknown	Cunningham, Maurice	Maurice Cunningham	6453		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999-2000 diagnosis; publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide potential claimants notice of filing deadline; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3050	TRO893816FTC	Unknown	Smith, Glenda	Glenda Smith	6454		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986-87 diagnosis; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated for potential claimants; did not know of exposure to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3051	TRO885565FTC	Unknown	Bonner, Terry	Terry Bonner	6455			X			x	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2009 and 2012; says publication of notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; did not know was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3052	TRO890630FTC	Unknown	Swanigan, Mary D.	Mary D. Swanigan	6456			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2017 diagnosis; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion denied to the extent it seeks relief regarding a pre-bar date diagnosis based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3053	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Chelsey M.	Chelsey M. Little	6457						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2005 diagnosis; minor (born 2001 or earlier); says publication notice was improperly calculated to provide notice for future claimants; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3054	TRO884267FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Frankie, Jr.	Frankie Dickerson, Jr.	6458						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1995 diagnosis; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice for unknown potential clients; did not know that was exposed to a Tronox product; also says was a child at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3055	TRO888578FTC	Unknown	Archibald, Annie	Annie L. Archibald	6459		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1959, 1972, 2004; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide potential claimants with proper notice; did not know of her exposure to Tronox products. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3056	TRO885085FTC	Unknown	Selvie, Jovonn	Jovonn Selvie	6460	9360	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980 diagnosis; says the publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9360 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3057	TRO894874FTC	Unknown	Grays, Rodney	Rodney Grays	6461		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1989, 1991-92; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide claimant notice; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox products. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3058	TRO887297FTC	12/14/2015	Hill, Jackie	Jackie R. Hill	6462		X	X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says did file a claim before the deadline date but only record of a claim in the bankruptcy case is one filed in 2015. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, if was represented by the Colom firm at the time of the bankruptcy, that firm received direct notice of the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3059	TRO884269FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Derrion	Derrion Dickerson	6463		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; publication of claims form and deadline was not reasonably calculated for potential clients unknown at the time of notice; did not know was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3060	TRO894873FTC	Unknown	Grays, Monica	Monica Grays	6464		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1996 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonable; did not know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3061	TRO894872FTC	Unknown	Grays, Patrick	Patrick Grays	6465		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1989, 1998, 2011; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3062	TRO902630FTC	4/20/2016	Carr, Barbara	Barbara Carr	6466			X		X		Diagnoses "1983 and between 2017;" place of exposure not clear; says did not know to make a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3063	TRO884840FTC	11/25/2015	Brackett, Tony	Tony Brackett	6467	6468 8907	X	X				1980 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, 2002 Kerr McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. A duplicate motion is filed at docket #6468 with a different TRO number [TRO900762FTC] and with the claimant's name listed as "Tony Brakett" but the same address and signature. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8907 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3064	TRO901117FTC	8/16/2016	Sheilds, Joe	Joe Shields	6469			X				2008 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Also says he did not know about the claims process, he had moved by the time it was over. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3065	TRO902557FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Arie	Arie Smith	6470		X	X				2004 diagnosis; says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case of Tronox; says did not receive a telephone call, letter or learn anything from media concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3066	TRO890212FTC	2/23/2016	Pollard, Bryant	Pamela Pollard	6471			X				2006 diagnosis; rep of minor says was told that because of where they lived, they did not qualify to apply for the future tort claim but that after some years passed by, "the area to apply was extended." Advice about restraints based on where claimant lived is likely based on prior class action (which was on behalf of residents in a certain area), not the bankruptcy case. Says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3067	TRO895589FTC	3/24/2016	Acron, Curtis	Curtis Acron	6472		X	X				1976 and 1982 diagnoses; says was not notified of an opportunity to file a claim; unaware of "first" round of claims; says retired military but was discharged from military service on November 30, 2005, long before the bar date; says was living in Texas and was not aware of bankruptcy proceedings but became aware of "second round" of claims when he visited Columbus. He says a relative's claim who was in military out of state was approved for processing based on non-notification (out of jurisdiction). Says his own claim not going forward would be a violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Most importantly, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3068	TRO895447FTC	3/24/2016	Edwards, Robert	Robert Edwards	6473			X		X		Diagnosis November 2009; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to a potential claimant; was not aware of the threatening conditions. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3069	TRO893289FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Larstella	Larstella Jones	6474		X	X				Diagnoses 1955 and earlier; did not have knowledge that a bankruptcy claim was going on. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3070	TRO887641FTC	12/14/2015	Lavender, Charlie	Charlie Lavender	6475		X	X				Various diagnoses in the years 2000-2007; did not know could file a claim; depended on friends and family for information about the lawsuit and most of the information he received was wrong and incomplete; a certain degree of illiteracy; says discharge of his claim is a violation of due process; says that after his diagnosis, he was an emotionally wrecked individual; he became depressed and withdrawn; unaware how to file a claim, does not understand legal issues. Not a sufficient showing of incapacity in the sense of inability to understand claims or inability to file claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3071	TRO893521FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Sherman	Sherman Jennings	6476			X				Diagnosis date not listed but symptoms onset in 1997; says did not know about the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3072	TRO900530FTC	8/16/2016	Trimble, Bobby	Bobby Trimble	6477			X			X	2012 diagnosis; unaware of the case and deadlines. Says was wrongly advised on the process of filing a claim and due to that, his initial claim was improperly filed, but does not way when such advice was or by whom, appears to be referring to communications with trust about late-filed claim. Says was not advised he could file a category D claim and was told to complete a category A claim, but category "D" claims were limited to people who filed on or before August 12, 2009. Says claimants were not notified of the tort trust, complains of person who purported to be with the Trust who gave incorrect advice and provided prefilled forms; says wants to be placed in the proper category "D," though by its terms that category is not available. Alleges symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but documents suggest there may have been earlier conditions. Category "D" was closed in 2010 and cannot be reopened, category "A" is the only place where claims may now be filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3073	TRO912658FTC	5/30/2017	Knox, Morris	Morris Knox	6478			X			X	2016 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3074	TRO885619FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Kenya	Kenya Jones	6479		X	X				November 2005 diagnosis; says did not see any public notice or learn anything from the media or have any knowledge of the bankruptcy case to file a claim in 2009; says did not have adequate notice of the deadline and the publication notice was not reasonable. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3075	TRO895570FTC	3/24/2016	Gilkey, Fred	Fred Gilkey	6480		X	X				2003 and 2004 diagnoses; says is an 82-year old somewhat illiterate person unfamiliar with legal jargon; had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification through any media. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3076	TRO893656FTC	3/2/2016	Winston, Amanda	Amanda Winston	6481			X		X		Was not aware of the claims or the filing dates; says symptoms in 1995 and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3077	TRO890192FTC	2/23/2016	Pollard, Annie	Pamela Pollard	6482		X	X				2004 diagnosis; rep says the publication notice of bar date was not reasonable; says was initially excluded from lawsuit because of address, but that must be a reference to an earlier class action. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3078	TRO902554FTC	9/14/2016	Spencer, Darlene	Darlene Spencer	6483		X	X				2002 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; insufficient notice, did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from media about the matter. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3079	TRO884530FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Angela	Angela Rice-Johnson	6484		X	X			X	Alleges digestive symptoms and skin rash starting 1989, claims an additional digestive diagnosis in 2012; says made a claim in 1999 with the Colom firm but never heard anything further. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3080	TRO895569FTC	3/24/2016	Gilkey, Bertha Mae	Bertha Gilkey	6485		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1986, 2000, 2011; says did not have knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification via newspaper, television, radio or any media; limited in understanding legal jargon. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3081	TRO902556FTC	9/14/2016	Spencer, Tyler	Darlene Spencer	6486		X	X				2004 diagnosis; did not have knowledge that a bankruptcy claim was going on; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from any media concerning the matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3082	TRO893982FTC	3/2/2016	Townsel, Alfronzia	Alfronzia Townsel	6487		X	X		X		Says did not find out about the claim until after bar date; says some of her conditions were diagnosed after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3083	Unknown	Unknown	Gore, Jeffrey Jr.	Jeffrey Gore, Jr.	6488			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3084	Unknown	Unknown	Brown, Kim Nichole	Kim N. Brown	6489			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3085	Unknown	N/A	Murray, Johnny	Johnny Murray	6490			X		X		Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form; says incarcerated most of adult life
3086	Unknown	Unknown	Ramsey, Quinton Demone	Quinton Ramsey	6491			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3087	Unknown	Unknown	Hairston, J.B.	Rev. J.B. Hairston	6492			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3088	Unknown	Unknown	Brown, Raymond E.	Raymond E. Brown	6493			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3089	Unknown	Unknown	Gore, Jedarius K.	Jedarius Gore	6494			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3090	TRO896623FTC	4/25/2016	White, Demarcus	DeMarcus White	6495			X		X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page and a signed rejection notice. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3091	TRO887347FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Latavia	Tessie Stevenson	6496		X	X				Diagnosis 1988; unaware could file a claim for deceased child. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3092	TRO904216FTC	1/3/2017	Manning, Lola	Lola Manning	6497		X	X				Diagnoses 1993, 1995; had no knowledge of it; moved from Columbus in 1998. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3093	TRO887321FTC	12/14/2015	Hill, Toney	Toney Hill	6498		X	X			X	Various conditions, not clear when each was diagnosed; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says did file a claim before the deadline date (unclear if referring to claim with Colom law firm or if under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely, there is no record of a timely-filed bankruptcy claim). If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3094	TRO894735FTC	3/23/2016	Thomas, Mitchell	Mitchell Thomas	6499		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; unaware of claim; says was in and out of hospital caring for spouse and references loss of relatives but does not provide dates or documentation; even if circumstances could explain why did not file in August 2009 there is no explanation of long post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3095	TRO901820FTC	9/14/2016	Jordan, Aric	Aric Jordan	6500		X	X				1974 diagnosis; says did not know about the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3096	TRO885925FTC	11/25/2015	Love, Shirley	Shirley Love	6501		X	X				Diagnoses 1980s and 1990s; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3097	TRO896437FTC	4/13/2016	Durrah, LaForest	LaForest Durrah	6502		X	X				2000 diagnosis; not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3098	TRO889399FTC	2/23/2016	Shaw, Debra	Debra Shaw	6503		X	X		X		Says symptoms began in 1990, diagnosis in 2010; was not aware of the claim and filing deadline. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3099	TRO889305FTC	2/23/2016	Chandler, Yovonka	Yovonka Chandler	6504		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says was not aware of her condition being caused by a Tronox tort. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3100	TRO893437FTC	2/23/2016	Lawson, Lela	Joanne Lawson	6505	8132	X	X				1959 diagnosis; injured party died in 1968; rep says was unaware of the claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8132, rep says unaware of bar date because she had moved away from Columbus, MS area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3101	TRO898712FTC	6/27/2016	Dismukes, Nequay	Nequay Dismukes	6506			X				Diagnosed in childhood; did not file because was not living in Columbus at the time; did not know that symptoms were directly related to Tronox chemicals. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3102	TRO896699FTC	4/25/2016	Gore, Louise	Diane Gore	6507	6238		X		X		Rep says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 and mentions that she is referencing most recent conditions. The same motion was filed with a different TRO claim number at docket #6238. It appears that the original claim may have mentioned earlier conditions and/or diagnoses, but motion only references alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar dates. Motion does not identify any pre-bar date diagnoses for which relief from the bar date is sought, and so motion for permission to file such claims is denied. The merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3103	TRO901088FTC	8/16/2016	Jackson, Vickie	Vickie Jackson	6508			X		X		Did not really understand and learned about it a little later. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3104	TRO905015FTC	1/24/2017	Gore, Diane	Diane Gore	6509			X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; says misinterpreted the conditions of the claim and forgot to add most recent conditions; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to the extent it seeks relief from the bar date as to a pre-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3105	TRO892852FTC	2/23/2016	Lawson, Joanne	Joanne Lawson	6510	8131	X	X				1959 diagnosis; says moved from Columbus, MS to Detroit in 1968 and was not aware of the claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8131, first heard about proceeding in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3106	N/a	#N/A	Brewer, Angelia	Angelia Brewer	6511			X			X	Filed a trust tort claim form, says was in the hospital at time of filing deadline but does not specify date or which filing deadline and does not provide a supporting medical record or a diagnosis date. No record of any timely-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3107	TRO895916FTC	4/6/2016	McKnight, Quinton	Quinton McKnight	6512	8367					X	2000 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of Tronox or the case until 2013; did not get a telephone call, email, letter or any other media communication; most of time was in high school; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed by parent at docket #8367 describing his health issues. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3108	TRO886516FTC	12/7/2015	Loftin, Debra	Debra Loftin	6513		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says was not aware of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3109	TRO891458FTC	2/23/2016	Profiet, Raymond	Raymond Profiet	6514	9292					X	1991 and 1992 diagnoses; says was a minor but says exposure began in 1991, was at least 18 years old in 2009; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9292 complaining about the process. No explanation of long post-bar date delay before filed claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3110	TRO890883FTC	2/23/2016	Carr, Roger	Roger Carr	6515		X	X				1965 diagnosis; says "moved to new location paperwork to know the deadline." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3111	TRO893874FTC	3/2/2016	Murry, Gloria	Gloria Murry	6516		X	X				1976 diagnosis; former Mississippi resident; says a claim was filed years ago but was not contacted concerning it and when he went back to Mississippi all paperwork was lost (may be thinking of class action claim, not bankruptcy claim); says name was misspelled and mail was getting lost in sending them to the wrong address 3 times, apparently referring to communications with the trust; says lived in Missouri, then Seattle, Washington. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3112	TRO897726FTC	5/25/2016	Cooper, Laketia	Laketia Cooper	6517			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim and deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3113	TRO880268FTC	12/4/2015	McGee, Jermain	Jermain McGee	6518			X		X		No diagnosis dates listed but also filed a rejection notice alleging conditions that did not manifest until after the bar date; says was unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3114	TRO895848FTC	3/24/2016	Jackson, Annie	Annie Jackson	6519			X		X		Did not know about it when it first started and after that did not understand what she needed to do; says diagnosis in 2010, does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3115	TRO891664FTC	2/23/2016	Edmond, Leroy	Leroy Edmond	6520		X	X				2004 and 2007 diagnoses; no explanation provided as to why missed the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3116	TRO903283FTC	10/27/2016	Washington, Tillman	Tillman Washington	6521			X				January 2009 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says was unaware there was a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3117	TRO898713FTC	6/27/2016	Dismukes, Ashley	Ashley Dismukes	6522		X	X				Diagnosed in childhood; former resident of Columbus, MS who lists a Columbus address as 2009 address but then also says did not reside in Columbus "at the time;" says relocated and was not notified about the claim; did not realize her conditions were related to the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3118	TRO899222FTC	7/11/2016	Carothers, Bryan	Bryan Carothers	6523		X	X				1983 diagnosis; did not know he could or should file; did not know was injured; did not have the knowledge or ability to know how to seek a claim a claim at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3119	TRO897455FTC	5/25/2016	Webb, Sidney	Paul Kelly, Jr.	6524			X				Diagnosed at birth (1952); filed a trust tort claim form, says was in the hospital at time of filing deadline but does not specify date or which filing deadline and does not provide a supporting medical record or a diagnosis date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3120	TRO890755FTC	2/23/2016	Orkwis, Theresa	Edward Orkwis	6525			X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep says the form of notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; did not know or have reason to believe she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3121	TRO901978FTC	9/14/2016	Shields, Frank	Frank Sheilds	6526			X				Identifies diagnoses in 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2017; place of exposure not clear; previously filed with atty Cunningham and other attys in 1990s when claims first were done (must be thinking of class action); heard that everything was over and the company was in bankruptcy. Says was not aware of the "reopening" of the case, was not contacted by attorneys or anyone to let him know of this ongoing suit. Says he contacted the Group that was handling the case and "was told this was for people who had a case prior to." Says he informed person he did do a claim with atty Cunningham and some more attys. she told him she did not see his name and he needed to contact the atty. He tried to reach out to the atty and was told they were no longer involved and needed to contact an atty out of Florida. Did not find out what happened with case before the deadline. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3122	TRO893854FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Charles	Charles Harris	6527	8982	X	X				Diagnosed June 1990; did not know he was able to file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8982 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3123	TRO895145FTC	3/23/2016	Francis, William, Sr.	Margaret Moran	6528		X	X				1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; unaware could file for deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3124	TRO894734FTC	3/23/2016	Miller, Laura	Laura Miller	6529		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1998, 2010, 2011; was not aware of the case at the time of the bar date; was away taking care of relatives, does not provide dates of this service or any supporting material, does not explain long post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3125	TRO894841FTC	3/23/2016	Greenleaf, Freddie	Freddie Greenleaf	6530	8810	X	X				1991 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost; says did not know and had not reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8810 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3126	TRO892800FTC	2/23/2016	Wilkins, Reginald	Reginald Wilkins	6531		X	X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis but says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2003; unclear if received an award but references Colom law firm taking 1/2 of the money and leaving town with their money. Says had no idea he was to file a claim in 2009 because Colom did not let him know; says did not receive notice as he was out of state; says was in incarcerated from 2008-2011; does not provide any supporting papers for any of these statements. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of legal rights and claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Unclear if movant was a client of the Colom firm at the time of the bar date but the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date by regular mail; failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, no such excuse is offered. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on an alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defenses based on prior settlements) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3127	TRO894975FTC	3/23/2016	Stewart, Ruthie	Ruthie Stewart	6532	8556		X				Diagnoses in 1988, 1997, 2000, 2007; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not find out about a claim concerning exposure to a Tronox product; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says taking care of sick relative and traveling from Alabama to Columbus until 2010, does not say start date of this care, does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8556. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3128	TRO895917FTC	4/6/2016	Conner, Nico	Nico Conner	6533	8550	X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 2004; says was not aware of exposure to Tronox product; says at time of filing claim was away at college for some time and returned to care for a sick relative (does not provide specific dates); says exposures began in 1972, so was not a minor at the time of the bar date; did not hear any discussion about filing a claim; says discharge of claim a violation of due process. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8550. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3129	TRO897779FTC	5/25/2016	Gerlean, Wilson	Wilson Gerlean	6534			X				Diagnosed before bar date; did not know about claim process, was not aware; says the form of notice of bar date was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice of bar date not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not think he was required to file a claim by the bar date; was told by atty or someone other than Tronox that he did not have or should not file a claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Wrong advice by attorney as to whether claimant had a valid claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3130	TRO887543FTC	12/14/2015	Draper, Frank	Frank Draper	6535		X	X				Diagnoses 1979-80 and 2005; was not aware of a pending action against Kerr-McGee because he is not an active participant in social media or television; unaware that his conditions were directly related to Kerr-McGee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3131	TRO897881FTC	5/25/2016	Beard, Lasaundra	Lasaundra Beard	6536			X				January 2009 diagnosis; says publication notice of claims filing deadline not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3132	TRO894526FTC	3/23/2016	O'Neal, Carrie	Debra Tuggle	6537		X	X				Diagnosis date not specified but stated to be "years after her birth;" the injured party died in 1987; rep unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3133	N/a	12/7/2015	King, Jeff	Jeff King	6538			X		X		No diagnosis date listed; no excuse provided for failure to file by bar date. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3134	TRO901713FTC	8/16/2016	Porter, Benjamin	Benjamin Porter	6539			X		X		Alleges 2012 diagnosis; says was not aware and no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says was in Navy, stationed in Japan, but papers show release from active duty in 2007, termination of reserve obligations in May 2009. Military service dates therefore are not relevant to the application of the bar date. Movant has not identified any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought, motion is denied to extent seeks relief from bar date as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3135	TRO887461FTC	12/14/2015	Hill, Chelsey	Chelsey Hill	6540		X	X				Diagnosis dates unclear; says previously filed with Colom law firm, at one point the motion says in 1991, at another point says it was in 2002; says did file a claim, unclear if referring to Colom claim, but in any event there is no record of a timely bankruptcy claim in 2009. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3136	TRO889405FTC	2/23/2016	Booker, Ruth	Ruth Booker	6541	8209	X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, most 1994 and earlier but one (sleep apnea) in 2009, precise date not clear; unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to filing deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8209, at time she filled out the claim, she had no knowledge there was a deadline; unaware of the claim until a neighbor informed her she could file based on where she lived. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3137	TRO894633FTC	3/23/2016	Anthony, Leatha	Leatha Anthony	6542	9106	X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1988, alleges new condition diagnosed in 2015; previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$256.00; says not aware could file because she received an award from filing with Colom. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9106 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of claim based on alleged post-bar date diagnosis (including the merits of any defense as to whether the claim is barred by a prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3138	TRO895200FTC	Unknown	Young, Ke'ayr	Ke'ayr Young	6543						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosed at birth (1994); minor, did not know could file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3139	TRO895915FTC	4/6/2016	McKnight, Kayla	Kayla McKnight	6544						X	2000/01 diagnoses; did not have any knowledge of Tronox or its bankruptcy case; did not receive any notice by telephone, email, letter or other media; part of time was away at college. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. However, although the claimant did not seek relief based on infancy it appears possible the claimant was a minor at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3140	TRO896641FTC	4/25/2016	Bigbee, Doris	Doris Bigbee	6545		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1970, 1999, 2011, 2016; says did file a claim by deadline but appears to be under mistaken impression that late-filed claim was timely. No reasons listed for failure to file by bar date in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3141	TRO899026FTC	6/27/2016	Williams, Geraldine	Geraldine Fields Williams	6546		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, most before the bar date but some after; unaware of the bankruptcy case and the claims filing deadline; lacks knowledge how to complete a claim and "not knowing all chemicals"; heard about it in 2016; was given a contact to a lady portraying to represent Garretson Trust and says she requested to be compensated to assist in filling out the claim. The claimant says that because she did not know the chemicals to which she was exposed and had no clue which form to fill out or how to fill it, the form was provided to her and she was instructed what to fill out. The purported Garretson lady informed her to document a chemical that was not involved in this settlement. The claimant wants to now be allowed to file a claim with these other chemicals as the cause of their condition. She sent the same letter many others sent about this pre-filled form directing them to file for category A chemicals rather than category d and now they want to refile claims. References to Category A and to Category D may be to creditor classifications under the confirmed plan; Category D, however, was available only for persons who filed claims before the bar date, and the only assets still available for claims are those set aside for Category A. Complaints about difficulty filling out trust claim forms should be resolved with the trust but are not relevant to a motion pertaining to the 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3142	TRO902472FTC	9/14/2016	Gunn, Anthony	Anthony Gunn	6547		X	X				1991 diagnosis; was not aware of filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3143	TRO888593FTC	12/24/2015	Lee, Alvin	Alvin Lee	6548			X				Diagnoses 1997 and earlier; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3144	TRO891156FTC	2/23/2016	Foote, Earnest	Earnest Foote	6549			X		X		Says misinterpreted the conditions of the claim; says symptoms and diagnosis Oct. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3145	TRO893534FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Corey	Corey Cockrell	6550						X	2003 and 2008 diagnoses; minor; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3146	TRO888337FTC	12/18/2015	Virgin, Atrice	Atrice Virgin	6551		X	X				1980s diagnosis; "was unaware of initial claims and was informed of the processes." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3147	TRO895123FTC	3/23/2016	Coleman, Ida	Ida Coleman	6553			X		X		Alleges October 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm; says was not aware of the proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to extent it seeks relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to the possible effects of participation in a prior litigation) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3148	TRO900535FTC	8/16/2016	Washington, Bailey	Myron Washington	6554			X				2007 diagnosis; rep says unaware daughter exposed to Tronox product; files same letter as others that says not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy, and refers to secret town meetings and discharge of claim violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3149	TRO902548FTC	Unknown	Turner, Melissa	Melissa Turner	6555			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1988 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; was not aware of original case filing earlier. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3150	TRO902549FTC	Unknown	Walker, Bobby	Melissa Turner	6556			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; place of exposure not clear; rep says claim was filed when notified of existing case, was not aware of earlier filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3151	TRO890379FTC	2/23/2016	Lash, Fred	Selma Lash	6557		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1972. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3152	TRO885789FTC	11/25/2015	Mapp, Cheryl	Cheryl Mapp	6558			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis August 16, 2009 (four days after the bar date) and refers to it as a "new diagnosis." Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says filed before June 25, 2009 before the deadline and never heard anything back, but provides no evidence of filing and Court has no record of filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any disputes as to the date of such diagnoses) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3153	TRO889155FTC	2/23/2016	Pollard, Angelo	Pamela Pollard	6559		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; rep says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; the hospital destroyed old medical records in accordance with lawful practice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3154	TRO895454FTC	Unknown	Coley, Elijah	Elijah Coley	6560		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1994 and 1999 diagnoses; this motion was not included on the Trust's summary; movant says did not know it was a claim against Tronox at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3155	TRO889291FTC	2/23/2016	Rogers, Will	Will Rogers	6561			X		X		Alleges 2016 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3156	TRO901966FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Willie Mae	Mario Smith	6562		X	X				This motion is by Mario Smith on behalf of Willie Mae Smith as the injured party [TRO901966FTC]; the Trustee's summary incorrectly referred to a different motion by Wilda Smith [TR800868FTC] that is at docket #7076. As to the motion at docket # 6562: injured party was former Columbus, MS resident who had cancer; diagnosis date unknown, but the injured party died in 2005; rep unaware of claims process, lived in Indiana. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3157	TRO885369FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Doris	Doris Butler	6563	8282	X	X				1959 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001-2003; received \$2,800; unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8282. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3158	TRO901908FTC	9/14/2016	Holland, Katie	Katie Holland	6564		X	X				1980 diagnosis; "moved to a new location paperworked know the deadline." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3159	TRO895872FTC	3/24/2016	Richardson, Solomon	Samuel Richardson	6565		X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3160	TRO895871FTC	3/24/2016	Richardson, Sallie	Samuel Richardson	6566		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3161	TRO890049FTC	2/23/2016	Byrd, Whirlie	Whirlie Byrd	6567		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 1958 and 2010; says was not aware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notifications in newspaper or other media; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3162	TRO886958FTC	12/7/2015	McGregory, Greta	Greta McGregor	6568		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; called toll free number and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3163	TRO894255FTC	3/2/2016	Butler, Demond	Demond Butler	6569			X				Diagnosis in either 2008 or 2009 (handwriting hard to decipher); unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; called toll-free number, was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3164	TRO893727FTC	3/2/2016	Fisher, Charles	Charles Fisher	6570		X	X				1991 and 2006 diagnoses; previously filed with Bennie Turner and case transferred to Colom, received small recovery; no excuse provided for failure to file claim by the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3165	TRO893536FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Aenderil	Aenderil Cockrell	6571						X	1996 diagnosis; minor; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3166	TRO902215FTC	9/14/2016	Gunn, Albert	Albert Gunn	6572		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of the filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3167	TRO897573FTC	5/25/2016	Robinson, Keunda	Keunda Robinson	6573		X	X				2001 diagnosis; moved from Columbus, MS to another state in 2015, learned of the process in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3168	TRO892431FTC	2/23/2016	Calhoun, Randy	Randy Calhoun	6574			X				2007 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons why missed bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3169	TRO896159FTC	4/6/2016	Latham, Willie	Cassandra Wright	6575		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3170	TRO880901FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Robert	Cassandra Wright	6576		X	X		X		The injured party died in 2014; alleges a 2014 diagnosis but also says the party previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; rep says unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to effects of prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3171	TRO891595FTC	2/23/2016	LoveLace, Christina	Christina LoveLace	6577			X		X		Alleges diagnosis in 2010; says unaware that she was affected by any Tronox products; says she was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; says discharge of claim a violation of due process; says certain sectors met and did not let rest of town know. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3172	TRO889233FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Linwood	Gloria Mae Short	6578			X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; place of exposure not clear; rep says she was unaware, she lives out of state. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3173	TRO888693FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Raina	Raina Williams	6579	7197	X	X			X	1979 and 2010 diagnoses; was uncertain of a deadline to file due to lack of communication in the community regarding Tronox. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #7197. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3174	TRO889158FTC	2/23/2016	Cotton, Deric	Deric Cotton	6580		X	X				1979 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr McGee Creosote Plant Class Action lawsuit; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date; says was incarcerated but none of the confinement dates were in 2009. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3175	TRO898125FTC	6/20/2016	Guines, Mardirine	Mardirine Guines	6581			X			X	2013 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; unaware qualified. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3176	TRO893732FTC	3/2/2016	Mason, Jeremy	Jeremy Mason	6582			X			X	November 2009 diagnosis; minor and incompetent at time of claim filing deadline; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn of it from media; says doctor closed office and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3177	TRO903148FTC	10/13/2016	Crothers, Doris	Doris Crothers	6583		X	X				Diagnosis early 1960s; unaware of bar date; never read about it in local paper or Wall Street Journal, does not read Wall Street Journal. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3178	TRO893505FTC	2/23/2016	Bembry, Rosie	Rosie Bembry	6584		X	X			X	The motion at docket # 6584 is by Rosie Bembry [TRO893505FTC]; the motion by Elbert Bembry III [TRO890578FTC] is at docket #5219. As to Rosie Bembry: diagnoses of various conditions, some before the bar date and some after; says she was unaware of the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3179	TRO896135FTC	4/6/2016	Gordon, Erick	Erick Gordon	6585			X			X	December 2009 diagnosis; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from media concerning this matter; says doctor closed and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3180	TRO893731FTC	3/2/2016	Mason, Tyler	Tyler Mason	6586			X			X	November 2009 diagnosis; says minor and incompetent at time of bar date; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn of it from media; says doctor closed office and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3181	TRO896134FTC	4/6/2016	Mason, Jarrett	Jarrett Mason	6587			X			X	December 2009 diagnosis; says minor and incompetent at time of bar date; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn of it from media; says doctor closed office and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3182	TRO897153FTC	5/4/2016	Blunt, Alexis	Alexis Blunt	6588		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1975, 1994, 2014; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonable; also says was a child at the time, but since alleged exposure began in 1969 the claimant had to be at least 40 years old by the time of the 2009 bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3183	TRO900536FTC	8/16/2016	Washington, Brooke	Myron Washington	6589			X				2007 diagnosis; parent filing for daughter; unaware child was exposed to Tronox product; says was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; discharge of claim a violation of due process; certain sectors met in private with minimal details to the town. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3184	TRO887959FTC	12/18/2015	Erby, Easha	Easha Erby	6590		X	X				Diagnosis August 10, 2009 (two days before bar date) but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3185	TRO885800FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Carol	Carol Williams	6591			X			X	Diagnoses listed as 2016. Says claim was filed but did not receive a written document; however, there is no record of a timely claim filed in 2009, movant may be referring to the late-filed claim under the mistaken belief that it was timely. Says she did not know what to do and thought "the case" was closed until she heard about the bankruptcy case in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3186	TRO892879FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Susie	Olivia Slater	6592	9123	X	X				Diagnosis date listed as 1933 but may mean 1993; the injured party died in 1993. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9123 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3187	TRO892361FTC	2/23/2016	Edmond, Jim	Jim Edmond	6593		X	X			X	Says submitted a claim and it was turned down, may be referring to late-filed claim; "File another claim it cost a hundred dollar and decided not to because [he] didn't have a hundred dollar to give." The information he initially received concentrated on lung issues but after more research he discovered that it focused on more than lung conditions; says symptoms 2013, diagnosis 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3188	TRO887785FTC	12/14/2015	Taylor, Charles	Bessie Taylor	6594	8148	X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep says she called the Garretson trust number and was told not to do anything on it. A supplement filed at docket #8148, say provided required proof of residency, also complains that other people filed that did not even live in the area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3189	TRO887668FTC	12/14/2015	Butler, Izola	Greta McGregory	6595		X	X				Diagnoses listed as 1980 (heart failure) and "2003-2008" (cancer); the injured party died in 2008; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any media notification. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3190	TRO893396FTC	2/23/2016	Rush, Emma	Emma Rush	6596		X	X				Diagnosis unclear but recalls being seen by doctor for the condition in 1984 or so; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was not aware of the deadline; says the public was not made aware of the deadline until November 2011; says she did not receive the information from the published notices of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3191	TRO893397FTC	2/23/2016	Rush, Kenneth	Kenneth Rush	6597		X	X				1985 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was not aware of the deadline; says the public was not made aware of the deadline until 2011; he did not receive the information from the published notices of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3192	TRO886570FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Branden	Sandra Williams	6598			X		X		Alleges diagnoses in 2016 and 2017; minor with no knowledge of the bankruptcy case, his guardian filed the claim not aware that she should have added information other than what was on the original claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3193	TRO888134FTC	12/18/2015	Dunn, Verna	Jacqueline Dunn-Rencher	6599		X	X				2005 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; place of exposure not clear; rep says saw no publication notice of the claims; the notice was only published 14 days, the notice was not reasonably calculated and did not allow town to become aware or make known of the publication to family and friends. She does not subscribe to any of the publications or know anyone in those nearby cities she lives in Georgia and had no knowledge of the notice until a family member informed her. Complaints about amount of time between the publication date and the bar date do not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3194	TRO888338FTC	12/18/2015	Virgil, Joan	Joan Virgil	6600		X	X				1958 diagnosis; says "was unaware of the initial claims and was informed of the process." Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3195	TRO886548FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sammie	Williams, Sammie	6601	8147	X	X		X		First diagnosed 2005 with colon cancer, others in 2010 and 2013; did not file by deadline because was "confused, misinformed, and in doubt about what I was supposed to do;" unaware could add new illnesses after original submission date. A supplement filed by rep at docket #8147, rep says that the claimant was classified with PTSD in April 2008 and was not physically or mentally able to complete information on the claim form. His spouse was appointed his representative payee for social security benefits in 2005, and says that she submitted the information when she became aware of the case. No explanation for spouse's long delay after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3196	TRO888773FTC	12/30/2015	Turner, Tiffany	Tiffany Turner-Tate	6602		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3197	TRO886547FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sandra	Sandra Williams	6603			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2014 and 2015, but exhibited symptoms in 2008; did not file by deadline because had "no information about Tronox." No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3198	TRO893524FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Sara	Rosa Williams	6604	8275	X	X				1989 diagnosis; did not file in 2009 because "didn't know about it." A supplement filed at docket #8275, more contact information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3199	TRO880008FTC	Unknown	Bryant, Lydia Bailey	Lydia Jean Bailey Bryant	6605		X	X				The motion at docket # 6605 is for Lydia Bailey Bryant on her own behalf [claim TRO880008FTC]; the motion relating to Carrie Prowell Bailey is at docket # 6606. As to Lydia Bailey Bryant: former resident of Columbus, MS; diagnoses were in 1970s and 1980s; unaware exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3200	TRO898635FTC	6/27/2016	Bailey, Carrie Prowell	Bailey, Carrie Prowell	6606		X	X				Trust's summary appears to have combined information from dockets 6605 and 6606; they are two separate motions. As to the motion at docket 6606: 2000 diagnosis; Lydia filing on behalf of her mother, Carrie, a former resident of Columbus, MS who is deceased. Unaware mom exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3201	TRO914578FTC	7/26/2017	Webber, Sturdivant	Dorothy Jones	6607		X	X				Filing on behalf of deceased father, who lived in Columbus, MS; diagnosis in 1997, father died in 1998; filer was unaware of the bankruptcy case at the time of the deadline and feels that notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants, had no reason to know father had been exposed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3202	TRO901954FTC	9/14/2016	Henderson, Trevonda	Trevonda Henerson	6608		X	X			X	Diagnosed 2010; former resident of Starkville, MS; says was told to file with attorney Colom and he was unfair in distributing funds but that appears to be a reference to a prior class action settlement distribution. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3203	TRO888135FTC	12/18/2015	Dunn, Richard	Jacqueline Dunn-Rencher	6609			X				February 2006 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to Georgia; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not provide reasoning. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3204	TRO892618FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Monte	Monte Jones	6610		X	X				1989 diagnosis; says did not know anything about it until too late. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3205	TRO893812FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, Emma	Emma Griffin	6611		X	X			X	Conditions at various times, unclear if all diagnosed before the bar date; unaware of deadline until it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3206	TRO889650FTC	2/23/2016	Lucious, Thelma	Thelma Lucious	6612		X	X				1978 diagnosis; made claim in 2002-03 class action through Wilbur Colom; says was unaware able to file a claim in Tronox case, then says that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3207	TRO892266FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Mary	Bessie Taylor	6613	8148	X	X				1970s diagnosis; says did not receive a claim to file. A supplement filed at docket #8148, says provided the required proof of residency. She complains that there were people applying who did not even live in the area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3208	TRO901839FTC	9/14/2016	Washington, Makayla	Makayla Washington	6614			X		X		Diagnosed 2012. unaware exposed prior to deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3209	TRO901838FTC	9/14/2016	Washington, Danny	Danny Washington	6615			X		X		Diagnosed "2010-2017." unaware exposed prior to deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3210	TRO898003FTC	6/10/2016	Morgan, Willie	Danny Washington	6616			X				Submitted for father; diagnosed May 2009; unaware father exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3211	TRO898074FTC	6/20/2016	Taylor, Bryson	Bryson Taylor	6617			X				Diagnosed April 2009; parent filing for minor son. Says was unaware son exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3212	TRO892136FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Luvenia	Luvenia Hill	6618	8793	X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware that people were filing claims. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8793 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3213	TRO892712FTC	2/23/2016	Petty, Billy	Billy Petty	6619			X		X		Just a signed motion form and a signed Rejection Notice. No grounds stated for relief from the bar date, motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3214	TRO895535FTC	Unknown	Jones, Willie	Willie Jones	6620			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3215	Unknown	7/25/2016	Holliday, Alene	Alene Holliday	6621			X		X		Complaint about an offer received from the Trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3216	Unknown	8/16/2016	Dobbs, Monica	Monica Dobbs	6622			X		X		Complaint about an offer received from the Trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3217	TRO894973FTC	3/23/2016	Fulton, Natasha	Unknown	6623			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page and medical records. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3218	N/A	#N/A	Harris, Regina	Regina Harris	6624			X				Just a trust claim form. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3219	TRO884254FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, India	India Jones	6625						X	Diagnosis "1994-2005;" underage at time of filing deadline. Born 11/28/90, apparently (that is date exposure began). Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3220	TRO902960FTC	10/13/2016	Buchanan, Jerome	Jerome Buchanan	6626		X	X				No diagnosis date or details; says he filed a claim with Attorney Bambach in 2001, but claim was misplaced in 2001. Bankruptcy process did not start until 2009, however. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3221	TRO890394FTC	2/23/2016	Hicks, Marco	Marco Hicks	6627			X		X		2011 diagnosis; says did not file because of "lack of information." No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3222	TRO886764FTC	12/7/2015	McBride, David III	David McBride	6628	8675	X	X				1997 diagnosis; no reasons given as to why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8675. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3223	TRO893516FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Laronzo	Laronzo Morris	6629			X				Diagnosis date not listed, symptoms began 1978; no knowledge case existed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3224	TRO897214FTC	5/4/2016	Shies, Rickie	Rickie Shies	6630	8376		X				Diagnosis "at birth;" as reason for missing bar date says "incapacitated," but provides no reasoning or support; a supplement filed at docket #8376 does not answer that question; no explanation for lengthy post-bar date delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3225	TRO898148FTC	6/20/2016	Petty, Christine	Christine Petty	6631		X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until it had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3226	TRO895437FTC	3/24/2016	Hendricks, Eric	Eric Hendricks	6632		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says claim was made between 2000 and 2003 but "the court motion was delayed due to Tronox filing bankruptcy;" bankruptcy filing, however, did not occur until 2009; says was a child and incompetent at time of deadline, but form shows birth on 3/20/88, so claimant was 21 years old at the time of the bar date. If a claim was stayed by the Tronox bankruptcy then there had to be knowledge of the bankruptcy case and a proof of claim should have been filed, as proofs of service on file show that notices were sent by mail to all pending litigants and/or to their counsel of record. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If no lawsuit was pending, then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3227	TRO894811FTC	3/23/2016	Harris, Terence	Terence Harris	6633		X	X				1980s diagnosis; unaware of deadline until it had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3228	TRO891784FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Darius	Darius Thompson	6634						X	Diagnoses 2005 and 2009; child and incompetent at time of deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3229	TRO903988FTC	1/3/2017	Sims, Sara	Sara Sims	6635		X	X				Various diagnoses in 1980s; had no knowledge of bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3230	TRO891785FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Lasantra	Lasantra Thompson	6636		X	X				1996 diagnosis; standard form challenge to publication notice and reasons for not filing, without providing supportive reasoning. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3231	TRO891783FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Jateria	Jateria Thompson	6637						X	1998 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; child and incompetent at time of deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3232	TRO884513FTC	Unknown	Shelton, Eddie	Eddie Shelton	6638			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2017 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach potential claimants; said did not know of his exposure to Tronox products. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3233	TRO891848FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Rita	Rita Thompson	6639		X	X				Diagnoses 1998, 2000; says that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3234	Unknown	11/28/2017	Ware, Emma	Emma Ware	6641			X		X		Diagnosed November 2016; says didn't know could file a claim in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3235	TRO893514FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Kathy	Kathy Morris	6642		X	X				1976 diagnosis; had no knowledge of the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3236	TRO889679FTC	2/23/2016	McCrary, Jessica	Jessica McCrary	6643			X			X	2012 diagnosis; says previously tried to submit a claim, not clear when or with whom; was unaware of filing for this claim, never received notice re who was eligible to file. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3237	TRO900975FTC	8/16/2016	Bailey, Amzie	Lydia Bailey Bryant	6645		X	X				1981 diagnosis; injured party lived in Columbus, MS and is deceased; not made aware claims were being filed until a niece called her in 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3238	TRO892902FTC	2/23/2016	Hester, Eddie	Hester Jr., Eddie	6646		X	X				1983 diagnosis; injured party dies in 1985; rep. did not know/no reason to know father had been exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3239	TRO884311FTC	11/25/2015	Erby, Andre	Erby, Andre	6647			X			X	Diagnosed in 2009, does not say what month; says did not know/no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3240	TRO896355FTC	4/13/2016	Bridges, Regginald	Bridges, Regginald	6648			X			X	States that began exhibiting symptoms on 8/12/09 (the bar date); says that "the community has a right to submit a claim;" no diagnosis date listed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to the extent it seeks relief from the bar date based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3241	TRO894924	3/23/2016	Brewer, Byron	Byron Brewer	6649		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates crossed off (appears originally wrote 1985), says unsure of dates diagnosed; says had misinformation and was unaware of a lot of things when filed his claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3242	TRO896123 FTC	4/6/2016	Bailey, Lydia	Lydia Bailey	6650	8403	X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s and 1980s; did not know exposed prior to deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8403, says moved away from Mississippi and did not hear any media about bar date; did not know that Moss Tire was new company Kerr McGee, first learned it in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3243	TRO902560FTC	9/14/2016	Penry Sr., Joseph	Penry Sr., Joseph	6651			X				Diagnosis apparently was in mid-2006; did not know how of a direct route to file a claim until 2016. Does not explain long delay after bar date without action to pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3244	TRO901109FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Corey	Corey Lee	6652		X	X				2003 diagnosis; former resident/visitor to Columbus, MS; unaware case existed, says medical records were destroyed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3245	TRO892233FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Susie A.	Susie A. Thompson	6653		X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 2002; no explanation given as to why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3246	TRO891847FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Tiffany	Tiffany Thompson	6654		X	X				1999 diagnosis; child at time of deadline (says exposure began 11/1/89 so was approximately 20 years old at bar date); says no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. No explanation of long post-bar date delay or of any action during that period to pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3247	TRO891846FTC	2/23/2016	Alexander, Timothy	Rita Thompson	6655		X	X				Injured party died in 1986; no explanation given for late filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in Alabama or MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3248	TRO893944FTC	3/2/2016	Walter, Charity	Charity Walter	6656		X	X		X		Some diagnoses in 2002 and 2007, cancer diagnosed in 2015; says filed a claim with Colom firm but no results (unclear when or in connection with what proceeding), then elsewhere in the form crossed off references to Colom firm and inserted name of Barbara Dollarhide. No record of a timely Tronox bankruptcy claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3249	TRO904703FTC	1/24/2017	Mims, Sherlaine	Sherlaine Mims	6657		X	X				1978 diagnosis; did not know exposed prior to deadline, as a result thinks that discharge is a violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3250	TRO892384FTC	2/23/2016	Bingmon, Theresa	Theresa Bingmon	6658		X	X				Diagnoses in 2004 and 2007; copied form language saying publication notice was not reasonable, did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3251	TRO889006FTC	12/30/2015	Andrews, Jeanise	Andrews, Jeanise	6659		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates; says was unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3252	TRO901291FTC	8/16/2016	Hampton, Thomas	Hampton, Thomas	6660		X	X				Symptoms before 1971, could not afford to see doctor; unaware of claim or claim filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3253	TRO886588FTC	12/7/2015	Owens, Airicka	Airicka Owens	6661		X	X				2003 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; part of a lawsuit and settlement in 2003-04; says thought Tronox was still in bankruptcy status and didn't know she could file a "future" claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3254	TRO900290FTC	7/25/2016	Ridley, Mary	Linda Reeves	6662		X	X				Pre-1991 conditions and diagnoses; injured party is former resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1991. Both mother and child unaware that mom had been exposed to Tronox product prior to claims deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3255	TRO886592FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Kaleb	Williams, Meshelia	6663			X				Child diagnosed in 2003 (age 1); mother did not file by deadline because did not know about "it" and did not know what was wrong with him. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3256	TRO902336FTC	9/14/2016	Gilhooley, Andrew	Mary Tracy	6664			X				1990 diagnosis; death in 2000; rep says deceased did not know about the case. Tronox bankruptcy did not occur until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3257	TRO893600FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Joseph	Joseph Williams	6665			X		X		Diagnosed 2012; did not know about the case in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3258	TRO886572FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sheldon	Williams, Sheldon	6666			X			X	1990 symptoms but alleges a 2013 diagnosis; says a 2010 acute sinusitis claim was denied, didn't know he could file additional information that wasn't on original claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied as to any pre-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3259	TRO890423FTC	2/23/2016	Maxwell, Michael	Michael Maxwell	6667			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2012; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3260	TRO888002FTC	12/18/2015	Jones, Fate	Jones, Fate	6668		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3261	TRO895381FTC	3/24/2016	Hudak, Constance	Hudak, Constance	6669			X				73-74 diagnosis but unaware of source of problems; no explanation of any efforts to pursue or to investigate causes of condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3262	TRO892137FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Jenerrio	Jones, Jenerrio	6670						X	Exposed at birth in 1990; diagnosed in 1992; minor at deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3263	TRO896601FTC	4/25/2016	Lee, Ollie	Lee, Ollie	6671			X			X	Diagnosed in 2009 (no month provided) and 2010. Form language re challenges to publication notice, says did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3264	TRO886621FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sydney	Williams, Sydney	6672			X			X	Claims diagnoses in 2010 (sinusitis) and 2017 (hypertension), says sinusitis claim was rejected because the date of diagnosis was wrong; says did not know could add additional information about claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3265	TRO880964FTC	12/4/2015	Gardner, Charles	Charles Gardner	6673			X			X	Says did not know dates to file. Diagnosed "on or before 2009-2017." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3266	TRO893550FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Carolyn	Carolyn Gardner	6674			X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; says did not know date to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3267	TRO886110FTC	12/7/2015	Hunter, Melissa	Melissa Hunter	6675		X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 1983; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was on active duty in South Korea from January 2009 to April 2010. However, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3268	TRO893756FTC	3/2/2016	Pippins, Frank	Frank Pippins	6676	X	X					1963 diagnosis; part of 2000 action with Colom law firm; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; says was in the army, but that was in 1970s. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3269	TRO901763FTC	8/16/2016	Stennis, Lincoln	Dorothy Stennis	6677			X				2006 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; rep says didn't know about lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3270	TRO884779FTC	11/25/2015	Hunter, Brent	Brent Hunter	6678		X	X				1990 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was on active duty in South Korea at the time of the bar date. However, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3271	TRO899232FTC	7/11/2016	Woods, Caitlin	Caitlin Woods	6679		X	X			X	Says diagnosed in 2010, but in attachment said had other diseases and then NEW disease diagnosed in 2010. Says does not recall seeing publication notice. Alleges there were "horrific storms" in MS in 2009, provides no specifics and does not show how they allegedly interfered with the filing of claims, or why movant waited so long after the bar date before filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3272	TRO889947FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Tracy	Tracy Roby	6680		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1997, 2007 and 2010; says didn't know/reason to know exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3273	TRO894522FTC	3/23/2016	Jordan, Leroy	Brenda Gipson	6681			X				1989 diagnosis; says injured party has psychological problems; place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3274	TRO886658FTC	12/7/2015	Cunningham, Demontrell	Demontrell Cunningham	6682		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3275	TRO901548FTC	8/16/2016	Norman, Joseph, Jr.	Joseph E. Norman, Jr.	6683		X	X				Conditions in 1980s, diagnosis "yes"; says doesn't read newspapers, did not hear about this process until later. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3276	TRO901802FTC	9/14/2016	Ealy, Lisa	Lisa Ealy	6684		X	X				1986 diagnosis; lived out of town and did not know of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3277	TRO885036FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Frank	Ezell Johnson	6685	8218	X	X				Symptoms 1999-2006; diagnosis "yes;" says filed claim in 1999 but paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. A supplement filed at docket #8218, says it is not fair to turn down injured claimants because the dates were not right. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8627. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3278	TRO889944FTC	2/23/2016	Lowery, Maxine	Tracy Roby	6686		X	X				1997 diagnosis; thought had to live in the area to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3279	TRO893011FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Tonie	Tonie Harris	6687		X	X				1997 and 2006 diagnoses; says was "unaware of claim," suggests did not know cause of injury but knew the injury itself. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3280	TRI899299FTC	7/11/2016	Brown, Brittany	Brown, Brittany	6688		X	X				1990 and 2002 diagnoses; says filed claim with Creosote Litigation Group in 2002, paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach, made additional claim in 2005. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3281	TRO897618FTC	5/25/2016	Patton, Maxine	Tommy Pattmon	6689		X	X				1985 diagnosis; says was told in 2000 (must have been in a prior lawsuit) that could not file on behalf of deceased; another attorney rejected the claim in 2010. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3282	TRO894706FTC	3/23/2016	Swanigan, Jamonica	Ray Sanders Jr.	6690	7610	x	X				Appears to be a duplicate of the motion at docket 7610 but the signatures differ. 1999 diagnosis; says paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3283	TRO904681FTC	1/24/2017	Davis, Lambert	Lambert Davis	6691		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; "never heard anything about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3284	TRO903663FTC	11/23/2016	Bell, Dedrah	Dedrah Bell	6692	8228	X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after it passed, also says did not know exposed prior to deadline; says discharge was violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8228, unaware of claim filing until future tort claim in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3285	TRO885908FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, Bruce	Bruce Taylor	6693		X	X				Diagnosis dates unclear, says 1980-2009, appears to be referring to continuing conditions; did not file because traveled as truck driver from state to state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3286	TRO897191FTC	5/4/2016	Wallace, Hal	Wallace, Jeanette	6694		X	X				1969 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3287	TRO884951FTC	11/25/2015	Jackson, Kayla	Kayla Jackson	6695	9133					X	1998 diagnosis; child at time of filing deadline, exposure began January 1997. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9133 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3288	TRO885018FTC	11/25/2015	Jackson, Tajshmon	Tajshmon Jackson	6696							X	November 1999 diagnosis; child at time of filing deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3289	TRO886907FTC	12/7/2015	Jackson, Lesia	Lesia Jackson	6697		X	X					1991 and 1994 diagnoses; states that publication notice was not reasonable. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3290	TRO894305FTC	3/2/2016	Calabro, Martin	Martin Calabro	6699			X					2005 diagnosis; says was unaware of deadline until spouse had a claim paid in 2015. Spouse's claim shows availability of information and knowledge of rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3291	TRO885533FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Lena	Lena Johnson	6700	8177 8628	X	X					Alleges first diagnosis in 2005, but says made claim in 1999, paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. A supplement filed at docket #8177, complaining that the claims of others who filed at same time were allowed and they did not live in the area. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8628. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3292	TRO904679FTC	1/24/2017	Davis, Lula	Lula Davis	6701		X	X					2000 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; "never heard anything about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3293	TRO893417FTC	2/23/2016	Hackman, Johnny	Johnny Hackman	6702	8274	X	X				1984 diagnosis; didn't know of claim. A supplement filed at docket #8274, says worked with the chemicals, complains that others who did not work with the chemicals were allowed claims and that many with allowed claims were not required to provide proof. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3294	TRO886908FTC	12/7/2015	Jackson, Tommy	Tommy Jackson	6703	8266					X	1963 and 2003 diagnoses; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; also mentions that he was in the army, does not provide dates. Says exposure began in 1963 and that joined the army after high school, so military service may have ended long before the bar date. Supplement at docket # 8266. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service so that Court may assess the application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 on the running of the MS statute of limitations with respect to the state-law claim, the possible tolling of the bar date, and whether military service affects the determination of whether relief should be granted on grounds of excusable neglect.
3295	TRO891959FTC	2/23/2016	Cruse, Evelyn	Evelyn Cruse	6704		X	X				1997 surgery; publication notice unknown to her at time of filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3296	TRO887073FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Tony	Tony Williams	6705		X	X				1958 and 1971 diagnoses; says that "my case was not presented prior to aug 12 2009 even though my conditions and diseases were diagnosed before, and should be excused on grounds of 'excusable neglect' and I believe it was in violation of due process." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3297	TRO887947FTC	12/18/2015	Tolon, Bobbie	Bobbie Tolon	6706		X	X			X	Claims symptoms 1999, 2009 diagnosis (month not noted); paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3298	TRO887672FTC	12/14/2015	Pratt, Neosha	Neosha Pratt	6707			X				2008 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware able to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3299	TRO900268FTC	7/25/2016	Richey, Michael	Michael Richey	6708			X			X	Diagnosed 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3300	TRO885989FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Ezell	Ezell Johnson	6709	8173 8626	X	X				1999 diagnosis; says atty Bill Bambach lost paperwork. A supplement filed at docket #8173, says does not know how you are allowing claims of those who filed at same time as him and did not live in the area but not of residents. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8626. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3301	TRO898782FTC	6/27/2016	Greenlee, Elbert	Elbert Greenlee	6710		X	X				1980s symptoms, not know if ever diagnosed; filing on behalf of deceased father who was a resident of Steens, MS. Says neither child nor dad was aware of "this." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3302	TRO889675FTC	2/23/2016	Flowers, Tommie	Tommie Flowers	6711			X		X		Says diagnosed 2015, 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3303	TRO888836FTC	12/30/2015	Mays-Sykes, Allie	Allie Mays-Sykes	6712			X		X		Diagnosed in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3304	TRO896786FTC	4/25/2016	Norris, Nichole	Nichole Norris	6713			X				1987 diagnosis; thought you could only file claim if had cancer. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3305	TRO896784FTC	4/25/2016	Oliveri, Gail	Gail Oliveri	6714			X				Childhood diagnosis for headaches and nausea, thyroid diagnosis in "2000s;" thought you could only file claim if had cancer. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3306	<b>TRO896783FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Oliveri, John</b>	<b>John Oliveri</b>	6716			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; thought you could only file claim if had cancer. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3307	TRO892547FTC	2/23/2016	Gillespie, Mattie	Mattie Gillespie	6717			X		X		Alleges was diagnosed July 2010, did not know he had a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3308	TRO898910FTC	6/27/2016	Petty, Melissa	Melissa Petty	6718		X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3309	TRO894138FTC	3/2/2016	Lewis, Jonathan	Jonathan Lewis	6719			X				Seizures started 1990, diagnosis 2008; former resident of Columbus, MS who has not lived there since 2001; member of army from 2001-2010. From 2005-2010 was stationed in various places, including abroad. Military service ended in July 2010. Even if application of the bar date was tolled by 50 U.S.C. 3936 that would still have required a claim no later than late September or early October 2010, actual claim was not filed until much later. Does not explain lengthy delays given diagnosis dates and long-running conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3310	TRO889199FTC	Unknown	Edwards, Aaron	Aaron Edwards	6720		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 symptoms; no diagnosis date; injured party is deceased. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3311	TRO894139FTC	3/2/2016	Lewis, Cecora	Cecora Lewis	6721			X				1991 diagnosis; wife of Jonathan Lewis who filed the motion at docket # 6719; former Columbus, MS resident who moved away in 2008; says husband was active US soldier from 2005-2010. From 2008-2010, was assigned to different stations in USA. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the husband's military service and many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3312	TRO884875FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Patricia	Patricia Brooks	6722		X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not know/no reason to know father had been exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3313	TRO892972FTC	2/23/2016	Eddins, Anthony	Anthony Eddins	6723		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says filed claim with atty Bill Bambach, lost paperwork. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3314	TRO899300FTC	7/11/2016	Brown-Johnson, Palmetche	Palmetchie Brown-Johnson	6725		X	X				1969, 1999, 2005 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says atty Bill Bambach lost paperwork, then filled out more paperwork in 2005 with the Creosote Litigation Group. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3315	<b>TRO893554FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Edwards, Callie</b>	<b>Helda Edwards</b>	6726		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; no explanation offered as to reasons why no prior claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3316	TRO885111FTC	11/25/2015	Richey, Larry	Richey, Larry	6727	9424	X	X		X		Says "some diagnosed on Sept 2009" but attachment suggests some prior diagnoses, unclear if for same condition. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9424 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3317	TRO886119FTC	12/7/2015	Shirley, June	June Shirley	6728	8980	X	X				1958 diagnosis; unaware eligible to file before deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8980 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3318	TRO899031FTC	6/27/2016	Follins, Rosetta	Rosetta Follins	6729		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 1987, 1999, 2003; unaware able to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3319	TRO894353FTC	3/2/2016	Stewart, Aniyah	Ineal Sherrod	6730		X	X				2004 diagnosis; filed with atty Bill Bambach in 2004, lost paperwork. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3320	TRO914540FTC	7/26/2017	Fenton, Annie	Fenton, Annie	6731			X				2008 diagnosis; resident of Illinois since 1963 but visited parents in Columbus, MS until their death in 1998; unaware of filing deadline, also says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Notices of the bar date were published in June 2009 in the Edwardsville Intelligencer in Edwardsville, IL (about 21 miles from Fairview Heights) and in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch (about 15 miles from Fairview Heights). Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3321	TRO895813FTC	3/24/2016	Bridges, Johnson	Bridges, Johnson	6733		X	X				2003 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3322	TRO895512FTC	3/24/2016	Bridges, Lillie	Bridges, Lillie	6734			X		X		Diagnosed 10/6/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3323	TRO884807FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, LaQuita	LaQuita Porter	6735			X		X		Alleges December 2009 diagnosis but also says filed a claim in 2002 in class action with Wilbur Colom. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3324	TRO887780FTC	12/14/2015	Poole, Walsa	Jackson, Annie	6736		X	X				1998 diagnosis; injured party died in 2004; states that injured party did not know/have reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Also, injured party resided out of state with daughter as full-time caretaker, due to medical condition. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3325	TRO893105FTC	2/23/2016	Babkowski, Abigail	Abigail Babkowski	6737			X				Diagnosed as infant in 1983; says was child at time of deadline but had to be at least 26 years old in 2009. Never heard of Tronox case because lived outside of the area and father, who still lived in area, kept the information from her. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3326	TRO902900FTC	10/13/2016	Ellis, Andrew	Willie Ellis	6738			X		X		2010 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3327	TRO902911FTC	10/13/2016	Ellis, Nadja	Willie Ellis	6739		X	X				1991 diagnosis; injured party is deceased. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3328	TRO892356FTC	10/13/2016	Ellis, Willie	Willie Ellis	6740			X		X		Diagnosed 8/21/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3329	TRO895181FTC	3/23/2016	Fulton, Contrell	Fulton, Shirley	6741		X	X				1978 diagnosis; did not know about the case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3330	TRO890833FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Anthony	Craddieth, Anthony	6742		X	X				1995 diagnosis; unaware exposed prior to deadline. Knew sick, but didn't know exposed and didn't make the connection between exposure and illness. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3331	TRO893040FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Emma	Emma Stinson	6743		X	X			X	Some diagnoses before 2009, some after; says did not know exposed prior to deadline, and that publication notice not reasonable. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3332	TRO895828FTC	3/24/2016	Smith, Henry	Eva Smith	6744	8071	X	X				The injured party died in 1989; widow was unaware of deadline. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants. A supplement filed at docket #8071, objects to the statute of limitations defense because the presence of the dangerous chemicals were not discovered until after 1989; says the fact that only 5% of claimants filed prior to bar date establishes that notice was deficient. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Under MS law the statute of limitations begins to run on the date of diagnosis regardless of whether the injured party knows the cause of injury. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3333	TRO895647FTC	3/24/2016	Mickens, Katina	Katina Mickens	6745			X		X		Diagnosed 8/15/2009, condition unclear. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3334	TRO884192FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, LaSheena	LaSheena Hill	6746		X	X				1986 diagnosis; says unaware exposed prior to deadline & publication notice insufficient, but does not elaborate. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3335	TRO893106FTC	2/23/2016	Babkowski, Jan	Jan Babkowski	6747			X				Diagnosed at birth in 1990; says was child at time of deadline, but age of majority is 18 in Pennsylvania, movant had to be 18 or 19 at the time of the bar date. Born prematurely, with diabetes and other diseases, diagnosed at approximately one year old. Never heard of Tronox case because lived outside of the area and father, who still lived in area, kept the information from her. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3336	TRO892901FTC	2/23/2016	White, Norma	Norma White	6748		X	X			X	Alleges 2014 diagnosis but also says filed a claim in the 2002 class action. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline & publication notice insufficient, but does not elaborate. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3337	TRO886347FTC	12/7/2015	Cunningham, Rodie	Rodie Cunningham	6749		X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware of filing deadline; in attachment, says that publication notice insufficient because there is no type of public notice informing residents of neighborhood that they are still accepting claims. The only claims that were supposed to be accepted after the bar date were claims based on new conditions first diagnosed after the bar date. This claimant does not allege a post-bar date diagnosis. The bar date order bars all claims for pre-bar date diagnoses unless excusable neglect or due process violations are shown under the standards set forth in the accompanying Decision. Movant alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3338	TRO896047FTC	4/6/2016	Lane, Hailie	Hailie Lane	6750			X			X	Diagnosed 12/3/2009. Child at time of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3339	TRO893940FTC	3/2/2016	Stinson, Leoina	Stinson, Leoina	6751		X	X				Alleges 2006 diagnosis but also says made a claim in the 2002 class action. Says unaware of bankruptcy case before deadline, and unaware exposed prior to deadline. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3340	TRO884021FTC	11/13/2015	Durrah-Coleman, Charles	Briscot, Sheila A.	6752		X	X				1999 diagnosis; at time of deadline, unaware that source of his cancer was KM/Tronox product; also was extremely ill at time of bar date with chemotherapy treatments. Mississippi statute of limitations runs from date of injury, not from knowledge of the cause of the injury, so unfortunately this claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3341	TRO894113FTC	3/2/2016	Presley, Dartho	Dartho Presley	6753			X				February 6, 2006 diagnosis; did not know/no reason to know father had been exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3342	TRO891148FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Willie	Willie Richardson	6754		X	X				1980 diagnosis; worked "out of town" and did not know of Tronox proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3343	TRO901482FTC	8/16/2016	Lang, Johnnie	Hester Lang	6755		X	X				1987 diagnosis; unaware able to file on behalf of deceased; did not know or have reason to know husband had been exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3344	TRO902270FTC	9/14/2016	Pounds, Mary	Mary Pounds	6756		X	X			X	Says diagnosed 5/8/2013, but also references a 1998 claim against "Tronox Chemical Products, Hamilton;" standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3345	TRO902012FTC	9/14/2016	Gilleylen, Dorothy	Dorothy Gilleylen	6757			X			X	Diagnosed 11/30/2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3346	TRO893107FTC	2/23/2016	Babkowski, James	James Babkowski	6758			X				1978 diagnosis; never heard of Tronox case because lived outside of the area and father, who still lived in area, kept the information from her. However, was an adult at time of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3347	TRO901262FTC	8/16/2016	Turnipseed, Vida	Vida Turnipseed	6759			X			X	Diagnosed 2016; alleges did not know of the proceeding in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3348	TRO893480FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Keith	Keith Craddieth	6760			X			X	Alleges a September 1, 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3349	TRO892023FTC	2/23/2016	Curry, Vernice Munson	Verince Munson Curry	6761	8124	X	X				Diagnoses in 1969, 1970 and 1990; former MS resident who no longer lives in MS and unaware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket # 8124 states that claimant has submitted multiple pieces of paperwork since 2014, and explains body pains, and living close to the plant and that certain neighbors had allowed claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3350	TRO897231FTC	5/4/2016	Dumas, Walter	Walter Dumas	6762		X	X		X		Various diagnoses for different conditions, some before bar date and some after; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3351	TRO902660FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Dominique	Dominique Jethroe	6763		X	X				2000 diagnosis; filed claim in 2000 proceeding, says paperwork lost by attorney Wilbur Colom. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3352	TRO902661FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Antonia	Antonia Jethroe	6764		X	X				2002 diagnosis; claim filed in 2002 with Wilbur Colom, says paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3353	TRO902664FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Christopher	Christopher Jethroe	6765		X	X				2000 diagnosis; claim filed in 2001 with Wilbur Colom; says paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3354	TRO902665FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Quinton	Quinton Jethroe	6766		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says filed claim with attorney who is now deceased. Retention of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3355	TRO902663FTC	8/16/2016	Brandy, Cleve	Cleve Brandy	6767		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says filed claim with Wilbur Colom in 2002 but paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3356	TRO898030FTC	6/20/2016	Miller, Nickeela	Nickeela Miller	6768		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1997, 2010, 2012; says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3357	TRO893766FTC	3/2/2016	Lee, Ricky	Ricky Lee	6769		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says attorneys Easley and Bambach had water tested in 2000 and then did not get back to him; says Bambach died in 2000 [he actually died in 2013] and Easley didn't call back. Also says gave forms to EPA and paperwork to local church but was not contacted. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3358	TRO880123FTC	12/4/2015	Gandy, Robert	Freda Gandy	6770			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3359	TRO895639FTC	3/24/2016	Lagrone, Nakia	Nakia Lagrone	6774		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1994, 1996, 2016; says was unaware of filing deadline; also says made claim in class action in 2002 and received \$800. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including merits of any defense based on the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3360	TRO887801FTC	12/14/2015	Cockrell, John	John Cockrell	6775			X			X	Diagnosed 7/21/2017, says was unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3361	TRO895600FTC	3/24/2016	Watson, Terrence	Terrence Watson	6776		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates crossed off, says diagnosed "2010 and in early childhood;" former Columbus, MS resident who still lived there in 2009; says form is confusing and filled it out as best could do; unaware eligible to file before deadline, claims community had false information about eligibility and thought only employees could file (apparently referring to an earlier proceeding on behalf of employees). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3362	TRO898638FTC	6/27/2016	Jackson, Willie	Mary Jackson	6777		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; filing on behalf of another; unaware exposed prior to deadline; says father was incapacitated and incompetent at the time of the bar date but does not explain why representative did not file on his behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3363	TRO890569FTC	2/23/2016	Priester, Terry	Terry Priester	6778	8299	X	X				1984 diagnosis; says was unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8299, without knowledge to wade through the process. It is unclear if this motion relates to the same claim as the motion at docket # 6779; the motion at docket #6778 is filed for Terry Priester and the motion at docket #6779 is filed for Terrence Priester; the explanations are the same but the diagnosis dates differ and the claim numbers differ, so Court presumes they are separate claimants who have the same address. As to Terry Priester (docket # 6778): Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3364	TRO890568FTC	2/23/2016	Priester, Terrence	Terrence Priester	6779	8299 8300	X	X				1987 diagnosis; says was unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8299 and duplicate at docket #8300, says without knowledge to wade through the process. It is unclear if this relates to the same claim as the motion at docket # 6778, as described above, but the diagnosis dates differ and the names differ slightly so Court presumes they are separate claimants. As to Terrence Priester (Docket # 6779): Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3365	Unknown	Unknown	Priester, Sr., Terry,	Terry Priester, Sr.	6780		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1985 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says prevented from filing a claim because of natural disaster, but no specific disaster identified. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3366	TRO901782FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Charlie	Charlie Johnson	6781		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know about it at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3367	TRO893026FTC	2/23/2016	Agnew, Thelma	Agnew, Thelma	6782			X		X		Says diagnosed 1/1/2010. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to the extent it seeks permission to pursue claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3368	TRO886558FTC	12/7/2015	Anderson, Diane	Diane Anderson	6783		X	X				1995 diagnosis; discusses work with Kerr-McGee, and injuries, but offers no explanation or showing of entitlement to relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3369	TRO891879FTC	2/23/2016	Sherod, Ineal	Ineal Sherod	6784		X	X				1999 symptoms; as to date of diagnosis, says "yes;" says filed claim in 1991 with attorney William Bambach, papers have been lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3370	TRO890570FTC	2/23/2016	Priester, Torrence	Torrence Priester	6785	8301	X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8301, without knowledge to wade through the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3371	<b>TRO890566FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Priester, Eve</b>	<b>Eve Priester</b>	6786		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1985 diagnosis; says that publication notice was not reasonable; worked for an attorney who won a case against Kerr McGee. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3372	<b>TRO902887FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Simmons Chandler, Heather</b>	<b>Heather Simmons Chandler</b>	6787		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; unaware of a claim being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3373	TRO885152FTC	11/25/2015	Stovall, Howard	Howard Stovall	6788			X			X	Says diagnosed November 2014 but also says retained attorney (Wilbur Colom) in 2005; says had no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case, but Mr. Colom was actively involved in the bankruptcy case and received direct notice of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3374	TRO896431FTC	4/13/2016	Cockrell, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Cockrell	6789		X	X				1995 diagnosis; made a claim in a prior proceeding in February 2003 and was awarded \$1,200; attorney filed a claim before deadline "in the class action proceeding," but that was a different proceeding and not part of the bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, notice of the bar date was sent to the class action attorneys on behalf of the class members who participated in the prior case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3375	TRO904327FTC	1/3/2017	Woodard, Cecil	Cecil Woodard	6790		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware exposed prior to deadline and contends that violation of due process occurred, but does not elaborate. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3376	TRO902287FTC	9/14/2016	Wright, Michael	Michael Wright	6791			X			X	Diagnosed 12/14/2013; also says unaware of deadline at time of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3377	TRO887349FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Jemarcus	Marilyn Moore	6792						X	2000 and 2003 diagnoses; mother filing on behalf of son; mother did not know about the case; says she was incompetent at time of deadline in 2009 because was in and out of hospital fighting for her life. Claim filed on behalf of minor. Mother's hospitalization in 2009 may explain lack of filing then but does not explain delay of many years before claim filed. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why movant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3378	TRO887351FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Demarcus	Marilyn Moore	6793						X	2000 and 2003 diagnoses; mother filing on behalf of her son, who is the twin of the boy for whom motion at docket #6793 was filed; mother did not know about the case; also says was incompetent at time of deadline in 2009 because was in and out of hospital fighting for her life. Claim filed on behalf of minor. Mother's hospitalization in 2009 may explain lack of filing then but does not explain delay of many years before claim filed. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why movant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3379	TRO887350FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Marlon	Marilyn Moore	6794	X	X			X		Alleges diagnoses in 2000 and 2010; says unaware case existed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3380	TRO889933FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Bobby	Bobby Sanders	6795	X	X					1970 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; unaware exposed prior to deadline; contends violation of due process occurred but does not elaborate. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3381	TRO887352FTC	12/14/2015	Poindexter, Leo	Mary Poindexter	6796	X	X					1980s and early 2000s diagnoses; unaware case existed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3382	TRO889932FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Jackie	Jackie Sanders	6797		X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after it had passed; did not know affected by a Tronox product, says discharge of claim violates due process but does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3383	TRO887309FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Ruby	Ruby Smith	6798		X	X		X		Diagnoses before and after bar date; filed claim in 2003 in class action; received a settlement in that "first suit," was not aware could "file again." If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense as to the effect of the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3384	TRO887342FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Quinesha	Quinesha Smith	6799						X	Diagnosed march 2009, was a minor at deadline (exposure began in 1993). Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3385	TRO903632FTC	11/23/2016	Littles, Kathy	Kathy Littles	6800		X	X			X	2007 diagnosis; says was put on oxygen in 2014, unclear if contending that reflected a different condition first diagnosed after the bar date; filed claim in 2002 with Creosote Litigation Group in federal district court action in Aberdeen but never received money. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3386	TRO894907FTC	3/23/2016	Hill, Annie	Annie Hill	6801		X	X			X	Unaware of the deadline; refers to several conditions like hypertension in 1978, 1988 and 2008, then says stroke 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3387	TRO897466FTC	5/25/2016	Hairston, Keshia	Keshia Hairston	6802		X	X				2003 diagnosis; did not hear anything about filing claims in this proceeding; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; does not read Wall Street Journal; Tronox did not try to locate her or those residing in contaminated area; as she was not given direct notice that she had to file a claim, her due process rights were violated. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice was published in the local Columbus newspaper (the Commercial Dispatch) in June 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3388	TRO884672FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Carol	Carol Harris	6803		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and 2002; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3389	N/a	#N/A	Brewer, Jakobe	Latonia Brown	6804			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
3390	N/a	#N/A	Sharp (Reece), Phyllis	Phyllis Sharp (Reece)	6805			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
3391	N/a	#N/A	Reece, Willie	Willie Reece	6806			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
3392	N/a	#N/A	Reece, Percy	Percy Reece	6807			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
3393	TRO901023FTC	8/16/2016	Reed, Mondell	Mondell Reed	6808			X		X		Says unaware of deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011 but there are no medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3394	TRO894647FTC	3/23/2016	Sanders, Ray	Ray Sanders	6809		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3395	TRO912079FTC	5/30/2017	Ziobro, Ronald	Ronald Ziobro	6810			X				1968 diagnosis; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3396	TRO880080FTC	12/4/2015	Davis, Lee	Lee Davis	6811			X		X		Alleges 2014 diagnosis but says previously filed with attorney Landis Sexton in 2004; did file a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case but appears to be under mistaken belief that the late-filed claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3397	TRO898008FTC	6/10/2016	Frierson-Ratliff, Stephanie	Stephanie Frierson-Ratliff	6812			X				2002 diagnosis; not clear where exposure occurred; was not aware of the dates because she resided in Alabama. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3398	TRO898009FTC	6/10/2016	Ratliff, Brandon	Brandon Ratliff	6813			X				2002 diagnosis; not clear where exposure occurred; was not aware of the dates because resided in Alabama. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3399	TRO887269FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Rosie	Rosie Smith	6814		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2004, 2009, 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003; received \$200 from Colom law firm; says was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3400	TRO888892FTC	12/30/2015	Dunn, Alvin	Alvin Dunn	6815		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not see publication notice of the Future Tort Claims, it was published only 14 days, this was not reasonably calculated to allow community to become aware or for them to make it known to others; do not subscribe to any of the papers where it was published; currently resides in Alabama. Even if complaints about amount of time allowed to file a claim in 2009 somehow excused a failure to file by August 2009 they do not explain the many years of delay after the bar date before the movant filed a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3401	TRO894906FTC	3/23/2016	Hill, John	Bernice Hill	6816		X	X			X	Submitted many medical records but cannot tell from them what the dates of initial diagnoses were as opposed to the dates of doctor visits and treatments. Rep says unaware of the deadline; constantly going back and forth to the doctor. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3402	TRO880764FTC	12/4/2015	Griffin, Althea	Althea Griffin	6817		X	X				2002 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of the bankruptcy proceedings; was busy caring for relatives but does not provide dates; says violation of due process but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3403	TRO880765FTC	12/4/2015	Shields, Callie	Callie Shields	6818		X	X				First diagnoses 1960s; the injured party (former resident of Columbus, MS) died in 2013; unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; rep says violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3404	TRO884208FTC	11/25/2015	Velenoski, John	John Velenoski	6819			X				1991 and 1999 diagnoses; former PA resident; says was a minor at the deadline but says exposure began 11/18/90 so was at least 18 at the bar date, which made him an adult under PA law; unaware of process until recently. Still lived in Duryea, PA and in Moosic, PA in 2009 through 2011. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3405	TRO899986FTC	7/25/2016	Wadrop, George	George Wadrop	6820			X				Various diagnoses, all 2000 and earlier; says resided outside of the Reading area where the Tronox information was shared in the local newspapers; unaware of deadline; unaware condition connected to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3406	TRO901698FTC	8/16/2016	Lubeck, Kimberly	Kimberly Lubeck	6821	8180		X				1996 diagnosis; says that she was living in New York and did not receive information regarding future tort claim, nor did she receive any mailing about it; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8180, saying money taken out by original attorney was highly inflated (apparently referring to a class action), unclear if movant was a part of a prior action. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3407	TRO901699FTC	8/16/2016	Kologe, Joseph	Nancy Kologe	6822	8181		X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says did not realize Tronox product could have caused his death until did research on the chemicals to which he was exposed. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8181. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3408	TRO893029FTC	2/23/2016	Acron, Oscar	Thelma Acron	6823			X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; place of exposure not specified. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3409	TRO901883FTC	9/14/2016	Durrah, Geshia	Geshia Brown	6824			X		X		Says first diagnosed in August 2009 but also says previously filed with Tollison law firm in MS as part of Kerr-McGee Creosote plant class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3410	TRO886688FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Jacobery	Geshia Brown	6825			X			X	Minor; rep says symptoms and diagnosis October 2009; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3411	TRO898071FTC	6/20/2016	Bradford, Lora	Lora Bradford	6826		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 2000, 2003, 2004; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, Tollison law firm; received \$237.82; says was not knowledgeable and received wrong information about filing; was depressed and could not think clearly, caring for sick relatives; child stressed out over job; school state benefit taken away; lawyer handling case was not fair to everybody, did not receive a future tort claim injury table but most people did receive one. In letter, says a certain degree of illiteracy, discharge of claim violation of due process; after diagnosis in pain and emotional state; unaware how to file a claim against such a large company; does not have knowledge of legal issues; most information received was incorrect. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3412	TRO888447FTC	12/18/2015	Scott (Brown), Tongie	Tongie Scott (Brown)	6827	7656		X				Conditions in 1980s; place of exposure not clear; unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; says was undergoing radiation and that it may be possible that incorrect dosages or different medications altered her concentration and caused memory loss [the treatments were in the 1990s]. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 7656. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3413	TRO885716FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Sandra	Sandra Petty	6828	8653		X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; suffers from PTSD from Gulf war, with short and long term memory loss. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8653. Claims based on the listed conditions that were diagnosed before the bar date (in 1992 and 1995) were time-barred by the applicable MS statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing and before movant began getting PTSD compensation in 2009. However, conditions first diagnosed after the bar date may qualify as future tort claims. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3414	TRO901119FTC	8/16/2016	Saunders, Ozella	Ozella Saunders	6829		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1990 and earlier; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of bankruptcy filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3415	TRO892091FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Junior	Maria Washington	6830		X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says was a violation of due process, does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3416	TRO892092FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Annie	Maria Washington	6831		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2010; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says violation of due process but does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3417	TRO880956FTC	12/4/2015	Petty, Emma	Emma Petty	6832		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2001 but paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3418	TRO902100FTC	Unknown	Douglass, Jasmine	Jasmine Douglass	6833		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Claim number may be incorrect; it is listed on the motion form but other information was whited out, movant may have used a form sent to a different claimant. Various diagnoses, some listed as "2004-2017," unclear if different diagnoses of different conditions or same continuing conditions; says publication was not calculated at a reasonable time for future claimants; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. It appears that she used an incorrect TRO number as the number she wrote is for Abdul Karriem at docket #6401. Complains about notice for "future" claims but bar date in this case is relevant only to claims that were diagnosed before August 12, 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3419	TRO902807FTC	Unknown	Hall, Anthony	Anthony Michael Hall	6834		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1993, 1994, 2002; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3420	TRO894664FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Irma	Irma Gore	6835		X	X			X	Alleged first diagnosis in 2012 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee creosote plant class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3421	TRO897268FTC	5/4/2016	Hackman, Larry	Larry Hackman	6836		X	X				Initial diagnosis in 1996; says heard attorneys were doing claims at various times but when contacted them they said no; thinks was given misleading information. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3422	TRO887387FTC	12/14/2015	Owens, Amelia	Lela Daniels	6837		X	X			X	2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; previously filed with Lundy & Davis LLP/ Colom law firm 2000; received about \$1,500 in 2000; says developed more conditions in subsequent years. Rep says unaware needed to file a proof of claim for future tort claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses based on prior class action award) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3423	TRO898799FTC	6/27/2016	Agnew, Tammy	Tammy Agnew	6838			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3424	TRO898800FTC	6/27/2016	Agnew, Jakarta	Jakarta Agnew	6839			X				September 2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3425	TRO898129FTC	6/20/2016	Orr, Christine	Christine Orr	6840		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says did not know about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3426	TRO880356FTC	12/4/2015	Rose, Patricia	Patricia Rose	6841		X	X				1969 diagnosis; was away from Columbus for 22 years; unaware of claim, filled out paperwork in 2014. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3427	TRO886995FTC	12/7/2015	Burr, Mary	Janet Williams	6842		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see any published reports of it; unaware until a town hall meeting. Says clients of the Tollison law firm received claim forms in 2011; unaware condition related to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3428	TRO905082FTC	3/1/2017	Young, Aaliyah	Aaliyah Young	6843	8146 8542	X	X			X	Diagnoses 2005, 2010-13; was not aware of the case, did not see published notices, called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim. A supplement filed at docket #8146 complaining that the trust grouped her with others filing identical excuses and says that the excuse is exactly what happened to her and "the reasons should be used by anyone as long as it is the truth." Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A further supplement filed with others at docket #8542 complaining of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3429	<b>TRO890247FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>McCleod, Lucinda</b>	<b>Lucinda McCleod</b>	6844		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1981, 1991, 2004; previously filed with 2002 Kerr-McGee Plant Class Action; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case or public notification or newspaper advertisement or social media. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3430	TRO885699FTC	11/25/2015	Hunter, Tony	Tony Hunter	6845		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1993, 2009, 2017; says was unaware of the Tronox lawsuit, would have filed if had known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3431	TRO891697FTC	2/23/2016	Gregory, Leeroy	Leeroy Gregory	6846		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001, Lundy & Davis LLP 2001; as a client was not contacted about the deadline. No indication that movant was part of an actually-pending lawsuit in 2009. Unclear if movant was represented by the listed law firms in 2009 but those firms received direct notice of the bar date by mail. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3432	TRO893851FTC	3/2/2016	Peoples, Adell	Samuel Peoples	6847		X	X		X		2004 and 2013 diagnoses; says grew up near "the plant," not clear which one; unaware that the dangerous Tronox products were in the air and the water; rep says did not know to file before 2009, filed when found out that Tronox was liable. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3433	TRO902308FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Charles	Charles Williams	6848			X		X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis; did not know about the claim at the time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3434	TRO896518FTC	4/25/2016	Johnson, Rosie	Rosie Johnson	6849		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom and Lundy; did not know could file a claim in the bankruptcy case; did not see any notices, eventually called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3435	TRO893850FTC	3/2/2016	Peoples, Samuel	Samuel Peoples	6850	8265	X	X				1951 diagnosis; says that he grew up near "the plant" but not clear which plant; unaware that the dangerous Tronox products were in the air and the water; did not know to file before 2009; filed when found out Tronox was liable. A supplement filed at docket #8265, unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3436	TRO884971FTC	11/25/2015	Hairston [Tate], Shirley	Shirley Hairston	6851		X	X				1996 diagnosis; explains why believes injured but has not offered a reason why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3437	TRO885116FTC	11/25/2015	Andrews, Tony	Tony Andrews	6852	9068	X	X		X		Says diagnoses were in 2006, 2010, 2012, 2014 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee creosote plant class action, the results of which are not disclosed; says was not aware of the future tort claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9068 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including defenses, if any, arising from terms of disposition of prior class action claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3438	TRO902442FTC	9/14/2016	Wright, Charles	Charles Wright	6853			X				2004 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know about the claim at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3439	TRO902164FTC	9/14/2016	Cook, Willie	Charlene Cook	6854		X	X				1953 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim is a violation of due process and ineffective but does not say why. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3440	TRO898972FTC	6/27/2016	Petty, Ashanti	Ashanti Petty	6855		X	X				1997 diagnosis; former Mississippi resident; unaware of deadline until after the bar date had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3441	TRO892375FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Gino	Gino Turner	6856		X	X				2002 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3442	TRO895974FTC	4/6/2016	Powers, Christopher	Christopher Powers	6857						X	2000 symptoms, 2007 diagnosis; minor; says exposure began 1994; did not know that his area was involved until recently; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3443	TRO898245FTC	6/20/2016	Wells, Edward	Vanessa Summerville	6858		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; contacted Wilbur Colom (date unknown) but was told not eligible for a claim; rep did not live in the area; rep did not know and had no reason to know they were exposed to toxins released by the company. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3444	TRO901073FTC	8/16/2016	Nash, Derrion	Derrion Nash	6859		X	X				2001 diagnosis; did not know and had no knowledge that he had been exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3445	TRO901072FTC	8/16/2016	Nash, Carol	Carol Nash	6860		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates not listed but conditions identified include one pre-bar date and four different conditions post-bar date; did not know and had no knowledge that she had been exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3446	TRO889590FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Linda	Linda Brown	6861			X		X		2010 diagnosis; says did not file before because she was not aware of the specific illness that would be acceptable; says she was out of town. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3447	TRO895951FTC	4/6/2016	Collins, Renaldo	Renaldo Collins	6862		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3448	TRO890217FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Alexander	Alexander Stewart	6863	9276		X		X		2013 diagnosis; unaware of claims process; unaware what was causing his conditions; rejection notice filed at docket # 5350. Supplemental letter filed at docket #9276 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3449	TRO887097FTC	12/14/2015	Collins, Remeicco	Remeicco Collins	6865		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3450	TRO888157FTC	12/18/2015	Hairston, Sarah	Sarah Hairston	6866		X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach 2001-2003; she visited office many times and atty told her he had still not heard. Then he said they were trying to negotiate; then his office was closed for some time; secretary would take phone number but there was no call; atty ineffective in handling claim. Unclear what proceeding she participated in but there is no record of a filed bankruptcy claim in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Attorney Bambach also received direct notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3451	TRO887220FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Betty	Betty Jones	6867			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3452	TRO887165FTC	12/14/2015	Miller, Carolyn	Carolyn Miller	6868			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3453	TRO889574FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Quentin	Quentin Stewart	6869		X	X				1978 diagnosis; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3454	TRO887941FTC	12/18/2015	Jones, Dontavius	Dontavius Jones	6870			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3455	TRO912585FTC	5/30/2017	Ungureit, Dana	Dana Ungureit	6871			X		X		Various diagnoses, some before bar date and some after; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), motion denied as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3456	TRO887216FTC	12/14/2015	Stephens, Teresa	Teresa Stephens	6872			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3457	TRO914752FTC	7/26/2017	Harris, Tommie	Tommie Harris	6873	8240	X	X				1999 diagnosis; retained the Tollison law firm, unclear when; does not explain reasons for missing bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8240, additional medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3458	TRO894376FTC	3/2/2016	Phillips, Columbus	Columbus Phillips	6874		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3459	TRO894178FTC	3/2/2016	Tillery, Charles	Charles Tillery	6875	8106	X	X		X		Diagnosis dates unclear; says lack of knowledge about the bankruptcy claim. A supplement filed at docket #8106 with medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3460	TRO880506FTC	12/4/2015	Bradford, Tracy	Mary Bradford	6876		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; unaware could file a claim for a deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3461	TRO890402FTC	2/23/2016	Bradford, Valentino	Valentino Bradford	6877		X	X				1987 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; unaware claim could be filed; incorrect information was distributed by the attorney involved in this claim who stated that you had to have lived in Columbus prior to 2003 to be eligible (this is apparently information said in connection with the prior class action on behalf of residents, for which residency at a particular time was a requirement). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3462	TRO904754FTC	1/24/2017	Taylor, Felecia	Felecia-Mary Ann Taylor-Burgin	6878			X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; not clear where exposure and injury occurred; rep unaware could file for her daughter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3463	TRO893066FTC	2/23/2016	Hood, Miracle	Ramona Sanders	6879		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not have knowledge of any public notice via newspaper or other media. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3464	TRO896688FTC	4/25/2016	Bradford, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Bradford	6880		X	X				1989 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says claim was filed (unclear in what proceeding) but was told by attorney that paperwork could not be located. By then, the deadline had passed. Also told that because he did not live in Columbus, MS, it would be a waste of time to file after the claim expiration date; does not say who gave that advice, but reference to residency suggests these conversations may have related to prior class action. In any event, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3465	TRO901080FTC	8/16/2016	Phizer, Tiffany	Tiffany Phizer	6881			X			X	Unaware of the claims process; unclear if claims a post-bar date diagnosis, says symptoms 2010 but diagnosis was in February 2009, says supporting records on file with the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3466	TRO887066FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Anita	Courtney Smith	6882	6883	X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of the claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3467	TRO888844FTC	12/30/2015	Scott, Tracy	Tracy Scott	6884			X				2007 diagnosis; says was unaware that attorneys were taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3468	TRO899295FTC	7/11/2016	Hairston, Clara	Clara Hairston	6885	8372	X	X				1958 diagnosis; says previously filed a claim in 2002 with her two sisters with attorneys in West Point, MS; one sister received a payment. Clara and the other sister were allotted \$500 each and were told by the agent not to accept it because if they did they "would not be in future court." A supplement filed at docket #8372, consulted a representative in 2002. Reference to 2002 proceeding must be to a prior class action in Mississippi. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding and was not part of a still-pending proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel in all pending cases received direct notice of the bar date.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3469	TRO888548FTC	12/24/2015	Smith, Penny	Penny Smith	6886			X			X	2010 diagnosis; says certain groups in certain churches retained information and only false information was distributed saying that only those from the plant were eligible to file a claim. She says that she now knows that class A settlement is for the citizens of Columbus. Says unaware of the process or that could file a claim for herself, discharge of claim a violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3470	TRO884697FTC	11/25/2015	Collins, Shirley	Shirley Collins	6887		X	x				Various diagnoses, all before 2002; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says was not aware she could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3471	TRO893295FTC	2/23/2016	Dumas, Lenora [Johnson]	Lenora Dumas Johnson	6888		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, all before 2000 except one (rash) in 2011; was not aware of the filing; was not aware of the case in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on diagnoses in 2000 and earlier also were barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3472	TRO892767FTC	2/23/2016	Poindexter, Jermarco	Jermarco Poindexter	6889			X				2007 diagnosis; says was unaware the attorneys were taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3473	TRO889319FTC	2/23/2016	Hendricks, Andreka	Andreka Hendricks	6890			X			X		The Trust incorrectly identified the motion at docket # 6890 as relating to the claim of Johnnie Hendricks; that motion, filed by Johnnie Hendricks, actually is at docket #7327 with a signature page at docket #6928. The motion at docket #6890 is for Andreka Hendricks. Andreka Hendricks says she was diagnosed in 2010, was a minor in 2009; standard cut-and-pasted explanations as to why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3474	TRO880714FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins, Harold	Harold Hawkins	6891		X	X			X		Alleges a December 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; TRO claim number on pre-printed form is TRO880714FTC. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3475	TRO898248FTC	6/20/2016	Summerville, Daisy	Vanessa Summerville	6892		X	X			X		Diagnoses in 2000 (cardiovascular) and 2016 (respiratory); the injured party died in 2017; rep says that they did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; the injured party was incompetent with a 4th grade education and had no understanding of the legal process or the cause of her condition; rep says that the most severe aspects of her condition were diagnosed in 2016. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3476	TRO898246FTC	6/20/2016	Summerville, Julia	Julia Summerville	6893		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; previously filed with Colom law firm; he mailed her a denial letter, said he was out of money (must be referring to class action settlement); says a 1998 stroke affected her mentally; medications caused memory problems; no reason to suspect that Kerr McGee was causing her condition. Says 9th grade education and does not understand legal things; unaware of claims filing deadline. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3477	TRO886859FTC	12/7/2015	Brewer, Willie	Willie Brewer	6894		X	X				Diagnoses in 2000 and 2006; unaware of tort claims deadline; although deadline was in 2009, says public was not made aware of it until 2011; complains that parties who lived outside of the affected area and out of state received allowance and they did not reside in area or have exposure to the chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3478	TRO884647FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Shavone	Shavone Coleman	6895		X	X				Diagnoses in 1984, 1990, 1999; was not aware of what to do concerning claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3479	TRO892848FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Lizzie [Hairston]	Lizzie Hairston-martin	6896		X	X				Diagnoses 1960, 1979, 1990, 1993; was not aware of filing a claim and was not informed to file a claim before bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3480	TRO889008FTC	12/30/2015	Harris, Muwango	Harris, Muwango	6897			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3481	TRO895878FTC	3/24/2016	Younger, Lisa	Lisa Younger	6898			X				Various conditions alleged dating to 1980s, no diagnosis dates, says did not know about the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3482	TRO892934FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Anthony	Anthony Morris	6899		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; says was unaware of the filing; unaware of case in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3483	TRO888293FTC	12/18/2015	Norton, Alfonso	Alfonso Norton	6900		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case and the bar date; not aware and had no knowledge of any publication via television or other media; says there is no suggestion that he knew or should have known that he was a potential creditor in the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not have any notice from Tronox; says that after he learned he researched and acted within a reasonable time. Says the notice was inadequate; does not get any newspapers in which notice was published; says did not read or see any ad or notice on social media about chapter 11 case; says that the tort claimants could not have known or appreciated at the time of the bar date, the significance the injuries suffered from exposure and how it could manifest in different ways over time, in physical and emotional injuries; proper, timely, adequate and sufficient notice was not given. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3484	TRO892933FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Antquawn	Antquawn Morris	6901		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; unaware of the filing; not aware of case in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3485	TRO884518FTC	11/25/2015	Glenn, Aaron	Aaron Glenn	6902		X	X				Diagnoses 1975, 1998, 2002; says was unaware and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product prior to bar date; did not hear any announcements on radio, television, newspapers or other communications about Tronox claim or filing a proof of claim in bankruptcy case while on the road as an over the road trucker. Unfamiliar with the name of the company as he knew it as the Moss Tie Company and did not know it had changed its name. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3486	TRO893292FTC	2/23/2016	Dumas, Walter	Lenora Dumas	6903		X	X				Various diagnoses 1980 and earlier; the injured party died in 1980; rep unaware of claim filing; not aware of case in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3487	TRO885734FTC	11/25/2015	Hinton, Komawi	Komawi Hinton	6904		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of a claim; did not know and had no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3488	TRO892655FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Stanley	Stanley Erby	6905		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3489	TRO892656FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Sandra	Stanley Erby	6906		X	X			X	The injured party died in 2012; rep says diagnosis in 2011, but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3490	TRO901056FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Trina	Trina Smith	6907			X			X	Various diagnoses; some 8/10/09, rest after the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3491	TRO898851FTC	6/27/2016	Scott, Ozella	Dorothy Payne	6908		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; rep says did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3492	TRO885549FTC	11/25/2015	Liddell, James	James Liddell	6909			X			X	2010 diagnosis; says uncertain of dates of exposure and believes it was after bar date; says that at the time application was filled out, he was not properly notified of future tort claim, he was out of town working. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3493	TRO901547FTC	8/16/2016	Kye, Lynnita	Lynnita Kye	6910							X	1993 diagnosis; minor, with no reason to be knowledgeable of the case or deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3494	TRO884770FTC	11/25/2015	Andrews, Mattie	Mattie Andrews	6911		X	X				X	Alleges first diagnosis in September 2009 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; says was not aware of the claim in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim based on pre-2006 diagnoses was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3495	TRO880015FTC	12/4/2015	Billups, Shirley	Shirley Billups	6912		X	X					1979 and 1985 diagnoses; did not know that people were filing claims; does not read Wall Street Journal; was not informed to file a claim before the bar date; she moved away from area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3496	TRO880013FTC	12/4/2015	Billups, Jim	Shirley Billups	6913		X	X					1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; rep says did not know that people were filing claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3497	TRO880016FTC	12/4/2015	Billups, Willie	Shirley Billups	6914		X	X					1970s and 1992 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1992; rep says did not know that people were filing claims, they were not told about the filing of claims, she does not read the Wall Street Journal. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3498	TRO895562FTC	3/24/2016	Pratt, Curtis	Janet Marie Pratt Smith	6915		X	X			X	Submitted many medical records but cannot tell from them what the dates of initial diagnoses were as opposed to the dates of doctor visits and treatments. Diagnosis dates listed as "1997-2006 until his death Nov/11/2015;" says injured party suffered from mental illness, schizophrenia; rep says she tried signing with a lawyer at an unspecified date but he said he was not taking any more claims. Representative does not explain why representative did not file a timely claim and why waited so long after the bar date before doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3499	TRO901366FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Janet Marie Pratt	Janet Marie Pratt Smith	6916		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 1990, 2009, 2017; says she tried to sign up with a lawyer but he was not taking claims. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3500	TRO901461FTC	8/16/2016	Gabriel, Linda Edmond	Linda Edmond Gabriel	6917		X	X			X	Says she was getting erroneous information on filing date and exactly what information she needed to submit to the Trust; she can't afford a lawyer and the entire process of filling out paperwork is confusing; says one condition 1985, others all after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3501	TRO890765FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Andrew	Andrew Brown	6918		X	X				Diagnoses 1968 (ulcer), 1970 (asthma), 2008 (cancer); former Columbus, MS resident who moved to California in 1965; did not receive any information concerning a lawsuit against Tronox; unaware of the danger posed by Tronox product; the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3502	TRO903616FTC	11/23/2016	Karsko, John	Janet Romanko	6919			X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; as a lay person with no legal background, she claims ignorance for her untimely filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3503	TRO903615FTC	11/23/2016	Karsko, Concetta	Janet Romanko	6920			X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; as a lay person with no legal background, she claims ignorance for her untimely filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3504	TRO894911FTC	3/23/2016	Smith, Theresa	Theresa Smith	6921		X	X			X	Diagnoses of various conditions, all initial diagnoses pre-dated 1999 except for cancer diagnoses in 2010 and 2011; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost; says not aware of bankruptcy case in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3505	TRO902790FTC	8/16/2016	Halbert, Bernice	Bernice Halbert	6922			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3506	TRO894861FTC	3/23/2016	Peterson, Margaraida	Margaraida Peterson	6923		X	X				1995 and 2002 diagnoses; says was never asked for any proof. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3507	TRO887006FTC	12/14/2015	Mikolaczyk, John	Dale Mikolaczyk & Andrew Lentowski, Esq.	6924			X				1976 diagnosis; worked "out of town" in New York City from 1980-2010, only returned on occasional weekends and was unaware of any such class action lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3508	TRO887081FTC	12/14/2015	Shepherd, Bessie	Bessie Shepherd	6925	8117		X			X	2010 diagnosis; says that at time of filing was not properly notified of filing claim and was taking care of ill relative in another town. A supplement filed at docket #8117, says has been on medication since 2008 and her medication caused her to put the wrong dates on the first claim form. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3509	TRO894850FTC	3/23/2016	Brown, Vanessa	Brown, Vanessa	6926		X	X				1992 diagnosis; says that she filed a claim in 2008 and never received any continuing information; says relative who filed claims received information and she did not. Any claim filed in 2008 had to be in connection with a different proceeding as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. No record of a claim filed in the bankruptcy case. Notice of the bar date was sent to all litigants with claims pending in 2009 or to their attorneys, as verified by the proofs of service on file with the court. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1992 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3510	TRO901946FTC	9/14/2016	Sherman, Dorothy	Dorothy Sherman	6927			X		X		Merely signature form, but alleges a cancer diagnosis in 2017. No information to support relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3511	<b>TRO905300FTC</b>	<b>3/1/2017</b>	<b>Hendricks, Johnnie</b>	<b>Danielle Crowder Hendricks</b>	6928	7327		X				The trust incorrectly identified the motion at docket # 6890 as relating to Johnnie Hendricks but the motion at docket #6890 was for Andrecka Hendricks. The motion for Johnnie Hendricks was filed at docket # 7327 with the signature page filed at docket # 6928. As to Johnnie Hendricks: motion alleges a 2008 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; the rep says first found out about the process in February 2016, does not provide any other explanation for not filing or not pursuing action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3512	TRO889277FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Susie	Hattie Avent	6930		X	X				Diagnoses in 2001; did not know and no knowledge that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3513	TRO900286FTC	7/25/2016	Halbert, Mary	Mary Halbert	6931		X	X				1990 and 2007 diagnoses; unaware of this until close to deadline but the application should have reached office before deadline (she may be referring to filing with the Trust). No explanation of long delay before making claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3514	TRO901170FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Betty	Betty Williams	6932			X		X		Alleges September 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief as to any pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3515	TRO897225FTC	5/4/2016	Wilson, Sheddrod	Sheddrod Wilson	6933			X				2007 diagnosis; says that at the time, he really did not know what to do or what was really going on. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3516	TRO893677FTC	3/2/2016	Sparks, Ethel	Ethel Sparks	6934			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010, unclear if new diagnoses or continuing conditions. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3517	TRO890480FTC	2/23/2016	Dodd, Lawrence	Lawrence Dodd	6935			X		X		2011 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3518	TRO894097FTC	3/2/2016	McMath, Jacqueline	Jacqueline McMath	6936			X			X	2009 diagnosis; says did not know about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3519	TRO896695FTC	4/25/2016	Zabielski, Charles	Charles Zabielski	6937			X			X	Various conditions, diagnosis dates for most serious conditions in 2005, unclear if any new diagnoses after bar date; visited Avoca, PA area and was exposed there; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says was not a permanent resident of the area; was a part-time resident and frequent visitor to area and was unaware of the claims filing deadline; lived in New Jersey. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice of the bar date also was published in The Jersey Journal in Secaucus, NJ (23 miles from Ho-Ho-Kus) and in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3520	TRO891985FTC	2/23/2016	Weatherspoon, Justice	Justice Weatherspoon	6938			X			X	Diagnoses in 2008, 2011, 2012; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3521	TRO891004FTC	2/23/2016	Ford-Jethrow, Tashonda	Tashonda Ford-Jethrow	6939		X	X				1985 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, says Colom firm did not pay him fairly (apparently referring to prior class action recovery); says was not aware of the claim or how to properly handle the claim. Says Colom firm did not inform her of further proceedings. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Unclear if Colom firm represented claimant in 2009, but records show the Colom firm received notice of the bar date as representative of participants in prior class action. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3522	TRO891013FTC	2/23/2016	Ford, Henry	Mittie Ford	6940		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; previously filed with the Colom law firm but was not paid fairly; unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3523	TRO892634FTC	2/23/2016	Shields, Brandon	Brandon Shields	6941			X		X		2013 diagnosis; was unaware of the litigation in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3524	TRO891989FTC	2/23/2016	Weatherspoon, Jr., Johnny	Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr.	6942		X	X		X		The motion at docket #6942 is for Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr.; a separate motion at docket #7116 is for Johnny Weatherspoon, Sr. Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr. is a former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says that he previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was unaware he could file a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3525	TRO896942FTC	4/25/2016	Cummings, Robert	Sharon Kearney	6943			X				2008 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says publication notice was not provided to area claimants. Notice of the bar date was published in the Scranton Times (4.1 miles from Taylor, PA) in June 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3526	TRO891663FTC	2/23/2016	Flimmons, Della	Della Flimmons	6944		X	X		X		Claim says she and her husband (deceased 1992) sent in claims through the church but she only received the determination notice for herself, is apparently referring to late-filed claims. Her own diagnoses are not clear, says problems began 1983. Husband's claim was time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. As to claimant's own claim: Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3527	TRO902856FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Peter	Peter Fenton	6945			X				1962 and 2002 diagnoses; place of exposure not clear; says was not knowledgeable about the claim and did not know he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3528	TRO880769FTC	12/4/2015	Guyton, Mary	Orko Guyton	6946	8085	X	X				1993 and 1995 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1997. Rep says had no knowledge of exposure to Tronox product at that time; unaware of claim option or way to complete paperwork. A supplement filed at docket #8085; rep says did not have knowledge of the lawsuit or bar date; trying to contact company since 2001; refers to a NY law that allows victims to file lawsuits within reasonable time upon discovery; complains that victims get \$1,000 payments while attys get 50% in "administrative fees." Claim is governed by MS law, not NY law. Claim was time-barred under MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3529	TRO903707FTC	11/23/2016	McNairy, Lou	Maezell Coleman	6947		X	X				Diagnosis approximately 1995; the injured party (MS resident) died in 2005; rep did not know about the lawsuit or the history of Tronox tort claim trust. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3530	TRO915171FTC	7/26/2017	Assaf, Freda	MariAnne Assaf-Vieira	6948			X				Diagnoses 1966-67; the injured party died in 1975; rep says were not aware of exposure to any toxin or product of any kind; did not receive documentation regarding tort filing deadline due to relocation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3531	TRO900707FTC	8/16/2016	Horton, Mary	Mary Horton	6949		X	X			X	Says was diagnosed after the deadline; one listed condition since 1970, other conditions alleged to have onsets after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3532	TRO894808FTC	3/23/2016	Harris, Jakki	Jakki Harris	6950		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear, says "in twenties"; was not aware of filing a claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3533	TRO891605FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Bryant	Bryant Thompson	6951		X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3534	TRO891604FTC	2/23/2016	Ervin, Terrell	Terrell Ervin	6952		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 2007, 2008; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3535	TRO885138FTC	Unknown	Sullivan, Kandace	Kandace P. Sullivan	6953		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; no excuse provided; says a claim was filed and rejected, not clear if referring to a prior class action claim or to a late-filed claim with the trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3536	TRO891867FTC	12/4/2015	Richardson, Annie	Annie Richardson	6954		X	X				The motion filed at docket #6954 for Annie Richardson refers to a different TRO number [TRO891867FTC] than the one listed by the Trust in its summary. First diagnosis in 1972; says previously filed a claim prior to bar date with Sims & Sims "before August 12, 2009" and says her lawyer, Bambach, died in 2013. Mr. Bambach received direct notice of the bar date in 2009. No record of any claim filed for Ms. Richardson. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3537	TRO892900FTC	2/23/2016	Farmer, Essie	Essie Farmer	6955	9135		X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9135 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3538	TRO904121FTC	1/3/2017	Morris, Anthony	Anthony Morris, Jr.	6956			X			x	2010 diagnosis; minor at time of bar date; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3539	TRO914740FTC	7/26/2017	Young, Martha	Martha Young	6957			X			X	2013 diagnosis; standard language as to reasons why did not file by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3540	TRO891719FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Melinda	Melinda Sherrod Selvie	6958	8224	X	X			X	Says symptoms began 1986, diagnoses listed are all from 2010-2015; says was unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. A supplement filed at docket #8224, unaware of the health issues that would result from living in the contaminated area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3541	TRO898292FTC	6/20/2016	Jones, Willie	Courtney Smith	6959			X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party deceased prior to bar date; rep did not receive any notice regarding a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3542	TRO892914FTC	2/23/2016	Maxwell, Pravi	Pravi Maxwell	6960						X	Diagnosis in 1994 or 1996, as child; relocated to another county and was not aware of the claim. Age at time of bar date unclear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3543	TRO902241FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Amberlexis	Amberlexis Smith	6962						X	Diagnosis in 1997 or 1998, as child; relocated to another county and was not aware of the claim. Age at time of bar date unclear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3544	TRO892993FTC	2/23/2016	Howell, Eddie	Eddie Howell	6963	8456	X	X			X	Says 2010 first diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Harold Barkley as part of 1998 Kerr-McGee Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trust listed claim number as TRO902465, but a duplicate motion with that claim number is filed at docket #8456. Appears to be the same claimant at the same address. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 and not already resolved in prior action also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3545	TRO892904FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Corric	Corrie Morris	6964		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some 2000 and earlier, others after bar date; unaware of filing; was not aware of case in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3546	TRO898039FTC	6/20/2016	Peterson, Alice	Alice Peterson	6965			X				1963 diagnosis; says she was ill in 2009 and was back and forth to doctor appointments. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3547	TRO896046FTC	4/6/2016	Gordon, Shelbie	Shelbie Gordon	6966			X			X	2009 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not have knowledge of the ongoing case; did not receive direct notice nor notice through media; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3548	TRO884185FTC	11/25/2015	Connally, Christopher	Christopher Connally	6967		X	X				1997-1998 diagnoses; did not know and no reason to know about the deadline; says discharge of claim a violation of due process but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3549	TRO887164FTC	12/14/2015	Walker, Uvodkia	Uvodkia Walker	6969		X	X				1994 diagnosis; says she was "unaware of the re-evaluating of pending claims;" says did not see any type of publication about the Tronox bankruptcy cases being reevaluated or of the deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3550	TRO895014 FTC	3/23/2016	Love, Angela	Angela Love	6970		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1998; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any of the publication notice regarding deadline nor did she learn of it from other media. She did later see the notice from the Trust concerning the future tort claims and the application. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on diagnoses before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3551	N/a	11/28/2017	Stevenson, Ethel	Ethel Stevenson	6971			X		X		Unclear if filed a claim. Motion alleges pre-bar date exposures but says that conditions did not manifest and were not diagnosed until after bar date; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; was not aware of any publication published in her area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3552	N/a	#N/A	Tate, Essie	Essie Tate	6972			X			X	Unclear if filed a claim. Motion alleges pre-bar date exposures but that conditions did not manifest and were not diagnosed until after bar date; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; was not aware of any publication published in her area. Alleges disability finding in 1994 but also says not diagnosed until after bar date. No specific pre-bar date diagnosis is identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3553	N/a	N/A	Gibson, Mildred	Mildred Gibson	6973			X			X	Unclear if filed a claim. Motion alleges pre-bar date exposures but that conditions did not manifest and were not diagnosed until after bar date; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; was not aware of any publication published in her area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3554	<b>TRO892100FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Tate, Anderson III</b>	<b>Anderson Tate III</b>	6975	8245		X				The motion at docket #6975 for Anderson Tate III [TRO892100FTC], and he filed it himself. The motion for Anderson Tate [TRO898721FTC] is at docket #5735. The excuse for Alexander Tate III is that he was away from college during the 2009 claims period. A supplement filed at docket #8245, says unaware of the bar date, was away at school from 2006-2010 and unaware could file a claim. No explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Says exposure began 7/8/88 so was at least 21 years old as of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3555	TRO895899FTC	4/6/2016	Guyton, Laurae Ross	Laurae Ross Guyton	6976		X	X				2001 diagnosis; was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any published notices; says had stress going on because of death of relative but does not provide dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3556	TRO894746FTC	3/23/2016	Thomas, Twanda	Twanda Thomas	6977		X	X				The motion at docket # 6977 is for Twanda Thomas; a motion on behalf of Twanda Walker is at docket # 7054. Twanda Thomas claims a 2000 diagnosis. There is a medical record attached that references a surgery during the month of the bar date; says she was sole caregiver for parent who was diagnosed with serious illness and she was traveling out of state to assist with her charges and treatments and was focusing on that care therefore was not aware of deadline; not aware of articles in Wall Street Journal or any other publication; says violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Illness and surgery in August 2009 may explain why claim not filed then but no explanation for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3557	TRO902286FTC	9/14/2016	Tate, Sophonia	Sophonia Tate	6978		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of the claim, nor was she made aware of the claim or of a deadline to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3558	TRO902580FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, John	John Brown	6979		X	X				1971 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did file a claim but it appears referencing the late claim filed with the trust. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3559	TRO900991FTC	8/16/2016	Wells, Detrick	Detrick Wells	6980		X	X				Diagnosis 2001-2003; did not have prior knowledge of a claim, nor was he made aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3560	TRO898135FTC	6/20/2016	Lavender, Quincy	Nitiya Barry	6981		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2003 and 2011; rep unaware could file a claim; was not notified via mail, newspaper, letter or other media concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3561	TRO903682FTC	11/23/2016	McMillian, Aleshia	Aleshia McMillian	6982		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of the claim, nor was she made aware of the claim or of a deadline to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3562	TRO890422FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Christine	Melody Petty	6983		X	X				1972 and 1983 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1988; rep unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3563	TRO887527FTC	Unknown	Malone, Patricia	Patricia Malone	6984		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Two diagnoses in 2012, rest 1995 and earlier. Says unaware of claims process; complains that the process was tainted because payments were made to claimants who were not ill, had no serious medical problems and did not live in the primary area; this shows incompetence or greed, failure to use available technology or bias in claims determination. Allowance of claims was done by the Trust, Court cannot comment on allegations of errors in prior claim allowances. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3564	TRO888451FTC	12/18/2015	Willis, Bernice	Bernice Willis	6985			X				2007 diagnosis; says tried to file a claim with the Colom law firm in 2007 but it was not taking claims any longer; says was taking care of sick relative but does not provide dates of that care and does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. Contact with Colom firm shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3565	TRO898134FTC	6/20/2016	Barry, Nitirah	Nitiya Barry	6986		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2001, 2008, 2011; rep says unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3566	TRO901990FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Willie	Willie Williams	6987			X		X		2010 diagnosis; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; medical records not attached. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3567	TRO884871FTC	11/25/2015	Nottage, Janice	Janice Nottage	6988	8264	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8264, complaining that the claims of people that did not live in the area were allowed while the trust rejected claims of residents. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3568	TRO887022FTC	12/14/2015	Barry, Richard	Melody Petty	6989		X	X				Diagnoses 1974, 1980, 2000, 2005; the injured party died in 2007; rep says unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3569	TRO897628FTC	5/25/2016	Seitzinger, Krista	Krista Seitzinger	6990			X				Various diagnoses before the bar date; unaware of the Tronox tort claims trust, the bankruptcy or her right to file a claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product notwithstanding the fact that she lived within close proximity to the Tronox plant. She never heard of or knew of products that Tronox may have used that were dangerous, toxic and harmful to her health. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3570	<b>TRO900309FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Lindsey, Irine</b>	<b>Irine Lindsey</b>	6991			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis date unknown; no reason provided as to why missed the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3571	TRO903289FTC	10/27/2016	Bell, Jacoby	Jacoby Bell	6993	8288	X	X		X		Unaware of deadline; did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a denial of due process; does not provide date of first diagnosis and only provides recent medical records. A supplement filed at docket #8288, says did not know or hear anything about filing a claim with Tronox in 2012, first learned of it in 2017 with future tort claim. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3572	TRO897765FTC	5/25/2016	Latham, La'Jermaine	Felicia Hudson	6994		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of filing a claim against Tronox; did not receive notice that the products were causing people to be sick until saw it on the news and then filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3573	TRO887465FTC	12/14/2015	Burr, Arthur	Nancy Burr McCollum	6995		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; motion alleges incompetence, incapacitation at time of bar date, unclear whose; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3574	TRO898659FTC	6/27/2016	Mack, Lucille	Lucille Mack	6996		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says no knowledge that a claim existed against Tronox, nor was he made aware that there was a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3575	TRO903684FTC	11/23/2016	Edwards, Samuel	Samuel Edwards	6997		X	X				2002 and 2003 diagnoses; no knowledge of claim since moved to another city; was not made aware about his claim or of a deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3576	TRO901085FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Courtney	Courtney Jones	6998	8210						X	2002 and 2005 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; minor at time of bar date; says a representative (not know who) previously filed claim with Colom firm; unaware exposed to a Tronox product until after the deadline. She was informed that the law firm had filed a claim on her behalf. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8210, says the lawyer failed to give the bar date. No record of any bankruptcy claim filed on her behalf. Not clear if Colom firm represented her in 2009 but the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Given allegation that was a minor, will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3577	TRO898755FTC	6/27/2016	Lowery, Jerry	Jerry Lowery	6999	8244	X	X					Diagnoses 2004 and earlier. Says was not aware could file a claim, lived in another state. A supplement filed at docket #8244, says he is totally mentally and physically disabled, lived in another state during relevant period. Details are not clear, but may have been in the armed forces in 2005, cannot tell dates. Will presume was not in military in absence of records and an allegation to that effect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3578	TRO889386FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Nitiya	Nitiya Barry	7000		X	X					1981 diagnoses; unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media concerning matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3579	TRO898846FTC	6/27/2016	Hintze, Susan	Susan Hintze	7001								2007 diagnosis; in 2008 she was dealing with her spouse's serious illness that resulted in death in Oct 2008 and then dealing with her own serious illness thereafter. However, no explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3580	TRO891447FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Theodoric	Theodoric Cockrell	7002		X	X				Diagnoses 1948, 1960, 1976 and 1978; unaware of the filing date; former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3581	TRO893401FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Vanessa	Vanessa Brewer	7003		X	X			X	1991 and 2015 diagnoses; unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3582	TRO881048FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, Dolores	Dolores Dale	7004			X			X	Says had issues that manifested before the bar date and other issues that manifested afterwards; unaware of claim filing deadline; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; she does not read Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3583	TRO884975FTC	11/25/2015	Colvin, Pamela	Pamela Colvin	7005		X	X				1990s diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of any ongoing claims against Tronox; previously informed that anyone represented by the Colom law firm was ineligible to file a claim but has found that this statement is not true. She says that she later found out that any additional funds from any sources concerning the Tronox case would be distributed to early filers. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3584	TRO903084FTC	10/13/2016	Hannah, Eddie	I. Jean Lee	7006	8150	X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party is a former resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2007; rep unaware of opportunity to file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case; unaware of legal publications regarding filing a claim; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8150; does not subscribe to WSJ; requests a list of the 35 other publications in which they noticed the bar date (the list is included in the accompanying opinion); did not receive mailed notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3585	TRO896391FTC	4/13/2016	Collins, Ricardo	Ricardo Collins	7007		X	X				1990 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3586	TRO892145FTC	2/23/2016	Ellis, Kerrold	Kerrold Ellis	7008			X		X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3587	TRO881049FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, William	William Dale	7009			X			X		1982 diagnosis but also alleges that some issues "manifested" after the bar date, unclear if means new conditions and new diagnoses occurred or if prior conditions continued; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; unaware of deadline to file; does not read the Wall Street Journal. Claims are not future tort claims just because the conditions continued after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3588	TRO898722FTC	6/27/2016	Webbs, Frank	Regina Williams	7010			X					2006 heart attack; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2006; rep was not aware of any Tronox bankruptcy filing or that a claim had to be filed with bankruptcy court. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3589	TRO892668FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Khirey	Khirey Erby	7011		X	X			X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3590	TRO895929FTC	4/6/2016	Johnson, Charlie	Unknown	7012			X					1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3591	TRO896049FTC	4/6/2016	Harris, Dianne	Dianne Harris	7013		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2009 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3592	TRO893645FTC	3/2/2016	Butler, Kelly	Kelly Butler	7014			X				Diagnosed at age 4 in 1992; says exposure began 12/27/87 so had to be at least 21 years old at the bar date in 2009; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3593	TRO892657FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Kierra	Kierra Erby	7016						X	Alleges December 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action, outcome unknown; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges was a minor, so will permit supplemental submission (a) to verify age as of the bar date, (b) to explain whether claim was resolved in prior class action, and (c) if claim was not resolved, to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3594	TRO894828FTC	3/23/2016	Erby, Kobi	Kobi Erby	7017						X	2010 diagnosis; minor; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but includes a hospital admission record for an earlier date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3595	TRO892156FTC	2/23/2016	Moody, Richard	Patricia Sykes	7018			X			X	Alleges various post-bar date diagnoses; says was unaware of the information or possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries; does not assert any health issues that would have prevented filing but includes records for many hospital visits in 2009, including on 8/3/2009 near bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3596	TRO894511FTC	3/23/2016	Wieder, Pattie	Pattie Wilder	7019	8932		X			X	Various diagnoses 2006-2009, dates of 2009 diagnoses not clear; no excuse provided. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8932 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3597	TRO905833FTC	4/24/2017	Snell, Virgie	Malcom Snell	7020			X			X	2010 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says neither injured party nor he knew at the time that they could file a claim in the lawsuit or receive money for her injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3598	TRO891366FTC	2/23/2016	DeLoach, Jannie	Jannie DeLoach	7021			X			X	Says symptoms 1974 and 1990 but various diagnosis dates listed are all after the bar date; says was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3599	TRO888535FTC	12/24/2015	Salter, Leatha	Leatha Salter	7022						X	1994 and 2004 diagnoses; minor at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3600	TRO892167FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Treaslene	Treaslene Williams	7023		X	X			X	Various conditions and diagnoses listed, some in 1980s, kidney issues in 2009 and 2012; thought was really too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3601	TRO890279FTC	2/23/2016	Chapman, Charles	Charles Chapman	7024			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3602	TRO880694FTC	12/4/2015	Webber, Abby	Abby Webber	7025		X	X				Diagnoses in 1983, 2003, 2005; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to a Tronox product or the dangers they presented; says violation of due process. Says did not know creosote caused her illness until 2014. Statute of limitations in MS runs from date of diagnosed injury regardless of whether claimant knows the cause of the injury. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3603	TRO898300FTC	6/20/2016	Jones, Gladys	Courtney Smith	7026		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says did not receive notice; the injured party was battling health issues and did not know she could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3604	TRO892851FTC	2/23/2016	Horton, Leon	Leon Horton	7028		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 1992, was offered a small amount but refused it; says has multiple medical problems. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3605	TRO888320FTC	12/18/2015	Sunivelle, Katrina	Katrina Sunivelle	7029			X		X		Did not fully understand the claims process; says symptoms and diagnosis 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3606	TRO894001FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Christeen	Christeen Johnson	7030		X	X				1982 diagnosis; previously filed with attorneys Colom and Lundy, outcome unknown; standard language as to reasons did not file by bar date. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3607	TRO895694FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Annie	Annie Brown	7031		X	X				2005 diagnoses; cites to portions of Trust form (examples of grounds for excusable neglect) alleging that form of notice was deficient on its face, but does not identify any deficiencies; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3608	TRO890274FTC	2/23/2016	Dyson, Areon	Areon Dyson	7032			X		X		Says symptoms began 2010, diagnosis "N/A"; says not physically able to file a claim in 2009 because of personal family matters and his sickness caused by his exposure to creosote - allergies and respiratory problems. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3609	TRO892095FTC	2/23/2016	Murray, Daisy	Daisy Murray	7033		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm and the Lundy & Davis firm in 2001 class action; did not know that there was a bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3610	TRO893329FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Juquaires	Juquaires Morris	7034		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1994, 2000, 2007, 2009, 2010; says was not aware of the case or filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3611	TRO891111FTC	2/23/2016	Norton, Daphne	Daphne Norton	7035		X	X				1970 diagnosis; not aware and no knowledge of any publication via TV or social media; did not have any notice from Tronox; says publication notice was inadequate; did not read any of the publications. Says that no claimant could have known or appreciated at the bar date the significance of the injuries suffered and how they would manifest in different ways over time and all of the losses and expenses that would ensue. However, in MS the statute of limitations runs from the date of diagnosis, regardless of whether the injured party knows the cause of the injury. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3612	TRO893607FTC	Unknown	Henley, Undre	Undre Henley	7036			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 diagnosis; unaware of deadline date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3613	TRO897290FTC	5/4/2016	Summerville, Larry	Larry Summerville	7037			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3614	TRO889886FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Urias	Urias Harris	7038			X				Former resident of Columbus, MS; diagnosis date unclear but movant, September 2017, says it was about 10 years earlier (or in 2007); says injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; mistake, inadvertence; did not know required to file; did not get notice. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3615	TRO901287FTC	8/16/2016	Hughes, Robert	Robert Hughes	7039		X	X				Diagnosis date "1999 through 2008;" did not have knowledge of the ongoing case; did not receive a letter or phone call. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3616	TRO914781FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Annie	Annie Tate	7040		X	X			X	Some conditions and diagnoses long before bar date (at a time when the MS statute of limitations would bar claims) but unclear if any conditions were first diagnosed at later times; says did not receive call or letter; did not learn from TV or radio concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3617	TRO900871FTC	8/16/2016	Robinson, Sandra	Sandra Robinson	7041		X	X				1975 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of the ongoing case; did not receive a letter or phone call nor did she learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3618	TRO893549FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Jacqualine	Jacqualine Harris	7042		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3619	TRO885873FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Gilbert	Gilbert Petty	7043		X	X				Various conditions and diagnoses 2000 and earlier, one diagnosis (diverticulosis) 2008; says was not aware could file a claim, not notified via mail, newspaper, letters, correspondence, or TV concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3620	TRO892494FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Frances	Courtney Smith	7044	7045		X				Diagnosis "prior to 2007;" says did not receive any notice concerning the Tronox tort claims settlement. Alleges a due process entitlement to direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3621	TRO887444FTC	12/14/2015	McCleod, Michael	Courtney Smith	7046	7047	X	X				September 2002 diagnosis; lived in area and never received any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3622	TRO900887FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Daisy	Daisy Walker	7048			X		X		Unaware of bar date; unaware that she qualified because she was in "area a lot and around;" says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3623	TRO885623FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, Nadia	Nadia Gray	7049						X	2008 diagnosis; minor; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; did not see any publication information related to the case; the notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3624	TRO880444FTC	12/4/2015	Webber, Christopher	Christopher Webber	7050		X	X				1989, 1999, 2000 conditions and diagnoses; unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3625	TRO888718FTC	12/24/2015	Thompson, Douglas	Douglas Thompson	7051		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says previously contacted Colom law firm in 2002; the information was not available for the proceeding, if had known he would have filed a claim. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3626	TRO895024FTC	3/23/2016	Stillman, Fannie	Fannie Stillman	7052		X	X			X	Alleges 2011 diagnosis but others in 1964. Says not aware of the claim process and did not know could file a claim; alleges a violation of due process but does not explain how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3627	TRO893282FTC	2/23/2016	Webber, Maurey	Maurey Webber	7053		X	X				1985 diagnosis; says was not aware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3628	<b>TRO894135FTC</b>	<b>3/2/2016</b>	<b>Walker, Twanda</b>	<b>Rochell Walker Lewis</b>	7054		X	X				The motion at docket # 7045 is by Twanda Walker; diagnoses 1994, 1999, 2002; the injured party died in 2002; rep says previously engaged the Colom firm in December 2005 but was informed that the claim could not be filed for a deceased person; the rep engaged another attorney and timely filed her own claim but did not file for Twanda based on inadequate information from Colom law firm; says violation of due process. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3629	TRO880821FTC	12/4/2015	Hodges, Anthony	Anthony Hodges	7055			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis Nov. 2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3630	TRO896138FTC	4/6/2016	Washington, Fannie	Fannie Washington	7056			X			X		Says unaware exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 but does not specify month and also provides a medical record for 2008. Also attaches the form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3631	TRO904745FTC	1/24/2017	Outlaw, Vanessa	Vanessa Outlaw	7057	8192		X			X		Alleges symptoms began in 1989, first diagnoses in 2015-2016-2017; says unaware of the information and the possibility of her receiving a settlement for physical injuries. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8192, unaware of the health issues from living in contaminated area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3632	TRO898101FTC	6/20/2016	Evans, Lucille	Alvin Evans	7058		X	X					1979 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1981; rep says did not know of process, did not see notices in paper or on TV or in social media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3633	TRO898099FTC	6/20/2016	Evans, James	Alvin Evans	7059		X	X					1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1980; rep says did not know of process, did not see notices in paper or on TV or in social media. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3634	TRO902419FTC	9/14/2016	Rush, Wonda	Wonda Rush	7060			X			X		Says was unaware of a deadline to file; did not have a lawyer and is not usually watching TV and was totally unaware; did not have full details of the lawsuit; says symptoms 2012 and diagnosis 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief from bar date as to a pre-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3635	TRO895502FTC	3/24/2016	McCrary, Lasunda	Lasunda McCrary	7061		X	X				1975-1980 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident; did not know at the time; unaware of anything related to this lawsuit regarding her exposure to these chemicals. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3636	TRO904379FTC	1/3/2017	McCrary, Jonathan	Jonathan McCrary	7062						X	1997 diagnosis; minor; parent was not aware or informed at the time. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3637	TRO904378FTC	1/3/2017	McCrary, Jailan	Lasunda McCrary	7063						X	2001, 2003, 2007 diagnoses; minor; parent was not aware or informed at the time. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3638	TRO900267FTC	7/25/2016	Richey, Carl	Carl Richey	7064			X				2008 diagnosis; says did not know had been exposed to Tronox product; place of exposure not clear; moved to Indiana and did not receive any notification to file any claims; did not know was exposed; did not hear or see any public notices. No explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3639	TRO887705FTC	12/14/2015	Mosley, Michael	Courtney Smith	7065	7067		X				June 2009 diagnosis; lived near the plant and in the affected/exposed area and never received any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3640	TRO892183FTC	2/23/2016	Conner, Terry	Deloris Conner	7066		X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; previously filed with Colom law firm, says received a small check but that later Colom said he did not represent the injured party. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. No indication that Colom firm represented the movant in 2009 but if it did that is of no help because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date, and failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3641	TRO894638FTC	3/23/2016	Horton, Erica	Erica Horton	7068		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; unaware of the filing procedures and that claims existed; did not receive any notice to file; says some people were coerced into not letting others know about the exposure, this hindered her ability to be adequately informed and to submit a claim; no knowledge that she was exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3642	TRO888950FTC	12/30/2015	Appnel, Rosaline	Elliot B. Edley, Esquire	7069			X				Diagnosed May 2009, died a few days later; relatives became aware of this litigation after her death and realized in 2015 that they were entitled to a recovery. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3643	TRO888951FTC	12/30/2015	Appnel, John	Elliot B. Edley, Esquire	7070			X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; spouse was seriously ill and died in 2009; relatives became aware of litigation after the spouse's death and realized that they were entitled to a recovery. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3644	TRO898884FTC	6/27/2016	McNeal, Camala	Camala McNeal	7071			X			X	September 2009 diagnosis but no medical records; when asked about prior claims, says "1997, 2009," but no details as to 1997 claim; says previously filed with Landis, Sexton in 2009; says "the publication was not fairly calculated by law." Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3645	TRO898452FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Emma	Emma Smith	7072		X	X				1975 diagnosis; unaware of any type of claim against Tronox in 2009; was taking care of family, including seriously ill spouse for visits for chemotherapy and radiation. Also caring for elderly aunt with congestive heart failure and alzheimer's disease and son who has a learning disability; sole caretaker with limited access to communicating with other sources; did not get any information about case from any sources. Insufficient showing of complete inability to file a claim, no explanation of may years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. In addition, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3646	TRO900481FTC	8/16/2016	Tate, James	Annie Tate	7073			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep does not provide an excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3647	TRO892676FTC	2/23/2016	Watt, Marcus	Marcus Watt	7074		X	X				2000, 2002 diagnoses; did not know about it at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3648	TRO897343FTC	5/25/2016	Koza, Michael	Michael Koza	7075	8161		X			X	2013 diagnosis; did not know about it at the time; says symptoms did not appear until after bar date. A supplement filed at docket # 8161, rep says party is disabled and has learning disabilities, rep was out of the state when filing procedure started for the Kerr-McGee lawsuit, and the injured party was unable to fill the proper paper work on his own. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3649	TRO880868FTC	12/4/2015	Smith, Willie	Wilda Smith	7076	7133	X	X			X	The motion at docket #7076 is by Wilda Smith on behalf of Willie Smith. The Trust's summary referred to docket #6562 as relating to this claim but that is not correct. The motion at docket #7076 says the injured party died in 2013; rep unaware of claims process or that could file a claim; a violation of due process. A duplicate of docket # 7076 is filed at docket # 7133. Alleges a diagnosis of cardiovascular problems in 2013, other diagnoses in 2003 and earlier. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3650	TRO902599FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Sammie	Sammie Fields	7077		X	X				Lists first diagnosis in 1975 but various conditions are identified that did not start until 2001; says did not know about the suit before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3651	TRO902198FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Willie	Willie Fields	7078		X	X				1999-2000 diagnosis; says did not know about claims filing bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3652	TRO888254FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, April	April Harris	7079		X	X				1989 symptoms, diagnosis date unclear; was told by Colom law firm paralegal that they could not file, did not know that she could file. Unexcused conduct of counsel or counsel's employee is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3653	TRO893941FTC	3/2/2016	Webber, Taylor	Taylor Webber	7080						X	1995 diagnosis; says is incapacitated, suffers from autism and at the time did not know of any legal proceedings regarding this case; his capacity to understand is limited; relies on family for assistance with personal care; his parent was working and going to school at time and did not know about any lawsuit or hear any news or information to file a claim. Age as of the bar date is not clear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3654	TRO891230FTC	2/23/2016	Caine, Tiffney	Tiffney Caine	7081		X			X		2015 diagnosis; explains reasons why feels entitled to claim but does not discuss 2009 bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3655	TRO886073FTC	12/7/2015	Westbrook, Willie	Gwen Westbrook	7082		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates not clear in motion form; the injured party died in 2017; rep says not aware of claims process or that could file a claim; violation of due process; most symptoms after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3656	TRO880885FTC	12/4/2015	Smith, Wilda	Wilda Smith	7083	8261		X		X		Says not aware of claims process or that could file a claim; violation of due process. A supplement with medical records filed at docket #8261, says headaches and dizziness and eye irritation were prior to bar date, but cardiovascular, asthma and respiratory issues were after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3657	TRO880675FTC	12/4/2015	Penny, April	April Penny	7084	7085		X			X	Alleges symptoms began in 1981 but diagnosed in 2013; says not made aware/contacted directly about filing a Tronox bankruptcy claim; living in Alabama at the time of bar date. A duplicate is filed at docket #7085. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses for lack of diligence. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3658	TRO895687FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, Emmett	Courtney Smith	7086	7089	X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says claimant lived near the affected/exposed area, was in severe declining health and was not aware of any trust settlement and did not receive notice of same. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3659	TRO887813FTC	12/4/2015	Sanders, Bertha	Bertha Sanders	7087			X			X	Alleges 2013 diagnosis; says during bar date claims period lived in Alabama; was not made aware of filing a Tronox claim nor of any deadline; says symptoms Jan 2009 and diagnosis 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3660	TRO880848FTC	12/4/2015	McMullen, Ollie	Bertha Sanders	7088		X	X				2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says not aware of filing of Tronox claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3661	TRO890459FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Willie	Willie Johnson	7090			X				1965 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says had absolutely no knowledge, no information, that would have alerted him/informed him that he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3662	TRO898899FTC	6/27/2016	Pratt, Eric	Eric Pratt	7091		X	X				Diagnosis listed as "2004-2009;" former resident of Columbus, MS; says that he tried to file with Chuck E. Easley (date unspecified) but he stated that they were no longer accepting claims at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3663	TRO897641FTC	5/25/2016	Pratt, Ron	Janet Pratt Smith	7092		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep does not provide an excuse. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3664	TRO901658FTC	8/16/2016	Shields, Ella	Emma Smith	7093		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep unaware of claim in 2009; says that prior to death claimant was incompetent and incapacitated because of her condition and unable to move around independently. She was confined in bed daily due to her condition. She also experienced dizziness and fatigue in addition to alzheimer's disease. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3665	TRO890233FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Grady	Rena Davis-Beal	7094	8186	X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; rep says unaware of first proceeding; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; current rep was a minor at time but does not say why an adult rep did not file a claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8186, concerning address. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3666	TRO889926FTC	2/23/2016	Clemens, Williams	Williams Clemons	7095			X			X	Alleges new medical condition 9/14/09; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; unaware exposed to Tronox product or of dangers posed by the product at site; did not receive notice by mail, newspapers, correspondence or TV; says there was a natural disaster in 2009 as Mississippi is a disaster state, prone to an abundance of flooding and tornadoes; in that year 2009, a storm knocked down power lines, but does not specify how he was impacted by any of the alleged natural disasters. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3667	TRO886739FTC	12/7/2015	Klimasiewfski, Melinda	Melinda Klimasiewfski	7096		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2006 and 2012; unaware of filing date; did not know some of her conditions were related to a Tronox product. It was not public knowledge to file; does not read the Wall Street Journal; did not receive any notification regarding bar date; says publication notice was not reasonable; says bar date notice was deficient on its face but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3668	TRO901929FTC	9/14/2016	Abdo-Ahmed, Saada	Courtney Smith	7097	7098		X			X	2009 diagnosis (month not clear); says did not receive notice and did not know that a claim could be filed. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and not other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3669	TRO884159FTC	11/13/2015	Butler, Joyce	Joyce Butler	7099		X	X				1981 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware that could file claim in Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3670	TRO891228FTC	2/23/2016	Danner, Kenishaa	Kenishaa Danner	7100			X				The motions at dockets 7100, 7101 and 7102 were filed by three different persons but with the same address in Columbus, Georgia; the motion at docket 7101 indicates that exposures occurred in Columbus, Mississippi but the other two motions are not clear as to where exposures occurred. The motion at docket #7100 alleges a 1990 diagnosis; says never received any notice. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3671	TRO891229FTC	2/23/2016	Lang, Jr., Johnny	Johnny Lang, Jr.	7101		X	X				The motions at dockets 7100, 7101 and 7102 were filed by three different persons but with the same address in Columbus, Georgia. The motion at docket 7101 indicates that exposures occurred in Columbus, Mississippi; alleges a 1988 diagnosis; says never received any notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3672	TRO891227FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, Francine	Francine Perry	7102			X				The motions at dockets 7100, 7101 and 7102 were filed by three different persons but with the same address in Columbus, Georgia; the motion at docket 7101 indicates that exposures occurred in Columbus, Mississippi but the other two motions are not clear as to where exposures occurred. The motion at docket # 7012 alleges a 1984 diagnosis; says never received any notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3673	TRO884161FTC	11/13/2015	Butler, Betty Jean	Betty Butler	7103	8422	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1995 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, outcome unclear; unaware could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case. Duplicate filed at docket #8422. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3674	TRO880757FTC	12/4/2015	Schenck, Warren	Warren Schenck	7104			X				Was not aware of any proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy proceeding; place of exposure unclear, says has resided in NC since 2000; says symptoms and diagnosis 2/25/2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3675	TRO884259FTC	Unknown	Bailey, Allen G.	Allen G. Bailey	7105	9470		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter complaining about delay in payment. Not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3676	TRO884257FTC	Unknown	Britton, Kelvin T.	Kelvin T. Britton	7106	9472		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter complaining about delay in payment. Not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3677	TRO892379FTC	2/23/2016	Bluitt, Little	Courtney Smith	7107	7108		X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2012; not aware of any notice from Tronox trust; did not know could file a claim because did not receive notice of it. Memo of law argues excusable neglect and due process issues but the motion identifies no pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief based on those theories would be proper. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3678	TRO905919FTC	4/24/2017	Barron, Katie	Courtney Smith	7109	7110	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3679	TRO880954FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, George	Deborah Hughes	7111		X	X			X	Diagnosis date unclear; the injured party died in 2017; previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3680	TRO895298FTC	3/23/2016	Hubbard, Porter	William E. Harris	7112		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; place of exposure not clear; rep says standard form reasons as to why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3681	TRO890150FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Fannie	Fannie Harris	7113		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, outcome not clear. Says did not know of the Tronox proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3682	TRO896087FTC	4/6/2016	Hayden, Mary	William Hayden	7114	8370	X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$500. A supplement filed at docket #8370, says without knowledge to wade through the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3683	TRO886147FTC	12/7/2015	Dukes, Ronald	Ronald Dukes	7115		X	X				1978 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 1996, no funds received. Appears to believe that class action claim counted as a claim in the separate 2009 bankruptcy case, which is not the case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3684	TRO892148FTC	2/23/2016	Weatherspoon, Johnny Sr.	Johnny Weatherspoon Sr.	7116		X	X				The motion at docket #7116 is for Johnny Weatherspoon Sr.; a separate motion at docket #6942 is for Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr. The excuse for Johnny Weatherspoon, Sr. is that he previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was not aware he could file a claim as the Colom paralegal told him he could not file a claim. Conduct of counsel or counsel's employee is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3685	TRO892527FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Angela	Angela Gardner	7117	8305	X	X				1966 diagnosis; moved from Columbus, MS and was not aware of the claim process. A supplement filed at docket #8305, did not know about the case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3686	TRO900926FTC	8/16/2016	Dismukes, Denier	Denier Dismukes	7118						X	Minor at bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3687	TRO904630FTC	1/24/2017	Rutkowski, Joseph	Dorothy Rowlands	7119			X				May 2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; rep says they thought he had filed but he was estranged from his children. The rep must be referring to another filing in another proceeding as the injured party died in 2006, prior to the bankruptcy filing in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3688	TRO888049FTC	12/18/2015	Hayden, Jack	Jack Hayden	7120			X			X	Says symptoms after bar date, does not provide date for a diagnosis and does not provide an excuse. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3689	TRO898090FTC	6/20/2016	Stevenson, Wilie	Nellie Griffin	7121		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002-2005, but did not receive a settlement. Rep learned of the possibility of a future tort claim and filed again. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3690	TRO887397FTC	12/14/2015	McNeil, Ebony	Danielle McNeil	7122			X				Mother filing for her child; former resident of Columbus, MS; mother says did not know that a claim was even available. Alleges domestic violence situation, mentally ill husband. No supporting information. Movant also filed a claim for herself at docket 7135. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3691	<b>TRO897480FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Windham, Rhonda</b>	<b>Rhonda Windham</b>	7123		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges 1997 symptoms, 2013 diagnosis but also says previously filed application with atty Howard Gunn in 1999, but did not hear back from him at the time; she did not know how to file claim and did not have any assistance with case and paperwork got sent back to her and she did not hear from atty Gunn. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3692	TRO894315FTC	3/2/2016	Brewer, Devario	Courtney Smith	7124						X	Minor at the time notices were sent for the Tronox tort claims trust and did not receive notice or know that could file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3693	TRO893573FTC	Unknown	Windham, Jeronica	Jeronica Windham	7126			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis date listed as "1999-2009;" previously filed application with atty Howard Gunn in 1999, but he returned all the papers back to her at the time. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3694	TRO888253FTC	12/18/2015	Weatherspoon, Brittany	Brittany Weatherspoon	7127			X		X		Symptoms 1989, diagnosis not listed; was informed by paralegal at Colom law firm that could not file a claim, unclear if that was in reference to prior class action or to bankruptcy. Unexcused conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3695	TRO895271FTC	Unknown	Rice, Anthony	Anthony Rice	7128			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges various conditions before and after bar date, unclear if post-bar date conditions were first diagnosed after bar date or if just were continuations of earlier diagnoses; says was informed of claim process after the fact. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3696	TRO902723FTC	8/16/2016	Bradshaw, Davion	Davion Bradshaw	7129		X	X			X	Was not aware of claims; says symptoms 2009 does not provide diagnosis date or medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3697	TRO894518FTC	3/23/2016	Brewer, Paul	Courtney Smith	7130	7131	X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep did not receive notice regarding the claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3698	TRO902724FTC	8/16/2016	Bradshaw, Damion	Damion Bradshaw	7132			X				Diagnosis dates not listed. Says did not fully understand Tronox claims filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3699	TRO888252FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Emmett	Emmett Harris	7134		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says that a paralegal at the Colom law firm said that could not file a claim (unclear what proceeding that conversation related to or when it occurred). Conduct of counsel or counsel's representative is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's or the representative's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3700	TRO887570FTC	12/14/2015	McNeil, Danielle	Danielle McNeil	7135		X	X			X	Various conditions listed, diagnosis dates not clear; former Columbus, MS resident who moved out of state in 2011 due to domestic violence; unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3701	TRO902730FTC	8/16/2016	Bradshaw, Damesheon	Damesheon Bradshaw	7136			X			X	2009 symptoms; diagnosis "yes," date unclear; says was not aware of Tronox claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3702	TRO896000FTC	4/6/2016	Roberts, Lorean	Mary Rollin-Patterson	7137			X				First diagnoses in 1970s; the injured party died in 2011; place of exposure not clear; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; the injured party was unaware of lawsuit and did not know she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3703	TRO892679FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Theodis	Theodis Roby	7138		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says filed a claim but has not heard anything back (referring apparently to the late-filed claim). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3704	TRO901083FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Dicy	Sharon Lewis	7139	8212 9482	X	X			X	Various diagnoses, many before 2003, one in 2006, pneumonia in 2016; the injured party died in 2019; previously filed with Colom law firm 1999-2002 and with Lundy & Davis; says the amount of settlement was different when she signed, thinks she was coerced into signing and was not told that the litigation was an ongoing future tort claims. Seems to be under the impression that the bankruptcy process is a later part of the earlier class action, which is not correct. Claimant apparently received approximately \$17,431 net from the class action settlement. A supplement filed at docket #8212, claims that attorneys did not inform her of bar date, but the prior class action was over before the Tronox bankruptcy filing and there is no evidence claimant was still represented by counsel. In any event, class action counsel received direct notice of the bar date, and if they still represented the claimant but failed to file a claim that unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. A supplement filed at docket #9376 adding information and address for mailing. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 and not resolved in prior action also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defenses as to the effect of the prior class action settlement) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3705	TRO885209FTC	11/25/2015	Brewer, Ennis	Ennis Brewer	7140		X	X				Various diagnoses, three of which were in 1993 and earlier, one (for whooping cough) in 2007; previously filed with the Colom law firm and atty Bambach; the settlement in 2005 was approximately \$275. Did not file a proof of claim because did not have knowledge of ongoing Tronox case; says did not get any notification concerning matter. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3706	TRO894665FTC	3/23/2016	Easterwood, Velma	Velma Easterwood	7141		X	X				1997 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know about filing a claim; says she had several medical problems and was very sick but does not provide dates for any illness at time of bar date, does not provide medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3707	TRO890729FTC	2/23/2016	Collins, Edward	Edward Collins	7142		X	X				1986 diagnosis; unaware of the case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3708	TRO895686FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, Sammie	Courtney Smith	7143	7144	X	X				1964 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep did not know a claim could be filed and did not receive any notice. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3709	TRO880974FTC	12/4/2015	Moore, Mattie	Courtney Smith	7145	7146	X	X				1982 diagnosis; says did not receive notice and did not know could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3710	TRO895960FTC	4/6/2016	Hayden, Thessalonia	William Hayden	7147		X	X				1980 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 1996, no funds received. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3711	TRO884499FTC	11/25/2015	Cockrell-Brewer, Mynona	Mynona Cockrell-Brewer	7148			X				Alleges symptoms from 1990s but diagnosis 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm in 1990s in class action lawsuit against Kerr-McGee for residents living in the surrounding area of facility, outcome of that claim not clear; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not read Wall Street Journal; says Tronox did not try hard enough to locate those living in area who were rejected; did not receive direct notice. Says the class action did not do right by people, is thankful that the Tort Claims Trust is providing a second chance. Tort Claims Trust is not related to the prior class action and has no connection with it. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3712	TRO903062FTC	10/13/2016	Holt, Alayah	Alayah Holt	7149		X	X				2004 and 2006 diagnoses; did not know could file a claim and also did not have paperwork. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3713	TRO885210FTC	11/25/2015	Brewer, Eric	Eric Brewer	7150		X	X				1967 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and atty Bambach; received a \$250 settlement; unaware of ongoing bankruptcy case; did not receive phone call, letter, or learn of it from TV or radio. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3714	TRO884191FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, Landrick	Landrick Hill	7151			X			X	Says was unaware of claim filing date. It is difficult to read the medical records but it appears that certain diagnoses were prior to the bar date because claimant says that had he known of deadline, he would have put down "other medical records with the dates pertaining to your deadline dates and after the deadline dates." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3715	TRO885830FTC	11/25/2015	Irions, Annie	Annie Irions	7152		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 that were not resolved in prior proceeding were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3716	TRO889823FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Kristie	Kristie Sherrod	7153		X	X				1991 diagnosis; did not know about the claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3717	TRO893421FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Monica	Monica Sykes	7154						X	Date condition first diagnosed listed as "2003-2017;" minor; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3718	TRO881063FTC	12/4/2015	Klimasiewfski, Walter	Diane Klimasiewfski	7155		X	X				Diagnoses 1985 or 1987; the injured party died in 1989; rep says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3719	TRO881047FTC	12/4/2015	Klimasiewfski, Diane	Diane Klimasiewfski	7156			X		X		Various diagnoses, one in 1986, rest after bar date; says unaware of the claims filing deadline; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says health issues manifested after bar date but also says had issues prior but was unaware of deadline to file; says the notice was deficient on its face but offers no specifics. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3720	TRO886740FTC	12/7/2015	Slayton, Amanda Klimasiewfski	Amanda Klimasiewfski Slayton	7157			X		X		Unaware of bar date; do not read Wall Street Journal and it was not public knowledge; unaware of bankruptcy case; says conditions diagnosed after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3721	TRO889163FTC	2/23/2016	Vaughn, Queen	Queen Vaughn	7158			X		X		A prior submission in early 2016 (docket 3054) was treated by the court as a motion for permission to file a late claim. That motion was denied by an order entered at docket no. 3060. However, as reflected in the order, the Tort Claims Trust agreed that Ms. Vaughn's claim would be treated as a timely filed claim alleging that she is a Future Tort Claimant, and that the merits of that claim would be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal procedures. The order denying the prior motion is final. Any issues as to the merits of the Future Tort Claim are to be resolved by the Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3722	TRO901084FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Johnny	Sharon Lewis	7159	8212 8213 9376 9476 9482	X	X				Various diagnoses 1993 and earlier; the injured party died in 1993; previously filed with Colom law firm, rep says did not receive any compensation; did not get any notice of and was not aware of bar date. A supplement file at docket #8213, says part of pre-bankruptcy lawsuit, lawyer negligent in failing to file claim. It appears that movant received approximately \$17,431 net from a class action settlement. A supplement filed at docket #8212. A supplement filed at docket #9376 adding information and address for mailing. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3723	TRO901079FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Sharon	Sharon Lewis	7160	8196	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1978 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm 1999; says she did not know a fair amount and therefore was coerced to sign for \$8,300 recovery and was not informed of a bar date for future tort claims. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8196, unaware of bar date, lawyer failed to file for future tort claim; was previously unfairly compensated. Appears to be under the impression that the bankruptcy process is connected to a prior class action and/or that counsel in a prior class action represented claimant in regards to the bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures by counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
3724	TRO881062FTC	12/4/2015	Klimasiewfski, Matthew	Matthew Klimasiewfski	7161			X		X		Minor, unaware of bar date; does not read Wall Street Journal; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3725	TRO881027FTC	12/4/2015	Turel, Richard	Anita Turel	7162			X			X	Various conditions, leukemia diagnosis in 2008, cancer and precancerous lesion in 2017; says was incompetent and incapacitated at time of bar date once started chemo; focused on medical procedures; provides detailed letter of the effects of treatment. Explains failure to file in 2009 but does not explain lengthy delay before actual filing of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3726	TRO894838FTC	3/23/2016	Holliness, Hattie	Eddie Holliness	7163		X	X				1993 and 1995 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2001; she and rep did not know exposed to Tronox product and rep did not know about bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3727	TRO884100FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Arriel	Arriel Petty	7164	4718		X			X	Docket 4718 is a separate rejection notice and a signature form; motion is at docket 7164. January 2009 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; did not file in 2009 because suffering from memory depression, anxiety, had to care for sick relatives, including a dementia person, there was death in immediate family, pressure put mental state in decline, causing him to shut down. Does not explain lengthy post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3728	TRO895829FTC	3/24/2016	Macon, Angelica	Angelica Macon	7165			X			X	Diagnosis 2011; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3729	TRO885207FTC	11/25/2015	Brewer, Sarah	Sarah Brewer	7166		X	X			X	Claims a condition was first diagnosed in 2014 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm and with atty Bambach in 2005 and received a \$275 settlement; unaware of ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a phone call, letter, or learn anything from TV or radio concerning matter; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but received settlement from an earlier action. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to effects of prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3730	TRO889067FTC	12/30/2015	Langford, Jerry	Courtney Smith	7168			X			X	2006 and 2016 diagnoses; did not receive notice of the tort claims trust; says certain conditions prior to bar date but serious conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3731	TRO884099FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Robin	Robin Petty	7169	4895 9059		X				Rejection notice is at docket 4895. January 2009 diagnosis; says he lost his aunt and job and fell into depression, became paranoid with memory problem, leading to medical problems. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9059 complaining about the process. Does not explain lengthy post-2009 delay before filing claim or pursuing rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3732	TRO889231FTC	2/23/2016	Klusty, Shamika	Shamika Klusty	7171	8172	X	X				1990 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved around country riding with truck driver due to being diagnosed as bipolar during this time frame. A supplement filed at docket #8172, says that because of her condition she is not stable enough to stay in one location and is constantly moving and living in odd places. Medical records are from 2000 or before and are for asthma, no other alleged condition, no verification as to alleged incompetence sufficient to toll statute of limitations or to excuse lack of filing. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3733	TRO903204FTC	10/13/2016	Wright, Mildred	Mildred Wright	7172	9431						X	2004 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of bankruptcy case as moved away from Columbus in 1999 and joined the U.S. Air Force; does not provide dates of service, may have ended in 2004. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9431 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service so Court may assess possible application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 and so that Court may consider dates of military service in assessing request for relief based on excusable neglect.
3734	TRO898010FTC	6/10/2016	Goss, Talmadge	Talmadge Goss	7173		X	X					Various diagnoses, all 1995 and earlier; was not living in Columbus at bar date; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; form of notice was deficient on its face because he was not living in Columbus at the time. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, publication notices were appropriate for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3735	TRO891264FTC	2/23/2016	Ballard, Vinson	Vinson Ballard	7174		X	X					Detailed chronology of exposures before the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3736	TRO892755FTC	2/23/2016	Langford, Linda	Courtney Smith	7175			X			X		Diagnoses in 2009, 2013, 2017; says did not receive any notice of the Tronox trust, had moved out of area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3737	TRO896914FTC	4/25/2016	Gardner, Kya	Dominga Gardner	7177			X				The motion at docket # 7177 is for Kya Gardner; a motion on behalf of Kirsan Gardner was filed at docket #7201. As for docket #7177: place of exposure not clear; parent says did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to the Tronox product; says diagnosis June 2009. Notice of the bar date was also published in The Birmingham News in Birmingham, AL, which is about 20 miles from Helena, AL. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3738	TRO890467FTC	2/23/2016	Windham, Morris	Morris Windham	7178		X	X		X		1999 diagnosis; says was residing in another state and did not receive one; also says was incompetent at filing deadline. Filed a signed rejection notice alleging new post-bar date exposures and/or new post-bar date diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3739	TRO892340FTC	2/23/2016	Grays, Robert	Robert Grays	7179			X				2007 diagnosis; unaware exposed or affected by the Tronox claim, no longer resides in area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3740	TRO887272FTC	12/14/2015	Clayborn, Tyshun	Tyshun Clayborn	7180			X		X		2013 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date and did not know about it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3741	TRO885089FTC	11/25/2015	Grays, LaQuana	LaQuana Grays	7181						X	1997 diagnosis; minor; unaware of exposure; parent was rep but died in 2011. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3742	TRO896597FTC	4/25/2016	Cunningham, Nina	Nina Cunningham	7182		X	X				1995 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; moved to Millport, Alabama (about 23 miles from Columbus, MS) in approximately 2005; says did not know could file a claim; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3743	TRO885090FTC	11/25/2015	Grays, Taquana	Taquana Grays	7183						X	1997 diagnosis; minor; unaware of exposure; parent was rep but died in 2011. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3744	TRO887245FTC	12/14/2015	Clayborn, Tywhun	Tywhun Clayborn	7184						X	2003 diagnosis; minor, did not know about the claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3745	TRO893091FTC	2/23/2016	Wood, James	Courtney Smith	7185	7186	X	X				1972 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox trust tort settlement. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3746	TRO896002FTC	4/6/2016	Brandon, Annie	Mary Patterson	7187			X				First diagnoses 1940 or so; the injured party died, was unaware of claim 1997; injured party's residence and place of exposure unclear; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3747	TRO898089FTC	6/20/2016	Stevenson, Joanne	Nellie Griffin	7188		X	X				1979 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002-2005 but did not receive a settlement; the injured party is deceased; filed with Trust when the possibility of a future tort claim resurfaced through the church. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3748	TRO898626FTC	6/27/2016	Walton, Antonio	Antonio Walton	7189		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former MS resident; was incarcerated in MS from 2000-2010. Does not explain many years' delay after 2010 before pursued any claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3749	TRO901328FTC	8/16/2016	Dismuke, Carol	Carol Dismuke	7190		X	X				1997 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says the Tronox tort claim was not being advertised in any area of the country where she resided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3750	TRO888326FTC	12/18/2015	Morgan, Leon	Scott Morgan	7191		X	X				1993 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 1994; unaware of bar date because individuals in various church affiliations and associates allegedly kept the information private; says there also was false information that only people who worked for Kerrr-McGee plant could file (which may be a reference to a prior action that was only on behalf of employees). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3751	TRO888328FTC	12/18/2015	Carpenter, Lucille	Lakesha Morgan	7192		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1999; unaware of bar date because individuals in various church affiliations and associates allegedly kept the information private; says there also was false information that only people who worked for Kerrr-McGee plant could file (which may be a reference to a prior action that was only on behalf of employees). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3752	TRO895005FTC	3/23/2016	Salter, Jasmine	Jasmine Salter	7193			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says symptoms and diagnosis 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3753	TRO886927FTC	12/7/2015	Rush, Joyce	Rush, Joyce	7194		X	X			X	1995 and 2013 diagnoses; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3754	TRO895646FTC	3/24/2016	Coleman, Tasha	Tasha Coleman	7195		X	X				Diagnoses 2005, 2007, 2009; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3755	TRO886485FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Katherine	Bobby Williams	7196		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep was not aware/had no knowledge of bar date, did not see public notifications. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3756	TRO895683FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, Willie	Courtney Smith	7198	7199	X	X				1987 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3757	TRO897139FTC	5/4/2016	Gardner, Lakeon	Lakeon Gardner	7200			X				1995 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3758	TRO897140FTC	5/4/2016	Gardner, Kirsan	Dominga Gardner	7201			X				The motion at docket # 7201 is for Kirsan Gardner; the Trust's summary mistakenly referred to Kirsan Gardner as having been the party covered by the separate motion at docket # 7177, which was actually filed for Kya Gardner. As to docket # 7201: place of exposure not clear; parent says did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to the Tronox product; says diagnosis was in 2008. Notice of the bar date was also published in The Birmingham News in Birmingham, AL, which is about 20 miles from Helena, AL. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3759	N/a	11/28/2017	Murry, Riley	Riley Murry	7202			X				Pre-bar date conditions and diagnoses; says the publication announcement was not made known in his area, but the notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009; says he did not know he could file a claim and has lived in the plant area on and off since 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3760	N/a	11/28/2017	Murry, Cassandra	Cassandra Murry	7203			X				Pre-bar date condition and diagnoses; says the publication announcement was not made known in his area, but the notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009; says he did not know he could file a claim and has lived in the plant area on and off since 1986. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3761	n/a	11/28/2017	Gibson-Stevenson, Kristi	Kristi Gibson-Stevenson	7204			X				Pre-bar date condition and diagnosis; says the publication announcement was not made known in area but the notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009; did not know he could file a claim and has lived in the plant area on and off since 1975. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3762	n/a	11/28/2017	Gibson, John	John Gibson	7205		X	X				2004 diagnosis; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim for his injuries; resided close to exposure area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3763	TRO904941FTC	1/24/2017	Skrzysowski, Anna	Joan Vogue	7206			X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; as a result of residing outside of the original borough limits of Avoca, the claimant's estate was unaware that neighboring jurisdictions were eligible; later discovered eligible. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3764	TRO903761FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Patricia	Patricia Williams	7207	8145	X	X				1956 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not say why or how. A supplement filed at docket # 8145. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3765	TRO888979FTC	12/30/2015	Gillespie, Gladys	Gladys Gillespie	7208			X		X		September 2009 diagnosis, no medical records attached. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3766	TRO894521FTC	3/23/2016	Brownlee, Loventrice	Courtney Smith	7209		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; rep did not receive notice of tort trust claim settlement and did not know to file a claim for the deceased claimant; says denied due process because did not get notice, but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3767	TRO898216FTC	6/20/2016	Gardner, Angela	Angela Gardner	7210	7211		X				2006 and 2008 diagnoses; claimant says unable to file proof of claim because incapacitated due to chemotherapy treatment that commenced on 10/29/2008 and continued throughout 2009, it resulted in increasing side effects and illness; was not mentally or physically fit at time bar date was announced to respond and make a claim; not aware of the announcement. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and not other factors, including long delay after bar date before filing any claim, lack of evidence of diligence in pursuit of claim, and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3768	TRO891638FTC	2/23/2016	Chandler, Alquana	Alquana Chandler	7212		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3769	TRO891637FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Debra	Debra Wilson	7213		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Unexcused conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3770	TRO904636FTC	Unknown	Chandler, Kobe	Alquana Chandler	7214			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Attorney Bambach had direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3771	TRO902788FTC	8/16/2016	Chandler, Hope	Alquana Chandler	7215			X				2007 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3772	TRO894517FTC	3/23/2016	Burnette, Lillie	Courtney Smith	7216		X	X				1997 diagnosis; moved out of state and did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3773	TRO896128FTC	4/6/2016	Spann, Gabriel	Gabrial Spann	7218			X		X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page on a motion as well as a signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of any post-bar date claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3774	TRO904310FTC	1/3/2017	Holmes, Willie	Teresa Holmes	7219			X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed this as dkt # 4136, which is a different motion by Willie Holmes, Jr. Various diagnoses, all 1990 and earlier; the injured party died in 2007; rep unaware of deadline; says that the injured party had no idea there was any problem with herself or her family. The Trustee's summary incorrectly referred to this party as having filed the motion at docket #4136 but that appears to be for a different Willie Holmes. As to the motion at docket # 7219: place of exposure not clear; alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Mississippi then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3775	TRO904309FTC	1/3/2017	Holmes, Heraline	Whaley Holmes	7220			X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001, place of exposure and residence not clear; rep did not know and had no reason to know that injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Mississippi then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3776	TRO884095FTC	11/13/2015	Shelton, James	James Shelton	7221			X		X		The motion at docket #7221 is for James Shelton [TRO884095FTC]; the Trustee's chart incorrectly listed this claimant as having made the motion at docket #4010 but that was for a different individual, James Lee Shelton [TRO885186FTC]. James Shelton alleges in the motion at docket #7221 that his son received a 2015 diagnosis; also says he previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 class action, outcome undisclosed; unclear if this claim is filed on behalf of James Shelton as the injured party or if it seeks compensation for his child. Says unaware of claims process; did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a phone call, letter, not learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on the parent's conditions that were diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. To the extent the claimant wishes to pursue a claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (whether for himself or on behalf of his child), the merits of that claim should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3777	TRO887466FTC	12/14/2015	Hodge, Eula	Annie Johnson	7222		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; says was incompetent at time of his claim filing deadline and incapacitated at hospital at time of bar date, no details provided; no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3778	TRO889113FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Theodis	Jossiephene Spain	7223		X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; says publication of deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; incompetent at time of bar date (apparently referring to the injured party, who was deceased); did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; rep says was informed that only certain area or people could apply. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3779	TRO902578FTC	9/14/2016	Booker, Everge	Ruth Booker	7224		X	X				Various conditions listed, diagnoses apparently before 1990; did not know and no knowledge exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3780	TRO892810FTC	2/23/2016	Spann, Annie	Annie Spann	7225			X		X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page on a motion as well as a signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of any post-bar date claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3781	TRO890383FTC	2/23/2016	Bigbee, Barbara	Barbara Bigbee	7226			X		X		Alleges a December 2012 diagnosis; was not aware of Tronox at that time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3782	TRO884977FTC	Unknown	Sandeers, Lonzie	Gloria Conner	7227		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep does not provide excuse. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3783	TRO902675FTC	8/16/2016	Barr, Timothy	Timothy Barr	7228		X	X				1986-89 diagnoses; may have previously filed with the Colom law firm because motion attaches a letter from firm to meet with them in 2002; did not know that he had a claim "or was able to file a claim because of settlement offered in 2002." If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3784	TRO889762FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Mitchell	Courtney Smith	7229			X			X	2007 diagnosis; did not receive notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Also filed a signed Rejection Notice alleging post-bar date diagnoses and exposures, but details are not clear. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3785	TRO895866FTC	3/24/2016	Tellis, Leroy	Linda Latham	7230	7231	X	X				The injured party died in 2006; rep says injured party was not living in a certain area therefore thought that he did not qualify; did not know that he qualified; did not know or had reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and not other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Movant's mistake as to whether people qualified who did not live in the area suggests actual knowledge of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3786	TRO902676FTC	8/16/2016	Barr, Curtis	Curtis Barr	7232		X	X				1987-89 diagnoses; it appears he previously filed with the Colom firm because he includes a letter from it in 2002 setting an appointment; did not know about bar date; the most recent he know about the case was in 2002 (that is the class action, not the bankruptcy) and he believed that to be the final filing. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3787	TRO884806FTC	11/25/2015	Sanders, Marcus	Marcus Sanders	7233	8140	X	X				1982-1984 diagnoses; was not aware of the claims process; unaware of ongoing bankruptcy case; did not receive a phone call, letter, not learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. A supplement filed at docket #8140. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3788	TRO881008FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, Angela	Angela Dale	7234		X	X				1983 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants; does not read the Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3789	TRO886959FTC	12/7/2015	Dale, Michael, Jr.	Michael Dale, Jr.	7235						X	1994 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; says no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; was young at the time. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3790	TRO881009FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, Michael, Sr.	Michael Dale, Sr.	7236			X				1982 diagnosis; unaware of filing deadline; never received a letter from Kerr-McGee; does not read Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3791	TRO895124FTC	3/23/2016	Lee, Jeanette	Bobby Williams	7237		X	X				1980 diagnosis; rep says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2003, called but he could not find her application; later the movant was incarcerated. Filing in 2003 must have been in connection with a different matter, as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. No indication the claim was ever part of a pending legal proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3792	Unknown	12/4/2015	Lowe, Patricia	Andrea Stanley	7238	8363		X				1997 diagnosis; notice was not provided directly to claimant who allegedly was known to have a claim, but no supporting information explaining how or why Tronox allegedly knew of the claim; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but provides no explanation or details; says did not know or have reason to know she was exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket # 8363, claimant did not subscribe to the Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Says movant lived in Maryville, IL in 2009, but notice of the bar date also was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009, and St. Louis is only about 18 miles from Maryville. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3793	TRO894515FTC	3/23/2016	Cooke, Ron	Courtney Smith	7239		X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox trust tort settlement; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3794	<b>TRO901464FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Spraggins, Mandy</b>	<b>Francis M Bailey-Jones</b>	7240	7241	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1972 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2001; rep moved away from Columbus and was unaware could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3795	TRO888108FTC	12/18/2015	Davis, Curtis	Courtney Smith	7242	7243	X	X				Condition since 1966; in response to question about dates of diagnoses answered "yes" instead of providing specific dates; says was unaware of the deadline. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, based on the information in the motion and the age of the condition the claim appears to have been time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Furthermore, the memo only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3796	TRO902677FTC	8/16/2016	Barr, Hazel	Hazel Barr	7244		X	X		X		Alleges a 2009 diagnosis but also includes a 2002 letter from Colom firm scheduling an appointment; says was unaware of deadline; thought case was closed in 2002 (referring apparently to prior class action). Also attaches a signed Rejection Notice as to post-bar date diagnoses. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3797	TRO900250FTC	7/25/2016	Geffers, Kathy Valenta	Kathy Valenta-Geffers	7245	8529		X				1988 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit; moved from Duryea, PA in 2009 to Pittston, PA; supplemental letter filed at docket # 8529. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3798	TRO898144FTC	6/20/2016	Davis, Joseph	Courtney Smith	7246	7247	X	X				1988 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; did not know could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3799	TRO894717FTC	3/23/2016	Anderson, Julia	Julia Anderson	7248			X				Various conditions alleged, separate diagnosis dates for each are unclear but apparently all are pre-bar date, listed as being in period from July 1998 to March 15, 2007; place of exposure not clear; unaware of Tronox claims being filed in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3800	TRO892288FTC	2/23/2016	Gillespie, Joe	Bessie Gillespie	7249			X				May 2009 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010; rep says injured party worked as a heavy equipment operator at Tronox/Kerr-McGee from 2004-2007, he was diagnosed in May 2009 with lung disease; family was knocked off their feet with what they had to go through and were focusing on getting him well, they did not get any notification. This was all around the time of the bar date. Explains delay in 2009 but does not explain lengthy post-bar date delay before filing claim or pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3801	TRO890769FTC	2/23/2016	Riddle, Mary	Mary Riddle	7250		X	X				1947 diagnosis; was not aware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3802	TRO893244FTC	2/23/2016	Stallings, Joseph	Elizabeth Stallings	7251		X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1976; rep says lack of knowledge about deadline; no reason to suspect exposure to Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3803	TRO886605FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Ella	Ella Brown	7252			X				July 2009 cancer diagnosis; she was incapacitated around bar date and then undergoing treatments including radiation; filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, unclear of the results from that filing. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Dealings with Colom firm show knowledge of legal rights and potential claims. Cancer treatments explain 2009 failure to file by bar date but no explanation as to lengthy post-bar date delays in pursuing rights, admits no claim was filed until October 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3804	TRO899922FTC	7/25/2016	Seely, Adam	Lizzie Walker	7253		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1999 and earlier; the injured party died in 2004; rep says did not know and had no reason to know injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product; after high school, she moved to a rural area in Columbus with no electricity, running water, telephone service or cable television available. The first time she learned that Tronox was the Moss Tie Co. was in 2014. The Trustee's summary chart refers to this motion as relating to claim # TRO899922FTC but the paper copy shows claim number TRO896922FTC. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3805	TRO888858FTC	12/30/2015	Walker, Lizzie	Lizzie Walker	7254		X	X				1959 and 1985 diagnoses; same excuse for herself as for her relative in motion at docket #7253 concerning moving to rural area with no communications. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3806	TRO903755FTC	11/23/2016	Robinson, Theotis	Theotis Robinson	7255		X	X				1989 diagnosis; did not know of any ongoing claims or that his condition was caused by exposure to Tronox product; moved from MS to GA. pA Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3807	TRO895682FTC	3/24/2016	Dolan, James	Courtney Smith	7256		X	X			X	1995 diagnosis; was not aware of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and did not receive any notice about being able to file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on a 1995 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Movant also submitted a signed Rejection Notice as to post-bar date claims though no such claims are listed in the motion papers. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3808	TRO903759FTC	11/23/2016	Robinson, Cordaneus	Cordaneus Robinson	7258		X	X				1989, 1991 diagnoses; says family moved from MS to GA, had no knowledge of Tronox claims or that his health conditions were caused by a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3809	TRO901024FTC	8/16/2016	Robinson, Ruby	Ruby Robinson	7259		X	X				Various conditions alleged but only diagnosis date listed is for kidney issues (1990); moved from MS to GA and had no knowledge or reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive notice through any type of communication. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3810	TRO903768FTC	11/23/2016	Robinson, Geneica	Ruby Robinson	7260		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1987, 2001, 2013; injured party is deceased; rep says injured party moved from MS to GA in 2009 and was unaware of claim or exposure; unaware condition caused by exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a separate condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3811	TRO887897FTC	12/14/2015	Hodge, Rosalyn	Rosalyn Hodge	7261		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS who listed a Columbus address as her residence from 2009 through 2011 but who also says she did not live there any longer; alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action; says did not receive notice in a timely manner, did not have knowledge of any of the publication notices. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if contends that Colom firm represented claimant in 2009 but that would not be grounds for relief because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date, and failures by counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's failures can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3812	TRO890159FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon (Cockrell), Stephanie	Stephanie Gordon	7262		X	X				1997 diagnosis; moved from Columbus, MS to Chicago in 1985; did not know about filing a claim until visited Columbus in 2015; did not receive any notice about a deadline. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3813	TRO890160FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon, Courtney	Stephanie Gordon	7263			X				2000 diagnosis; minor; rep is filing for child and says that when she received information on visit from Chicago that Tronox product could cause birth defects, she filed for child. Statute of limitations did not run as to the claim for a minor. However, the parent merely alleges lack of actual knowledge and makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3814	TRO898553FTC	6/27/2016	Perry, Belinda	Belinda Perry	7264		X	X				1961 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not explain how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3815	TRO893964FTC	3/2/2016	Easley, Hettie	Hettie Easley	7265		X	X				1985 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; did not know could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3816	TRO894687FTC	3/23/2016	Ferrow, Eric	Eric Ferrow	7269		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3817	TRO902019FTC	9/14/2016	Allen, Sophie	Sophie Allen	7270			X		X		2015 diagnosis; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3818	TRO898778FTC	6/27/2016	Hendrix, John	John Hendrix	7271			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 9/7/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3819	TRO888191FTC	12/18/2015	Robinson, Tannie	Tannie Robinson	7272	9450		X		X		Says symptoms began in 1990 but were not diagnosed until 2017; says unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9450. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3820	TRO899351FTC	8/16/2016	Gandy, Tegua	Eric Collins	7273	8249		X				2008 cancer diagnosis; the injured party died in 2013; rep unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8249. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3821	TRO898592FTC	6/27/2016	Stevenson, Wilbert	Wilbert Stevenson	7274			X		X		Unaware of claims process; says symptoms 1999 but diagnosis 9/21/2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3822	TRO900704FTC	8/16/2016	Young, Lorean	Lorean Young	7275			X		X		Unaware of the claim; symptom and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3823	TRO893094FTC	2/23/2016	Hanson, Kathy	Courtney Smith	7276	7277	X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; did not know could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3824	TRO886586FTC	12/7/2015	Morgan, Maxine	Maxine Morgan	7278		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Lundy & Davis, the Colom law firm and Cochran firm in 1999 or 2000; received settlement offer but refused it because she thought that there was not enough clarity surrounding information received; later filed with Hamilton, Morgan, Sexton & Berry for a potential claim against Kerr McGee. They said she should have opted out of class action but did not and her case was closed. Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case or of any notices about case. Participation in prior proceedings shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3825	TRO893095FTC	2/23/2016	Hanson, Jimmy	Courtney Smith	7279	7280	X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep not aware could file a claim and did not receive any notice of the Tronox trust claims settlement. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3826	TRO887425FTC	Unknown	Howard, Larry	Willie M. Howard	7281	7383	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not file because at an early age the injured party was getting treatment for something else. A duplicate motion filed at docket # 7383. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3827	TRO889099FTC	12/30/2015	Tate, Christine	Christine Tate	7282			X		X		April 2009 symptoms, diagnosed September 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3828	TRO905913FTC	4/24/2017	Cunningham, Kitara	Tricia Cunningham	7283		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3829	TRO891564FTC	2/23/2016	Sturdivant, Christopher	Tekesha Chapman	7284			X		X		Rep says symptoms and diagnosis 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3830	TRO891561FTC	2/23/2016	Chapman, Makayla	Tekesha Chapman	7285	8618		X		X		Rep says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8618. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3831	TRO891563FTC	2/23/2016	Poindexter, Adrian	Adrian Poindexter	7286		X	X				2001 diagnosis; not aware that a proof of claim needed to be enclosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3832	TRO895717FTC	3/24/2016	Hopkins, Onie	Onie Hopkins	7287			X		X		Says diagnosed in 2009 but does not provide month; unaware could file a claim when others were filing but the pastor advised him to complete an application. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3833	TRO900514FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Tommy	Tommy Jones	7288			X		X		Unaware of bankruptcy case; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3834	TRO894162FTC	3/2/2016	Allan, Joshua	Joshua Allen	7289						X	1993 and 2000 diagnoses; minor; does not say why guardian did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3835	TRO894159FTC	3/2/2016	Allan, Michael	Michael Allan	7290			X				1994 and 2006 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; not even aware that the plant was nearby. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3836	TRO887755FTC	12/14/2015	Porter, Salatheo	Salatheo Porter	7291		X	X				1989 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach in August 2009. No record of any claim having been filed in the bankruptcy case, though Attorney Bambach received direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3837	TRO901500FTC	8/16/2016	Ivy, Steavie	Annie Ivy	7292		X	X				2005 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2005; rep says did not know and had no reason to know injured party was exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3838	TRO897371FTC	5/25/2016	Gris, Stephen	Mary Gris	7294			X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; rep says not aware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3839	TRO900601FTC	8/16/2016	Dranchak, Joseph	Mary Gris	7295			X				1941 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep says not aware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3840	TRO889289FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Alwayne	Alwayne Hughes	7296			X				2001 diagnosis; was unaware of timeline; place of exposure not clear. Notice of the bar date was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3841	TRO896900FTC	4/25/2016	Huggler, Maria	Maria Huggler	7297	8307	X	X				1995 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; not aware of any claims at that time; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket # 8307. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3842	TRO896899FTC	4/25/2016	Huggler, Gordon	Maria Huggler	7298	8306		X				2007 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; rep says not aware of any claims at that time; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket # 8306. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3843	TRO889295FTC	2/23/2016	Maddox, Ethel	Ethel Maddox	7299			X				1990 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox tort claims trust or the bar date; place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3844	TRO889243FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Lillie	Vera Hughes	7300			X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; place of exposure not clear; rep says unaware of timeline. Notice of the bar date was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3845	TRO889453FTC	2/23/2016	Kyles, Kelcey	Quieveta Williams	7301		X	X		X		2007-08 diagnoses; rep says unaware that her child's condition could be related to an exposure until Maranatha Center had a soil sample done; newspaper reports show that happened before 2002. Also signed a rejection letter alleging post-bar date manifestations and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Acknowledges awareness of link between injury and creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3846	TRO901603FTC	8/16/2016	Rice, Angelia	Angelia Rice	7302		X	X				2000 diagnosis; relocated to another county and was unaware of the claim; did not see any advertising or hear of the claim until a later time; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3847	TRO901643FTC	8/16/2016	Rice, Jalen	Angelia Rice	7303			X				2000 diagnosis; representative filing for a minor; rep relocated to another county and unaware of the claim; did not see any advertising or hear of the claim until a later time; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3848	TRO901672FTC	8/16/2016	Shields, Rosie	Lillian Evans	7304			X				Diagnoses in 1980s; the injured party died in 2006; rep says deceased was incapacitated from 1985 to 2006. No excuse offered as to failure to file in 2009. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3849	TRO912089FTC	5/30/2017	Brandon, Christopher	Christopher Brandon	7305	8202	X	X				1990s diagnoses; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002; says had no knowledge of the bankruptcy proceedings, the only information given by the attorney when he called was "No News" "Still in Litigation." A supplemental "group" letter filed at docket #8202 on behalf of 5 claimants complaining about the process, complains that they thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
3850	TRO901460FTC	8/16/2016	Edmond, Roosevelt	Linda Daniel	7306		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; rep says was given erroneous information in filing this claim but apparently is referring to communications with the trust about the late-filed claim, does not offer reasons as to why failed to file in 2009 at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3851	TRO889785FTC	2/23/2016	Devine-Cheever, Tansheneda	Tansheneda Devine	7307		X	X				Alleges 1987 and 2010 diagnoses but both are for asthma (one as child, latter one as adult); previously filed with Turner & Assocs. 2001; is part of a group of claimants alleging that they were part of a legal proceeding against Tronox/Kerr McGee in MS prior to the claims filing deadline but that no direct notice of the claims filing deadline was received. Says did not receive any additional correspondence. Prior class action was terminated before Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, notice of the bar date was sent directly to attorney who has been class action counsel, so if any class claims were unresolved the class attorneys had notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, should have been filed by bar date, no grounds for relief from the bar date have been shown.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3852	TRO884495FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Cedric	Cedric Williams	7308		X	X				1973 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3853	TRO885903FTC	11/25/2015	Hawkins, Shaywen	Shaywen Hawkins	7309		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2000-2001; received \$300; says was not contacted or made aware of the availability to file a proof of claim; received no contact by mail, phone or email; if he had been aware says would have filed. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3854	TRO887519FTC	12/14/2015	Anderson, Lisa	Brandon Riches	7310	8364 8365	X	X			X	Asthma diagnosis in childhood in 1970s, other purportedly separate respiratory conditions identified as allegedly diagnosed in other years (some before bar date and some after), other conditions that occurred and were diagnosed in the 1990s; says right of due process violated because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide sufficient notice; she was completely unaware of any pending claims against Tronox as she never received any notifications of the claim; she has limited education with no experience or knowledge regarding legal notices; nor did any relatives who may have informed her of the settlement also did not get notice; she lived in Louisiana at the time of the bar date. A notice of appearance filed by counsel at docket #8364 and additional 930 pages of medical records at docket #8365. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3855	TRO893745FTC	3/2/2016	Mosley, Elaine	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7311			X				Does not have any health issues at the time but is afraid may develop some in the future; did not know about claims being filed. Motion does not identify any actual claim for which relief from the bar date is sought, motion is denied.
3856	TRO898212FTC	6/20/2016	Young, Anthony	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7312	7313		X		X		Says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2009. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3857	TRO880929FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Ruth	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7314	7315	X	X		X		1998 diagnosis; did not receive notice; did not know a claim could be filed at that time. Attaches a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date diagnoses but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect and due process, but claim based on 1998 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3858	TRO892256FTC	2/23/2016	Petty, Damien	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7316	7317					X	2008 diagnosis; minor (14 years old at time of bar date); did not receive any notice; also submitted a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3859	TRO893227FTC	2/23/2016	Elam, Mary	Mary Elam	7318			X			X	Diagnoses in 1981 and 2012; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999; received \$500 award; says bankruptcy discharge of claim was a violation of due process, without explanation; says the manifestation date she put on her first application to Garretson listed hypertension as a pre-petition condition but that hypertension related to a pregnancy and was resolved shortly after pregnancy, she is now amending her manifestation date to 2012 for hypertension as she was diagnosed for it after bar date and she maintains it was caused by exposure to creosote. Also alters many of the diagnosis dates from her original application with Garretson. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Movant does not explain failure to file by bar date for claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses, claim based on 1981 diagnosis also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3860	TRO889376FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Hezekiah	Gwendolyn Williams	7319	8202	X	X				1969 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, outcome not specified; rep says did not receive notice of the bankruptcy or claim filing deadline, no written notice. A supplemental "group" letter filed at docket #8202 on behalf of 5 claimants complaining about the process, complains that they thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3861	TRO889414FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Lucile	Gwendolyn Williams	7320	8202	X	X				Mid-1960s diagnosis; the injured party died prior to 2009; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, outcome unspecified; rep says she did not have knowledge of the claims filing deadline, no written notice was received. A supplemental "group" letter filed at docket #8202 on behalf of 5 claimants complaining about the process, complains that they thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
3862	TRO889912FTC	2/23/2016	Carter, Wanda	Wanda Carter	7321	7708		X				2008 diagnosis; says incapacitated, chronic weakness and blood loss; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; had not seen anything on TV or local paper, first learned of it in neighborhood meeting, soil sample done. A duplicate of this claim is filed at docket #7708. Does not explain long period after the bar date before filing a claim without any other apparent action to pursue claim or legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3863	TRO889244FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Vera	Vera Hughes	7322			X				1960 diagnosis; was not aware of time line; place of exposure not clear. Notice of the bar date was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3864	TRO880211FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Brenda	Brenda Joiner	7323		X	X				2005 and earlier diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Landis Sexton law firm some time prior to 2009; supplied law firm with medical records; the next time she received any information was in 2013. Affidavit of service shows notice of the bar date was mailed directly to Landis Sexton on behalf of other clients, so if counsel was retained by Ms. Joiner before the bar date counsel was aware of the bar date itself. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3865	TRO894674FTC	3/23/2016	Nance, Nan	Nan Nance	7324		X	X				2004 diagnosis; did not know about the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3866	TRO903458FTC	11/23/2016	Smith, Yvonne	Yvonne Smith	7325			X				2001-2002 diagnoses; did not know about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3867	TRO898652FTC	6/27/2016	Stevenson, Delma	Delma Stevenson	7326			X		X		Unaware of the claim process and failed to file a claim; says symptoms 1999 and diagnosis Sept 21, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3868	TRO886231FTC	12/7/2015	Baker, John	John Baker	7328		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2002 and earlier; previously filed with the Colom law firm, outcome not disclosed; says did not file in 2009 due to his illness; unaware exposed to harmful chemicals. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior proceeding with the Colom firm or, if not, was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3869	TRO893313FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Pandora	Pandora Williams	7329		x	X				Began having asthma in 1992; diagnosis date listed as "N/A;" says was unaware of bar date; she was not privileged nor did she know to get the Wall Street Journal publication or any of the other articles. Says public was not advised until 2011, but does not explain basis for that contention. Also fails to explain long delay before filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3870	TRO889219FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Bobby	Annie Williams	7330		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died before 2009; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3871	TRO889296FTC	2/23/2016	Ferrell, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Ferrell	7331			X				1972 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach her; she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3872	TRO889266FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Anita	Anita Jackson	7332			X		X		December 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3873	TRO888369FTC	12/18/2015	Reives, Barbara	Barbara Reives	7333			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Tollison law firm in 2002 Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3874	TRO886493FTC	12/7/2015	Jones, Mildred	Mildred Jones	7334			X			X	Says diagnoses began in 2010; lived in Columbus, MS as a child and lived there with mother in 2009-2011; says previously filed a claim with Edwin A. Flint, Jr. in 2002 but did not receive any follow-up; says was in the military (dates not specified) and did a lot of moving. Claim filed in 2002 must have been in connection with a prior lawsuit as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Motion does not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnoses for which relief from the bar date is sought. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3875	TRO893092FTC	2/23/2016	Wood, Janice	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7335	7336	X	X			X	1982 (heart) and 2013 (colon polyps) diagnoses; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1982 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3876	TRO893093FTC	2/23/2016	Wood, Kasie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7337	7338	X	X			X	1982 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also filed a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and new diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1982 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3877	TRO891058FTC	2/23/2016	Holiness, Dorothy	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7339	7340	X	X			X	1970 diagnosis; claimant was having serious medical issues and was in a nursing home; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Also filed a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and post-bar date diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1970 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3878	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Billy Wayne	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.
3879	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Detra Devoune	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3880	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Mildred	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.
3881	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Terry	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3882	TRO902805FTC	8/16/2016	Holiness, Arabella	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7342	7343		X			X	2012 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; she was elderly and having serious health issues in 2009. She did not receive any notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and her family members were not aware she could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues for relief based on excusable neglect or due process, but the motion has not identified any pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3883	TRO894513FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Ofie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7344	7345	X	X			X	1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000. Also filed a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the 1998 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3884	TRO893306FTC	2/23/2016	Lawrence, Brenda	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7346	7347		X			X	February 2008 diagnosis; says did not know about it; did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware she could file a claim. Also attached a signed Rejection Notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3885	TRO905920FTC	4/24/2017	Irions, Bessie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7348	7349	X	X			X	1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; personal rep did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and was not aware she could file a claim for the deceased. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1997 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3886	TRO893388FTC	2/23/2016	Lawrence, Annie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7350	7351	X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; personal rep did not receive notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice, but new post-2009 exposures and diagnoses are impossible given death in 1992. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3887	TRO893115FTC	2/23/2016	Lawrence, Carolyn	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7352	7353	X	X			X	1997 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware she could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date claims but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1997 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3888	TRO884174FTC	11/25/2015	Hubbert, Charlie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7354	7355	X	X				May 2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; personal rep did not receive notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and was not aware a claim could be filed. Also includes a signed rejection notice alleging post-2009 exposures and post-2009 diagnoses but that is impossible given death in 2004. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
3889	TRO884175FTC	11/25/2015	Hubbert, Maggie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7356	7357	X	X			X	Diagnoses 2003 and earlier; says she is sick and does not have anything to help her do better; her health is bad, she can't walk far. Also submitted a signed rejection notice regarding post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claims based on the 2003 and earlier diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3890	TRO893906FTC	3/2/2016	Richardson, Keith	Keith Richardson	7358		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, all 1993 and earlier except for alleged "respiratory" diagnosis in September 2009; says was unaware of claims process; the attorneys handling the case were not accepting any more claims. Says that during that time was constantly seeing doctors and had other personal issues. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3891	TRO893904FTC	3/2/2016	Richardson, Catherine	Catherine Richardson	7359		X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all before bar date; says was unaware that there was another filing for the Kerr-McGee case. She says she was also having personal and medical issues around 2009, constantly seeing multiple doctors but there aren't any medical records provided for time near bar date; says by the time she knew about the new claims filing it was too late. Does not explain lengthy post-bar date delay in filing claim and in apparently taking no other action to pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3892	TRO895520FTC	3/24/2016	Bell, Jessie	Gloria Everson	7360		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to 2009 bar date; place of exposure not specified but same movant filed another motion at docket #7361 referring to connections to Columbus, MS; rep says he had no knowledge of Tronox tort claims trust, did not hear of or see any publication on Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Columbus, MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3893	TRO895519FTC	3/24/2016	Everson, Gloria	Gloria Everson	7361		X	X				1982 diagnosis; never heard of a Tronox tort claims trust, did not read or see any publication on Tronox; says the information was not shared by others; refers to family connection in Columbus, MS but does not verify that exposure occurred there. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Columbus, MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3894	TRO885400FTC	11/25/2015	Martin, Mary	Mary Martin	7362			X		X		2008 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware that she could file a claim. Also submitted a rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposures or diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3895	TRO898857FTC	6/27/2016	Richardson, Jada	Jamila Williams	7363			X				December 2005 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; rep filing for a minor; says filed a claim in November 2016 and it was denied. Does not explain representative's failure to file a claim before the bar date. No grounds stated for relief.
3896	TRO885465FTC	11/25/2015	Webb, Kimberly	Kimberly Webb	7364			X				Diagnosed in childhood (late 1980s or early 1990s); previously filed with atty Gunn in 2002; says she already sent her medical records to William Howard Gunn and others in the group. Seems to think the bankruptcy process is a continuation of prior class action, which is not the case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Apparently was 20 years old as of the bar date but does not explain why movant and movant's representative did not file a claim, does not explain long delay after the bar date before filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3897	TRO896923FTC	4/25/2016	DiGregorio, Mark	Mark DiGregorio	7365			X				1979 diagnosis; was not aware of any claims nor did he realize that there was a legal proceeding against Kerr McGee during that particular time period otherwise he would have filed a claim. Also, indicates that he did not know and had no reason to know that he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3898	TRO897345FTC	5/25/2016	DiGregorio, Margaret	Margaret DiGregorio	7366		X	X				2006 diagnosis; was not aware of any claims in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Also, indicates did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3899	TRO885481FTC	11/25/2015	Webb, Ella	Ella Webb	7367		X	X				1950s diagnosis; previously filed with the Creosote Litigation Group, which included W. Howard Gunn, William Bambach and William Cunningham; says she thought she really had filed prior to bar date through these lawyers and has been sending information to them since 2002 and they changed with many different lawyers at times. Filing with class action attorneys was in connection with a separate proceeding, was not part of the bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if Creosote Litigation Group represented this movant in 2009 but if so they should have filed a claim, because the attorneys with the Creosote Litigation Group received direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim either was resolved in an earlier proceeding; or, if subject to a pending proceeding, should have been the subject of a proof of claim; or, if neither of those was the case, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3900	TRO884976FTC	11/25/2015	Colvin, Sr. Bobby	Bobby Colvin, Sr.	7368		X	X				1970s diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002, outcome not disclosed; says did not file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy prior to bar date because he was unaware of an ongoing case. Also, complains that there are those in the neighborhood who have been rewarded for injuries such as nose bleeds, while he is suffering with many medical conditions and has not been compensated. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claims and legal rights. No evidence the claim was subject to a pending proceeding at the time of the bar date. Claim either was resolved in a prior class action or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3901	TRO899352FTC	8/16/2016	Meady, Lanell	Robert Meady	7369	8304	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with William Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8304, complains that people who do not live in the area are getting claims allowed and residents are not. No evidence claim was pending at the time of the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3902	TRO894317FTC	3/2/2016	Usery, Daniel	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7370	7371	X	X		X		2002 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 2002 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3903	TRO899345FTC	8/16/2016	Meady, Robert	Robert Meady	7372	8303	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket # 8303, also complains that others have had claims allowed that do not even live in the area. No evidence claim was pending at the time of the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3904	TRO890603FTC	2/23/2016	Holiness, Johnny	Precious Holiness	7373		X	X				Diagnoses 1992 and 1993; the injured party died in 1995; rep says unaware of the filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3905	TRO892501FTC	2/23/2016	Dumas, Lucille	Ozzie Rhone	7374	9312	X	X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1968; says incompetent and incapacitated at time of filing deadline (unclear if just referring to injured party); rep says that publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9312 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3906	TRO902797FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Ossie	Tanyaneka Johnson	7375		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; rep was not aware of social media, did not see the newspaper, TV; standard language as to reasons did not file in 2009; unaware of the ongoing Tronox bankruptcy case; did not receive a phone call, letter, or learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3907	TRO900089FTC	7/25/2016	Jackson, Dewayne	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7376	7377	X	X			X	1994 diagnosis. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1994 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3908	TRO880930FTC	12/4/2015	Lawrence, Duanna	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7378	7379		X			X	2006 diagnosis; moved out of state; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, though none is identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3909	TRO880926FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Gwenewa (Troupe)	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7380	7381	X	X			X	1983 diagnosis; moved out of state; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1983 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3910	TRO889326FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Castella	Castella Brown	7382		X	X			X	Alleges some pre-bar date diagnoses and some post-bar date diagnoses. Was not aware she could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3911	TRO892625FTC	2/23/2016	Bekanich, Yvonne Bley	Yvonne Bley Bekanich	7384			X				1983 and 2004 diagnoses; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case, was working and staying with relative in another part of PA. Says that he did not receive the Wall Street Journal or any local papers while working in Philadelphia. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3912	TRO880790FTC	12/4/2015	Brown, Jerome	Jerome Brown	7385		X	X				Diagnoses 1963, 2005, 2006; unaware of a pending deadline; did not know about the claims being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3913	TRO887749FTC	12/14/2015	Cureton, Leb	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7386	7387		X		X		2008 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, though none is identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3914	TRO886503FTC	12/7/2015	Morris, Billy	Joann Morris	7388	8297		X				Alleges a 2008 diagnosis but says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2004; rep says injured party was unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case because he was bedridden from his condition. A supplement was filed at docket # 8297, says claimants are without knowledge to wade through a constantly changing process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3915	TRO901967FTC	9/14/2016	Barry, Ricky	Ricky Barry	7389		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1999 and earlier; unaware that he could file a claim; says was not notified in mail, newspapers, letters, correspondence or tv concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3916	TRO892117FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Mary	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7390	7391	X	X		X		1998-99 diagnosis; did not receive any notice; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1998-99 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3917	TRO885554FTC	11/25/2015	Woods, Mattie	Mattie Woods	7392	8160	X	X				May 2003 diagnosis; was not aware could file a claim, if she had known, she would have filed; learned about the Garretson Trust at a town hall meeting many years later. A supplement filed at docket # 8160. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3918	TRO904234FTC	1/3/2017	LaCorte, Donna	Donna LaCorte	7393			X				2005 and 2006 diagnoses; says she signed a sheet in the town hall of Avoca PA in the summer of 2002 or 2003; she did not hear anything more about the claim until she heard from a friend that the court reached a settlement and provided her with the telephone number. She says she was not contacted by anyone about the status of the lawsuit or the settlement. Unclear what paper was signed in 2002/03 or whether claimant was part of a prior lawsuit but apparently she was not. Fact the claimant attended the town hall and submitted something shows some awareness of rights and claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3919	TRO888076FTC	12/18/2015	Lawton, Michelle	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7394	7396	X	X		X		1987 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also filed a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, but no such post-bar date issue is described in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1987 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3920	TRO884723FTC	11/25/2015	Austin, Janice	Janice Austin	7395			X				1960 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice as she says she lived in Oklahoma City and did not become aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means or publications, local or otherwise; she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware condition caused by a Tronox product; says better notice should have been provided for those who relocated from area. Former creosote plant was located in Hugo, OK and notices of the bar date were published in (1) the Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, (2) the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times in Hugo, OK, (3) the Cleveland American in Cleveland, OK, and (4) the Tulsa World in Tulsa, OK, as well as in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice did not include Oklahoma City but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009, those notices were approved with participation of attorneys representing creosote claimants. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3921	TRO892870FTC	2/23/2016	Webb, Courtney	Courtney Webb	7397	8319		X				March 2006 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notifications by newspaper, TV or any social media; standard language. A supplement filed at docket # 8319, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3922	TRO898117FTC	6/20/2016	Moore, Gracie	Gracie Moore	7398		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealing with attorney shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. No indication claim was the subject of a pending proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3923	TRO885123FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Clara	Clara Wilson	7399			X				2007 diagnosis; says the publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice as she lives in Oklahoma; did not become aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means or publication, local or otherwise by the filing deadline; says better notice should have been provided for those who relocated; she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware condition caused by a Tronox product. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. A Kerr-McGee creosote plant was located in Hugo, OK and notices of the bar date were also published in (1) the Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, OK, (2) the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times in Hugo, OK, (3) the Cleveland American in Cleveland, OK, and (4) the Tulsa World in Tulsa, OK. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Claimant also has not shown diligence in pursuing legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3924	TRO880120FTC	12/4/2015	Gandy, Naomi	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7400	7402		X		X		2006 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, though none is identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3925	TRO896587FTC	4/25/2016	Williams, James	Annie Williams	7401		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died before the bar date; employed by Kerr McGee, did not know he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3926	TRO888943FTC	12/30/2015	Randle, Renita	Renita Randle	7403			X			X	Says diagnosed Dec. 2009; did not know of the case, saw no notices about it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3927	TRO895339FTC	3/24/2016	Sanders, Yolanda	Yolanda Sanders	7404		X	X				1974 and 1989 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, received compensation but says not enough; says did not receive a letter concerning the bar date, does not read Wall Street Journal and did not see the other publications; says she should not be penalized for the unknown; did not get fair chance to receive notification of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3928	TRO897383FTC	5/25/2016	Cohen, Freddie	Mary Ann Mastin	7405		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; incompetent and incapacitated in 2009 (apparently referring to the decedent); publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; informed that only certain people or area could apply (not say by whom or when). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3929	TRO885876FTC	11/25/2015	Cotton, Cynthia	Cynthia Cotton	7406	8326	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket # 8326, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3930	TRO886783FTC	12/7/2015	Teal, La Wanda	La Wanda Teal	7407			X				1966 diagnosis; says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to her as she lived in Oklahoma; she was not aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means of publication, local or otherwise, prior to the bar date; without knowledge of the lawsuit, she did not know or have reason to know she had been exposed to a Tronox product; when she learned of it, she became aware that conditions were caused by Tronox product; responsible parties neglected to ensure that those who relocated received notice. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Former creosote plant was located in Hugo, OK and notices of the bar date were also published in (1) the Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, OK, (2) the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times in Hugo, OK, (3) the Cleveland American in Cleveland, OK, and (4) the Tulsa World in Tulsa, OK. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3931	TRO890414FTC	2/23/2016	Gregory, Jerry	Jerry Gregory	7408			X				1994 diagnosis; prior claim in 2002, no details provided; place of exposure not clear; no reason provided for failure to file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3932	TRO890617FTC	Unknown	Barnes, Caroline	Caroline Barnes	7409			X				Not included in Trust's summary. No diagnosis date listed, symptoms in 2002; she did not file a claim in the Tronox case by the bar date because she did not know about it; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive notice that she was required to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3933	TRO886136FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Otis	Otis Williams	7410	X	X					1990 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999, outcome not specified; unaware could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3934	TRO887667FTC	12/14/2015	Patman, Robert (Taylor)	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7412	7413	X	X			X	2005 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3935	TRO893442FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Michael	Michael Scott	7414			X			X	Says symptoms 2001 and diagnoses 2011 and 2014; says was unaware of the information and the possibility of getting a settlement for physical injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3936	TRO886137FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Brenda	Brenda Williams	7415	8119	X	X				Diagnoses 1985 and 2000; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999, outcome unclear; unaware could file a claim; says discharge of claim violation of due process; unaware of the process. A supplement filed at docket #8119 with medical records. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3937	TRO887056FTC	12/14/2015	Gregory, Betty	Betty Gregory	7417			X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; atty Bambach; refers to the class action and says that some members of that class received payment and continue to receive payment while other people such as claimant receive nothing while repeatedly refiling claims. Also references Article II, section 2.2(b)(i) of the procedures in the Trust concerning allowance of claims that provides that no proof of injury is required for timely filed proof of claims. The bankruptcy case is separate from the class action. Claimant did not file a timely proof of claim in the bankruptcy case and has not offered reasons why that failure should be excused.
3938	TRO896358FTC	4/13/2016	Anderson, Laverne	Laverne Anderson	7418		X	X				2005 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware condition due to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3939	TRO898978FTC	6/27/2016	Lawrence, Sam	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7419	7421	X	X		X		1995 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the 1995 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3940	TRO895625FTC	3/24/2016	Perrins, Raymond, Sr.	Raymond Perrins, Sr.	7420	8094		X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of the claims against the company; moved to a neighboring area; not notified or aware of any pending lawsuit or investigation regarding Kerr McGee; says did not have any reason to believe condition resulted from harmful exposure; filed when aware of lawsuit. A supplement filed at docket #8094, moved to a rural area and did not have access to any local newspapers near company. Publication notices were reasonably designed to cover areas where claimants likely were to be found, due process did not require more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3941	TRO895626FTC	3/24/2016	Perrins, Elenor	Elenor Perrins	7422	8097		X				1976 diagnosis; unaware of any claims against the company; moved to a neighboring area; unaware conditions were related to the exposure. A supplement filed at docket # 8097, unaware of lawsuit in 2009; was not contacted by mail about lawsuit; moved to a rural area; was not contacted by mail; without access to newspapers near company. Publication notices were reasonably designed to cover areas where claimants likely were to be found, due process did not require more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3942	TRO895628FTC	3/24/2016	Perrins, Raymond, Jr.	Raymond Perrins, Jr.	7423	8095		X				1977 diagnosis; unaware of any claims against the company; moved to a neighboring area; unaware conditions were related to the exposure. A supplement filed at docket # 8095, unaware of lawsuit in 2009; was not contacted by mail about lawsuit; moved to a rural area without access to newspapers near company. Publication notices were reasonably designed to cover areas where claimants likely were to be found, due process did not require more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3943	TRO892329FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Kelvin	Kelvin Jackson	7424		X	X				1979 diagnosis; says filed claim after claim and was always told something wrong with paperwork, says filed claim 11/1/2009 but does not provide support and there is no record of such a claim in the bankruptcy case until February 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3944	TRO892330FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Bertha	Kelvin Jackson	7425		X	X				1969 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; rep says filed claim after claim and was always told something wrong with paperwork, says filed claim 11/1/2009 but does not provide support and there is no record of such a bankruptcy claim until February 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3945	TRO888956FTC	12/30/2015	Jackson, Mack	Mack Jackson	7426		X	X				1969 diagnosis; says filed claim 11/1/2009 and was informed paperwork wrong, but there is no record of such a bankruptcy claim until December 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3946	TRO885278FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Jeremy	Jeremy Sherrod	7427			X		X		Minor; child or incompetent at time; standard language; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3947	TRO901389FTC	8/16/2016	Braswell, Cynthia	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7430	7431	X	X		X		1957 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3948	TRO891266FTC	2/23/2016	Biller, Crystal	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7432	7433		X			X	2006 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3949	TRO897277FTC	5/4/2016	Davis, William	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7434	7435	X	X			X	1975 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3950	TRO885551FTC	11/25/2015	Lomo, Pamela	Pamela Lomo	7436			X		X		Diagnoses 1959 (kidney), 1995 (bleeding), 1980 (respiratory), 2012 (thyroid), 2016 (heart); says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says she lived in Oklahoma and did not become aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means of publication, local or otherwise. As she did not have notice of the suit, she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Publications in OK included the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times, the Cleveland American and the Tulsa World. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3951	TRO896334FTC	Unknown	Williams, Pamela	Pamela Williams	7437			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; says did not get any notices from the court or from attorney after retention in 2015; says had been sick and going to doctors for her exposures but does not provide dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3952	TRO892739FTC	2/23/2016	Walton, Arnitra	Arnitra Walton	7438	8772		X				Diagnosis dates are not clear; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says was a violation of due process but does not say how. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8772 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3953	TRO892740FTC	2/23/2016	Walton, Kaitlyn	Arnitra Walton	7439	8773		X				Guardian filing for someone who is a minor; guardian says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges violation of due process but does not say how. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8773 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3954	TRO892738FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, Sophie	Sophie Washington	7440	8775		X				Diagnosis dates are not clear; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8775 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3955	TRO890425FTC	2/23/2016	Burnett, Betty	Ellis J. Burnett	7441			X		X		Alleges various initial diagnosis dates, all post-bar date; rep says injured party did not understand the information she was getting about getting a settlement for her injuries due to exposure to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3956	TRO890413FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Michael	Regina Sykes	7442		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says was not aware of the Tronox case, did not see public notices, called 800 number later and was told to file a future tort claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3957	TRO896387FTC	4/13/2016	Canada, Cindo	Cindo Canada	7443	8237		X			X		1996, 2009 diagnoses; relocated to Birmingham, Alabama and was not notified about the claim; says PTSD is a factor. A supplement filed at docket #8327, in and out of rehab and was not aware of what was going on; his addiction would not let him live in reality; says was not in his right mind for years; says previously submitted medical records. Place of exposure not clear. No explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual claim filing date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge and some level of disability but not complete incapacity, insufficient showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights) for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3958	TRO895338FTC	3/24/2016	Washington, Vanessa	Vanessa Washington	7444		X	X					2004 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was compensated but not enough; developed additional conditions after getting compensated; unaware of bar date; unaware of the publications. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3959	TRO889205FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Regina	Regina Sykes	7445	8509		X			X		December 2009 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons did not file. A supplement filed at docket #8509. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3960	TRO892126FTC	2/23/2016	Norwood, Patricia	Patricia Norwood	7446		X	X					1980 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; says did not know of case; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not state why. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3961	TRO885277FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Otis	Otis Sherrod	7447			X			X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3962	TRO885276FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrrod, Yolanda	Yolanda Sherrrod	7448			X			X	2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3963	TRO887650FTC	12/14/2015	Hairston, Simon	Simon Hairston	7449		X	X				1965 and February 2009 diagnoses; prior to bar date, had no knowledge and did not receive any notice from anywhere; does not receive or read any newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3964	TRO894292FTC	3/2/2016	Porter, Charlie	Martha Porter	7450		X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons missed bar date; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3965	TRO889537FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Dynah	Dynah Porter	7451		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says the publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not say why; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware of the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3966	TRO898854FTC	6/27/2016	Summerville, Clifton	Martha Porter	7452			X			X	2013, 2014 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2015; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3967	TRO898852FTC	6/27/2016	Porter, Charlie	Charlie Porter	7453		X	X				1979 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any publication notice; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3968	TRO894293FTC	3/2/2016	Porter, Martha	Martha Porter	7454		X	X		X		1965 listed as first diagnosis date but various conditions are listed, unclear whether they had separate diagnosis dates; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, outcome unknown; unaware of the bankruptcy case; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not say why; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, but actually participated in a prior class action based on such exposure. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses based on prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3969	TRO898856FTC	6/27/2016	Sunivelle, Bessie	Martha Porter	7455		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, outcome not specified; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in the prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3970	TRO898855FTC	6/27/2016	Sunivelle, Robert	Martha Porter	7456		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3971	Unknown	5/22/2018	McKinney, Martavious	Martavious McKinney	7457		X	X				1995 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus; says that paperwork was filed before 2009; says paperwork was submitted but "lost by the courts during filing procedures" and says called recently and papers were not found. No evidence of claim filing; in any event, the Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3972	TRO892417FTC	2/23/2016	Coley, Eddie	Eddie Coley	7458			X				Page with diagnosis information is missing from the motion; says previously filed a claim in a 2009 Tronox class action lawsuit; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says he did not see any public notification; says spouse was ill and he was back and forth to hospital and he acquired the number and called, the reference to calling the trust must be post-plan confirmation. No evidence that a claim was pending at the time of the bankruptcy. Counsel of record to plaintiffs in all pending litigations received direct notice of the bar date, no record of any timely claim for this claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. An unexcused failure by an attorney to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3973	TRO889507FTC	2/23/2016	Tucker, Cornelius	Walter Tucker	7459		X	X				Late 1970s diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; unaware of the ongoing Tronox case; did not receive letter, TV, or radio ads concerning matter; did not get information that those who did not work at the plant could file. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3974	TRO892077FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Robert	Robert Moore	7460			X				Symptoms 1993-1998 onset; diagnosis just says "VA" without date(s); says was "unaware we could [file]." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3975	TRO884081FTC	11/13/2015	Colvin, Ariel	Ariel Colvin	7461		X	X				Diagnosis "2000s;" previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, outcome unknown; says was unaware of the ongoing Tronox case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3976	TRO891818FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Catherine	Catherine Moore	7462		X	X				Diagnosis date just listed as "yes;" previously filed with atty Bambach in 2000 or 2003; unaware that she could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3977	TRO900354FTC	7/25/2016	Sprowell, Connie	Connie Sprowell	7463			X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but submits some medical records for earlier dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3978	TRO885279FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Kamillia	Kamillia Sherrod	7464			X			X	2011 diagnosis; says was a minor at time. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3979	TRO884816FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Ned	Ned Johnson	7465			X			X	Alleges 9/1/09 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3980	TRO900373FTC	7/25/2016	Townsend, Regina	Regina Townsend	7466		X	X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says symptoms and diagnosis 2012 but includes medical records for earlier dates including 2005. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3981	TRO885815FTC	11/25/2015	Everette, Peggy	Peggy Everette	7467		X	X				Late 1990s/early 2000s diagnoses; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3982	TRO905786FTC	4/24/2017	Shields, Vonda	Vonda Shields	7468			X				2007 diagnosis; former resident of Mississippi; says there was not adequate advertising of the claim during the period of time she resided between Mississippi and Texas, says resided in Kingwood, Texas from 1/12/09 to 3/1/11. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3983	TRO898202FTC	6/20/2016	Collie, Sarah	Sarah Collie	7469		X	X		X		Different conditions diagnosed in 1970, 2000, 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003-2004; minimum given and was told take it or leave it; says unaware she could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on the terms of a prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3984	TRO903689FTC	11/23/2016	Stevenson, Joseph	Pearlie Stevenson	7470		X	X				1995 diagnosis; representative filing for an injured party who died in 2007; says injured party was incompetent, incapacitated at bar date (injured party was dead), but the relevant question is why the representative did not file; movant says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3985	TRO892241FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Jessie	Rickey McCollum	7471		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; standard form reasons as to why did not file in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3986	TRO903688FTC	11/23/2016	Ivy, Myrtle	Pearlie Stevenson	7472		X	X				First diagnosis 1989 (condition continued until injured party died in 2008); alleges incompetence and incapacitation as of the bar date (apparently referring to fact that the injured party had died in 2008); did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Relevant question in terms of capacity is as to why representative did not file a proof of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3987	TRO904055FTC	1/3/2017	Ivy, Eugene	Pearlie Stevenson	7473		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; says being "incompetent, incapacitated" applies to this claim, referring apparently to fact that the injured party was deceased, but issue is why rep did not file; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3988	TRO880755FTC	12/4/2015	Young, Iker	Iker Young	7474		X	X				Diagnosis date(s) not clear; says he first filed a claim in 1996-1999, when Kerr-McGee first discovered that the water where he lived was exposed to chemicals. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3989	Unknown	#N/A	Chaney, Alton, Sr.	Alton Chaney Sr.	7475		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2006; filing at docket # 7476 makes clear that the movant is a former resident of Columbus, MS; says that he left the area in 1994 and relocated to Georgia and was unaware of the bankruptcy case and the bar date because he did not receive notice. Complains of lack of notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3990	Unknown	2/23/2016	Chaney, Alton Jr.	Alton Chaney Jr.	7476			X				1999 diagnoses; parent filing for a minor; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was unaware of deadline, had moved to Georgia in 2004 and family unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3991	Unknown	#N/A	Chaney, Meijun	Meijun Chaney	7477		X	X				Diagnoses 1992, 2000; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved away to Georgia in 2004 and was unaware of bankruptcy case; did not receive any information about the future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3992	Unknown	#N/A	Chaney, Dylan	Alton Chaney Sr.	7478						X	2007 diagnosis; minor with autism and his age and illness prevented him from knowing of the deadline or the bankruptcy case, also he lives in Georgia. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3993	TRO880064FTC	12/4/2015	Cunningham, Andrea	Andrea Cunningham	7479		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1990 (heart murmur) and 2015 (palpitations); previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001, outcome unclear; a proof of claim was never provided by the law firm, nor was any notification of claims filing deadlines provided to her. Claims based on 1990 diagnosis were either resolved in prior action or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3994	TRO891729FTC	2/23/2016	Abrams, Beulah	Beulah Abrams	7480		X	X				Diagnoses 1986, 1987, 1988, 2008; previously filed with the Colom law firm; she was under the impression that the claim was current from 1993. Class action handled by Colom firm is separate from the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claims based on 1986-88 diagnoses either were resolved in prior action or, if not, were time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. As to the 2008 diagnosis; participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3995	TRO900820FTC	8/16/2016	McCabe, Linda	Linda McCabe	7481			X				1969 and 1991 diagnoses; says had no knowledge or reason to suspect that exposure to the chemicals emitted would cause his conditions which would result in surgery; says the publication notice was not sufficiently circulated to provide notice to individuals such as himself who did not subscribe to the publications used, use of other media should have been considered to communicate. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3996	TRO885094FTC	11/25/2015	Murray, Mashea	Mashea Murray	7482		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says that from 1/12/2009 to 11/2009 he was in Baghdad, Iraq as a contractor. However, does not explain long delay after his return before a claim was filed, and this Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3997	TRO898977FTC	6/27/2016	Brewer, Anthony	Anthony Brewer	7483	7484		X			X	2007 diagnosis; unaware he was drinking from contaminated water; says did not experience any of the conditions until he moved there. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3998	TRO890313FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Willie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7485	7486		X			X	March 2006 diagnosis; did not receive any notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims settlement; did not know could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3999	TRO894331FTC	3/2/2016	Gunter, Deon	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7487	7488		X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2016. Also a signed rejection notice. Separate memo of law argues there are grounds for relief based on excusable neglect and due process, but no pre-bar date diagnosis was identified in the motion, therefore no claim for which relief on the grounds of excusable neglect is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4000	TRO897179FTC	5/4/2016	Howard, Annie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7489	7490	X	X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; family members did not receive notice and were not aware could file on her behalf. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but that is impossible given death prior to the bar date. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
4001	TRO897176FTC	5/4/2016	Howard, Frank	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7491	7492	X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; family members did not receive notice and were not aware could file on her behalf. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but that is impossible given death prior to the bar date. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4002	TRO897180FTC	5/4/2016	Howard, Frankie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7493	7494	X	X				1955 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; family members did not receive notice and were not aware could file on her behalf. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but that is impossible given death prior to the bar date. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
4003	TRO901401FTC	8/16/2016	Parham, John	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7495	7496	X	X			X	1985 diagnosis; did not receive any notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims settlement; did not know could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4004	TRO902289FTC	9/14/2016	Brewer, Courtney	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7497	7498	X	X			X	1990 diagnosis; says previously filed a claim in 2000 but does not recall details and atty did not call back; tried to but could not find atty or any attorney that may have taken over the case. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the prior dealings with counsel show awareness of the claim and of legal rights. The claim based on the listed diagnosis was either resolved in another proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4005	TRO885150FTC	11/25/2015	Tate, Walter	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7499	7500	X	X			X	1994 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4006	TRO894782FTC	3/23/2016	Walker, Alishia	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7501	7502	X	X			X	1975 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4007	TRO894780FTC	3/23/2016	Walker, Courtnay	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7503	7504	X	X			X	1977 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4008	TRO893103FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Cynthia	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7505	7506	X	X			X	1974 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4009	TRO902816FTC	10/13/2016	Walker, Frank	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7507	7508	X	X			X	1990 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4010	TRO895358FTC	3/24/2016	Gunter, Eddie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7509	7510	X	X			X	2005 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4011	TRO885113FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Johnny	Denetra Stewart	7511		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party resided in Columbus, MS but is deceased; rep says worked two jobs and sent information when could; post office closed when she gets out of work; did not have relatives there to help her; was not informed of lawsuit by family until it was almost over. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4012	TRO889542FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Denetra	Denetra Stewart	7512		X	X				Diagnosis listed as "10," apparently meaning age (so approximately 1990); former resident of Columbus, MS; was not informed of bar date in ample time; works 2 jobs when gets out of work post office closed; did not have family there to help her to handle business. Her computer is monitored closely, limited time off. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4013	TRO889144FTC	2/23/2016	Demo, Dylan	Dylan Demo	7513	8089					X	Minor (11 at time of bar date); no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy; says her mother no longer resided in MS at that time also had no knowledge; did not see any television or newspaper ads or any article relating to Tronox bankruptcy or deadline; symptoms and diagnosis 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8089, says was only 11 when started having asthma symptoms. Merely alleges lack of knowledge on parents' part but will permit supplemental submission as to why parents or guardians did not file in 2009, why claim was not filed until so many years after the bar date, and whether relief is warranted.
4014	TRO913992FTC	6/28/2017	Daniel, Early	James Daniels	7514	7710	X	X				Diagnoses 1955, 1962, 1970, 1973; the injured party is a former resident of Birmingham, Alabama who died in 1973; rep says the bar date form of notice was insufficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; prior to bar date, claimant did not know and had no reasonable knowledge that he had been exposed to a Tronox product. A duplicate motion with supplemental material filed at docket # 7710. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. If claim is based on exposures in Mississippi or Alabama then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4015	TRO890628FTC	2/23/2016	Hopkins, Debra	Debra Hopkins	7515			X		X		2009 diagnosis (date not clear); was unaware could file; pastor of Maranatha Center in Columbus advised her to complete an application for future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4016	TRO893061FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Edward	Telisa Young	7516	8281	X	X				Motion lists various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; the injured party died in 2003. A supplement filed at docket # 8281. Representative says the time between publication of notice in June 2009 and bar date 8/12/20019 was insufficient, not reasonably calculated for a claimant to pursue a potential claim; that might have explained a few weeks' lateness but it does not explain the many years' post-bar date delay before a claim was filed. Says did not have access to any of the publications and that notice was not in the local paper, the Commercial Dispatch, but in fact the bar date notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009. Says was not aware and had no reason to know that the injured party had been exposed to Tronox product although Tronox was aware of nature of chemical; violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4017	TRO892923FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Telisa	Telisa Young	7517			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis post-bar date, though includes some medical records for earlier dates; says violation of due process; publication notice period short, not reasonably calculated to provide notice; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4018	TRO892922FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Debra	Telisa Young	7518	8280	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1998 and earlier; the injured party died in 1998. Supplement filed at docket #8280. Representative says the time between publication of notice in June 2009 and bar date 8/12/20019 was insufficient, not reasonably calculated for a claimant to pursue a potential claim; that might have explained a few weeks' lateness but it does not explain the many years' post-bar date delay before a claim was filed. Says did not have access to any of the publications and that notice was not in the local paper, the Commercial Dispatch, but in fact the bar date notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009. Says was not aware and had no reason to know that the injured party had been exposed to Tronox product although Tronox was aware of nature of chemical; violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4019	TRO898160FTC	6/20/2016	Davis, Christina	Christina Davis	7519		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; previously filed with the Colom law firm, received \$500 reward; unaware of the bankruptcy proceeding as was in and out of the hospital during those years, does not specify dates; was unaware exposed to harmful toxins, though also alleges was part of a lawsuit that included such allegations. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including merits of any defenses based on terms of the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4020	TRO898162FTC	Unknown	Davis, Veronica	Veronica Davis	7520		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1998 (headaches) and 2017 (cancer); previously filed with the Colom law firm, received \$500 award; unaware of the bankruptcy proceeding, says was a minor in 2009. Claim based on 1998 diagnosis was resolved in prior class action and cannot be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claim based on 2017 diagnosis, and any defenses to that claim (including any defense as to whether the prior class action settlement terms did or did not bar further claims) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4021	TRO898161FTC	Unknown	Davis, Autumn	Autumn Davis	7521		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses at birth in 1998; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2003, received \$500 award; did not know about the Tronox bankruptcy proceeding and at the time was a minor; unaware exposed to Tronox toxins. Claim was resolved in a prior proceeding and could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy.
4022	TRO898159FTC	Unknown	Holliness, Quincy	Quincy Holliness	7522		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1978 diagnosis; did not know about the bankruptcy proceedings; was incarcerated. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4023	TRO901325FTC	Unknown	Davis, Zenobia	Zenobia Davis	7523		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1998-99 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003, was awarded \$500; did not know about the Tronox bankruptcy proceeding; says was a minor; unaware exposed to harmful Tronox product. Claim was resolved in the prior class action and could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case.
4024	TRO885611FTC	Unknown	Stephenson, Dwight	Dwight Stephenson	7524		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Lists diagnosis date as "1988-2008," unclear if referring to continuing conditions or if there were separate diagnoses and (if so) when they occurred; says that he was told by Garretson Group and Tollison Law firm in 2008 that he was not in the database but the bankruptcy case was not even filed until 2009 and the motion says he first filed a claim in 2013; says he was living in another state and did not find out about the lawsuit until he moved back to Mississippi in 2008, but he could not have learned about the bankruptcy in 2008 because it had not yet been filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4025	TRO894688FTC	3/23/2016	Pitts, Harriet	Harriet Pitts	7525		X	X				Diagnoses 1971 and 1985; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4026	TRO899042FTC	6/27/2016	Clay, Nettie	Harriet Pitts	7526		X	X				1945 diagnosis; the injured party was former resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1980; rep did not know and had no reason to know that the injured party was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4027	TRO899040FTC	6/27/2016	Watson, Taveras	Harriet Pitts	7527		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party incarcerated; rep is former resident of Columbus, MS filing for her son; says that the injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4028	TRO898738FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Tara	Tara Smith	7528		X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009. Alleges a first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action, outcome not specified. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4029	TRO905461FTC	3/28/2017	Cofer, Sallie	Sallie Cofer	7529		X	X				1958 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says that she was grieving the death of a relative at time of bar date; says she became severely depressed and withdrawn with anxiety attacks, later became isolated from family. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4030	TRO896909FTC	4/25/2016	Ross, Roosevelt	Chynee Bailey	7530		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; unaware of exposure to Tronox product or its correlation to his illness; family says that they could have been notified directly because they were long-time residents of area, but no allegation that Tronox was aware of this particular claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4031	TRO900936FTC	8/16/2016	Walls, Octavian	Chynee Bailey	7531						X	2004 and 2016 diagnoses; minor at time of bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4032	TRO896908FTC	4/25/2016	Harris, Tommy	Chynee Bailey	7532		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2008, who failed to pursue the claim; unaware that exposure to Tronox product was cause of illness; says family should have been notified directly of the connection; notice was not published in a manner to provide notice to potential claimants who were uneducated; did not receive notice of bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publications complied with due process as explained in the accompanying decision. In addition, attorney Bambach received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4033	TRO890495FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Lavaris	Lavaris Harris	7533		X	X				2004 diagnosis; incarcerated from 2/28/2009 to 8/29/2009. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy in January 2009.
4034	TRO889739FTC	2/23/2016	Stephenson, Maggie	Frances Maggie	7534			X				2007 diagnosis; rep says she was unaware of the claims process; unaware of the dangerous Tronox toxins when she lived in the area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4035	TRO887043FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Kendall	Kendall Jones	7535		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 1998, 2000; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; says violation of due process but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4036	TRO893258FTC	Unknown	Gunter, Charles	Charles Gunter	7536		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1988 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4037	TRO884588FTC	11/25/2015	Marchbanks, Mary	Mary Marchbanks	7537		X	X				Trust apparently mixed information from dockets 7536 and 7537, correct information is reflected here. 2003 diagnosis; unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4038	TRO903368FTC	10/27/2016	Gilvary, Patrick	Patrick Gilvary, Jr.	7538			X				1978 and 1998 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to and contaminated by a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4039	TRO880991FTC	12/4/2015	Stovall, Jessie	Jessie Stovall	7539			X		X		Various diagnoses, all after the bar date; says was unaware of the information and the possibility of getting a settlement for her physical injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4040	TRO900435FTC	7/25/2016	Brown, Wilma	Wilma Brown	7540		X	X				Diagnoses 1966, 1992, 2001, 2003; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of process until 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4041	TRO903341FTC	10/27/2016	Brown, Helen	Helen Brown	7541		X	X				1960s diagnosis (headaches), other symptoms (dizziness) listed as "adult to present;" unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4042	TRO904051FTC	1/3/2017	Williams, Josephine	Josephine Williams	7542		X	X				1973 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says notice was deficient on its face (does not explain why); says notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of notice (does not say how); says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Publication notices were reasonable and complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4043	TRO897626FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Frederick	Frederick Jones	7543		X	X				1985 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; surgeries in 1988 and 1999; did not know exposed to Tronox product or that it could cause harm. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4044	TRO881013FTC	12/4/2015	Merks, Eugene	Eugene Marks	7544			X				2007 diagnosis; after surgery in September 2007, he was "subjected to CAT scans and doctor appointments every 3 months for the following three years" as well as other consultations and testing. Not a sufficient showing of incapacity and (more importantly) does not explain failure to file a claim for many years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4045	TRO902401FTC	9/14/2016	Cunningham, Mary	Connie Sprowell	7545			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died on August 31, 2009, right around the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Even if health of decedent near time of bar date would explain failure to file in August 2009 there is no explanation of lengthy post-bar date delay before filing claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4046	TRO897739FTC	5/25/2016	Calvert, Tinnie	Tinnie Calvert	7546	8156	X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1971, 1990; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was incompetent with a disability in 2009; not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case, had moved to another city, nephew is assisting him with forms. A supplement filed at docket # 8156. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4047	TRO897754FTC	5/25/2016	Calvert, Bessie	Bessie Calvert	7547	8141	X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1971, 1981; says disabled and was not aware of the Tronox case, moved to another city; incompetent and has niece assisting her with form. A supplement filed at docket #8141, rep (niece) says person is incompetent and had moved to another state. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4048	TRO904008FTC	1/3/2017	Bush, Mary	Mary Bush	7548	8221		X		X		2014 diagnosis; says was back and forth between cities in Mississippi and did not know of the claims; said was experiencing mental and physical health issues and thought deadline had passed years earlier with the Colom law firm; no reason to know affected by Tronox chemicals; later realized her condition could be related to creosote. A supplement filed at docket # 8221. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4049	TRO893936FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, Gloria	Gary Griffin	7549			X				Various diagnoses, 1/16/06 and earlier; the injured party died in 2007; rep unaware Tronox case was taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4050	TRO891223FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Qiana	Qiana Moore	7550		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some 1998 and earlier, others 2011-2014; says no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; moved away from area; unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4051	TRO885888FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Annie	Annie Tucker Jimmy Tucker	7551		X	X				Diagnoses 1980, 1984; the injured party died in 1987; rep says failure to file was due to ignorance on his part for not knowing and understanding the truth of what these papers were all about because of what seemed to be false gossip rather than value put on the importance of these papers; says Tronox did not do proper advertisement in major newspaper or at least not enough to spread the authenticity of the advertisement; more value at getting out the proper information should have been #1 on the agenda. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4052	TRO885887FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Otis	Otis Tucker Jimmy Tucker	7552		X	X				1980, 1989 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1991; rep says failure to file was due to ignorance on his part for not knowing and understanding the truth of what these papers were all about because of what seemed to be false gossip rather than value put on the importance of these papers; Tronox did not do proper advertisement in major newspaper or at least not enough to spread the authenticity of the advertisement; more value at getting out the proper and correct information was taken lightly. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4053	TRO885886FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Barbara	Barbara Tucker	7553		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some 2004 and earlier, others after bar date; says that she personally did not see major articles or articles in major newspapers or broadcasting, what was floating around seemed more like fake gossip rather than something major to her life and well-being; says the notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Says that the severity of her condition was not known until after the bar date, and it caused her to do further research. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4054	TRO885885FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Jimmy	Jimmy Tucker	7554		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2004, 2005, 2007; says the publication notice of claims filing deadline was not advertised stating the value and the seriousness of the advertising; says Tronox did not supply sufficient notice and advertisement to the public through known and renowned newspapers and publications. The rumors and conversation that was voiced sounded more like false gossip rather than something valuable and important to their health and life in general. Requests that their ignorance be excused. Notices were approved by the court with the knowledge and involvement of counsel active in creosote litigation (including in Mississippi) and were consistent with due process and have previously been approved in other decisions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4055	TRO892466FTC	2/23/2016	Oden. Roderick	Frances Oden	7555		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 1984, 2003, 2004; the injured party died in 2007; former resident of Columbus, MS; alleges that a claim was filed with atty Landis Sexton 2003, who represented all of the Maranatha Center claimants and he was supposed to have filed a claim but did not do so (however, the bankruptcy filing did not occur until 2009, so any contemplated claim in 2003 had to be in connection with a different proceeding); it was their understanding that the case was on hold pending the bankruptcy and they would get written notification when the litigation would proceed, but the bankruptcy was six years later, in 2009. Reference to the bankruptcy case suggests knowledge of the proceeding. No indication that claimant was actually a plaintiff in another proceeding, but bar date notices were mailed to all persons in pending cases or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Not clear if attorney Sexton was the movant's counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and an alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4056	TRO892468FTC	2/23/2016	Clayborn, Mattie	Frances Oden	7556		X	X				Diagnoses 1960, 1972, 1981; the injured party died in 1983; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with atty Landis Sexton who was the attorney for the Maranatha Center and who was supposed to file a claim; it was their understanding that the case was on hold pending the bankruptcy and they would get written notification when the litigation would proceed. Reference to the bankruptcy case suggests knowledge of the proceeding. No indication that claimant was actually a plaintiff in another proceeding, but bar date notices were mailed to all persons in pending cases or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Not clear if attorney Sexton was the movant's counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and an alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4057	TRO892469FTC	2/23/2016	Oden, Pope	King Nelson Oden	7557		X	X				Diagnoses 1955, 1961, 1968; the injured party died in 1970; former resident of Columbus, MS; rep says previously filed with atty Landis Sexton who represented all of the claimants of the Maranatha Center and who was supposed to file a claim; it was their understanding that the case was on hold pending the bankruptcy and they would get written notification when the litigation would proceed. No indication that claimant was actually a plaintiff in another proceeding, but bar date notices were mailed to all persons in pending cases or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Not clear if attorney Sexton was the movant's counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and an alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4058	TRO880216FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Marvin	Marvin Joiner	7558						X	1977 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says three previous lawyers were involved but only recalls the Sexton law firm; says was not provided with any information about the bar date; provides docs to support was in military service in 2009. No explanation offered for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service so that Court may assess the possible application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 as to the movant's own claim and may consider the dates of military service in evaluating the request for relief on grounds of excusable neglect.
4059	TRO880217FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Janae	Marvin Joiner	7559			X				2007 diagnosis; same movant as in docket #7558 but the motion at docket # 7559 is with respect to a claim on behalf of the movant's son; place of exposure not clear; father says previously filed with the Sexton law firm, date and proceeding not specified; father says not informed of bar date at the time was in military and moved several times while on orders of military reassignment, provides docs to support military service. Engagement of counsel shows awareness of rights and claims. No separate indication that claimant was a plaintiff in another proceeding or that attorney Sexton was counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date. An alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. No explanation offered for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4060	TRO880218FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Jamir	Marvin Joiner	7560			X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2005; same movant as in docket #7558 but the motion at docket # 7560 is with respect to a claim on behalf of the movant's child; place of exposure not clear; says previously filed with the Sexton law firm; father says not informed of bar date at the time was in military and moved several times while on orders of military reassignment, provides docs to support. No separate indication that claimant was a plaintiff in another proceeding or that attorney Sexton was counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date. An alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. No explanation offered for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4061	TRO891472FTC	2/23/2016	Profiet, Anitra	Anitra Profiet	7561		X	X				Diagnoses 1991, 2000, 2004; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2000-2001; if he had known that the claim was not accepted, he would have refiled; was not aware of the 2009 deadline as was not residing in Mississippi at the time. Seems to think that the bankruptcy case is connected to the prior class action, which is not correct. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4062	TRO898137FTC	6/20/2016	Brewer, Dennis	Dennis Brewer	7562			X		X		Says first diagnosed after the bar date, in 2011; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware of the health threatening issues. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4063	TRO903656FTC	11/23/2016	Summerville, Melvin	Melvin Summerville	7563			X		X		Says first diagnoses in 2011; says did not know and no reason to know or suspect exposed to a Tronox product prior to the claims filing deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4064	TRO891907FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Chimerel	Chimerel Brewer	7564			X			X	Says first diagnoses in 2011; says did not know and no reason to know or suspect exposed to a Tronox product prior to the claims filing deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4065	TRO903655FTC	11/23/2016	Summerville, Frederick	Frederick Summerville	7565		X	X			X	Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says violation of due process but does not say how; says learned of infertility in 1995 and was diagnosed with cardiovascular issues in 2011. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4066	TRO900370FTC	7/25/2016	Parson, Earnest	Joyce Parson	7566		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says previously filed with atty Jeffery M. Navarro 1994 in district court case no. 96-CV-00076; the attorney did not provide updates after several telephone calls, claimed the building burned down containing all records and advised that she had no recourse; she was not aware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy. No record that movant was a plaintiff in a case pending at the time of the bankruptcy. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim either was resolved in a prior litigation or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4067	TRO892426FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Willie	Willie Brewer	7567		X	X			X	1983 and 2011 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to deadly chemicals; says his education is limited and does not know what else to do for all his illnesses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4068	TRO892429FTC	2/23/2016	DeLoach, Gary	Gary DeLoach	7568		X	X			X	1973 and 2010 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4069	TRO886405FTC	12/7/2015	Youngblood, Mozell	Mozell Youngblood	7569		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2000, 2010, 2017; not aware of bankruptcy claims filing at time of bar date; did not see any of the published notice; moved away from Mississippi after school. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4070	TRO900410FTC	7/25/2016	Gatlin, Irene	Willie Jean Smith	7570		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; says incompetent; incapacitated (apparently referring to decedent rather than movant); no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product; published notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4071	TRO889320FTC	2/23/2016	Meady, James	James Meady	7571			X				2006 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4072	TRO892440FTC	2/23/2016	Deloach, Beulah	Beulah Deloach	7572		X	X				2000 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; because of her 4th grade education, she did not understand what was happening to her. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4073	TRO895261FTC	3/23/2016	Conner, Isham	Patricia Williams	7573		X	X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; family was unaware of the lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4074	TRO895254FTC	3/23/2016	Conner, Annette	Patricia White	7574		X	X				2003 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware of the lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4075	TRO901370FTC	8/16/2016	Charles Ray, Sr.	David Ray	7575			X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; place of exposure not clear; family was unaware of the lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4076	<b>TRO914532FTC</b>	7/26/2017	Jones, Shelley	Starling Jones	7576		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001; rep says did not receive any follow-up information regarding the class action lawsuit. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4077	TRO884221FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Shirley	Shirley Jones	7577		X	X				2003 diagnosis; unaware and no reason to know exposed to a harmful creosote product; this information was not made available to her to file a possible claim; says there was no way for her physicians to know that she had been exposed to an environmental agent. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4078	TRO884417FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Demetrius	Demetrius Harris	7578		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was a child when class actions began but alleges exposures beginning in 1979, so was approximately 30 or more years old at the time of the bar date; moved out of state and did not have information on how to process or proceed with the claim; unaware of cause of conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4079	TRO902734FTC	8/16/2016	Deanes, Doris	Mary Deanes	7579			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4080	TRO890062FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Denise	Denise Davis	7580		X	X				1957 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, he referred to another firm, received \$500 in 2001. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4081	TRO901431FTC	8/16/2016	Vogue, Catherine	Helen Vogue	7581			X				2006 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says she was unaware of the process until she had to sign as a beneficiary for a claim that had been filed for her father and then became aware of the claims process. Family was obviously aware of process as claim was made on behalf of father. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4082	TRO902915FTC	10/13/2016	Plisko, Cecelia	Andy Plisko	7582			X				Diagnoses 1985, 1990, 2007; the injured party was a resident of DuPont, PA who died in June 2009; rep unaware of the injured party exposure to a Tronox product or that it may have caused her conditions; rep lives in California. No suggestion of any effort by representatives to investigate claim or legal rights, no explanation of many years' delay before claim filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4083	TRO903611FTC	11/23/2016	Dillon, Delois	Jerry Dillon	7583	X	X					1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; rep says that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says incompetent and incapacitated, but apparently is referring to the deceased injured party and not movant; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4084	TRO889571FTC	2/23/2016	Randle, Earl	Earl Randle	7584			X		X		Alleges December 2009 diagnosis; not aware and no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to why missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4085	TRO894424FTC	3/2/2016	Erby, Eric	Eric Erby	7585	X	X					Diagnoses 1972, 1990, 1996, 2005; not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4086	TRO895129FTC	3/23/2016	Barry, Lena	Robena Moody	7586	X	X					Diagnosis dates not listed but had to be 1988 or earlier as the injured party died in 1988; rep says unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letter, correspondence, TV, concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4087	TRO895841FTC	Unknown	Bonner, Keiffer	Keiffer Bonner	7587			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; moved out of Mississippi to Alabama in a rural community; did not know about the toxin; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, but does not say why and makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant; lives pay check to pay check and does not own a computer and they do not sell the Wall Street Journal around there. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4088	TRO890699FTC	2/23/2016	Seeley, Joseph	Joan Seeley	7588			X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; spouse living away from injured party's hometown was unaware of any legal actions; became aware of the settlement after it was finalized; injured party's relatives did not inform her because they did not want to share any settlement with additional parties; also does not subscribe or have access to Wall Street Journal or any of the publications that contained notice of the filing deadline; rep says she herself had back problems and knee problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4089	TRO903194FTC	10/13/2016	Brooks, Tesia	Tesia Brooks	7589			X				Diagnosis date not clear, says symptoms began 2003; says was new to the area and did not know about the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4090	TRO885037FTC	11/25/2015	Colvin, Bobby, Jr.	Bobby Colvin, Jr.	7590		X	X				Diagnoses 1986, 2003; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in early 2009 before moving to Tupelo, MS and then to Georgia; says a claim was previously filed with the Colom law firm by parents but says they would have the details, does not state outcome; says he was unaware of the bankruptcy because did not receive direct notice as a known claimant; did not see the publication notice; says was dealing with depression in 2009 which made it difficult to focus on anything including college and work which should qualify as incapacitated; says he should be protected from unforeseen medical issues; says violation of due process but does not explain why; does not provide any supporting docs for depression. No support is provided for the allegation that this movant was a "known claimant." If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No record that movant was part of a pending lawsuit at the time of the bankruptcy but even if that were the case the attorneys for all pending cases and attorneys for the prior class action plaintiffs received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4091	TRO912829FTC	5/30/2017	Bailey, Eileen	Eileen Bailey	7591			X				2002 diagnosis; says previously filed with Munley & Munley, but says "date unknown," so it is not clear whether counsel was retained before, during, or after the Tronox bankruptcy; says moved away from Avoca (not clear when) and was not aware of the suit; says her condition was debilitating but does not allege inability to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4092	TRO892936 FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Jeffery	Jeffery Williams	7592		X	X				1989 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, does not describe outcome; says did not realize the severity of chemical exposure until after she had moved from her home in Columbus, Mississippi. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4093	TRO902924FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, John	Jocelyn Fenton	7593		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1981, 1991, 2006, 2009, 2012; former resident of Columbus, MS who left in mid-1970s; unaware of Tronox lawsuit because moved away to Indianapolis in the early 1970s; unaware exposed to a harmful Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; would not have seen notice in a local Mississippi paper; did not receive written notice. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4094	TRO902927FTC	10/13/2016	Davis, Tybarius	Jocelyn Fenton	7594		X	X				2004 diagnosis; exposure began in 1975; says unaware of lawsuit because was raised in Indianapolis but exposed to Tronox product because of frequent visits by family to Columbus, MS area; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4095	TRO902985FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Samantha	Jocelyn Fenton	7595		X	X				1987 diagnosis; says unaware of lawsuit because was raised in Indianapolis but exposed to Tronox product because of frequent visits by family to Columbus, MS area; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4096	TRO902984FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Kirby	Jocelyn Fenton	7596		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says unaware of lawsuit because was raised in Indianapolis but exposed to Tronox product because of frequent visits by family to Columbus, MS area; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4097	TRO902929FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Susie	Jocelyn Fenton	7597		X	X				Diagnoses in 1960, 1972, 2003; says unaware of lawsuit because moved to Indianapolis but had been exposed to Tronox product in Columbus; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4098	TRO902982FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, George	Jocelyn Fenton	7598		X	X				Diagnoses in 1972, 1997, 2006, June 2009; former resident of Columbus, MS until approximately 1968; says unaware of lawsuit because moved to Indianapolis and did not know of the bankruptcy claims process; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to be consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. In addition, there is no explanation of the lengthy post-bar date delay before filing a claim and no suggestion that claimant did anything to investigate or protect legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on 1973 and 1997 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4099	TRO902923FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Irene	Jocelyn Fenton	7599		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1951, 1970, 1972, 1975, 1991, 1992, 2014, 2017; former resident of Columbus, MS; says lacked knowledge about Tronox lawsuit because moved to Indiana in 1975; she would not have seen notice in Mississippi papers; did not know and no reason to know there was a lawsuit against Kerr-McGee; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product that was harmful to her; did not know she had a right to file a claim; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to be consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4100	TRO902926FTC	10/13/2016	Davis, Onyemachi	Jocelyn Fenton	7600		X	X				Diagnoses 1985, 1988; alleges exposure to chemicals in Columbus, MS; lacked knowledge of lawsuit as lived in Indiana; would not have seen any Mississippi publications; did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges violation of due process. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4101	TRO902983FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Jim	Jocelyn Fenton	7601		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1997, 2009, 2017; former resident of Columbus, MS; says lacked knowledge about Tronox lawsuit because moved to Indiana in 1975; she would not have seen notice in Mississippi papers; did not know and no reason to know there was a lawsuit against Kerr-McGee; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product that was harmful to her; did not know she had a right to file a claim; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. No explanation of long post-bar date delay, no description of any effort to investigate or pursue legal rights as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4102			Noland, Tyrone	Tyrone Noland	7602			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Letter says a rejection notice was sent alleging post-bar date manifestation of illness. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4103	TRO893127FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Toni	Toni Sherrod	7603			X			X	Unaware of bankruptcy case; says symptoms 2008 and diagnosis 2010 but says incapacitated during filing time because of illness. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is an alleged future tort claim, the merits of which are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4104	TRO899316FTC	7/11/2016	Summerville, Kinley	Pamela Colvin	7604		X	X				1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 2009; rep unaware eligible to file; thought it was only for those in direct vicinity of the plant; it appears that the person died a few days before the bar date in August 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4105	TRO894660FTC	3/23/2016	Latham, Jermaine	Jermaine Latham	7605		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Retention of counsel shows awareness of legal rights and claims. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4106	TRO887528FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Melinda	Melinda Malone	7606		X	X				Diagnosis in 2007, rest earlier. Unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4107	TRO901764FTC	8/16/2016	Redmond, Ruby	Ruby Redmond	7607			X		X		Previously filed with atty Bambach, date not specified; says symptoms 2009 but first diagnosis 2010; says incapacitated because of schizophrenia episodes and chemotherapy. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4108	TRO895782FTC	3/24/2016	Thompson, Anthony	Anthony Thompson	7608		X	X		X		The motion filed at docket #7608 is for Anthony Thompson [TRO895782FTC]. The motion at docket # 5578 is for an Anthony Thompson with a different claim number [TRO895930FTC] and a different address. The motion at docket # 7608 alleges diagnoses in 1971, 1974, 1992 and 2017; says was unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4109	TRO885852FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Shemeka	Shemeka Wilson	7609		X	X				1987 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm 1998, outcome unclear; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; says was a minor at time of the Colom action, but given date of diagnosis movant had to be at least 23 years old at the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4110	TRO894706FTC	3/23/2016	Swanigan, Jamonica	Jamonica Swanigan	7610	6690	X	X				Appears to be a duplicate of the motion at docket 6690 but the signatures differ. 1999 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. No indication that claim was part of a pending proceeding at the time of the bankruptcy. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4111	TRO884190FTC	11/25/2015	Saddler, Lavarro	Daniel Cockrell	7611		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still has residence there in 2009; was incarcerated at time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4112	TRO888738FTC	12/24/2015	Latz, JoAnn	JoAnn Latz	7612	8111						Diagnoses 1999; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy; place of exposure not clear; moved cross country making contact by mail difficult, says on active military duty from 1990 to time of motion filing in 2017; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice inadequate. A supplement filed at docket # 8111. Military service apparently tolled the application of the bar date. Claim was not actually filed in 2009 so it cannot be treated as a Category D claim but may be asserted as a Category A claim, subject to such defenses as the Trust may assert.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4113	TRO894646FTC	3/23/2016	Hairston, Frederica	Frederica Hairston	7613		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4114	TRO895300FTC	3/23/2016	Payne, Shalana	Shalana Payne	7614			X		X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis; not aware of the lawsuit or that it pertained to her or her condition at the time; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4115	TRO889218FTC	2/23/2016	Elizenberry, Andy	Andy Elizenberry	7615		X	X				1975 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; recently learned when people received settlement payments. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4116	TRO897289FTC	5/4/2016	Summerville, Clemmon	Queenester Stewart	7616		X	X				Diagnoses 1947, 1979, 1961; the injured party died in 1983; says father was unaware of proceedings but he died in 1983, rep does not provide an excuse for her not filing prior to bar date on behalf of injured party. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4117	TRO892856FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Queenester	Queenester Stewart	7617		X	X				Diagnoses 1958, 1969, 1994; former resident of Columbus, MS, moved to N.Y. long ago; unaware of any legal action filed against the chemical plant; never read the Wall Street Journal. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4118	TRO887889FTC	12/14/2015	Melucci, John	Madelyn Melucci	7618			X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; spouse says she did not file claim due to being incapacitated and incompetent but fails to provide any documentation as to timing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4119	TRO890420FTC	2/23/2016	Melucci, Madelyn	Madelyn Melucci	7619			X		X		Says incapacitated and incompetent to file timely claim without supporting material, also says diagnosis was in 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4120	TRO880472FTC	12/4/2015	Dymond, Janine	Janine Dymond	7620			X				September 2005 diagnosis; says was not directly notified about the lawsuit but does not allege Tronox knew of claimant; says had limited abilities of accessing printed notices prior to deadline; violation of due process but does not say how; says diagnosed in 2005 with many recurrences and was undergoing treatments for 5 years including chemo and was required to drive 5 hours round trip for the medical care. The records support that she was scheduled for chemotherapy until March 2009. No indication of any post-bar date efforts to investigate or pursue claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4121	TRO894967FTC	3/23/2016	Finch, Felicia	Felicia Finch	7621			X		X		Diagnoses 1967, 2002, 2013; place of exposure not clear; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see publications, says called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim; says notice of bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not explain why not; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4122	TRO904061FTC	1/3/2017	Williams, Katherine	Josephine Williams	7622			X				1949 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; place of exposure not clear; rep says form of notice deficient but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not explain why; the injured party was unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4123	TRO890365FTC	2/23/2016	Clay, Rickey	Rickey Clay	7623		X	X				1984 and 2008 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of the bar date. Says effort have been taken to restrict the flow of information, unclear who allegedly did so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same actually were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Says publication notice was inadequate for dispersing notification, does not read Wall Street Journal or any of the other 38 publications; as did not receive information of the bar date, he could not submit a timely claim. Publications included The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4124	TRO903675FTC	11/23/2016	Rabiega, Donna	Joseph Blazosek, Esq.	7624			X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; says the claim process was not clearly documented as to who was able to submit forms associated with the claim; insufficient notice to alert her rep of the need to associate her condition with the Tort Trust Litigation and bankruptcy process. Says the danger of prejudice to debtor is minimal by this single claim and in proportion to assets available to debtor, there is no prejudice and no impact on judicial proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. As to effect on the proceedings and the prejudicial effect on other claimants: thousands of other motions make allegations similar to this one. There are limited resources left and recoveries for legitimate claims will already be relatively low, those recoveries would be further decimated if claims were allowed on behalf of persons who have not met the necessary standards.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4125	TRO893165FTC	2/23/2016	Doran, Ambrose	Joseph Blazosek, Esq.	7625			X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; the claim process was not clearly documented as to who was able to submit forms associated with the claim; insufficient notice to alert his rep of the need to associate her condition with the Tort Trust Litigation and bankruptcy process. Says the danger of prejudice to debtor is minimal by this single claim and in proportion to assets available to debtor, says there is no prejudice and no impact on judicial proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. As to effect on the proceedings and the prejudicial effect on other claimants: thousands of other motions make allegations similar to this one. There are limited resources left and recoveries for legitimate claims will already be relatively low, those recoveries would be further decimated if claims were allowed on behalf of persons who have not met the necessary standards.
4126	TRO890720FTC	2/23/2016	Doran, June	Joseph Blazosek, Esq.	7626			X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1987; says the claim process was not clearly documented as to who was able to submit forms associated with the claim; insufficient notice to alert her rep of the need to associate her condition with the Tort Trust Litigation and bankruptcy process. Says the danger of prejudice to debtor is minimal by this single claim and in proportion to assets available to debtor, says there is no prejudice and no impact on judicial proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. As to effect on the proceedings and the prejudicial effect on other claimants: thousands of other motions make allegations similar to this one. There are limited resources left and recoveries for legitimate claims will already be relatively low, those recoveries would be further decimated if claims were allowed on behalf of persons who have not met the necessary standards.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4127	TRO891738FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Jonathan	Jonathan Morris	7627	8298	X	X				1992 symptoms; diagnosis date just listed as "yes;" previously filed with the Colom law firm 2004, outcome of that claim is not clear; says not aware and no knowledge of bankruptcy case. A supplement at docket # 8298 without knowledge to wade through process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4128	TRO890494FTC	2/23/2016	Morgan, Rosetta	Sammie G. Lowe	7628		X	X				Diagnoses 1972, 1974, 1978, 1980; the injured party died in 2004; rep says that despite the fact that they lived in Columbus, they were not contacted; says the form of contact was unfounded and undue process and should be rescinded. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4129	TRO894731FTC	3/23/2016	Gavin, Jessica	Jessica Gavin	7629			X		X		Says did not know that she could file a claim; symptoms and diagnosis 2016 and 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4130	TRO892525FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Ashley	Ashley Davis	7630		X	X				2006 diagnosis; says was in college and suffering from anxiety. Not a sufficient allegation of incapacity and no explanation of lengthy post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4131	TRO881080FTC	12/4/2015	Shrive, Clinton	Jason Shrive, Esq.	7631			X		X		Various cancer diagnoses from 2000 to 2017, dates of each unclear; the injured party died in 2017; spouse says she and her husband were not aware of the bar date as they were engrossed in dealing with his serious medical issues, but does not specify what was occurring in 2009 and does not explain many years' delay before claim actually filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4132	TRO900374FTC	7/25/2016	Patte, Deann	Deann Patte	7632	8179		X				Diagnoses in 1976 (at birth), 1983, 1991; says was never notified of the case, nor did she hear about any potential claim lawsuits, or legal options regarding Tronox in 2009 or prior; says parents moved out of state prior to 2009; alleges insufficient notice. A supplement filed at docket #8179, says was a teenager at the bar date but exposure in 1976 means claimant was approximately 33 years old in 2009. Says was unaware of the lawsuit or the harm from the chemicals. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4133	TRO891360FTC	3/1/2017	Tate, Justin	Justin Tate	7633	7634	X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 1998 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox tort trust claims settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4134	TRO894984FTC	3/23/2016	Brewer, Anthony	Anthony Brewer	7635		X	X				Says was diagnosed at age 29 but unclear when that was, though it was before bar date since some of the exposures mentioned occurred in 1977; says did not get the information on the lawsuit until he filed this; no longer living in Columbus, Miss or in contact with people who knew about the lawsuit; did not see or hear of any of the published notices. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4135	TRO893635FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Leroy	Leroy Scott	7636		X	X		X		Says first diagnosed in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Class Action, does not disclose outcome. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense based on the terms of resolution of the prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4136	TRO891822FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Jr., Vondurell	Vondurell Hibbler, Jr	7637		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1993 and 2017; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to the previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4137	TRO891820FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Vondurell	Vondurell Hibbler	7638		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1984, 1985, 2009, 2010, 2016; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but it is not clear that the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to a previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4138	TRO891823FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Joshua	Joshua Hibbler	7639			X			X	Lists diagnoses in 2010 and 2016 but prior diagnoses clear from records; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but there is no indication that 2001 proceeding was still pending at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the referenced attorneys received direct notice of the bar date. When he learned of Tronox tort claim trust contacted atty and was told paperwork lost. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4139	TRO891821FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Melissie	Melissie Hibbler	7640			X			X	Diagnoses 1965, 1975, 1989, 2010; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but it is not clear that the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to a previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4140	TRO890784FTC	2/23/2016	Commiso, Princess Skinner	Princess Commiso	7641			X				Diagnosis 1976-1980; place of exposure not specified; says just found out about claim when she filed it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4141	TRO891831FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Patricia	Patricia Turner	7642		x	X			X	Diagnoses 1965, 1970, 1991, 2016; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but it is not clear that the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to a previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4142	TRO892953FTC	2/23/2016	Bailey, Markita	Markita Bailey	7643			X			X	Says was not diagnosed until after bar date but does not state date; says had no reason to know exposed to a toxic product prior to deadline. Also says claimants did not know media was transmitting notice. Does not identify a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4143	TRO893080FTC	2/23/2016	Walton, Charles	Charles Walton	7644			X			X	Diagnoses 2012 and 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4144	TRO895348FTC	3/24/2016	Ellis, Jr., Sylvester	Sylvester Ellis, Jr.	7645		X	X			X	Various conditions, some diagnosed before the bar date, some after; says not aware of bankruptcy case until read an article in local newspaper (Commercial Dispatch) in 2015. Says that in Columbus, the information they were receiving was confusing and not informative. Says that from 2007 to present having surgery and major medical problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4145	TRO895503FTC	3/24/2016	McCrary, Teresa	Teresa McCrary	7646		X	X				Various conditions, onsets of some in 1960s; others not clear; diagnosis dates not clear; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive any information until 2016; was born in Columbus, MS, moved to CA. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4146	TRO889930FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Marie	Marie Williams	7647		X	X				1965 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and received settlement \$2,392.59 net; unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4147	TRO889928FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Brianna	Brianna Williams	7648		X	X				1996 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, received \$500 award; says did not know of filing of bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4148	TRO889929FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Landis	Marie Williams	7649		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4149	TRO880508FTC	12/4/2015	Candiracci, Joseph	Angel Mae Webby-Zola, Esq.	7650			X				2002 diagnosis; rep says that the injured party traveled significantly out of state for job, 5 days per week, also had a second job and did not have time to read newspapers or watch news media; did not have knowledge or information that there were any actions against Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4150	TRO891804FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Robert	Angel Mae Webby-Zola, Esq.	7651			X				1991 diagnosis; rep says that the injured party moved out of state to Florida in 2003; unaware of any actions against Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4151	TRO888443FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Tryone	Tyrone Brown	7652			X				Diagnoses 1995, 2000, 2008; place of exposure not clear by related party who filed motion at docket # 7654 refers to a residence in Jackson, MS; says was unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; subsequently was assisting in caring for sick relatives, focused on caring for relatives and himself; his headaches were debilitating and days would pass and he would be in a "fog" for hours or days; health declined. Does not provide dates for any of these events. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file claim during bar date period, no explanation of failure to file for many years thereafter, no explanation of any effort to investigate or pursue legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in MS then claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4152	TRO888446FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Tyesha	Tyesha Brown	7654			X				Diagnoses 1987, 1992, 1994, 1995, 2007; former resident of Jackson, MS; unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; subsequently was attending college and experiencing health issues, ways they were debilitating with memory losses and restricted her daily living activities but provides no specific dates or sufficient supporting information. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No explanation of many years' delay after the bar date before filing of claim, no explanation of any efforts to investigate or pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4153	TRO888445FTC	12/18/2015	Scott, Morgan	Morgan Scott	7655		X	X				Diagnoses 1993, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2009; place of exposure not clear but motion by related party at docket #7654 indicates former residence in Jackson, MS; says unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; says he was 17 years old living in Georgia, had to assist in care of parents and his own health issues; there were financial issues, lost home and were destitute and bar date passed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Explains why did not file a claim in 2009 but does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed, no showing of any effort during that time to investigate legal rights and pursue them. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in MS then claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4154	TRO894939FTC	3/23/2016	Verdell, Tommie James, Sr.	Mattie R. Vendell	7657			X				2008 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; spouse says that at time of filing deadline (unclear which filing deadline she references as she provides medical records for 2008, 2015 and 2017); says injured party was incapacitated due to chronic respiratory failure which made the task of completing basic daily activities without assistance impossible. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Unclear if alleged incapacity was during bar date period or during other times, but even if incapacity explained failure to file in 2009 it does not explain the many years that passed after the bar date before any claim was filed. No showing of any diligent investigation of legal rights and claims during that period. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4155	TRO901985FTC	9/14/2016	Kidd, Vicky Lynn	Vicky Lynn Kidd	7658		X	X				Diagnoses 1973, 1980, 1982; says was unaware of deadline; not residing in Columbus, now in Florida. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4156	TRO901435FTC	8/16/2016	Parson, Melvin	Melvin Parson	7659			X			X	Active military duty in Korea from 1/2009 to 2010; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any publications; diagnosis for one condition 9/1/2009, all others after that date. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion does not allege any pre-bar date conditions for which relief based on excusable neglect or due process is sought, the deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4157	TRO885405FTC	11/25/2015	McGregory, Frank	Lucy Payne	7660		X	X				Diagnoses 1977, 1982, 2000, 2008; the injured party died in 2008; rep unaware that they were still filing claims. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4158	TRO886108FTC	12/7/2015	Payne, Lucy	Lucy Payne	7661		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1982, 2000, 2010; says was unaware could still file a claim; very busy taking care of ill father (he died in 2008); also taking care of 4 children. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4159	TRO891762FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Natasha	Natasha Morris	7662	8296	X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in November 2009 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2004, outcome not specified. A supplement filed at docket # 8296, without knowledge to wade through the process. Says submitted information before the deadline but appears to be under the misimpression that the late-filed claim was timely. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion will be accepted based on its date and the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4160	TRO901748FTC	8/16/2016	Parson, Joyce	Joyce Parson	7663			X			X	Supporting records suggest diagnosis for all conditions after bar date; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know of the Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see any published reports. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but the motion actually seeks no relief based on any pre-bar date diagnoses so the timing is irrelevant. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4161	TRO887802FTC	12/14/2015	Rice, Marvis	Marvis Rice	7665		X	X	X			1981 diagnosis; says that he filed before January 12, 2009 deadline and attaches a letter from Department of Justice of February 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Filing letter with DOJ did not constitute the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. No showing of factors necessary to warrant relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4162	TRO900619FTC	8/16/2016	Sudduth, Adams	Adam Sudduth	7666		X	X				Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. 1985 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says made a claim in February 2009, references receiving a letter from the Department of Justice. Filing a complaint with the DOJ did not constitute the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4163	TRO894585FTC	3/23/2016	Robinson, Carla Sudduth	Carla Sudduth Robinson	7667		X	X				Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. 1977 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says made a claim in February 2009, references receiving a letter from the Department of Justice. Filing a complaint with the DOJ did not constitute the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was based on exposure in MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4164	TRO902966FTC	10/13/2016	Gedrich, Richard	Richard Gedrich	7668			X		X		Various diagnosis dates, listed ones from 2007, 2015, 2016; previously filed through a class action with Weitz & Luxemberg; says health conditions did not manifest until after class action. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Filing with counsel in class action shows awareness of legal rights. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4165	TRO896430FTC	Unknown	Blanchard, Carlos	Carolos Blanchard	7669			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, some appear to be repeats of prior conditions, alleges IBS diagnosis in 2015; unaware of deadline, resides in Ga. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4166	TRO890936FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Mary	Jimmie Thompson	7670		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1989 and earlier; the injured party died in 1996; rep says injured party was incompetent but injured party died 13 years before the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4167	TRO897132FTC	5/4/2016	Jones, Omar	Omar Jones	7671		X	X				Various conditions, does not list each one and does not list diagnosis date for each one; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4168	TRO894145FTC	3/2/2016	James, Maurice	Maurice James	7672			X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed, all after bar date; unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Trustee challenges timeliness of the motion, but no pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, deadline applied only to motions seeking relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4169	TRO903620FTC	11/23/2016	Moore, Shekita	Shekita Moore	7673			X				Lists 1980s diagnosis (at age 1) but also alleges various conditions, not clear what diagnosis date was for each of them; did not receive direct notification or adequate notice of bar date; did not reside in Mississippi during case review and had no knowledge exposed to a Tronox product; resided in Florida and did not receive or hear any of the published notices; says excuse is due process but does not identify a due process issue. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges entitlement to direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4170	TRO894010FTC	3/2/2016	Griggs, Quintrelle	Quintrelle Griggs	7674			X				1995 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not know condition caused by Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4171	TRO888492FTC	12/18/2015	Lagrone, Maggie	Maggie Lagrone	7675			X				A supplement to a letter rejecting trust determination. Says she was exposed before bar date and condition manifested and was diagnosed in August 2008. Other details not available. No excuse offered in these papers for failure to file by bar date, not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4172	Unknown	Unknown	Clemmons, Frison	Alfonza Smith	7676	4543?		X				Not included in Trust's summary. The injured party is deceased; rep does not provide list of conditions or diagnoses or an excuse for not filing prior to bar date. Possibly related to motoin at docket 4543, cannot tell. Handwritten note refers to claim TRO890938FTC, we have no other materials relating to that claim number.
4173	N/a	#N/A	Cole, DeMark	DeMark Cole	7677			X		X		Unclear what claimed diagnosis dates were. Merely application for future tort claim; no excuse provided, no grounds for relief from bar date. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4174		#N/A	Cole, Windolyn	Elbert Cole	7678			X				Merely application for future tort claim; no excuse provided.
4175	Unknown	#N/A	Cole, Elbert	Elbert Cole	7679			X		X		Not a motion, just a claim form. Claims are to be presented to the Trust in the first instance.
4176	N/a	11/28/2017	Gibson, Kelvin	Kelvin Gibson	7680			X		X		Not a motion, just a claim form. Claims are to be presented to the Trust in the first instance.
4177	Unknown	#N/A	Bush, Cynthia	Cynthia Bush	7681			X		X		Merely an application for a future tort claim; no excuse provided; says first exposure 1/14/2009 but does not provide diagnosis date but provides some medical records for 2016. Even if did not have actual knowledge of the bar date there is no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4178	TRO905278FTC	3/1/2017	Morris, Nathaniel	Mary Morris	7682			X				The injured party died in 2011; merely an application for a future tort claim; no excuse provided.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4179	TRO890731FTC	2/23/2016	Hinton, Bennie, Jr.	Bennie Hinton, Jr.	7683			X				1986 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; moved out of state and was unaware of lawsuit. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4180	TRO900473FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Callie	Callie Jones	7684		X	X	X			1974 diagnosis; did not know about Tronox claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4181	TRO894683FTC	3/23/2016	Glenn, Andrew	Mary Glenn	7685		X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1978, 1980, 2007; the injured party died in 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002, outcome not clear; rep states that she was not aware she could file a claim until she filed this claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Prior filing with Colom firm shows awareness of claims and rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4182	TRO887239FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Annie	Annie Harris	7686			X		X		Alleges 2009 diagnosis (when in 2009 is not clear); said she sent in papers 3 times and that she called and was told it was pending (it appears this is a reference to the late filing with the trust). Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4183	TRO885027FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Joshua	Joshua Harris	7687		X	X				June 2004 diagnosis; says that a proof of claim was sent but the papers were sent back numerous times (apparently referring to late filings with Trust). No record of any timely claim filed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4184	TRO896708FTC	4/25/2016	Jones, Petty	Willene Jones	7688		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant complains about publication notice but makes no allegation that Tronox had reason to know of this particular claim, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Says incompetent at time of deadline but apparently that is a reference to the deceased injured party (who died in 2002) and not to the movant. Says did not know and had no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product prior to the claims filing deadline or of its danger; says was informed only a certain area or people could apply, does not say who gave such advice or when, may be in relation to prior class actions that were limited as to class members. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in MS or Alabama then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4185	TRO903718FTC	11/23/2016	Butler, Marla	Marla Butler	7689		X	X				Diagnoses 1974, 1997, 1998; former resident of Columbus, MS who currently resides in Indianapolis, Indiana and was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy until she received the determination notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but no makes showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4186	TRO894650FTC	3/23/2016	Glenn, Darrell	Darrell Glenn	7690		X	X	X			Previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says not aware could file a claim until filed the claim that is the subject of this motion. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4187	TRO903719FTC	11/23/2016	Pope, Malcolm	Malcolm Pope	7691		X	X	X			Diagnosis in 2003-04; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009 but who currently resides in Indianapolis and was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy until received the determination notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4188	TRO896658FTC	4/25/2016	Hinton, Mildred	Mildred Hinton	7692			X				Diagnoses in 1975 or 1976; place of exposure not clear; says moved out of state and unaware of litigation against company. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4189	TRO896661FTC	4/25/2016	Mitchell, Arthur	Arthur Mitchell	7693		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s; place of exposure not clear; moved out of state and unaware of claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4190	TRO896662FTC	4/25/2016	Hargrove, Willie	Mildred Hinton	7694		X					1982 and 1992 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1992; place of exposure not clear; rep says moved out of state in early 1990s, unaware of claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4191	Unknown	#N/A	Unknown	Carl Lee	7695		X					A letter complains that payments were made to claimants who were not ill; complains about procedures followed by trust and the Colom law firm. Mr. Lee has not identified a claim for which he seeks relief and apparently has not made a motion on his own behalf. Contends Trust has been unfair, dishonest and discriminatory in treatment of claims but no specifics are provided and the Court is not aware of any support for such accusations. Asserts that injured parties should be paid and should not be blamed for missing deadlines but the Court is required to enforce deadlines and can only grant exceptions under the standards set forth in the accompanying decision.
4192	TRO890848FTC	2/23/2016	McGregory, Annie	Annie McGregor	7696		X		X			2016 diagnosis; was not aware that Tronox was still taking claims because busy caring for sick relative who had retired from the company. Trust complains that motion was not filed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but the motion does not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process and timeliness is not relevant. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4193	TRO890846FTC	2/23/2016	McGregory, Keion	Keion McGregor	7697		X					1992 diagnosis; was not aware Tronox was still taking claims because he was still in high school; relative worked at company, claimant attended day care around corner. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant turned 21 approximately in 2012, does not explain long delay in pursuing or filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4194	TRO890847FTC	2/23/2016	McGregory, Teara	Teara McGregor	7698		X					1990s diagnoses; says was not aware Tronox was still taking claims because was just graduating high school, relative worked at company, claimant attended day care around the corner. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant turned 21 in approximately 2011, does not explain long delay in pursuing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4195	TRO891232FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Carl	Carl Turner	7699		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Texas in 2003; did not file claim prior to bar date because was informed lived one block beyond the lawsuit coverage area by the lawsuit handled by the Colom firm, believed her claim would be summarily dismissed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. The class action lawsuit that covered only people who lived in a certain area predated the Tronox bankruptcy by many years. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4196	TRO891231FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Linda	Carl Turner	7700		X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1982; advised lived one block beyond original coverage area by atty Colom; not give time to obtain records to show executor of estate, denied due process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Colom litigation predated the Tronox bankruptcy and was separate from it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4197	TRO896792FTC	4/25/2016	Harlan, Barbara	Barbara Harlan	7701			X		X		2011 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; not aware of Tronox Tort claim trust at the time. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but the movant does not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought, so there are no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process and timeliness is not relevant. Movant wishes to pursue a post-bar date claim. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4198	TRO888488FTC	12/18/2015	Morgan, Michae	Michael Morgan	7702			X		X		Diagnoses in 2009 and 2010; says was not aware of the Tronox Trust claims filing deadline because individuals within the various church affiliations and associates kept the information private from not only him but also throughout the city of Columbus during the time period to submit a timely claim. Says people within the city also had false information, stating that "only people who worked for the Kerr-McGee chemical plant were eligible to file a claim." There was a pre-bankruptcy lawsuit that had different eligibility conditions but the pre-bankruptcy lawsuits were not part of the bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4199	TRO905578FTC	3/28/2017	Ferack, Joseph	Gabrielle Tate	7703	8250 8542		X		X		Says symptoms 2005 and diagnosis 2010, does not provide medical records. Supplements filed at docket #8250 and docket#8542, wants claim to go through appeal process, complains there was no health warning, complains about claims process. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4200	TRO890198FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Liticia	Liticia Davis	7704		X	X				1985 manifestation of symptoms, says "yes" as to diagnosis but without date; former resident of Mississippi; says an aunt who was assisting family in process (unclear if in 2009 or when late claims were filed) got ill, it resulted in delays and missed deadlines. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4201	TRO893291FTC	2/23/2016	Moluski, Cynthia	Cynthia Moluski	7705			X	X			Diagnoses in 1992, 1993, 2008; she did not file a claim because she did not know she would be eligible; was unaware that non-employees could file claims based on where they lived. She divorced and moved away in 2004 and stopped communication with ex-spouse and his family in area. Says cancer surgery in Sept. 2008 was debilitating, but does not explain long post-bar date delay before filing claim except by saying she did not realize what she might have recovered; it was when she saw many people in the community who were not sick being compensated that she realized she might be eligible and started inquiring about it. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. The risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4202	TRO888251FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Lillian	Lillian Harris	7706		X	X				Diagnoses 1979, 1990, 1997; filed prior claim with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was told could not file, but that was a different proceeding. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4203	TRO889721FTC	2/23/2016	Evans, Charlie	Charlie Evans	7707		X	X				Various pre-bar date symptoms listed, does not clearly state the separate diagnosis date for each; says had no knowledge of the lawsuit by news or TV prior to 2016. Says saw something in paper about "toxics." Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4204	TRO897430FTC	5/25/2016	Brewer, Elnora	Phyllis Brewer	7709	9151	X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1976; claimant did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9151 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4205	TRO902480FTC	9/14/2016	Roberts, Terry	Terry Roberts	7711			X		X		Says symptoms 9/1/2009 and diagnosis 9/1/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee challenges the timeliness of the motion but movant has not identified any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought and only seeks to pursue post-bar date claims, deadline therefore is not relevant. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4206	TRO891943FTC	2/23/2016	Brandon, Tiffany Hughes	Tiffany Hughes Brandon	7712			X				1979 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4207	TRO892801FTC	2/23/2016	O'Neal, Willie	Willie O'Neal	7713		X	X				Diagnosis 2001-2002; says was totally unaware could file a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4208	TRO903308FTC	10/27/2016	Ferack, Joseph	Michael J. Nidoh	7714	8188		X				Diagnosis dates unknown, approximated to be 2006; the injured party died in 2008. Estate administrator says that he thought a claim had been filed by the injured party but that is impossible because the injured party died before the bankruptcy case had even been filed. A supplement filed at docket #8188, says he understands the remaining funds were for anyone who failed to file by the bar date, but that is not correct - the remaining funds are primarily for people whose injuries did not manifest until after the bar date, others can participate only if they meet the stringent criteria for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice.
4209	TRO902957FTC	10/13/2016	Whitfield, Ora	Kenneth Whitfield	7715		X	X				Diagnoses 1976 and 1988; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not know about the claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4210	TRO903394FTC	10/27/2016	Bell, Gabrielle	Gabrielle Bell	7716	8252	X	X				1989 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says violation of due process (does not explain how). A supplement filed at docket #8252. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but motion is dated within the 90-day period. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4211	TRO903514FTC	11/23/2016	Metcalf, Lucille	Jena Bell	7717	8227	X	X				Diagnosis dates not listed but the injured party died in 2003; rep unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; asserts violation of due process but does not say how. A supplement filed at docket # 8227, says did not know about the process. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but motion is dated within the 90-day period. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4212	TRO901581FTC	8/16/2016	Mosley, Lucille	Lucille Mosley	7718		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4213	TRO892951FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Lereta	Lereta Hill	7719		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as "1986-2017," unclear if one continuing condition or if claimant alleges separate diagnoses for separate conditions; says that did not hear anything about this and saw no notices. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4214	TRO897384FTC	5/25/2016	Parr, Stephanie	Stephanie Parr	7720		X	X				1992 diagnosis; says was violation of due process because received no direct notice, but no allegation that Tronox knew of this claim; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4215	TRO894007FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, John	Donique Deloach	7721		X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously engaged a law firm; says did not file because "they" said that they weren't taking applications because it was in bankruptcy. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4216	TRO901960FTC	9/14/2016	Holt-Taylor, Lowreen	Lowreen Holt-Taylor	7722		X	X				1968 diagnosis; did not know about it. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4217	TRO897125FTC	5/4/2016	Buckhalter, Arnetria	Arnetria Buckhalter	7723		X	X				Diagnoses 1986, 1987; says form of notice deficient on its fact but does not say how; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4218	TRO894006FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, Donique	Donique Deloach	7724		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, but alleges they said that they were not doing any application because it was in bankruptcy. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4219	TRO888092FTC	12/18/2015	Shepherd, Teresa	Teresa Shepherd	7725			X		X		2010 diagnosis; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not learn from any media publications. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims.
4220	TRO888831FTC	12/30/2015	Henry, Christina	Christina Henry	7726		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1980 and 2010; says previously filed with atty Bambach, outcome not specified; says no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product but does not explain retention of Bambach if did not know he had a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4221	TRO890650FTC	2/23/2016	Mitchell, Aaron	Aaron Mitchell	7727						X	1994 diagnosis; says was a minor (approximately 17) at the time of the bar date in 2013. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4222	TRO889059FTC	12/30/2015	Peoples, Sandra	Sandra Peoples	7728	8259	X	X				Diagnoses 1994 and 2007; merely says filed a claim in 2014 or 2015 that was rejected; does not refer to the 2009 period or explain the failure to file then. A supplement with additional pages filed at docket #8259. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice. Motion does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4223	TRO904762FTC	1/24/2017	Porter, Krystal	Krystal Porter	7729		X	X				2004 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; says publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege Tronox knew of this claim; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4224	TRO897833FTC	5/25/2016	Turner, Allie	Allie Turner	7730	8975	X	X				1950s diagnosis; filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, result not disclosed; did not see any public notice, did not know of bankruptcy case. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8975 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4225	TRO897847FTC	5/25/2016	Turner, Christopher	Christopher Turner	7731	8975	X	X				1984 diagnosis; filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action, result not disclosed; says unaware of the bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8975 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4226	TRO896036FTC	4/6/2016	Green, Mary Ann	Mary Ann Green	7732		X	X				1970s diagnosis; unaware of any tort claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4227	TRO888832FTC	12/30/2015	Webb, Gevonta	Gevonta Webb	7733			X		X		2000 symptoms, 2010 diagnosis; says was a minor at time of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends that the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, motion only identifies a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4228	TRO905321FTC	3/1/2017	Cameron, Ronald	Ronald Cameron	7734			X				2005 diagnosis; tried to file in 2007 in an action handled by the Powell law firm but was told it was too late; also periodically incarcerated but dates unclear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Contact with Powell firm shows awareness of claims and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4229	TRO894008FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, Brandi	Donique Deloach	7735		X	X				2005 diagnosis; explanation for not filing by the bar date is "they said that they were not taking applications because it was in bankruptcy;" may have been referring to attempts to hire counsel, bankruptcy is not a bar to filing a bankruptcy claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Appears to admit knowledge of the bar date, makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4230	TRO893357FTC	2/23/2016	Weston, Willie D.	Willie D. Weston	7736		X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed this motion as docket #6048. Diagnoses 1972 and 1981; says claim was made in 2000 or 2001 (proceeding not clear); place of exposure not clear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4231	TRO895672FTC	3/24/2016	Whitfield, Alta	Alta Whitfield	7737			X		X		2013 diagnosis; did not know about it; did not know she was eligible as no longer lived in the area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4232	TRO901661FTC	8/16/2016	Tuggle, Johnny	Carol Hairston	7738			X				The injured party died in 2007; movant says a claim was filed and resolved but that the resolved claim predated the development of more serious conditions and death. Other claim had to be pre-bankruptcy as bankruptcy case was not filed until January 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not already resolved in a prior proceeding, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4233	TRO892561FTC	2/23/2016	Miner, Willie	Willie Minor	7739		X	X				1950 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know that claim existed; no knowledge of this or the deadline until notified by relatives. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4234	<b>TRO898014FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Blunt, Gerald</b>	<b>Gerald Blunt</b>	7740		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1979, 1980, 1990, 1992, 2008; excuse is that saw no publication notice. Alleges was a minor at the time of initial filing, but also says was first exposed in 1972, so was approximately 37 at the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4235	TRO890491FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Angela	Angela Smith	7741		X	X				Diagnoses 1981, 1991, 1995, 2003; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 action, result not stated; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice; says publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege that Tronox knew of this claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4236	TRO891819FTC	2/23/2016	Blevins, Cassandra	Cassandra Blevins	7742		X	X				2004 diagnosis; says did not know that could be included in original claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
4237	TRO889827FTC	2/23/2016	Bankhead, Carlus	Carlus Bankhead	7743							X	1997 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4238	TRO895042FTC	3/23/2016	Stevenson, James	James Stevenson	7744		X	X					Diagnosis in 1960s or 1970s; previously filed with the Colom law firm, result not specified; did not know about claims filing deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4239	TRO890675FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Ciara	Ciara Turner	7745		X	X			X		Diagnoses 2000 and 2017; says she had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4240	TRO887939FTC	12/18/2015	Lewis, Bernice	Bernice Lewis	7746		X	X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2013 and 2015; diagnoses dates in 1980s are crossed off; says filed claim with the Tollison law firm, does not say when, unclear if just referring to the late-filed claim; says did not know about the claim deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4241	TRO894009FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, John, Sr.	John Deloach	7747		X	X				2001 diagnosis; claims to have engaged Howard Gunn law firm in 1997 but allegedly was told they were not doing any applications due to bankruptcy, but bankruptcy did not occur until 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4242	TRO893796FTC	3/2/2016	Hill, Kerrigan	Kerrigan Hill	7748						X	1999 diagnosis (apparently at birth); minor. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4243	TRO897914FTC	5/25/2016	Mosley, Tya	Tya Mosley	7749		X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4244	TRO890388FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Ora	Ora Roby	7750			X			X	Alleges symptoms began in 2001 but not diagnosed until 2012; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
4245	TRO904761FTC	1/24/2017	Smith, Tony	Tony Smith	7751		X	X				1993 diagnosis; not aware of the bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice; says publication notice was not reasonable but does not say why; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4246	TRO894730FTC	3/23/2016	Gavin, Jessie Lee, Jr.	Jessie Lee Gavin, Jr.	7752			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnoses in 2011; did not file a claim in the bankruptcy case because did not know about the deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
4247	TRO889020FTC	12/30/2015	Lowe, Brian	Brian Lowe	7753		X	X				Diagnosis not listed in form on docket. Says did not know or had no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4248	TRO898338FTC	6/20/2016	Bowens, Annie	Annie Bowens	7754			X			X	2012-13 diagnosis; says an attorney made a claim in 2013 but attorney is deceased; says did not receive notice of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
4249	TRO893124FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Terry	Terry Turner	7755	8311	X	X				1969 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8311. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but motion is dated within the 90-day period. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4250	TRO894051FTC	3/2/2016	Jefferson, Eva	Bobby Barry	7756		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification in newspaper or any social media. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4251	TRO891162FTC	2/23/2016	Halbert, Emma	Emma Halbert	7757		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4252	TRO890651FTC	2/23/2016	Mitchell, Jerrie	Cornell Mitchell	7758		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep was unaware a claim could be filed on her behalf after she died. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4253	TRO889883FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Tyshun	Tyshun Hargrove	7759			X			X	Alleges September 2009 symptoms and December 2009 diagnosis; says bar date notice allowed too little time, but that would not have explained lengthy post-bar date delay before this claim was filed; says violation of due process to expunge claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Does not allege a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
4254	TRO902258FTC	9/14/2016	Prude, Diane	Diane Prude	7760		X	X				Diagnoses 1973, 2001, 2002, 2003; previously filed with Hamilton, Sexton & Berry 2005; later attempted to contact law firm but it was out of business. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Unclear if filing with attorneys led to a pending litigation at the time of the bankruptcy but if so direct notice of the bar date was provided to counsel and a proof of claim should have been filed. If claim was not pursued by counsel, that is not grounds for excusable neglect unless counsel's failures are excusable, no such excuse has been offered. Furthermore, if the claims were not part of a pre-bankruptcy litigation then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4255	TRO898362FTC	6/20/2016	McCrary, Tijah	Tammie Shennod	7761	7762	X	X				Diagnosis before 2002; alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Duplicate motion is at docket # 7762; the docket entry incorrectly refers to Danica Jones, whose motion is at docket # 7764. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4256	TRO893967FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Arthur, Jr.	Sylvia Bradford	7763		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from tv or radio. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4257	TRO894639FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Dianca	Dianca Jones	7764		X	X				1996 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$500 check which they did not cash; moved to North Carolina and unaware of deadline; says was told (does not say by whom) that claim process was only for people in Columbus. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim may have been resolved in prior class action regardless of whether check was cashed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4258	Unknown	5/4/2016	Gregory, Lester	Lester Gregory	7765		X	X				1962 diagnosis; did not realize could file without the assistance of a lawyer. Once realized could file without an attorney, it was too late. Place of exposure not clear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4259	TRO901476FTC	8/16/2016	Edmonds, Roosevelt, Jr.	Roosevelt Edmonds, Jr.	7766		X	X				Diagnoses 2005, 2009; was diagnosed with PTSD and legally blind and had difficulty filling out claims. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Court sympathizes with difficulties but they do not amount to an incapacity in the sense of an inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, does not explain long post-bar date delays before filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4260	TRO902040FTC	9/14/2016	Campbell, Sherry	Tara Bolden	7767		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2006; rep unaware of claims information; says the publication notice was not reasonable, does not say why; says incompetent and incapacitated, but that is apparently referring to the decedent. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4261	TRO893617FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Raina	Raina Wells	7768		X	X				Diagnoses 1997 and earlier; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; there was a lot of misinformation about the exposure of creosote; challenges whether notices were sent in compliance with court orders, but the notices were mailed and published by an independent noticing firm retained by the clerk's office and affidavits attesting to compliance were duly filed. Claims that people in place to answer questions (unclear to whom referring) deliberately told individuals that they were only affected if they lived near the plant and as she did not reside within the noted radius, she thought she could not have been exposed to the product; this likely was a communication in relation to a prior class action that was on behalf of residents who lived within a certain distance of the plant. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. This claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4262	TRO894952FTC	3/23/2016	Wells, Katherine	Katherine Wells	7769		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1980, 2000, 2010 (pace maker); did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; there was a lot of misinformation about the exposure of creosote; challenges whether notices were sent in compliance with court orders, but the notices were mailed and published by an independent noticing firm retained by the clerk's office and affidavits attesting to compliance were duly filed. Claims that people in place to answer questions (unclear to whom referring) deliberately told individuals that they were only affected if they lived near the plant and as she did not reside within the noted radius, she thought she could not have been exposed to the product; this likely was a communication in relation to a prior class action that was on behalf of residents who lived within a certain distance of the plant. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claims based on 1980 and 2000 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a 2010 diagnosis (for pacemaker) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4263	TRO896602FTC	4/25/2016	Walker, Eva	Eva Walker	7770		X	X				Diagnoses 1992 and 2005; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4264	TRO889810FTC	Unknown	Coleman, Victor	Victor Coleman	7771		X	x				Not included in Trust's summary. Conditions were identified in 1965, 1968, 1974; exposure occurred as a child before relocated to another state, does not say where exposure occurred; did not have any reason to understand or knowledge that diseases were caused by exposure to Tronox product; notice not reasonably calculated to reach all claimants, in particular claimants who no longer reside in area would not be notified by local publication; as out of state claimant, notice was insufficient. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Complains of publication notice not covering claimant's area but notice of the bar date was published in The Birmingham News on June 24, 2009. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claims are based on exposures in MS or Alabama the claims also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4265	TRO892552FTC	2/23/2016	Abrams, Joseph	Jessica Abrams	7772	7773 7774	X	X			X	Says first diagnosis in 1988 but various conditions are identified and likely some were diagnosed at later dates; docket # 7772, docket #7773 and docket # 7774 are all duplicates but docket # 7772 and 7773 use claim number TRO892552FTC and docket # 7774 uses claim number TRO892231FTC. The injured party died in 2016; they did not file because did not know or had no reason to know he had been exposed to creosote. Unclear why deceased did not file. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion will be accepted based on its postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4266	TRO900513FTC	8/16/2016	Danner, Farren	Farren Danner	7775		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnoses in 2014 and 2017 but also says previously filed with attorney Jeffrey Navarro as part of Kerr McGee Plant Class Action; says was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4267	TRO880705FTC	12/4/2015	Stokes-McCrary, Hannah	Hannah Stokes-McCrary	7776		X	X				Diagnoses 1988, 2001, 2002; says was unaware that the exposure to a Tronox product was a health risk; heard talk about effects on businesses and/or properties, only heard things said in spontaneous conversations, only later figured out she should make a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4268	TRO900512FTC	8/16/2016	Danner, Trevell	Trevell Danner	7777			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in Kerr McGee Plant Class Action; says unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee claims the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4269	TRO894282FTC	3/2/2016	Elizenberry, Robert	Robert Elizenberry	7778		X	X				1984 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4270	TRO891634FTC	2/23/2016	Duck, Tonya	Tonya Duck	7779		X	X				Diagnoses in 1979; moved away and unaware of bankruptcy claims process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4271	TRO893943FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Talmadge	Sylvia Bradford	7780		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4272	TRO893734FTC	3/2/2016	Bradford, Mylan	Sylvia Bradford	7781			X				2008 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4273	TRO895220FTC	3/23/2016	Cockrell, Daniel	Daniel Cockrell	7782	9016		X				Diagnoses in 1980s-1990s; did not receive a form and did not realize there was a deadline; place of exposure not clear. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9016 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4274	TRO885415FTC	11/25/2015	Woods, Mercedes	Thomas Woods	7783		X	X		X		Diagnosis date just says "yes;" rep says unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification in newspaper or any social media; standard form language as to why did not file. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4275	TRO893974FTC	3/2/2016	McClinton, Mary	Sylvia Bradford	7784		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2003, 2008, 2011; rep says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4276	TRO892952FTC	2/23/2016	Ellison, Doris	Doris Ellison	7785			X			X	Says symptoms September 2009 and diagnosis December 2009, unclear if new condition or a continuing one; text is identical to motion at docket # 7759, including allegations as to symptoms and diagnosis date; says bar date notice allowed too little time, but that would not have explained lengthy post-bar date delay before this claim was filed; says violation of due process to expunge claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Does not allege a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims.
4277	TRO898053FTC	6/20/2016	Cockrell, Velma	Daniel Cockrell	7786		X	X				The injured party died in 1987; as to conditions, says records not found; rep does not provide an excuse for missed bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4278	TRO893406FTC	2/23/2016	Bonner, Mary	Mary Renee Mixon	7787			X				November 2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not know she could file a claim on behalf of her relative. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4279	<b>TRO892771FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Craddieth, Kimberly</b>	<b>Kimberly Craddieth</b>	7788			X			X	Trust incorrectly listed this motion at docket 7790 and with the wrong claim number. 2012 diagnosis; says was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice; says she placed wrong date on claim form for some symptoms and was actually first diagnosed in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4280	TRO892769FTC	2/23/2016	Shelley, Culbert	Culbert Shelley	7789						X	1997 diagnosis; minor (approximately 12 or 13 at the bar date); guardian did not file because she had no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons did not file. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4281	TRO889342FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Culbert	Culbert Craddieth	7790		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary; Trust incorrectly listed Kimberly Craddieth as the movant at docket 7790, but her motion is at docket 7788. 1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; rep says did not file because was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notices. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4282	TRO892966FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Beulah	Beulah Craddieth	7791		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 1967, 1972, 1982, 1984, 1997, 1998, 2010, 2013; previously filed with the Colom law firm in a class action, result not specified; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim that were resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4283	TRO912764FTC	5/30/2017	Walls, Derrick	Derrick Walls	7792		X	X				1992 diagnosis; did not know about claims; former resident of Columbus, MS. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4284	TRO897133FTC	Unknown	Guyton, Demario	Demario Guyton	7793		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1993 diagnosis; not aware would qualify; not aware needed to file; assumed was already represented. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4285	TRO897588FTC	5/25/2016	Harris, Johnny	Ivory Harris	7794			X				2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; rep did not file because did not know date of deadline; no notice was sent concerning it; the community retained information as a secret. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4286	TRO902489FTC	9/14/2016	Kimbrew, Thelma Moorehead	Thelma Moorehead Kimbrew	7795		X	X				Diagnosis late 1960s; moved out of state in 1996 and visited once a year; based on filing by family member at docket # 7796 it appears the movant was a resident of Columbus, MS; unaware needed to file a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4287	TRO900358FTC	7/25/2016	Moorehead, Roy	Erla Moorehead	7796			X				2008 diagnosis; the injured party is a former resident of Columbus, MS who is deceased, date not specified; rep says that injured party moved away from Mississippi. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4288	TRO891378FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Arthur	Sylvia Bradford	7797		X	X				The motion at docket #7797 is by Sylvia Bradford on behalf of Arthur Williams as the injured party. A separate motion at docket # 4598 is for a different Arthur Williams. The motion at docket #7797 appears to allege a diagnosis date in 1970; rep says was unaware of bankruptcy case, did not receive a letter or see public notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4289	TRO902682FTC	8/16/2016	Crusoe, Glenda	Glenda Kay Crusoe	7798		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; received a payment, later he was told it was not correct and he should file again which was after bar date; was unaware of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4290	TRO889248FTC	2/23/2016	Alexander, Bridgett	Bridgett Alexander	7799		X	X				1989 diagnoses; unaware of bar date; did not receive mail or see published notices; could not do anything about something she knew nothing about. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4291	TRO896889FTC	Unknown	Dillard, Felix	Felix Dillard	7800		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; rep says not aware claimant would qualify or that had to file with court; assumed was already represented. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4292	TRO889792FTC	2/23/2016	Weston, Ellis	Ellis Weston	7801		X	X				Diagnoses 1984 and 1985; says that no information was made available to him concerning Tronox until the information a few years ago about the soil contamination. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4293	TRO893769FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Doris	Doris Jones	7802		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1989 (asthma), 2013 (other conditions); not aware of proceeding. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4294	TRO889454FTC	2/23/2016	Shields, Viar	Viar Shields	7803		X	X				1978 diagnosis; says was incarcerated at the time of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4295	TRO893058FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Vierre	Vierre Porter	7804		X	X				1981 diagnosis; Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) . Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4296	TRO901694FTC	8/16/2016	Conner, Cyrus	Cyrus Conner	7805		X	X				1987 diagnosis; a professional truck driver and claims publication not sufficient notice; says did not know of process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4297	TRO904756FTC	1/24/2017	Callahan, Margaret	Teresa Callahan	7806			X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; relative that was executor of estate claimed to have filed claim but did not. It was later discovered that the executor had mental health issues. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Motions acknowledges awareness of claim, no explanation of long delays after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4298	TRO891870FTC	2/23/2016	Crockett, Kelvin	Kelvin Crockett	7807			X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed, all after the bar date; says not aware of the bar date and the possibility of getting a settlement. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4299	TRO896718FTC	4/25/2016	Porter, Miranda	Miranda Porter	7808		X	X				2000 diagnosis; not get notice of "class action;" did not see published notices; did not know had been exposed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4300	TRO890282FTC	2/23/2016	McCoy, Corine	Wannetta McCoy-Gunn	7809		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2000 and earlier; the injured party died in 2012; says the claimant was incompetent at the time of bar date, suffering from dementia, in a wheelchair; rep filing the claim was not aware of claims; other congregations were telling people to fill out paper work for a small or large fee, thinks this was a scam. The rep says did not receive notice informing to file claim against Tronox; does not read Wall Street Journal; after learning of it researched some of the notices in the various publications and the notices were very dense and she did not see any filing deadlines; she does not subscribe to newspapers; she did not learn anything concerning it from TV or radio; she was caregiver and working with little time for socializing or reading publications. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Representative acknowledges providing assistance and does not claim incapacity on representative's own part. Published notices did mention the bar date deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4301	TRO890283FTC	2/23/2016	McCoy, Kevin	Kevin McCoy	7810		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2000 and earlier; not aware could file a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4302	TRO893681FTC	3/2/2016	Gunn, Stephen, Jr.	Wannetta McCoy-Gunn	7811		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2001 and earlier; motion filed by spouse, who states that the claimant was incapacitated at time of bar date due to stroke; representative was caregiver for spouse as well as parent with little time for socializing or reading papers. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Representative notes the injured party's condition but does not claim that the representative was incapacitated. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4303	TRO890285FTC	2/23/2016	McCoy-Gunn, Wannetta	Wannetta McCoy-Gunn	7812		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2005 and earlier. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant says there was not enough publicity regarding bar date, but notice procedures were approved with participation of attorneys representing tort victims and have subsequently been upheld. Says was caring for ill relations (at docket #7809 and #7811); says churches that participated did not share information; she was under a doctor's care. Not a sufficient showing of incapacity to a degree that prevented claimant from filing a claim or enlisting the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4304	TRO890164FTC	2/23/2016	Elizenberry, Terrence	Terrence Elizenberry	7813		X	X				1970 diagnosis; did not know about bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4305	TRO901705FTC	8/16/2016	Elizenberry, Jamaal	Jamaal Elizenberry	7814						X	2006 diagnosis; minor; not aware of lawsuit. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4306	TRO901703FTC	8/16/2016	Elizenbenyu, Kobe	Kobe Elizenberry	7815						X	2000 diagnosis; minor. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4307	TRO901704FTC	8/16/2016	Elizenberry, Stacy	Stacy Elizenberry	7816		X	X				Appears to be making claim based on skin conditions passed on to children at birth in 1990s; children apparently have filed their own claims and motions (see docket# 7814 and docket# 7815). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Says was unaware of details of filing claim and that she was eligible to file. To the extent claimant is making a claim on her own behalf it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4308	TRO887684FTC	12/14/2015	Dancer, Timothy	Timothy Dancer	7817		X	X				1982 diagnosis (at birth); former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movants says the movant was a child at the bar date but the bar date was in August 2009, so if born in 1982 the claimant was approximately 27 years old. Attached letter refers to ailments of Virginia Nash Sanders but claim is for Timothy Dancer; letter possibly was intended for the motion at docket 7820 on behalf of Virginia Nash, filed by Helen Dancer-Allen. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4309	TRO886796FTC	12/7/2015	Lee, Willie	Helen Dancer-Allen	7818		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2012; rep says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001-2002; rep says that Willie Lee was disabled and unable to make any legal decisions; relatives were not aware or informed; the injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4310	TRO887510FTC	12/14/2015	Dancer, Terrence	Terrence Dancer	7819		X	X				1986 diagnosis (at birth); former resident of Columbus, MS; says was a child at the bar date in 2009 but must have been approximately 23 years old; unaware of information; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4311	TRO886799FTC	12/7/2015	Nash, Virginia	Helen Dancer-Allen	7820		X	X	X			Diagnoses 1975, 1984; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1988; relatives were unaware that she had been exposed to a Tronox product, they live in Ft. Worth, Texas. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4312	Unknown	8/16/2016	Edmond, Clara	Clara Edmond	7821			X				Only a claim form was filed; no excuse provided, no motion for relief.
4313	TRO901719FTC	8/16/2016	King, Barbara Clay	Barbara Clay King	7828			X				February 2009 diagnosis; says did not have adequate notice and by the time of the deadline she was ill and had numerous encounters with the hospital doctors and surgeries; says incapacitated in 2009 but does not explain long post-bar date delay before making claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4314	TRO890284FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Myeisha	Veronica McCoy	7829		X	X				2001 diagnosis; standard form language as reasons missed bar date (did not know of case, did not know of exposure, called 800 number and was told to file a future claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4315	TRO893838FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Jessica	Jessica Fenster	7830		X	X				1985 diagnosis; says filing by bar date was prevented by a natural disaster but does not specify one. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4316	TRO890145FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Jimmie	Jimmie Tate	7831			X				1990 diagnosis; unaware exposed to the product; says was minor but was first exposed in 1987, so must have been at least 21 in 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4317	TRO893839FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Jarvis	Jarvis Fenster	7832		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says filing prevented by natural disaster (none identified) and incompetence (no details or support). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4318	TRO895678FTC	3/24/2016	Hargrove, Dorothy	Mildred Hinton	7833			X				Diagnoses 1998 and earlier; the injured party died in 1998; place of exposure not clear; movant moved to another state in early 90's; says injured party is deceased; unaware of litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4319	TRO889877FTC	2/23/2016	Rush, Deloice	Deloice Rush	7834			X				2000 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Place of exposure is not clear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4320	TRO885844FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Alexius	Alexius Jones	7835		X	X				Diagnoses 1982; unaware exposed to Tronox product prior to bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4321	TRO896349FTC	4/13/2016	Williams, Keaira	Kearia Williams	7836						X	2007 diagnosis; minor (approximately 17) at deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4322	TRO889103FTC	12/30/2015	Rush, Gail	Gail Rush	7837			X			X	Unaware could file a claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends that motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4323	TRO891436FTC	2/23/2016	Blevins, Nathan	Nathan Blevins	7838		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4324	TRO884707FTC	11/25/2015	Henry, Letha	Letha Henry	7839		X	X				Lists 1997 as first diagnosis but many conditions alleged, unclear if they have different diagnosis dates; previously represented by atty Bambach (does not say when), who is now deceased; was unaware exposed to Tronox product, did not know where to get paperwork. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. No record that counsel pursued a claim. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4325	TRO884709FTC	11/25/2015	Henry, Harry	Harry Henry	7840		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously represented by atty Bambach (does not say when), who is now deceased; was unaware exposed to Tronox product, did not know where to get paperwork. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4326	TRO895106FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Tommy	Annie Sharp	7841		X	X				1980 diagnosis; injured party died in 1996. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4327	TRO895950FTC	4/6/2016	Jordan, Corrye	Corrye Jordan	7842						X	2000 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4328	TRO885816FTC	11/25/2015	Guyton, Bobby	Bobby Guyton	7843		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4329	TRO901437FTC	8/16/2016	Blevins, Willie	Willie Blevins	7844			X		X		2012 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4330	TRO902842FTC	10/13/2016	Holt, Tutricia	Tutricia Holt	7845		X	X				1991 diagnosis; no reason provided for missing the bar date, merely says was exposed to product that caused her condition. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No excuse offered for reasons why missed the bar date or for long delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4331	TRO896348FTC	4/13/2016	Williams, James	James Williams	7846		X	X				1978 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4332	TRO903610FTC	11/23/2016	Dillon, Quentis	Jerry Dillon	7847		X	X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; standard form language as to why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4333	TRO893314FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Geneva	Timothy Hargrove	7848		X	X				1984 diagnosis; was not informed about such claim, needed time to gather facts. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4334	TRO896659FTC	4/25/2016	Mitchell, Arthur	Arthur Mitchell	7849			X				1985 diagnosis; place of exposure not specified; says moved to Georgia in 1991, unaware of lawsuit against company. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4335	TRO891699FTc	2/23/2016	Fields, Kelvin	Kelvin Fields	7850		X	X				Diagnoses 1980s and earlier; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4336	Unknown	2/23/2016	Smith, Jacqueline	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7851		X	X				Diagnoses 1971, 1975, 1980, 1988, 2000; made previous effort to file with atty Bambach; filled out paperwork (unclear in connection with what proceeding), did not hear further. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No record of bankruptcy claim; if attorney failed to file, that failure is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's conduct is excused, and no such excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. These claims also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4337	unknown	8/16/2016	Clayborn, Tommy	Tommy Clayborn	7852		X	X				Symptoms began 1998, does not recall diagnosis date; aware exposed to chemicals but not aware they were deadly; unaware condition connected to Tronox product; alleges insufficient notice but does not allege Tronox had reason to know of his claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4338	Unknown	2/23/2016	Barnes, Gloria	Gloria Barnes	7853		X	X				1976 diagnosis; moved to California but does not provide specific date; unaware her condition was related to chemicals released by Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4339	Unknown	11/25/2015	Dent, Annie	Annie Dent	7854	8537	X	X				1997/98 symptoms and diagnoses; previously filed with the Colom law firm and received a \$360 payment; says she signed with lawyer at a local hotel in Columbus prior to 2009; says did not receive notice of the Tronox bankruptcy litigation or the bar date. A supplemental letter filed complaining of process at docket #8537. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim resolved in a prior proceeding could not be re-asserted in Tronox bankruptcy case. Counsel in the class action (the Colom firm) received notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No record that any attorney filed a claim in 2009. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4340	Unknown	8/16/2016	Sims, Jr., Lowrenzo	Lowrenzo Sims, Jr.	7855		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware that condition was related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4341	Unknown	12/4/2015	Conner, Robert	Bernice Shanklin	7856			X		X		The injured party died in 2015; did not know at bar date; says diagnosed 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends that the motion apparently was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4342	Unknown	2/23/2016	Scott, Willie	Earnestine Scott	7857		X	X				Diagnoses 1995 and 2006; the injured party died in 2006; incapacitated and severely ill from 1995 to 2006. The rep received no notice of the case and did not know condition was related to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim based on 1995 diagnoses was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses.
4343	Unknown	2/23/2016	Edwards, Maggie	Willie Edwards	7858		X	X				Diagnoses 1987, 1991, 1996, 1998; the injured party died in 1998; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; rep has since become informed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4344	Unknown	2/23/2016	Peoples, Titus Byrd	Titus Byrd Peoples	7859		X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4345	Unknown	2/23/2016	Kelly, Betty	Betty Kelly	7860		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 2000, 2002; says attempted to file claims years ago and it was dismissed because of pending bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Unclear when or where the movant attempted to file a claim but the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009, and this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before that time. In addition, movant acknowledges awareness of claim and being informed of bankruptcy but makes no showing as to why filed so long after the bar date, not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect even if claim were not time-barred.
4346	Unknown	7/25/2016	Gardner, Carl	Carl Gardner	7861			X				No current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right to file a future claim regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim is identified for which relief is appropriate.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4347	Unknown	2/23/2016	Robinson, Ida	Ida Robinson	7862		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2005; previously retained Colom law firm and was paid \$550; says has not been fairly compensated; unaware that attorney would not file a claim on claimant's behalf. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, no indication the Colom firm continued to represent movant in 2009, but that would not be helpful because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4348	Unknown	3/24/2016	Hendrix, Carissa	Carissa Hendrix	7863			X		X		Diagnosis 2011; says condition manifested after bar date in 2011; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
4349	Unknown	2/23/2016	Henley, Terry	Terry Henley	7864		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and 2005; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4350	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Dasha	Dasha Williams	7865			X		X	X	Diagnoses 2001, 2003, 2016; minor at time of bar date; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; says certain conditions did not manifest until after deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date do not require relief from this court and are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4351	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Alexis	Alexis Williams	7866			X				Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant identifies no current condition upon which any claim could be based, wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.
4352	Unknown	4/25/2016	Williams, Lashunda	Lashunda Williams	7867		X	X				1996 diagnosis; unaware exposed to chemicals that were deadly. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4353	Unknown	5/30/2017	Dismuke, Calvin	Calvin Dismuke	7868		X	X				Diagnoses 1979 and 2000; moved away in 1975; unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; does not believe notice was sufficient. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4354	Unknown	3/24/2016	Burgin, Demetrius	Demetrius Burgin	7869		X	X				Diagnoses 1988 and 1993; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4355	Unknown	2/23/2016	Stewart, Ina	Ina Stewart	7870		X	X				1975 symptoms; not recall when diagnosed; filed a claim between 2005 and 2008, does not recall name of law firm or agency that processed claim but knows it was not successful. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4356	Unknown	12/14/2015	Harrison, AJ	Doloris Robinson	7871		X	X				Diagnoses 1999 and 2004; the injured party died in 2004, says injured party was incapacitated after 1999; rep previously retained Colom law firm but uncertain of outcome of proceeding. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4357	Unknown	3/24/2016	Hendrix, Tyler	Carissa Hendrix	7872						X	2007 diagnosis; minor (age 7) at time of bar date; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4358	Unknown	3/24/2016	Harris, Vincent	Vincent Harris	7873		X	X				Diagnoses 1989 and 1995; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice was not sufficient to inform him that his condition was due to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4359	Unknown	2/23/2016	Wells, Mary	Shemeka Wells	7874			X		X		2014 diagnosis; condition not manifested at time of bar date; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; rep became aware and filed claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4360	Unknown	11/25/2015	Dent, Kendall	Kendall Dent	7875			X				Minor at time of bar date (19); no current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.
4361	Unknown	2/23/2016	Smith, Yolanda	Yolanda Smith	7876		X	X				Diagnoses 2002, 2007; previously represented by Colom law firm and received \$2,500, says not sufficient to fairly compensate; unaware of pending litigation in bankruptcy court. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in Colom action shows awareness of Tronox/Kerr McGee connection to illnesses. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4362	Unknown	7/25/2016	Goodwin, Ernest	Ernest Goodwin	7877		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4363	Unknown	9/14/2016	Fenton, Perry	Perry Fenton	7878			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation; notice did not say claimant's condition was due to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4364	Unknown	12/14/2015	Evans, Letha	Letha Evans	7879		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation; did not get notice informing claimant that illness was due to Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4365	Unknown	2/23/2016	Stallings, Shawn	Shawn Stallings	7880						X	1997 diagnosis; minor (14) at time of bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4366	Unknown	7/25/2016	Miller, Jerome	Jerome Miller, Sr.	7881		X	X				1971 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1971; rep unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals and of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4367	Unknown	7/25/2016	Miller, Gerald	Jerome Miller, Sr.	7882		X	X				The injured party died in 1971; rep unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals and of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4368	Unknown	10/27/2016	Miller, Jerome	Jerome Miller	7883		X	X				Diagnoses 1979, 1980, 1989; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals and of pending litigation; notice did not inform claimant that injuries were due to Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4369	Unknown	2/23/2016	Butler, Calvin	Calvin Butler	7884			X				No current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4370	Unknown	2/23/2016	Robinson, Damion	Damion Robinson	7885			X			X	2011 diagnosis; minor in 2009; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4371	Unknown	7/25/2016	Edwards, John	John Edwards	7886		X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemicals; moved away from Mississippi many years ago; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4372	Unknown	7/25/2016	Sykes, Arthur	Arthur Sykes	7887			X			X	2009 diagnosis (specific date not clear); previously received some small compensation that was not sufficient to compensate; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Any claim resolved in a prior proceeding was not eligible to be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. To the extent the motion alleges a previously unresolved claim there is no showing of diligence or explanation for the long post-bar date delay before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defenses based on the terms of the prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4373	Unknown	11/25/2015	Selvie, Marcus	Marcus Selvie	7888						X	Diagnoses 1992, 2007, 2009; minor (20) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4374	TRO915072FTC	7/26/2017	Fields, Ledora	Kelvin Fields	7889		X	X				1990s diagnosis; incapacitated, dementia at bar date in 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claims injured party had dementia in 2009 but the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
4375	TRO893341FTC	2/23/2016	Dismukes, Tobie	Tobie Dismukes	7890		X	X				1977 diagnosis; former Columbus resident; in and out of hospital at time of bar date but does not supply medical records; unaware of the claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4376	TRO892475FTC	2/23/2016	Dismukes, Lane	Lane Dismukes	7891		X	X				2003 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was having health issues at the bar date but does not supply medical records; unaware of claim or bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4377	TRO893311FTC	2/23/2016	Dismukes, Shelby	Shelby Dismukes	7892		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was having health issues at the bar date but does not supply medical records; unaware of claim or bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4378	TRO898559FTC	6/27/2016	Fields, Michael	Michael Fields	7893		X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s, 1980s, "mid-2000s;" unaware exposed to Tronox product prior to bar date; says that his respiratory conditions manifested "later into my adulthood." Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4379	TRO895038FTC	3/23/2016	Jefferies, Billie	Diana Murray	7894		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1998 and earlier; the injured party died in 1998; rep says the bar date was set only 52 days (36 business days) after bankruptcy petition, but that does not explain the many years of delay after the bar date before a claim was filed; says did not get the publications where notices appeared; claims there was no publication in the Commercial Dispatch but that is not correct, the notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009, and a certificate of publication was filed with the Court. Says neither the injured party nor his doctor were aware he had been exposed to a dangerous Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4380	TRO897707FTC	5/25/2016	Drake, Clinton	Clinton Drake	7895		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, most after bar date; standard form language as to why missed bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4381	TRO891711FTC	2/23/2016	Horton, Sandra	Sandra Horton	7896		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1948, 2017; previously filed claim with Colom law firm, results not disclosed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4382	TRO895039FTC	3/23/2016	Murray, Patrick	Patrick Murray	7897			X			X	Claims August 2009 diagnosis; says bar date period was too short, but that does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed; says did not have reasonable access to any of the publications but the notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemical and unaware cause of condition. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4383	TRO895012FTC	3/23/2016	Murray, Christopher	Christopher Murray	7898			X			X	Alleges December 2009 diagnosis; says bar date period was too short, but does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before claim was filed; says did not have access to the publications, but notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus in June 2009; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemical and unaware cause of condition. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4384	TRO891893FTC	2/23/2016	Horton, Elroney	Elroney Horton	7899		X	X			X	Alleges a 2015 diagnosis but also says previously filed claim with Colom law firm in 1997, results not disclosed; says no reason for late filing other than diagnosed with sleep apnea in 2015. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in prior proceeding, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on the terms of a prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4385	TRO894076FTC	3/2/2016	Hampton, Joe	Mattie Hampton	7900		X	X				Diagnosis "yes;" the injured party died in 1999; rep unaware that claimant exposed to Tronox chemical. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4386	TRO887795FTC	Unknown	Wells, Charlie R.	Charlie R. Wells	7901		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; moved before 2009, unaware of claim filing deadline; did not know. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4387	TRO903978FTC	1/3/2017	Morris, Lonnie	Lonnie Morris	7902		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says filed claim with Trust (referring to late-filed claim); too sick to answer claim because incapacitated, sick, hospitalized several times (does not provide dates) and medication impacted his thinking; says he thought lawyer Cologne (Colom?) was still in charge and doing his duty. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Unclear if movant claims error by counsel but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4388	TRO902188FTC	9/14/2016	Holmes, Robert	Robert Holmes	7903			X		X		2017 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4389	TRO887116FTC	12/14/2015	Colvin, Ruby	Ruby Colvin	7904		X	X				1990 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4390	TRO901089FTC	8/16/2016	Irby, George	George Irby	7905		X	X				Various conditions and diagnoses, all 2001 and earlier; unaware could file claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4391	TRO901097FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Kenneth	Kenneth Brown	7906		X	X				1962 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says unaware of claim because incompetent and incapacitated but provides no details, insufficient to show inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4392	TRO902069FTC	9/14/2016	Latham, Janice	Janice Latham	7907	7931 8182		X				2007 diagnosis; merely says "No Notice" as reason for not filing. A supplement filed at docket #7931 concerning address and dates and at docket #8182 concerning address. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice. Does not allege Tronox knew of this claim, does not explain why publication notice did not suffice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4393	TRO889983FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Myrtle	Myrtle Jones	7908		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1972, 1990, 2013; prior to bar date, unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4394	TRO892792FTC	Unknown	Jefferson, Paul	Bobby Barry	7909		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification by newspaper or social media. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4395	TRO896347FTC	4/13/2016	Williams, Lonzo	Lonzo Williams	7910						X	2005 diagnosis; minor at bar date (approximately 18); prior to bar date, unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4396	TRO914670FTC	7/26/2017	Sharp, Joshua	Joshua Sharp	7911						X	1995 diagnosis; minor at bar date (approximately 17). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4397	TRO893634FTC	2/23/2016	Pate, Sarah	Sarah Pate	7912	8318	X	X				Diagnoses 1972, 1974, 1976, 2005; previously filed with Colom law firm, results not stated; says not aware of bankruptcy case, did not see public notice, called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim. A supplement filed at docket # 8318, without knowledge to wade through process. Trust contends motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4398	TRO889830FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Ruby	Ruby Neal	7913		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 1980, 1993; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm, did not receive "full settlement;" moved in early 2009, was unaware of bar date; medical condition still being determined. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4399	TRO889829FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Shannon	Shannon Neal	7914		X	X				1988 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says the claim was handled by the Colom law firm, no details of legal procedures were forwarded; moved; unaware of bar date; medical condition was still being determined. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4400	TRO890102FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Marco	Marco Neal	7915		X	X				1997 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says the claim was handled by the Colom law firm, no details of legal procedures were forwarded; moved; unaware of bar date; medical condition was still being determined. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4401	TRO902755FTC	8/16/2016	Hairston, Carol	Carol Hairston	7916		X	X				Former resident of Lowndes Co., MS; diagnoses 2003 and earlier; moved, was unaware of the situation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4402	TRO896728FTC	4/25/2016	Wilson, Sammie	Bobby Wilson	7917		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4403	TRO887507FTC	12/14/2015	Weatherby, Simeon, Sr.	Mary Weatherby	7918		X	X				1988 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4404	TRO897532FTC	5/25/2016	Heller, Zykerria	Trevina Grant	7919			X				2007 diagnosis (at birth); injured party was a minor at the time of the bar date, but the motion was filed by a parent or guardian, who does not explain why the parent/guardian did not file a timely claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4405	TRO894018FTC	3/2/2016	Bradford (Williams), Sylvia	Sylvia Bradford (Williams)	7920		X	X				Various conditions alleged, diagnosis dates for each not clear; says was unaware could file claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4406	TRO889033FTC	12/30/2015	Howard, Shamaya	Shamaya Howard	7921			X				Diagnoses 2007, 2015; previously filed with atty Bambach 2003-2008; atty said claim had been filed (unclear what proceeding but given the listed dates it could not have been the bankruptcy case, as the bankruptcy filing did not happen until 2009). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Unclear if any claim ever was actually pending. If lawyer failed to file a lawsuit or a claim that is not grounds for relief, because conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
4407	TRO893047FTC	2/23/2016	Lewis, Oscar III	Oscar Lewis III	7922		X	X				1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not see any publication notice; says there was no news in local papers, but notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice, does not say why; unaware exposed to Tronox product; unaware of threat from living in area. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4408	TRO902542FTC	9/14/2016	Lyons, Jayson	Jayson Lyons	7923			X				No diagnosis dates, no reason provided, just says "everything is the same as before!" Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4409	TRO891601FTC	2/23/2016	Pratt, Bennie	Catherine Summerville-Whitson	7924		X	X				Various conditions beginning in 1970, diagnosis dates for each are not listed; the injured party died in 2008; rep says uncertain but believes the injured party may have filed a claim with Colom law firm in a prior action; rep unaware could file claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4410	TRO894283FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Hattie	Sylvia Bradford	7925		X	X		X		Says diagnosis 2003 but lists many conditions, diagnosis date of each is unclear; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not receive direct notice; first learned of it in 2015. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4411	TRO898761FTC	6/27/2016	Robinson, Martha	Martha Robinson	7926		X	X				Diagnoses 1988 and 2003; brain, bone surgery was done in 2003, it stopped her movement (aneurysm); unaware until after bar date, only provided a medical record for 2004. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4412	TRO891657FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Lonvojsier	Lonvojsier Cockrell	7928		X	X			X	1973 diagnosis listed, unclear if that is the diagnosis date for all conditions listed, unclear if contends any conditions were first diagnosed after the bar date; missed first deadline due to illness including hypertension/ Bell's palsy; the medical record for a time near bar date says the complaint was a pain in foot, the other part is illegible. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4413	TRO894397FTC	3/2/2016	Payne, Terrence	Terrence Payne	7929						X	1996 diagnosis; minor at bar date (about 15); did not receive notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4414	TRO895185FTC	3/24/2016	White, Annie Mae	Juanita White	7930		X	X				Various diagnoses in 1988; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1990; movant says publication notice was merely 52 days from order and 36 business days to unknown claimants, but that does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before movant filed a claim; says did not have access to any of the publications and alleges it was not published in the Commercial Dispatch, but in fact the notice appeared in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009 and a certificate of publication verifying that fact was filed by the official independent notice agent; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4415	TRO898054FTC	6/20/2016	Poole, Vera	Poole, Walter	7932		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party died in July 2009, one month before bar date; says was incompetent (deceased) at bar date; says notice insufficient; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4416	TRO901895FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Regina	Regina Williams	7933			X		X		Alleges symptoms and diagnosis 2013. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4417	TRO885223FTC	11/25/2015	Horne, Michael	Michael Horne	7934		X	X	X			Various diagnoses, all 1984 and earlier; says was unaware of bar date; aware local law firm was pursuing claims but it "seemed as though there was not enough information to pursue, and was not sure if the process was real or accurate." Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4418	<b>TRO888944FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Lewis, Yulanda Harris</b>	<b>Yulanda Lewis</b>	7935			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4419	TRO891912FTC	2/23/2016	Whitfield, Arthur	Arthur Whitfield	7936			X			X	Diagnosis listed as 2008, unclear if that is for all conditions listed; prior to bar date was unaware exposed to Tronox product. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4420	TRO881045FTC	12/4/2015	Craddieth, Joan	Debra Williams	7937		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2004 and earlier; unaware of the information she was getting about the possibility of getting a settlement. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4421	TRO902778FTC	8/16/2016	Jamison, Tyrone	Tyrone Jamison	7938			X			X	Unaware of the trust, says symptoms and diagnosis after August 12, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4422	TRO900701FTC	8/16/2016	Vickers, Bertha	Bertha Vickers	7939		X	X	X			2005 diagnosis; says was unaware of the bar date. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4423	TRO898610FTC	6/27/2016	Vickers, Debbie	Debbie Vickers	7940		X	X	X			2005 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4424	Unknown	7/25/2016	Carter, Jesse	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7941		X	X	X			1998 diagnoses; the injured party died prior to bar date; although injured party was deceased before the bar date, motion says condition had not manifested itself as of the bar date, but that is impossible; unaware condition related to Tronox product; notice insufficient to inform that condition was due to Tronox product. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4425	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Anderson	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7942						X	2008 diagnosis; says was a minor (16) at the bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to inform claimant that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. In addition, the motion was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90-day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice. Court nevertheless will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file by the bar date, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4426	Unknown	2/23/2016	Evans, Jermel	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7943			X	X			2007 diagnosis; the injured party was deceased at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice was insufficient as to inform rep that condition was due to Tronox. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4427	Unknown	9/14/2016	Furr, Marion	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7944		X	X	X			Diagnoses 1994 and 2003; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemical; does not believe notice was sufficient to inform claimant of the litigation, does not explain why not and does not allege that Tronox knew of claimant's claim. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4428	Unknown	3/24/2016	Walker, Trevino	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7945		X	X	X			Diagnoses 1984, 2000, 2008; unaware conditions related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice that condition was due to Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4429	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Fran	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7946	9417	X	X	X			1973 diagnosis; moved away from Mississippi; no way of knowing condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to inform claimant that condition was due to Tronox. She may have filed a supplemental letter with others at docket #9417 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Motion also was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice.
4430	Unknown	3/2/2016	McGregory, Eddie	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7947		X	X	X			2005 diagnoses; says was represented by an unknown attorney previously and was paid \$500 for his claim; says was unaware that attorney would not file on his behalf; attorney did not get notice; notice insufficient to inform of litigation and that a protective claim should be filed. Claim resolved in a prior litigation could not be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Attorneys in prior litigations also received direct notice of the bar date. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.
4431	Unknown	4/13/2016	Gunter, Robert	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7948		X	X	X	X		Diagnoses 1985, 2000, 2009 (date in 2009 not clear); unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice of litigation or that condition was due to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4432	Unknown	7/25/2016	Walker, Ibe	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7949		X	X	X			1990 and 2001 diagnoses; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice of litigation or that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.
4433	Unknown	12/14/2015	Spencer, Kenneth	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7950						X	1996 diagnosis; minor (18) at bar date; says exposure began in 2005 but says symptoms began in 1996 and were diagnosed in 1996; unaware exposed to deadly Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice of pending litigation or that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice, but court nevertheless will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file a claim by the bar date, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4434	Unknown	7/25/2016	Thomas, Diane	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7951		X	X	X			Diagnoses 1999, 2000; did not know her condition was related to Tronox chemicals; did not receive notice, published notice insufficient to put her on notice of the connection of her illness to Tronox products. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.
4435	Unknown	2/23/2016	Pratt, Shemeka Love Obo Ametrice	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7952						X	2003 diagnosis; minor; unaware exposed to deadly chemicals and had no knowledge that the potential exposure causes deadly conditions; did not receive notice of the pending litigation and says form of notice used was insufficient to put her on legal notice of the litigation. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice, nevertheless the Court will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4436	TRO888985FTC	Unknown	Smith, Andrea	Andrea Smith	7953		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 diagnosis; unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries; job requires her to travel from state to state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4437	Unknown	Unknown	Slaughter, Jeannette	Jeannette T. Slaughter	7954			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4438	Unknown	Unknown	Elliott, Jr., George	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7955		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1996, 1997, 2000; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to inform of litigation or that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4439	Unknown	Unknown	Harris, Marilyn	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7956		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1970, 2006; previously retained Colom law firm and was paid \$2,500, but does not believe this was enough; unaware that attorney would not file on her behalf; attorney did not get notice; notice insufficient to provide notice of litigation. Claim resolved in prior litigation could not be re-asserted in Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior litigation shows knowledge of Tronox connection to ailments. No indication that Colom firm represented claimant in 2009, but in any event the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date and actively participated in the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4440	Unknown	Unknown	McGee, Sharron	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7957			X				Not included in Trust's summary. No current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4441	Unknown	Unknown	Washington, Tylesha	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7958						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1996; minor (12) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; says notice insufficient to advise claimant of litigation and to let claimant know that claimant's condition was due to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. The Court will permit a supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4442	Unknown	Unknown	Porter, Nakeria	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7959						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2002, 2003, 2005 2007; minor (15) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; says notice insufficient to tell claimant of litigation and that condition was related to litigation. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. The Court will permit a supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4443	TRO889098FTC	12/30/2015	Tate, Freddie	Freddie Tate	7960		X			X		Says unaware of these continuous conditions; says symptoms Aug. 2009 and diagnosis Dec. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4444	TRO897832FTC	5/25/2016	Lewis, Willine	Rachel Lewis	7961		X	X	X			1982 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; incompetent and incapacitated at bar date (apparently referring to the deceased injured party, no incompetence alleged as to representatives); says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4445	TRO880840FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Harry L.	Harry L. Dickerson	7962		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1990s diagnosis; moved out of state; was not in the state when claims were being filed and unaware of the suit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4446	Unknown	N/A	Scott, Shantrell	Shantrell Scott	7963			X		X		Wrongly listed by Trustee at docket 3981, correct number is 7963. Diagnosed with minor issues in 2010-2011 (e.g, shortness of breath) but congestive heart failure diagnosed in 8/2017; says had no idea why had those symptoms before the claims deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4447	TRO889632FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Tierney	Tierney Sanders	7964		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach; paperwork lost. Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4448	TRO890644FTC	Unknown	Cribbs, Ny'Kyra	Brittany Cribbs	7965	7971	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2005 diagnosis; says wasn't aware of claim and process. A duplicate motion filed at docket # 7971. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4449	TRO894014FTC	Unknown	Perkins, Carolyn	Carolyn Perkins	7966		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all 1994 and earlier; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4450	TRO880803FTC	Unknown	Fox, Nekekia	Nekekia Fox	7967		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1987 diagnosis; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4451	TRO897942FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Johnny	Johnny Lewis	7968			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2009 and 2014; previously filed claim with atty Bambach (not clear when) but did not hear anything, atty is deceased. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4452	TRO904955FTC	Unknown	Hendricks, Willie	Ricky Baker	7969		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. No diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2003; says Kerr McGee said it would take care of injured but never did; when she eventually filed she could not find medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4453	TRO892336FTC	Unknown	Brewer, Jamisha	Jamisha Brewer	7970		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; standard form language as to why missed bar date; also was under stress due to health of family member. Not a sufficient showing of inability to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4454	TRO884557FTC	Unknown	Ray, Anna M.	Anna M. Ray	7972		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1977 diagnosis; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4455	TRO902171FTC	Unknown	Wright, Rosie	Rosie Wright North	7974		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Symptoms started 1995 to 2001; diagnosis "yes;" previously filed with the Colom law firm, result not listed; unaware of ongoing settlement in case; unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
4456	TRO898311FTC	Unknown	Hill-Walker, Alfreda	Alfreda Hill-Walker	7975		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1997 and October 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action, result not stated; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to why missed the bar date. Claims based on 1997 diagnosis either were resolved in a prior action or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses as to the diagnosis date and defenses as to the effect of the prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4457	TRO893825FTC	Unknown	Porter, Stephanie	Stephanie Porter	7976		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; says was incarcerated at time of bar date, but it appears from the paper attached that she was incarcerated prior to 2009. Says saw no public notices and did not know of bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4458	TRO892523FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Will	Will Thompson	7977		X	X				1979 diagnosis; alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4459	TRO896823FTC	Unknown	White, Joanne	Joanne White	7978			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says exposed from 1951 but symptoms and diagnosis in 2011; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4460	TRO892321FTC	Unknown	Brandy, Essie	Essie Brandy	7979		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Provided attachment with all medical records, with a few exceptions, most are after bar date, but not entirely clear when each condition was first diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4461	TRO897829FTC	Unknown	Scott, Melvin	Ronnie Clayton	7980		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1964 diagnosis; says injured party was incompetent at time of bar date because of health and mental issues; unaware condition related to Tronox chemical. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4462	TRO891617FTC	Unknown	Bishop, Audrey	Mary Adkins	7981		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1981-1984; the injured party died in 1984; says previously filed with Colom law firm, paperwork lost. Class action was a different case, not part of the bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4463	TRO913841FTC	Unknown	McGregory, Teresa	Teresa McGregor	7982		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1966 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; prior to bar date, violation of due process (does not say how or why); unaware exposed to Tronox chemicals. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4464	TRO891419FTC	Unknown	Bishop, Antonio	Antonio Bishop	7983		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1984 diagnosis; former resident of Jackson, MS who still lived there in 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm, paperwork lost (unclear if received a prior recovery or when papers were lost); medical record destroyed; prior to bar date, unaware of claim against Tronox. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4465	TRO895720FTC	Unknown	Brown, Charlie	Charlie Brown	7984			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. December 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures
4466	TRO884618FTC	Unknown	Coleman, Arven	Sophia Coleman	7985	9192	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. No diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2012; previously retained law firm Gary, Williams, Parenti, Finney, Lewis, McManus, Watson & Sperando, P.L. (prior to 2004). A supplemental letter filed at docket #9192 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel in all pending cases received direct notice of the bar date.
4467	TRO901809FTC	Unknown	Johnson, Louis	Louis Johnson	7986	8764	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8764 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4468	TRO891180FTC	Unknown	Douglas, Lonnie	Lonnie Douglas	7988			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses March 2009 and January 2014; says previously filed a claim with local church group Maranatha and did not hear anything, when she inquired was told her name was not included in their record; filed with atty Bambach who is deceased. If someone else failed to file a 2009 claim that does not constitute excusable neglect unless that other person's conduct is excused and explained. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4469	TRO894654FTC	Unknown	Williams, Brenda	Brenda Williams	7989			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim; after atty Bambach deceased was informed too late. Attorney Bambach did not die until 2013, that is no reason why 2009 bar date was missed. Unclear when retained Bambach but if before bar date that is no excuse because Bambach received notice of the bar date and unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4470	TRO898082FTC	Unknown	Martin, Bobby	Bobby Martin	7990		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1997, 2005, 2009; says previously filed claim with atty Bambach, atty deceased; did not receive anything or any information; filed after learning of process but it was too late. Date of filing with attorney is not specified but Atty Bambach died in 2013. If was retained before bar date that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect because Bambach received notice of the bar date and unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief. In addition, claims based on 1997 and 2005 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Date of 2009 diagnosis not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4471	TRO892538FTC	Unknown	Harris, Fannie	Fannie Harris	7991			X				Not included in Trust's summary. January 2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach but he passed away, but his passing was in 2013, does not explain failure to file in 2009. Unexcused failure of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Says tried to refile and sign up with Maranatha church after death of Bambach but did not hear anything, then told it was over, but if that was after the passing of Atty Bambach it was already years after the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4472	TRO898081FTC	Unknown	Martin, Melvin	Melvin Martin	7992			X				Not included in Trust's summary. March 2009 diagnosis; lawyer he signed up with is deceased (lawyer not named and date of passing not specified); informed another attorney would take over but did not hear anything. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. If lawyers failed to follow up, their failures are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the lawyers' own failures are excusable.
4473	TRO890647FTC	Unknown	Fleming, John Belab	John Fleming	7993						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; parent went to Bambach, atty deceased (but he did not die until 2013); claimant was a child at the time; claimant can't read, write or count; was afraid to let people know because he knew would be taken advantage of but he trusts the person assisting now; mind affected by chemicals, can't concentrate; unaware of cause of medical problems. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4474	TRO885399FTC	Unknown	Martin, Larry	Larry Martin	7994			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 and 2009 diagnoses; says previously signed up with atty Bambach (deceased), but atty Bambach did not die until 2013, that is no reason why bar date was missed; unaware of last time they did claims or that could sign up. If the allegation is that Bambach was retained in 2009 that is not enough; unexcused failure of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process and to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4475	TRO889642FTC	Unknown	Douglas, Gloria	Gloria Douglas	7995		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. January 2005 diagnosis; unaware claims were being filed; says Atty Bambach died, was told it was over (but Atty Bambach died in 2013, long after the bar date). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4476	TRO885398FTC	Unknown	Martin, Jeremy	Jeremy Martin	7996						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Minor at bar date; parents were told could not file for kids; when found out and filed, atty deceased; docket entry has nothing attached to it. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4477	TRO885397FTC	Unknown	Martin, Marquez	Marquez Martin	7997		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware of bar date; once became aware signed with atty now deceased (attorney not named, but if was Bambach he died in 2013). Claim based on 1992 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. If allegation is that Bambach was retained before the bar date that is not enough; Bambach had notice of the bar date, unexcused failure of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4478	TRO885401FTC	Unknown	Martin, Ketilia	Ketilia Martin	7998		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1986 and 2008; informed it was too late to file again after atty passed away (atty not identified but handwriting is the same as those of claimants who have alleged Bambach was attorney, he did not die until 2013 and that is no reason why 2009 bar date was missed); only aware now. Claim based on 1986 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4479	TRO894712FTC	Unknown	Douglas, Patrick	Patrick Douglas	7999		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2006, 2008, 2009; first filed with atty Bambach (deceased), but Bambach did not die until 2013, that is no reason why missed the bar date in 2009; was told it was too late to file (it was). Date of 2009 diagnosis not clear. Claim based on 1986 diagnosis was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4480	TRO893161FTC	Unknown	Spruill, Oray	Minnie Spruill	8000			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1989-2001; no reason provided for not filing by bar date; only says a claim was filed in 2015. Place of exposure not clear. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4481	TRO901843FTC	Unknown	Harris, Zachary Alexander	Zachary A. Harris	8001			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; no reason provided, only says claim was filed in 2015. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4482	TRO885330FTC	Unknown	Hawthorne, Jessie	Jessie Hawthorne	8002		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1972, 1980; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4483	TRO885331FTC	Unknown	Hawthorne, Jimmy	Jimmy W. Hawthorne	8003		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1972 and 1980; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4484	TRO889478FTC	Unknown	Holt, Tumerist	Tumerist Holt	8004		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1982, 1996, 1998; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4485	TRO886477FTC	Unknown	Holt, Octavian	Octavian Holt	8005		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1995 and prior; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4486	TRO890849FTC	Unknown	Rush, Myra	Myra S. Rush	8006		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; says did not know anything about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4487	TRO892175FTC	Unknown	Witherspoon, Lorenzo	Lorenzo Witherspoon	8007	8700	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Says was unaware could file claim; exposure was from 1971 and refers to an exhibit 3 as providing conditions and dates for symptoms and diagnosis but there was not any exhibit attached. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8700 with others complaining about the process. No identification of a valid claim (not barred by SOL) for which relief is sought. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4488	TRO885034FTC	Unknown	Cunningham, Tonya	Tonya B. Cunningham	8008			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says symptoms and diagnosis 9/16/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4489	TRO885157FTC	Unknown	Burks, Ashton	Tonya B. Cunningham	8009			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says symptoms and diagnosis 8/18/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4490	Unknown	Unknown	Sanders, Harvey	Harvey B. Sanders	8011			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Says has no symptoms, conditions or diagnoses; says was not informed that there was a case against the company. No actual claim identified for which relief is sought.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4491	TRO890324FTC	Unknown	Hairston, John	Earnest Pippins	8013		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1954, 1964, 1968; the injured party died in 1978; unaware could file claim on behalf of deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Claim apparently is based on exposure in MS, if so was also time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4492	TRO901131FTC	Unknown	Thompson, Lenwood	Girlean Dillon	8016		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; allegedly incompetent, incapacitated (apparently referring to injured party); the rep says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, does not say why; did not know and had no reason to know expose to a Tronox product; says can't take advantage of lawsuits if you were informed that only certain area or people could apply (but there was no such limit in the bankruptcy case, that was a limit for a prior class action). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4493	TRO900371FTC	Unknown	Granger, Henry	Henry Granger	8017		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1997, 1999, 2017; says was unable to receive the information and respond in a timely manner because was out of the state, but listed a Mississippi address in response to a question about residence in 2009-2011. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4494	unknown	Unknown	Cook, McArthur	Mary Cook	8018		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. The injured party died in 2000; rep did not provide excuse merely filed a category A tort claim form. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4495	TRO902341FTC	Unknown	Mitchell, Aubreonna	Aubreonna Mitchell	8020			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4496	TRO892583FTC	Unknown	Henry, Viara	Viara Henry	8021		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1985 diagnosis; says death in family - grandmother passed away but does not provide date or any supporting documents. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4497	TRO890290FTC	Unknown	Fenster, Jakala	Jakala Fenster	8022			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2009-2011; says did not understand or know the steps to file, incompetent, does not provide details. Insufficient showing of inability in 2009 to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4498	TRO898267FTC	Unknown	Foggie, Maggie	Maggie Foggie	8023			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1990s, 2017; does not provide excuse. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4499	TRO905444FTC	Unknown	Burgin, Alicia	Alicia Burgin	8024			X				Not included in Trust's summary. No excuse provided; did not provide dates for symptoms or diagnosis. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4500	TRO892935FTC	Unknown	Morris, Pamela	Pamela Morris	8025		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; no indication if a claim was made in any proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
4501	TRO914798FTC	Unknown	Blunt, Barbara	Edie B. Latham	8026		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1973 illness; the injured party died in 1973; rep does not provide excuse. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4502	TRO914645FTC	Unknown	Taite, Rodriquez	Rodriquez Taite	8029			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4503	TRO889430FTC	Unknown	Wilson, S.L.	S.L. Wilson	8030			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4504	TRO894423FTC	Unknown	Jordan, Keith	Keith Jordan	8032		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says not aware he could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4505	TRO894422FTC	Unknown	Scott, Lonzetta	Lonzetta Scott	8033		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1990, 2000; previously filed with Colom law firm, result not specified; says not aware could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4506	TRO890401FTC	Unknown	Zabrowski, John	John R. Zabrowski, Jr.	8034			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1975 diagnosis; did not learn about lawsuit until 2014 and realized symptoms and sickness were caused by exposure one summer when painting baseball park in Avoca. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4507	TRO885201FTC	Unknown	Jennings, Elise	Elise Jennings	8035		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various alleged diagnoses, some before bar date and some after; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4508	TRO885202FTC	Unknown	Jennings, Joshua	Joshua Jennings	8036		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various alleged diagnoses, some before bar date and some after; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4509	TRO898370FTC	Unknown	Colebrook, Betty	Betty Colebrook	8037		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Previously filed with Colom law firm; she says she was offered \$5,000 and rejected the offer but she also references having received \$2,773.10 from Creosote Wood Treating Facility litigation group after fees and costs were deducted. She also says she has additional conditions and wants her claim re-evaluated. Medical records show various pre-bar date diagnoses and one 2013 diagnosis. Prior litigation shows awareness of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in prior litigation, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense based on the terms of a prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4510	TRO895359FTC	Unknown	Lawrence, Sam	Sam Lawrence	8038		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Tollison law firm in 2001 Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Prior litigation shows awareness of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on diagnoses before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4511	unknown	Unknown	Hinson, Precilla	Precilla Hinson	8042			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a category A tort claim form, not a motion seeking relief.
4512	TR890591FTC	Unknown	Taylor, Zachary	Zachary Taylor	8043						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; minor and did not know nor was he aware of his options; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; does not provide reason why a guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4513	TRO891816FTC	Unknown	Macon, Justin	Justin Macon	8044		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, one in 2009 (month unspecified), others after bar date; former resident of MS who moved out of state in 2006. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4514	TRO895648FTC	Unknown	Whitfield, Emma	Emma Whitfield	8045			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Does not list diagnosis dates; says was unaware of deadline. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4515	TRO895741FTC	Unknown	Thomas, Mary	Mary Thomas	8046			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1994 diagnosis; did not know about the lawsuit. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4516	TRO884531FTC	Unknown	Thompson, Fowles	Fowles Thompson	8050		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1998 and 2000; previously filed with Lundy & Davis, LLP 2000 and McClanahan 2002; says he thinks it is a shame that 3 people who reside in the same house received allowed claims and one did not although all have health issues and that people who never lived in Columbus have allowed claims. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date or explain reason why failed to comply with bar date. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4517	TRO901976FTC	Unknown	Randle, Tonya	Tonya Randle	8051			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4518	TRO898481FTC	6/27/2016	Hill, Lucy	Lucy Hill	8067		X	X				Diagnoses 1977, 1979; says was unaware of lawsuit; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4519	TRO902080FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, Amir	Kamilah Ballard	8080	8415					X	Motion by a representative filed for a child; 2004 diagnosis (at age 1); rep says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, but does not allege Tronox knew of this claim or claimant. Says lived in Birmingham, Alabama at the time of the bar date, but notice of the bar date was also published in Birmingham. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8080. Parent/guardian does not explain his/her own delay. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4520	TRO895255FTC	Unknown	Miller, Cedric	Cedric Miller	8100		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4521	TRO896776FTC	4/25/2016	Oden, Jim	Jim Oden	8109	8488	X	X			X	No prior motion on file before this supplement was received; former resident of Columbus, MS; supplement says pre-bar date diagnoses in 1979 and 2003, post-bar date diagnoses of different conditions in 2012 and 2017; says received prior offers of \$500-1000 from Colom & Turner & Gunn, says insufficient; supplement to papers filed with trust but not court. A supplement filed at docket #8488, rep says filed previously claim with Hamilton, Seward Berry, Gunn, and Colom 2003, received value of \$500; says value insufficient. Claim resolved in prior action could not be reasserted in Tronox bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceedings shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4522	TRO912827FTC	5/30/2017	Malone, Errol	Errol Malone	8127	8472	X	X			X	Motion is at docket # 8472; docket# 8127 is a list of medical conditions diagnosed in 2017. Motion, however, alleges diagnoses in 2005; says unaware had to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4523	TRO888515FTC	12/24/2015	Johnson, Sally	Sally Johnson	8130	8462	X	X				Motion is at docket # 8462, letter at docket # 8130. Diagnoses in 1980, 1981; no excuse provided; filed with attorney, maybe Colom, many years ago. No grounds stated for relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4524	TRO884245FTC	11/25/2015	Conner, Gloria	Gloria Conner	8135	8426	X	X				Motion is at docket #8426, letter filed in advance at docket #8130. 1975 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, result not specified; not aware of claim process in bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4525	Unknown	Unknown	Borovitz, Stanley & Mary	Judy Draus	8149			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Says did not file for deceased relatives, then found others had done so, wants their claims reconsidered. Does not allege lack of knowledge of the claim process. No specific claim identified for which relief is sought on the grounds of excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4526	Unknown	Unknown	Randle, Tie R.	Tie R. Randle	8183			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Claim number not provided; dates of diagnosis not provided; says was unaware of the information and/or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries; unaware of the threatening issues from being in contaminated area. Claimant provides some recent medical forms but did not provide information required by motion form. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4527	TRO889413FTC	Unknown	Murunga, Gregory	Gwendolyn Williams	8202		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Docket 8202 is a "group" letter filed for 5 claimants complaining about the process; says previously filed with atty Howard Gunn 2002 but the trust did not send her notice. Some medical records for Gwendolyn, who says that she and one other of these claimants has not received a determination notice. Says claimant thought was represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4528	TRO889532FTC	Unknown	Williams, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Williams	8202		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter filed for herself and 4 other claimants complaining about process. She says that she received a determination notice for 3 of the claimants but not for herself and one other claimant. Previously filed with atty Howard Gunn 2002 but the trust did not send her notice. Some medical records for Gwendolyn, who says that she and one other of these claimants has not received a determination notice. Says she thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
4529	TRO888271FTC	Unknown	Gandy, Renita	Renita Gandy	8223			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4530	TRO888531FTC	Unknown	Gandy, David	David Gandy	8241			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4531	TRO901749FTC	Unknown	Tate, Shirley	Shirley Tate	8253			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. A letter rejecting an offer from the Trust. Does not seek relief from the bar date. Appeals from Trust determinations are to be resolved through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
4532	TRO885868FTC	Unknown	Abrams, Jessica	Jessica Abrams	8256			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. This is not an excusable neglect motion, it is merely a response to a notice of deficient claim materials.
4533	TRO901453FTC	Unknown	Hampton, William	Ida Hampton	8278			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Not a motion for relief, just papers showing acceptance of an offer of a scheduled value of \$10,000 by Trust.
4534	TRO890703FTC	Unknown	Shelton, Rebertha	Rebertha Shelton	8316		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1965, 1985, 1990; says relocated from Columbus, MS to another state at time of bar date, therefore does not believe received adequate notice, discharge of claim was violation of due process. Says claim was filed on time but it was filed many years after the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices complied with due process as explained in the accompanying Decision. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4535	TRO890179FTC	Unknown	McGregory, Willie	Willie Gregory	8317		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1983, 1989, 1992; unaware of the bar date; due process rights violated because did not have any information on filing a claim prior to the bar date; unaware exposed to toxic chemicals; had moved from Columbus, MS and was not living in the area when the ads were posted and did not have adequate notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4536	TRO892188FTC	Unknown	Stewart, Jamila	Jamila Stewart	8328			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Conditions and diagnosis dates not listed; says was unaware of and had no knowledge of the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4537	TRO885548FTC	Unknown	Lyons, Undrae	Undrae Lyons	8334		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1980-1989; says had no knowledge of this at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4538	TRO886363FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Adlena	Adlena Williams	8349	8523		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Docket # 8349 is merely a schedule of insurance benefits. Also filed a motion form at docket #8523 but it was blank and only includes signature and address. No information to support a motion for relief from the bar date.
4539	TRO893109FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Shelby	Patty Harrison	8359	8500		X		X		Lists an updated medical diagnosis for 2012 but there is no any other information or prior filing with the court. A supplement filed at docket #8500, says claimant is a minor. Papers filed with court do not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief from the bar date is sought. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4540	TRO887115FTC	12/14/2015	Walker, Sarah	Sarah Walker	8360			X				2006 diagnosis. Says that in 2009, she was the caregiver for her sister, who died on June 10, 2009, a few weeks before bar date, and she was not thinking about the claim and forgot about the lawsuit deadline. Does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4541	TRO900719FTC	8/16/2016	Orr, Ida	Ida Moore Orr	8361			X				Says had not heard about deadline at the time; says diagnosis 2009/ prior to 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4542	TRO892017FTC	2/23/2016	Irizarry, Amy (Munson)	Amy Munson Irizarry	8362	8126	X	X				1969 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; says a claim was filed in 2000-2001, but was closed due to rejecting the \$600 offered as unacceptable. Complains that others who lived further away were compensated and she was not. She wants reconsideration of her claim. She says not aware of the dangers posed by the dangerous substances. A supplement filed at docket #8126, says moved away from area; unaware of bar date, insufficient notice. Participation in prior class action shows awareness of claim and rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
4543	Unknown	Unknown	Lawson, Rasheeda	Rasheeda Lawson	8373			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges 2010 diagnosis; says that did not file by bar date because she did not have any illness to report at the time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4544	Unknown	Unknown	Smith Breakfield, Carmen	Carmen Smith Breakfield	8374		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Some heart and allergy diagnoses in 2005, told needed transplant in 2014. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claims based on 2005 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4545	TRO888347FTC	Unknown	Perry, Willie	Margaret Perry	8377		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep did not know about claim process. Rep's own claim (docket 8379) shows rep and parents lived in Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4546	TRO888348FTC	Unknown	Jenkins, Jerry	Margaret Perry	8378		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep unaware of claim. Rep's own claim (docket 8379) shows rep and parents lived in Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4547	TRO888343FTC	Unknown	Perry, Margaret	Margaret Perry	8379		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1979 diagnosis; unaware of claim. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4548	TRO888342FTC	Unknown	Perry, Elaine	Elaine Perry	8380		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1968 diagnosis; unaware of claim. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4549	TRO888346FTC	Unknown	Perry, Johnnie	Johnnie, Perry	8381		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1977 diagnosis; unaware of claim. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4550	Unknown	Unknown	Dawson, Wanda	Wanda Dawson c/o Calvin Dawson	8383			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Claim filed by Ms. Dawson for herself and 8 children; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not allege Tronox had reason to know of her claim. Says children were incompetent at the time of bar date but claim is filed by Ms. Dawson, no indication she was incompetent. There is only one child for which she lists a post bar date condition in 2012 for appendicitis and gastritis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4551	Unknown	Unknown	D'Aiello, Steven	Steven D'Aiello	8387			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. This is merely a filing of a tort claim form, not a motion for relief. A corrected claim form filed at docket #8392, still is not a motion for relief. Claim is to be ruled upon by the Tort Claims Trust in the first instance.
4552	Unknown	Unknown	Hutton, Lardus	Lardus Hutton	8390			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges March 2010 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see publication notices; does not provide any medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4553	TRO894408FTC	3/2/2016	Wells, Crystal	Crystal Wells	8397		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; paperwork was filed in 2014/2015, thinks time limits are unfair. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4554	Unknown	Unknown	Hackman, Angela B.	Angela Hackman	8399			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. February 2010 diagnosis; says that she did not have injuries to report at time of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4555	TRO897218FTC	5/4/2016	Bejeski, Robert	Robert P. Bejeski	8404			X				1990s diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4556	TRO888820FTC	12/30/2015	Bennett, Sam	Sam Bennett	8405			X			X	Merely a chart with diagnoses for conditions after 2009. No other information, no request for relief. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4557	TRO884473FTC	11/25/2015	Billups, Bernard	Bernard Billups	8406			X				1992 symptoms onset, no diagnosis date; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unknown about filing a claim. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in MS or Alabama then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4558	TRO893891FTC	3/2/2016	Boler, Delinda	Delinda Boler	8407		X	X				Diagnoses 1975, 1980, 2003; unaware of the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4559	TRO886370FTC	12/7/2015	Boone, Annie	Annie Boone	8408			X				Merely a signed motion form, no excuse offered.
4560	TRO897209FTC	5/4/2016	Brandon, Ambrosha	Ambrosha Brandon	8409						X	1992 diagnosis (at birth); had no knowledge "until EPA came to Maranatha Faith Center;" says that when she was at the meeting at the faith center, she did the paperwork but does not mention date. Learned a year ago that "the case has been reopen." Movant apparently was a minor as of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4561	TRO896629FTC	4/25/2016	Brewer, Mary	Mary Brewer	8410		X	X				1995 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, at that time she did not understand why she was getting papers from all kind of out of state lawyers; she turned her paperwork back to them; she stopped hearing from lawyers and is unaware what happened to her paperwork with lawyer Colom. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claims. Claim either was resolved in prior action or, if not, it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4562	TRO891338FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Amber	Amber Brooks	8411			X		X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4563	TRO891345FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, J'Marcus	J'Marcus Brooks	8412			X		X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4564	TRO891342FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Summer	Summer Brooks	8413			X		x		2017 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4565	TRO885178FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Timothy	Timothy Brooks	8414			X				2006 symptoms; says was diagnosed, does not list date; references filing papers with the Tronox tort claims Trust in 2016-2017. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4566	N/a	Unknown	Buckhalter, Decameron	Decameron Buckhalter	8416			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4567	Unknown	Unknown	Buckhalter, Deloris	Deloris Buckhalter	8417			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4568	Unknown	Unknown	Buckhalter, Dennis	Dennis Buckhalter	8418			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4569	Unknown	Unknown	Buckhalter, Denntians	Denntian Buckhalter	8419			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4570	Unknown	Unknown	Dismuke, Shakerian	LaShell Hughes	8420			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4571	N/a	Unknown	Bush, Mary	Mary Bush	8421			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4572	TRO897548FTC	5/25/2016	Carr, Rosalyn	Rosalyn Carr	8423			X				1995 diagnosis; says she did file a claim through the representative before the deadline but does not give particulars, and it is unclear with whom she filed or which deadline she references. No record of a bankruptcy claim filed before bar date in 2009. No grounds stated for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4573	TRO902382FTC	9/14/2016	McMullen, Lillie	Verda Carr-McCoy	8424		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party is a former residence of Columbus, MS who is deceased; rep says that the form notice of bar date was deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; was not aware nor had reason to be aware that injured party was exposed to dangerous Tronox product; rep learned of lawsuit in 2016, then researched and filed claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4574	TRO894307FTC	3/2/2016	Coleman, Anthony	Anthony Coleman	8425						X	Diagnosis "before August 2009;" former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was unaware of the bankruptcy suit; said just started college and was commuting back and forth between college and home. No explanation of long delay after bar date before filed claim, no explanation of any effort to investigate and pursue legal rights during that period. However, reference to just starting college suggests that movant may have been a minor in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
4575	TRO887486FTC	12/14/2015	Council, Myia	Myia Council	8427			X			X		Unaware of bar date; misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Says symptoms December 2009, diagnosis October 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4576	Unknown	3/24/2016	Crowell, Antonio	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8428		X	X					1995 diagnosis; previously filed claim with Colom law firm, was paid \$500, does not believe was fairly compensated. Says was unaware that "my attorney" would not file a claim on his behalf - unclear who attorney was at that time, but apparently is referring to the Colom firm. Claim resolved in a prior class action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case, and if some part of the claim was not resolved in the class action it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if Colom firm was counsel to claimant in 2009 but even if it was that would not be an excuse, because the Colom law firm received direct notice of the bar date. Allegation that Colom firm should have filed a claim is not grounds for relief, because unexcused failures by counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
4577	TRO905585FTC	3/28/2017	Davidson, Jimmy	Jimmy Davidson	8429			X					Names doctor but does not identify condition or diagnosis date; says was unaware to whom to make a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4578	TRO890724FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, David	David Davis	8430			X			X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; says was in the military and was unaware of the claim; the legal system at Fort Hood made him aware of the fact he could file a claim. Later, he found out could file a claim. Says diagnosed after bar date in Oct. 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4579	TRO896370FTC	4/13/2016	Davis, Jarrett	Orvella Smith	8431			X					2004 symptoms onset; diagnosis "N/A;" the injured party is deceased; no reason given why bar date was missed. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4580	TRO896369FTC	4/13/2016	Davis, Maurice	Orvella Smith	8432			X					2002 symptoms; the injured party is deceased; rep does not provide excuse for missing bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4581	TRO890101FTC	2/23/2016	Deloach, Andrew	Bessie Deloach	8433		X	X			X	The injured party is deceased; unsure of diagnosis dates; rep sent in claim when he saw that a relative received compensation. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
4582	Unknown	Unknown	Dismuke, William	William Dismuke	8434			X				Copy of a form where claimant identified potential reasons for missing the bar date; form says claimant was not aware of publication notice and unaware of the process; says notice was not published in his area but court records show confirmation of publication in newspapers in Columbus, MS and Jackson, MS; says was found disabled by social security administration in 2013 but does not allege or verify incompetence at the bar date in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4583	TRO901432FTC	8/16/2016	Dobbs, Mary	David Sprowell	8435	8115	X	X				Diagnosis 2003; the injured party died in 2005. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplement with the rep's medical records filed at docket #8115. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4584	Unknown	N/A	Dotson, Janice	Janice Dotson	8436			X		X		Merely filed a claim form, no motion or request for relief from the Court. Claim must be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4585	TRO895506FTC	3/24/2016	Draus, Anthony	Judith Draus	8437	5343		X			X	Diagnoses 1994, 2011, 2012; unaware that Tronox product was the cause of his condition, did not make correlation. A rejection notice filed at docket #5343. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim based on a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4586	TRO884040FTC	11/13/2015	Epps, Glennie	Glennie Epps	8439		X	X				2005 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4587	TRO901355FTC	8/16/2016	Erby, Georgia	Unknown	8440		X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all 1987 and earlier; the injured party died in 1987; rep unaware of process, did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4588	TRO894900FTC	3/23/2016	Fields, Aretha	Aretha Fields	8441		X	X		X		See notes as to docket item 4863. Diagnoses 1992, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2010; unaware of bar date; did not see any advertisements on TV or newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4589	TRO894965FTC	3/23/2016	Finch, Bessie	Felicia Finch	8442			X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; standard form reasons why missed bar date. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4590	TRO894966FTC	3/23/2016	Finch, Willie	Felicia Finch	8443			X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1980; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4591	TRO887691FTC	12/14/2015	Gibbs, DeQuavius	DeQuavius Gibbs	8444		X	X				1997 diagnosis; says did not file prior to 2015 because was given a lot of unclear information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4592	TRO901364FTC	8/16/2016	Green-White, Mary	Mary Green-White	8445		X	X				Diagnoses 1954, 1962, 1976; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4593	TRO887001FTC	12/7/2015	Hairston, Margaret	Margaret Hairston	8446			X				Diagnosis dates not clear; no excuse provided, claimant says has experienced various illnesses and believes she should be compensated. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4594	TRO887974FTC	12/18/2015	Harashinski, Stanley	Carol Harashinski	8447			X				2003 diagnoses; rep says did not know about bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4595	TRO886167FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Orlando	Orlando Harris	8448			X				Signed motion, no specifics as to conditions, no excuse provided as to why missed the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4596	TRO896024FTC	4/6/2016	Harris, Shirley	Jeffrey Harris	8449			X		X		Unaware of bar date; says symptoms September 2009 and diagnosis October 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4597	TRO901051FTC	8/16/2016	Hendrix, Ronald	Ronald Hendrix	8450		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 2001; unaware of information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4598	TRO903750FTC	11/23/2016	Henry, Jerry C.	Jerry C. Henry	8451		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to Tronox product; filed after a friend received a settlement check. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4599	TRO880837FTC	12/4/2015	Henry, Lillie	Norma Saddler	8452	5265	X	X				Diagnoses 1996 and earlier; the injured party died in 2001; there were duplicate motions docketed for this claimant using different TRO claim numbers, one is filed at docket #5265 [TRO886841FTC] and the other at docket # 8452 [TRO880837FTC]; the rep's excuse is she was not aware of the process or that she could file a claim; says violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4600	TRO900810FTC	8/16/2016	Hinton, Eddie	Eddie Hinton	8453			X		X		Knew was sick but did not know how to file claim; says symptoms 2009 but does not provide a diagnosis date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4601	TRO887092FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Willie	Willie Howard	8455		X	X				Diagnoses 1999-2000; says always having headaches and could not go to attorney in early days. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4602	TRO892654FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Deandre	Deandre Hughes	8457	9443		X		X		Claims first diagnosis in 2011 but also says previously filed with Colom firm, unclear if that was in connection with the late-filed claim or some other proceeding; says Colom law firm did not respond. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9443 complaining about the process. If (as alleged) the Colom firm was retained to file a claim by the bar date, and failed to do so, that is not grounds for relief. The Colom firm had direct notice of the bar date, and an unexcused failure by an attorney is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim appears to be based on 2011 diagnosis. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4603	TRO900499FTC	8/16/2016	Jackson, Mervin	Mervin Jackson	8458		X	X				Diagnoses 1994 and 1995; asserts that he filed before deadline in August 2009 but the official claims register shows no such claim. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4604	TRO899944FTC	7/25/2016	James, Nellie	Nellie James	8459			X		X		February 2010 diagnosis; says unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4605	TRO887457FTC	12/14/2015	Jefferson, Andy	Andy Jefferson	8460			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4606	N/A	Unknown	Johnson, Angela	Angela Johnson	8461			X		X		Merely a trust tort claim form, not a motion or a request for relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4607	Unknown	2/23/2016	Jones, Earnisha	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8463		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says unaware condition related to Tronox product; says notice was insufficient to let claimant know that condition had been caused by Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4608	TRO895216FTC	3/23/2016	King, Gregory II	Lakeya King	8464			X		X		Alleges a December 2009 diagnosis; says was child and incompetent at the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4609	TRO900608FTC	8/16/2016	King, Gregory	Gregory King	8465			X		X		Alleges December 2009 diagnosis; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says insufficient notice; says symptoms after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4610	TRO881066FTC	12/4/2015	Lanphear, Alfred	Janalee Serwinski	8466		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1989; rep was unaware could file for a deceased person. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4611	TRO901260FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Doris	Doris Lee	8467		X	X				Symptoms 1979-1993; says was unaware of deadline; no diagnosis date listed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4612	Unknown	2/23/2016	Lee, Sandy	Sandy Lee	8468		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2003, 2017; says received no direct notice, nothing sufficient to inform claimant that condition was due to Tronox materials. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4613	TRO890838FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Ronald	Ronald Little	8469			X		X		Diagnoses 2013, 2014, 2015; unaware exposed to Tronox product; insufficient notice; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4614	Unknown	12/4/2015	Little, Titus	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8470	9147		X				1996 diagnosis; minor (18) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox product, says notice not sufficient to inform claimant that condition was due to Tronox. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9147 complaining about the process. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. No explanation of long post-bar date delay before filed claim, no explanation of any efforts to investigate or to protect legal rights during that time. Movant was 21 years old by approximately 2012, no claim filed until years later. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4615	TRO895493FTC	3/24/2016	Lucious, Tangela	Tangela Lucious	8471		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2007; unaware of lawsuit or of need to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4616	TRO900921FTC	8/16/2016	Martin, Cornelius	Cornelius Martin	8473			X				Symptoms 2008; no diagnosis date listed; when asked to explain why missed the bar date, says "Don't know, Depressed." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4617	Unknown	3/24/2016	Mason, Ebbie	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8474	8959	X	X				Diagnoses 1976, 1990; previously represented by Bambach and received a \$300 settlement, which he says was not fair compensation; says notice was insufficient to inform him that Tronox caused his illness, but participation in prior litigation shows notice of that connection. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8959 complaining about the process. Claim resolved in a prior action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claims either were resolved in prior action or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4618	Unknown	2/23/2016	Mason, Joe	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8475		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware condition related to Tronox product; insufficient notice to inform claimant that Tronox caused injury. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Statute of limitations in Mississippi runs from date of known injury regardless of whether cause is known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4619	Unknown	2/23/2016	Mason, Joe Kris	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8476			X				Says has no symptoms now but wants to file in case latent condition turns up later; unaware of dangerous chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief from the bar date is sought or is necessary.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4620	TRO886006FTC	12/7/2015	Mayfield, Sonja	Sonja Mayfield	8477		X	X				Lists diagnosis date as 2001 but also says exposure began in 2008; no excuse provided as to why missed bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4621	Unknown	N/A	McBride, Linda	Linda McBride	8478			X		X		Merely a claim form, not a motion or a request for court relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4622	TRO903561FTC	11/23/2016	McCoy, Latoria	Latoria McCoy	8479		X	X				Diagnoses 1998-2000; unaware of this claim and did not know how to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4623	TRO905729FTC	3/28/2017	McCrary, Elton	Elton McCrary	8480		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1998, was offered \$1,000 but only received \$800. Claim resolved in prior action could not be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claim either was resolved in earlier class action or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4624	TRO880692FTC	12/4/2015	McCrary, Payton	Bennie (McCrary) Bonnen	8481		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1977; representative complains that some people have received large sums and others who lived nearby have not been compensated. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4625	TRO880807FTC	12/4/2015	McCrary-Miller, Elizabeth	Elizabeth McCrary-Miller	8482		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, most 1989 and earlier but some in 2014 and 2017; previously filed with Lundy & Davis 1999, did not receive any recovery; unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4626	TRO885264FTC	11/25/2015	Meady, Asia	Asia Meady	8483			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4627	TRO885091FTC	11/25/2015	Meady, Javoncia	Javoncia Meady	8484			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4628	TRO900298FTC	7/25/2016	Melton, Evelyn MarieBradshaw	Tracy Melton	8485		X	X				Diagnosis 1989 or 1990; rep says that neither the injured party nor she knew anything about the claim until 2016. Under MS law the statute of limitations runs from the date of diagnosis regardless of whether the claimant knows the cause of the condition. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4629	TRO889235FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Baby	Sandra Moore	8486	8248	X	X				This motion regarding the claim for Baby Moore [TRO889235FTC] was filed with the Court but it was attached to the motion filed by Sandra Moore [TRO889236FTC] at docket #5477. This motion was docketed separately later at docket #8486. The injured party is deceased, stillborn 1979; representative references postpartum depression but that was in 1979; movant later moved from Mississippi to Florida; did not see advertising pertaining to bar date. A supplement was filed at docket # 8248, movant says she did not receive any mail from anyone and she is sure that research was done to approximate how many people lived in that area during this time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4630	TRO894399FTC	3/2/2016	Reyes, Rhonda Nabors	Rhonda Nabors Reyes	8487			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4631	TRO896118FTC	4/6/2016	Petty, Andrew	Logan Petty	8489			X				Merely a signed rejection notice, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4632	TRO889800FTC	2/23/2016	Raby, Emma	Emma Raby	8490		X	X				1991 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know about bankruptcy process; found out later when learned her brother had filed a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4633	TRO897504FTC	5/25/2016	Quinn, Carol	Carol Quinn	8491		X	X				1993 diagnosis; says she did file a proof of claim before the deadline, but the official claims register shows no such claim, claimant may be referring either to the late-filed claim or to a claim filed in an earlier class action lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4634	TRO902125FTC	9/14/2016	Quinn, Timothy	Emma Quinn	8492		X	X				Diagnosis 1999-2001; the injured party died in 2002; rep says paid for paperwork but does not provide details as to when or in connection with what proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4635	TRO886421FTC	12/7/2015	Reed, Howard	Howard Reed	8493			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4636	TRO891980FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, RL MC III	Stephanie Reed	8494		X	X				The injured party died in 1996; representative says previously filed with Turner & Assocs. and the Colom law firm; moved away from Columbus to Louisiana. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4637	TRO888689FTC	12/24/2015	Rice, Shania	Shania Rice	8495		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was not aware of the proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4638	TRO881075FTC	12/4/2015	Rice, Tafforest	Tafforest Rice	8496			X		X		Merely a signed claim form and signed rejection notice with a page alleging diagnosis in 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4639	N/a	11/25/2015	Richardson, Christopher	Latonia Brown	8497			X		X		Merely a claim form, not a motion or a request for court relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4640	TRO892982FTC	2/23/2016	Andrew, Robert	Robert Roby	8498			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4641	TRO885145FTC	11/25/2015	Sanders, Tyler	Lisa Sanders	8499			X		X		Representative filing on behalf of a minor; says unaware of bar date, says first exposed in 2010 when entered an academy, but also says symptoms began shortly after birth. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4642	TRO898476FTC	6/27/2016	Shelton, Henry	Henry Shelton	8501			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4643	TRO900388FTC	7/25/2016	Shelton, Minnie	Minnie Shelton	8502			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4644	TRO890315FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Raymond	Raymond Shelton	8503		X	X				1995 diagnosis; says did file a proof of claim before bar date but without details, claimant does not appear on the official claims register, may be thinking of the late-filed claim or of a claim filed in a different legal proceeding. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4645	TRO891178FTC	2/23/2016	Sims, Rosie	Rosie Sims	8504		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1990, 2008, 2014; unaware that her illness qualified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4646	TRO897854FTC	5/25/2016	Smith, Marudean	Sheila Smith	8505		X	X				2001 diagnosis; no excuse provided for missing bar date; says does not understand why claim was rejected. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4647	TRO902238FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Tynisha	Tynisha Smith	8506		X	X				Diagnoses 1985, 1990; relocated to another county and was not aware of the claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4648	TRO884509FTC	11/25/2015	Sparks, Taylor	LaKetra Vaughn	8507			X				Illness and diagnosis date missing; says was told it was too late for the original case; filed immediately after "reopening" of the case in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4649	TRO900343FTC	7/25/2016	Sprowell, David	David Sprowell	8508	8115		X			X	Alleges 2010 and later diagnoses. Supplement with medical records filed at docket #8115. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4650	TRO901429FTC	8/16/2016	Tallie, Eliza	Tallie, Eliza	8510		X	X				Diagnosis 2002; says had no reason to know of exposure or that it was causing sickness. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4651	TRO888332FTC	12/18/2015	Taylor, Jimmy	Betty Taylor	8511	8900	X	X				This motion was filed at the same time as the motion of Larry Taylor (docket #3357), and was separately docketed later at docket #8511; 1992 diagnosis, the injured party died in 1997. Standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8900 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4652	TRO888144FTC	12/18/2015	Taylor, Michael	Betty Taylor	8512	8900	X	X				This motion was filed at the same time as the motion of Larry Taylor (docket #3357), and was separately docketed later at docket #8512; 1981 diagnoses, the injured party died in 1996; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8900 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4653	TRO893090FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas Lurlean	Ergin Thomas	8513	8284		X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page. A certificate of service filed at docket #8284. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4654	TRO887268FTC	12/14/2015	Thompson, Frank	Frank Thompson	8514			X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; unaware of bar date and misinterpreted conditions of claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4655	TRO884505FTC	11/25/2015	Vaughn, Izola	LaKetra Vaughn	8515			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4656	TRO901165FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Shirley	Shirley Walker	8516		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1979, 2010, 2012, 2014; says did not know how to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4657	TRO887144FTC	12/14/2015	Wallace, Willie	Annette Wallace	8517	8829	X	X				1994 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8829 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4658	TRO914577FTC	7/26/2017	Webber, Estella	Dorothy Jones	8518		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; rep moved to California, only returned to Columbus for trip in 2014; insufficient notice; rep unaware that party was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4659	TRO888601FTC	12/24/2015	Webber, Johnny	Johnny Webber	8519		X	X				1985 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach (does not say when), and did not know where to get paperwork. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4660	TRO889831FTC	2/23/2016	Webber, Ollie	Ollie Webber	8520			X				No excuse provided, no details provided, says that the trust has copies of all medical information already. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4661	TRO888385FTC	12/18/2015	White, Willie	Teri White	8521			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4662	TRO900735FTC	8/16/2016	Whitlow, Ronea	Errol Malone	8522		X	X				Diagnosis 2004; rep says did not know about it and unaware where to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4663	TRO887714FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Kourgee	Kourgee Williams	8524						X	1999 diagnosis (at age 9); minor (19 at bar date); military family relocated to Tennessee in 2005. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4664	TRO901477FTC	8/16/2016	Wilson, Barbara	Barbara Wilson	8525			X		X		Says did not understand conditions of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms Aug. 28, 2009 and diagnosis Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4665	TRO898224FTC	6/20/2016	Wilson, Mike	Mike Wilson	8526			X		X		Says did not file a claim because misunderstood the procedure; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4666	Unknown	Unknown	Poindexter, TeKasha	TeKasha Poindexter	8892			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a tort trust claim form, not a request for relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4667	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Abigail	Abigail Little	9308			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2007 and 2011; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonable, but does not allege Tronox knew of this claim; says did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4668	Unknown	Unknown	Gallegos, Salomon	Luella Jaramillo	9454			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2004 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014. Motion filed 3/9/2020. Representative says she came upon information about these proceedings when clearing the decedent's personal belongings and found a letter dated 2/16/2015 concerning the proceedings. The excuse provided is that Mr. Gallegos discarded any and all documentation related to these proceedings because of his cognitive and physical capacities, which were directly related to his condition from the exposure to radioactive elements and his poisoning. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so, insufficient showing as to decedent's knowledge of bar date and/or diligence in pursuing claim to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4669	Unknown	Unknown	Leach, Bobby J.	Bobby J. leach	9478							Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4670	Unknown	Unknown	Tucker, Connie M.	Connie M. Tucker	9479							Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4671	TRO886449FTC	Unknown	Terry, Daphne	Daphne Terry	9485							Acceptance of Trust offer, not a motion for relief.
4672	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Evaleen	Billy Wayne Bridges								Trust lists this as a motion received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
4673	N/a	11/24/2015	Jackson, Mattie	Mattie Jackson								See docket 4044, which is a motion by Mary Jackson for a Mattie Jackson. Trust lists a separate motion by Mattie Jackson as one that was filed with the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule on the motion unless and until it is filed with the Court.
4674	Unknown	11/14/2015	Stephanie Bluitt	Stephanie Williams								Trust lists this as a motion received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
4675	TRO884186FTC	11/25/2015	Godfrey, TJ	Unknown								Trust lists it as a motion received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
4676	N/a	N/A	Byrd, Timothy	Uvodkia Walker								Uvodkia Walker filed a motion on her own behalf at docket # 6969. The Trust's summary lists an additional motion by Uvodkia Walker on behalf of Timothy Byrd but we have not been able to find such a motion on the docket. It may have been received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
						TOTAL	2790	4490	20	1308	179	

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

**Legend**

- Group A Claims were barred by statute of limitations prior to Tronox bankruptcy
- Group B Claimant failed to show grounds for relief as to pre-bar date claims
- Group C Motion filed after 90-day deadline set by the court.
- Group D No grounds for relief as to pre-bar date claims, Trust to resolve other issues.
- Group E Alleges infancy, movant to have opportunity to file supplemental proof.

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1	TRO902701FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Aaliyah	Aaliyah Thomas	3724		x	X				Diagnosed 2000, not aware of process. Contends she was present at meeting with EPA about creosote dangers. Says she did not know about the Tronox claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2	TRO905082FTC	3/1/2017	Young, Aaliyah	Aaliyah Young	6843	8146 8542	X	X			X	Diagnoses 2005, 2010-13; was not aware of the case, did not see published notices, called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim. A supplement filed at docket #8146 complaining that the trust grouped her with others filing identical excuses and says that the excuse is exactly what happened to her and "the reasons should be used by anyone as long as it is the truth." Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A further supplement filed with others at docket #8542 complaining of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3	TRO894822FTC	3/23/2016	Brown, Aaron	Aaron Brown	5579		X	X			X	Alleges 2012 diagnosis for diverticulitis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; standard cut-and-pasted form language as reason for not filing by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4	TRO889199FTC	Unknown	Edwards, Aaron	Aaron Edwards	6720		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 symptoms; no diagnosis date; injured party is deceased. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
5	TRO884518FTC	11/25/2015	Glenn, Aaron	Aaron Glenn	6902		X	X				Diagnoses 1975, 1998, 2002; says was unaware and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product prior to bar date; did not hear any announcements on radio, television, newspapers or other communications about Tronox claim or filing a proof of claim in bankruptcy case while on the road as an over the road trucker. Unfamiliar with the name of the company as he knew it as the Moss Tie Company and did not know it had changed its name. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
6	TRO890650FTC	2/23/2016	Mitchell, Aaron	Aaron Mitchell	7727						X	1994 diagnosis; says was a minor (approximately 17) at the time of the bar date in 2013. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
7	TRO904205FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Aarrington	Aarrington Dancy	4559		X	X				Diagnoses mostly before 2006; alleges one 2006 diagnosis but condition unclear, not clear if it was just a continued condition. Says was not aware of any settlement in Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
8	TRO884804FTC	11/25/2015	Sanders, Abaris	Abaris Sanders	4392	8104	X	X				1990s diagnosis. Unaware of the claims process; in and out of college during bankruptcy case; unaware and no reason to know of bankruptcy case. A supplemental claim filed at docket #8104. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
9	TRO895328FTC	3/23/2016	Woodrick, Abaris	Abaris Woodrick	5060			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2012; did not know what to do until she got the right understanding. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
10	Unknown	Unknown	Durrah, Abby	Abby Durrah	3513	8084		X		X		Motion is not included in the Trust's summary. Says she is filing for reconsideration of her claim but no motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process has been filed. At docket #3513, she filed a rejection of the amount the trust offered as an allowed claim. She filed a separate claim on behalf of a relative at docket #3774. Docket # 8084 is a request for reconsideration. Any review of the Trust's decision as to a post-bar date claim must proceed through the Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
11	TRO902463FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Charlie	Abby Durrah	3774	6644		X		X		Diagnosed "sometime 2009 or 2010." filing on behalf of brother. Filed in 2016 as well. Rejection notice filed at docket # 6644. Brother suffered, feels should be compensated. No showing as to brother's knowledge of claims process or diligence in pursuit of his rights, not qualify for relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to claims based on conditions diagnosed prior to the bar date. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
12	TRO888281FTC	12/18/2015	Ballard, Angela	Abby Robinson	4034		x	X				1994-1995 diagnoses. Says no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. The trust referred to motion as not having been filed with court but it is at docket #4034. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
13	TRO891041FTC	2/23/2016	Gilkey, Nikita	Abby Robinson	4829			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product prior to bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
14	TRO890889FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Demetra	Abby Robinson, Esq.	4492		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
15	TRO890890FTC	2/23/2016	Ballard, J. Gloria	Abby Robinson, Esq.	4493		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Was unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
16	TRO880694FTC	12/4/2015	Webber, Abby	Abby Webber	7025		X	X				Diagnoses in 1983, 2003, 2005; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to a Tronox product or the dangers they presented; says violation of due process. Says did not know creosote caused her illness until 2014. Statute of limitations in MS runs from date of diagnosed injury regardless of whether claimant knows the cause of the injury. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
17	TRO893105FTC	2/23/2016	Babkowski, Abigail	Abigail Babkowski	6737			X				Diagnosed as infant in 1983; says was child at time of deadline but had to be at least 26 years old in 2009. Never heard of Tronox case because lived outside of the area and father, who still lived in area, kept the information from her. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
18	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Abigail	Abigail Little	9308			X		X		deadline was not reasonable, but does not allege Tronox knew of this claim; says did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust
19	TRO891276FTC	2/23/2016	Treadwell, Abreiah	Abreiah Treadwell	5437		X	X				March 1999 diagnosis; unaware of the filing; spoke to an attorney who did not get back to claimant. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
20	TRO890209FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Azcona	Aczona Baker	6253			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
21	TRO896933FTC	4/25/2016	Conner, Ada	Ada Conner	4473		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date, unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
22	TRO899972FTC	7/25/2016	Danner, Ada	Ada Danner	3845		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Copies and pastes language regarding lack of knowledge of the bar date, no other explanation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
23	TRO884834FTC	11/25/2015	Irions, Ada	Ada Irions	3444		X	X				1970 diagnosis, previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002. Says did not file a proof of claim because was unaware of this lawsuit; did not see any publication notification of this lawsuit or the bar date; standard language; her negligence to file by the bar date was because there was no publication notice of the claims filing deadline to provide notice for potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Publication notices did occur and they met due process standards as explained in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
24	TRO897870FTC	4/9/2016	Facciponti, Adam	Adam Facciponti	4205			X				X	2006 diagnosis. Says was a minor when case began but alleges exposure began in 1985 so was at least 24 years old at the time of the bar date. Also says was in U.S. Air Force and did not hear about this matter until 2014. Claim was not filed until April 9, 2016; even if the bar date was tolled by 50 U.S.C. 3936, the claim would be untimely so long as military service ended on or before January 23, 2016. The motion alleges lack of actual knowledge in 2009 but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date and why waited so long after learning of the process in 2014), would not be sufficient to warrant an untimely claim to be permitted on grounds of excusable neglect. If movant believes that military service continued until January 23, 2016 or later, movant may make a supplemental submission to verify the dates of military service.
25	TRO900248FTC	7/25/2016	Kabacinski, Adam	Adam Kabacinski	3417			X					Apparently resided near the Avoca, PA plant. Says he just found out about illness last year, but form also says his diagnosis was in 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
26	TRO900619FTC	8/16/2016	Sudduth, Adams	Adam Sudduth	7666		X	X					Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. 1985 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says made a claim in February 2009, references receiving a letter from the Department of Justice. Filing a complaint with the DOJ did not constitute the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
27	TRO891688FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Elizabeth	Adams, Mary	5966		X	X			X	Various conditions, unclear what the first diagnosis dates was for each condition; the injured party died in 2017; rep says that the injured party did not know and had no reason to know she was exposed to a Tronox product; did not get any mail about the Tronox filing prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
28	TRO886363FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Adlena	Adlena Williams	8349	8523		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Docket # 8349 is merely a schedule of insurance benefits. Also filed a motion form at docket #8523 but it was blank and only includes signature and address. No information to support a motion for relief from the bar date.
29	TRO889089FTC	12/30/2015	Hargrove, Adline	Adline Hargrove	4585		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
30	TRO892178FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Adrian	Adrian Brown	5739			X			X	2009 diagnosis; resident of Columbus, MS as of the bar date; says filed legal proceedings against Tronox prior to claim filing deadline "but I/my attorney did not receive direct written notice of the claims filing deadline," but in answer to another form question says that did not engage an attorney at any time to make a claim. No record of claim under this name. Precise date of alleged 2009 diagnosis is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
31	TRO891563FTC	2/23/2016	Poindexter, Adrian	Adrian Poindexter	7286		X	X				2001 diagnosis; not aware that a proof of claim needed to be enclosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
32	TRO893536FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Aenderil	Aenderil Cockrell	6571						X	1996 diagnosis; minor; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
33	TRO893026FTC	2/23/2016	Agnew, Thelma	Agnew, Thelma	6782			X		X		Says diagnosed 1/1/2010. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to the extent it seeks permission to pursue claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
34	TRO886588FTC	12/7/2015	Owens, Airicka	Airicka Owens	6661		X	X				2003 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; part of a lawsuit and settlement in 2003-04; says thought Tronox was still in bankruptcy status and didn't know she could file a "future" claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
35	TRO886787FTC	12/7/2015	Adams, Aisha	Aisha Adams	6189		X	X				Diagnoses 1999 and 2006; says has an out-of-town residence in Georgia but resided in Columbus, MS in summers; was not aware of proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
36	TRO889337FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Alacia	Alacia Sherrod	6017		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, unsure of when but thinks in 2007. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
37	TRO888496FTC	12/18/2015	Beck, Alan	Alan Beck	6084			X				1974 diagnosis; unaware that creosote was a problem, did not read about it in newspaper, did not talk about it or publicize until later. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
38	TRO891251FTC	2/23/2016	Green, Alashia	Alashia Green	5913		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after the bar date; previously filed with Colom law firm; says around 2002 she found her name on some form, she did not meet with the attorney, it was just presented to her whether she accepted it or not. The attorney just sent a letter where to meet after the settlement but no other information was provided. Those allegations all relate to the prior class action and not to the bankruptcy process. Also says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to effects of prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
39	TRO903062FTC	10/13/2016	Holt, Alayah	Alayah Holt	7149		X	X				2004 and 2006 diagnoses; did not know could file a claim and also did not have paperwork. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
40	TRO897888FTC	5/25/2016	Backowski, Albert	Albert Backowski	3788			X				November 2007 diagnosis. Says he did not see notice in the news. Found out later after other people got payments on claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
41	TRO902215FTC	9/14/2016	Gunn, Albert	Albert Gunn	6572		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of the filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
42	TRO891849FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Albert	Albert Jackson	3538			X				Exposure started 1969, symptoms 1978, when asked when first diagnosed answered "yes." Says was living in Milwaukee at time of deadline, has to be on oxygen for breathing. A rejection notice filed at docket #3913. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
43	TRO900466FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Albert	Albert Lee	6014		X	X				1996 diagnosis; was not aware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
44	TRO900273FTC	7/25/2016	Selvie, Jr. Albert	Albert Selvie, Jr.	6112		X	X				1996 diagnosis; moved to another town, was not aware of the proceedings or that filings were being done; never received notice. Complains of lack of direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
45	TRO880661FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Betty	Albert Williams	4016		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2004, died in 2007. Cut-and-paste allegations that was unaware of process, called and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
46	TRO895718FTC	3/24/2016	Williams, Albert	Albert Williams, Jr.	4919		X	X				1988 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; cut-and-pasted standard summary language as to reasons did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
47	TRO892709FTC	2/23/2016	Anthony, Alberta	Alberta Anthony	4575	8767	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost; injured party deceased 2008. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8767 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
48	Unknown	7/25/2016	Holliday, Alene	Alene Holliday	6621			X		X		Complaint about an offer received from the Trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
49	TRO903682FTC	11/23/2016	McMillian, Aleshia	Aleshia McMillian	6982		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of the claim, nor was she made aware of the claim or of a deadline to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
50	TRO904996FTC	1/24/2017	Edwards, Joe Jr.	Alesia Edwards Kennedy	6216	8438	X	X				The Trust's submission listed docket 6216 as a motion for Alesia Edward Kenney on her own behalf but docket # 6216 actually relates to a claim filed on behalf of Joe Edwards, Jr.; Alesia Edward Kennedy's own motion is at docket #6219. As to the motion at docket # 6216; alleges a 2003 diagnosis; the injured party was a Columbus, MS resident who died in 2007; rep only became aware in 2016 that the area was affected with these chemicals; were not notified then. A supplement filed at docket #8438. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
51	TRO889655FTC	2/23/2016	Kennedy, Alesia Edwards	Alesia Edwards Kennedy	6219			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this as the motion at docket # 6216, which is a motion on behalf of a different injured party. The motion at docket 6219 alleges an October 2012 diagnosis; just became aware of all of the information that her area was affected by the chemicals and that a claim needed to get filed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
52	TRO898249FTC	6/20/2016	Blunt, Alexander	Alexander Blunt	4621			X		X		Diagnoses of different conditions in different years but some more serious conditions were first diagnosed in 2014 and 2017. Did not see publication notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
53	TRO888475FTC	12/18/2015	Brewer III, Alexander	Alexander Brewer III	3627		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, incarcerated from July 2002-December 2005, then was in a halfway house. However, bar date was in August 2009. No allegations sufficient to establish grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
54	TRO890217FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Alexander	Alexander Stewart	6863	9276		X		X		2013 diagnosis; unaware of claims process; unaware what was causing his conditions; rejection notice filed at docket # 5350. Supplemental letter filed at docket #9276 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
55	TRO890069FTC	2/23/2016	Grant, Alexandra	Alexandra Grant	3535			X				Diagnosed 1994, living in New Orleans and mail not forwarded and allegedly was dealing with hurricane Katrina, but Hurricane Katrina was in 2005, long before the bar date in August 2009. Says the bar date was not advertised in New Orleans area, but notice of the bar date was published in cities where plants had been located and in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
56	TRO901753FTC	Unknown	Alexia Ware	Alexia Ware	3983			X		X		Motion not listed in Trust's summary. Diagnosed in 2012; says did not know about process/claim in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
57	TRO897153FTC	5/4/2016	Blunt, Alexis	Alexis Blunt	6588		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1975, 1994, 2014; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonable; also says was a child at the time, but since alleged exposure began in 1969 the claimant had to be at least 40 years old by the time of the 2009 bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
58	TRO887536FTC	12/14/2015	DePetro, Alexis	Alexis DePetro	3373			X				Diagnosed 1990, "did not know or have reason to know exposed prior to deadline." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
59	TRO890733FTC	2/23/2016	Morgan, Alexis	Alexis Morgan	4215		X	X				Lists diagnosis date as "1992-2009." Says did not know how to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
60	TRO904082FTC	1/3/2017	Walker, Alexis	Alexis Walker	6101	8356	X	X				2000 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to why missed bar date; a supplement filed at docket #8356, says without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
61	TRO885844FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Alexius	Alexius Jones	7835		X	X				Diagnoses 1982; unaware exposed to Tronox product prior to bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
62	TRO896631FTC	Unknown	Alexius Miller	Alexius Miller	3721		X	X				Motion was not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1997. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
63	TRO901958FTC	9/14/2016	Bostick, Alexis	Alexus Bostick	6050			X				Filing for father; says diagnosis before 2009 but does not say when; says discharge of claim is violation of due process, unaware of the claims process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
64	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Alexis	Alexus Williams	7866			X				Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant identifies no current condition upon which any claim could be based, wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.
65	TRO897953FTC	6/10/2016	Golden, Alfonso	Alfonso Golden	5823		X	X				1985 and 1992 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved in 1985; did not know of exposure to Tronox products. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
66	TRO888293FTC	12/18/2015	Norton, Alfonso	Alfonso Norton	6900		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case and the bar date; not aware and had no knowledge of any publication via television or other media; says there is no suggestion that he knew or should have known that he was a potential creditor in the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not have any notice from Tronox; says that after he learned he researched and acted within a reasonable time. Says the notice was inadequate; does not get any newspapers in which notice was published; says did not read or see any ad or notice on social media about chapter 11 case; says that the tort claimants could not have known or appreciated at the time of the bar date, the significance the injuries suffered from exposure and how it could manifest in different ways over time, in physical and emotional injuries; proper, timely, adequate and sufficient notice was not given. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
67	TRO895207FTC	3/23/2016	Smith, Alfonza	Alfonza Smith	6229	8120		X		X		Appears that he filed an original application with earlier dates for a diagnosis and now is saying that was all a mistake that he was only referring to symptoms decades before the bar date but his "official" diagnosis was not until after the bar date. However, claimant also mentions trying to file a claim in 2002. A supplement filed at docket #8120, says diagnosis for palpitations after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
68	Unknown	Unknown	Clemmons, Frison	Alfonza Smith	7676	4543?		X				Not included in Trust's summary. The injured party is deceased; rep does not provide list of conditions or diagnoses or an excuse for not filing prior to bar date. Possibly related to motoin at docket 4543, cannot tell. Handwritten note refers to claim TRO890938FTC, we have no other materials relating to that claim number.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
69	TRO885938FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Alfonzo	Alfonzo Smith	3358		X	X				Diagnosed 1980. "Had filed previous claim with no correspondes [sic] from Tronox. Did not realized I was qualified to reapply." Was part of prior litigation with Colon law firm. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with Colom firm confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior litigation or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
70	TRO903499FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Alforzinie	Alforzinie Sims	5679			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis on August 30, 2009; did not have knowledge of the bankruptcy case, deadline date, and lack of knowledge on how to complete a claim for excusable neglect; unaware of exposure to chemicals. Also complains of "someone portraying to represent Tronox Trust" that misled them to refer to certain chemicals; trust did not exist as of the bar date, so this must relate to the late-filed claim. Also files the standard letter that refers to an unnamed person who allegedly misled residents. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a post-bar date diagnosis is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
71	TRO901286FTC	8/16/2016	Shirley, Sadie	Alfred Shirley	4364			X				Movant is filing on behalf of mother. The injured party died in November 2005; did not receive any type of notice during times of exposure and manifestation, relied on home remedies. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
72	TRO880476FTC	12/4/2015	Simon, Minnie	Alfred Simon	5370	8091		X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice; violates due process; lack of adequate notice. A supplement filed at docket #8091, says trusts omnibus objection was late, that the trust should have petitioned the court for more money to distribute and that residents could have been considered known claimants. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. There was no deadline for the Trust's objection and so its objection was not late. Trust has no access to additional funds and the Court has no power to provide additional funds for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
73	TRO893285FTC	2/23/2016	Shirley, Howard	Alfred W. Shirley	4346		X	X				Motion filed by former resident of Columbus, MS on behalf of his father. The injured party had symptoms in 1965/1970; diagnosis date unknown but injured party died in 2002. Rep was unaware of any claims, rep moved to another state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
74	TRO898311FTC	Unknown	Hill-Walker, Alfreda	Alfreda Hill-Walker	7975		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1997 and October 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action, result not stated; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to why missed the bar date. Claims based on 1997 diagnosis either were resolved in a prior action or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses as to the diagnosis date and defenses as to the effect of the prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
75	TRO893982FTC	3/2/2016	Townsel, Alfronzia	Alfronzia Townsel	6487		X	X			X	Says did not find out about the claim until after bar date; says some of her conditions were diagnosed after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
76	TRO904636FTC	Unknown	Chandler, Kobe	Alguana Chandler	7214						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Attorney Bambach had direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
77	TRO889586FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Alice	Alice Martin	6265			X		X		Various alleged diagnoses dates, some in 1998/99, most after the bar date; place of exposure not clear; says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
78	<b>TRO888837FTC</b>	12/30/2015	Mays, Alice	Alice Mays	5278	8369		X		X		The correct claim number to which this motion relates is TRO888837FTC. Says symptoms and diagnosis between 2011 and 2013; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; copied form language as to reasons why did not file a claim; a relative filed a supplement at docket #8369 that mentions a condition not mentioned in the motion and does not say the date of diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
79	TRO898039FTC	6/20/2016	Peterson, Alice	Alice Peterson	6965			X				1963 diagnosis; says she was ill in 2009 and was back and forth to doctor appointments. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
80	TRO886198FTC	12/7/2015	Thompson, Alice	Alice Thompson	3846		X	X		X		Diagnosis for diabetes 1994, other condition 2004, blindness 2010. Says disabled and had no knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
81	<b>TRO901280FTC</b>	<b>8/16/2016</b>	<b>Dent, Annie</b>	<b>Alice White</b>	4584			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this motion as being filed at dkt # 4390. The injured party is deceased; rep says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
82	<b>TRO905444FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Burgin, Alicia</b>	<b>Alicia Burgin</b>	8024			X				Not included in Trust's summary. No excuse provided; did not provide dates for symptoms or diagnosis. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
83	TRO898961FTC	6/27/2016	Cockrell, Kathryn	Alicia Cockrell	5488		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep says previously filed 2002 with Colom law firm, Lundy and Davis; unaware of bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
84	TRO885775FTC	11/25/2015	McArthur, Alicia	Alicia McArthur	3355			X				Diagnosed 1975. "Unaware of proceedings." No due process challenge, insufficient explanation as to alleged excusable neglect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
85	TRO896789FTC	4/25/2016	Pointer, Leon	Alicia Mitchell	4062			X				The injured party died in 1984; no excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
86	TRO903315FTC	10/27/2016	Butler, Alina	Alina Butler	5490		X	X				1997 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy settlement; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; notice of the filing deadline did not reasonably account for potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
87	TRO895335FTC	3/23/2016	Bailey, Alix	Alix Bailey	6119		X	X				1978 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was not informed properly or receive notification that a claim should be filed in a timely manner, it violated his right of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
88	TRO884259FTC	Unknown	Bailey, Allen G.	Allen G. Bailey	7105	9470		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter complaining about delay in payment. Not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
89	TRO888836FTC	12/30/2015	Mays-Sykes, Allie	Allie Mays-Sykes	6712			X		X		Diagnosed in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
90	TRO897833FTC	5/25/2016	Turner, Allie	Allie Turner	7730	8975	X	X				1950s diagnosis; filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, result not disclosed; did not see any public notice, did not know of bankruptcy case. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8975 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
91	TRO885380FTC	11/25/2015	Dixon, Brandon	Alma Dixon	5218						X	Minor with cerebral palsy; rep parent is only caretaker and guardian and did not have time or support to adequately notice the claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file on time, why waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
92	TRO896078FTC	4/6/2016	Reed, Almateen	Almateen Reed	5243		X	X			X	Diagnosis in 1999 (unclear what condition), complains about arthritis, unclear if alleges post-bar date diagnosis of new condition. Says was unaware about the claims process, suffers from serious kidney problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
93	TRO895952FTC	4/6/2016	Reed, Urie	Almateen Reed	5369		X	X				2002 diagnosis; injured party died in 2008; rep says she was unaware of claims filing because there were certain private meetings by some claimants and they were not informing the community more broadly. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
94	TRO900753FTC	8/16/2016	Guyton, Aloce	Aloce Guyton	6027		X	X				1979 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
95	TRO890116FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Alonzo	Alonzo Thomas	5743		X	X				1965 diagnosis; says was misinformed that you must work at or live near company site; later learned could file if you worked near the site. May be referring to information about an earlier action on behalf of employees. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
96	TRO889520FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Alphonso	Alphonso Harrison	6036			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
97	TRO900448FTC	7/25/2016	Hayden, Alphonso	Alphonso Kelly	3617		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, Filed a claim previously (not clear if in bankruptcy or in prior class action) but was only awarded \$500 and now resubmits. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
98	TRO891638FTC	2/23/2016	Chandler, Alquana	Alquana Chandler	7212		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
99	TRO902788FTC	8/16/2016	Chandler, Hope	Alquana Chandler	7215			X				2007 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
100	TRO895672FTC	3/24/2016	Whitfield, Alta	Alta Whitfield	7737			X		X		2013 diagnosis; did not know about it; did not know she was eligible as no longer lived in the area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
101	TRO880766FTC	12/4/2015	Shields, John	Althea Griffin	5436			X				Diagnosis dates unknown; place of alleged exposure not specified; the injured party died in May 2006; rep says violation of due process; unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; unaware of the spinoff of Tronox from Kerr-McGee; unaware of sale of Kerr-McGee to Anadarko. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
102	TRO880764FTC	12/4/2015	Griffin, Althea	Althea Griffin	6817	X	X					2002 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of the bankruptcy proceedings; was busy caring for relatives but does not provide dates; says violation of due process but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
103	Unknown	2/23/2016	Chaney, Alton Jr.	Alton Chaney Jr.	7476			X				1999 diagnoses; parent filing for a minor; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was unaware of deadline, had moved to Georgia in 2004 and family unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
104	Unknown	#N/A	Chaney, Alton, Sr.	Alton Chaney Sr.	7475	X	X					Diagnoses 2004 and 2006; filing at docket # 7476 makes clear that the movant is a former resident of Columbus, MS; says that he left the area in 1994 and relocated to Georgia and was unaware of the bankruptcy case and the bar date because he did not receive notice. Complains of lack of notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
105	Unknown	#N/A	Chaney, Dylan	Alton Chaney Sr.	7478						X	2007 diagnosis; minor with autism and his age and illness prevented him from knowing of the deadline or the bankruptcy case, also he lives in Georgia. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
106	TRO900049FTC	7/25/2016	Powell, Latonya	Altonya Powell	4441			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
107	TRO904335FTC	1/3/2017	Doss, Alva	Alva Doss	4418		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
108	TRO888892FTC	12/30/2015	Dunn, Alvin	Alvin Dunn	6815		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not see publication notice of the Future Tort Claims, it was published only 14 days, this was not reasonably calculated to allow community to become aware or for them to make it known to others; do not subscribe to any of the papers where it was published; currently resides in Alabama. Even if complaints about amount of time allowed to file a claim in 2009 somehow excused a failure to file by August 2009 they do not explain the many years of delay after the bar date before the movant filed a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
109	TRO898101FTC	6/20/2016	Evans, Lucille	Alvin Evans	7058		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1981; rep says did not know of process, did not see notices in paper or on TV or in social media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
110	TRO898099FTC	6/20/2016	Evans, James	Alvin Evans	7059		X	X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1980; rep says did not know of process, did not see notices in paper or on TV or in social media. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
111	TRO899035FTC	6/27/2016	Giles, Alvin	Alvin Giles	6012			X				2008 and June 2009 diagnoses; place of exposure unclear; did not know about the lawsuit prior to filing a claim, says had pacemaker surgery in 2010 but that is after the bar date. Does not explain long delay after bar date before filed claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
112	TRO886133FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Alvin	Alvin Johnson	3860		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
113	TRO888593FTC	12/24/2015	Lee, Alvin	Alvin Lee	6548			X				Diagnoses 1997 and earlier; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
114	TRO901261FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Alvin	Alvin Quinn	5968			X				Did not know about the claim until process was closed; says symptoms Jan 2009 and diagnosis Feb 2009. No explanation for long delay in filing claim after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
115	TRO889289FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Alwayne	Alwayne Hughes	7296			X				2001 diagnosis; was unaware of timeline; place of exposure not clear. Notice of the bar date was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
116	TRO887718FTC	12/14/2015	Brabham, Amanda	Amanda Brabham	4306			X		X		Alleges various diagnoses that pre-date the bar date, also alleges osteoarthritis diagnosis in 2017. Husband was in military service, later relocated to Tennessee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
117	TRO884176FTC	11/25/2015	Conner, Geraldine	Amanda Crowell	3452			X		X		Says she did file (it appears with Garretson) but she called and they did not have them and they mailed her more forms. Dispute over an alleged prior timely filing is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process.
118	TRO886740FTC	12/7/2015	Slayton, Amanda Klimasiewfski	Amanda Klimasiewfski Slayton	7157			X		X		Unaware of bar date; do not read Wall Street Journal and it was not public knowledge; unaware of bankruptcy case; says conditions diagnosed after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
119	TRO893656FTC	3/2/2016	Winston, Amanda	Amanda Winston	6481			X		X		Was not aware of the claims or the filing dates; says symptoms in 1995 and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
120	TRO885650FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Louisa	Amario Smith	4790		X	X				2003 diagnosis; injured party died in 2017; rep says that the injured party was unaware of the proceedings; in a letter submitted with the claim, a reference is made to guidance from Colom & Lundy; also says the proceedings were not made public in the community and some persons held private meetings with only minimal details to the community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
121	TRO891338FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Amber	Amber Brooks	8411			X		X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
122	TRO885455FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Amber	Amber Johnson	3397	8233		X				Diagnosed "yes," no reasons given for missing deadline. States that "I filed my claim at the same time as some of the ones you are allowing to be a future tort claim." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8233. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8647. Filing "future tort claim" in 2015 does not excuse failure to file by bar date, no grounds alleged upon which relief from the bar date could be granted.
123	TRO902241FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Amberlexis	Amberlexis Smith	6962						X	Diagnosis in 1997 or 1998, as child; relocated to another county and was not aware of the claim. Age at time of bar date unclear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
124	TRO897209FTC	5/4/2016	Brandon, Ambroscha	Ambroscha Brandon	8409						X	1992 diagnosis (at birth); had no knowledge "until EPA came to Maranatha Faith Center;" says that when she was at the meeting at the faith center, she did the paperwork but does not mention date. Learned a year ago that "the case has been reopen." Movant apparently was a minor as of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
125	TRO898214FTC	6/20/2016	Lloyd, Amy	Amy Lloyd	4882		X	X				2003/2004 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
126	TRO892196FTC	2/23/2016	Lockett, Amy	Amy Lockett	4201			X			X	2002 asthma diagnosis, 2015 migraines. Never received notice to file a claim; assumed company used proper disposal and business practices. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
127	TRO892017FTC	2/23/2016	Irizarry, Amy (Munson)	Amy Munson Irizarry	8362	8126	X	X				1969 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; says a claim was filed in 2000-2001, but was closed due to rejecting the \$600 offered as unacceptable. Complains that others who lived further away were compensated and she was not. She wants reconsideration of her claim. She says not aware of the dangers posed by the dangerous substances. A supplement filed at docket #8126, says moved away from area; unaware of bar date, insufficient notice. Participation in prior class action shows awareness of claim and rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
128	TRO884093FTC	11/13/2015	Williams, Amy	Amy Williams	4032		X	X			X	Different conditions, some diagnosed before 2006, some between 2006 and bar date, some after the bar date. Says no knowledge that condition was caused by Tronox chemicals; was young and in college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
129	TRO892100FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Anderson III	Anderson Tate III	6975	8245		X				The motion at docket #6975 for Anderson Tate III [TRO892100FTC], and he filed it himself. The motion for Anderson Tate [TRO898721FTC] is at docket #5735. The excuse for Alexander Tate III is that he was away from college during the 2009 claims period. A supplement filed at docket #8245, says unaware of the bar date, was away at school from 2006-2010 and unaware could file a claim. No explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Says exposure began 7/8/88 so was at least 21 years old as of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
130	TRO894741FTC	3/23/2016	Farmer, Andre	Andre Farmer	3751		X	X		X		Ulcer and heart issues in 2000, diagnosed with cancer in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
131	TRO890012FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Lula	Andre Jones	6274	8357	X	X				The motion at docket # 6274 is for Lula Jones by Andre Jones; a separate motion by Lula B. Jones at a different address and with a different claim number [TRO890012FTC] is at docket # 6274. The Trust's summary incorrectly referenced the motion at docket #5917 as the motion which actually is at docket # 6274. The motion by Andre Jones on behalf of Lula Jones [TRO890012FTC] says the injured party was diagnosed in 1984 and died in 1985; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. A supplement filed at docket #8357, rep says without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
132	TRO902873FTC	10/13/2016	Thiede, Donald	Andrea Cooper	6345			X				August 2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says could not file because of the duress present at that time involving the onset of mental health concerns of rep's daughter, a juvenile. Rep says that It required the most undivided attention, the fullest participation and the closest care; will provide daughter's health information on request but she does not provide the exact dates of this psychiatric care other than saying claim was not able to be filed by August 2009. Does not deny knowledge of the bar date, and while circumstances may explain missing the August 2009 date they do not explain or justify the lengthy delay before filing a claim in October 2016, more than seven years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
133	TRO902874FTC	10/13/2016	Thiede, Theresa	Andrea Cooper	6346			X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says could not file because of the duress present at that time involving the onset of mental health concerns of rep's daughter, a juvenile. Rep says that It required the most undivided attention, the fullest participation and the closest care; will provide daughter's health information on request but she does not provide the exact dates of this psychiatric care other than saying claim was not able to be filed by August 2009. Does not deny knowledge of the bar date, and while circumstances may explain missing the August 2009 date they do not explain or justify the lengthy delay before filing a claim in October 2016, more than seven years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
134	TRO880064FTC	12/4/2015	Cunningham, Andrea	Andrea Cunningham	7479		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1990 (heart murmur) and 2015 (palpitations); previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001, outcome unclear; a proof of claim was never provided by the law firm, nor was any notification of claims filing deadlines provided to her. Claims based on 1990 diagnosis were either resolved in prior action or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
135	TRO880470FTC	12/4/2015	Hunt, Andrea	Andrea Hunt Bessick	5498			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2014 but unclear if that is just alleged newer conditions; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
136	TRO890280FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Andrea	Andrea Martin	6205		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says that at the time there wasn't a claim going on (meaning a class action, apparently) while they lived in that area majority of her life. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
137	<b>TRO888985FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Smith, Andrea</b>	<b>Andrea Smith</b>	7953		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 diagnosis; unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries; job requires her to travel from state to state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
138	Unknown	12/4/2015	Lowe, Patricia	Andrea Stanley	7238	8363		X				1997 diagnosis; notice was not provided directly to claimant who allegedly was known to have a claim, but no supporting information explaining how or why Tronox allegedly knew of the claim; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but provides no explanation or details; says did not know or have reason to know she was exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket # 8363, claimant did not subscribe to the Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Says movant lived in Maryville, IL in 2009, but notice of the bar date also was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009, and St. Louis is only about 18 miles from Maryville. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
139	TRO889319FTC	2/23/2016	Hendricks, Andreka	Andreka Hendricks	6890			X			X		The Trust incorrectly identified the motion at docket # 6890 as relating to the claim of Johnnie Hendricks; that motion, filed by Johnnie Hendricks, actually is at docket #7327 with a signature page at docket #6928. The motion at docket #6890 is for Andreka Hendricks. Andreka Hendricks says she was diagnosed in 2010, was a minor in 2009; standard cut-and-pasted explanations as to why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
140	TRO890765FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Andrew	Andrew Brown	6918		X	X					Diagnoses 1968 (ulcer), 1970 (asthma), 2008 (cancer); former Columbus, MS resident who moved to California in 1965; did not receive any information concerning a lawsuit against Tronox; unaware of the danger posed by Tronox product; the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
141	TRO880932FTC	12/4/2015	Gardner, Andrew	Andrew Gardner	3949			X					Says has filed claim (apparently with attorney Bambach), "they" say they could not find papers. If alleges filed a timely claim in 2009 that is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust. If is referring to a late-filed claim, no reasons offered to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Mistakes of counsel are not grounds for relief unless counsel's conduct is excused.
142	TRO887440FTC	12/14/2015	Hayden, Andrew	Andrew Hayden Jr.	4955		X	X					1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; standard cut-and-paste form language as to reasons for not filing. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
143	TRO886763FTC	12/7/2015	King, Andrew	Andrew King	4722	8643		X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8643

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
144	TRO891890FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Andrew	Andrew Richardson	6282		X	X				Alleges a first diagnosis in 2005 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; says had no knowledge of the Tronox case; says it would not be a reasonable assertion that he had access to any of the publications; he did not see any public notification via newspaper, TV or any kind of media; the information was not made available to him as a possible claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
145	TRO899263FTC	7/11/2016	Wells, Donald	Andrew Robertson	5839		X	X		X		2011 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; rep used cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Unclear if any conditions were diagnosed before 2011. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
146	TRO902197FTC	Unknown	Rush, Andrew	Andrew Rush	5175			X				Not included in Trust's summary. May 2008 diagnosis; says did not understand paperwork; only 5th grade of schooling. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
147	TRO889006FTC	12/30/2015	Andrews, Jeanise	Andrews, Jeanise	6659		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates; says was unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
148	TRO889218FTC	2/23/2016	Elizenberry, Andy	Andy Elizenberry	7615		X	X				1975 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; recently learned when people received settlement payments. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
149	TRO887457FTC	12/14/2015	Jefferson, Andy	Andy Jefferson	8460			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
150	TRO902915FTC	10/13/2016	Plisko, Cecelia	Andy Plisko	7582			X				Diagnoses 1985, 1990, 2007; the injured party was a resident of DuPont, PA who died in June 2009; rep unaware of the injured party exposure to a Tronox product or that it may have caused her conditions; rep lives in California. No suggestion of any effort by representatives to investigate claim or legal rights, no explanation of many years' delay before claim filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
151	TRO897913FTC	5/25/2016	Bell, Aneia	Aneia Bell	6257		X	X				1999 diagnosis; did not know about the deadline; there were no advertisements in local newspapers or any TV coverage informing of any deadlines. Notice of the bar date was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
152	TRO904623FTC	1/24/2017	Sheilds, Anenet	Anenet Sheilds	5459	8092		X				Symptoms began before 1992; no diagnosis; place of exposure not specified; says did not know about bankruptcy filing "until about 2001" (may be referring to class actions as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009). A supplement filed at docket #8092, doctor bills. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
153	TRO895436FTC	3/24/2016	Henry, Angel	Angel Henry	4336		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
154	TRO880508FTC	12/4/2015	Candiracci, Joseph	Angel Mae Webby-Zola, Esq.	7650			X				2002 diagnosis; rep says that the injured party traveled significantly out of state for job, 5 days per week, also had a second job and did not have time to read newspapers or watch news media; did not have knowledge or information that there were any actions against Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
155	TRO891804FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Robert	Angel Mae Webby-Zola, Esq.	7651			X				1991 diagnosis; rep says that the injured party moved out of state to Florida in 2003; unaware of any actions against Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
156	TRO902367FTC	9/14/2016	Bridges, Tyler	Angela Bridges	4876						X	Diagnosis at birth in 2003; rep says unaware of deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
157	TRO881008FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, Angela	Angela Dale	7234		X	X				1983 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants; does not read the Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
158	TRO892527FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Angela	Angela Gardner	7117	8305	X	X				1966 diagnosis; moved from Columbus, MS and was not aware of the claim process. A supplement filed at docket #8305, did not know about the case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
159	TRO898216FTC	6/20/2016	Gardner, Angela	Angela Gardner	7210	7211					X	2006 and 2008 diagnoses; claimant says unable to file proof of claim because incapacitated due to chemotherapy treatment that commenced on 10/29/2008 and continued throughout 2009, it resulted in increasing side effects and illness; was not mentally or physically fit at time bar date was announced to respond and make a claim; not aware of the announcement. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and not other factors, including long delay after bar date before filing any claim, lack of evidence of diligence in pursuit of claim, and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
160	TRO899952FTC	7/25/2016	Graham, Angela	Angela Graham	4151		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Says moved, was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
161	Unknown	Unknown	Hackman, Angela B.	Angela Hackman	8399			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. February 2010 diagnosis; says that she did not have injuries to report at time of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
162	N/A	Unknown	Johnson, Angela	Angela Johnson	8461			X		X		Merely a trust tort claim form, not a motion or a request for relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
163	TRO895014 FTC	3/23/2016	Love, Angela	Angela Love	6970		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1998; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any of the publication notice regarding deadline nor did she learn of it from other media. She did later see the notice from the Trust concerning the future tort claims and the application. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on diagnoses before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
164	TRO887242FTC	12/14/2015	Mitchell, Angela	Angela Mitchell	5916		X	X				2000 diagnosis; did not hear anything about the Tronox claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
165	TRO885586FTC	11/25/2015	Mozeleski, Angela	Angela Mozeleski	4865		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier; unaware of any legal action that she could have filed until learned much later that others had received compensation; does not regularly purchase local newspapers; heard about it from neighbor who received compensation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
166	TRO902449FTC	9/14/2016	Poe, Angela	Angela Poe	5351			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
167	TRO884530FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Angela	Angela Rice-Johnson	6484		X	X			X	Alleges digestive symptoms and skin rash starting 1989, claims an additional digestive diagnosis in 2012; says made a claim in 1999 with the Colom firm but never heard anything further. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
168	TRO898570FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Angela	Angela Smith	5354			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
169	TRO890491FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Angela	Angela Smith	7741		X	X				Diagnoses 1981, 1991, 1995, 2003; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 action, result not stated; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice; says publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege that Tronox knew of this claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
170	TRO880487FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Angela	Angela Williams	3563		X	X				Diagnosed in 1967 - alleges violation of due process, and "also would like to claim excusable neglect," but doesn't give a reason why. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
171	TRO891523FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod-Wells, Angela	Angela, Sherrod-Wells	5782		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident who moved out of state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
172	N/a	#N/A	Brewer, Angelia	Angelia Brewer	6511			X		X		Filed a trust tort claim form, says was in the hospital at time of filing deadline but does not specify date or which filing deadline and does not provide a supporting medical record or a diagnosis date. No record of any timely-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
173	TRO901603FTC	8/16/2016	Rice, Angelia	Angelia Rice	7302		X	X				2000 diagnosis; relocated to another county and was unaware of the claim; did not see any advertising or hear of the claim until a later time; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
174	TRO901643FTC	8/16/2016	Rice, Jalen	Angelia Rice	7303			X				2000 diagnosis; representative filing for a minor; rep relocated to another county and unaware of the claim; did not see any advertising or hear of the claim until a later time; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
175	TRO895829FTC	3/24/2016	Macon, Angelica	Angelica Macon	7165			X		X		Diagnosis 2011; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
176	TRO915012FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Angie	Angie Tate	4651		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
177	TRO886747FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Laura	Angie Williams	4007		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1979 and died in 1980. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
178	TRO886749FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Bob	Angie Williams	4008		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1983, died at unspecified time. Family member says did not know of the claim or the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
179	TRO903799FTC	11/23/2016	Howard, Jarrius	Angie Williams	5422		X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1995; rep says did not know of exposure, did not hear of claims process until called to get forms in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
180	TRO901329FTC	8/16/2016	Neal, John	Angie Williams Craddieth	5395		X	X				1982 diagnosis; says not aware of process, saw no notices, only learned after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
181	TRO889210FTC	2/23/2016	Easley, Anisha	Anisha Easley	6386			X		X		Diagnosis dates not listed but conditions listed as starting both before and after bar date; unaware of exposure to Tronox product; says did file a claim but refers to filing with the trust, no timely claim identified and court records do not show any. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
182	TRO890855FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Luberta	Anita Davis	4269		X	X				Trust's summary referred to motion by Luberta Brown but the motion that is filed with the court at docket # 4269 is by Anita Davis for Luberta Davis [TRO890855FTC]. Motion for Luberta Brown is at docket # 4349. As to Luberta Davis motion: the injured party was diagnosed in 1970s and died in 1993; rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
183	TRO900591FTC	8/16/2016	Foote, Nellie	Anita Foote	5526		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware of a lawsuit against Tronox during 2009; says nobody discusses lawsuits "until (1) after they've received their settlement, (2) deadline is within the next week or less, and (3) you walk up on someone discussing someone else's business." Rep says did not see any advertisement about anything during that time via television or newspaper. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
184	TRO889266FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Anita	Anita Jackson	7332			X		X		December 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
185	TRO881027FTC	12/4/2015	Turel, Richard	Anita Turel	7162			X		X		Various conditions, leukemia diagnosis in 2008, cancer and precancerous lesion in 2017; says was incompetent and incapacitated at time of bar date once started chemo; focused on medical procedures; provides detailed letter of the effects of treatment. Explains failure to file in 2009 but does not explain lengthy delay before actual filing of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
186	TRO891472FTC	2/23/2016	Profiet, Anitra	Anitra Profiet	7561		X	X				Diagnoses 1991, 2000, 2004; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2000-2001; if he had known that the claim was not accepted, he would have refiled; was not aware of the 2009 deadline as was not residing in Mississippi at the time. Seems to think that the bankruptcy case is connected to the prior class action, which is not correct. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
187	TRO904213FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Anjerlina	Anjerlina Dancy	4374		X	X				1988 and 1989 diagnoses. Unaware of settlement or bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
188	TRO897731FTC	5/25/2016	Brennan, Ann	Ann Brennan	5030			X				Lists 2007 as her first diagnosis date; says husband's cancer was diagnosed in 1993 and lymphoma in 1996 and he received a settlement in a prior class action; says she thought she could not file for her illness because her husband had previously received the class action settlement. Does not allege lack of knowledge of the bar date, does not allege consultations with counsel or other efforts to clarify her rights, insufficient showing to warrant relief based on excusable neglect.
189	TRO891278FTC	2/23/2016	Treadwell, Ann	Ann Treadwell	4661		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Says she filed after she called the Trust and was told there was no deadline. Trust did not exist at the time of the bar date so such a call does not explain a failure to file in 2009. In addition, there was no deadline as to claims based on post-bar date diagnoses, so any advice to that effect was correct. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
190	TRO905755FTC	4/24/2017	Lis, Alexander	Anna Donovan	5654			X				1958 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1965; rep says that it never occurred to him to file a claim. When the judge granted him another chance in June 2016, he decided to file the claim. (Appears to be under the impression that the bar date was simply lifted for everyone in 2016.) Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
191	TRO887806FTC	12/14/2015	Holladay, Anna	Anna Holladay	5182		X	X				1987 (cancer) and 2005 (gallbladder) diagnoses; says was unaware of claim or bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
192	TRO884557FTC	Unknown	Ray, Anna M.	Anna M. Ray	7972		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1977 diagnosis; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
193	TRO915237FTC	7/26/2017	Smith, Anna	Anna Smith	4964			X				Motion contains no information, just a copy of a determination notice.
194	TRO887659FTC	12/14/2015	Bailey, Anne	Anne Bailey	5475		X	X				1979 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm; received less than \$300, says she was unaware that she could dispute the amount. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy, the bankruptcy process cannot be used to complain about a lawsuit outcome from years earlier. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not fully resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
195	TRO893071FTC	2/23/2016	Billups, Jessie	Anne Billups	5785		X	X				1980 and 1985 diagnoses; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not receive any documents pertaining to the judgment against Kerr-McGee; not informed of deadline; unaware of the creosote contamination or the severity of it; form of notice deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonable calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Says notice was deficient in its terms but does not say how. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
196	TRO893083FTC	2/23/2016	Billups, Aaron	Anne Billups	5792		X	X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not receive a notice concerning the judgment against Kerr-McGee; was not informed of a deadline; unaware of creosote contamination or exposure to asbestos. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
197	TRO903256FTC	10/27/2016	McLaughlin, William	Anne McLaughlin	4446			X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980s and 1990 and died in 1999; rep unaware claimant exposed to Tronox product. Place at which exposure occurred is not specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
198	TRO887844FTC	12/14/2015	Bradley, Annette	Annette Bradley	5032	8155	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost; supplemental letter at docket # 8155. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
199	TRO886713FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Julian	Annette Davis	3901	4142	X	X				Filing on behalf of child who died in 1991. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Signature page filed at docket 4142. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
200	TRO886714FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Jordan	Annette Davis	3902	4143	X	X				Filing on behalf of son, was told cause by doctor in 1990. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Signature page filed at docket 4143. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
201	TRO897188FTC	5/4/2016	Hill, Annette	Annette Hill	5851			X				2007 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why did not file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
202	TRO890619FTC	2/23/2016	Savors, Annette	Annette Savors	5388			X		X		Various diagnoses alleged, all post-bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
203	TRO893137FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Annette	Annette Stewart	3522		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, "did not understand, I only have a third grade education." But also alleges making a claim "back when the lawsuit began." Unclear if reference to prior claim is to a class action that preceded the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
204	TRO898525FTC	6/27/2016	Thompson, Annette	Annette Thompson	5882			X		X		No excuse provided; says conditions after bar date but also refers to having asthma as a child, which would have been before bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
205	TRO887196FTC	12/14/2015	Wallace, Annette	Annette Wallace	4303			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm, part of 2002 class action. Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
206	TRO887144FTC	12/14/2015	Wallace, Willie	Annette Wallace	8517	8829	X	X				1994 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8829 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
207	TRO902146FTC	9/14/2016	Ames, Annie	Annie Ames	4272		X	X				No diagnosis date listed, symptoms started around 1990. Says unaware of the claims and process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Appears that the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
208	TRO895139FTC	3/23/2016	Barry, Willie	Annie Barry	5598	8337	X	X				Diagnoses in 1972, 1980 and 2000; the injured party died in 2001; rep did not have knowledge of ongoing case; did not receive call, letter or information from TV or radio. A supplement filed at docket #8337, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
209	TRO886370FTC	12/7/2015	Boone, Annie	Annie Boone	8408			X				Merely a signed motion form, no excuse offered.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
210	TRO898338FTC	6/20/2016	Bowens, Annie	Annie Bowens	7754			X			X	2012-13 diagnosis; says an attorney made a claim in 2013 but attorney is deceased; says did not receive notice of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
211	TRO895694FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Annie	Annie Brown	7031		X	X				2005 diagnoses; cites to portions of Trust form (examples of grounds for excusable neglect) alleging that form of notice was deficient on its face, but does not identify any deficiencies; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
212	TRO896016FTC	4/6/2016	Davis, Jeff	Annie Davis	5974		X	X				November 2006 diagnosis; the injured party deceased 2007; rep says filled out all of the paperwork, makes no reference to the 8/12/09 bar date or to reasons why missed it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
213	Unknown	11/25/2015	Dent, Annie	Annie Dent	7854	8537	X	X				1997/98 symptoms and diagnoses; previously filed with the Colom law firm and received a \$360 payment; says she signed with lawyer at a local hotel in Columbus prior to 2009; says did not receive notice of the Tronox bankruptcy litigation or the bar date. A supplemental letter filed complaining of process at docket #8537. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim resolved in a prior proceeding could not be re-asserted in Tronox bankruptcy case. Counsel in the class action (the Colom firm) received notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No record that any attorney filed a claim in 2009. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
214	TRO892534FTC		Dixon, Annie	Annie Dixon	4401		X	X				A summary by the Trust incorrectly referred to Annie Dixon's motion as docket # 3700 but that motion is by Emma Harris [TRO891965FTC]. Ms. Dixon's motion is at docket # 4401 and is based on a 1988 diagnosis; she contends that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
215	TRO905537FTC	3/28/2017	Fields-Young, Annie	Annie Fields-Young	4822		X	X			X	Some conditions (bronchitis, skin irritations) diagnosed in 1970s and 1980s, kidney failure in 2008, lupus in 2010. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, since learning of exposure she traced illnesses to her exposure to the product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
216	TRO887932FTC	8/16/2016	Gibbs, Annie	Annie Gibbs	6057		X	X				1955 and 1959 diagnoses; did not file "on first time around" because did not know about it, filed "on second time;" did not know about the lawsuit; did not read or hear about a deadline for filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
217	TRO886279FTC	12/7/2015	Gore, Annie	Annie Gore	3583		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, says filed with Colom law firm in 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Actions of attorneys are not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorneys' actions are excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
218	TRO887239FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Annie	Annie Harris	7686			X			X	Alleges 2009 diagnosis (when in 2009 is not clear); said she sent in papers 3 times and that she called and was told it was pending (it appears this is a reference to the late filing with the trust). Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
219	TRO888841FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Annie	Annie Harrison	3562		X	X				Diagnosed 1998, alleges made claim through Colom law firm in 2000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
220	TRO894907FTC	3/23/2016	Hill, Annie	Annie Hill	6801		X	X			X	Unaware of the deadline; refers to several conditions like hypertension in 1978, 1988 and 2008, then says stroke 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
221	TRO885830FTC	11/25/2015	Irions, Annie	Annie Irions	7152		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 that were not resolved in prior proceeding were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
222	TRO901500FTC	8/16/2016	Ivy, Steavie	Annie Ivy	7292		X	X				2005 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2005; rep says did not know and had no reason to know injured party was exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
223	TRO895848FTC	3/24/2016	Jackson, Annie	Annie Jackson	6519			X			X	Did not know about it when it first started and after that did not understand what she needed to do; says diagnosis in 2010, does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
224	TRO893687FTC	3/2/2016	James, Annie	Annie James	6273		X	X				In answer to question as to when was first diagnosed the claimant says "1973 (Jan) and 2017 April," not clear if referring to different conditions or one continuous condition; says only informed of the claim process by word of mouth. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
225	TRO885933FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Raymond	Annie Johnson	5114			X			X	See notes for docket # 4557. The excuse for the rep for Raymond Johnson at docket # 5114 is that movant was not aware of the filing or the deadline; says diagnoses in 2010 and 2016 but appears there were earlier conditions as well. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions manifested before the bar date. To the extent the claim alleges new condition first diagnosed after the bar date the merits of that claim (and any defenses thereto) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
226	TRO887466FTC	12/14/2015	Hodge, Eula	Annie Johnson	7222		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; says was incompetent at time of his claim filing deadline and incapacitated at hospital at time of bar date, no details provided; no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
227	TRO899913FTC	7/25/2016	Keaton, Ever	Annie Keaton	6349		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in 1998 Kerr McGee Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
228	TRO888578FTC	Unknown	Archibald, Annie	Annie L. Archibald	6459		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1959, 1972, 2004; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide potential claimants with proper notice; did not know of her exposure to Tronox products. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
229	TRO903403FTC	11/23/2016	Howard, Damond	Annie Love	4407		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep does not provide excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
230	TRO884962FTC	11/25/2015	Macon, Annie	Annie Macon	5535	8031		X		X		Diagnosis dates listed generally as "2008/2009"; says no knowledge of the deadline; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8031 containing additional information and address. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
231	TRO888361FTC	12/18/2015	Malone, Annie	Annie Malone	3986		X	X				Diagnoses in 1985 and 1987. Alleges DID file claim in timely manner but form refers to claim filed in 2015, apparently claimant mistakenly believes that was a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
232	TRO890848FTC	2/23/2016	McGregory, Annie	Annie McGregor	7696			X			X	2016 diagnosis; was not aware that Tronox was still taking claims because busy caring for sick relative who had retired from the company. Trust complains that motion was not filed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but the motion does not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process and timeliness is not relevant. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
233	TRO889450FTC	2/23/2016	Mixon, Annie	Annie Mixon	5252		X	X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 1986, 1987, 2000, 2016, 2017; unclear if for different conditions; says did not know if those from her area could file; unaware of process and did not know could file claim. Says tried Colom firm and Bambach, they did not respond; dates unclear. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to claims based on diagnoses before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
234	TRO885743FTC	11/25/2015	Pippins, Annie	Annie Pippins	4857		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware could file a claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
235	TRO891867FTC	12/4/2015	Richardson, Annie	Annie Richardson	6954		X	X				The motion filed at docket #6954 for Annie Richardson refers to a different TRO number [TRO891867FTC] than the one listed by the Trust in its summary. First diagnosis in 1972; says previously filed a claim prior to bar date with Sims & Sims "before August 12, 2009" and says her lawyer, Bambach, died in 2013. Mr. Bambach received direct notice of the bar date in 2009. No record of any claim filed for Ms. Richardson. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
236	TRO896730FTC	4/25/2016	Rush, Willie	Annie Rush	3614		X	X				Father diagnosed in 1981, died in 1989. States that at time of death he had no knowledge of the lawsuit. No explanation of representative's investigation or pursuit of claim or reason why not pursued by the 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
237	TRO895814FTC	3/24/2016	Shamily, Jimmy	Annie Shamily	4249		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 2003, died in 2004. Rep unaware of lawsuit or claims, unaware was qualified to make claim; did not understand because without education. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
238	TRO895106FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Tommy	Annie Sharp	7841		X	X				1980 diagnosis; injured party died in 1996. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
239	TRO889922FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Annie	Annie Smith	6059		X	X				1985 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
240	TRO892810FTC	2/23/2016	Spann, Annie	Annie Spann	7225			X		X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page on a motion as well as a signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of any post-bar date claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
241	TRO891187FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Annie	Annie Tate	3785			X				Diagnosis in 2006. Says "did not know about it in time." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
242	TRO914781FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Annie	Annie Tate	7040		X	X		X		Some conditions and diagnoses long before bar date (at a time when the MS statute of limitations would bar claims) but unclear if any conditions were first diagnosed at later times; says did not receive call or letter; did not learn from TV or radio concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
243	TRO900481FTC	8/16/2016	Tate, James	Annie Tate	7073			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep does not provide an excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
244	TRO887155FTC	12/14/2015	Thomas, Annie	Annie Thomas	4482		X	X				August 1995 diagnosis. Unaware of bankruptcy case, unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
245	TRO886074FTC	12/7/2015	Verdell, Wililie	Annie Thomas	4800		X	X				1990 diagnosis; injured party deceased 1996; rep says unaware of bar date, standard summary language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
246	TRO896925FTC	4/25/2016	Hamilton, Clara	Annie Tremble	4389		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1972 and died in 2002; previously filed with Colom law firm but did not receive any compensation. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
247	TRO885888FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Annie	Annie Tucker Jimmy Tucker	7551		X	X				Diagnoses 1980, 1984; the injured party died in 1987; rep says failure to file was due to ignorance on his part for not knowing and understanding the truth of what these papers were all about because of what seemed to be false gossip rather than value put on the importance of these papers; says Tronox did not do proper advertisement in major newspaper or at least not enough to spread the authenticity of the advertisement; more value at getting out the proper information should have been #1 on the agenda. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
248	TRO894985FTC	3/23/2016	Walker, Bennie	Annie Walker	3969			X			X	Diagnosed in April 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
249	TRO900607FTC	8/16/2016	Whitfield, Robert	Annie Whitfield	5517		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep was unaware the injured party was exposed to any Tronox product. Also, attached form letter referring to lack of "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and referencing secret meetings and alleged minimal sharing by some claimants of details with the rest of the community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
250	TRO900606FTC	8/16/2016	Whitfield, Annie	Annie Whitfield	5615		X	X				1966 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Attaches form letter complaining about Colum and Lundy guidance and alleged secrecy in community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
251	TRO889801FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Johnny	Annie Williams	4650		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; cut-and-pasted language re reasons for not filing by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
252	TRO889219FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Bobby	Annie Williams	7330		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died before 2009; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
253	TRO896587FTC	4/25/2016	Williams, James	Annie Williams	7401		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died before the bar date; employed by Kerr McGee, did not know he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
254	TRO886529FTC	12/7/2015	Doughty, Anstacia	Anstacia Doughty	4625		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Any failure to act by Mr. Bambach is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless his failures can be excused, and no excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
255	TRO893845FTC	3/2/2016	Acernese, Anne Marie	Anthony Acernese	3587			X				Diagnosed in 1980's, died in 2002. Alleges too unsophisticated to understand filings, partially blind, etc. Filing by daughter on father's behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
256	TRO886655FTC	12/7/2015	Fairley, Doris	Anthony Bailey	6222		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; rep says that she lives in Tennessee and besides work, she went back and forth to care for the injured party in Mississippi who was in and out of hospitals but she does not provide the dates or documentation for this care-giving. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
257	TRO898977FTC	6/27/2016	Brewer, Anthony	Anthony Brewer	7483	7484		X			X	2007 diagnosis; unaware he was drinking from contaminated water; says did not experience any of the conditions until he moved there. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
258	TRO894984FTC	3/23/2016	Brewer, Anthony	Anthony Brewer	7635		X	X				Says was diagnosed at age 29 but unclear when that was, though it was before bar date since some of the exposures mentioned occurred in 1977; says did not get the information on the lawsuit until he filed this; no longer living in Columbus, Miss or in contact with people who knew about the lawsuit; did not see or hear of any of the published notices. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
259	TRO894823FTC	3/23/2016	Brown, Anthony	Anthony Brown	5105			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2012, does not provide medical records; offers cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons why did not file a claim before the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
260	TRO894369FTC	3/2/2016	Claborn, Anthony	Anthony Claborn	5879		X	X				Diagnoses 2001, 2003, 2008; says no reason to believe exposed to Tronox product; the publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says was incapacitated at the time but provides no details or verification. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
261	TRO895923FTC	Unknown	Cochran, Anthony	Anthony Cochran	6429		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1972 (skin irritations), 2017 (cysts); says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
262	TRO894307FTC	3/2/2016	Coleman, Anthony	Anthony Coleman	8425						X	Diagnosis "before August 2009;" former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was unaware of the bankruptcy suit; said just started college and was commuting back and forth between college and home. No explanation of long delay after bar date before filed claim, no explanation of any effort to investigate and pursue legal rights during that period. However, reference to just starting college suggests that movant may have been a minor in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
263	TRO892972FTC	2/23/2016	Eddins, Anthony	Anthony Eddins	6723		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says filed claim with atty Bill Bambach, lost paperwork. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
264	TRO892036FTC	2/23/2016	Frierson, Anthony	Anthony Frierson	6280			X			X	No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
265	TRO902472FTC	9/14/2016	Gunn, Anthony	Anthony Gunn	6547		X	X				1991 diagnosis; was not aware of filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
266	TRO880821FTC	12/4/2015	Hodges, Anthony	Anthony Hodges	7055			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis Nov. 2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
267	TRO888665FTC	12/24/2015	Lyons, Anthony	Anthony Lyons	5803		X	X				Symptoms 1989 or 1990; unclear if diagnosed; did not know or had no knowledge was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
268	TRO913558FTC	6/28/2017	McClenton, Anthony	Anthony McClenton	4660		X	X				1981 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
269	TRO886698FTC	12/7/2015	McGee, Anthony, Jr.	Anthony McGee, Jr.	3437						X	Diagnosis in 2003. Was a minor in 2009, does not say why a parent or guardian did not file a claim. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant did not make a claim until 2015, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
270	TRO902807FTC	Unknown	Hall, Anthony	Anthony Michael Hall	6834		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1993, 1994, 2002; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
271	TRO892934FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Anthony	Anthony Morris	6899		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; says was unaware of the filing; unaware of case in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
272	TRO904121FTC	1/3/2017	Morris, Anthony	Anthony Morris, Jr.	6956			X		x		2010 diagnosis; minor at time of bar date; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
273	TRO891771FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, Anthony	Anthony Perry	5012		X	X				1971 diagnosis; unaware of the bankruptcy case; traveled for job out of state; hospital discarded medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
274	TRO895271FTC	Unknown	Rice, Anthony	Anthony Rice	7128			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges various conditions before and after bar date, unclear if post-bar date conditions were first diagnosed after bar date or if just were continuations of earlier diagnoses; says was informed of claim process after the fact. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
275	TRO901951FTC	9/14/2016	Spann, Anthony	Anthony Spann	5747		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm, 1998 or 1999; says did not know of Tronox case. Participation in prior action shows notice of legal rights and claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
276	TRO896692FTC	Unknown	Anthony Stewart	Anthony Stewart	3708		X	X				Motion was not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1981. Alleges publication notice not reasonable but only in a conclusory way. No allegation that Tronox should have known of his claim and should have sent an individualized notice, no criticism of publication notices that were approved. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
277	TRO895930FTC	4/6/2016	Thompson, Anthony	Anthony Thompson	5578			X			X		The motion at docket #5578 is for Anthony Thompson [TRO895930FTC]; a motion for another claimant named Anthony Thompson, with a different claim number [TRO895930FTC] and different address, is filed at docket #7608. Motion at docket 5578 alleges claimant did not see publication notice of claims; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; provides medical record that his dialysis for renal failure began in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
278	TRO895782FTC	3/24/2016	Thompson, Anthony	Anthony Thompson	7608		X	X			X		The motion filed at docket #7608 is for Anthony Thompson [TRO895782FTC]. The motion at docket # 5578 is for an Anthony Thompson with a different claim number [TRO895930FTC] and a different address. The motion at docket # 7608 alleges diagnoses in 1971, 1974, 1992 and 2017; says was unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
279	TRO886622FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Antoine	Antoine Brown	4693	8816 9155	X	X					1988 diagnosis. Working out of state; did not receive direct notice that was required to file in bankruptcy case which allegedly violated due process; unclear if was previously part of Colom class action. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8816 complaining about the process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9155 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Even if the claim was not resolved in a prior class action the claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleged lack of direct notice did not matter because claim had already expired. In addition, movant has made no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, offers no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
280	TRO904196FTC	1/3/2017	Ivy, Flazel	Antoinette Crawford	4844		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know injured party had been exposed to a dangerous product; says notice was deficient on its face; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice terms were clear and sufficient for reasons stated In the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
281	TRO902661FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Antonia	Antonia Jethroe	6764		X	X				2002 diagnosis; claim filed in 2002 with Wilbur Colom, says paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
282	TRO889601FTC	2/23/2016	Prowell, Antonia	Antonia Prowell	5193	8262	X	X				1992 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8262, says due process rights were violated; says claim was filed in time for future claims. Says not aware of bar date, not living in the area at time the ad was posted, therefore, did not receive or have adequate notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
283	TRO891419FTC	Unknown	Bishop, Antonio	Antonio Bishop	7983		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1984 diagnosis; former resident of Jackson, MS who still lived there in 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm, paperwork lost (unclear if received a prior recovery or when papers were lost); medical record destroyed; prior to bar date, unaware of claim against Tronox. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
284	TRO892407FTC	Unknown	Antonio Burgin	Antonio Burgin	3671		X	X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1983, incarcerated at time of bar date. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given date of diagnosis. Incarceration in 2009 does not explain delay in light of 1983 diagnosis or delay of many years after bar date before claim filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
285	TRO886051FTC	12/7/2015	Cockrell, Antonio	Antonio Cockrell	4030			X				No diagnosis date but symptoms began in 1990s. Says was incarcerated. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
286	TRO886524FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Antonio	Antonio Moore	4741		X	X				Diagnosis at birth in 1978. Says was incarcerated from 2001-2011. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
287	TRO898626FTC	6/27/2016	Walton, Antonio	Antonio Walton	7189		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former MS resident; was incarcerated in MS from 2000-2010. Does not explain many years' delay after 2010 before pursued any claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
288	TRO885392FTC	11/25/2015	Watson, Antonio	Antonio Watson	4595		X	X				1991 symptoms; says was diagnosed but does not list date. Says was unaware that needed to file claim, there has been confusion. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
289	TRO886619FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Antoniyo	Antoniyo Henry	3532		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, only states that "there was a death to occur in my immediate family." No allegation of lack of knowledge of bar date, no dates as to death in family, no showing of prompt action and diligence in pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
290	TRO900620FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Antorondi	Antorondi Jefferson	3733		X	X				Diagnosed 2002, unaware of deadline until passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
291	TRO892933FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Antquawn	Antquawn Morris	6901		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; unaware of the filing; not aware of case in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
292	TRO893893FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, April	April Griffin	3712		X	X				Diagnosed 1995 and 1997, not aware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
293	TRO0894629FT C	3/23/2016	Harris, April	April Harris	5590						X	2004 diagnosis; minor in 2009; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
294	TRO888254FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, April	April Harris	7079		X	X				1989 symptoms, diagnosis date unclear; was told by Colom law firm paralegal that they could not file, did not know that she could file. Unexcused conduct of counsel or counsel's employee is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
295	TRO894386FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, April	April Johnson	5391		X	X				1987 and 1994 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm as a minor "based on exposure not the disease"; unaware that illness could have been caused by exposure to creosote; filed same letter that says compensated \$250-\$500, unaware of future injury. Alleges first exposure in May 1985 so was at least 24 by the bar date. Dealings with Colom firm show awareness of risks and legal claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim not resolved in a prior proceeding also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
296	TRO889897FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Josiah	April M Richards?	5923						X	Diagnosis at age 6 (date unclear); minor; rep says at time she was incapacitated and homeless. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age and alleged incapacity as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
297	TRO880675FTC	12/4/2015	Penny, April	April Penny	7084	7085		X			X	Alleges symptoms began in 1981 but diagnosed in 2013; says not made aware/contacted directly about filing a Tronox bankruptcy claim; living in Alabama at the time of bar date. A duplicate is filed at docket #7085. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses for lack of diligence. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
298	TRO889909FTC	Unknown	Richardson, April	April Richardson	5919	6083	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1981 symptoms onset, diagnosis "yes"; says that at the time of the bar date she was rendered homeless and in an institution in Mississippi and had no way of knowing about the deadline, but no dates provided for this situation and does not include medical records. A duplicate of this motion was filed at docket #6083. No explanation as to lengthy delay before claim actually filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
299	TRO880822FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, April	April Walker	5360		X	X				Diagnoses 2007 and earlier, most prior to 2006; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to Texas; oversight because relatives in Mississippi thought they had informed her. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on exposures in MS that led to conditions that were diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
300	TRO903746FTC	11/23/2016	Rogers, Ardell	Ardell Rogers	5245		X	X				1957 diagnosis; says was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; she mentions many responsibilities including caring for her sick husband and five children and being the only person working, and an ill grandchild but she does not provide the time frame for anything. Mentions being displaced in 2005-2006 by hurricane Katrina but that was 4-5 years prior to the bar date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
301	TRO893063FTC	2/23/2016	Eads, J	Ardyce Eads	3822	8061 8398	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1998 and died in 2001. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Rep filing on behalf of deceased husband. Did not file prior claim because died before the class action. Rep believes that notice went to husband's house, which she wouldn't have seen (may be referring to a class action notice). States that in 2009 she had moved several times and was fighting breast cancer from the creosote exposure; however, she also says she has been free of it for 15 years. Supplements filed at docket # 8061 and # 8398. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Reference to class action suit shows awareness of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge by representative but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
302	TRO913182FTC	5/30/2017	Eads, Ardyce	Ardyce Eads	3830	8059	X	X				2000 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says did not get notice. Filed claim for deceased husband in November 2015. Called help line in April 2017 after rejection of husband's claim, mentioned her own cancer, was told to file a future tort claim. A supplement filed at docket #8059, does not read WSJ and saw no bar date news in media; however, publications included local newspaper in Aberdeen and other local papers in MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but does not explain her failure to file her own claim at the time she filed a claim for her husband, also does not address relevant factors other than lack of actual knowledge. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
303	TRO885581FTC	11/25/2015	Braddock, Aree	Aree Braddock	5132			X		X		Symptoms began in 2007 but says they were not diagnosed until 2015. Unaware of bar date. Is not a request for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect; alleges a post-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
304	TRO890274FTC	2/23/2016	Dyson, Areon	Areon Dyson	7032			X		X		Says symptoms began 2010, diagnosis "N/A"; says not physically able to file a claim in 2009 because of personal family matters and his sickness caused by his exposure to creosote - allergies and respiratory problems. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
305	TRO898493FTC	Unknown	Areshai T. Elliot	Areshai T. Elliot	3606			X			X		Motion is not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2010, but does say "I actually did file before the deadline but I left some information out on my application." Reference to prior filing appears to be to the filing of the late claim, mistakenly believed it was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
306	TRO898269FTC	6/20/2016	Brown, Aretha	Aretha Brown	4918			X					Says she filed on time but made a mistake with the dates, appears to be under the impression that her late-filed claim was timely; says symptoms and diagnosis in early 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
307	TRO894900FTC	3/23/2016	Fields, Aretha	Aretha Fields	8441		X	X			X		See notes as to docket item 4863. Diagnoses 1992, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2010; unaware of bar date; did not see any advertisements on TV or newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
308	TRO887720FTC	12/14/2015	Griffin, Arianna	Arianna Griffin	3865		X	X					Asthma diagnosis in 1992, cancerous cells 2006. Claimant says filed a claim through Colom law firm and was told it was denied. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If counsel's conduct is being criticized, conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's failures are excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1992 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
309	TRO901820FTC	9/14/2016	Jordan, Aric	Aric Jordan	6500		X	X				1974 diagnosis; says did not know about the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
310	TRO902557FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Arie	Arie Smith	6470		X	X				2004 diagnosis; says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case of Tronox; says did not receive a telephone call, letter or learn anything from media concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
311	TRO884081FTC	11/13/2015	Colvin, Ariel	Ariel Colvin	7461		X	X				Diagnosis "2000s;" previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, outcome unknown; says was unaware of the ongoing Tronox case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
312	TRO884178FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Arlee, Jr.	Arlee Jordan, Jr.	4602			X				The motion at docket # 4602 if for Arlee Jordan Jr.; a separate motion at docket # 4474 was filed on behalf of Arlee Jordan Sr. The motion at docket 4602 on behalf of Arlee Jordan alleges that a claim was previously filed with Colom firm in 2004 or so but paperwork was lost. Diagnosis date is not clear. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Colom firm had direct notice by mail of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
313	TRO884180FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Arlee, Sr.	Arlee Jordan, Sr.	4474		X	X			X	Motion by Arlee Jordan Jr., is actually at docket # 4602, motion for Arlee Jordan Sr. is at docket # 4474. Arlee Jordan Sr.'s excuse is the standard language response. On form, Sr. says diagnosis 1970, but then attaches paper and says diagnosis 2014. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 1970 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
314	TRO886368FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Arlicia	Arlicia Richardson	3381						X	Motion not included on Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2004, but "was a child" at the time of the bar date. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parent or guardian did not make a timely claim, why claimant waited until 2015 to do so, and whether relief is warranted.
315	TRO912484FTC	5/30/2017	Mims, Arma	Arma Mims	4634		X	X				1952 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
316	TRO900898FTC	8/16/2016	Morton, Arma	Arma Morton	5649			X				July 2006 diagnosis; was unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
317	TRO897125FTC	5/4/2016	Buckhalter, Arnetria	Arnetria Buckhalter	7723		X	X				Diagnoses 1986, 1987; says form of notice deficient on its fact but does not say how; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
318	TRO892739FTC	2/23/2016	Walton, Arnitra	Arnitra Walton	7438	8772		X				Diagnosis dates are not clear; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says was a violation of due process but does not say how. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8772 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
319	TRO892740FTC	2/23/2016	Walton, Kaitlyn	Arnitra Walton	7439	8773		X				Guardian filing for someone who is a minor; guardian says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges violation of due process but does not say how. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8773 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
320	TRO884100FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Arriel	Arriel Petty	7164	4718		X			X	Docket 4718 is a separate rejection notice and a signature form; motion is at docket 7164. January 2009 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; did not file in 2009 because suffering from memory depression, anxiety, had to care for sick relatives, including a dementia person, there was death in immediate family, pressure put mental state in decline, causing him to shut down. Does not explain lengthy post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
321	TRO889640FTC	2/23/2016	Conner, Kendrick	Arthur Conner	4177	8072	X	X				1979-1980s diagnoses. Says was incapacitated; did not know or no reason to know that exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8072. No details as to alleged incapacitation are provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
322	TRO894257FTC	3/2/2016	Conner, Samuel	Arthur Conner	4189	8074		X			X	Symptoms in 1990s but says diagnosis was in 2016. Did not know or reason to that exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8074. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to any pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
323	TRO889048FTC	12/30/2015	Conner, Christopher D.	Arthur Conner	4221	8075					X	Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion by Christopher J. Conner. Docket 4221 actually is a motion by Arthur Conner for Christopher D. Conner (claim TRO889048FTC). A separate motion by Christopher J. Conner [TRO884802FTC] is at docket # 4338. Christopher D. Conner diagnosed 1992. Claims was a minor at bar date, grandfather filed this claim. Supplement at docket #8075. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
324	TRO889032FTC	12/30/2015	Talley, Earnest	Arthur Conner	4223	8073	X	X				1970s diagnosis. Says was in jail and did not know. A supplement filed at docket #8073. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
325	TRO888247FTC	12/18/2015	Lewis, Cassie	Arthur Eastern	5220		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; moved from MS to St. Louis MO. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
326	TRO891174FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Arthur	Arthur Gardner	5034		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
327	TRO886224FTC	12/7/2015	Hamilton, Arthur	Arthur Hamilton	5623			X		X		Signed rejection notice. Letter at docket #9313 complaining about the process. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
328	TRO889013FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Arthur	Arthur Harrison	5406		X	X				Diagnosis in "2000s"; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was not properly notified or made aware of deadline in Tronox bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if claimant alleges was still represented by the Colom firm but that firm received direct notice of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
329	TRO896661FTC	4/25/2016	Mitchell, Arthur	Arthur Mitchell	7693		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s; place of exposure not clear; moved out of state and unaware of claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
330	TRO896659FTC	4/25/2016	Mitchell, Arthur	Arthur Mitchell	7849			X				1985 diagnosis; place of exposure not specified; says moved to Georgia in 1991, unaware of lawsuit against company. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
331	TRO884031FTC	11/13/2015	Perry, Arthur	Arthur Perry	3832	8310 8920	X	X				2005 diagnosis. Original papers offer no reason why missed bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8310, says without knowledge to wade through process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8920 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
332	Unknown	7/25/2016	Sykes, Arthur	Arthur Sykes	7887			X		X		2009 diagnosis (specific date not clear); previously received some small compensation that was not sufficient to compensate; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Any claim resolved in a prior proceeding was not eligible to be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. To the extent the motion alleges a previously unresolved claim there is no showing of diligence or explanation for the long post-bar date delay before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defenses based on the terms of the prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
333	TRO902965FTC	10/13/2016	Tate, Arthur	Arthur Tate	5788		X	X				1994 symptoms; saw doctor but did not know cause of illness; not aware of the claim settlement. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
334	TRO880871FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrooke, Arthur	Arthur Westbrook	5774			X		X		Unaware of process and did not know could file claim; some conditions prior to bar date and some after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
335	TRO891912FTC	2/23/2016	Whitfield, Arthur	Arthur Whitfield	7936			X		X		Diagnosis listed as 2008, unclear if that is for all conditions listed; prior to bar date was unaware exposed to Tronox product. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
336	<b>TRO898717FTC</b>	<b>6/27/2016</b>	<b>Williams, Arthur</b>	<b>Arthur Williams</b>	4598		X	X				The motion at docket 4598 relates to claim number TRO898717FTC and was filed by Arthur Williams of 57 James Street, West Point, Miss. on his own behalf. A different motion filed for Arthur Williams (claim TRO891378FTC), apparently a different person, is at docket # 7797, filed by Sylvia Bradford. The motion at docket 4598 file is based on a condition diagnosed in 1958 and alleges that the claimant was unaware of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
337	TRO888127FTC	12/18/2015	Shepherd, Ashaki	Ashaki Shepherd	5806			X			X	Minor; says parent had no knowledge of the claim; says symptoms 2011 and diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
338	TRO898972FTC	6/27/2016	Petty, Ashanti	Ashanti Petty	6855		X	X				1997 diagnosis; former Mississippi resident; unaware of deadline until after the bar date had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
339	TRO901240FTC	8/16/2016	Baskin, Ashialyette	Ashialyette Baskin	4425			X			X	No excuse provided; says symptoms December 2009, does not reference a diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
340	TRO897830FTC	5/25/2016	Walker, Ashlea	Ashlea Walker	3665			X				Diagnosed January 2009. Made aware after deadline. Did not file claim until 2016, no explanation for waiting more than 6 years after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
341	TRO889392FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Ashley	Ashley Craddieth	6243		X	X				Diagnoses 1995, 1998, 2005; says had no knowledge that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
342	TRO892525FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Ashley	Ashley Davis	7630		X	X				2006 diagnosis; says was in college and suffering from anxiety. Not a sufficient allegation of incapacity and no explanation of lengthy post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
343	TRO898713FTC	6/27/2016	Dismukes, Ashley	Ashley Dismukes	6522		X	X				Diagnosed in childhood; former resident of Columbus, MS who lists a Columbus address as 2009 address but then also says did not reside in Columbus "at the time;" says relocated and was not notified about the claim; did not realize her conditions were related to the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
344	TRO901965FTC	9/14/2016	Lang, Ashley	Ashley Lang	5462	8341	X	X			X	Diagnosis date listed as "2002-2017"; previously filed with Colom law firm but rejected offer, then filed with Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8341, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if new conditions were diagnosed after the bar date or if prior conditions just continued. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
345	TRO884168FTC	11/13/2015	Minor, Ashley	Ashley Minor	4236		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Was offered \$963 by Wilbur Colom firm - rejected it. Attending college, unaware could file claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
346	TRO884328FTC	11/25/2015	Moody, Ashley	Ashley Moody	3464	8736		X			X		Says a claim was filed with Wilbur Colom. Says diagnosis September 2009 and inconsistently later says she has proof that her conditions were prior to 2009. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8736 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Assertion that a timely claim was filed is not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process, is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
347	TRO898301FTC	6/20/2016	Spraggins, Ashley	Ashley Spraggins	4237			X					2007 diagnosis. Says was unaware of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
348	TRO893456FTC	2/23/2016	Wilkins, Ashley	Ashley Wilkins	5443			X			X		2010 diagnosis; rep says that at the time she was unaware of the injured party's condition; says symptom and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
349	TRO900856FTC	8/16/2016	Baskin, Asia	Asia Baskin	4758			X			X		Says symptoms first appeared 12/2009, there is no diagnosis date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
350	TRO885264FTC	11/25/2015	Meady, Asia	Asia Meady	8483			X					Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
351	TRO888337FTC	12/18/2015	Virgin, Atrice	Atrice Virgin	6551			X	X				1980s diagnosis; "was unaware of initial claims and was informed of the processes." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
352	TRO885522FTC	Unknown	Richards, Atrice	Attrice Richardson	3348		X	X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1971, alleges child at time of deadline, but is old enough to have been diagnosed in 1971 so must have been approximately 38 years old at the time of the bar date. No other information provided, no other excuse offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
353	TRO902341FTC	Unknown	Mitchell, Aubreonna	Aubreonna Mitchell	8020			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
354	TRO886929FTC	12/7/2015	Taylor, Aundrae	Aundrae Taylor	4989			X				2000 diagnosis; says should be allowed a late claim because he was exposed and has to live with condition for rest of life. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
355	TRO902719FTC	8/16/2016	Burdine, Aurby	Aurby Burdine	6338		X	X				1996 Diagnosis; did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
356	TRO887325FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Aurlethia	Aurlethia Wilkins	4549			X		X		Separate diagnosis (tumor on spine) in 2015. Says misinterpreted conditions of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
357	TRO898161FTC	Unknown	Davis, Autumn	Autumn Davis	7521		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses at birth in 1998; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2003, received \$500 award; did not know about the Tronox bankruptcy proceeding and at the time was a minor; unaware exposed to Tronox toxins. Claim was resolved in a prior proceeding and could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
358	TRO898635FTC	6/27/2016	Bailey, Carrie Prowell	Bailey, Carrie Prowell	6606		X	X				Trust's summary appears to have combined information from dockets 6605 and 6606; they are two separate motions. As to the motion at docket 6606: 2000 diagnosis; Lydia filing on behalf of her mother, Carrie, a former resident of Columbus, MS who is deceased. Unaware mom exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
359	TRO905236FTC	3/1/2017	Bailey, Devione	Bailey, Devione	6161		X	X				1991 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
360	TRO903219FTC	10/13/2016	Bankhead, Barbara	Barbara Bankhead	4486			X				1954 diagnosis. No notification of the bankruptcy case or bar date or that able to participate in action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
361	TRO890470FTC	2/23/2016	Bell, Barbara	Barbara Bell	5829			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
362	TRO890383FTC	2/23/2016	Bigbee, Barbara	Barbara Bigbee	7226			X		X		Alleges a December 2012 diagnosis; was not aware of Tronox at that time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
363	TRO896415FTC	4/13/2016	Brewer, Barbara	Barbara Brewer	3878		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Has copied and pasted text saying claimant had no knowledge, saw no notices, called after the bar date and was told to file a future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
364	TRO902630FTC	4/20/2016	Carr, Barbara	Barbara Carr	6466			X			X	Diagnoses "1983 and between 2017;" place of exposure not clear; says did not know to make a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
365	TRO905572FTC	3/28/2017	Hodges, Sallie	Barbara Clark	4982		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says she was incapacitated at time (unspecified) because she had a brain tumor, leg weakness and loss of memory. The rep does not include the rep's medical records as to timing. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
366	TRO889728FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Barbara	Barbara Clark	5043			X				2007 diagnosis; as reason for not filing says "incapacitated, memory loss, leg weakness, help with daily activities." Insufficient showing of an incapacity that prevented movant from filing or from eliciting the help of others in doing so, particularly given long delay after the bar date before any filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
367	TRO901719FTC	8/16/2016	King, Barbara Clay	Barbara Clay King	7828			X				February 2009 diagnosis; says did not have adequate notice and by the time of the deadline she was ill and had numerous encounters with the hospital doctors and surgeries; says incapacitated in 2009 but does not explain long post-bar date delay before making claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
368	TRO884067FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Barbara	Barbara Ellis	3443		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says she was unaware of the information and/or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
369	TRO88644FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Barbara	Barbara Gardner	3395	9109		X				Diagnosis year not included. Alleges allergies and sinus issues. Says "I made a mistake on my dates, I'm sorry about that." Seems to be saying that did not know of exposure prior to deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9109 complaining about the process. Insufficient details about circumstances and diligence in pursuit of claim to support relief. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
370	TRO888450FTC	12/18/2015	Girman, Barbara	Barbara Girman	4316			X				Most diagnoses in 1970s but also alleges biopsy in 2007 (results not clear). Says was unaware of bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
371	TRO894870FTC	Unknown	Grays, Barbara	Barbara Grays	6427			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 and 2010 diagnoses; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
372	TRO896792FTC	4/25/2016	Harlan, Barbara	Barbara Harlan	7701			X		X		2011 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; not aware of Tronox Tort claim trust at the time. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but the movant does not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought, so there are no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process and timeliness is not relevant. Movant wishes to pursue a post-bar date claim. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
373	TRO897985FTC	6/10/2016	Jenkins, Barbara Jean	Barbara Jean Jenkins	6003		X	X			X	Various conditions and illnesses, some before bar date and some after; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not know might be eligible to file a claim; unaware of filing deadline; did not subscribe to Wall Street Journal or local newspapers and did not see any newspaper notices concerning the deadline. Publication notices were effective and must be given effect regardless of whether claimant saw them, for the reasons explained in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
374	TRO895957FTC	4/6/2016	Jordan, Barbara	Barbara Jordan	4126	9353		X			X	Says diagnosis Oct. 2009, but then submits records for 2008 for other conditions. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9353 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
375	TRO886968FTC	12/7/2015	Kidd, Barbara	Barbara Kidd	6004	9203	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9203 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
376	TRO893307FTC	2/23/2016	Lapeyrolerie, Dave	Barbara Lapeyrolerie	5555	9260	X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; unaware could file claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9260 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
377	TRO880246FTC	12/4/2015	Lee, Barbara	Barbara Lee	4193		X	X				1972 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Navarro 2000 but atty did not file claim, said it was not worth his time. Alleges misconduct by counsel, but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleged misconduct also preceded the bankruptcy filing by nine years. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
378	TRO889030FTC	12/30/2015	Neal, Barbara	Barbara Neal	3596		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, alleges previously filed a proof of claim but apparently that is a reference to the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
379	TRO899000FTC	6/27/2016	Pate, Cleveland	Barbara Pate	5092		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; rep says timely filed but appears to be under mistaken belief that the late-filed claim was timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
380	TRO891383FTC	2/23/2016	Pate, Barbara	Barbara Pate	5097		X	X				1977 diagnosis. Says filings were timely but appears to be under the mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
381	TRO886936FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Barbara	Barbara Porter	4593		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom firm, received settlement of \$500, believes the amount was unfair. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. A claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
382	TRO885270FTC	11/25/2015	Price, Barbara	Barbara Price	5450	8977	X	X		X		2003 and 2016 diagnoses; says that as she did not reside in the affected area during the time of the suit, she did not know she was able to file; says sinusitis, seizures in 2003; nodule on lung 2016. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8977 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
383	TRO888369FTC	12/18/2015	Reives, Barbara	Barbara Reives	7333			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Tollison law firm in 2002 Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
384	TRO899348FTC	8/16/2016	Hill, Lillie	Barbara Roland	3631		X	X				Mother diagnosed 1980 w. cancer, died in 1987. Child contends did not know of claims process until 2015. No showings as to mother's knowledge or her (or her heirs') investigation and pursuit of possible claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
385	TRO884317FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, James	Barbara Roland	3960			X				Conditions preceded bar date, father died in 2011. Did not know claims were being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
386	TRO884313FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, Almonzo	Barbara Roland	5440						X	Minor; rep says unaware of claim being filed; did not get information by mail or from anyone about bar date; the injured party has mental problems that are attributable to chemicals, breathing problem, asthma; the injured party was in a behavior center in 2015. Will permit supplemental submission to verify injured party's age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
387	TRO893433FTC	2/23/2016	Silvers, Barbara	Barbara Silvers	3743		X	X				1997 diagnosis; unaware of the information or possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
388	TRO894606FTC	3/23/2016	Tate, Barbara	Barbara Tate	6182			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
389	TRO885886FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Barbara	Barbara Tucker	7553		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some 2004 and earlier, others after bar date; says that she personally did not see major articles or articles in major newspapers or broadcasting, what was floating around seemed more like fake gossip rather than something major to her life and well-being; says the notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Says that the severity of her condition was not known until after the bar date, and it caused her to do further research. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
390	TRO904952FTC	1/24/2017	Walker, Jessie	Barbara Walker	3739		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed and died in 2002, representative says not aware of Tronox process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
391	TRO900874FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Barbara	Barbara Walker	3740			X			X	Diagnosed 2009, no month specified; says did not know of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
392	TRO901477FTC	8/16/2016	Wilson, Barbara	Barbara Wilson	8525			X			X	Says did not understand conditions of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms Aug. 28, 2009 and diagnosis Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
393	TRO892144FTC	2/23/2016	Sempa, Barbe	Barbe Sempa	3385			X				Diagnosed 1982, not aware eligible and claims never received notices. Alleges did not live in Avoca area when plant first opened, but also says lived in Avoca since 1981. Only alleges lack of direct notice, not sufficient to establish excusable neglect or due process issue. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
394	TRO892143FTC	2/23/2016	Sempa, Catherine	Barbe Sempa	4083			X				1980 diagnosis, death in 1981. Rep unaware of process or that deceased was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
395	TRO893703FTC	3/2/2016	Buchanan, Barry	Barry Buchanan	5797		X	X				Diagnoses and treatments in 1990s, some ongoing; says he filed every time but there is no record of a claim filing until the late-filed claim was filed; does not know dates at this time; says lost and misplaced paperwork from past claims (appears to refer to filing with Garretson because says "if you check your records, you can see my claims"). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
396	TRO904052FTC	1/3/2017	Ellis, Barry	Barry Ellis	5672	8336	X	X				1979 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of the ongoing case of Tronox, never received a phone call, letter or anything on TV or radio. A supplement filed at docket #8336, without knowledge to wade through bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
397	TRO889854FTC	2/23/2016	Ferrow, Barry	Barry Ferrow	5010	8222	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach in 1999, says paperwork was lost; supplemental letter filed at docket # 8222, complains that people who did not live in the area are compensated while people who lived nearby have been turned down. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
398	TRO894421FTC	3/2/2016	Smith, Cora	Barry Jefferson	4700	8066	X	X				1968 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1971; rep unaware could file for deceased party. A supplement filed at docket #8066. The hospital threw records out after 10 years. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
399	TRO894428FTC	3/2/2016	Daugherty, Beatrice	Barry Jefferson	4701	8064	X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep unaware could file claim. A supplement is filed at docket #8064. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
400	TRO894427FTC	3/2/2016	Wells, Emma	Barry Jefferson	4702	8079	X	X				1971 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1982; rep unaware could file claim for deceased person. A supplement filed at docket #8079. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
401	TRO894420FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Alberta	Barry Jefferson	4703	8065	X	X				Incorrectly listed by Trust as having docket number 3850, the correct docket number is 4703. The injured party died in 1987; rep unaware he could have gone to a lawyer or anyone else. A supplement filed at docket #8065, rep says hospital threw out records after 10 years. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
402	TRO893593FTC	2/23/2016	Prandy, Barry	Barry Prandy	5095			X				Condition dates back to 1992. Says that in 2007-2008 he had many illnesses and refers also to illnesses and deaths of relatives, says was not thinking of filing a claim in 2007-08, but bar date was later (in 2009). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
403	TRO900411FTC	7/25/2016	Hopkins, Olivia	Belinda McCain	6201	6202 6929	X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party lived in Columbus, MS and died in 1997; rep says did not know of claim. Also filed a letter at docket # 6202 saying that she rejects the trust's offer. A signature page filed at docket #6929. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
404	TRO898553FTC	6/27/2016	Perry, Belinda	Belinda Perry	7264		X	X				1961 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not explain how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
405	TRO898611FTC	6/27/2016	Bell, Freddie	Bell, Percy	5573	8139 8144 8162	X	X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis but papers say the injured party died in 2001; rep unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. There is a supplement filed by the rep at docket #8139, at docket #8144 and docket #8162 saying he did not know about the lawsuit. Date of death is inconsistent with alleged diagnosis date, reason for the disparity is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
406	TRO903662FTC	11/23/2016	Bell, Earlen	Bell, Percy	5575	8139 8144 8162	X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep not aware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process. Supplements filed by the rep at docket #8139, docket #8144 and docket #8162 saying he did not know about the lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
407	TRO901153FTC	8/16/2016	Gardner, Ben	Ben Gardner	3454			X			X	Diagnosis 2010; did not know there was a deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
408	TRO901713FTC	8/16/2016	Porter, Benjamin	Benjamin Porter	6539				X		X	Alleges 2012 diagnosis; says was not aware and no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says was in Navy, stationed in Japan, but papers show release from active duty in 2007, termination of reserve obligations in May 2009. Military service dates therefore are not relevant to the application of the bar date. Movant has not identified any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought, motion is denied to extent seeks relief from bar date as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
409	TRO880692FTC	12/4/2015	McCrary, Payton	Bennie (McCrary) Bonnen	8481		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1977; representative complains that some people have received large sums and others who lived nearby have not been compensated. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
410	TRO891861FTC	2/23/2016	Aaron, Bennie, Jr.	Bennie Aaron, Jr.	4736			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
411	<b>TRO897961FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bigbee, Jr., Bennie</b>	<b>Bennie Bigbee, Jr.</b>	5766			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
412	TRO903716FTC	11/23/2016	Deloach, Bennie	Bennie Deloach	3994	3995	X	X				1997 diagnosis. Did not file claim because was unaware of possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
413	TRO890731FTC	2/23/2016	Hinton, Bennie, Jr.	Bennie Hinton, Jr.	7683			X				1986 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; moved out of state and was unaware of lawsuit. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
414	TRO884715FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Bennie Mae	Bennie Mae Stewart	4176	8072	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Unaware could file a claim until it was too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
415	TRO884473FTC	11/25/2015	Billups, Bernard	Bernard Billups	8406			X				1992 symptoms onset, no diagnosis date; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unknown about filing a claim. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in MS or Alabama then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
416	TRO898495FTC	6/27/2016	Harris, Bernard	Bernard Harris	6032		X	X				July 2004 diagnosis; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
417	TRO887969FTC	12/18/2015	Hughes, Bertine	Bernard Hughes	5908			X				Diagnoses 1985 to 1997; rep says nothing received regarding lawsuit, says claimant suffered from memory loss and dementia, incapacity from strokes and heart attacks, could not handle business affairs, but rep does not provide dates; unclear if claimant is deceased as rep says symptoms and diagnosis from 1985 to 1997 but in response to her residence from 2009-2011, says Tennessee, does not include any medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
418	TRO900212FTC	7/25/2016	Avant, Bernice	Bernice Avant	3754		X	X				1978 Diagnosis. Says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
419	TRO902790FTC	8/16/2016	Halbert, Bernice	Bernice Halbert	6922			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
420	TRO894906FTC	3/23/2016	Hill, John	Bernice Hill	6816		X	X			X	Submitted many medical records but cannot tell from them what the dates of initial diagnoses were as opposed to the dates of doctor visits and treatments. Rep says unaware of the deadline; constantly going back and forth to the doctor. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
421	TRO887939FTC	12/18/2015	Lewis, Bernice	Bernice Lewis	7746		X	X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2013 and 2015; diagnoses dates in 1980s are crossed off; says filed claim with the Tollison law firm, does not say when, unclear if just referring to the late-filed claim; says did not know about the claim deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
422	Unknown	12/4/2015	Conner, Robert	Bernice Shanklin	7856			X			X	The injured party died in 2015; did not know at bar date; says diagnosed 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends that the motion apparently was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
423	TRO888451FTC	12/18/2015	Willis, Bernice	Bernice Willis	6985			X				2007 diagnosis; says tried to file a claim with the Colom law firm in 2007 but it was not taking claims any longer; says was taking care of sick relative but does not provide dates of that care and does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. Contact with Colom firm shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
424	<b>TRO892373FTC</b>	2/23/2016	Gibson, Andrew	Bertha Gibson	5254		X	X				The correct claim number for this motion is TRO892373FTC; the number listed on the Trust's summary (TRO889237) relates to the claim and motion at docket # 5402 for Summer Wilson. As to docket # 5254: The injured party died in 1969; rep unaware of claim process and that could file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
425	TRO895569FTC	3/24/2016	Gilkey, Bertha Mae	Bertha Gilkey	6485		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1986, 2000, 2011; says did not have knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification via newspaper, television, radio or any media; limited in understanding legal jargon. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
426	TRO886617FTC	12/7/2015	McCarter, Bertha	Bertha McCarther	4288	8116	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm, did not receive any money. Says was unaware condition was connected to Tronox product but that is inconsistent with the movant's participation in the prior class action. A supplement filed at docket #8116, says atty Colom failed to file proof of claim. Colom firm handled prior class actions, not clear if was counsel to claimant at time of bar date. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel (Mr. Colom) received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures by counsel (if they occurred) are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
427	<b>TRO887813FTC</b>	12/4/2015	Sanders, Bertha	Bertha Sanders	7087			X		X		Alleges 2013 diagnosis; says during bar date claims period lived in Alabama; was not made aware of filing a Tronox claim nor of any deadline; says symptoms Jan 2009 and diagnosis 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
428	<b>TRO880848FTC</b>	12/4/2015	McMullen, Ollie	Bertha Sanders	7088		X	X				2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says not aware of filing of Tronox claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
429	TRO900701FTC	8/16/2016	Vickers, Bertha	Bertha Vickers	7939		X	X	X			2005 diagnosis; says was unaware of the bar date. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
430	TRO894776FTC	3/23/2016	Wells, Bertha	Bertha Wells	5552		X	X				July 1996 diagnosis; unaware of the filing; spoke to atty Bambach to start the filing but before the process the atty died. Later discovered that residents were filing and that was when she decided to try filing an application again. Attorney Bambach died in 2013, which was already long after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
431	TRO890742FTC	2/23/2016	McLeod, Mamie	Bertha Wells	5565		X	X				1995 diagnosis; was not aware of the filing. Spoke with atty Bambach and he was going to file but died before the process. However, Mr. Bambach died in 2013, long after the bar date. Later found out from friends and filed again. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
432	TRO897754FTC	5/25/2016	Calvert, Bessie	Bessie Calvert	7547	8141	X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1971, 1981; says disabled and was not aware of the Tronox case, moved to another city; incompetent and has niece assisting her with form. A supplement filed at docket #8141, rep (niece) says person is incompetent and had moved to another state. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
433	TRO890101FTC	2/23/2016	Deloach, Andrew	Bessie Deloach	8433		X	X		X		The injured party is deceased; unsure of diagnosis dates; rep sent in claim when he saw that a relative received compensation. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
434	TRO890884FTC	2/23/2016	Edwards, Bessie	Bessie Edwards	3851			X		X		Symptoms in 2006 but claims no diagnosis until 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
435	TRO892288FTC	2/23/2016	Gillespie, Joe	Bessie Gillespie	7249			X				May 2009 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010; rep says injured party worked as a heavy equipment operator at Tronox/Kerr-McGee from 2004-2007, he was diagnosed in May 2009 with lung disease; family was knocked off their feet with what they had to go through and were focusing on getting him well, they did not get any notification. This was all around the time of the bar date. Explains delay in 2009 but does not explain lengthy post-bar date delay before filing claim or pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
436	TRO904684FTC	1/24/2017	Jenkins-Cotton, Bessie	Bessie Jenkins-Cotton	6130			X				Symptoms 1990-1992; place of exposure not clear, but says moved to Florida in 1992 for a job transfer; did not have any knowledge of bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
437	TRO884558FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Herman	Bessie Moore	3460			X		X		Diagnoses 2007 and 2013, but also says the claimant filed with the Colom law firm in a 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. The injured party died in 2017. Allegedly did not see any publications. No indication that injured party lacked knowledge of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in class action shows knowledge of claims and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
438	TRO886258FTC	12/7/2015	Orr, Bessie	Bessie Orr	6309		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 2001-present, unclear if any new conditions first diagnosed after the bar date; had no knowledge that claims were getting filed; did not get any document through mail or learn anything from newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
439	TRO897650FTC	5/25/2016	Aaron, Shelton	Bessie Shelton	6324			X				Diagnosis dates 2006-2008; the injured party died in 2017; rep does not provide an excuse. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
440	TRO887081FTC	12/14/2015	Shepherd, Bessie	Bessie Shepherd	6925	8117		X			X	2010 diagnosis; says that at time of filing was not properly notified of filing claim and was taking care of ill relative in another town. A supplement filed at docket #8117, says has been on medication since 2008 and her medication caused her to put the wrong dates on the first claim form. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
441	TRO887785FTC	12/14/2015	Taylor, Charles	Bessie Taylor	6594	8148	X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep says she called the Garretson trust number and was told not to do anything on it. A supplement filed at docket #8148, say provided required proof of residency, also complains that other people filed that did not even live in the area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
442	TRO892266FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Mary	Bessie Taylor	6613	8148	X	X				1970s diagnosis; says did not receive a claim to file. A supplement filed at docket #8148, says provided the required proof of residency. She complains that there were people applying who did not even live in the area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
443	TRO895213FTC	3/23/2016	Blunt, Bettina	Bettina Blunt	4649		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1972, 1975, 2014. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. Says was a child but first exposure listed as 1965, to had to be approximately 44 years old at the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on diagnoses that predated 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
444	<b>TRO900398FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowen, Betty</b>	<b>Betty Bowen</b>	3941			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
445	TRO894384FTC	3/2/2016	Brook, Betty	Betty Brook	5564		X	X				1973 diagnosis; not included in Trust's summary report; claimant says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
446	TRO892401FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Betty	Betty Brooks	5298			X				Headache and rash beginning in 2005; says did not get a diagnosis because does not have insurance to go to doctor; says did not know anything about filing a claim, he found out about it when people started getting money. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claimant also says because toxins stay in system for many years before manifesting, wants to know what he is supposed to do if he gets sick 2 or 3 years. Cannot make payments based on speculation about possible future conditions. If future condition manifests itself and claim has not been resolved already, claimant should file supplement with Tort Claims Trust if assets remain.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
447	TRO884161FTC	11/13/2015	Butler, Betty Jean	Betty Butler	7103	8422	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1995 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, outcome unclear; unaware could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case. Duplicate filed at docket #8422. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
448	TRO896647FTC	4/25/2016	Bedford, Edward	Betty Chmiel	5636			X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says previously filed "whenever the first papers were mailed out by Powell & Powell," which appears to be a reference to a law firm that handled a class action in Avoca, PA. Rep says that he assumed the injured party filed his claim when the rep filed his because lived with him and both got the claims at the same time. Motion is referring to a prior proceeding (not the bankruptcy case). Prior proceeding and awareness of claim filed by injured party shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
449	TRO898370FTC	Unknown	Colebrook, Betty	Betty Colebrook	8037		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Previously filed with Colom law firm; she says she was offered \$5,000 and rejected the offer but she also references having received \$2,773.10 from Creosote Wood Treating Facility litigation group after fees and costs were deducted. She also says she has additional conditions and wants her claim re-evaluated. Medical records show various pre-bar date diagnoses and one 2013 diagnosis. Prior litigation shows awareness of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in prior litigation, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense based on the terms of a prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
450	TRO885701FTC	11/25/2015	Martin, Annie	Betty Davis	3433		X	X				Diagnosis in 1969, the injured party died in 1988. Rep says she was not aware of Tronox deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
451	TRO886674FTC	12/7/2015	Dismuke, Betty	Betty Dismuke	3360			X				Diagnosed "yes." Only says "I did paperwork was lost threw [sic] attorney." Unexcused attorney conduct is not grounds for due process or excusable neglect relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
452	TRO888105FTC	12/18/2015	Collins, Lucille	Betty Edward	4815		X	X				2001 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; rep did not know she could file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
453	TRO884617FTC	11/25/2015	Glenn, Betty	Betty Glenn	5983		X	X		X		Refers to first diagnosis in 1990 but also describes many different conditions, unlikely all were at same time; says illness would not allow him to perform too much, was only able to walk and get around day by day, after treatment, was not able to do anything at all, was required to frequent the infusion center, says "very few knew about that, it was kept very quiet;" does not provide dates for these treatments. Shows physical incapacities but does not show inability to file a claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
454	TRO887056FTC	12/14/2015	Gregory, Betty	Betty Gregory	7417			X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; atty Bambach; refers to the class action and says that some members of that class received payment and continue to receive payment while other people such as claimant receive nothing while repeatedly refiling claims. Also references Article II, section 2.2(b)(i) of the procedures in the Trust concerning allowance of claims that provides that no proof of injury is required for timely filed proof of claims. The bankruptcy case is separate from the class action. Claimant did not file a timely proof of claim in the bankruptcy case and has not offered reasons why that failure should be excused.
455	TRO894308FTC	3/2/2016	Henry, Betty	Betty Henry	5421	8352		X		X		2008 diagnosis; says did not know about the claims process. A supplement filed at docket # 8352 with an additional ailment diagnosed in 2018. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
456	TRO898662FTC	6/27/2016	Jefferson, Betty	Betty Johnson	4181		X	X				1977 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
457	TRO887220FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Betty	Betty Jones	6867			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
458	Unknown	2/23/2016	Kelly, Betty	Betty Kelly	7860		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 2000, 2002; says attempted to file claims years ago and it was dismissed because of pending bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Unclear when or where the movant attempted to file a claim but the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009, and this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before that time. In addition, movant acknowledges awareness of claim and being informed of bankruptcy but makes no showing as to why filed so long after the bar date, not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect even if claim were not time-barred.
459	TRO888176FTC	12/18/2015	Saulsberry, Betty	Betty Saulsberry	5239		X	X				1990 diagnosis; she moved out of town for her job, moved around often and did not receive any mail at that time, says mail must have gotten lost. No indication that movant qualified for direct notice by mail, no challenge to the sufficiency of publication notices. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
460	<b>TRO891495FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Sharp, Betty</b>	<b>Betty Sharp</b>	4059			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
461	TRO895823FTC	3/24/2016	Stewart, Arsie	Betty Stewart	6295		X	X				Diagnoses all 1987 and earlier. Rep says that she was physically and mentally disabled during the claims filing period, loss of one eye 20-30% low mobility in legs, 30% use of one arm. Says was transported from Mississippi to stay and a rehabilitation center in Alabama. She does not provide any dates for these conditions or documentation to support. Alleges physical limitations but not incapacity to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
462	TRO888143FTC	12/18/2015	Taylor, Larry	Betty Taylor	5537	8900	X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; standard cut-and-pasted reasons why did not file by bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8900 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
463	TRO888332FTC	12/18/2015	Taylor, Jimmy	Betty Taylor	8511	8900	X	X				This motion was filed at the same time as the motion of Larry Taylor (docket #3357), and was separately docketed later at docket #8511; 1992 diagnosis, the injured party died in 1997. Standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8900 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
464	TRO888144FTC	12/18/2015	Taylor, Michael	Betty Taylor	8512	8900	X	X				This motion was filed at the same time as the motion of Larry Taylor (docket #3357), and was separately docketed later at docket #8512; 1981 diagnoses, the injured party died in 1996; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8900 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
465	TRO898193FTC	6/20/2016	Thompson, Betty	Betty Thompson	4124			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
466	TRO887026FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Raeburn	Betty Vonsee	5084		X	X				1969 diagnosis; injured party died in 1971; rep says did not know and had no reason to know injured party had been exposed to Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
467	TRO887027FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, William	Betty Vonsee	5085		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1975; rep says did not know and had no reason to know that injured party exposed to creosote. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
468	TRO903669FTC	11/23/2016	Watkins, Betty	Betty Watkins	4398		X	X				June 1982 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
469	TRO886937FTC	12/7/2015	Watson, Betty	Betty Watson	3425		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Previously filed with atty Bennie Turner; paperwork lost. Attorney error is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
470	TRO901170FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Betty	Betty Williams	6932			X		X		Alleges September 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief as to any pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
471	TRO884693FTC	11/25/2015	Young, Betty	Betty Young	3719		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, unaware of possibility of receiving settlement until after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
472	TRO891729FTC	2/23/2016	Abrams, Beulah	Beulah Abrams	7480		X	X				Diagnoses 1986, 1987, 1988, 2008; previously filed with the Colom law firm; she was under the impression that the claim was current from 1993. Class action handled by Colom firm is separate from the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claims based on 1986-88 diagnoses either were resolved in prior action or, if not, were time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. As to the 2008 diagnosis; participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
473	TRO892966FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Beulah	Beulah Craddieth	7791		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as 1967, 1972, 1982, 1984, 1997, 1998, 2010, 2013; previously filed with the Colom law firm in a class action, result not specified; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim that were resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
474	TRO892440FTC	2/23/2016	Deloach, Beulah	Beulah Deloach	7572		X	X				2000 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; because of her 4th grade education, she did not understand what was happening to her. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
475	TRO892693FTC	2/23/2016	Harper, Beulah	Beulah Harper	5294		X	X			X	Diagnoses dates listed as 1973, 1980, 2011; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know and did not have reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to Ohio; rejection notice filed at docket # 5346. Claims based on 1973 and 1980 diagnoses were time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
476	TRO914989FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Beulah	Beulah Tate	4655	4656	X	X				1957 diagnosis. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
477	TRO880870FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook, Beulah	Beulah Westbrook	5772		X	X			X	Alleges 2010 heart condition; 2011 adult asthma (but childhood asthma diagnosed in 1981); rest of diagnoses all 2001 or earlier. Unaware of claims process and did not know could file a claim; says violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
478	TRO891941FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Beverly	Beverly Fields	5733	8315		X				Mid-80s to mid-90s diagnoses; former Mississippi resident; previously filed with Colom law firm and attorney Bambach; unaware case had been "reopened;" the law firm said she did not have a claim back then which she did not understand as she lived in the area and had medical issues and her children were born with conditions. A supplement filed at docket #8315. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct or advice of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
479	TRO895637FTC	3/24/2016	Harris, Walter	Beverly Harris	5167		X	X				1970s/2000 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm; unaware of claims process for bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
480	TRO892174FTC	2/23/2016	Mallard, Beverly	Beverly Mallard	4105		X	X				Diagnoses 1988, 2000, 2008. Moved out of state, did not get notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
481	TRO889761FTC	2/23/2016	Phillips, Marcus	Beverly Phillips	5854			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
482	TRO897162FTC	5/4/2016	Spann, Beverly	Beverly Spann	4520		X	X		x		Says symptoms & diagnosis in 2010 but provides medical records for 1994; previously filed with Colom firm in 2002 class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims accrued as of 2002 either were resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Other claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
483	TRO885333FTC	11/25/2015	Storey Gafrey, Beverly	Beverly Story Gafrey	5428		X	X				1974 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who relocated to Houston, Texas in 2006. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
484	TRO887328FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Beyanka	Beyanka Wilkins	4548		X	X				Diagnoses in 1989, 1999 and 2002. Says misinterpreted conditions of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
485	TRO896595FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Bianca	Bianca Jamison	6176			X		X		Diagnoses 2009 and 2010; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; had no reason to know and did not know of her exposure to Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process, unaware of the process and did not know that she could file a claim for herself. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
486	TRO886420FTC	12/7/2015	Fox, Billsha	Billsha Fox	3419						X	Minor at the time and did not have any knowledge of the claim; does not say why guardian did not file. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parents or guardians did not file a claim, why claimant waited until 2015 to do so, and whether relief is warranted.
487	TRO894921FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Alvin	Billups, Alvin	5808		X	X				1993 diagnosis; moved away and was not aware the lawsuit was in place. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
488	TRO894920FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Tameca	Billups, Tameca	5815		X	X				1999 diagnosis; moved away for a better job and later not knowing this lawsuit had occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
489	TRO897841FTC	5/25/2016	Peoples, Billy	Billy Peoples	4036		X	X				Trust's summary listed the wrong claim number; the correct number is TRO897841FTC. Diagnoses 2001 and earlier. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
490	TRO892712FTC	2/23/2016	Petty, Billy	Billy Petty	6619			X		X		Just a signed motion form and a signed Rejection Notice. No grounds stated for relief from the bar date, motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
491	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Billy Wayne	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
492	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Detra Devoune	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.
493	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Mildred	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
494	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Terry	Billy Wayne Bridges	7341	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432		X				This docket entry was filed for a group of claimants, some of whom did not file an excusable neglect motion. In addition, supplemental letters were filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this group at docket ## 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and #9432, complaining about the process. Basically, it is a motion for reinclusion in the original Tronox tort claim Class D, which only covered people who actually filed timely proofs of claim before the bar date. Claimants say they were represented by the Creosote Litigation Group of Mississippi since 2002 (Bambach); firm lost records; turned over to Tollison firm after 2009. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think (without confirmation) that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Funds for Tort Group D have already been distributed, inclusion in that group is not possible and that request is moot. In addition, attorneys in the Creosote Litigation Group had direct notice of the bar date, so if they actually represented this group then proofs of claim should have been filed. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Motion is denied.
495	Unknown	12/4/2015	Bridges, Evaleen	Billy Wayne Bridges								Trust lists this as a motion received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
496	TRO891970FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, BilQis	BilQis Reed	6198		X	X				1991 diagnosis; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to TX and did not reside in Mississippi in 2009; says did not receive timely information. No explanation as to why did not file own lawsuit or take other steps after failed to qualify for class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
497	TRO902127FTC	9/14/2016	Adamn, U.W.	Blair, Lillie	5523		X	X				Diagnosis between 1978-1981; unaware of the claims filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
498	TRO901033FTC	8/16/2016	Hill, Bobbie Jean	Bobbie Jean Hill	5737		X	X				1972 diagnosis; says incapacitated at time of bar date, "recently had a stroke when first tort claim" (does not provide date or medical record). Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
499	TRO889288FTC	2/23/2016	Richey, Bobbie	Bobbie Richey	4078		X	X				1966 diagnosis. Claim filed December 2015. Unaware of bar date, no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, heard of process in 2015 when visited Mississippi. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on a Mississippi exposure it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
500	TRO887947FTC	12/18/2015	Tolon, Bobbie	Bobbie Tolon	6706		X	X		X		Claims symptoms 1999, 2009 diagnosis (month not noted); paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
501	TRO894051FTC	3/2/2016	Jefferson, Eva	Bobby Barry	7756		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification in newspaper or any social media. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
502	TRO892792FTC	Unknown	Jefferson, Paul	Bobby Barry	7909		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification by newspaper or social media. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
503	TRO885037FTC	11/25/2015	Colvin, Bobby, Jr.	Bobby Colvin, Jr.	7590		X	X				Diagnoses 1986, 2003; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in early 2009 before moving to Tupelo, MS and then to Georgia; says a claim was previously filed with the Colom law firm by parents but says they would have the details, does not state outcome; says he was unaware of the bankruptcy because did not receive direct notice as a known claimant; did not see the publication notice; says was dealing with depression in 2009 which made it difficult to focus on anything including college and work which should qualify as incapacitated; says he should be protected from unforeseen medical issues; says violation of due process but does not explain why; does not provide any supporting docs for depression. No support is provided for the allegation that this movant was a "known claimant." If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No record that movant was part of a pending lawsuit at the time of the bankruptcy but even if that were the case the attorneys for all pending cases and attorneys for the prior class action plaintiffs received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
504	TRO884976FTC	11/25/2015	Colvin, Sr. Bobby	Bobby Colvin, Sr.	7368		X	X				1970s diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002, outcome not disclosed; says did not file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy prior to bar date because he was unaware of an ongoing case. Also, complains that there are those in the neighborhood who have been rewarded for injuries such as nose bleeds, while he is suffering with many medical conditions and has not been compensated. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claims and legal rights. No evidence the claim was subject to a pending proceeding at the time of the bar date. Claim either was resolved in a prior class action or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
505	TRO901187FTC	8/16/2016	Ford, Bobby, Jr.	Bobby Ford, Jr.	4639			X				2007 diagnosis. Says did not have know of the claim prior to the bar date, when discovered it the bar date had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
506	TRO885816FTC	11/25/2015	Guyton, Bobby	Bobby Guyton	7843		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
507	TRO891976FTC	2/23/2016	Haney, Bobby	Bobby Haney	5077			X				2004 diagnosis; says incapacitated during bar date period in 2009 and provides supporting medical records to show that he became very ill again in August 2009 and was admitted to hospital and began treatments including chemotherapy; admissions and treatments continued that year and into following years. However, no explanation of lack of action for many years following the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
508	TRO895480FTC	3/24/2016	Davis, Nathaniel	Bobby Irions	3818		X	X				1953 diagnosis. Injured party is deceased. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
509	Unknown	Unknown	Leach, Bobby J.	Bobby J. leach	9478							Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
510	TRO891875FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Bobby	Bobby Jordan	5328		X	X				Diagnosis apparently in 1998; did not know of Tronox case in 2009; was out of town when he heard about it; unaware his conditions were related to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
511	TRO896560FTC	4/25/2016	Leach, Bobby	Bobby Leach	5819		X	X				Diagnoses 2008 and earlier; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
512	TRO897210FTC	5/4/2016	Lewis, Bobby	Bobby Lewis	5993		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
513	TRO900950FTC	8/16/2016	Loyd, Bobby	Bobby Loyd	6377		X	X				1964 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says if had known would have filed a timely claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
514	TRO888749FTC	12/24/2015	Martin, Bobby	Bobby Martin	6099	9293	X	X				1989 diagnosis; says that the year of his surgery, 1989, there were no lawsuits pending. However, there did not need to be a pending class action for claimant to seek relief. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9293 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
515	TRO898082FTC	Unknown	Martin, Bobby	Bobby Martin	7990		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1997, 2005, 2009; says previously filed claim with atty Bambach, atty deceased; did not receive anything or any information; filed after learning of process but it was too late. Date of filing with attorney is not specified but Atty Bambach died in 2013. If was retained before bar date that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect because Bambach received notice of the bar date and unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief. In addition, claims based on 1997 and 2005 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Date of 2009 diagnosis not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
516	TRO887052FTC	12/14/2015	McKinley, Bobby	Bobby McKinley	4161	4162	X	X				Skin rashes since 1965. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Duplicate at docket 4162. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
517	TRO889933FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Bobby	Bobby Sanders	6795		X	X				1970 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; unaware exposed prior to deadline; contends violation of due process occurred but does not elaborate. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
518	TRO891839FTC	2/23/2016	Smart, Bobby	Bobby Smart	4885			X			X	Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; forgot to include most recent health conditions. says diagnosis was October 2009. Motion does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
519	TRO887764FTC	Unknown	Stephenson, Bobby	Bobby Stephenson	6404		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Previously filed with Colom law firm in November 2000; says Colom law firm did not inform him that he could file again due to the "second claims file"; says the filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; also says was prevented from filing by a natural disaster, but no such disaster is specified. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No indication Colom firm represented the movant in 2009 but if it did that is not grounds for relief, because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and alleged failures of counsel are not grounds for relief unless counsel's failures may be excused. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
520	TRO884462FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Bobby	Bobby Stewart	3402			X		X		Says filed in 2015 after diagnosed in 2015, says had symptoms in 2007 but a doctor could not provide definite diagnosis until 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
521	TRO901182FTC	8/16/2016	Taylor, Willie	Bobby Taylor	5769		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep says claim process was closed when he learned of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
522	TRO901259FTC	8/16/2016	Taylor, Bobby	Bobby Taylor	5814			X				2008 diagnosis; did not know about claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
523	TRO900530FTC	8/16/2016	Trimble, Bobby	Bobby Trimble	6477			X			X	2012 diagnosis; unaware of the case and deadlines. Says was wrongly advised on the process of filing a claim and due to that, his initial claim was improperly filed, but does not way when such advice was or by whom, appears to be referring to communications with trust about late-filed claim. Says was not advised he could file a category D claim and was told to complete a category A claim, but category "D" claims were limited to people who filed on or before August 12, 2009. Says claimants were not notified of the tort trust, complains of person who purported to be with the Trust who gave incorrect advice and provided prefilled forms; says wants to be placed in the proper category "D," though by its terms that category is not available. Alleges symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but documents suggest there may have been earlier conditions. Category "D" was closed in 2010 and cannot be reopened, category "A" is the only place where claims may now be filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
524	TRO890876FTC	2/23/2016	Vaughn, Bobby	Bobby Vaughn	4888		X	X			X	Did not hear from any source about bankruptcy case; injured party's attention and memory level is very low, alleges is incompetent; does not understand many things. Diagnosis dates not listed, unclear if medical records complete. Insufficient documentation of incompetence and alleged inability to file claim or to enlist the aid of others for that purpose. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
525	TRO886485FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Katherine	Bobby Williams	7196		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep was not aware/had no knowledge of bar date, did not see public notifications. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
526	TRO895124FTC	3/23/2016	Lee, Jeanette	Bobby Williams	7237		X	X				1980 diagnosis; rep says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2003, called but he could not find her application; later the movant was incarcerated. Filing in 2003 must have been in connection with a different matter, as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. No indication the claim was ever part of a pending legal proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
527	TRO896728FTC	4/25/2016	Wilson, Sammie	Bobby Wilson	7917		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
528	TRO903318FTC	10/27/2016	Smith, Bonita	Bonita Smith	5973			X		X		Various diagnoses, most before bar date but at least one in 2012; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product because not a resident of Mississippi, she visited parents there and had no knowledge of the case until she moved in with her disabled parent. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
529	TRO885889FTC	11/25/2015	Scott, Bordrick	Bordrick Scott	5197		X	X				Most diagnoses in 1970s and 1980s but alleges stroke in 2008. Previously filed with Colom law firm and in 2006 received \$9,800; says did not receive any notice of bankruptcy case; did not see any advertising. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, were also time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
530	TRO902181FTC	9/14/2016	Bostick, Eboni	Bostick, Eboni	6224			X				2006 diagnosis; was not aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
531	TRO889140FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Bradford	Bradford Hughes	5152			X				1991 diagnosis; says unaware of case or bar date; unaware could file claim; relocated to Tennessee; says notice was insufficient, publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
532	TRO884196FTC	11/25/2015	Brandon, Bradley	Bradley Brandon	4552						X	2004 diagnosis. Says 2009 was a difficult time, freshman year at college, away from home, in July grandmother passed away. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
533	TRO902847FTC	10/13/2016	Brand, Theophilus Joshua	Brand, Theophilus Joshua	6121		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says was not informed of the claims process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
534	TRO898086FTC	Unknown	Harris, Brandi	Brandi Harris	6020		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2000, 2013; did not have all information and did not understand conditions; says certain conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
535	TRO898022FTC	Unknown	Brooks, Brandon	Brandon Brooks	6361		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1990 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
536	TRO898009FTC	6/10/2016	Ratliff, Brandon	Brandon Ratliff	6813			X				2002 diagnosis; not clear where exposure occurred; was not aware of the dates because resided in Alabama. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
537	TRO887519FTC	12/14/2015	Anderson, Lisa	Brandon Riches	7310	8364 8365	X	X			X	Asthma diagnosis in childhood in 1970s, other purportedly separate respiratory conditions identified as allegedly diagnosed in other years (some before bar date and some after), other conditions that occurred and were diagnosed in the 1990s; says right of due process violated because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide sufficient notice; she was completely unaware of any pending claims against Tronox as she never received any notifications of the claim; she has limited education with no experience or knowledge regarding legal notices; nor did any relatives who may have informed her of the settlement also did not get notice; she lived in Louisiana at the time of the bar date. A notice of appearance filed by counsel at docket #8364 and additional 930 pages of medical records at docket #8365. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
538	TRO886155FTC	12/7/2015	Sherrod, Brandon	Brandon Sherrod	5558			X			X	Did not know about claims process; says symptoms and diagnosis in 2009 but does not specify when in 2009 and does not provide medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
539	TRO892634FTC	2/23/2016	Shields, Brandon	Brandon Shields	6941			X			X	2013 diagnosis; was unaware of the litigation in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
540	TRO896383FTC	4/13/2016	Windham, Brandon	Brandon Windham	4813	9325		X				2007 diagnosis; unaware of the filing; spoke to attorney (date unspecified) who said would get in touch but did not; claimant filed when he found others were filing. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9325 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
541	TRO888374FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Jayden	Brandy Harris	5451						X	Injured party is a minor with mild retardation, diagnoses in April 2009; rep says did not file because unaware of claim; standard language. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8912 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
542	TRO905724FTC	3/28/2017	Coleman, Brelana	Brelana Coleman	3839						X	Diagnosed with asthma in 2001. Child at time of deadline in 2009 but no showing as to parents' or guardian's knowledge of creosote related claims or explanation why they did not investigate and pursue possible claims. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
543	TRO893744FTC	3/2/2016	Stewart, James	Brenda Blevins	4290		X	X		X		Injured party diagnosed before 1990; filing by relative. Says papers filed on time. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To extent claim was filed late no excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
544	TRO886727FTC	12/7/2015	Capone, Brenda	Brenda Capone	4055			X				Diagnoses 2007 and earlier. Unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
545	TRO902940FTC	10/13/2016	Eiland, Woodrow	Brenda Eiland Gund	4902		X	X				1990 diagnosis; injured party died in 1995; rep did not provide excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
546	TRO894522FTC	3/23/2016	Jordan, Leroy	Brenda Gipson	6681			X				1989 diagnosis; says injured party has psychological problems; place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
547	TRO895528FTC	3/24/2016	Hendricks, Brenda	Brenda Hendricks	4411		X	X				August 2002 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware until friend received settlement in 2014. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
548	TRO889685FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Mary	Brenda Jackson-Johnson	6233		X	X				1945 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep says did not know could file for her; unaware of any lawsuit; discharge of claim violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
549	TRO889686FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Zacheus	Brenda Jackson-Johnson	6269		X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says deceased had a diagnosed injury but did not know cause, but under Mississippi law the limitations period ran so long as the condition had been diagnosed, regardless of whether the cause was known. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
550	TRO902440FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Brenda	Brenda Johnson	3987		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 2000 diagnosis. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline, did not know injury attributable to Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
551	TRO880211FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Brenda	Brenda Joiner	7323		X	X				2005 and earlier diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Landis Sexton law firm some time prior to 2009; supplied law firm with medical records; the next time she received any information was in 2013. Affidavit of service shows notice of the bar date was mailed directly to Landis Sexton on behalf of other clients, so if counsel was retained by Ms. Joiner before the bar date counsel was aware of the bar date itself. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
552	TRO892886FTC	2/23/2016	Patterson, Brenda	Brenda Patterson	4954			X		X		Claims first diagnosis in 2012; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
553	TRO885572FTC	11/25/2015	Perry, Brenda	Brenda Perry	3871	8867		X		X		Most diagnoses before bar date (sleep apnea, lower back pain, diabetes, carpal tunnel), heart disease diagnosed after bar date (2012). Unaware exposed prior to deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8867 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
554	<b>TRO880350FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Robinson, Brenda</b>	<b>Brenda Robinson</b>	4966			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of the Trust's determination, no motion based on excusable neglect or due process.
555	TRO893565FTC	2/23/2016	Blair, Shakeria	Brenda Roby	3930			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
556	TRO893564FTC	2/23/2016	Blair, Thevis	Brenda Roby	3935			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
557	TRO893776FTC	3/2/2016	Sherrod, Brenda	Brenda Sherrod	5961		X	X				Says symptoms started in 1970s; crossed off "1970" as diagnosis date and then inserted "2006"; says previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; was not aware of other claims until she filed this claim. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
558	TRO881090FTC	12/4/2015	Wilson (Smith), Brenda	Brenda Smith Wilson	5673		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline never received; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
559	TRO896011FTC	4/6/2016	Tucker, Brenda	Brenda Tucker	4152			X			X	Says diagnosis 2010; says proof was rejected; proof was two page summary of a hospital visit near time of filing claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
560	TRO886137FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Brenda	Brenda Williams	7415	8119	X	X				Diagnoses 1985 and 2000; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999, outcome unclear; unaware could file a claim; says discharge of claim violation of due process; unaware of the process. A supplement filed at docket #8119 with medical records. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
561	TRO894654FTC	Unknown	Williams, Brenda	Brenda Williams	7989			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim; after atty Bambach deceased was informed too late. Attorney Bambach did not die until 2013, that is no reason why 2009 bar date was missed. Unclear when retained Bambach but if before bar date that is no excuse because Bambach received notice of the bar date and unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
562	TRO887935FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Brenesha	Brenesha Smith	3759		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Says "sent off paper work not sure how I pass deadline." May be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
563	TRO884779FTC	11/25/2015	Hunter, Brent	Brent Hunter	6678		X	X				1990 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was on active duty in South Korea at the time of the bar date. However, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
564	TRO891117FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Brian	Brian Harrison	3957			X			X	Alleges allergy diagnosis in 2008, high blood pressure in 2010. Says was unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
565	TRO889627FTC	2/23/2016	Joiner, Brian	Brian Joiner	4695			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
566	TRO889020FTC	12/30/2015	Lowe, Brian	Brian Lowe	7753		X	X				Diagnosis not listed in form on docket. Says did not know or had no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
567	TRO884502FTC	11/25/2015	Pestome, Brian, Jr.	Brian Pestome, Jr.	3431			X				2005 Diagnosis. Says was too young to understand what exactly was taking place legally, but alleges exposure began in 1990 so was at least 19 or so as of the bar date, and 18 is the age of majority in Pennsylvania. Says learned of process from social media, does not read newspapers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
568	TRO892456FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Brian	Brian Thompson	5099		X	X				2003 diagnosis; rep says did not know of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
569	TRO889928FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Brianna	Brianna Williams	7648		X	X				1996 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, received \$500 award; says did not know of filing of bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
570	TRO895813FTC	3/24/2016	Bridges, Johnson	Bridges, Johnson	6733		X	X				2003 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
571	TRO895512FTC	3/24/2016	Bridges, Lillie	Bridges, Lillie	6734			X		X		Diagnosed 10/6/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
572	TRO889026FTC	12/30/2015	Bridges, Melissa	Bridges, Melissa	5712							June 2000 diagnosis; unaware of any claims and the process; joined the U.S. Air Force in January 2003 and was stationed in Georgia in 2009, serving in Texas as of 2017 motion filing. Military service tolled application of state statute of limitations (if not already expired as of January 2003) and tolled application of the bar date, so the claim cannot be excluded on timeliness grounds. The claim is permitted subject to such defenses as the Trust may assert, which are to be resolved under the Trust's normal dispute resolution procedures.
573	TRO896355FTC	4/13/2016	Bridges, Regginald	Bridges, Regginald	6648			X		X		States that began exhibiting symptoms on 8/12/09 (the bar date); says that "the community has a right to submit a claim;" no diagnosis date listed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to the extent it seeks relief from the bar date based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
574	TRO889248FTC	2/23/2016	Alexander, Bridgett	Bridgett Alexander	7799		X	X				1989 diagnoses; unaware of bar date; did not receive mail or see published notices; could not do anything about something she knew nothing about. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
575	TRO901959FTC	Unknown	Harvey, Bridney	Bridney Harvey	6055		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
576	TRO884021FTC	11/13/2015	Durrah-Coleman, Charles	Briscot, Sheila A.	6752		X	X				1999 diagnosis; at time of deadline, unaware that source of his cancer was KM/Tronox product; also was extremely ill at time of bar date with chemotherapy treatments. Mississippi statute of limitations runs from date of injury, not from knowledge of the cause of the injury, so unfortunately this claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
577	TRO905522FTC	Unknown	Valentine, Brittani	Brittani Valentine	5125			X		X		Not included on Trust's summary. Says was away at school and unaware of the filings; says not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of local attorneys Colom and Lundy; refers to private meetings with minimal details to residents; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
578	TRO890644FTC	Unknown	Cribbs, Ny'Kyra	Brittany Cribbs	7965	7971	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2005 diagnosis; says wasn't aware of claim and process. A duplicate motion filed at docket # 7971. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
579	TRO895334FTC	3/23/2016	Griffin, Brittany	Brittany Griffin	3572		X	X				Diagnosed 2004. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
580	TRO898085FTC	Unknown	Harris, Brittany	Brittany Harris	6019			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various conditions alleged, some before bar date and some after; no excuse offered as to failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
581	TRO894395FTC	3/2/2016	Sanders, Brittany	Brittany Sanders	5423			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in October 2009; unclear if these are new conditions. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
582	TRO888253FTC	12/18/2015	Weatherspoon, Brittany	Brittany Weatherspoon	7127			X		X		Symptoms 1989, diagnosis not listed; was informed by paralegal at Colom law firm that could not file a claim, unclear if that was in reference to prior class action or to bankruptcy. Unexcused conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
583	TRO896443FTC	4/13/2016	Wilkins, Brittany	Brittany Wilkins	5420		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says that "at the time, filled out forms with attorney, but he said that file lost," so put in another application. Reference to filing with attorney in 2001 must have related to pre-bankruptcy lawsuits. Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in another proceeding, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
584	TRO894726FTC	3/23/2016	Wilson, Brittany [Harris]	Brittany Wilson [Harris]	5087			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Cut-and-pasted standard excuses for not meeting bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
585	TRO898256FTC	6/20/2016	Anthony, Brittnee	Brittnee Anthony	5205	9104	X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware eligible to file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9104 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
586	TRO894394FTC	Unknown	Vance, Brittney	Brittney Vance	6362		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; previously applied with a lawyer and received \$300 benefits from 2005-2008 and now she is reapplying "for the rest." Unclear whether benefits were due to disability or were obtained in a tort proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
587	TRO904626FTC	1/24/2017	Shelton, Brittny	Brittny Shelton	5869						X	Says was a minor; says exposed from birth until moved to Florida with parents in 1991, so was 18 or older by the bar date; did not get a diagnosis but symptoms began in 1998. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
588	TRO893969FTC	3/2/2016	Brock, Jessica	Brock, Jessica	5374		X					2008 diagnosis (eczema); parent filing on behalf of child; parent says was unaware that her area qualified for filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
589	TRO886641FTC	12/7/2015	Hughes, Bronzetta	Bronzetta Hughes	4606	X	X					1995 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom firm; unaware could file claim in Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
590	TRI899299FTC	7/11/2016	Brown, Brittany	Brown, Brittany	6688	X	X					1990 and 2002 diagnoses; says filed claim with Creosote Litigation Group in 2002, paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach, made additional claim in 2005. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
591	TRO895629FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Dorothy	Brown, Dorothy	5576		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; moved away from area; when she called an atty did not get to speak with anyone and they did not return call (timing of these events and identity of attorney is not specified). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
592	TRO894850FTC	3/23/2016	Brown, Vanessa	Brown, Vanessa	6926		X	X				1992 diagnosis; says that she filed a claim in 2008 and never received any continuing information; says relative who filed claims received information and she did not. Any claim filed in 2008 had to be in connection with a different proceeding as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. No record of a claim filed in the bankruptcy case. Notice of the bar date was sent to all litigants with claims pending in 2009 or to their attorneys, as verified by the proofs of service on file with the court. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1992 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
593	TRO902319FTC	9/14/2016	Farmer, Bruce	Bruce Farmer	3886		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
594	TRO885908FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, Bruce	Bruce Taylor	6693		X	X				Diagnosis dates unclear, says 1980-2009, appears to be referring to continuing conditions; did not file because traveled as truck driver from state to state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
595	TRO899222FTC	7/11/2016	Carothers, Bryan	Bryan Carothers	6523		X	X				1983 diagnosis; did not know he could or should file; did not know was injured; did not have the knowledge or ability to know how to seek a claim a claim at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
596	<b>TRO891054FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Hudgins, Bryant</b>	<b>Bryant Hudgins</b>	6452		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2002, 2006, 2011; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants not known at the time of notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
597	TRO891605FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Bryant	Bryant Thompson	6951		X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
598	TRO898074FTC	6/20/2016	Taylor, Bryson	Bryson Taylor	6617			X				Diagnosed April 2009; parent filing for minor son. Says was unaware son exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
599	TRO885272FTC	Unknown	Burgin, Mary	Burgin, Mary	3306		X	X				Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1957. Alleges she was a child, but bar date was in 2009 (52 years later). No legally sufficient grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
600	TRO880277FTC	12/4/2015	Minor, Bruster	Buster Minor Jr.	6424			X		X		Alleges March 2010 diagnosis; says was incarcerated at the time of filing; not notified of the filing deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
601	TRO894924	3/23/2016	Brewer, Byron	Byron Brewer	6649		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates crossed off (appears originally wrote 1985), says unsure of dates diagnosed; says had misinformation and was unaware of a lot of things when filed his claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
602	TRO899232FTC	7/11/2016	Woods, Caitlin	Caitlin Woods	6679		X	X			X	Says diagnosed in 2010, but in attachment said had other diseases and then NEW disease diagnosed in 2010. Says does not recall seeing publication notice. Alleges there were "horrific storms" in MS in 2009, provides no specifics and does not show how they allegedly interfered with the filing of claims, or why movant waited so long after the bar date before filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
603	TRO893822FTC	3/2/2016	Calhoun, Mary	Calhoun, Mary	5642			X			X	Says symptoms Sept. 2009 and diagnosis Oct. 2009; says did not know that there was a claim filed against the company. Later told that her signs and symptoms related to the company and she needed to file a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
604	TRO904741FTC	1/24/2017	Vaughn, Calisha	Calisha Vaughn	6363		X	X				May 2004 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; she tried to apply after the bar date in 2011 with atty Bambach and did not receive any correspondence; does not reference 2009 bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
605	TRO900473FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Callie	Callie Jones	7684		X	X	X			1974 diagnosis; did not know about Tronox claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
606	TRO880765FTC	12/4/2015	Shields, Callie	Callie Shields	6818		X	X				First diagnoses 1960s; the injured party (former resident of Columbus, MS) died in 2013; unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; rep says violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
607	Unknown	2/23/2016	Butler, Calvin	Calvin Butler	7884			X				No current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.
608	TRO905004FTC	1/24/2017	Cunning, Calvin	Calvin Cunning	4452		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware and had no reason to know had been exposed to Tronox product, attended high school several hundred yards near company, Tronox legal team had access to public school records but did not send notice. Fact that claimant attended nearby high school did not mean Tronox knew the claimant was injured or had knowledge of his claim for notice purposes. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
609	TRO900465FTC	8/16/2016	Dean, Calvin	Calvin Dean	5799		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not know about claim until after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
610	Unknown	5/30/2017	Dismuke, Calvin	Calvin Dismuke	7868		X	X				Diagnoses 1979 and 2000; moved away in 1975; unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; does not believe notice was sufficient. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
611	TRO892327FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Calvin	Calvin Gardner	4934		X	X				1985 symptoms onset, diagnosis date not listed; says was unaware of suit; "thought that since I didn't file in the beginning I wasn't eligible to file. Once I found out I could file, I did." Appears to be under misimpression that a new filing period occurred some time after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
612	TRO891452FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Calvin	Calvin Harris	4256		X	X		X		Alleges diagnosis in 1999 and "again" in 2010. Does not provide excuse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
613	TRO891486FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Calvin	Calvin Williams	3997		X	X				1992 diagnosis. Alleges did file claim on time but form says claim was filed "around" March 12, 2010, which was after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No explanation for failure to file by the bar date is offered. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
614	TRO898884FTC	6/27/2016	McNeal, Camala	Camala McNeal	7071			X		X		September 2009 diagnosis but no medical records; when asked about prior claims, says "1997, 2009," but no details as to 1997 claim; says previously filed with Landis, Sexton in 2009; says "the publication was not fairly calculated by law." Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
615	TRO895077FTC	3/23/2016	Clay, Camelia	Camelia Clay	3484			X			X	Says diagnosis was in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
616	TRO884817FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Cameron	Cameron Coleman	3354			X				Diagnosed 1997. Claims was minor child in 1997 but no allegations as to status in 2009 or as to reasons why claim not filed before bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
617	TRO894937FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Cameron	Cameron Jones	4332			X			X	Says symptom and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
618	TRO901996FTC	9/14/2016	Prowell, Essie	Campranella Peowell	3870	7266	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1975 and died in 1981. Rep says unaware could file a claim. A duplicate of this claim is filed at docket # 7266. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim appears to have accrued in MS and, if so, it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
619	TRO906061FTC	4/24/2017	Prowell, Donna	Campranella Peowell	3872		X	X				1962 diagnosis; death at unspecified date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim appears to have accrued in MS and, if so, it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
620	TRO886838FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, Candice	Candice Hill	3667	8235 8534 8525	X	X				Diagnosed 2005, not aware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8235, complaining that claims of residents were rejected, while allowing claims of nonresidents. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8534. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8525. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
621	TRO899255FTC	7/11/2016	Boggan, Raina	Candice Straughter	5444							Representative filing for a minor who has been committed to a behavior center; rep says injured party was diagnosed with asthma and upper respiratory infection in June 2009. Court is satisfied with explanations, motion for permission to file late claim is granted, Trust to resolve merits of the claim pursuant to its normal dispute resolution procedures.
622	TRO899253FTC	7/11/2016	Straughter, Candice	Candice Straughter	5445		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
623	TRO885710FTC	11/25/2015	Lucious, Cantrell	Cantrell Lucious	4809		X	X				1999 diagnosis; incarcerated for a period but does not say dates; away living in another state; filed same letter as others referring to alleged guidance from Colom and Lundy firm; complains of private meetings of certain people who kept information to themselves. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
624	TRO898716FTC	6/27/2016	Howard, Caremella	Caremella Howard	3824		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnoses listed as 1/1/1980 and 12/31/2009, motion form says was diagnosed before bar date and should be excused for not filing because was unaware of lawsuit until sister told her. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claim based on pre-bar date diagnosis. Claim based on 1980 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
625	TRO886949FTC	12/7/2015	Fortini, Carina	Carina Fortini	3325	8090		X			X	Diagnosed 2007-2009 (possibly two different conditions, but unclear). Seems to be saying that she had sent doctors' forms in. A supplement filed at docket #8090. Possible post-bar date diagnosis (dates not specified). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
626	Unknown	3/24/2016	Hendrix, Carissa	Carissa Hendrix	7863			X			X	Diagnosis 2011; says condition manifested after bar date in 2011; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
627	Unknown	3/24/2016	Hendrix, Tyler	Carissa Hendrix	7872						X	2007 diagnosis; minor (age 7) at time of bar date; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
628	Unknown	7/25/2016	Gardner, Carl	Carl Gardner	7861			X				No current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right to file a future claim regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim is identified for which relief is appropriate.
629	Unknown	#N/A	Unknown	Carl Lee	7695			X				A letter complains that payments were made to claimants who were not ill; complains about procedures followed by trust and the Colom law firm. Mr. Lee has not identified a claim for which he seeks relief and apparently has not made a motion on his own behalf. Contends Trust has been unfair, dishonest and discriminatory in treatment of claims but no specifics are provided and the Court is not aware of any support for such accusations. Asserts that injured parties should be paid and should not be blamed for missing deadlines but the Court is required to enforce deadlines and can only grant exceptions under the standards set forth in the accompanying decision.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
630	TRO898618FTC	6/27/2016	Perry, Carl	Carl Perry	4458			X			X	Says symptoms Feb 2009; diagnosis Jan 2010. Says had no reason to know of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
631	TRO900267FTC	7/25/2016	Richey, Carl	Carl Richey	7064			X				2008 diagnosis; says did not know had been exposed to Tronox product; place of exposure not clear; moved to Indiana and did not receive any notification to file any claims; did not know was exposed; did not hear or see any public notices. No explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
632	TRO899353FTC	8/16/2016	Summerville, Carl	Carl Summerville	4209		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not receive notice; publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
633	TRO891232FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Carl	Carl Turner	7699		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Texas in 2003; did not file claim prior to bar date because was informed lived one block beyond the lawsuit coverage area by the lawsuit handled by the Colom firm, believed her claim would be summarily dismissed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. The class action lawsuit that covered only people who lived in a certain area predated the Tronox bankruptcy by many years. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
634	TRO891231FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Linda	Carl Turner	7700		X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1982; advised lived one block beyond original coverage area by atty Colom; not give time to obtain records to show executor of estate, denied due process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Colom litigation predated the Tronox bankruptcy and was separate from it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
635	TRO900322FTC	7/25/2016	Waskiewicz, Carl	Carl Waskiewicz	4049			X		X		Pre-bar date diagnoses of hypertension, heart attack in 2015. Says insufficient notice but no allegation that Tronox knew of this claimant or of this claimant's injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
636	TRO900495FTC	8/16/2016	Waskiewicz, Mary	Carl Waskiewicz	4050		X	X				Diagnoses 1972, 1985, 1987. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
637	TRO897673FTC	5/25/2016	Dismuke, Jacob	Carla Harris	6186			X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had not reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
638	TRO902860FTC	10/13/2016	Topps, Ayuna	Carla Heath	4342	8389	X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process, without knowledge to wade through process (it appears that rep rejected offer from trust). A supplement filed at docket #8389, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
639	TRO895198FTC	3/23/2016	Heath, Carla	Carla Heath	4354	8388	X	X				1985 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. A supplement at docket # 8388, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
640	TRO894585FTC	3/23/2016	Robinson, Carla Sudduth	Carla Sudduth Robinson	7667		X	X				Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. 1977 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says made a claim in February 2009, references receiving a letter from the Department of Justice. Filing a complaint with the DOJ did not constitute the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was based on exposure in MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
641	TRO890244FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Carlean	Carlean Roby	3564		X	X				Symptoms in 1989-1991 - alleges did file a proof of claim previously, but appears to be referring to the late claim that was filed, apparently is under mistaken belief that the late claim was timely. No reasons offered as to why failed to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
642	TRO880062FTC	12/4/2015	Cooper, Carlee	Carlee Cooper	4862		X	X				1941 diagnosis; says did file timely but must be referring to late-filed claim, no record of a claim at the time of the bar date. Says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy," appears to be referring to a class action claim rather than a Tronox bankruptcy claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
643	TRO884679FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Sallie	Carline Porter	4302		X	X				1983 diagnosis; injured party now deceased. Previously filed with Colom law firm, part of 2002 class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
644	TRO884548FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Carline	Carline Porter	4497		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 1998; part of 2002 class action; standard language; unaware of bankruptcy case. Alleges a 2006 diagnosis date but does not allege any new condition. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if a new condition arose in 2006, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
645	TRO889827FTC	2/23/2016	Bankhead, Carlius	Carlius Bankhead	7743						X	1997 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
646	TRO889725FTC	Unknown	Moore, Roy Jr.	Carlius Moore	4734			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter rejecting an offer from the Trust and also asking for relief from the court. No grounds specified for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any disagreement with the Trust regarding a settlement offer is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust through its normal dispute resolution procedures.
647	TRO895765FTC	Unknown	Moore, Carlius	Carlius Moore	4738			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter rejecting an offer from the Trust and also asking for relief from the court. No grounds specified for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any disagreement with the Trust regarding a settlement offer is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust through its normal dispute resolution procedures.
648	TRO895938FTC	4/6/2016	Singleton, Carlivs	Carlivs Singleton	6422		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says that his wife is out of town and he is trying to do the best that he can. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
649	TRO892212FTC	2/23/2016	Clemmons, Carlos	Carlos Clemmons	4371		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says was unaware could file claim in Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
650	TRO891807FTC	2/23/2016	Clemmons, Jr., Frierson	Carlos Clemmons	4543		X	X				1952 diagnosis. Rep unaware could file claim for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
651	TRO890954FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Hattie	Carlos Clemmons	4752		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm; injured party deceased, rep unaware could file claim for deceased person. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if claim had not already been resolved or had not already expired, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
652	TRO890814FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Carlos	Carlos Fields	4849		X	X		X		Refers to symptoms of respiratory conditions as in 1995-2001, then says diagnosis 2012-2015, medical records attached for those dates are not for respiratory conditions. Does not offer excuse for failure to file claim by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
653	TRO896040FTC	4/6/2016	O'Neal, Carlos	Carlos O'Neal	5997		X	X				Says went to doctor but diagnosis date(s) not listed; says mail went to neighbor's house, it was not given to him until afterwards. No explanation for many years' delay after the bar date passed before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
654	Unknown	12/7/2015	Pound, Carmel	Carmel Pound	4646			X		X		Letter saying that family member claims were accepted and claimant lived at same address; does not provide excuse for not timely filing; no information concerning dates of symptoms or diagnosis. No showing of circumstances that call for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
655	TRO886953FTC	12/7/2015	Pound, Carmen	Carmen Pound	3365			X				Diagnosed 1971, states that she moved her family twice in 2009, and had no permanent residence until September 2009. Does not challenge publication notice, does not make out legally sufficient case of due process violation. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
656	Unknown	Unknown	Smith Breakfield, Carmen	Carmen Smith Breakfield	8374		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Some heart and allergy diagnoses in 2005, told needed transplant in 2014. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claims based on 2005 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
657	TRO886196FTC	12/7/2015	Bush, Carnell	Carnell Bush	3644		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file because unaware of possibility of receiving payment. Also travels from state to state for work. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
658	TRO901328FTC	8/16/2016	Dismuke, Carol	Carol Dismuke	7190		X	X				1997 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says the Tronox tort claim was not being advertised in any area of the country where she resided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
659	TRO895427FTC	3/24/2016	Shirtz, Evangeline-Zupon	Carol Haas	4089			X				1993 and 1994 diagnoses. Injured party deceased. Rep unaware of claim for Duryea Pa. resident. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
660	TRO895426FTC	3/24/2016	Shirtz, Gordon	Carol Haas	4090			X				1958 symptoms, no diagnosis date listed. Injured party deceased. Rep unaware of claim for Duryea Pa. resident. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
661	TRO901661FTC	8/16/2016	Tuggle, Johnny	Carol Hairston	7738			X				The injured party died in 2007; movant says a claim was filed and resolved but that the resolved claim predated the development of more serious conditions and death. Other claim had to be pre-bankruptcy as bankruptcy case was not filed until January 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not already resolved in a prior proceeding, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
662	TRO902755FTC	8/16/2016	Hairston, Carol	Carol Hairston	7916		X	X				Former resident of Lowndes Co., MS; diagnoses 2003 and earlier; moved, was unaware of the situation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
663	TRO887975FTC	Unknown	Harashinski, Carol	Carol Harashinski	4408	4749		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Duplicate at docket # 4749. 1999 diagnosis; says did not know about bar date, offers no other explanation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
664	TRO887974FTC	12/18/2015	Harashinski, Stanley	Carol Harashinski	8447			X				2003 diagnoses; rep says did not know about bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
665	TRO884672FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Carol	Carol Harris	6803		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and 2002; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
666	TRO901072FTC	8/16/2016	Nash, Carol	Carol Nash	6860		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates not listed but conditions identified include one pre-bar date and four different conditions post-bar date; did not know and had no knowledge that she had been exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
667	TRO897504FTC	5/25/2016	Quinn, Carol	Carol Quinn	8491		X	X				1993 diagnosis; says she did file a proof of claim before the deadline, but the official claims register shows no such claim, claimant may be referring either to the late-filed claim or to a claim filed in an earlier class action lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
668	TRO880746FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Carol	Carol Williams	4692		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Was unaware of bar date or case; did not see any publication notice; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; resides in TN. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but claim had already expired and in any event there is no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009.
669	TRO901358FTC	8/16/2016	Koger, Ethel	Carol Williams	5866			X				The injured party died in 2007; diagnosis some time before that, rep does not know when; rep says mother was in the hospital before death but rep does not give an excuse for not filing by bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
670	TRO885800FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Carol	Carol Williams	6591			X		X		Diagnoses listed as 2016. Says claim was filed but did not receive a written document; however, there is no record of a timely claim filed in 2009, movant may be referring to the late-filed claim under the mistaken belief that it was timely. Says she did not know what to do and thought "the case" was closed until she heard about the bankruptcy case in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
671	TRO900677FTC	8/16/2016	Wood, Brian	Carol Wood	6016			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; rep says did not file timely because at that time did not think injured party was eligible for compensation, now thinks otherwise. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
672	TRO890617FTC	Unknown	Barnes, Caroline	Caroline Barnes	7409			X				Not included in Trust's summary. No diagnosis date listed, symptoms in 2002; she did not file a claim in the Tronox case by the bar date because she did not know about it; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive notice that she was required to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
673	TRO893166FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Lulla	Caroline Harris	5441		X	X				Diagnoses 1995-2003; the injured party died in 2003; unaware claims were being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
674	TRO894927FTC	3/23/2016	Roland, Adeline	Caroline Harris	5585		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1979; rep had no knowledge that claims were being filed years ago before they knew about creosote. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
675	TRO893422FTC	2/23/2016	Hood, Caroline	Caroline Hood	4333		X	X				Previously filed 1999 with atty Bambach (deceased); paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
676	TRO896577FTC	4/25/2016	Jonts, Caroline Salter	Caroline Jones	3713			X				Diagnosed 2008, did submit claim in 2015, Kerr Mckee has allegedly misplaced her claim. Appears to be under the impression that the 2015 claim was timely. No reason given for failure to file by original bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
677	TRO895597FTC	3/24/2016	Kishel, Andrew	Caroline Kishel	4280			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2012. Rep says was unaware could file for deceased claimant. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
678	TRO887800FTC	12/14/2015	Laing, Caroline	Caroline Laing	4685	8123	X	X				Symptoms 1996-1998; says "yes" for diagnosis but without alleging a date. Says moved away from Mississippi, joined army in 1989 and moved a number of times, received no direct notice and was unaware of bankruptcy proceedings. A supplement at docket # 8123, says retired from army in 2001. Military service ended in 2001, so 50 U.S.C. 3936 is not applicable. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
679	TRO888462FTC	12/18/2015	Salter, Susie	Caroline Ross	3742		X	X				Injured person was diagnosed in 1970, is now deceased. Representative says she "filed way before the deadline, something is wrong on your end." No record of a bankruptcy claim in 2009, may be under mistaken belief that 2015 claim was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
680	<b>TRO896430FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Blanchard, Carlos</b>	<b>Carolos Blanchard</b>	7669			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, some appear to be repeats of prior conditions, alleges IBS diagnosis in 2015; unaware of deadline, resides in Ga. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
681	TRO891860FTC	2/23/2016	Aaron, Carolyn	Carolyn Aaron	4735			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
682	TRO888197FTC	12/18/2015	Baloga, Carolyn	Carolyn Baloga	3898						X	Was 9 years old at claims deadline, and had no reason to know exposed. Father says he had no idea the condition (epilepsy) might be connected to creosote exposure. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file in 2009, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
683	TRO895510FTC	3/24/2016	Rich, Alisha	Carolyn Butler	4063			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of lawsuit until 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
684	TRO895511FTC	3/24/2016	Rice, Jessie	Carolyn Butler	4064		X	X				Diagnoses between 1980-2007; injured party died in 2007. Rep unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed prior to 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
685	TRO895514FTC	3/24/2016	Butler, Carolyn	Carolyn Butler	4068		X	X		X		Not specific as to dates of diagnosis, alleges 1993-2016 period. Says was unaware of lawsuit until 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
686	TRO886960FTC	12/7/2015	Dulney, Carolyn	Carolyn Dulney	4084			X				1990 diagnosis. Was originally told did not qualify (unclear when or told by whom); filed when learned that could file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
687	TRO902802FTC	8/16/2016	Fields, Carolyn	Carolyn Fields	4673		X	X				2004 diagnosis. No excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. In addition, claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
688	TRO893550FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Carolyn	Carolyn Gardner	6674			X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; says did not know date to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
689	TRO901598FTC	8/16/2016	King, Carolyn	Carolyn King	4628		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Caring for sick relative at time of receiving "these documents," unclear if she means the documents that she filed in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
690	TRO887165FTC	12/14/2015	Miller, Carolyn	Carolyn Miller	6868			X			X	2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
691	TRO894014FTC	Unknown	Perkins, Carolyn	Carolyn Perkins	7966		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all 1994 and earlier; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
692	TRO903536FTC	11/23/2016	Pointer, Carolyn	Carolyn Pointer	5867		X	X			X	Two diagnoses in 2014, one in 2006, rest 2004 and earlier. Unaware of the claims process and did not know could file a claim; says was a violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
693	TRO892897FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Willie	Carolyn Pointer	5887		X	X				The injured party died in 2000; unaware of process and did not know could file claim; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
694	TRO886463FTC	12/7/2015	Bradford, Carrie	Carrie Bradford	3291		X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s and 1980s; says claimant is just a layperson who never heard of a publication summons or the need to look for one or where and could not afford the expense of an attorney. Says local newspaper may have published summons of which claimant knew nothing, says was unaware of after-effects of exposure at the time. Alleged failure to read published notice is not grounds for due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
695	TRO885659FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Cartina	Cartina Johnson	4867		X	X				Early 1980s diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case. Says no notice in newspaper but proof of publication service shows that notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
696	TRO903624FTC	Unknown	Lee, Carzell	Carzell Lee	6425		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses dates 2001, 2010, 2017; says publication of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide time to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
697	TRO884396FTC	11/25/2015	Bush, Casey	Casey Bush	4366		X	X				1980s diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2004; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy claims. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
698	TRO890949FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Casey	Casey Lee	5189		X	X				1967 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Colom 2002; unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
699	TRO891819FTC	2/23/2016	Blevins, Cassandra	Cassandra Blevins	7742		X	X				2004 diagnosis; says did not know that could be included in original claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
700	TRO886821FTC	12/7/2015	Bolton, Cassandra	Cassandra Bolton	4067		X	X				Late 1998/early 1999 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
701	TRO893158FTC	2/23/2016	Golden, Cassandra	Cassandra Golden	5289		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; lived near Columbus MS plant; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to Ohio; did not know anything about Tronox, Kerr-McGee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
702	TRO890669FTC	2/23/2016	Harvill, Cassandra	Cassandra Harvill	3673			X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
703	TRO894953FTC	3/23/2016	Malone, Cassandra	Cassandra Malone	6270			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
704	N/a	11/28/2017	Murry, Cassandra	Cassandra Murry	7203			X				Pre-bar date condition and diagnoses; says the publication announcement was not made known in his area, but the notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009; says he did not know he could file a claim and has lived in the plant area on and off since 1986. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
705	TRO891064FTC	2/23/2016	Trimuel, Cassandra	Cassandra Trimuel	5088			X		X		Says symptoms 2009 and diagnosis 2012; says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2003 and received \$3,000; was not aware of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same also were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claim resolved in a prior class action also could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. To the extent the claim alleges a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date (cysts in 2012) the merits of that claim (including any issues as to whether the prior class action settlement foreclosed claims based on future conditions) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
706	TRO896159FTC	4/6/2016	Latham, Willie	Cassandra Wright	6575		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
707	TRO880901FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Robert	Cassandra Wright	6576		X	X			X	The injured party died in 2014; alleges a 2014 diagnosis but also says the party previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; rep says unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to effects of prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
708	TRO896094FTC	4/6/2016	Burgin, Cassaundra	Cassaundra Burgin	6144		X	X				1990s diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001; says it is not right that people who never lived in the area are receiving money. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
709	TRO901963FTC	9/14/2016	Lang-Harris, Cassie	Cassie Lang-Harris	5464	8345	X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as "2000" and "2002-2017"; previously filed with Colom law firm but rejected offer, also filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8345, without knowledge to wade through bankruptcy process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if alleges conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date or just a continuation of prior conditions. Conditions diagnosed before the bar date are not "future" claims just because they continued after the bar date. To the extent the claimant alleges a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, the merits of that claim are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
710	TRO889326FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Castella	Castella Brown	7382		X	X			X	Alleges some pre-bar date diagnoses and some post-bar date diagnoses. Was not aware she could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
711	TRO892672FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Castella	Castella Young	5567		X	X			X	2016 diagnosis; says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; in prior filing forgot to add most recent conditions; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears those are the most recent conditions, prior filings may have referred to others. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
712	TRO887968FTC	12/18/2015	Edwards, Catherine	Catherine Edwards	5659		X	X			X	2005 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware of the claim and filing dates. Date of 2009 condition and diagnosis is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
713	TRO904086FTC	1/3/2017	Gordan, Catherine	Catherine Gordan	6375			X			X	Alleges October 2009 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
714	TRO901403FTC	8/16/2016	Haughton, Catherine	Catherine Haughton	4697	8206		X			X	Unaware of claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; serious conditions were in 2011 and diagnosed in 2012; supplement at docket # 8206. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
715	TRO884854FTC	11/25/2015	Lowery, Catherine	Catherine Lowery	4940		X	X				1995 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
716	TRO891818FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Catherine	Catherine Moore	7462		X	X				Diagnosis date just listed as "yes;" previously filed with atty Bambach in 2000 or 2003; unaware that she could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
717	TRO893928FTC	3/2/2016	Lee, Catherine Moore	Catherine Moore Lee	6066			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
718	TRO898206FTC	6/20/2016	Pointer, Katie	Catherine Pointer	4117			X				1961 and 1995 diagnoses, died in 1996. Rep lived out of state (Ohio) and was not aware of bar date. Unclear where deceased party resided or was exposed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
719	TRO893904FTC	3/2/2016	Richardson, Catherine	Catherine Richardson	7359		X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all before bar date; says was unaware that there was another filing for the Kerr-McGee case. She says she was also having personal and medical issues around 2009, constantly seeing multiple doctors but there aren't any medical records provided for time near bar date; says by the time she knew about the new claims filing it was too late. Does not explain lengthy post-bar date delay in filing claim and in apparently taking no other action to pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
720	TRO891601FTC	2/23/2016	Pratt, Bennie	Catherine Summerville-Whitson	7924		X	X				Various conditions beginning in 1970, diagnosis dates for each are not listed; the injured party died in 2008; rep says uncertain but believes the injured party may have filed a claim with Colom law firm in a prior action; rep unaware could file claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
721	TRO903629FTC	11/23/2016	Lancaster, Cathy	Cathy Lancaster	5909		X	X			X	Previously filed with Colom law firm 1999; offered a settlement of \$562,000 but says it was for cancer and loss of child, says is still owed over \$100,000. She says that attorneys took \$17 million and 60% of every claim. She says "[w]e only agreed to 33% but toward the end they sent us a letter stating that we would not get the balance of any money that we were owed, and no reason why"; as for current action, she says was not contacted about bar date; the lawyers got all the money and the claimants were cheated. Prior class action is not under this Court's jurisdiction. Participation in that action shows awareness of claims and legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
722	TRO901090FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Cathy	Cathy Smith	5826		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy.
723	TRO915035FTC	Unknown	Thomas, Susie	Cathy Thomas	6392		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses "1961-2000;" the injured party died in 2000; rep says publication of notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
724	TRO901613FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Catina	Catina Brown	5713		X	X				Exposure began 1974, diagnosis in "middle school"; did not know about the legal proceedings or the class action lawsuit against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
725	TRO897211FTC	5/4/2016	Butler, Catina	Catina Butler	6022		X	X				2003 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
726	TRO884054FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Catina	Catina Petty	3286			X				Signature page with no further information or supporting details.
727	TRO880750FTC	12/4/2015	Hairston, Catrice	Catrice Hairston	4466		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001; no excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
728	TRO891434FTC	2/23/2016	Cattlodge, Thomas	Cattlodge, Thomas	5377		X	X			X	Diagnoses before and after bar date; unaware of claims process or that could file claim; alleges violation of due process. Complains that notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
729	TRO897742FTC	5/25/2016	Perry, CB	CB Perry	4257			X			X	Did not know or have reason to know was exposed to Tronox product; symptoms 2010; diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
730	TRO904327FTC	1/3/2017	Woodard, Cecil	Cecil Woodard	6790		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware exposed prior to deadline and contends that violation of due process occurred, but does not elaborate. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
731	TRO901184FTC	8/16/2016	Woods, Cecilia	Cecilia Woods	4620		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
732	TRO894139FTC	3/2/2016	Lewis, Cecora	Cecora Lewis	6721			X				1991 diagnosis; wife of Jonathan Lewis who filed the motion at docket # 6719; former Columbus, MS resident who moved away in 2008; says husband was active US soldier from 2005-2010. From 2008-2010, was assigned to different stations in USA. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the husband's military service and many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
733	TRO884436FTC	11/25/2015	Ellis, Cedric	Cedric Ellis	4831		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously represented by atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
734	TRO888712FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Cedric	Cedric Harrison	6131		X	X				Diagnoses 1988, 1990; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001; not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved, and was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy.
735	TRO902435FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Cedric	Cedric Johnson	4351		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Resident of Columbus, MS until 2001. Unaware condition related to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
736	<b>TRO895255FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Miller, Cedric</b>	<b>Cedric Miller</b>	8100		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
737	TRO884497FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Cedric	Cedric Porter	4592		X	X				1990 symptoms; says was diagnosed but gives no date. Says did not understand, was confusion that surrounded filing. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
738	TRO884495FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Cedric	Cedric Williams	7308		X	X				1973 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
739	TRO895842FTC	3/24/2016	Shumpert, Cedrick	Cedrick Shumpert	3810		X	X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says talked to an attorney (unnamed and at unspecified time) who said "it wasn't the deadline." Context is not clear. If he spoke to an attorney before the bar date and received bad advice from the attorney that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. If he spoke to an attorney after the bar date, that does not explain why he missed the original bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
740	TRO896162FTC	4/6/2016	Henderson, Cedric	Cedrik Henderson	4574		X	X		X		First diagnosis date listed as "2000-2011." Previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
741	TRO891950FTC	2/23/2016	Biesaideski, Celia	Celia Biesaideski	5031			X				1997 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
742	TRO885411FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Johnnie	Celia Moore	4744			X		X	X	2009 diagnosis; says filed with Tollison firm in 2011; alleges was incapacitated in 2009, rep says injured party was seeking medical attention in 2009 due to illness, surgery and died Jan. 2010. Will permit supplemental submission to provide further support for injured party's alleged incapacity in 2009 and to explain reasons why guardians did not file, why rep waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
743	TRO885412FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Celia	Celia Moore	4771			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis end of 2008/ 2009; previously filed with Tollison law firm in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
744	TRO901545FTC	8/16/2016	Butler, Kimberly	Ceola Boyd	5075		X	X				2002 diagnosis; rep says unaware concerning filing in bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
745	TRO902349FTC	9/14/2016	Randle, Chanequa	Chanequa Randle	4561			X			X	Unaware of bar date, says symptoms and diagnosis in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
746	TRO885756FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Chantanna	Chantanna Brooks	5035		X	X				2003 diagnosis; resident of Columbus, MS at time of exposure and diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2003; says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
747	TRO899355FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Chantell	Chantell Howard	4405		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Says there was a death in immediate family, does not specify date and does not explain why claim not filed until many years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
748	TRO893944FTC	3/2/2016	Walter, Charity	Charity Walter	6656		X	X			X	Some diagnoses in 2002 and 2007, cancer diagnosed in 2015; says filed a claim with Colom firm but no results (unclear when or in connection with what proceeding), then elsewhere in the form crossed off references to Colom firm and inserted name of Barbara Dollarhide. No record of a timely Tronox bankruptcy claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
749	TRO886439FTC	12/7/2015	Mosley, Willie	Charlean Mosley	4158	9022	X	X				1995 and 2000 diagnoses. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9022 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
750	TRO901819FTC	9/14/2016	Buckner, Charlene	Charlene Buckner	5975		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
751	TRO902164FTC	9/14/2016	Cook, Willie	Charlene Cook	6854		X	X				1953 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim is a violation of due process and ineffective but does not say why. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
752	TRO886467FTC	12/7/2015	Hood, Charlene	Charlene Hood	3451			X			X	Some symptoms prior but claims all diagnoses were in 2009 or after; did not file due to illness such as symptoms and being placed in and out of hospital, complications with pregnancy. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
753	TRO904948FTC	1/24/2017	Rogers, Charlene	Charlene Rogers	5503			X				Diagnoses 1985 and earlier; does not read Wall Street Journal or any other publication; ware when neighbors started receiving settlement checks for similar conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
754	TRO890279FTC	2/23/2016	Chapman, Charles	Charles Chapman	7024			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
755	TRO891353FTC	2/23/2016	Clemmons, LaToya	Charles Clemmons	4378		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware could file claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
756	TRO893727FTC	3/2/2016	Fisher, Charles	Charles Fisher	6570		X	X				1991 and 2006 diagnoses; previously filed with Bennie Turner and case transferred to Colom, received small recovery; no excuse provided for failure to file claim by the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
757	TRO880964FTC	12/4/2015	Gardner, Charles	Charles Gardner	6673			X		X		Says did not know dates to file. Diagnosed "on or before 2009-2017." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
758	TRO894275FTC	3/2/2016	Gregory, Ellittie	Charles Gregory	5507	5508?		X				Diagnoses in January 2006 and earlier dates; the injured party died in 2006; place of exposure/injury not clear; rep says had no information regarding a claim to file on injured party's behalf. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
759	TRO896042FTC	4/6/2016	Gregory, Ellittie	Charles Gregory	5508	5507?		X				This is a duplicate of docket #5507 with a different TRO number for the underlying claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
760	TRO894080FTC	3/2/2016	Gregory, Walter	Charles Gregory	5509			X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; place of exposure not clear; rep says he had no information regarding a claim to file on his behalf. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
761	TRO896025FTC	4/6/2016	Gregory, Walter	Charles Gregory	5510			X				This is a duplicate of the motion at docket #5509 with a different TRO number for the underlying claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
762	TRO880919FTC	12/4/2015	Guin, Charles	Charles Guin	3567		X	X		X		Diagnoses listed as 2000-2017, alleges paperwork lost by attorney. Attorney conduct is not a proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless conduct of counsel can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
763	<b>TRO893258FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gunter, Charles</b>	<b>Charles Gunter</b>	7536		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1988 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
764	TRO893854FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Charles	Charles Harris	6527	8982	X	X				Diagnosed June 1990; did not know he was able to file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8982 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
765	TRO900284FTC	7/25/2016	Hill, Charles	Charles Hill	5025			X		X		Unaware could file a claim; says symptoms 2010 and surgery and diagnosis in 2010, does not insert medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
766	TRO887872FTC	12/14/2015	Lowery, Charles	Charles Lowery	3954			X		X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
767	TRO891712FTC	2/23/2016	Sciandra, Charles	Charles Sciandra	4355			X				The motion at docket # 4355 is for Charles A. Sciandra (TRO891712FTC). The motion for Charles J. Sciandra (TRO893405FTC) is at docket # 4847. Charles A. Sciandra is a resident of Duryea, PA who says he lived elsewhere from 2003 through 2009. He alleges diagnoses in 2005 and prior years. His excuse is that he was not in area, rather was in Iowa and California. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
768	TRO893405FTC	2/23/2016	Sciandra, Charles	Charles Sciandra	4847			X				The claim to which the motion at docket # 4847 relates is for Charles J. Sciandra (TRO893405FTC) and his excuse is that he was working out of town and home on weekends. A separate motion by Charles A. Sciandra (TRO891712FTC) is at docket #4355. As to Charles J. Sciandra: the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
769	TRO884373FTC	11/25/2015	Shirley, Charles	Charles Shirley	5382	8978	X	X				1982 diagnosis; does not reside in the affected area and unaware he could file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8978 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
770	TRO894209FTC	3/2/2016	Smith, Charles	Charles Smith	4324			X				Diagnoses in 2009. Says unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
771	TRO894445FTC	3/2/2016	Sturdivant, Charles	Charles Sturdivant	6137	8658						Ongoing conditions but appears first diagnoses were in 2004 and 2006; unaware exposed to Tronox products; says is veteran who suffered from PTSD, has long and short term memory loss, forgets things easily, is getting treatment for mental health; needs assistance with his daily living; was unaware of deadline. The VA issued evaluation of the PTSD, determined as 70% disabling effective July 2004. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8658. Will accept explanation for late filing on grounds of incapacity and permit the late-filed claim, the merits of which will be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
772	TRO894178FTC	3/2/2016	Tillery, Charles	Charles Tillery	6875	8106	X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear; says lack of knowledge about the bankruptcy claim. A supplement filed at docket #8106 with medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
773	TRO900355FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Charles	Charles Trimble	5861		X	X			X	Alleges a 2014 diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Navarro, Kerr-McGee Plant Action; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
774	TRO893080FTC	2/23/2016	Walton, Charles	Charles Walton	7644			X			X	Diagnoses 2012 and 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
775	TRO902308FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Charles	Charles Williams	6848			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis; did not know about the claim at the time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
776	TRO902442FTC	9/14/2016	Wright, Charles	Charles Wright	6853			X				2004 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know about the claim at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
777	TRO896695FTC	4/25/2016	Zabielski, Charles	Charles Zabielski	6937			X			X	Various conditions, diagnosis dates for most serious conditions in 2005, unclear if any new diagnoses after bar date; visited Avoca, PA area and was exposed there; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says was not a permanent resident of the area; was a part-time resident and frequent visitor to area and was unaware of the claims filing deadline; lived in New Jersey. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice of the bar date also was published in The Jersey Journal in Secaucus, NJ (23 miles from Ho-Ho-Kus) and in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
778	TRO900294FTC	7/25/2016	Bradshaw, Charlie	Charlie Bradshaw	4442			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
779	<b>TRO895720FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Brown, Charlie</b>	<b>Charlie Brown</b>	7984			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. December 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures
780	TRO884457FTC	11/25/2015	Buckhalter, Charlie	Charlie Buckhalter	3439	9362	X	X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says not aware that his illness was caused by the Tronox chemicals; does not read WSJ and does not have computer or access to internet. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9362 complaining about the process. Notice was also published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of knowledge but insufficient information as to diligence in investigating and pursuing claims, not qualify for excusable neglect relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
781	TRO901984FTC	Unknown	Clay, Della	Charlie Clay	6396			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; the injured party is deceased; rep does not provide date but says injured party was incapacitated at the time the claim form was due; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
782	TRO901616FTC	Unknown	Clay, Charlie	Charlie Clay	6400		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2004 diagnosis; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
783	TRO889721FTC	2/23/2016	Evans, Charlie	Charlie Evans	7707		X	X				Various pre-bar date symptoms listed, does not clearly state the separate diagnosis date for each; says had no knowledge of the lawsuit by news or TV prior to 2016. Says saw something in paper about "toxics." Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
784	TRO893924FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Charlie	Charlie Fenster	3638		X	X				Wrong claim number is listed in Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1979, alleges was "incompetent" at time of filing. Incompetence not verified or explained. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
785	TRO893925FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Charlie Jr.	Charlie Fenster	3765		X	X				Says was a child at time of deadline, but also says diagnosed in 1979, so had to be at least 30 years old. No other circumstances explained, including knowledge or actions by parents or guardian. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
786	TRO888902FTC	12/30/2015	Taylor, Mary	Charlie Fenster, III	6164		X	X				1982 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not file a claim because was told that only the people who lived in area could file (probably referring to a class action suit that was limited to residents in a certain area). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
787	TRO888039FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Charlie	Charlie Harris	4196		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
788	TRO901782FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Charlie	Charlie Johnson	6781		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know about it at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
789	TRO897927FTC	6/10/2016	Jordan, Charlie	Charlie Jordan	3677		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, claims incompetence - could not see, writing makes head hurt, work 2 jobs, all his kids are in college, he was overwhelmed. Insufficient showing of incompetence particularly given that claimant was able to work. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date or of opportunity to participate. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
790	TRO887641FTC	12/14/2015	Lavender, Charlie	Charlie Lavender	6475		X	X				Various diagnoses in the years 2000-2007; did not know could file a claim; depended on friends and family for information about the lawsuit and most of the information he received was wrong and incomplete; a certain degree of illiteracy; says discharge of his claim is a violation of due process; says that after his diagnosis, he was an emotionally wrecked individual; he became depressed and withdrawn; unaware how to file a claim, does not understand legal issues. Not a sufficient showing of incapacity in the sense of inability to understand claims or inability to file claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
791	TRO888379FTC	12/18/2015	Owens, Charlie	Charlie Owens	5244		X	X				1990 diagnosis; incarcerated and did not know about claims process in 2009, when released nobody informed him; had to stay with people and did not have an address at that time. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
792	TRO898852FTC	6/27/2016	Porter, Charlie	Charlie Porter	7453		X	X				1979 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any publication notice; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
793	TRO887795FTC	Unknown	Wells, Charlie R.	Charlie R. Wells	7901		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; moved before 2009, unaware of claim filing deadline; did not know. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
794	TRO901270FTC	8/16/2016	Shelton, Charlie	Charlie Shelton	3793			X				Diagnosis in 2006; says unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
795	TRO887469FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Charlie	Charlie Wilkins	3468	9063	X	X				March 1976 diagnosis. Unaware of the Tronox claims process, then spoke to an attorney who said he would get back in touch with him. Says found out later that people were filing papers and so he filed. A supplemental letter filed with others at dk #9063 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
796	TRO891250FTC	2/23/2016	Sparks-Leech, Charlotte	Charlotte Sparks-Leech	5911			X				Unaware of the ongoing Tronox case; says symptoms began in 2008, diagnosis date not listed; did not receive a phone call, letter, nor information from TV, radio or advertisement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
797	TRO888801FTC	12/30/2015	Harris, Daylan	Charming Harris	4264			X				2008 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Mother (filing for son) says unaware of lawsuit; lived in Tenn. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
798	TRO888556FTC	12/24/2015	Harris, Charming	Charming Harris	4267		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Moved away from Columbus Miss, unaware of lawsuit and deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
799	TRO886412FTC	12/7/2015	Innamorati, Chartan	Chartan Innamorati	4912			X				Diagnoses in 1973 (bronchial) and 2006; unaware of the process in 2009; unaware exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
800	TRO895758FTC	3/24/2016	Douglas, Chasity	Chasity Douglas	5342		X	X				Diagnoses "2000-2008"; previously filed with atty Bambach 2000; says does not know what happened. If claim was resolved in a prior litigation it cannot be reasserted. If claim was not resolved the filing with counsel shows awareness of legal rights. Bambach and other attorneys were aware of the Tronox bankruptcy and the bar date. If counsel failed to file a claim, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's failure is excusable. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
801	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Chaston Everett	Chaston Everett Little	6441		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999-2000 diagnoses; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide notice for potential clients who were unknown at time of notice; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
802	TRO886112FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Chauncey	Chauncey Brown	4855	8103	X	X		X		Some diagnoses in 1986 and 1996, alleges cardiovascular conditions in 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says unaware could file claim. A supplement filed at docket #8103. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim based on a pre-2006 diagnosis that was not resolved in a prior class action was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
803	TRO895670FTC	3/24/2016	Cheatham, Angelica	Cheatham, Angelica	5608			X		X		Various diagnosis dates 2009-2012, date of first 2009 diagnosis not specified; says unaware of the tort process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
804	TRO892697FTC	2/23/2016	Radle, Chelsea	Chelsea Radle	4430	8152						X	2006 diagnosis. Was a minor (17) in 2009, unaware exposed to Tronox product at bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8152, says that a prudent course of action would have been to have followed the minors for many years after the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
805	TRO887461FTC	12/14/2015	Hill, Chelsey	Chelsey Hill	6540		X	X					Diagnosis dates unclear; says previously filed with Colom law firm, at one point the motion says in 1991, at another point says it was in 2002; says did file a claim, unclear if referring to Colom claim, but in any event there is no record of a timely bankruptcy claim in 2009. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
806	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Chelsey M.	Chelsey M. Little	6457							X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2005 diagnosis; minor (born 2001 or earlier); says publication notice was improperly calculated to provide notice for future claimants; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
807	TRO892186FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Chequita	Chequita Thomas	5934		X	X			X		Various conditions, unclear what first diagnosis date is as to each condition; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; did not receive any mail about filing before the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
808	TRO892255FTC	2/23/2016	Latham, Cherell	Cherell Latham	3704						X	Diagnosed 1997, was a child at time of deadline. No allegation as to knowledge or awareness of parent or guardian or as to reasons why they did not file a timely claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
809	TRO886554FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Cherilyn	Cherilyn Williams	5334		X	X				2001 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
810	TRO890663FTC	2/23/2016	William, Cherry	Cherry William	3767	8056 8063	X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s. Says did not know had been exposed prior to bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8056 and a duplicate of 8056 is filed at 8063. Says she cannot afford a lawyer and does not have much education. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
811	TRO890664FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Frankie	Cherry William	3768		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s. Says did not know had been exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
812	TRO898459FTC	6/27/2016	Cummings, Cheryl	Cheryl Cummings	4413		X	X				June 1986 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
813	TRO896330FTC	Unknown	Jackson, Cheryl	Cheryl Jackson	6046			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
814	TRO892544FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Cheryl	Cheryl Lee	3565		X	X				Diagnosed 1973. Alleges "not well informed of circumstances surrounding lawsuit against Kerr-McGee." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
815	TRO885789FTC	11/25/2015	Mapp, Cheryl	Cheryl Mapp	6558			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis August 16, 2009 (four days after the bar date) and refers to it as a "new diagnosis." Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says filed before June 25, 2009 before the deadline and never heard anything back, but provides no evidence of filing and Court has no record of filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any disputes as to the date of such diagnoses) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
816	TRO0889217FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Chester	Chester Jordan Jr.	5988			X		X		Says was diagnosed after bar date, in 2010; does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
817	TRO898885FTC	6/27/2016	Hughes, Cheyenne	Cheyenne Hughes	5877		X	X				1971 diagnosis; says she did file claim but "they" claim it wasn't received but she did mail it. (May be referring to late-filed claim with Garretson group as there is no record of a timely claim.) Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing so the claim must be disallowed regardless of whether a timely proof of claim was filed.
818	TRO891907FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Chimerele	Chimerele Brewer	7564			X		X		Says first diagnoses in 2011; says did not know and no reason to know or suspect exposed to a Tronox product prior to the claims filing deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
819	TRO894330FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, Chiquita	Chiquita Griffin	5860			X		X		Did not know about the claim until a neighbor told her; says all symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
820	TRO901404FTC	8/16/2016	Spratt, Chadsity	Chiquita McMillian	4681	8203					X	2005 diagnosis of daughter at birth, rep says unaware of claim; says also had no reason to believe injured party was exposed to a Tronox chemical. Filed supplement at docket # 8203, complains about WSJ publication rather than local MS papers, but in fact the notice also was published in the Columbus Commercial Dispatch and in newspapers located in Jackson and Hattiesburg, MS. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
821	TRO900676FTC	8/16/2016	Cooper, Chisa	Chisa Cooper	5566		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
822	TRO888972FTC	12/30/2015	Sutton, Chrandra	Chrandra Sutton	5368		X	X				1983 diagnosis; has not resided in Columbus, Miss for several years and was unaware of exposure to Tronox product; filed a form letter that many have filed saying that she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy." Also says that she "should be entitled to fair representation under the Garretson Resolution Group and any other entity." Says violation of due process; refers to private meetings and minimal details to the community. Complaints about prior class actions and communications with class action counsel are not within this Court's jurisdiction. Complaints about attorneys also are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless the attorneys' conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
823	TRO894001FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Christeen	Christeen Johnson	7030		X	X				1982 diagnosis; previously filed with attorneys Colom and Lundy, outcome unknown; standard language as to reasons did not file by bar date. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
824	TRO887188FTC	12/14/2015	Craddieth, Christena	Christena Craddieth	4763		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Says was unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
825	TRO893520FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Christian	Christian Williams	6179			X				No diagnosis date listed; says did not know about the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
826	TRO898160FTC	6/20/2016	Davis, Christina	Christina Davis	7519		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; previously filed with the Colom law firm, received \$500 reward; unaware of the bankruptcy proceeding as was in and out of the hospital during those years, does not specify dates; was unaware exposed to harmful toxins, though also alleges was part of a lawsuit that included such allegations. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including merits of any defenses based on terms of the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
827	TRO896846FTC	4/25/2016	DeAlba, Jordan Christine	Christina DeAlba	4081			X				1982 diagnosis. Says was unaware of this "class action" and only found out about it in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
828	TRO888831FTC	12/30/2015	Henry, Christina	Christina Henry	7726		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1980 and 2010; says previously filed with atty Bambach, outcome not specified; says no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product but does not explain retention of Bambach if did not know he had a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
829	TRO891595FTC	2/23/2016	LoveLace, Christina	Christina LoveLace	6577			X			X	Alleges diagnosis in 2010; says unaware that she was affected by any Tronox products; says she was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; says discharge of claim a violation of due process; says certain sectors met and did not let rest of town know. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
830	TRO893134FTC	2/23/2016	Jethrow, Christine	Christine Jethrow	4662		X	X				Former Columbus resident. Alleges a diagnosis in 2006 but previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
831	TRO880295FTC	12/4/2015	Moore, Christine	Christine Moore	3844	3940	X	X				Diagnoses all 2004 and earlier. Unaware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #3940 alleges that the Tort Claims Trust denied an alleged future tort claim, then retracted the denial, then denied the claim again. No reasons stated to support grant of relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
832	TRO902584FTC	9/14/2016	O'Hop, Christine	Christine O'Hop	5740			X			X	Various diagnoses, some before and some after the bar date; says worked a 12 hour shift, after work was very tired and went to bed, no TV. On days off was caregiver for parents, and running errands and taking care of responsibilities, no time to socialize. At job, worked independently, no socializing. Not incapacitated in a manner that would provide relief from the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
833	TRO898129FTC	6/20/2016	Orr, Christine	Christine Orr	6840		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says did not know about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
834	TRO898148FTC	6/20/2016	Petty, Christine	Christine Petty	6631		X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until it had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
835	TRO885165FTC	11/25/2015	Robinson, Christine	Christine Robinson	5066	5074 8435	X	X				Motions at dockets 5066 and 5074 are duplicates. 1997 diagnosis; says did not know anything about this process; no knowledge of claim. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8535. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
836	TRO889099FTC	12/30/2015	Tate, Christine	Christine Tate	7282			X			X	April 2009 symptoms, diagnosed September 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
837	TRO887049FTC	12/14/2015	Vaughn, Christine	Christine Vaughn	3446	8105		X			x	Says that first learned of Kerr-McGee lawsuit in 2003; she tried to find out where she could get an application. She learned that the Maranatha Faith Center had the application and tried to get one but was told that only church members were able to apply. It was about 11 years before they heard anything, nothing in news or paper, then on April 4, 2014, a big write-up about the settlement and clean up and on April 19, 2015, the WCBI news did an interview with Pastor Steve Jamison, these are the only times she heard about the case. (Given this timing the first references to picking up claims at the Church likely were in the early 2000s and in reference to a class action.) She did not know about a future tort claim until 2015 when a friend said her aunt called to check up on her claim and wanted to know if she could add a condition to her application and they told her to file a future tort claim; "unaware of deadline or that case was still open." A supplement was filed at docket #8105, does not understand why they say her claim was late when it was mailed at same time as others whose claims were allowed; says her diagnosis was after bar date and for others who had same diagnosis date, their claims were allowed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Movant alleges awareness of Kerr-McGee potential fault. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
838	TRO891840FTC	2/23/2016	Bridges, Junious	Christine Washington	6105	9268	X	X				The trust incorrectly listed Eula Bridges at this docket number but her motion is at docket #6115. This motion is for Junious Bridge as the injured party (TRO891840FTC). 1989 diagnosis; prior claim through Colom law firm; the injured party is deceased. Claimant says did not know about the Tronox process. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9268 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
839	TRO894642FTC	3/23/2016	Bridges, Eula	Christine Washington	6115	9268	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this motion as docket # 6105, which is a different motion on behalf of Junious Bridges as the injured party. 1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep says not aware, no knowledge of the case, did not see any publication, newspaper or other media, standard language. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9268 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
840	TRO902738FTC	8/16/2016	Cole, Ernestine	Christine Washington	6168	9268	X	X				1968 diagnosis; did not know about it or get any knowledge from media. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9268 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
841	TRO897220FTC	5/4/2016	Bejeski, Christopher	Christopher Bejeski	4101			X				1990s diagnoses for allergies. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
842	TRO912089FTC	5/30/2017	Brandon, Christopher	Christopher Brandon	7305	8202	X	X				1990s diagnoses; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002; says had no knowledge of the bankruptcy proceedings, the only information given by the attorney when he called was "No News" "Still in Litigation." A supplemental "group" letter filed at docket #8202 on behalf of 5 claimants complaining about the process, complains that they thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
843	TRO884185FTC	11/25/2015	Connally, Christopher	Christopher Connally	6967		X	X				1997-1998 diagnoses; did not know and no reason to know about the deadline; says discharge of claim a violation of due process but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
844	TRO884802FTC	11/25/2015	Conner, Christopher	Christopher Conner	4338	8137		X		X	X	The correct file for docket # 4338 is Christopher J. Conner [TRO884802FTC] and he filed it himself. The file for Christopher D. Conner [TRO889048FTC] filed by Arthur Conner is at docket # 4221. As to Christopher J. Conner: the excuse is that he was a minor (age 15) and unaware of the claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8137, says was diagnosed after the bar date in 2009. Unclear if claimant wishes to pursue any claim based on a diagnoses that preceded the bar date, but if so will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
845	TRO892165FTC	2/23/2016	Cotton, Christopher	Christopher Cotton	5595		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says was incarcerated in Mississippi dept of corrections and was not aware of filing and not aware of his exposure to Tronox products. Also included form letter of not receiving justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; secret meetings; minimal information to community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
846	TRO887015FTC	12/14/2015	Gordon, Christopher	Christopher Gordon	5155		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
847	TRO890534FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Christopher	Christopher Hodges	5525	8099	X	X				1996 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; standard cut-and-pasted form language re reasons for not filing a claim by the bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8099. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
848	TRO890535FTC	2/23/2016	Manning, Curtis	Christopher Hodges	5528	8098	X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; standard cut-and-pasted form language as reasons for not filing. A supplement filed at docket #8098. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
849	TRO896751FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Christopher	Christopher Jamison	6317		X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; motion lists diagnoses as 2010, 2011 (after the bar date) but in a letter says both were before the bar date; was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; did not receive a letter or telephone call nor learn anything from TV or radio; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
850	TRO902664FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Christopher	Christopher Jethroe	6765		X	X				2000 diagnosis; claim filed in 2001 with Wilbur Colom; says paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
851	TRO914902FTC	7/26/2017	Lindsey, Christopher	Christopher Lindsey	5978		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after bar date; unaware a claim process was going on. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
852	<b>TRO895494FTC</b>	3/2/2016	Lucious, Christopher	Christopher Lucious	3544	8354	X	X		X		Trust's summary incorrectly listed the claim number as TRO894494FTC. Diagnoses in 2001 and 2010. Says did not file because "no one informed me about filing a claim." A supplement filed at docket #8354, says incarcerated from 2009-2012, unaware of lawsuit, no way to get notice to file a claim. Claim based on 2001 diagnoses claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
853	TRO895012FTC	3/23/2016	Murray, Christopher	Christopher Murray	7898			X		X		Alleges December 2009 diagnosis; says bar date period was too short, but does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before claim was filed; says did not have access to the publications, but notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus in June 2009; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemical and unaware cause of condition. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
854	TRO895974FTC	4/6/2016	Powers, Christopher	Christopher Powers	6857						X	2000 symptoms, 2007 diagnosis; minor; says exposure began 1994; did not know that his area was involved until recently; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
855	TRO886920FTC	12/7/2015	Rice, Christopher	Christopher Rice	4670		X			X		Lists various diagnosis dates but may be continuing conditions rather than new conditions or injuries. Unaware of lawsuit or that he could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
856	TRO885382FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Christopher	Christopher Richardson	3420						X	Not included on the Trust's summary. Says was a child in school and not aware of being in a lawsuit, does not say why guardian did not file. Insufficient support for excusable neglect relief. Will allow supplemental submission to explain why parents or guardians did not file a claim, why claimant waited several years after 2009 before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
857	TRO896106FTC	4/6/2016	Richardson, Christopher	Christopher Richardson	4462		X			X		Various diagnoses, some after bar date. Says made timely filings but appears to be referring to responses to questions after filed late claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
858	TRO897847FTC	5/25/2016	Turner, Christopher	Christopher Turner	7731	8975	X	X				1984 diagnosis; filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action, result not disclosed; says unaware of the bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8975 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
859	TRO880444FTC	12/4/2015	Webber, Christopher	Christopher Webber	7050		X	X				1989, 1999, 2000 conditions and diagnoses; unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
860	TRO887613FTC	12/14/2015	Whitfield, Christopher	Christopher Whitfield	3394			X		X		Unaware of an opportunity to file a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Says was exposed to Tronox product August 12, 2009 and his symptoms and diagnosis were August 14, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
861	TRO893927FTC	3/2/2016	Wright, Christopher	Christopher Wright	6067			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
862	TRO896909FTC	4/25/2016	Ross, Roosevelt	Chynee Bailey	7530		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; unaware of exposure to Tronox product or its correlation to his illness; family says that they could have been notified directly because they were long-time residents of area, but no allegation that Tronox was aware of this particular claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
863	TRO900936FTC	8/16/2016	Walls, Octavian	Chynee Bailey	7531						X	2004 and 2016 diagnoses; minor at time of bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
864	TRO896908FTC	4/25/2016	Harris, Tommy	Chynee Bailey	7532		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2008, who failed to pursue the claim; unaware that exposure to Tronox product was cause of illness; says family should have been notified directly of the connection; notice was not published in a manner to provide notice to potential claimants who were uneducated; did not receive notice of bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publications complied with due process as explained in the accompanying decision. In addition, attorney Bambach received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
865	TRO902813FTC	10/13/2016	Powell, Ciara	Ciara Powell	4872			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
866	TRO890675FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Ciara	Ciara Turner	7745		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2000 and 2017; says she had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
867	TRO896387FTC	4/13/2016	Canada, Cindo	Cindo Canada	7443	8237		X		X		1996, 2009 diagnoses; relocated to Birmingham, Alabama and was not notified about the claim; says PTSD is a factor. A supplement filed at docket #8327, in and out of rehab and was not aware of what was going on; his addiction would not let him live in reality; says was not in his right mind for years; says previously submitted medical records. Place of exposure not clear. No explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge and some level of disability but not complete incapacity, insufficient showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights) for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
868	Unknown	8/16/2016	Edmond, Clara	Clara Edmond	7821			X				Only a claim form was filed; no excuse provided, no motion for relief.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
869	TRO899295FTC	7/11/2016	Hairston, Clara	Clara Hairston	6885	8372	X	X				1958 diagnosis; says previously filed a claim in 2002 with her two sisters with attorneys in West Point, MS; one sister received a payment. Clara and the other sister were allotted \$500 each and were told by the agent not to accept it because if they did they "would not be in future court." A supplement filed at docket #8372, consulted a representative in 2002. Reference to 2002 proceeding must be to a prior class action in Mississippi. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding and was not part of a still-pending proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel in all pending cases received direct notice of the bar date.
870	TRO890419FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Clara	Clara Harris	5958			X				Early 2006 diagnosis; did not file because did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
871	TRO889086FTC	Unknown	Rice, Clara	Clara Rice	6423		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis, care for that condition is continuing; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claim is not a future tort claim just because it continues; claim accrual is based on initial diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
872	TRO885123FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Clara	Clara Wilson	7399			X				2007 diagnosis; says the publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice as she lives in Oklahoma; did not become aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means or publication, local or otherwise by the filing deadline; says better notice should have been provided for those who relocated; she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware condition caused by a Tronox product. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. A Kerr-McGee creosote plant was located in Hugo, OK and notices of the bar date were also published in (1) the Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, OK, (2) the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times in Hugo, OK, (3) the Cleveland American in Cleveland, OK, and (4) the Tulsa World in Tulsa, OK. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Claimant also has not shown diligence in pursuing legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
873	TRO897449FTC	5/25/2016	Jordan, Clarence	Clarence Jordan	6028		X	X				1969 diagnosis of medical condition; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; was not notified nor aware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says 2009 was a very devastating time for him as he lost three loved ones in January and March 2009; also alleges violation of due process. This claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
874	TRO901918FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Clarence	Clarence Williams	4150	8314		X				1956 diagnosis. Was unaware could file claim; insufficient notice; only one local paper. A supplement filed at docket #8314, says his information was sent in timely when he received the information but appears to be referring to late claim. Says did not know about any motion to file with what court in what city, state or county. Also says it is "true" that claimant die not file a claim on the advice of a non-attorney third party, but no further details appear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
875	TRO896758FTC	4/25/2016	Hall, Christopher	Clarissa Hall	4341			X		X		Diagnoses in 2004, 2010 and 2014. Rep unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
876	TRO895310FTC	3/23/2016	Rander, Evelyn	Claudette Hurley	4530		X	X				Symptoms and diagnosis 1980; deceased 2009; rep unaware of the claim filing, did not get notice, and not residing in Mississippi any longer. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
877	TRO895311FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley, Claudette	Claudette Hurley	4560		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Former resident of Mississippi who moved in 1996. Says was unaware of claim, did not receive notification. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
878	TRO900095FTC	7/25/2016	Jones, Clay	Clay Jones	5773		X	X				1977 diagnoses; injured party died in 1985; unaware of the claims process; previously filed with Colom law firm and they lost paperwork, was advised to contact atty Gunn but he said he was not taking any more cases; alleges violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
879	TRO894033FTC	3/2/2016	Clayborn, Cheyenne	Clayborn, Cheyenne	4756		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
880	TRO898203FTC	6/20/2016	Woffard, Clayton	Clayton Woffard	4027			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
881	TRO885345FTC	11/25/2015	Clayton, Courtney	Clayton, Courtney	5793		X	X				Diagnoses in 1984 and 1986; unaware of deadline; also says "filed with a local attorney who passed away and never pursued the case." Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
882	TRO903348FTC	10/27/2016	Fields, Clemma	Clemma Fields	4948			X				Previously filed with attorney Harold Barkley, does not provide date of it; alleges a 2006 diagnosis; standard form language as to reasons why did not make a timely filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
883	TRO888460FTC	12/18/2015	Stokes, Clenton	Clenton Stokes	3428		X	X				1963 diagnosis. Previously filed with attorney Howard Gunn 2000. Says did not file claim in Tronox case but trusted attorney and provided attorney with same medical records that they are providing to the Trust. Attorney error not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own error is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior work with attorney shows awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
884	TRO886071FTC	12/7/2015	Lee, Cleo	Cleo Lee	4860	8096	X	X				1965 and 1995 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm 2001; unaware could file a bankruptcy claim. A supplement filed at docket #8096. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
885	TRO897587FTC	5/25/2016	Dorroh, Gladys	Cleo Lee	5776	8096		X				The injured party is deceased; unaware of process or that could file claim for deceased relative; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket # 8096. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
886	TRO902663FTC	8/16/2016	Brandy, Cleve	Cleve Brandy	6767		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says filed claim with Wilbur Colom in 2002 but paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
887	TRO897707FTC	5/25/2016	Drake, Clinton	Clinton Drake	7895		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, most after bar date; standard form language as to why missed bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
888	TRO902855FTC	10/13/2016	Davis, Sarah	Clyde Moore	3847	8184	X	X				The injured party died in 2003; rep unaware of need to file a claim on behalf of mother. A supplement filed at docket #8184, was told he could not file a claim for a deceased person (does not say who gave such advice or when). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Bad advice is not grounds for excuse unless person giving the advice can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
889	TRO885841FTC	11/25/2015	Washington, Clyde	Clyde Washington	4023	9270	X	X				1971 diagnosis. Part of Wilbur Colom 2002 class action lawsuit. Cut-and-paste language alleges lack of knowledge, advice to file future tort claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9270 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, it was not pursued with sufficient diligence and was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
890	TRO892499FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Colanda	Colanda Baker	6157			X		X		Alleges diagnoses in 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2013; says had no idea there was a claim until it was too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
891	TRO892500FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Eryannah	Colanda Baker	6213					X	X	Says diagnoses 2009-2011; says was a minor and parents had no idea there was a claim. Unclear whether 2009 diagnosis was before or after the bar date. If was before the bar date, will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
892	TRO901673FTC	8/16/2016	Coleman, John	Coleman, John	5756		X	X				Diagnoses 1981 and earlier; unaware of claim process; unaware of the dangers of the Tronox products. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
893	TRO898719FTC	6/27/2016	Coleman, Willie	Coleman, Willie	5750		X	X				1980 diagnosis; was unaware of claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
894	TRO894376FTC	3/2/2016	Phillips, Columbus	Columbus Phillips	6874		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
895	TRO886545FTC	12/7/2015	Billups, Connie	Connie Billups	3374		X	X				First diagnosed in 2002, states that she DID file a POC prior to the deadline, but there is no record of such a filing. Even if filed, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. No grounds for relief from the bar date are alleged or are proper.
896	TRO893672FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Connie	Connie Harris	5669		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach; paperwork lost. Work with counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
897	Unknown	Unknown	Tucker, Connie M.	Connie M. Tucker	9479							Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
898	TRO888642FTC	12/24/2015	Marcellini, Bruno	Connie Marcellini	4185			X				Injured party was diagnosed in 2004 and died in 2008. Rep refers to natural disaster as reason for not filing, but no specifics. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
899	TRO888551FTC	12/24/2015	Bekanich, Andrew	Connie Marcellini	4202			X				Injured party diagnosed in 1970, died in 1991. Selected natural disaster as reason for failure to file by 2009 bar date, no details provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
900	TRO892072FTC	2/23/2016	Marcellini, Connie	Connie Marcellini	4207			X		X		Says diagnosed 2010 but says symptoms started in 1990s, unclear when conditions were actually diagnosed. Alleges natural disaster as reason for not filing, but no specifics are given. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
901	TRO900354FTC	7/25/2016	Sprowell, Connie	Connie Sprowell	7463			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but submits some medical records for earlier dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
902	TRO902401FTC	9/14/2016	Cunningham, Mary	Connie Sprowell	7545			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died on August 31, 2009, right around the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Even if health of decedent near time of bar date would explain failure to file in August 2009 there is no explanation of lengthy post-bar date delay before filing claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
903	TRO889115FTC	2/23/2016	Watford-Jones, Connie	Connie Watford-Jones	4501	8201						Symptoms and diagnosis 1991, serving in military air force since 2000, unaware of bar date, military deployment; supplement at docket # 8201 attaches copy of Service Members Civil Relief Act and personal data re: military duty. Military service began 2/2/2000 and continued as of the filing of the motion in 2017. Deadline for filing proof of claim apparently was tolled by 50 U.S.C. 3936 and so claim filed in February 2016 must be treated as timely and subject to resolution by the Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
904	TRO900170FTC	7/25/2016	Whitfield, Connie	Connie Whitfield	5144			X		X		Says was offered an amount that is unfair; someone offered to assist and provided forms prefilled that referred to certain chemicals; says was misled. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
905	TRO899307FTC	7/11/2016	McFarland, Constance	Constance McFarland	3880			X		X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
906	TRO885999FTC	12/7/2015	Scott, Conston	Conston Scott	4370		X	X				2003 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit, also received notice to boil water but not about contamination, not notified of further contamination that was seen. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
907	TRO897169FTC	5/4/2016	Clark, Cora	Cora Clark	4383		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
908	TRO903759FTC	11/23/2016	Robinson, Cordaneus	Cordaneus Robinson	7258		X	X				1989, 1991 diagnoses; says family moved from MS to GA, had no knowledge of Tronox claims or that his health conditions were caused by a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
909	<b>TRO898602FTC</b>	3/24/2016	Perry, Coretta	Coretta Perry	4811			X		X		The correct number of the claim to which the motion at docket # 4811 is related is TRO898602FTC. The claimant says that symptoms were 2010 and diagnosis 2011. The claimant says she did not have any reason to know that she was exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
910	TRO914876FTC	7/26/2017	Beatie, Corey	Corey Beatie	4889	8121	X	X				2006 diagnosis; says was unaware of claims process because in 2004 moved away to Atlanta, Georgia; supplement filed at docket #8121, says he was a minor when had symptoms but says was first exposed in 1986, therefore he was not a minor at the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
911	TRO893534FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Corey	Corey Cockrell	6550						X	2003 and 2008 diagnoses; minor; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
912	TRO887487FTC	12/14/2015	Council, Corey	Corey Council	5195			X		X		Says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but diagnosis dates may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
913	TRO889615FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Corey	Corey Guyton	5214		X	X				In answer to question about first diagnosis date says "2000-2008." Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2000, unaware what happened to claim; not aware that claim was not included in these claims; publication notice insufficient. Alleged filing with attorney Bambach in 2000 was 9 years prior to the bankruptcy, probably was in connection with a prior class action, no indication what happened to the class action claim. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
914	TRO884179FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Corey	Corey Jordan	4601		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
915	TRO901109FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Corey	Corey Lee	6652		X	X				2003 diagnosis; former resident/visitor to Columbus, MS; unaware case existed, says medical records were destroyed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
916	TRO897184FTC	Unknown	McCloud, Corey	Corey McCloud	5160			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
917	TRO892726FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Corey	Corey Turner	4973			X		X		Unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 (date unspecified) but without medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
918	TRO886444FTC	12/7/2015	Waldon, Corey	Corey Waldon	4110		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges asthma diagnosis as adult in 2012 but also alleges asthma diagnosis as child in 1990. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
919	TRO891827FTC	2/23/2016	Gagliardi, Corinna	Corinna Gagliardi	4045						X	1997 and 2007 diagnoses. Minor at bar date (was at least 17). No explanation why parents or guardian did not file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
920	TRO897558FTC	5/25/2016	Kress, Michael	Corinne Bendowski	3494			X				The injured party died in 1995. Rep does not provide an excuse or justification for late claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. No showing of relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
921	TRO884877FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Corle	Corle Salter	3344			X		X		Says diagnosed in 2011 but also claims to have been part of a class action many years earlier with Wilbur Colon as counsel. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date and all defenses thereto (including defenses as to whether prior class action barred future claims) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
922	TRO895085FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Cornelia Glenn	Cornelia Glenn Shinn	3488			X				July 2009 disease and diagnosis, did not know and no reason to know was exposed to a Tronox product. July 2009 diagnosis might explain reason why August bar date was initially missed but no explanation is provided for the failure to file a claim until March 2016, more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
923	TRO900574FTC	8/16/2016	Cunningham, Cornelius	Cornelius Cunningham	4719			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
924	TRO900921FTC	8/16/2016	Martin, Cornelius	Cornelius Martin	8473			X				Symptoms 2008; no diagnosis date listed; when asked to explain why missed the bar date, says "Don't know, Depressed." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
925	TRO890651FTC	2/23/2016	Mitchell, Jerrie	Cornell Mitchell	7758		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep was unaware a claim could be filed on her behalf after she died. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
926	TRO892904FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Corric	Corrie Morris	6964		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some 2000 and earlier, others after bar date; unaware of filing; was not aware of case in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
927	TRO895950FTC	4/6/2016	Jordan, Corrye	Corrye Jordan	7842						X	2000 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
928	TRO885778FTC	11/25/2015	Miglionico, Cory	Cory Miglionico	3343			X				Does not say when diagnosed exactly, but had kidney problems at 10 years old and was born in 1961. Says did not file because 1) "I was sick" and 2) did not know that he could - thought it was for Avoca residents only. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
929	TRO890272FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, Cory	Cory Washington	6387			X				1985 diagnosis; relocated to N.Y. for work in April 2009; unaware of exposure; says filed a claim but is referring to filing with trust, no record of a timely claim in 2009. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
930	TRO902368FTC	9/14/2016	Morgan, Corzell	Corzell Morgan	4614			X			X	Diagnoses dates listed as "1956-2017 currently." Alleges some conditions manifested after bar date, also undergoing radiation treatment in summer 2009. Residence at time of exposures not specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
931	TRO892869FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Stella	Cotesio Johnson-Jones	4282	8668 9023		X			X	Says first diagnosis in 2010, the injured party died in 2016. Also says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2009 but papers lost. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8668 and at docket #9023. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Actions by counsel would not constitute grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's actions could be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect to the extent claim is based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
932	TRO904360FTC	1/3/2017	Cotton, Liza	Cotton, Liza	5612		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Also attaches the form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
933	TRO903237FTC	10/27/2016	Cotton, Alexeddie	Cotton, Liza	5614			X			X	Alleges 2010 symptoms and diagnosis but includes earlier medical records; the injured party died in 2015; rep says unaware injured party was exposed to Tronox product. Attaches form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy." Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
934	TRO898677FTC	6/27/2016	O'Neal, Coty	Coty O'Neal	3747		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, unaware deadline passed. Does not allege lack of awareness of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
935	TRO893745FTC	3/2/2016	Mosley, Elaine	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7311			X				Does not have any health issues at the time but is afraid may develop some in the future; did not know about claims being filed. Motion does not identify any actual claim for which relief from the bar date is sought, motion is denied.
936	TRO898212FTC	6/20/2016	Young, Anthony	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7312	7313		X			X	Says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2009. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
937	TRO880929FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Ruth	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7314	7315	X	X			X	1998 diagnosis; did not receive notice; did not know a claim could be filed at that time. Attaches a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date diagnoses but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect and due process, but claim based on 1998 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
938	TRO892256FTC	2/23/2016	Petty, Damien	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7316	7317					X	2008 diagnosis; minor (14 years old at time of bar date); did not receive any notice; also submitted a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
939	TRO893092FTC	2/23/2016	Wood, Janice	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7335	7336	X	X			X	1982 (heart) and 2013 (colon polyps) diagnoses; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1982 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
940	TRO893093FTC	2/23/2016	Wood, Kasie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7337	7338	X	X			X	1982 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also filed a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and new diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1982 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
941	TRO891058FTC	2/23/2016	Holiness, Dorothy	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7339	7340	X	X			X	1970 diagnosis; claimant was having serious medical issues and was in a nursing home; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Also filed a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and post-bar date diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1970 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
942	TRO902805FTC	8/16/2016	Holiness, Arabella	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7342	7343		X			X	2012 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; she was elderly and having serious health issues in 2009. She did not receive any notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and her family members were not aware she could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues for relief based on excusable neglect or due process, but the motion has not identified any pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
943	TRO894513FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Ofie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7344	7345	X	X			X	1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000. Also filed a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the 1998 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
944	TRO893306FTC	2/23/2016	Lawrence, Brenda	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7346	7347		X			X	February 2008 diagnosis; says did not know about it; did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware she could file a claim. Also attached a signed Rejection Notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
945	TRO905920FTC	4/24/2017	Irions, Bessie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7348	7349	X	X			X	1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; personal rep did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and was not aware she could file a claim for the deceased. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1997 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
946	TRO893388FTC	2/23/2016	Lawrence, Annie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7350	7351	X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; personal rep did not receive notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice, but new post-2009 exposures and diagnoses are impossible given death in 1992. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
947	TRO893115FTC	2/23/2016	Lawrence, Carolyn	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7352	7353	X	X			X	1997 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware she could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date claims but none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1997 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
948	TRO884174FTC	11/25/2015	Hubbert, Charlie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7354	7355	X	X				May 2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; personal rep did not receive notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and was not aware a claim could be filed. Also includes a signed rejection notice alleging post-2009 exposures and post-2009 diagnoses but that is impossible given death in 2004. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
949	TRO884175FTC	11/25/2015	Hubbert, Maggie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7356	7357	X	X			X	Diagnoses 2003 and earlier; says she is sick and does not have anything to help her do better; her health is bad, she can't walk far. Also submitted a signed rejection notice regarding post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claims based on the 2003 and earlier diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
950	TRO894317FTC	3/2/2016	Usery, Daniel	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7370	7371	X	X			X	2002 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 2002 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
951	TRO900089FTC	7/25/2016	Jackson, Dewayne	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7376	7377	X	X			X	1994 diagnosis. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1994 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
952	TRO880930FTC	12/4/2015	Lawrence, Duanna	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7378	7379		X			X	2006 diagnosis; moved out of state; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, though none is identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
953	TRO880926FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Gwenewa (Troupe)	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7380	7381	X	X			X	1983 diagnosis; moved out of state; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1983 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
954	TRO887749FTC	12/14/2015	Cureton, Leb	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7386	7387		X			X	2008 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, though none is identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
955	TRO892117FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Mary	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7390	7391	X	X			X	1998-99 diagnosis; did not receive any notice; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1998-99 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
956	TRO888076FTC	12/18/2015	Lawton, Michelle	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7394	7396	X	X			X	1987 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also filed a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, but no such post-bar date issue is described in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted, but the claim based on the 1987 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
957	TRO880120FTC	12/4/2015	Gandy, Naomi	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7400	7402		X			X	2006 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware a claim could be filed. Also submits a signed rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposure and/or diagnosis, though none is identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
958	TRO887667FTC	12/14/2015	Patman, Robert (Taylor)	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7412	7413	X	X			X	2005 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
959	TRO898978FTC	6/27/2016	Lawrence, Sam	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7419	7421	X	X			X	1995 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the 1995 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
960	TRO901389FTC	8/16/2016	Braswell, Cynthia	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7430	7431	X	X			X	1957 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
961	TRO891266FTC	2/23/2016	Biller, Crystal	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7432	7433		X			X	2006 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
962	TRO897277FTC	5/4/2016	Davis, William	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7434	7435	X	X			X	1975 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
963	TRO890313FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Willie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7485	7486		X			X	March 2006 diagnosis; did not receive any notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims settlement; did not know could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
964	TRO894331FTC	3/2/2016	Gunter, Deon	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7487	7488		X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2016. Also a signed rejection notice. Separate memo of law argues there are grounds for relief based on excusable neglect and due process, but no pre-bar date diagnosis was identified in the motion, therefore no claim for which relief on the grounds of excusable neglect is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
965	TRO897179FTC	5/4/2016	Howard, Annie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7489	7490	X	X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; family members did not receive notice and were not aware could file on her behalf. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but that is impossible given death prior to the bar date. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
966	TRO897176FTC	5/4/2016	Howard, Frank	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7491	7492	X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; family members did not receive notice and were not aware could file on her behalf. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but that is impossible given death prior to the bar date. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
967	TRO897180FTC	5/4/2016	Howard, Frankie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7493	7494	X	X				1955 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; family members did not receive notice and were not aware could file on her behalf. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, but that is impossible given death prior to the bar date. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
968	TRO901401FTC	8/16/2016	Parham, John	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7495	7496	X	X			X	1985 diagnosis; did not receive any notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims settlement; did not know could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
969	TRO902289FTC	9/14/2016	Brewer, Courtney	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7497	7498	X	X			X	1990 diagnosis; says previously filed a claim in 2000 but does not recall details and atty did not call back; tried to but could not find atty or any attorney that may have taken over the case. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the prior dealings with counsel show awareness of the claim and of legal rights. The claim based on the listed diagnosis was either resolved in another proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
970	TRO885150FTC	11/25/2015	Tate, Walter	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7499	7500	X	X			X	1994 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
971	TRO894782FTC	3/23/2016	Walker, Alishia	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7501	7502	X	X			X	1975 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
972	TRO894780FTC	3/23/2016	Walker, Courtnay	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7503	7504	X	X			X	1977 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
973	TRO893103FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Cynthia	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7505	7506	X	X			X	1974 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
974	TRO902816FTC	10/13/2016	Walker, Frank	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7507	7508	X	X			X	1990 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
975	TRO895358FTC	3/24/2016	Gunter, Eddie	Courtney "Corky" Smith, Esq.	7509	7510	X	X			X	2005 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox Tort Trust Claims Settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
976	TRO892571FTC	2/23/2016	Hairston, Courtney	Courtney Hairston	5660			X			X	Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says diagnosis 2011. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
977	TRO901085FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Courtney	Courtney Jones	6998	8210					X	2002 and 2005 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; minor at time of bar date; says a representative (not know who) previously filed claim with Colom firm; unaware exposed to a Tronox product until after the deadline. She was informed that the law firm had filed a claim on her behalf. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8210, says the lawyer failed to give the bar date. No record of any bankruptcy claim filed on her behalf. Not clear if Colom firm represented her in 2009 but the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Given allegation that was a minor, will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
978	TRO887066FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Anita	Courtney Smith	6882	6883	X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of the claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
979	TRO898292FTC	6/20/2016	Jones, Willie	Courtney Smith	6959			X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party deceased prior to bar date; rep did not receive any notice regarding a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
980	TRO898300FTC	6/20/2016	Jones, Gladys	Courtney Smith	7026		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says did not receive notice; the injured party was battling health issues and did not know she could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
981	TRO892494FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Frances	Courtney Smith	7044	7045		X				Diagnosis "prior to 2007;" says did not receive any notice concerning the Tronox tort claims settlement. Alleges a due process entitlement to direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
982	TRO887444FTC	12/14/2015	McCleod, Michael	Courtney Smith	7046	7047	X	X				September 2002 diagnosis; lived in area and never received any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
983	TRO887705FTC	12/14/2015	Mosley, Michael	Courtney Smith	7065	7067		X				June 2009 diagnosis; lived near the plant and in the affected/exposed area and never received any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
984	TRO895687FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, Emmett	Courtney Smith	7086	7089	X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says claimant lived near the affected/exposed area, was in severe declining health and was not aware of any trust settlement and did not receive notice of same. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
985	TRO901929FTC	9/14/2016	Abdo-Ahmed, Saada	Courtney Smith	7097	7098		X		X		2009 diagnosis (month not clear); says did not receive notice and did not know that a claim could be filed. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and not other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
986	TRO892379FTC	2/23/2016	Bluitt, Little	Courtney Smith	7107	7108		X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2012; not aware of any notice from Tronox trust; did not know could file a claim because did not receive notice of it. Memo of law argues excusable neglect and due process issues but the motion identifies no pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief based on those theories would be proper. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
987	TRO905919FTC	4/24/2017	Barron, Katie	Courtney Smith	7109	7110	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
988	TRO894315FTC	3/2/2016	Brewer, Devario	Courtney Smith	7124						X	Minor at the time notices were sent for the Tronox tort claims trust and did not receive notice or know that could file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
989	TRO894518FTC	3/23/2016	Brewer, Paul	Courtney Smith	7130	7131	X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep did not receive notice regarding the claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
990	TRO895686FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, Sammie	Courtney Smith	7143	7144	X	X				1964 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep did not know a claim could be filed and did not receive any notice. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
991	TRO880974FTC	12/4/2015	Moore, Mattie	Courtney Smith	7145	7146	X	X				1982 diagnosis; says did not receive notice and did not know could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
992	TRO889067FTC	12/30/2015	Langford, Jerry	Courtney Smith	7168			X		X		2006 and 2016 diagnoses; did not receive notice of the tort claims trust; says certain conditions prior to bar date but serious conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
993	TRO892755FTC	2/23/2016	Langford, Linda	Courtney Smith	7175			X		X		Diagnoses in 2009, 2013, 2017; says did not receive any notice of the Tronox trust, had moved out of area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
994	TRO893091FTC	2/23/2016	Wood, James	Courtney Smith	7185	7186	X	X				1972 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox trust tort settlement. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
995	TRO895683FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, Willie	Courtney Smith	7198	7199	X	X				1987 diagnosis; says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
996	TRO894521FTC	3/23/2016	Brownlee, Loventrice	Courtney Smith	7209		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; rep did not receive notice of tort trust claim settlement and did not know to file a claim for the deceased claimant; says denied due process because did not get notice, but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy.
997	TRO894517FTC	3/23/2016	Burnette, Lillie	Courtney Smith	7216		X	X				1997 diagnosis; moved out of state and did not receive notice of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
998	TRO889762FTC	2/23/2016	<b>Bush, Mitchell</b>	Courtney Smith	7229			X			X	2007 diagnosis; did not receive notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Also filed a signed Rejection Notice alleging post-bar date diagnoses and exposures, but details are not clear. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
999	TRO894515FTC	3/23/2016	Cooke, Ron	Courtney Smith	7239		X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox trust tort settlement; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1000	TRO888108FTC	12/18/2015	Davis, Curtis	Courtney Smith	7242	7243	X	X				Condition since 1966; in response to question about dates of diagnoses answered "yes" instead of providing specific dates; says was unaware of the deadline. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, based on the information in the motion and the age of the condition the claim appears to have been time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Furthermore, the memo only addresses lack of knowledge and does not address other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1001	TRO898144FTC	6/20/2016	Davis, Joseph	Courtney Smith	7246	7247	X	X				1988 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; did not know could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
1002	TRO895682FTC	3/24/2016	Dolan, James	Courtney Smith	7256		X	X			X	1995 diagnosis; was not aware of the Tronox tort claims trust settlement and did not receive any notice about being able to file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on a 1995 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Movant also submitted a signed Rejection Notice as to post-bar date claims though no such claims are listed in the motion papers. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1003	TRO893094FTC	2/23/2016	Hanson, Kathy	Courtney Smith	7276	7277	X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; did not know could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
1004	TRO893095FTC	2/23/2016	Hanson, Jimmy	Courtney Smith	7279	7280	X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep not aware could file a claim and did not receive any notice of the Tronox trust claims settlement. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
1005	TRO892870FTC	2/23/2016	Webb, Courtney	Courtney Webb	7397	8319		X				March 2006 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notifications by newspaper, TV or any social media; standard language. A supplement filed at docket # 8319, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1006	TRO890833FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Anthony	Craddieth, Anthony	6742		X	X				1995 diagnosis; unaware exposed prior to deadline. Knew sick, but didn't know exposed and didn't make the connection between exposure and illness. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1007	TRO894408FTC	3/2/2016	Wells, Crystal	Crystal Wells	8397		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; paperwork was filed in 2014/2015, thinks time limits are unfair. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1008	TRO889342FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Culbert	Culbert Craddieth	7790		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary; Trust incorrectly listed Kimberly Craddieth as the movant at docket 7790, but her motion is at docket 7788. 1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; rep says did not file because was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notices. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1009	TRO892769FTC	2/23/2016	Shelley, Culbert	Culbert Shelley	7789						X	1997 diagnosis; minor (approximately 12 or 13 at the bar date); guardian did not file because she had no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons did not file. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1010	TRO912499FTC	5/30/2017	Cousins, Curlie	Curlie Cousins	4706		X	X				1935 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; a violation of due process. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of due process but the claim had already expired and there is also no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Even if the claim had not expired the motion merely alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1011	TRO902713FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Curly	Curly Howard	4416		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to death in immediate family but does not provide dates. Same handwriting as on other motions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1012	TRO891304FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Curry	Curry Johnson	6334		X	X				1983 diagnosis; says the publication notice of the claim filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1013	TRO895589FTC	3/24/2016	Acron, Curtis	Curtis Acron	6472		X	X				1976 and 1982 diagnoses; says was not notified of an opportunity to file a claim; unaware of "first" round of claims; says retired military but was discharged from military service on November 30, 2005, long before the bar date; says was living in Texas and was not aware of bankruptcy proceedings but became aware of "second round" of claims when he visited Columbus. He says a relative's claim who was in military out of state was approved for processing based on non-notification (out of jurisdiction). Says his own claim not going forward would be a violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Most importantly, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1014	TRO902676FTC	8/16/2016	Barr, Curtis	Curtis Barr	7232		X	X				1987-89 diagnoses; it appears he previously filed with the Colom firm because he includes a letter from it in 2002 setting an appointment; did not know about bar date; the most recent he know about the case was in 2002 (that is the class action, not the bankruptcy) and he believed that to be the final filing. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1015	Unknown	2/23/2016	Clay, Curtis	Curtis Clay	5816			X		X		Unaware of claims process, says symptoms and diagnosis Oct. 29, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1016	TRO889719FTC	2/23/2016	Cobb, Curtis	Curtis Cobb	5607			X			X	Claimant says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1017	TRO890704FTC	2/23/2016	Cobb, Hannah	Curtis Cobb	5932		X	X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1987; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1018	TRO894597FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Curtis	Curtis Gore	4432		X	X				1970s diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of Tronox claim process because incarcerated. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1019	TRO888739FTC	12/24/2015	Jamison, Curtis, Jr.	Curtis Jamison, Jr.	6209			X			X	2010 and 2011 diagnoses; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; no knowledge of this before filing a claim, did not receive a letter or telephone call or learn from media; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim a violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1020	TRO889899FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Curtis, Sr.	Curtis Jamison, Sr.	6171			X			X	Diagnoses in 2010 and 2011; was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy in 2009; did not receive a letter or telephone call, or learn anything through media; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1021	TRO887589FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Curtis	Curtis Malone	3547		X	X				Diagnosed 1985, 1999, 2001 and 2003. Says was bedridden "at the time." Does not state a timeline for when he was bedridden. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1022	TRO887103FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Curtis	Curtis Moore	3459		X	X				1976 diagnosis, possibly another in 2007. Previously filed with Colom law firm 1999 and received \$411- says he was later diagnosed with a more serious condition in 2007 but Tronox bankruptcy case did not inform him that he was eligible to refile; after hearing about people receiving settlements for lesser conditions and diseases, he inquired for the paperwork. Says Tronox was aware of the original claimants and knew to whom they had made payments but Tronox did not contact him. Prior settlement did not give Tronox reason to know the claimant allegedly had a new claim and did not require additional direct notice. On the other hand, prior claims show claimant's awareness of causal connection and liability. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1023	TRO895477FTC	3/24/2016	Roland, Curtis	Curtis Roland	4587		X	X				1990 symptoms; says condition was diagnosed but does not give specific date. Says unaware of claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1024	TRO895640FTC	Unknown	Shield, Curtis	Curtis Shields	6330			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2006 diagnosis; did not know of the claims process until other people received checks, then it was too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1025	TRO887862FTC	12/14/2015	Coleman, Cyntaria	Cyntaria Coleman	6322						X	1994-95 diagnosis; says was minor, incompetent. No explanation of alleged incompetence other than status as a minor. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1026	Unknown	#N/A	Bush, Cynthia	Cynthia Bush	7681			X		X		Merely an application for a future tort claim; no excuse provided; says first exposure 1/14/2009 but does not provide diagnosis date but provides some medical records for 2016. Even if did not have actual knowledge of the bar date there is no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1027	TRO885876FTC	11/25/2015	Cotton, Cynthia	Cynthia Cotton	7406	8326	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket # 8326, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1028	TRO901975FTC	9/14/2016	Guarnieri, Cynthia	Cynthia Guarnieri	4285			X				Diagnoses in 1977 and 1982. Not subscribe to Wall Street Journal or read the other papers, became aware when others received settlement checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1029	TRO886066FTC	12/7/2015	Hunter, Cynthia	Cynthia Hunter	5046		X	X				1988 and 1989 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of claim process, no knowledge of the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1030	TRO888928FTC	12/30/2015	Keaton, Cynthia	Cynthia Keaton	4447		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm, did not hear back on claim; unaware needed to file. Received notice in 2014 of affirmance of the settlement of the Anadarko list so apparently was on the Rule 2004 service list at some time, unclear when. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1031	TRO891931FTC	2/23/2016	Killingsworth, Moriah	Cynthia Killingsworth	5303			X				2003 symptoms; diagnosis date "unknown"; unaware of bar date; not diagnosed by a doctor. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1032	TRO897205FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Cynthia	Cynthia Lynn	4687			X				1990 diagnosis. Says was not made aware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1033	TRO897206FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Michael, Sr.	Cynthia Lynn	4688			X				Diagnoses 1989-1996; the injured party is deceased; rep says not made aware of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1034	TRO904945FTC	1/24/2017	Guarnieri, Charles	Cynthia M. Guarnieri	4279			X				1996 diagnosis and death. Rep does not read Wall Street Journal or subscribe to other publication newspapers; aware at time people got settlement checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1035	TRO904951FTC	1/24/2017	Guarnieri, Irene	Cynthia M. Guarnieri	4686			X				Numerous diagnoses, the most recent of which was in 2005. The injured party is deceased; rep says does not read Wall Street Journal or any of the other 38 site specific publications, and was not aware of it. She became aware when neighbors started receiving settlement checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1036	TRO893291FTC	2/23/2016	Moluski, Cynthia	Cynthia Moluski	7705			X	X			Diagnoses in 1992, 1993, 2008; she did not file a claim because she did not know she would be eligible; was unaware that non-employees could file claims based on where they lived. She divorced and moved away in 2004 and stopped communication with ex-spouse and his family in area. Says cancer surgery in Sept. 2008 was debilitating, but does not explain long post-bar date delay before filing claim except by saying she did not realize what she might have recovered; it was when she saw many people in the community who were not sick being compensated that she realized she might be eligible and started inquiring about it. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. The risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1037	TRO889593FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Cynthia	Cynthia Robinson	4803		X	X				1995 diagnosis date; was not aware of the filing; spoke with an atty but did not hear back and he then passed away; filed claim later. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1038	TRO913382FTC	5/30/2017	Maciborski, Celia	Cynthia Vender	4489		X	X				Diagnoses in 1983, 1988 and 1989; the injured party died in 1989; rep unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1039	TRO901694FTC	8/16/2016	Conner, Cyrus	Cyrus Conner	7805		X	X				1987 diagnosis; a professional truck driver and claims publication not sufficient notice; says did not know of process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1040	TRO894414FTC	3/2/2016	Weaver, Da'Briyan	Da'Briyan Weaver	4275	9266		X			X	Alleges 2008 and 2015 diagnoses. Was not aware of the filing, spoke to an attorney who did not get back to her, filed after heard other people were filing. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9266 complaining about the process. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1041	TRO892095FTC	2/23/2016	Murray, Daisy	Daisy Murray	7033		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm and the Lundy & Davis firm in 2001 class action; did not know that there was a bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1042	TRO900887FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Daisy	Daisy Walker	7048			X			X	Unaware of bar date; unaware that she qualified because she was in "area a lot and around;" says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1043	TRO887006FTC	12/14/2015	Mikolaczyk, John	Dale Mikolaczyk & Andrew Lentowski, Esq.	6924			X				1976 diagnosis; worked "out of town" in New York City from 1980-2010, only returned on occasional weekends and was unaware of any such class action lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1044	TRO887481FTC	12/14/2015	Bateast, Damarcus	Damarcus, Bateast	5630		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2005. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1045	TRO902730FTC	8/16/2016	Bradshaw, Damesheon	Damesheon Bradshaw	7136			X		X		2009 symptoms; diagnosis "yes," date unclear; says was not aware of Tronox claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1046	TRO891636FTC	2/23/2016	Matrix, Damien	Damien Mattix	4402		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product, unaware required to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1047	TRO902724FTC	8/16/2016	Bradshaw, Damion	Damion Bradshaw	7132			X				Diagnosis dates not listed. Says did not fully understand Tronox claims filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1048	Unknown	2/23/2016	Robinson, Damion	Damion Robinson	7885			X			X	2011 diagnosis; minor in 2009; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
1049	TRO891569FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Dan	Dan Clark	5324			X				2006 diagnosis; says previously filed with Johnny Cochran/Wilbur Colom; unaware of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a different litigation it cannot be asserted in the bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, attorneys should have filed it in the bankruptcy proceedings. Colom firm was active in the bankruptcy proceedings and had direct notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1050	TRO912585FTC	5/30/2017	Ungureit, Dana	Dana Ungureit	6871			X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date and some after; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), motion denied as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1051	TRO900772FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Dana	Dana Walker	3831			X			X	First diagnosed in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1052	TRO884190FTC	11/25/2015	Saddler, Lavaro	Daniel Cockrell	7611		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still has residence there in 2009; was incarcerated at time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1053	TRO895220FTC	3/23/2016	Cockrell, Daniel	Daniel Cockrell	7782	9016		X				Diagnoses in 1980s-1990s; did not receive a form and did not realize there was a deadline; place of exposure not clear. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9016 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1054	TRO898053FTC	6/20/2016	Cockrell, Velma	Daniel Cockrell	7786		X	X				The injured party died in 1987; as to conditions, says records not found; rep does not provide an excuse for missed bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1055	TRO905300FTC	3/1/2017	Hendricks, Johnnie	Danielle Crowder Hendricks	6928	7327		X				The trust incorrectly identified the motion at docket # 6890 as relating to Johnnie Hendricks but the motion at docket #6890 was for Andreka Hendricks. The motion for Johnnie Hendricks was filed at docket # 7327 with the signature page filed at docket # 6928. As to Johnnie Hendricks: motion alleges a 2008 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; the rep says first found out about the process in February 2016, does not provide any other explanation for not filing or not pursuing action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1056	TRO887397FTC	12/14/2015	McNeil, Ebony	Danielle McNeil	7122			X				Mother filing for her child; former resident of Columbus, MS; mother says did not know that a claim was even available. Alleges domestic violence situation, mentally ill husband. No supporting information. Movant also filed a claim for herself at docket 7135. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1057	TRO887570FTC	12/14/2015	McNeil, Danielle	Danielle McNeil	7135		X	X		X		Various conditions listed, diagnosis dates not clear; former Columbus, MS resident who moved out of state in 2011 due to domestic violence; unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1058	TRO896352FTC	4/13/2016	Smith, Danielle	Danielle Smith	4797			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis Sept. 2009; cut-and-pasted summary language as to reasons for not filing. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1059	TRO894347FTC	3/2/2016	Cunningham, Danny	Danny Cunningham	3982		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline - doesn't read Wall Street Journal or other publications. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1060	TRO889502FTC	2/23/2016	Howard, Danny	Danny Howard	3657	5024		X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1061	TRO901838FTC	9/14/2016	Washington, Danny	Danny Washington	6615			X		X		Diagnosed "2010-2017." unaware exposed prior to deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1062	TRO898003FTC	6/10/2016	Morgan, Willie	Danny Washington	6616			X				Submitted for father; diagnosed May 2009; unaware father exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1063	TRO888774FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Danyae	Danyae Harrison	4875		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of the public notice and its bar date; says was not properly notified nor made aware of a specific deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1064	TRO886111FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Daphne	Daphne Brown	4838	8102	X	X				1988 diagnosis; filed with Wilbur Colom in 2002 class action; unaware of process; did not know could file for deceased relative; supp filed at docket #8102. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not already resolved in a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1065	TRO891111FTC	2/23/2016	Norton, Daphne	Daphne Norton	7035		X	X				1970 diagnosis; not aware and no knowledge of any publication via TV or social media; did not have any notice from Tronox; says publication notice was inadequate; did not read any of the publications. Says that no claimant could have known or appreciated at the bar date the significance of the injuries suffered and how they would manifest in different ways over time and all of the losses and expenses that would ensue. However, in MS the statute of limitations runs from the date of diagnosis, regardless of whether the injured party knows the cause of the injury. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1066	<b>TRO886449FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Terry, Daphne</b>	<b>Daphne Terry</b>	9485							Acceptance of Trust offer, not a motion for relief.
1067	TRO886469FTC	12/7/2015	Shinn, Darecia	Darecia Shinn	5020			X		X		Alleges various diagnosis dates; says most conditions were after bar date; provides one paper reflecting a doctor visit in November 2009; says filed in timely manner but appears to be under misimpression that late filing was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1068	TRO884117FTC	11/13/2015	Yetna, Zykheria	Darecia Shinn	5065			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010; infant was born 2010 and died a few months later; she says her claim was denied because of the way the dates were split up. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1069	TRO891784FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Darius	Darius Thompson	6634						X	Diagnoses 2005 and 2009; child and incompetent at time of deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1070	TRO892688FTC	2/23/2016	Pippins, Darla Holt	Darla Holt Pippins	5635		X	X				1991 diagnosis; says filed over and over again (apparently referring to communications with trust about late claim) and still has not gotten a response. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1071	TRO902888FTC	10/13/2016	Duck, Easter	Darlene Duck	3867		X	X				Filing for mother who was diagnosed in 1999 and died in 2006. Says did not know about the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1072	TRO902554FTC	9/14/2016	Spencer, Darlene	Darlene Spencer	6483		X	X				2002 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; insufficient notice, did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from media about the matter. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1073	TRO902556FTC	9/14/2016	Spencer, Tyler	Darlene Spencer	6486		X	X				2004 diagnosis; did not have knowledge that a bankruptcy claim was going on; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from any media concerning the matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1074	TRO885920FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Darnell	Darnell Jones	5362		X	X			X	Unaware of bar date; says diagnosed in 1996 for some condition but then says hospitalized in 2016 for spot on lungs, unclear if is alleging a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1075	TRO888873FTC	12/30/2015	Brooks, Darrell	Darrell Brooks	6370	8757	X	X				Many conditions alleged, first diagnosis 1999, unclear if that covered all conditions; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999 and paperwork lost and office closed; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8757 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Court records show that direct notice of the bar date was sent by mail to various attorneys in Columbus, including Mr. Bambach. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1076	TRO894650FTC	3/23/2016	Glenn, Darrell	Darrell Glenn	7690		X	X	X			Previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says not aware could file a claim until filed the claim that is the subject of this motion. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1077	TRO886374FTC	12/7/2015	Sherrod, Darrell	Darrell Sherrod	5469		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to Georgia in 2003; says he was never notified nor did he receive any correspondence about the Tronox bankruptcy claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1078	TRO889390FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Darryl	Darryl Craddieth	6236	8208	X	X				1993 diagnosis; says unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8208, at the time he filled out the claim, he had no knowledge that it was on a deadline; unaware of the claim until a neighbor told him that he could file it based on where he lived. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1079	TRO894113FTC	3/2/2016	Presley, Dartho	Dartho Presley	6753			X				February 6, 2006 diagnosis; did not know/no reason to know father had been exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1080	TRO884122FTC	11/13/2015	Shinn, Daryl	Daryl Shinn	3758			X		X		Some diagnoses before 2009, some after, unclear as to what conditions. Says was incarcerated but without timing details, says it was hard to get papers out. Provides Columbus MS addresses for 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1081	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Dasha	Dasha Williams	7865			X		X	X	Diagnoses 2001, 2003, 2016; minor at time of bar date; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; says certain conditions did not manifest until after deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date do not require relief from this court and are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1082	TRO899357FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Dasie	Dasie Howard	4414		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to death in immediate family but does not provide dates. Same handwriting as on other claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1083	TRO888611FTC	12/24/2015	Wright, Dava	Dava Wright	4992		X	X				1971 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach but never heard back; says attorney died before he turned in claims, but Mr. Bambach did not die until long after the bar date. It is not clear what she means but she also says "and attorney Colom I did not meet the deadlines;" this is possibly an indication that she was late to file with the Colom firm, perhaps in another proceeding. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1084	TRO887308FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Davarus	Davarus Jones	5461			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2012; says did not know about deadline and his illnesses manifested after deadline in 2012, but he includes a medical record for chronic sinusitis for 2008. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1085	TRO897693FTC	5/25/2016	Cooperwood, David	David Cooperwood	4619			X				2006 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1086	TRO884261FTC	11/25/2015	Cunningham, David	David Cunningham	3334	6992		X			X	Lists diagnoses in 2009, 2015, 2014. Alleges that attorney failed to file paperwork, but time period unclear. Supplement filed at docket #6992, says sent paperwork many times; says attorney dropped the ball and claimant was left to resend paperwork numerous times, all of which appears to refer to correspondence with the Trust about the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Alleged misconduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process in the absence of a showing that counsel's own failures should be excused. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1087	TRO880072FTC	Unknown	Davis, David	David Davis	6416		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 and 2004 diagnoses; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of notice; had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1088	TRO890724FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, David	David Davis	8430			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; says was in the military and was unaware of the claim; the legal system at Fort Hood made him aware of the fact he could file a claim. Later, he found out could file a claim. Says diagnosed after bar date in Oct. 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1089	TRO888531FTC	Unknown	Gandy, David	David Gandy	8241			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1090	TRO886569FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, David	David Hill	3663	8229 8623	X	X				Diagnosed 1987, did not know of deadline. Supplement filed at docket 8229, a letter complaining that his claim was denied while that of others who did not live in the area were allowed. Supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket 8623. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1091	TRO899358FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, David	David Howard	4525		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis. Lists reason for not filing as death in family but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1092	TRO901284FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, David	David Jefferson	5213		X	X			X	Refers to 2000 diagnosis but also refers to April 2016 issues, unclear if they are just a continuation of prior condition. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 2000 diagnosis also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1093	TRO886764FTC	12/7/2015	McBride, David III	David McBride	6628	8675	X	X				1997 diagnosis; no reasons given as to why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8675. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1094	TRO901370FTC	8/16/2016	Charles Ray, Sr.	David Ray	7575			X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; place of exposure not clear; family was unaware of the lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1095	TRO885784FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, David	David Sherrod	5645		X	X				1990s diagnosis; unaware of claims process until this claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1096	TRO901432FTC	8/16/2016	Dobbs, Mary	David Sprowell	8435	8115	X	X				Diagnosis 2003; the injured party died in 2005. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplement with the rep's medical records filed at docket #8115. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1097	TRO900343FTC	7/25/2016	Sprowell, David	David Sprowell	8508	8115		X			X		Alleges 2010 and later diagnoses. Supplement with medical records filed at docket #8115. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1098	TRO905791FTC	4/24/2017	Goulde, Davin	Davin Goulde	5771	8922	X	X					Trust's summary incorrectly listed the injured party as Willie Whitfield; this claim is by Davin Goulde on his own behalf. Diagnoses 1990 and earlier; unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8922 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1099	TRO902723FTC	8/16/2016	Bradshaw, Davion	Davion Bradshaw	7129		X	X			X		Was not aware of claims; says symptoms 2009 does not provide diagnosis date or medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1100	TRO902988FTC	10/13/2016	Kintz, Dawn	Dawn Kintz	4485			X					Diagnoses in 1990, 1996 and 2003. Says was "unaware of settlement." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1101	TRO896536FTC	4/25/2016	Drungo, Deadra	Deadra Drungo	5970		X	X					Diagnoses 1977, 1996, 1997; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call, letter, or learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1102	TRO900462FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Dean	Dean Jefferson	4972		X	X				1978 diagnosis; unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1103	TRO894418FTC	3/2/2016	Outlaw, Dean	Dean Outlaw	5638			X		X		Was not aware; says that asthma and respiratory issues were diagnosed in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1104	TRO898114FTC	6/20/2016	Clark, Hattie	Dean Taylor	6244		X	X				1990 diagnosis; rep says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior retention of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1105	TRO898113FTC	6/20/2016	Prince, Willie	Dean Taylor	6245		X	X				1990 diagnosis; rep says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior retention of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unexcused conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1106	TRO886233FTC	12/7/2015	Cornwell, Mary	Deana Powell	4968		X	X				2000 diagnosis; injured party died in 2006; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; standard language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1107	TRO890492FTC	2/23/2016	Buck, Deandra	Deandra Buck	5283						X	1995 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach 2007; paperwork lost; minor at time of bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1108	TRO892654FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Deandre	Deandre Hughes	8457	9443		X			X	Claims first diagnosis in 2011 but also says previously filed with Colom firm, unclear if that was in connection with the late-filed claim or some other proceeding; says Colom law firm did not respond. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9443 complaining about the process. If (as alleged) the Colom firm was retained to file a claim by the bar date, and failed to do so, that is not grounds for relief. The Colom firm had direct notice of the bar date, and an unexcused failure by an attorney is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim appears to be based on 2011 diagnosis. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1109	TRO891721FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, De'Andre	De'andre Scott	5204		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1110	TRO912451FTC	5/30/2017	Harrington, Deangus	Deangus Harrington	5069			X				2007 symptoms, does not know diagnosis date; says unaware it was going on at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1111	TRO900374FTC	7/25/2016	Patte, Deann	Deann Patte	7632	8179		X				Diagnoses in 1976 (at birth), 1983, 1991; says was never notified of the case, nor did she hear about any potential claim lawsuits, or legal options regarding Tronox in 2009 or prior; says parents moved out of state prior to 2009; alleges insufficient notice. A supplement filed at docket #8179, says was a teenager at the bar date but exposure in 1976 means claimant was approximately 33 years old in 2009. Says was unaware of the lawsuit or the harm from the chemicals. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1112	TRO896943FTC	4/25/2016	Harris, DeAnthony	DeAnthony Harris	4283		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Colom in 2006, not receive anything. Unclear if attorney pursued the claim, but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. If the claim was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel (Mr. Colom) received direct notice of the bar date.
1113	TRO885922FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, De'Asia	De'Asia Jones	5363						X	1998 diagnosis; minor (12 years old in 2009); guardian unaware of deadline or that condition was caused by Tronox product; did not reside directly in the vicinity of the plant. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1114	TRO889677FTC	2/23/2016	Flowers, DeAviren	DeAviren Flowers	5206		X	X			X	Alleges 2005 and 2015 diagnoses; says did not know and not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1115	TRO901050FTC	Unknown	Hendrix, Debbie	Debbie Hendrix	5549			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2008 and in April 2009; says she was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for her physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses.
1116	TRO898610FTC	6/27/2016	Vickers, Debbie	Debbie Vickers	7940		X	X	X			2005 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1117	TRO895891FTC	3/24/2016	Billups, Deborah	Deborah Billups	4582		X	X				Diagnoses in 1985, 1988 and 1989. She understood the information to say that she needed to hire a lawyer or legal representative which she could not afford, also did not understand legal terminology. Apparently was aware of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to lack of awareness of bar date or of other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1118	TRO884072FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Deborah Bishop	Deborah Bishop Ellis	3310		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, alleges did file a claim in a proceeding in 2001 and never heard back from lawyer. Filing in 2001 must have been in connection with a class action, bankruptcy was not filed until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior legal claim shows knowledge of rights, failure to pursue the same diligently is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Attorney error is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claims based on 2001 diagnosis were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1119	TRO887463FTC	12/14/2015	Hughes, Deborah	Deborah Hughes	3353		X	X				Diagnosed "yes," exhibited symptoms in 1999. Alleges that attorney lost the claim. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1120	TRO880954FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, George	Deborah Hughes	7111		X	X		X		Diagnosis date unclear; the injured party died in 2017; previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1121	TRO894478FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Deborah	Deborah Johnson	5390			X				Diagnoses 2006 and 2007; previously filed with Colom law firm but only for exposure and was awarded \$250-\$500; unaware of the dangers and harmful exposure could cause long-term illness years after exposure. Dealings with Colom law firm show knowledge of risks and legal claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1122	TRO897822FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Dorothy	Deborah Jones	4796			X				1998-99 diagnosis; injured party died in 2011; representative says injured party was incapacitated physically, emotionally and mentally because of her illness; claims representative did not have adequate notice of the bar date; unaware of filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1123	TRO895587FTC	3/24/2016	Moore, Alexia	Deborah Moore	4624	8358	X	X				1991 diagnosis, child died in 1993. Rep says has physical mental and emotional problems but does not document same. A supplement filed at docket #8358, it provides an update as to beneficiary. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1124	TRO888784FTC	12/30/2015	Butler, Debra	Debra Butler	5139		X	X				1985 diagnosis; moved away to Atlanta, Ga and unaware of lawsuit against Kerr-McGee. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1125	TRO890628FTC	2/23/2016	Hopkins, Debra	Debra Hopkins	7515			X		X		2009 diagnosis (date not clear); was unaware could file; pastor of Maranatha Center in Columbus advised her to complete an application for future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1126	TRO891653FTC	2/23/2016	James, Leon	Debra James	5145			X				1968 diagnosis; rep says that they were unaware at time that this was going on; says injured party was sick at the time frequently in hospital but does not provide specific dates or medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1127	TRO886516FTC	12/7/2015	Loftin, Debra	Debra Loftin	6513		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says was not aware of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1128	<b>TRO903017FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Malone, Debra</b>	<b>Debra Malone</b>	5702			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1129	TRO903559FTC	11/23/2016	Martin, Debra	Debra Martin	6155		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2002, 2010, 2012; says had no knowledge; the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says was in the hospital taking different medications was not aware of such proceedings; says a relative with same conditions and who lived in same area was approved. Unclear whether particular conditions were first diagnosed after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1130	TRO896093FTC	4/6/2016	Porter, Debra	Debra Porter	6260			X			X	2009 and 2011 diagnoses; says had no knowledge that she had been exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but dates of 2009 diagnoses are not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1131	TRO889399FTC	2/23/2016	Shaw, Debra	Debra Shaw	6503		X	X			X	Says symptoms began in 1990, diagnosis in 2010; was not aware of the claim and filing deadline. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1132	TRO893994FTC	3/2/2016	Buchanan, Debra Stewart	Debra Stewart Buchanan	4276			X				First diagnosis "1970 to 2009." Says papers were filed on time. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To the extent the claim was late-filed, no excuse has been offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1133	TRO894528FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Luecille	Debra Tuggle	6328		X	X				1960, 1965 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2003; rep does not provide excuse; rep says does not understand how people who did not live in the area are getting awarded and those who resided in area were denied. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1134	TRO894529FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Lee	Debra Tuggle	6431		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1986. No excuse listed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1135	<b>TRO894526FTC</b>	3/23/2016	O'Neal, Carrie	Debra Tuggle	6537		X	X				Diagnosis date not specified but stated to be "years after her birth;" the injured party died in 1987; rep unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1136	TRO884153FTC	11/13/2015	Butler, Emma	Debra Walter	3289		X	X				Diagnosed in 1987, died in 1989; resident of Columbus, MS; rep says not aware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1137	TRO881045FTC	12/4/2015	Craddieth, Joan	Debra Williams	7937		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2004 and earlier; unaware of the information she was getting about the possibility of getting a settlement. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1138	TRO891637FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Debra	Debra Wilson	7213		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Unexcused conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1139	N/a	Unknown	Buckhalter, Decameron	Decameron Buckhalter	8416			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
1140	TRO903663FTC	11/23/2016	Bell, Dedrah	Dedrah Bell	6692	8228	X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after it passed, also says did not know exposed prior to deadline; says discharge was violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8228, unaware of claim filing until future tort claim in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1141	TRO881038FTC	12/4/2015	Anderson, Dedrick	Dedrick Anderson	6337	9483	X	X				1966 diagnosis; says that during filing time in 2009 was incapacitated from months in rehab but does not provide dates or documentation. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1142	TRO885523FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, De Forrest	De Forrest Johnson	3415	8226	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8226, says he filed at same times as others whose claims were allowed and they do not live in the area. Lawyer's mistake is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the lawyer's failure can itself be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Earlier filing with counsel awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1143	TRO901093FTC	Unknown	Howard, Kyler	Deidre Lewis	6042			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice as to a proposed \$80,000 allowed claim. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1144	TRO904939FTC	Unknown	Howard, Jakylen	Deidre Lewis	6043			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1145	TRO900243FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Deidre	Deidre Lewis	6047			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust. There is also a rejection filed by Deidre Lewis for the rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust at docket #6049, but it has a different TRO number [TRO901091FTC]. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1146	TRO901091FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Deidre	Deidre Lewis	6049							Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust. There is also a rejection filed by Deidre Lewis for the rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust at docket #6047, but it has a different TRO number [TRO909243FTC]. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1147	TRO899252FTC	7/11/2016	Straugher, Deja	Deja Straugther	5124		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1148	TRO892317FTC	2/23/2016	Hollis, Dejuan	Dejuan Hollis	3449			X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosis date(s) not clear. Says did not file because "no knowledge of a claim was against Kerr McGee at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1149	TRO885186FTC	11/25/2015	Shelton, James	Delerie Graham	4010		X	X				The motion at docket # 4010 is for James Lee Shelton (claim TRO885186FTC); another claim for James A. Shelton (TRO884095FTC) is at docket # 7221. The injured party was diagnosed in 1970s and 1980s and died in 1999. Rep says that at the beginning of the claim (not precise what time period this references) the rep was undergoing surgeries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1150	TRO893891FTC	3/2/2016	Boler, Delinda	Delinda Boler	8407		X	X				Diagnoses 1975, 1980, 2003; unaware of the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1151	TRO890183FTC	2/23/2016	Toliver, Tekler	Dell Jackson	6302		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says did not know about this, and did file a claim long ago with other people from the church, but Trust's records show bankruptcy claim was filed in February 2016, no record of any other bankruptcy claim. When he moved he contacted the attorney with the change of address and has no idea what happened then. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1152	TRO891663FTC	2/23/2016	Flimmons, Della	Della Flimmons	6944		X	X			X	Claim says she and her husband (deceased 1992) sent in claims through the church but she only received the determination notice for herself, is apparently referring to late-filed claims. Her own diagnoses are not clear, says problems began 1983. Husband's claim was time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. As to claimant's own claim: Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1153	TRO898652FTC	6/27/2016	Stevenson, Delma	Delma Stevenson	7326			X			X	Unaware of the claim process and failed to file a claim; says symptoms 1999 and diagnosis Sept 21, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1154	TRO889877FTC	2/23/2016	Rush, Deloice	Deloice Rush	7834			X				2000 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Place of exposure is not clear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1155	<b>TRO881028FTC</b>	12/4/2015	Elliot, Delois	Delois Elliot	5217	5251		X				The correct claim number for the motion at docket # 5217 is for Delois Elliot [TRO881028FTC]. 1981 or 1992 diagnosis (unsure of date). Unaware of claim filing; lived out of state at the time; also says paperwork was mailed back but unclear what paperwork. A duplicate motion for Delois Elliot is at docket #5251, but with a different claim number [TRO880820FTC]. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1156	<b>TRO898733FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Franks, Delois</b>	<b>Delois Franks</b>	3931			X				Motion not listed in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1157	TRO886645FTC	12/7/2015	Little, Delois	Delois Little	3625		X	X				Diagnosed 1956, "I didn't know about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1158	TRO880006FTC	12/4/2015	Anthony, Deloris	Deloris Anthony	5331	8207	X	X				Diagnoses 1990 and earlier. Unaware of process or that could file claim; moved to another town in Mississippi in 2003. A supplement filed at docket #8207. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1159	TRO885472FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Deloris	Deloris Brooks	4071	5971	X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1988; heart condition diagnosis date not listed. Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2005. Says was unaware of Tronox case, suffered from depression and anxiety after death of relative before 2009 but does not give that date; recovering from back surgery and other medical issues but does not provide dates. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 5971. Was did not file in 2009 because was unaware the attorneys were taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel confirms awareness of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims accruing before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1160	TRO884637FTC	11/25/2015	Hawkins, Louise	Deloris Brooks	4328	5999	X	X				1970s diagnoses. Duplicate motion at docket # 5999. Reasons did not file: old age, unaware of filing claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1161	TRO885466FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Eugene	Deloris Brooks	4331	5972	X	X				Diagnoses in 1960 and 1990. Rep unaware attorneys were taking claims; a duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 5972. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1162	Unknown	Unknown	Buckhalter, Deloris	Deloris Buckhalter	8417			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
1163	TRO892183FTC	2/23/2016	Conner, Terry	Deloris Conner	7066		X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; previously filed with Colom law firm, says received a small check but that later Colom said he did not represent the injured party. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. No indication that Colom firm represented the movant in 2009 but if it did that is of no help because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date, and failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1164	TRO884718FTC	11/25/2015	Grassree, Deloris	Deloris Grassree	5538		X	X				2002, 2003 diagnoses; she says did not know because nobody told her anything, that is why she did not know she had to show proof. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1165	TRO892541FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, V.	Deloris Washington	5434	9245	X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see publication notices. A supplement filed at docket #9245 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1166	TRO888893FTC	12/30/2015	Brown, Demarco	Demarco Brown	6308			X				1999 symptoms onset, no diagnosis date listed; did not file a claim the first time because was told only the people who lived in the area could file a claim, may be thinking of the class action in early 2000s on behalf of nearby residents. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1167	TRO901398FTC	8/16/2016	Bynum, Demarcus	Demarcus Bynum	5268			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but does not provide medical records; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1168	TRO896623FTC	4/25/2016	White, Demarcus	DeMarcus White	6495			X		X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page and a signed rejection notice. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1169	<b>TRO897133FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Guyton, Demario</b>	<b>Demario Guyton</b>	7793		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1993 diagnosis; not aware would qualify; not aware needed to file; assumed was already represented. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1170	N/a	#N/A	Cole, DeMark	DeMark Cole	7677			X		X		Unclear what claimed diagnosis dates were. Merely application for future tort claim; no excuse provided, no grounds for relief from bar date. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1171	TRO886970FTC	12/7/2015	Burgin, Dementrius	Dementrius Burgin	3409	8176 8634	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8176, a letter complaining that people who did not even live in the area were being compensated and his claim was denied. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8634. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Attorney's mistake is not a proper ground for relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1172	TRO902290FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Byron	Demetric Williams	6150		X	X				2003 diagnosis; rep says moved to Arkansas in 2000; heard of lawsuit against Kerr-McGee at this time but did not contact right people and was informed too late to file a claim and the courts were already issuing payments. When another lawsuit was reopened for this case, she did not become aware of it until after bar date; unaware of deadlines or any such matters. After getting informed of current lawsuit, reached out to Tronox and they sent an application without mentioning that the deadline to file had passed. Instead, was told to get application back quickly to get it processed. Was aware of legal proceedings, did not pursue claim with sufficient diligence to warrant relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1173	TRO902291FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Demetric	Demetric Williams	6151		X	X				2002 and 2006 diagnoses; this motion is filed by the same person who filed the motion as to injured party Byron Williams [docket 6150]; same explanation as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Was aware of legal proceedings, did not pursue claim with sufficient diligence to warrant relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1174	TRO892351FTC	2/23/2016	Leigh, Demetrice	Demetrice Leigh	5955		X	X			X	Says she filed for earlier conditions but did not know she had to file her claims for conditions after 2009 or about a deadline for doing so. Unclear if the reference to a filing for earlier conditions was in connection with the bar date or the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1175	TRO90053FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Demetrice	Demetrice Trimble	5545			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust did not exist until long after the bar date, so this must be a reference to the filing of the late-filed claim. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim, wants another opportunity to add certain chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1176	TRO896645FTC	4/25/2016	Miller, Demetricit	Demetricit Miller	4410			X			X	Diagnosis January 12, 2009. Says did file claim. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1177	TRO892995FTC	2/23/2016	Reeves, Demetrics Lowe	Demetrics Lowe Reeves	3838		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Not aware of claim process, never saw "advertisements" in the newspapers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1178	<b>TRO896131FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Taylor, Demetries</b>	<b>Demetries Taylor</b>	6263			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1179	Unknown	3/24/2016	Burgin, Demetrius	Demetrius Burgin	7869		X	X				Diagnoses 1988 and 1993; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1180	TRO884417FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Demetrius	Demetrius Harris	7578		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was a child when class actions began but alleges exposures beginning in 1979, so was approximately 30 or more years old at the time of the bar date; moved out of state and did not have information on how to process or proceed with the claim; unaware of cause of conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1181	TRO889407FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Demetrius	Demetrius Robinson	3620		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file by bar date because wasn't aware of possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1182	TRO886975FTC	12/7/2015	Woodrick, Demetrius	Demetrius Woodrick	3352		X	X				Diagnosed in 2000. Alleges he made a claim in 2000 but attorney lost it. Any claim filed in 2000 must have related to a different proceeding because the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior claim in another proceeding confirms prior awareness of injury and cause. Attorney conduct also is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief in the absence of a showing that the attorney's conduct was excusable. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1183	TRO894255FTC	3/2/2016	Butler, Demond	Demond Butler	6569			X				Diagnosis in either 2008 or 2009 (handwriting hard to decipher); unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; called toll-free number, was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1184	TRO886658FTC	12/7/2015	Cunningham, Demontrell	Demontrell Cunningham	6682		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1185	TRO892121FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Demorius	Demorius Walker	5426	8293					X	1995/2003 diagnoses; minor; very poor, no access to internet, cable tv or radio; says did not understand anything about Tronox company; unaware of lawsuit against Kerr McGee because people were calling it Tronox. A supplement filed at docket # 8293. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1186	TRO898837FTC	6/27/2016	Dempsey, Orian	Dempsey, Orian	5784			X				1991 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Place where exposure occurred is not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1187	TRO886774FTC	12/7/2015	Nicholson, Denai	Denai Nicholson	3499						X	2006-07 diagnosis. Says at the time she was a minor and unable to file a claim; does not say why guardian did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1188	TRO885913FTC	Unknown	Archibald, Deneen	Deneen Archibald	6408		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1982 and 2008; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1189	TRO884460FTC	11/25/2015	Lowe, Denetra	Denetra Lowe	5964		X	X				2002 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002, paperwork misplaced or not submitted by attorney; did not know anything about the suits taking place; not informed by any type of correspondence, TV newspaper or radio; no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case or of bar date; no knowledge on how to file a complete and accurate claim for a "Future Tort Claim." Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1190	TRO885113FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Johnny	Denetra Stewart	7511		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party resided in Columbus, MS but is deceased; rep says worked two jobs and sent information when could; post office closed when she gets out of work; did not have relatives there to help her; was not informed of lawsuit by family until it was almost over. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1191	TRO889542FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Denetra	Denetra Stewart	7512		X	X				Diagnosis listed as "10," apparently meaning age (so approximately 1990); former resident of Columbus, MS; was not informed of bar date in ample time; works 2 jobs when gets out of work post office closed; did not have family there to help her to handle business. Her computer is monitored closely, limited time off. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1192	TRO900926FTC	8/16/2016	Dismukes, Denier	Denier Dismukes	7118						X	Minor at bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1193	TRO902820FTC	10/13/2016	Abromavage, Denis	Denis Abromavage	5662			X				2004 diagnosis; moved from PA to FLA in 1999 and was not informed of the Tronox tort claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1194	TRO890813FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Willie	Denise Davis	4508		X	X				Diagnoses of different conditions at different dates, some in 1979 or 1980s, but alleges lung cancer diagnosis in 2006 and prostate cancer in 2008. Says claimant was deceased on bar date, rep does not explain failure to file by bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1195	TRO890062FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Denise	Denise Davis	7580		X	X				1957 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, he referred to another firm, received \$500 in 2001. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1196	TRO891030FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, Denise	Denise Malone	3556		X	X				1993 Diagnosis. Alleges lack of knowledge but nothing else. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1197	TRO890211FTC	Unknown	Baker, Dennis	Dennis Baker	6037			X				Motion is by Dennis Baker on his own behalf, not for Paula Baker; the motion by Paula Baker as to her claim (TRO889238FTC) is at docket # 5681. Motion by Dennis Baker contains no information, just a signature page.
1198	TRO898137FTC	6/20/2016	Brewer, Dennis	Dennis Brewer	7562			X		X		Says first diagnosed after the bar date, in 2011; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware of the health threatening issues. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1199	Unknown	Unknown	Buckhalter, Dennis	Dennis Buckhalter	8418			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
1200	TRO894342FTC	3/2/2016	Gray, Dennis	Dennis Gray	6092		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former employee of plant in Hamilton, MS who was living in Alabama in 2009 and was not aware of receiving any document or information in regards to this claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1201	TRO889825FTC	2/23/2016	Lodell, Tillman	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4424		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1996, died in 2003; got no information concerning class action; "no information coming from Chicago." Notice of the bar date was published in the Chicago Tribune as well as in other papers across the country. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1202	TRO886387FTC	12/7/2015	Taylor, James	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4433		X	X				No diagnosis date listed but says had surgery for the condition in 1988. Says he tried unsuccessfully to find an attorney in late 1990s. Was unaware of the "class action" until 2016. Prior effort to find counsel shows awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that had accrued as of the 1990s also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1203	TRO898990FTC	6/27/2016	Brown, Patricia	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4434		X	X				1993 diagnosis; was unaware of the "class action." Bankruptcy process is separate from the prior class actions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1204	TRO887853FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Lee	Dennis Harmon, Esq.	4969			X		X		Diagnoses of different conditions, some before bar date, some after. Not aware of everything going on with this suit; lawyer says claimant did not understand what heard or felt that it held any truth. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. To the extent claimant alleges post-bar date diagnoses, the merits of that claim (and any defenses) are to be resolved under Tort Claims dispute resolution procedures.
1205	TRO890066FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Dennis	Dennis Lewis	6314			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1206	Unknown	Unknown	Buckhalter, Denntians	Denntian Buckhalter	8419			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
1207	TRO894479FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Deon	Deon Lewis	6249			X		X		Not included on Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1208	TRO889554FTC	Unknown	Selvie, Deon	Deon Selvie	4139			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1209	TRO889232FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Deon	Deon Young	4140			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1210	TRO891780FTC	2/23/2016	Hood, Deondray	Deondray Hood	5511		X	X			X	Unaware claims were being filed; says symptoms 1990, diagnosis 2013; says did not have financial resources to go to doctor until she got sick. Unclear if diagnosis date is disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1211	<b>TRO889609FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bonner, Deondre</b>	<b>Deondre Bonner</b>	6040	6366		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page. Duplicates at 6040 and 6366.
1212	TRO887691FTC	12/14/2015	Gibbs, DeQuavius	DeQuavius Gibbs	8444		X	X				1997 diagnosis; says did not file prior to 2015 because was given a lot of unclear information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1213	<b>TRO888378FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Petty, Dereck</b>	<b>Dereck Petty</b>	3937			X				Motion is not listed in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1214	TRO890851FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, Derek	Derek Calloway	5291			X				No diagnosis dates listed, no reason provided as to failure to file by bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1215	TRO889158FTC	2/23/2016	Cotton, Deric	Deric Cotton	6580		X	X				1979 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr McGee Creosote Plant Class Action lawsuit; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date; says was incarcerated but none of the confinement dates were in 2009. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1216	TRO888263FTC	12/18/2015	Phinzee, Derrick	Derrick Phinzee	4898			X			X	Incarcerated until December 2009; also says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date; does not provide medical records. Motion does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis as to which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim based on post-bar date diagnoses is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1217	TRO886939FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Derrick	Derrick Porter	4590		X	X				1989 symptoms, diagnosis "years ago." Says there was confusion around filing claim, did not know the proper steps to take concerning it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1218	TRO890999FTC	2/23/2016	Seals, Derrick	Derrick Seals	4182		X	X				1976 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach; was offered \$500 in 2007 but he declined. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1219	TRO912764FTC	5/30/2017	Walls, Derrick	Derrick Walls	7792		X	X				1992 diagnosis; did not know about claims; former resident of Columbus, MS. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1220	TRO884269FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Derrion	Derrion Dickerson	6463		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; publication of claims form and deadline was not reasonably calculated for potential clients unknown at the time of notice; did not know was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1221	TRO901073FTC	8/16/2016	Nash, Derrion	Derrion Nash	6859		X	X				2001 diagnosis; did not know and had no knowledge that he had been exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1222	TRO890868FTC	2/23/2016	Givens, Desi	Desi Givens	3763						X	1996 diagnosis. Says not aware of claims process, attached letter says unaware of dangers while living in area. Says was child in 1996, cannot tell age of claimant in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age in 2009 and, if was a minor at that time, to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1223	TRO900779FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Dessie	Dessie Jefferson	4995		X	X				1979 diagnosis; unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1224	TRO902904FTC	10/13/2016	Coleman, Fred	Destiny Coleman	6089		X	X				The injured party died in 1993; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attached form letter that the actions occurred before the bar date and she did not file because she was not made aware of the claim, she filed right away when she learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1225	TRO900991FTC	8/16/2016	Wells, Detrick	Detrick Wells	6980		X	X				Diagnosis 2001-2003; did not have prior knowledge of a claim, nor was he made aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1226	TRO902588FTC	9/14/2016	Wells, Devalin	Devalin Wells	6212	8055	X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved in 1994; not notified by mail or publication; did not know of any class action claim until 2016 when visiting and made aware any claim was "reopened." Claims process was not reopened, this appears to be a misconception that has spread among movants. A duplicate motion filed at docket #8055. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1227	TRO891016FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins, Devin	Devin Hawkins	6310			X				Diagnoses 1990-1994; says was a minor but also lists an address in Columbus for "1988-present," meaning was at least 21 at the time of the bar date; did not know about the action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1228	TRO897674FTC	5/25/2016	Short, Devin	Devin Short	6226			X		X		This is merely a rejection of an allowed claim of \$5,000 offered by the trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1229	TRO893961FTC	3/2/2016	Walton, Devin	Devin Walton	5400		X	X				Diagnoses in 1993, 1996 and 2008; no excuse provided for failure to meet bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1230	TRO901657FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Devondra	Devondra Smith	5396						X	1999 diagnosis; minor; says relocated to another county and was unaware of claim; did not see any advertisement about it; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1231	TRO880774FTC	Unknown	Glenn, Devonta	Devonta Glenn	5264	5341	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; says did not know and had no knowledge that exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1232	TRO893501FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Devontess	Devontress Harris	4801						X	Minor at time of bar date; does not say why guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at time of the bar date, explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Check the claim filing date.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1233	TRO900060FTC	7/25/2016	Mosley, Dewayne	Dewayne Mosley	3755			X			X	Form not filled out, just circles "b" - condition not manifested until after bar date. Insufficient supporting information to justify relief from the bar date as to any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1234	TRO889624FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Dewayne Quentarius	Dewayne Quentarius Smith	5246		X	X				Says was diagnosed between 2000 and 2008; previously filed with atty Bambach; does not have access to any of the records; unaware claim form was being settled; the form of notice deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculate to provide notice to potential claimants. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1235	TRO889888FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Leslie	Dewayne Walker	6342			X				June 2009 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2012; rep says sister was not living in Columbus and did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, symptoms and diagnosis June 2009. Does not explain or justify lengthy delay until filed claim in February 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1236	TRO901609FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Dexter	Dexter Moore	3736			X				Diagnosed July 2009, "thought I did." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date or of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No record of actual claim filing until late claim filed in 2016. No excuse offered.
1237	TRO892133FTC	2/23/2016	Rice, Diamond	Diamond Rice	5905			X				April 2009 diagnosis; unaware of filing process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1238	TRO902634FTC	4/20/2016	Walker, Diamond	Diamond Walker	5064						X	Conditions at childhood; says was 18 at bar date and did not have any legal counsel to advise. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1239	TRO897668FTC	Unknown	Stewart, Dian	Dian Stewart	3571		X	X				Motion is not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed in 1976, alleges publication notice insufficient, but does not give any reason why. Publication notices were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1240	TRO887571FTC	12/14/2015	Bryant, Diana	Diana Bryant	3362			X				Was never diagnosed, "I sense it on my own." Began exhibiting symptoms not before 2004. Only says that did not file claim because didn't know about the process, sent claim when found out. Says did not get a lawyer to pursue claim due to cost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1241	TRO892263FTC	2/23/2016	Fenster, Diana	Diana Fenster	4532		X	X				1960 diagnosis. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1242	TRO894357FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Charlie	Diana Fenster	4536		X	X				1988 diagnosis, death in 1994. Reps says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. A rejection notice is also filed at docket # 7822. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1243	TRO895038FTC	3/23/2016	Jefferies, Billie	Diana Murray	7894		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1998 and earlier; the injured party died in 1998; rep says the bar date was set only 52 days (36 business days) after bankruptcy petition, but that does not explain the many years of delay after the bar date before a claim was filed; says did not get the publications where notices appeared; claims there was no publication in the Commercial Dispatch but that is not correct, the notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009, and a certificate of publication was filed with the Court. Says neither the injured party nor his doctor were aware he had been exposed to a dangerous Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1244	TRO894639FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Dianca	Dianca Jones	7764		X	X				1996 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$500 check which they did not cash; moved to North Carolina and unaware of deadline; says was told (does not say by whom) that claim process was only for people in Columbus. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim may have been resolved in prior class action regardless of whether check was cashed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1245	TRO886558FTC	12/7/2015	Anderson, Diane	Diane Anderson	6783		X	X				1995 diagnosis; discusses work with Kerr-McGee, and injuries, but offers no explanation or showing of entitlement to relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1246	TRO887888FTC	12/14/2015	Ball, Diane	Diane Ball	4914			X				Diagnosis more than 20 years ago; unaware of process; says had severe depression from diagnosis and radiation treatments but does not list dates; did not read news. Insufficient showing of inability to file claim or to enlist aid of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1247	TRO892115FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Willie	Diane Coleman	4780		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to filing claim but it appears she is referencing filing with the trust after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1248	TRO893210FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Ivie	Diane Davis	4667		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Gunn 2000-2001, which they claim was not received or misplaced. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If counsel lost or misplaced a claim in another proceeding, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1249	<b>TRO895285FTC</b>	3/23/2016	Gore, Louise	Diane Gore	6238	6507		X			X	Rep says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 and mentions that she is referencing most recent conditions. The same motion was filed with a different TRO claim number at docket #6507 [TRO896699FTC]. It appears that the original claim may have mentioned earlier conditions and/or diagnoses, but motion only references alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar dates. Motion does not identify any pre-bar date diagnoses for which relief from the bar date is sought, and so motion for permission to file such claims is denied. The merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1250	TRO896699FTC	4/25/2016	Gore, Louise	Diane Gore	6507	6238		X			X	Rep says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 and mentions that she is referencing most recent conditions. The same motion was filed with a different TRO claim number at docket #6238. It appears that the original claim may have mentioned earlier conditions and/or diagnoses, but motion only references alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar dates. Motion does not identify any pre-bar date diagnoses for which relief from the bar date is sought, and so motion for permission to file such claims is denied. The merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1251	TRO905015FTC	1/24/2017	Gore, Diane	Diane Gore	6509			X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; says misinterpreted the conditions of the claim and forgot to add most recent conditions; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to the extent it seeks relief from the bar date as to a pre-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1252	TRO884130FTC	11/13/2015	Hill, Diane	Diane Hill	3412	9281	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 2001; paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9281 complaining about the process. Attorney's mistake is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1253	TRO896775FTC	4/25/2016	Davis, Bunny	Diane Johnson	5942		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1991; rep says moved away and did not know about the Tronox tort claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1254	TRO881063FTC	12/4/2015	Klimasiewfski, Walter	Diane Klimasiewfski	7155		X	X				Diagnoses 1985 or 1987; the injured party died in 1989; rep says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1255	TRO881047FTC	12/4/2015	Klimasiewfski, Diane	Diane Klimasiewfski	7156			X		X		Various diagnoses, one in 1986, rest after bar date; says unaware of the claims filing deadline; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says health issues manifested after bar date but also says had issues prior but was unaware of deadline to file; says the notice was deficient on its face but offers no specifics. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1256	TRO902258FTC	9/14/2016	Prude, Diane	Diane Prude	7760		X	X				Diagnoses 1973, 2001, 2002, 2003; previously filed with Hamilton, Sexton & Berry 2005; later attempted to contact law firm but it was out of business. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Unclear if filing with attorneys led to a pending litigation at the time of the bankruptcy but if so direct notice of the bar date was provided to counsel and a proof of claim should have been filed. If claim was not pursued by counsel, that is not grounds for excusable neglect unless counsel's failures are excusable, no such excuse has been offered. Furthermore, if the claims were not part of a pre-bankruptcy litigation then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1257	TRO892003FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Earnest	Diane Stinson	3506		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Filing on behalf of deceased relative. Refers to unspecified presentations about Kerr-McGee chemicals causing injuries, alleges unfairness that cannot have compensation for Mr. Clark's injuries. No explanation as to failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1258	TRO892008FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Diane	Diane Stinson	3508		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, does not properly allege excusable neglect or grounds for due process relief, just says "I was not aware." Same family group as claims 3506 and 3507. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1259	TRO896049FTC	4/6/2016	Harris, Dianne	Dianne Harris	7013		X	X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2009 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1260	TRO894901FTC	4/24/2017	Cotton, Diante	Diante Cotton	4863			X				Not included in Trust's summary. The medical records for Aretha Fields [TRO894900FTC] at docket #8441 are in Mr. Cotton's envelope. The excuse is that he did not know about the bar date; did not see any advertisement about filing; did not see anything in the newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1261	TRO889917FTC	2/23/2016	Daniels, Delanne	Dilanna Daniels	5372						X	1999 diagnosis; minor (age 15) in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1262	TRO887793FTC	12/14/2015	James, Dinah	Dinah James	3421		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1983 diagnosis. Says that all paperwork was sent before deadlines but it appears she is referring to paperwork sent to Garretson Trust in recent years, not before bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1263	TRO880229FTC	12/4/2015	Karriem, Dinetta	Dinetta Krriem	3741	8872	X	X				Diagnosed 1986, copies reasons for non-filing verbatim from descriptions in notice but without elaboration. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8872 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1264	TRO893431FTC	2/23/2016	Shields, Diquana	Diquana Shields	5319			X				Alleges first diagnosis in 2008 but says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2005; former resident of Columbus, MS who lived in Texas in 2009. Prior claim with Bambach shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1265	TRO881048FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, Dolores	Dolores Dale	7004			X		X		Says had issues that manifested before the bar date and other issues that manifested afterwards; unaware of claim filing deadline; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; she does not read Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1266	Unknown	12/14/2015	Harrison, AJ	Doloris Robinson	7871		X	X				Diagnoses 1999 and 2004; the injured party died in 2004, says injured party was incapacitated after 1999; rep previously retained Colom law firm but uncertain of outcome of proceeding. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1267	TRO896914FTC	4/25/2016	Gardner, Kya	Dominga Gardner	7177			X				The motion at docket # 7177 is for Kya Gardner; a motion on behalf of Kirsan Gardner was filed at docket #7201. As for docket #7177: place of exposure not clear; parent says did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to the Tronox product; says diagnosis June 2009. Notice of the bar date was also published in The Birmingham News in Birmingham, AL, which is about 20 miles from Helena, AL. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1268	TRO897140FTC	5/4/2016	Gardner, Kirsan	Dominga Gardner	7201			X				The motion at docket # 7201 is for Kirsan Gardner; the Trust's summary mistakenly referred to Kirsan Gardner as having been the party covered by the separate motion at docket # 7177, which was actually filed for Kya Gardner. As to docket # 7201: place of exposure not clear; parent says did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to the Tronox product; says diagnosis was in 2008. Notice of the bar date was also published in The Birmingham News in Birmingham, AL, which is about 20 miles from Helena, AL. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1269	TRO887729FTC	12/14/2015	Dora, Dominic	Dominic Dora	4757		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Says did not know or understand that the symptoms she had were due to the exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1270	TRO888602FTC	12/24/2015	Occhiato, Dominick	Dominick Occhiato	4174			X				1987 diagnosis. For excuse refers to a separate list, item vi (prevented from filing by natural disaster), may mean v - incapacitated. No details provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1271	TRO902660FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Dominique	Dominique Jehtroe	6763		X	X				2000 diagnosis; filed claim in 2000 proceeding, says paperwork lost by attorney Wilbur Colom. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1272	TRO893543FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Dominique	Dominique Stewart	4932		X	X				1987 diagnoses; says previously filed with Colom law firm before the bar date but that neither claimant nor his atty received direct written notice. However, the proofs of service show service upon Colom law firm, and the Colom firm actively participated in the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1273	TRO892056FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Dominique	Dominique Wells	5525		X	X				1995 diagnosis; says there was so much fraudulent information she was not sure whether to file a claim. Does not specify what fraudulent information she is referring to or the timing or source of that information. Says she wanted to make sure she got correct information and filled out papers in 2015 or so. May be under the impression that the 2015 claim was timely, though it was six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1274	TRO885131FTC	11/25/2015	Vaughn, Don	Don Vaughn	4879		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was unaware of a claim in 2009 and did not have knowledge concerning this, did not get it from any source, letter, newspaper. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1275	TRO901593FTC	8/16/2016	Austin, Donald	Donald Austin	5108			X		X		Most diagnoses before 2001; alleges diagnosis for cardiovascular condition in 2008 but symptoms for that started in 1990s; alleges diagnosis for Parkinson's disease in 2015. Says was unaware of bar date; did not see anything about it in newspapers; was dealing with health issues at the time and is still dealing with them. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1276	<b>TRO897677FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowen, Donald</b>	<b>Donald Bowen</b>	4138			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1277	TRO905116FTC	3/1/2017	Frederickson, Donald	Donald Frederickson	6339			X				2000 diagnosis; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know nor had reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1278	TRO880273FTC	12/4/2015	Melvin, Donald	Donald Melvin	5606			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis; says was in the armed forces; unaware of these proceedings until returned from tour of duty but does not provide dates of service. Also attaches the form letter that says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meeting and minimal information to community. Movant may make a supplemental submission verifying dates of military service if movant contends that military service tolled the application of the bar date past September 2015 or otherwise contends that the dates of military service provide "excusable neglect" for a late-filed claim. Regardless of the ultimate disposition of the claims as to pre-bar date diagnoses the movant's claims based on any conditions first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1279	TRO902756FTC	8/16/2016	Tucker, Donald	Donald Tucker	5094			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis. Says was in hospital but does not provide dates or any medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1280	TRO888161FTC	12/18/2015	Wade, Donald	Donald Wade	4168			X				Just answered "yes" for diagnosis date. Previously filed with atty Bambach (deceased) in 1999, says attorney lost papers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1281	TRO895238FTC	3/23/2016	Westbrook, Donald	Donald Westbrook	4322		X	X				Exposed while resident of Columbus, MS. 1987 and 1991 diagnoses. Incarcerated, suffers from ADHD, bipolar, slow learner, unaware of claim. Insufficient showing of inability to make claim or to enlist others to help in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1282	TRO892910FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Dondell	Dondell Jones	3975	9199		X			X	Diagnoses 2007-2009. Says unaware of the filing deadline. Says spoke to attorney who said he would get back, then later filed claim when other people were doing so. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9199 complaining about the process. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1283	TRO898537FTC	6/27/2016	Fields, Donice	Donice Fields	4791		X	X				Diagnoses in "1980s" and "2000s." Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1284	TRO894007FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, John	Donique Deloach	7721		X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously engaged a law firm; says did not file because "they" said that they weren't taking applications because it was in bankruptcy. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1285	TRO894006FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, Donique	Donique Deloach	7724		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, but alleges they said that they were not doing any application because it was in bankruptcy. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1286	TRO894008FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, Brandi	Donique Deloach	7735		X	X				2005 diagnosis; explanation for not filing by the bar date is "they said that they were not taking applications because it was in bankruptcy;" may have been referring to attempts to hire counsel, bankruptcy is not a bar to filing a bankruptcy claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Appears to admit knowledge of the bar date, makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1287	TRO886961FTC	12/7/2015	Dulney, Donna	Donna Dulney	3782		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Says she was told she was not eligible (does not say by whom or in context of what proceeding). Does not allege lack of knowledge of proceeding or of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1288	TRO890905FTC	2/23/2016	Ferrow, Donna	Donna Ferrow	4551	8254	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8254, a letter requesting reconsideration. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1289	TRO904234FTC	1/3/2017	LaCorte, Donna	Donna LaCorte	7393			X				2005 and 2006 diagnoses; says she signed a sheet in the town hall of Avoca PA in the summer of 2002 or 2003; she did not hear anything more about the claim until she heard from a friend that the court reached a settlement and provided her with the telephone number. She says she was not contacted by anyone about the status of the lawsuit or the settlement. Unclear what paper was signed in 2002/03 or whether claimant was part of a prior lawsuit but apparently she was not. Fact the claimant attended the town hall and submitted something shows some awareness of rights and claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1290	TRO902312FTC	9/14/2016	Vaughn, Donnell	Donnell Vaughn	5981		X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; various diagnosis dates before and after bar date, unclear if these are dates of doctor visits or actual dates of separate diagnoses; was attending college and not exposed to newspaper or ads; not informed of proceedings; unaware of ongoing case; did not receive phone call, letter or learn anything concerning this matter from TV or radio. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1291	TRO887941FTC	12/18/2015	Jones, Dontavius	Dontavius Jones	6870			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1292	TRO88579FTC	Unknown	Archibald, Eddie	Doreen Archibald	6388		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says publication notice of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1293	TRO885766FTC	11/25/2015	Robinson, Doris Ann	Doris Ann Robinson	4884		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1294	TRO896641FTC	4/25/2016	Bigbee, Doris	Doris Bigbee	6545		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1970, 1999, 2011, 2016; says did file a claim by deadline but appears to be under mistaken impression that late-filed claim was timely. No reasons listed for failure to file by bar date in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1295	TRO885370FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Sallie	Doris Butler	5387	8285	X	X				Diagnoses in 1972 and 1979; the injured party died in 1980; rep says not aware of claims filing deadline; unaware of exposure to chemicals from plant or that it would result in illness; did not know the deadline was published in the paper. A supplemental letter filed at docket # 8285. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1296	TRO885369FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Doris	Doris Butler	6563	8282	X	X				1959 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001-2003; received \$2,800; unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8282. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1297	TRO903148FTC	10/13/2016	Crothers, Doris	Doris Crothers	6583		X	X				Diagnosis early 1960s; unaware of bar date; never read about it in local paper or Wall Street Journal, does not read Wall Street Journal. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1298	TRO892952FTC	2/23/2016	Ellison, Doris	Doris Ellison	7785			X		X		Says symptoms September 2009 and diagnosis December 2009, unclear if new condition or a continuing one; text is identical to motion at docket # 7759, including allegations as to symptoms and diagnosis date; says bar date notice allowed too little time, but that would not have explained lengthy post-bar date delay before this claim was filed; says violation of due process to expunge claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Does not allege a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims.
1299	TRO897935FTC	6/10/2016	Ganderson, Doris	Doris Ganderson	4538		X	X				1970 diagnosis. Moved and did not know about claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1300	TRO897929FTC	6/10/2016	Ganderson, Sr., Hermas	Doris Granderson	4403		X	X				1970 diagnosis. The injured party died in 2002; rep says moved away, unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1301	TRO891586FTC	2/23/2016	Hopkins, Doris	Doris Hopkins	3480			X		X		Did not know anything about tort claims process; says symptoms and diagnoses 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012. Date of 2009 diagnosis not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1302	TRO893769FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Doris	Doris Jones	7802		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1989 (asthma), 2013 (other conditions); not aware of proceeding. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1303	TRO896081FTC	4/6/2016	Rogers (Jones), Doris	Doris Jones Rogers	6284			X		X		Alleges 2013 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1304	TRO901260FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Doris	Doris Lee	8467		X	X				Symptoms 1979-1993; says was unaware of deadline; no diagnosis date listed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1305	TRO890457FTC	2/23/2016	McSwine, Doris	Doris McSwine	4691	8258		X				Alleges 2006 diagnosis; former MS resident; says previously filed with Tollison law firm in 2016, may be referring to late-filed claim with Trust; says did not know to file by 2009. Supplement at docket # 8258. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1306	TRO902642FTC	4/20/2016	Petty, James	Doris Petty	4893	7167		X				Rejection notice at docket 4893; claim filed by a rep; rep says did not file before bar date because of illness of sister who was given only a few months but does not provide any records concerning the ill sister. No explanation of lengthy post-bar date delay before claim filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1307	TRO893136FTC	2/23/2016	Short, Doris	Doris Short	6069		X	X		X		Says made some kind of prior claim for conditions that had developed in 70s and 80s, is not sure of the details; says has other conditions, was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy claim process, had no knowledge that the case had been "reopened" to make a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1308	TRO893037FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Rodger	Doris Short	6070		X	X				1969 and 1971 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1971; rep says did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1309	TRO901659FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Doris	Doris Smith	5366		X	X				1993 and 1996 diagnoses; moved to another county and unaware of the claim; did not see any advertisement about the claim; alleges did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1310	TRO886926FTC	12/7/2015	Starks, Doris	Doris Starks	3527	8040	X	X				Diagnosed 1990, did not see any publication notice. Claims due process issue - lived out of state and publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. A supplemental letter complaining concerning process for herself and 85 other claimants filed at docket #8040. Alleges participation in class action in 2002. Publication notices were reasonable in scope, with notices published in areas where plants were located and in national newspaper. Participation in prior proceeding also shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior class action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1311	TRO900164FTC	7/25/2016	Stewart, Roosevelt	Doris Starks	5129		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case and that injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product; did not see publication notice and says it was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; lived out of state in Tenn. Challenges publication notice but does not allege that Tronox had reason to know of this claim, no specific challenge to the notices that were approved in 2009, those notices were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1312	TRO884946FTC	11/25/2015	Baity, Dorothy	Dorothy Baity	3859		X	X				Diagnoses prior to 2000. Says did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1313	TRO896155FTC	4/6/2016	Brewer, Dorothy	Dorothy Brewer	4542		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Previously filed in 2002 in Colom firm class action and was paid \$300 but says breathing problems have continued. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. No new conditions or diagnoses identified after 1979. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior class action proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1314	TRO892291FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Dorothy	Dorothy Brown	5056	9034		X				Just a signed form with no information or excuse provided. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9034 complaining about the process. No grounds for relief shown.
1315	TRO894505FTC	3/23/2016	Burrell, Claude	Dorothy Burrell	4777		X	X				1997 diagnosis; injured party died in 2006; rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1316	TRO898905FTC	6/27/2016	Chandler, Dorothy	Dorothy Chandler	4443		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1317	TRO893087FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Dorothy	Dorothy Cockrell	3585		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, alleges filed a claim in 2012. Appears to be under mistaken belief that the 2012 claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. No due process violations shown. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1318	TRO892162FTC	2/23/2016	Ellis, Dorothy	Dorothy Ellis	5438	8133	X	X			X	1960 symptoms onset, diagnosis in "2000s"; unaware of claim process; attachments refer to some conditions before and some after the bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8133, a request to reconsider claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on diagnoses before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1319	TRO897691FTC	5/25/2016	Ford, James	Dorothy Ford	3685		X	X				Diagnosed 1961, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1320	TRO901151FTC	8/16/2016	Ford, Dorothy	Dorothy Ford	3696		X	X				Diagnosed 1968, not aware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1321	TRO902012FTC	9/14/2016	Gilleylen, Dorothy	Dorothy Gilleylen	6757			X			X	Diagnosed 11/30/2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1322	TRO890864FTC	2/23/2016	Givens, Dorothy	Dorothy Givens	3707		X	X				Diagnosed 1998, "was not aware of claims." Attached letter states that she was unaware of the dangers of living in the area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1323	TRO900765FTC	8/16/2016	Henley, Dorothy	Dorothy Henley	5631		X	X				June 1977 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1324	TRO914578FTC	7/26/2017	Webber, Sturdivant	Dorothy Jones	6607		X	X				Filing on behalf of deceased father, who lived in Columbus, MS; diagnosis in 1997, father died in 1998; filer was unaware of the bankruptcy case at the time of the deadline and feels that notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants, had no reason to know father had been exposed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1325	TRO914577FTC	7/26/2017	Webber, Estella	Dorothy Jones	8518		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; rep moved to California, only returned to Columbus for trip in 2014; insufficient notice; rep unaware that party was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1326	TRO896839FTC	4/25/2016	Ferguson, Oscar	Dorothy Lovelace	6139		X	X				1976 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1327	TRO903034FTC	10/13/2016	Lyons, Dorothy	Dorothy Lyons	3650	4153		X				Diagnosed "yes," did not file because "I did no anything about at time." Duplicate at docket 4153. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1328	TRO898851FTC	6/27/2016	Scott, Ozella	Dorothy Payne	6908		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; rep says did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1329	TRO884018FTC	11/13/2015	Purnell, Dorothy	Dorothy Purnell	5554			X				1972/73 diagnosis; says she was too young at the time of the injury (14) to do anything, but if was 14 in 1973 she must have been 50 as of the bar date; says was unaware of published claim against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1330	TRO904630FTC	1/24/2017	Rutkowski, Joseph	Dorothy Rowlands	7119			X				May 2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; rep says they thought he had filed but he was estranged from his children. The rep must be referring to another filing in another proceeding as the injured party died in 2006, prior to the bankruptcy filing in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1331	TRO901946FTC	9/14/2016	Sherman, Dorothy	Dorothy Sherman	6927			X		X		Merely signature form, but alleges a cancer diagnosis in 2017. No information to support relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1332	TRO900304FTC	7/25/2016	Smith, Charles	Dorothy Smith	6123		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in 1998 Kerr McGee Class Action. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1333	TRO901763FTC	8/16/2016	Stennis, Lincoln	Dorothy Stennis	6677			X				2006 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; rep says didn't know about lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1334	TRO898282FTC	6/20/2016	Lindsey, Willie	Dorothy Whitfield	5924		X	X				Diagnosis before 2005; the injured party died in 2005; rep does not provide a reason for not meeting the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1335	TRO896429FTC	4/13/2016	Whitfield, Dorothy	Dorothy Whitfield	5943			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnoses after bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product or any chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1336	TRO888115FTC	12/18/2015	Mitchell, Dotsy	Dotsy Mitchell	5111		X	X				Diagnoses in 2005 and earlier; unaware could file a claim; not made aware or informed by anyone about filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1337	TRO888718FTC	12/24/2015	Thompson, Douglas	Douglas Thompson	7051		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says previously contacted Colom law firm in 2002; the information was not available for the proceeding, if had known he would have filed a claim. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1338	TRO897363FTC	5/25/2016	Pointer, Drewnetta	Drewnetta Pointer	4568			X				2003 diagnosis. Says that all medical records were submitted before claims filing deadline but unclear to what filing she refers. If contends a timely claim was filed in 2009 that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To extent movant seeks relief on grounds of excusable neglect, no grounds are specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1339	TRO891067FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Duane	Duane Jones	5158	6227		X		X		Signed forms filed at docket # 5158; related letter filed at docket # 6227. Letter says does not understand why claim was denied because was exposed from 1959 to 1971 and later developed various medical conditions. To the extent the claimant seeks to pursue claims based on conditions that were manifested before the bar date the motion is denied as there is no showing of diligence in pursuit of claim and no explanation of why did not file by the bar date. To the extent the claimant alleges new conditions after the bar date, the merits of that claim are to be resolved through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
1340	TRO892311FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Dudley	Dudley Robinson	4130			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1341	TRO900500FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Dusty	Dusty Smith	6021			X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; was not aware of claim process; says diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1342	TRO893067FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Dwain	Dwain Jackson	3526		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990, did not file because not in the state, only found out about deadline when came home for a funeral. States that he filed original claim back in 2001 and 2002, but he declined an offer, attorney "took the money and left the country." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1343	TRO888527FTC	12/24/2015	Fulton, Dwayne	Dwayne Fulton	4243		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Unaware was eligible to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1344	TRO888542FTC	12/24/2015	Fulton, Robert	Dwayne Fulton	4776	8093		X		X		Injured party diagnosed in 2015, died in 2017; rep says that unaware could file a claim; says diagnosis as 2015 but does not provide any supporting paperwork; a supplement at docket # 8093. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1345	TRO886769FTC	12/7/2015	Warren, Jesse	Dwayne Young	4033			X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2006 and 2008, died in 2009. Filing by family member. Claimant says father was incapacitated and then died in July 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No explanation of family's investigation or pursuit of causes of death or of claims related thereto, no explanation of delay of more than six years in filing claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1346	TRO886719FTC	12/7/2015	Houseman, Dwight	Dwight Houseman	4652			X			X	Diagnosis date not provided. Unaware of lawsuit; did not know action needed to take. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1347	TRO895812FTC	3/24/2016	Robinson, Dwight	Dwight Robinson	6451		X	X			X	Alleges 2009 diagnosis, unclear if contending any new diagnoses after bar date; says previously filed with atty Bambach (date unspecified), paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1348	TRO885611FTC	Unknown	Stephenson, Dwight	Dwight Stephenson	7524		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Lists diagnosis date as "1988-2008," unclear if referring to continuing conditions or if there were separate diagnoses and (if so) when they occurred; says that he was told by Garretson Group and Tollison Law firm in 2008 that he was not in the database but the bankruptcy case was not even filed until 2009 and the motion says he first filed a claim in 2013; says he was living in another state and did not find out about the lawsuit until he moved back to Mississippi in 2008, but he could not have learned about the bankruptcy in 2008 because it had not yet been filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1349	TRO889144FTC	2/23/2016	Demo, Dylan	Dylan Demo	7513	8089						X	Minor (11 at time of bar date); no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy; says her mother no longer resided in MS at that time also had no knowledge; did not see any television or newspaper ads or any article relating to Tronox bankruptcy or deadline; symptoms and diagnosis 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8089, says was only 11 when started having asthma symptoms. Merely alleges lack of knowledge on parents' part but will permit supplemental submission as to why parents or guardians did not file in 2009, why claim was not filed until so many years after the bar date, and whether relief is warranted.
1350	TRO889537FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Dynah	Dynah Porter	7451		X	X					1998 diagnosis; says the publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not say why; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware of the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1351	TRO889571FTC	2/23/2016	Randle, Earl	Earl Randle	7584			X		X			Alleges December 2009 diagnosis; not aware and no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to why missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1352	TRO901298FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Earl, Sr.	Earl Randle, Sr.	3558		X	X					Diagnosed in 1990's. Alleges "excusable neglect - did not know." without elaborating. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1353	TRO902161FTC	9/14/2016	Chamberlain, Earlie	Earlie Chamberlain	5835		X	X					1979 diagnosis; did not know creosote had infected him. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1354	TRO885164FTC	11/25/2015	Robinson, Early	Early Robinson	5014	8534		X			X	Says at time was working for Tronox under JIMCo and was afraid of losing employment if made a claim; says injury was caused by working a pulling press at Tronox, symptoms started 2011, hip replacement 2015. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8534. Unclear if claim is based on exposure to a harmful substance; appears it is an alleged work-related injury. However, the claim is not based on a pre-bar date diagnosis so a motion for relief from the bar date is not needed or proper. To the extent the claim alleges a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, the merits of the claim, and whether it is of a type covered by the Tort Claims Trust, are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust based on its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1355	TRO891156FTC	2/23/2016	Foote, Earnest	Earnest Foote	6549			X			X	Says misinterpreted the conditions of the claim; says symptoms and diagnosis Oct. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1356	TRO885729FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Earnest	Earnest Jones	3466			X			X	Says did not file because did not have the paperwork at the time; also says symptoms 2006 but no diagnosis until 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1357	TRO890324FTC	Unknown	Hairston, John	Earnest Pippins	8013		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1954, 1964, 1968; the injured party died in 1978; unaware could file claim on behalf of deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Claim apparently is based on exposure in MS, if so was also time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1358	TRO887085FTC	12/14/2015	Brown, Earnestine	Earnestine Brown	3371		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, states that did not know about the possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1359	TRO896832FTC	Unknown	Mickens, Earnestine	Earnestine Mickens	5749	5755	X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. December 2009 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says symptoms and diagnosis in late 2009 but also includes records for 1994, so diagnosis date may be disputed. A duplicate motion filed at docket #5755. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1360	TRO891466FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Larry	Earnestine Richardson	4456			X		X		Diagnoses in 2002 and 2009 (cancer in 2009). The injured party died in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1361	TRO896105FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Earnestine	Earnestine Richardson	4457		X	X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. 2004 diagnosis. Contends made a timely filing. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. If claim is based on exposure in Mississippi it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1362	Unknown	2/23/2016	Scott, Willie	Earnestine Scott	7857		X	X				Diagnoses 1995 and 2006; the injured party died in 2006; incapacitated and severely ill from 1995 to 2006. The rep received no notice of the case and did not know condition was related to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim based on 1995 diagnoses was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses.
1363	TRO900770FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Earnestine	Earnestine Walker	4821			X		X		Claimant disputes a scheduled determination value offered by the Tort Claims Trust. That dispute is to be resolved through the normal dispute resolution procedures for the Tort Claims Trust. This is not a motion for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process, it is an expression of dissatisfaction with a proposed claim amount and with the likely recovery. The recovery percentage is based on the assets that remain to be shared and cannot be altered.
1364	TRO894411FTC	3/2/2016	Weaver, Earnestine	Earnestine Weaver	4286	9266	X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all before 2003. Spoke to atty who did not get back, learned of others filing papers. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9266 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1365	TRO887959FTC	12/18/2015	Erby, Easha	Easha Erby	6590		X	X				Diagnosis August 10, 2009 (two days before bar date) but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1366	TRO885869FTC	Unknown	Davis, Ebony	Ebony Davis	6417		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001-2002 diagnoses; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1367	TRO886228FTC	12/7/2015	Barry, Eddie	Eddie Barry	5956			X		X		Alleges a 2013 diagnosis; standard form language as to why missed the bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but may be referring to additional conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1368	TRO892417FTC	2/23/2016	Coley, Eddie	Eddie Coley	7458			X				Page with diagnosis information is missing from the motion; says previously filed a claim in a 2009 Tronox class action lawsuit; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says he did not see any public notification; says spouse was ill and he was back and forth to hospital and he acquired the number and called, the reference to calling the trust must be post-plan confirmation. No evidence that a claim was pending at the time of the bankruptcy. Counsel of record to plaintiffs in all pending litigations received direct notice of the bar date, no record of any timely claim for this claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. An unexcused failure by an attorney to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1369	TRO891328FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Sheffield	Eddie Cunningham	5003		X	X				The injured party died in 1988; rep says unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1370	TRO886598FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Eddie	Eddie Davis	3387			X				Illness in 2003, diagnosed 2008, says did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1371	TRO886599FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Alma	Eddie Davis	3392		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1372	TRO887107FTC	12/14/2015	Martin, Eddie	Eddie Davis	3408		X	X				Diagnosis 1992. Says unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1373	<b>TRO893810FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gore, Eddie</b>	<b>Eddie Gore</b>	5896			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just signed rejection form and signed motion form, no information. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1374	TRO891196FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Eddie	Eddie Harris	5029		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says was not aware that there were court proceedings and that he could file a claim pertaining to his illness. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1375	TRO886544FTC	12/7/2015	Hendricks, Eddie	Eddie Hendricks	4348		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1376	TRO891367FTC	2/23/2016	Hendricks, Freddie	Eddie Hendricks	4361		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1991 and died in 1993; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1377	TRO900810FTC	8/16/2016	Hinton, Eddie	Eddie Hinton	8453			X		X		Knew was sick but did not know how to file claim; says symptoms 2009 but does not provide a diagnosis date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1378	TRO894838FTC	3/23/2016	Holliness, Hattie	Eddie Holliness	7163		X	X				1993 and 1995 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2001; she and rep did not know exposed to Tronox product and rep did not know about bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1379	TRO892993FTC	2/23/2016	Howell, Eddie	Eddie Howell	6963	8456	X	X			X	Says 2010 first diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Harold Barkley as part of 1998 Kerr-McGee Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trust listed claim number as TRO902465, but a duplicate motion with that claim number is filed at docket #8456. Appears to be the same claimant at the same address. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 and not already resolved in prior action also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1380	TRO904271FTC	1/3/2017	Lyons, Eddie	Eddie Lyons	5946		X	X				1976 diagnosis; after reviewing past health issues realized that this was possibly the source of his conditions; did not know until he read the side effects caused by exposure. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1381	TRO887875FTC	12/14/2015	Presley, Eddie	Eddie Presley	3757			X				Claims that paperwork was lost by attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1382	TRO898983FTC	6/27/2016	Robinson, Eddie	Eddie Robinson	5128		X	X				1978 condition; unaware of bar date; did not know all he needed to know at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1383	TRO884513FTC	Unknown	Shelton, Eddie	Eddie Shelton	6638			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2017 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach potential claimants; said did not know of his exposure to Tronox products. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1384	TRO888048FTC	12/18/2015	Sparks, Eddie	Eddie Sparks	5269	8238 8724	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach, paperwork lost, supplement filed at docket #8238; complains that the claims of those not living in area were allowed. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8724 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1385	TRO897936FTC	6/10/2016	Stewart, Eddie	Eddie Stewart	4748		X	X				1973 diagnosis. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1386	TRO884665FTC	11/25/2015	Summerville, Eddie	Eddie Summerville	3489		X	X				September 2000 diagnosis. Explanation: "thought the deadline was completed and Tronox was not taking any more applicants." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1387	TRO892861FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Eddie C.	Eddie Tate C.	5600	8214	X	X		X		The motion at docket #5600 is for Eddie C. Tate [claim TRO892861FTC]. Eddie C. Tate's excuse is that he was unaware of and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case. A serious condition diagnosed in 2017, although he includes earlier conditions as well. A supplement filed at docket #8214, says there were no public notices to warn the people of the effects that the toxins would have. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1388	TRO896778FTC	4/25/2016	Washington, Eddie	Eddie Washington	4788		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Unaware until the last minute, has had series of knee problems and surgeries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1389	TRO890224FTC	Unknown	Washington, Eddie	Eddie Washington	6228			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a rejection of a \$5,000 allowed claim by the Trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1390	TRO893069FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Eddie Will	Eddie Will Tate	5588		X	X				The motion at docket # 5588 is for Eddie Will Tate [claim TRO893069FTC]; the excuse is that he did not know about the deadline. The separate motion for Eddie C. Tate [TRO892861FTC] is at docket #5600. As to Eddie Will Tate: diagnosis in 1980. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1391	TRO902474FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Willis	Eddie Williams	5174		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; filed the std letter complaining about the prefilled forms and the low payout. The rep complains that the claim was denied because of the dates of the underlying illnesses. The rep says that a lot of claimants were not aware of the bar date, bankruptcy case or how to complete a claim; they did not know the chemicals to which they were exposed; they were told to complete a category A form and did not know that category D was available. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1392	TRO902004FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Sammie	Eddie Williams	5196		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1393	TRO899979FTC	7/25/2016	Williams, Eddie	Eddie Williams	6015			X		X		Says unaware of the claims process; files same letter that refers to a purported representative of Garretson directing them how to file pre-filled forms with certain chemicals, but that had to be after the bar date because the trust was not established until long after the bar date; says diagnosis was in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1394	TRO914798FTC	Unknown	Blunt, Barbara	Edie B. Latham	8026		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1973 illness; the injured party died in 1973; rep does not provide excuse. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1395	TRO887972FTC	12/18/2015	Lacomis, Edmund	Edmund Lacomis	3688			X				Diagnosed 2003, "was not informed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1396	TRO893919FTC	3/2/2016	Hairston, Edna	Edna Hairston	5985		X	X				June 2004 diagnosis; says having surgery but does not provide dates or medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1397	TRO880934FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Edna	Edna Williams	3624		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, claims filed with Wilbur Colom but "attorney lost paperwork." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1398	TRO898576FTC	6/27/2016	Brown, Edward	Edward Brown	3722			X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1399	TRO894370FTC	3/2/2016	Claborn, Edward	Edward Claborn	5748		X	X				Diagnoses in 2002, 2003 and 2008; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1400	TRO890729FTC	2/23/2016	Collins, Edward	Edward Collins	7142		X	X				1986 diagnosis; unaware of the case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1401	TRO884129FTC	11/13/2015	Hill, Edward	Edward Hill	3396	9296	X	X				Diagnosed 2001, attorney lost paperwork. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9296 complaining about the process. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own mistakes can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1402	TRO898656FTC	6/27/2016	Hintze, Edward	Edward Hintze	6410		X	X				1975 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1403	TRO890755FTC	2/23/2016	Orkwis, Theresa	Edward Orkwis	6525			X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep says the form of notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; did not know or have reason to believe she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1404	TRO903182FTC	10/13/2016	Coleman, Groyer	Effie Coleman	6090		X	X				The injured party died in 1998; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attached form letter that the actions occurred before the bar date and rep did not file because not made aware of the claim, filed right away when learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1405	TRO888001FTC	12/18/2015	Eggleston, Christine	Eggleston, Christine	6153		X	X				Diagnoses in 2005; previously filed claim with Howard Gunn in 2002; says shortly thereafter she was incarcerated and was released in 2008 and was told the atty went bankrupt and was told there was no record of her claim. Tronox bankruptcy case is a separate proceeding that was not commenced until 2009. No explanation of any further effort to pursue claim from 2008 until filing with Trust in December 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If not resolved in a prior case the claim also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1406	TRO912829FTC	5/30/2017	Bailey, Eileen	Eileen Bailey	7591			X				2002 diagnosis; says previously filed with Munley & Munley, but says "date unknown," so it is not clear whether counsel was retained before, during, or after the Tronox bankruptcy; says moved away from Avoca (not clear when) and was not aware of the suit; says her condition was debilitating but does not allege inability to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1407	TRO891126FTC	2/23/2016	Gamble, Elaine	Elaine Gamble	4854			X				2005 diagnosis. Unaware of claims against plant; difficulty doing daily chores. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1408	<b>TRO888342FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Perry, Elaine</b>	<b>Elaine Perry</b>	8380		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1968 diagnosis; unaware of claim. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1409	TRO899250FTC	7/11/2016	Straughter, Elaine	Elaine Straughter	5122		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1410	TRO887572FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Elander	Elander Harris	6369		X	X				1968 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; says did not file because did not know where to get the paperwork. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1411	<b>TRO890578FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Bembry III, Elbert</b>	<b>Elbert Bembry III</b>	5219			X				Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion at docket #6585, but the motion at dkt 6585 is a separate motion by Rosie Bembry. As to Elbert Bembry III: 2002 diagnosis; says found out about bar date too late. The Trust incorrectly referred to this movant as having filed the motion at docket #6584, but that motion if by a different person. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1412		#N/A	Cole, Windolyn	Elbert Cole	7678			X				Merely application for future tort claim; no excuse provided.
1413	Unknown	#N/A	Cole, Elbert	Elbert Cole	7679			X		X		Not a motion, just a claim form. Claims are to be presented to the Trust in the first instance.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1414	TRO898782FTC	6/27/2016	Greenlee, Elbert	Elbert Greenlee	6710		X	X				1980s symptoms, not know if ever diagnosed; filing on behalf of deceased father who was a resident of Steens, MS. Says neither child nor dad was aware of "this." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1415	TRO895626FTC	3/24/2016	Perrins, Elenor	Elenor Perrins	7422	8097		X				1976 diagnosis; unaware of any claims against the company; moved to a neighboring area; unaware conditions were related to the exposure. A supplement filed at docket # 8097, unaware of lawsuit in 2009; was not contacted by mail about lawsuit; moved to a rural area; was not contacted by mail; without access to newspapers near company. Publication notices were reasonably designed to cover areas where claimants likely were to be found, due process did not require more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1416	<b>TRO895454FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Coley, Elijah</b>	<b>Elijah Coley</b>	6560		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1994 and 1999 diagnoses; this motion was not included on the Trust's summary; movant says did not know it was a claim against Tronox at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1417	TRO898718FTC	6/27/2016	Irions, Elisabeth	Elisabeth Irions	3799		X	X				First exposed in 1986, diagnosed "at age five," so diagnosis had to be in approximately 1991. Explanation for lack of claim is that "I did not know to do it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1418	TRO885201FTC	Unknown	Jennings, Elise	Elise Jennings	8035		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various alleged diagnoses, some before bar date and some after; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1419	TRO901957FTC	9/14/2016	Latham, Elix	Elix Latham	4742	8204	X	X				1978 diagnosis. Publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8204. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1420	TRO896431FTC	4/13/2016	Cockrell, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Cockrell	6789		X	X				1995 diagnosis; made a claim in a prior proceeding in February 2003 and was awarded \$1,200; attorney filed a claim before deadline "in the class action proceeding," but that was a different proceeding and not part of the bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, notice of the bar date was sent to the class action attorneys on behalf of the class members who participated in the prior case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1421	TRO898321FTC	6/20/2016	Franks, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Franks	4240		X	X				Diagnoses "1990s-2000s." Did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1422	TRO890772FTC	2/23/2016	Henley, Virginia	Elizabeth Lee	3720		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1980s and died in 1997. Filing on mother's behalf, states that a claim was filed in November 2015. Mother mentally ill since 1967. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1423	TRO880807FTC	12/4/2015	McCrary-Miller, Elizabeth	Elizabeth McCrary-Miller	8482		X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, most 1989 and earlier but some in 2014 and 2017; previously filed with Lundy & Davis 1999, did not receive any recovery; unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1424	TRO893244FTC	2/23/2016	Stallings, Joseph	Elizabeth Stallings	7251		X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1976; rep says lack of knowledge about deadline; no reason to suspect exposure to Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1425	TRO886605FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Ella	Ella Brown	7252			X				July 2009 cancer diagnosis; she was incapacitated around bar date and then undergoing treatments including radiation; filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, unclear of the results from that filing. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Dealings with Colom firm show knowledge of legal rights and potential claims. Cancer treatments explain 2009 failure to file by bar date but no explanation as to lengthy post-bar date delays in pursuing rights, admits no claim was filed until October 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1426	TRO901406FTC	8/16/2016	Selvie, Ella	Ella Selvie	4792		X	X			X	Says was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement, includes most recent record of medical visit but does not indicate when certain of the conditions were diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1427	TRO885481FTC	11/25/2015	Webb, Ella	Ella Webb	7367		X	X				1950s diagnosis; previously filed with the Creosote Litigation Group, which included W. Howard Gunn, William Bambach and William Cunningham; says she thought she really had filed prior to bar date through these lawyers and has been sending information to them since 2002 and they changed with many different lawyers at times. Filing with class action attorneys was in connection with a separate proceeding, was not part of the bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if Creosote Litigation Group represented this movant in 2009 but if so they should have filed a claim, because the attorneys with the Creosote Litigation Group received direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim either was resolved in an earlier proceeding; or, if subject to a pending proceeding, should have been the subject of a proof of claim; or, if neither of those was the case, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1428	TRO886618FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Ellen	Ellen Henry	3530		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, only states that "there was a death to occur in my immediate family." No allegation of lack of knowledge of bar date, no dates as to death in family, no showing of prompt action and diligence in pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1429	TRO886620FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Jerome	Ellen Henry	3533		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, "incompetent" at time of deadline - no factual elaboration, e.g., circumstances surrounding alleged incompetence. Appears related to claimants in claims 3530, 3531, 3532. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1430	TRO888950FTC	12/30/2015	Appnel, Rosaline	Elliot B. Edley, Esquire	7069			X				Diagnosed May 2009, died a few days later; relatives became aware of this litigation after her death and realized in 2015 that they were entitled to a recovery. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1431	TRO888951FTC	12/30/2015	Appnel, John	Elliot B. Edley, Esquire	7070			X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; spouse was seriously ill and died in 2009; relatives became aware of litigation after the spouse's death and realized that they were entitled to a recovery. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1432	TRO890425FTC	2/23/2016	Burnett, Betty	Ellis J. Burnett	7441			X		X		Alleges various initial diagnosis dates, all post-bar date; rep says injured party did not understand the information she was getting about getting a settlement for her injuries due to exposure to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1433	TRO889792FTC	2/23/2016	Weston, Ellis	Ellis Weston	7801		X	X				Diagnoses 1984 and 1985; says that no information was made available to him concerning Tronox until the information a few years ago about the soil contamination. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1434	TRO895881FTC	3/24/2016	Ferguson, Elmer	Elmer Ferguson	5500			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but unclear if that is just alleged newer conditions; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1435	TRO888666FTC	12/24/2015	Peoples, Elonia	Elonia Peoples	3971			X				Diagnoses 2009 and prior years. Unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1436	TRO891893FTC	2/23/2016	Horton, Elroney	Elroney Horton	7899		X	X			X	Alleges a 2015 diagnosis but also says previously filed claim with Colom law firm in 1997, results not disclosed; says no reason for late filing other than diagnosed with sleep apnea in 2015. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in prior proceeding, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on the terms of a prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1437	TRO895911FTC	4/6/2016	Harper, Elsie	Elsie Harper	3958		X	X				Filed with Colom law firm in 1998. Only says "N/A" as to reason why did not file by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1438	TRO905729FTC	3/28/2017	McCrary, Elton	Elton McCrary	8480		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1998, was offered \$1,000 but only received \$800. Claim resolved in prior action could not be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claim either was resolved in earlier class action or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1439	TRO880466FTC	12/4/2015	Wright, Emily	Emily Wright	4231		X	X				Previously hired atty Navarro 2002 to make a claim but after saying for years it was in litigation it turned out he apparently never filed a claim. Alleges misconduct by attorney, but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless the attorney's conduct is excused. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1440	TRO893812FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, Emma	Emma Griffin	6611		X	X		X		Conditions at various times, unclear if all diagnosed before the bar date; unaware of deadline until it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1441	TRO891162FTC	2/23/2016	Halbert, Emma	Emma Halbert	7757		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1442	TRO891965FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Emma	Emma Harris	3700		X	X				Docket 3700 is actually a motion for Emma Harris; it was mislabelled as a motion for Annie Dixon. The motion for the Annie Dixon claim is actually at docket 4401. Emma Harris was diagnosed in 1996. Gave \$40 to Judy Bulgin (sp?) to file a claim, had an asbestos test done and was told she was not eligible, thought that meant she should file a Tronox claim. Did not fill out other paperwork because she did not understand importance of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1443	TRO900067FTC	7/25/2016	Hudson, Emma	Emma Hudson	5149			X		X		Says someone provided claimant with prefilled forms that were wrong. Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
1444	TRO880956FTC	12/4/2015	Petty, Emma	Emma Petty	6832		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach in 2001 but paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1445	TRO902125FTC	9/14/2016	Quinn, Timothy	Emma Quinn	8492		X	X				Diagnosis 1999-2001; the injured party died in 2002; rep says paid for paperwork but does not provide details as to when or in connection with what proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1446	TRO889800FTC	2/23/2016	Raby, Emma	Emma Raby	8490		X	X				1991 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know about bankruptcy process; found out later when learned her brother had filed a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1447	TRO893396FTC	2/23/2016	Rush, Emma	Emma Rush	6596		X	X				Diagnosis unclear but recalls being seen by doctor for the condition in 1984 or so; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was not aware of the deadline; says the public was not made aware of the deadline until November 2011; says she did not receive the information from the published notices of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1448	TRO898452FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Emma	Emma Smith	7072		X	X				1975 diagnosis; unaware of any type of claim against Tronox in 2009; was taking care of family, including seriously ill spouse for visits for chemotherapy and radiation. Also caring for elderly aunt with congestive heart failure and alzheimer's disease and son who has a learning disability; sole caretaker with limited access to communicating with other sources; did not get any information about case from any sources. Insufficient showing of complete inability to file a claim, no explanation of may years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. In addition, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1449	TRO901658FTC	8/16/2016	Shields, Ella	Emma Smith	7093		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep unaware of claim in 2009; says that prior to death claimant was incompetent and incapacitated because of her condition and unable to move around independently. She was confined in bed daily due to her condition. She also experienced dizziness and fatigue in addition to alzheimer's disease. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1450	TRO893040FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Emma	Emma Stinson	6743		X	X			X	Some diagnoses before 2009, some after; says did not know exposed prior to deadline, and that publication notice not reasonable. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1451	Unknown	11/28/2017	Ware, Emma	Emma Ware	6641			X			X	Diagnosed November 2016; says didn't know could file a claim in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1452	TRO895648FTC	Unknown	Whitfield, Emma	Emma Whitfield	8045			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Does not list diagnosis dates; says was unaware of deadline. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1453	TRO885739FTC	11/25/2015	Allen, Albert	Emmaline Allen	4770	8976	X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; was not aware of the case, did not see publication notices, says he called a number and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1454	TRO885737FTC	11/25/2015	Allen, Emmaline	Emmaline Allen	5820	8976	X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware exposed to Tronox product; parents did not know cause of claimant's health issues, claimant did not know cause when reached adulthood. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1455	TRO898544FTC	6/27/2016	Burns, Emmer	Emmer Burns	4165			X				Did not know about claim until bar date passed; says diagnosed March 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1456	TRO888252FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Emmett	Emmett Harris	7134		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says that a paralegal at the Colom law firm said that could not file a claim (unclear what proceeding that conversation related to or when it occurred). Conduct of counsel or counsel's representative is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's or the representative's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1457	TRO885209FTC	11/25/2015	Brewer, Ennis	Ennis Brewer	7140		X	X				Various diagnoses, three of which were in 1993 and earlier, one (for whooping cough) in 2007; previously filed with the Colom law firm and atty Bambach; the settlement in 2005 was approximately \$275. Did not file a proof of claim because did not have knowledge of ongoing Tronox case; says did not get any notification concerning matter. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1458	TRO884376FTC	11/25/2015	Bush, Enon	Enon Bush	4347		X	X				1992 diagnosis. Reference to a 2004 legal proceeding with Colom law firm. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1459	TRO884311FTC	11/25/2015	Erby, Andre	Erby, Andre	6647			X			X	Diagnosed in 2009, does not say what month; says did not know/no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1460	TRO891770FTC	2/23/2016	Franklin, Eretha	Eretha Franklin	4726			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1461	TRO893090FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas Lurlean	Ergin Thomas	8513	8284		X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page. A certificate of service filed at docket #8284. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1462	TRO898749FTC	6/27/2016	Belk, Eric	Eric Belk	3962		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline until after date passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1463	TRO885210FTC	11/25/2015	Brewer, Eric	Eric Brewer	7150		X	X				1967 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and atty Bambach; received a \$250 settlement; unaware of ongoing bankruptcy case; did not receive phone call, letter, or learn of it from TV or radio. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1464	TRO895204FTC	3/23/2016	Burgin, Eric	Eric Burgin	5954						X	1987 diagnosis; left Columbus in 1987 to join military; does not provide dates of military service. If military service ended before 2006 then the claim would have been time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Military service would not have tolled the application of the bar date in 2009 unless the movant continued to be in military service at that time. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service if movant believes that the application of the bar date was tolled due to military service or that the dates of military service justify relief based on excusable neglect. Otherwise, the motion will be denied, as there is an insufficient showing as to factors relevant to excusable neglect relief and as it appears, unless contrary information is provided, that the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations.
1465	TRO899351FTC	8/16/2016	Gandy, Tegua	Eric Collins	7273	8249		X				2008 cancer diagnosis; the injured party died in 2013; rep unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8249. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1466	TRO904106FTC	1/3/2017	Douglas, Eric	Eric Douglas	5191			X		X		Says symptoms began 1989; alleges first diagnosis in 2009 but that may be disputed. Says unaware of ongoing case; did not receive call, letter or any information from TV or radio. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1467	TRO894424FTC	3/2/2016	Erby, Eric	Eric Erby	7585		X	X				Diagnoses 1972, 1990, 1996, 2005; not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1468	TRO894687FTC	3/23/2016	Ferrow, Eric	Eric Ferrow	7269		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1469	TRO895437FTC	3/24/2016	Hendricks, Eric	Eric Hendricks	6632		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says claim was made between 2000 and 2003 but "the court motion was delayed due to Tronox filing bankruptcy;" bankruptcy filing, however, did not occur until 2009; says was a child and incompetent at time of deadline, but form shows birth on 3/20/88, so claimant was 21 years old at the time of the bar date. If a claim was stayed by the Tronox bankruptcy then there had to be knowledge of the bankruptcy case and a proof of claim should have been filed, as proofs of service on file show that notices were sent by mail to all pending litigants and/or to their counsel of record. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If no lawsuit was pending, then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1470	TRO902792FTC	8/16/2016	Howard, Eric	Eric Howard	4677			X		X		Alleges a 2009 diagnosis without a specific date. Says was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case until heard about it from a friend at an unspecified date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on a pre-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1471	TRO880813FTC	12/4/2015	Lewis, Eric	Eric Lewis	4160			X			X	Diagnoses prior to bar date except one in 2010. No excuse provided for late filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1472	TRO888794FTC	12/30/2015	Lyons, Eric	Eric Lyons	5231		X	X				1983 symptoms; diagnosis date marked "N/A"; reason why did not file by bar date is marked "N/A." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1473	TRO898899FTC	6/27/2016	Pratt, Eric	Eric Pratt	7091		X	X				Diagnosis listed as "2004-2009;" former resident of Columbus, MS; says that he tried to file with Chuck E. Easley (date unspecified) but he stated that they were no longer accepting claims at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1474	TRO884666FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Eric	Eric Sherrod	5098		X	X			X	Diagnosis in 1999 (sarcoidosis), others in 2016; says was unaware of claims process or deadline; not made aware of any opportunity to file or seek a claim. Claim based on 1999 diagnosis was time-barred at the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. To the extent the claimant alleges new condition first diagnosed after the bar dates the merits of that contention (and any defenses thereto) are to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust pursuant to its dispute resolution procedures.
1475	TRO891275FTC	2/23/2016	Treadwell, Eric	Eric Treadwell	4484		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Says called after bar date and was told there was no deadline; no identification as to date of call or who allegedly gave such advice. Wants to make a claim based on future conditions that might develop but has not identified any such conditions. Claim based on 1997 diagnoses was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Future tort claim is not available based just on speculation that a possible injury could develop in the future.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1476	TRO897365FTC	5/25/2016	Carr, Erica	Erica Carr	6214			X			X	Lists a January 2009 diagnosis but mentions a number of conditions, unclear if some might have been first diagnosed after the bar date; former resident of Columbus, MS; says from 2008 -2009 began to get very sick causing her to be in and out of the hospital; she had nowhere to stay but with different family members every day, became pregnant with third child and stress overtook her, she gave birth early because of health issues. She does not state the year but based on the earlier narrative, it appears it may have been 2009, near the bar date in August. Claimant explains why missed the bar date itself but not the subsequent delays (nearly 7 years) before filing a proof of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1477	TRO894638FTC	3/23/2016	Horton, Erica	Erica Horton	7068		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; unaware of the filing procedures and that claims existed; did not receive any notice to file; says some people were coerced into not letting others know about the exposure, this hindered her ability to be adequately informed and to submit a claim; no knowledge that she was exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1478	TRO892006FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Erica	Erica Stinson	3509		X	X				Diagnosed 1981. Refers (without date) to awareness of reports that Kerr-McGee/Tronox chemicals caused injuries and illness. Does not explain failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1479	TRO904711FTC	1/24/2017	Terrell, Erica	Erica Terrell	5871			X				Diagnoses in 1976, 2003 and 2004; unclear where exposure occurred; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; moved to Tennessee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1480	TRO896135FTC	4/6/2016	Gordon, Erick	Erick Gordon	6585			X			X		December 2009 diagnosis; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from media concerning this matter; says doctor closed and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1481	TRO891862FTC	2/23/2016	Aaron, Erika	Erika Aaron	4737			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1482	TRO891671FTC	Unknown	Clay, Erika	Erika Clay	5770			X			X		Unaware of claims process; symptoms before bar date, says diagnosis after bar date. The TRO number on the relevant claim is TRO891671FTC. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1483	TRO886037FTC	12/7/2015	Jones, Jacqualan	Erika Jones	4305							X	Minor, rep uncertain of process to file a claim. Alleges some diagnoses before bar date but other conditions diagnosed after bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1484	TRO900358FTC	7/25/2016	Moorehead, Roy	Erla Moorehead	7796			X					2008 diagnosis; the injured party is a former resident of Columbus, MS who is deceased, date not specified; rep says that injured party moved away from Mississippi. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1485	Unknown	7/25/2016	Goodwin, Ernest	Ernest Goodwin	7877		X	X					2000 diagnosis; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1486	TRO905256FTC	3/1/2017	Jones, Albert	Ernest Jones	6204		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1487	TRO888884FTC	12/30/2015	Logan, Ernest	Ernest Logan	6166		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; says was informed that only people who lived in area could file (probably referring to a prior class action lawsuit that was limited to residents of a certain area). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1488	Unknown	Unknown	Peoples, Ernest	Ernest Peoples	4384		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Mr. Peoples used a blank form that had originally been sent to Barbara Silvers and that lists her claim number. Ms. Silvers' motion is at docket # 3743. Mr. Peoples' tort claim number is unknown. In his motion he references himself as the injured party on page one, but it appears he used the second page directly from Ms. Silvers' filing as the second page refers to the injured party as Barbara Silvers. Alleges a 2007 diagnosis, offers no excuse or grounds for motion on behalf of Mr. Peoples himself. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1489	TRO887182FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Ernest	Ernest Williams	4093		X	X				1970 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline; unaware of dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1490	TRO886129FTC	12/7/2015	Steels, Ernestine	Ernestine Steels	3467		X	X				Diagnosis 1983 and 1984. Says unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Submitted in 2015, alleges other claims submitted in 2015 have been accepted. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge, but insufficient showing of diligence in pursuit of claim and other elements to support excusable neglect relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1491	TRO892382FTC	2/23/2016	Shirley, Ernie	Ernie Shirley	4060	8996	X	X				Diagnoses 1960s-1970s. Moved away from area, was told to file by a family member based on childhood exposures. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8996 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1492	TRO912827FTC	5/30/2017	Malone, Errol	Errol Malone	8127	8472	X	X		X		Motion is at docket # 8472; docket# 8127 is a list of medical conditions diagnosed in 2017. Motion, however, alleges diagnoses in 2005; says unaware had to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1493	TRO900735FTC	8/16/2016	Whitlow, Ronea	Errol Malone	8522		X	X				Diagnosis 2004; rep says did not know about it and unaware where to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1494	TRO898671FTC	6/27/2016	Walker, Essence	Essence Walker	3701			X				Diagnosed 2009, does not say what month. "Didn't have no idea at the time." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1495	TRO892321FTC	Unknown	Brandy, Essie	Essie Brandy	7979		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Provided attachment with all medical records, with a few exceptions, most are after bar date, but not entirely clear when each condition was first diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1496	TRO897203FTC	Unknown	Cohen, Nellie	Essie Cohen	6443		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, all before 1996; the injured party died in 2001; rep says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; injured party did not know and had not reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1497	TRO892900FTC	2/23/2016	Farmer, Essie	Essie Farmer	6955	9135		X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9135 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1498	TRO915224FTC	7/26/2017	Harris, Roetta	Essie Harris	4998		X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; says the publication notice was not calculated to give notice to unknown claimants; did not know had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1499	N/a	#N/A	Tate, Essie	Essie Tate	6972			X			X	Unclear if filed a claim. Motion alleges pre-bar date exposures but that conditions did not manifest and were not diagnosed until after bar date; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; was not aware of any publication published in her area. Alleges disability finding in 1994 but also says not diagnosed until after bar date. No specific pre-bar date diagnosis is identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1500	TRO898154FTC	6/20/2016	Murray, Estella	Estella Murray	4173		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1501	<b>TRO888908FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Oden, Estella</b>	<b>Estella Oden</b>	4005		X	X				Motion no listed in Trust's summary. Former Columbus resident. Diagnoses 2001 and prior years. Made claim in 2000 with Colom firm, represented by other counsel in 2005. Was offered \$500, then \$1,000 but says rejected offers. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, no showing of sufficient diligence in pursuing the same. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1502	TRO888907FTC	12/30/2015	OdNeal, Earnest	Estella Oden	4258	8113	X	X				Injured party diagnosed 1979/1981, died 1988; previously filed with Cochran law firm and rejected \$500 offer from 2002 action; not receive notice of bankruptcy case. A supplement filed at docket #8113. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1503	TRO886022FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Estella	Estella Smith	4704			X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2013 but also filed with Colom, part of 2002 class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim asserted in class action either was resolved in that prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including the merits of any defense based on the resolution of the prior class action claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1504	TRO893177FTC	2/23/2016	Brewes, Ethel	Ethel Brewes	6340		X	X				2003 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; asserts that based on her particular circumstances did not have adequate notice of the bar date. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1505	TRO900972FTC	8/16/2016	Bush, John	Ethel Bush	5611		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says claim was filed in a timely manner but may be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Also attaches a form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1506	TRO889295FTC	2/23/2016	Maddox, Ethel	Ethel Maddox	7299			X				1990 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox tort claims trust or the bar date; place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1507	TRO889768FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Ethel	Ethel Shelton	3946			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1508	TRO893677FTC	3/2/2016	Sparks, Ethel	Ethel Sparks	6934			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010, unclear if new diagnoses or continuing conditions. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1509	N/a	11/28/2017	Stevenson, Ethel	Ethel Stevenson	6971			X		X		Unclear if filed a claim. Motion alleges pre-bar date exposures but says that conditions did not manifest and were not diagnosed until after bar date; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; was not aware of any publication published in her area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1510	TRO887707FTC	Unknown	Hinton, Etta	Etta Hinton E.	6178		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, late 1990s and case was later turned over to atty Colom; accepted \$375 payment in early 2000s; says did not have knowledge of ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a telephone call, letter or learn anything from media. Claim apparently was already resolved in prior proceeding, and if so it could not be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1511	TRO884247FTC	11/25/2015	Gadner, Eugene	Eugene Gadner	3363		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, says attorney lost paperwork in 1999. Part of prior litigation. Attorney conduct not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief without a showing that the attorney's conduct should be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorney confirms awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1512	TRO881013FTC	12/4/2015	Merks, Eugene	Eugene Marks	7544			X				2007 diagnosis; after surgery in September 2007, he was "subjected to CAT scans and doctor appointments every 3 months for the following three years" as well as other consultations and testing. Not a sufficient showing of incapacity and (more importantly) does not explain failure to file a claim for many years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1513	TRO894748FTC	3/23/2016	Suggs, Eugene	Eugene Suggs	5944			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in December 2009; unaware of Tronox tort claim proceeding. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1514	TRO901943FTC	9/14/2016	Colbert, Nanette	Eugene Wilson	5187	5330	X	X				1983 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1515	TRO890445FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Eunice	Eunice Harrison	4382			X		X		November 2009 diagnosis. Says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1516	TRO895828FTC	3/24/2016	Smith, Henry	Eva Smith	6744	8071	X	X				The injured party died in 1989; widow was unaware of deadline. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants. A supplement filed at docket #8071, objects to the statute of limitations defense because the presence of the dangerous chemicals were not discovered until after 1989; says the fact that only 5% of claimants filed prior to bar date establishes that notice was deficient. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Under MS law the statute of limitations begins to run on the date of diagnosis regardless of whether the injured party knows the cause of injury. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1517	TRO896602FTC	4/25/2016	Walker, Eva	Eva Walker	7770		X	X				Diagnoses 1992 and 2005; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1518	TRO998696FTC	12/4/2015	Bridges, Evaleem	Evaleem Bridges	3790	7341 8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432	X	X				Diagnoses 1997-2003. Part of a group of Columbus, MS claimants. Filed with attorney Howard Gunn in 2002. Attorney told her no action needed, part of 2002 action. There were supplemental letters filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this party and a group of others at docket ## 7341, 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408, and 9432, complaining about the process. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. The class actions are different proceedings that are not pending in this Court. Claim resolved in prior action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, and if claimant was represented by the Creosote Litigation Group, then a timely claim should have been filed because attorneys with that group received direct notice of the bar date. Failure of counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless failures of counsel can be excused. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1519	TRO890566FTC	Unknown	Priester, Eve	Eve Priester	6786		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1985 diagnosis; says that publication notice was not reasonable; worked for an attorney who won a case against Kerr McGee. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1520	TRO887697FTC	12/14/2015	Beck, Alton	Evelyn Beck	4454		X	X				2005 diagnosis and death; rep unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1521	TRO887698FTC	12/14/2015	Beck, Evelyn	Evelyn Beck	4455		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm and was offered \$250, rejected offer; unaware could file claim, caring for sick immediate family member who had stroke 2009 and died Sept. 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Even if illness of family member explained failure to file in 2009 it does not explain failure to file until many years after the bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1522	TRO891959FTC	2/23/2016	Cruse, Evelyn	Evelyn Cruse	6704		X	X				1997 surgery; publication notice unknown to her at time of filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1523	TRO902397FTC	9/14/2016	Daniel, Jettie	Evelyn Daniel Brown	5106			X		X		Alleges a first diagnosis in 2011, does not provide medical records; offers cut-and-pasted standard form language as to bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1524	TRO898511FTC	6/27/2016	Brown, Evelyn Daniel	Evelyn Daniel Brown	5107		X	X				Alleges a first diagnosis in 1980; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons why did not file a claim before the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1525	TRO890354FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Carter	Evelyn Jones Vaughn	4664			X				1974 diagnosis; injured party died in 1985; rep unaware of bankruptcy case and bar date; did not see any notices. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1526	Unknown	Unknown	Little, Everett	Everett Little	6391		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, one in 1977 (asthma), others in 2009 and 2012; says notification process was not properly calculated for potential claimants to file claims by filing deadline; not aware of exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1527	TRO888717FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Evette	Evette Harrison	5485	5725	X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1978, 1983, 1988, 2004, 2015; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says lawyers took their money and gave them pennies; unaware of the Tronox proceedings; says she was not informed of the deadline: it appears that the trust made her a \$5,000 allowed claim offer and she has rejected it. Prior dealings with Colom firm show awareness of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to whether the prior litigation bars such claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures. Duplicate motion at docket #5725.
1528	TRO884910FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Ezell	Ezell Coleman	5306			X			X	Says "wasn't aware of a deadline was warranted"; says symptoms 2010, not diagnosed by a doctor. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1529	TRO885036FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Frank	Ezell Johnson	6685	8218	X	X				Symptoms 1999-2006; diagnosis "yes;" says filed claim in 1999 but paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. A supplement filed at docket #8218, says it is not fair to turn down injured claimants because the dates were not right. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8627. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1530	TRO885989FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Ezell	Ezell Johnson	6709	8173 8626	X	X				1999 diagnosis; says atty Bill Bambach lost paperwork. A supplement filed at docket #8173, says does not know how you are allowing claims of those who filed at same time as him and did not live in the area but not of residents. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8626. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1531	TRO892404FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Ezetrick	Ezetrick Coleman	4076			X				Diagnoses in 2001 and 2003. Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1532	TRO889712FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Fairie	Fairie Johnson	4308			X				Says previously filed with Curtis Austin law firm in 2007. No record of a bankruptcy claim filing in 2009, however. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1533	TRO891690FTC	2/23/2016	Hackman, Fannie	Fannie Hackman	4754		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Did not know of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1534	TRO890150FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Fannie	Fannie Harris	7113		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, outcome not clear. Says did not know of the Tronox proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1535	TRO892538FTC	Unknown	Harris, Fannie	Fannie Harris	7991			X				Not included in Trust's summary. January 2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach but he passed away, but his passing was in 2013, does not explain failure to file in 2009. Unexcused failure of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Says tried to refile and sign up with Maranatha church after death of Bambach but did not hear anything, then told it was over, but if that was after the passing of Atty Bambach it was already years after the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1536	TRO885982FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Fannie	Fannie Johnson	3406	8236 8624	X	X				Symptoms began in 1989, says "yes" when asked when first diagnosed. Says unaware of the deadline in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8236, complaining that claims of those who do not reside in Columbus were allowed while the trust rejected claims of residents. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8624. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1537	TRO889383FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Fannie	Fannie Jordan	5678		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1538	TRO892101FTC	2/23/2016	Mays, Fannie	Fannie Mays	3760		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Says not aware of claims process; uses standard but conclusory language saying publication notice was not good enough. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1539	TRO896717FTC	4/25/2016	Latham, Ozie	Fannie Mays	4387		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep unaware and no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1540	TRO895875FTC	Unknown	Fannie Porter	Fannie Porter	3621		X	X				Motion is not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1978, did not file because mother died of heart disease in 1989 and was not aware of any claim to file at that time. No showing as to prior awareness of claims or as to diligent investigation and pursuit of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1541	TRO895024FTC	3/23/2016	Stillman, Fannie	Fannie Stillman	7052		X	X			X	Alleges 2011 diagnosis but others in 1964. Says not aware of the claim process and did not know could file a claim; alleges a violation of due process but does not explain how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1542	TRO893533FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Fannie	Fannie Turner	3947	8287		X				just a signature page. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8287, it appears she may have filed with one of the prior actions because she said that when she first filed, they tried to contact her but she had moved. Then she says when they ran it through the paper - she may be referring to the notice of the bar date - she was unaware. She moved more times. She did not get any mailings at her former address; complains that claims were allowed of people that did not live in area. No specific claims identified and no sufficient grounds alleged for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1543	TRO896138FTC	4/6/2016	Washington, Fannie	Fannie Washington	7056			X			X	Says unaware exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 but does not specify month and also provides a medical record for 2008. Also attaches the form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1544	TRO901386FTC	8/16/2016	Whitfield, Fannie	Fannie Whitfield	6086		X	X				1961 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1545	TRO900513FTC	8/16/2016	Danner, Farren	Farren Danner	7775		X	X		X		Alleges first diagnoses in 2014 and 2017 but also says previously filed with attorney Jeffrey Navarro as part of Kerr McGee Plant Class Action; says was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1546	TRO896055FTC	4/6/2016	Greggs, Gearl	Faye Greggs	4471		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Rep unaware claimant did not file claim and did not know could file a claim for deceased spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1547	TRO896056FTC	4/6/2016	Hardin, Charles	Faye Hardin Greggs	4470		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980 and died in 1993; rep says unaware could file for a deceased spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1548	TRO885998FTC	12/7/2015	Barry, Feeanaya	Feeanaya Barry	5613		X	X				1983 diagnosis; on road driving trucks; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Also attaches the form letter that refers to not receiving a "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1549	TRO904754FTC	1/24/2017	Taylor, Felecia	Felecia-Mary Ann Taylor-Burgin	6878			X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; not clear where exposure and injury occurred; rep unaware could file for her daughter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1550	TRO887605FTC	12/14/2015	Bowser, Felicia	Felicia Bowser	4597			X		X		Alleges various conditions, some of which were diagnosed before the bar date, some after. Says unaware, did not see information about future tort claim announced. Does not offer excuse for missed bar date as to conditions that were diagnosed before the bar date, no grounds for excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1551	TRO894967FTC	3/23/2016	Finch, Felicia	Felicia Finch	7621			X		X		Diagnoses 1967, 2002, 2013; place of exposure not clear; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see publications, says called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim; says notice of bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not explain why not; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1552	TRO894965FTC	3/23/2016	Finch, Bessie	Felicia Finch	8442			X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; standard form reasons why missed bar date. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1553	TRO894966FTC	3/23/2016	Finch, Willie	Felicia Finch	8443			X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1980; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1554	TRO886918FTC	12/7/2015	Hopkins, Felicia	Felicia Hopkins	4671		X	X				Diagnoses 1981-1996. Says was unaware of lawsuit or that she could file a claim. Says was a child, but given alleged exposure onset (1981) and first diagnosis (1981) claimant must have been 27 or 28 at the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1555	TRO897765FTC	5/25/2016	Latham, La'Jermaine	Felicia Hudson	6994		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of filing a claim against Tronox; did not receive notice that the products were causing people to be sick until saw it on the news and then filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1556	TRO890353FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson-Jones, Felisha	Felisha Jackson-jones	5311	5312		X				1993 diagnosis; had no reason to believe her conditions were caused by exposure to Tronox product; bar date notice not reasonably calculated to reach claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Duplicate motion at docket # 5312.
1557	TRO896889FTC	Unknown	Dillard, Felix	Felix Dillard	7800		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; rep says not aware claimant would qualify or that had to file with court; assumed was already represented. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1558	TRO914540FTC	7/26/2017	Fenton, Annie	Fenton, Annie	6731			X				2008 diagnosis; resident of Illinois since 1963 but visited parents in Columbus, MS until their death in 1998; unaware of filing deadline, also says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Notices of the bar date were published in June 2009 in the Edwardsville Intelligencer in Edwardsville, IL (about 21 miles from Fairview Heights) and in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch (about 15 miles from Fairview Heights). Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1559	TRO887644FTC	12/14/2015	Hodges, Feshall	Feshall Hodges	3976		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Previously filed with Wilbur Colom. Says was not informed about the claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1560	TRO905559FTC	3/28/2017	Howell, Leonard	Flenrus L. Murdock, Jr.	3890		X	X				1983 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1561	TRO903306FTC	Unknown	Murdock, Flentrus Jr.	Flentrus Murdock Jr.	3828		X	X				Motion not listed in Trust's summary. 1988 diagnosis. Says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1562	TRO891715FTC	Unknown	Balchune, Florence	Florence Balchune	5700			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1563	TRO895075FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Florence	Florence Shinn	3487			X		X		Says diagnosed in August 2009; says incompetent at time of claim filing deadline and can't read it; has to have someone do it for her. Unclear if diagnosis was before or after the August 12, 2009 bar date. August 2009 diagnosis might explain reason why August bar date was initially missed but no explanation is provided for the failure to file a claim until March 2016, more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Insufficient support offered as to asserted incompetence. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1564	TRO889054FTC	Unknown	Jenkins, Floyd	Floyd Jenkins	5425			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1565	TRO896384FTC	4/13/2016	Webber, Floyd	Floyd Webber	6054		X	X				1959-1965 diagnoses; previously filed with atty Bambach associated with Gunn, Cunningham and Flint; refers to a 2005 settlement disbursement; appears to think the Tronox bankruptcy claims process is connected to the prior litigation handled by other Mississippi firms. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not fully resolved, and was not the subject of a proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not fully resolved, and was the subject of a pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, as counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Motion denied.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1566	TRO887573FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Flozell	Flozell Harris	4773		X	X				1961 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; did not know where to get paperwork. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1567	<b>TRO884531FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Thompson, Fowles</b>	<b>Fowles Thompson</b>	8050		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1998 and 2000; previously filed with Lundy & Davis, LLP 2000 and McClanahan 2002; says he thinks it is a shame that 3 people who reside in the same house received allowed claims and one did not although all have health issues and that people who never lived in Columbus have allowed claims. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date or explain reason why failed to comply with bar date. Prior dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1568	TRO905495FTC	3/28/2017	Crusoe, Frances	Frances Crusoe	6232		X	X				Diagnosed in childhood (early 1980s); says paperwork was initially filed along with that of relatives but the law firm could not find papers; later was told that because did not still live in Columbus, could not file a claim (this is apparently a reference to the prior class action on behalf of nearby residents). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1569	TRO889739FTC	2/23/2016	Stephenson, Maggie	Frances Maggie	7534			X				2007 diagnosis; rep says she was unaware of the claims process; unaware of the dangerous Tronox toxins when she lived in the area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1570	TRO892466FTC	2/23/2016	Oden. Roderick	Frances Oden	7555		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 1984, 2003, 2004; the injured party died in 2007; former resident of Columbus, MS; alleges that a claim was filed with atty Landis Sexton 2003, who represented all of the Maranatha Center claimants and he was supposed to have filed a claim but did not do so (however, the bankruptcy filing did not occur until 2009, so any contemplated claim in 2003 had to be in connection with a different proceeding); it was their understanding that the case was on hold pending the bankruptcy and they would get written notification when the litigation would proceed, but the bankruptcy was six years later, in 2009. Reference to the bankruptcy case suggests knowledge of the proceeding. No indication that claimant was actually a plaintiff in another proceeding, but bar date notices were mailed to all persons in pending cases or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Not clear if attorney Sexton was the movant's counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and an alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1571	TRO892468FTC	2/23/2016	Clayborn, Mattie	Frances Oden	7556		X	X				Diagnoses 1960, 1972, 1981; the injured party died in 1983; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with atty Landis Sexton who was the attorney for the Maranatha Center and who was supposed to file a claim; it was their understanding that the case was on hold pending the bankruptcy and they would get written notification when the litigation would proceed. Reference to the bankruptcy case suggests knowledge of the proceeding. No indication that claimant was actually a plaintiff in another proceeding, but bar date notices were mailed to all persons in pending cases or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Not clear if attorney Sexton was the movant's counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and an alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1572	TRO903800FTC	Unknown	Richards, Dick	Frances Richards	5466		X	X				This motion was not listed on the Trustee's summary. 1985 diagnosis, injured party died approximately 1992; motion refers to see death certificate but it is not attached to motion papers; rep says that during bar date period, claimant did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; notice deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Complains that notice was deficient but does not say how, notice language was proper for reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1573	TRO886594FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Susie	Frances Staples	3906	8989	X	X				1983 diagnosis date. States "we file on time" but appears to be under mistaken belief that a late-filed claim (after 2009) was timely. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8989 complaining about the process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1574	TRO895482FTC	3/24/2016	Jones, Lorene	Frances Stewart	5884	8878	X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1967; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8878 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1575	TRO892860FTC	Unknown	Russell-Williams, Frances	Frances Wms Russell	6241			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 diagnosis; was not aware at that time that injury was caused by being near contaminated area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1576	TRO891227FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, Francine	Francine Perry	7102			X				The motions at dockets 7100, 7101 and 7102 were filed by three different persons but with the same address in Columbus, Georgia; the motion at docket 7101 indicates that exposures occurred in Columbus, Mississippi but the other two motions are not clear as to where exposures occurred. The motion at docket # 7012 alleges a 1984 diagnosis; says never received any notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1577	TRO891034FTC	2/23/2016	Haduch, Francis	Francis Haduch	4216			X				Symptoms in 1963, no specific diagnosis date. Says was living on a farm, not informed of a claim against this company. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1578	TRO901464FTC	Unknown	Spraggins, Mandy	Francis M Bailey-Jones	7240	7241	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1972 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2001; rep moved away from Columbus and was unaware could file a claim. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred.
1579	TRO892899FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Frances	Francis Williams	4438			X		X		Diagnoses in 2008 (diabetes), 2010 (high blood pressure). Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1580	TRO884056FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Francy	Francy Ellis	3438			X	X			1984 Diagnosis. Did not file by bar date because unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1581	TRO891714FTC	Unknown	Balachune, Frank	Frank Balachune	5701			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1582	TRO902521FTC	9/14/2016	Donahue, Frank	Frank Donahue	5893		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says Garretson group continues to send mail to wrong address. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1583	TRO887543FTC	12/14/2015	Draper, Frank	Frank Draper	6535		X	X				Diagnoses 1979-80 and 2005; was not aware of a pending action against Kerr-McGee because he is not an active participant in social media or television; unaware that his conditions were directly related to Kerr-McGee. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1584	TRO890918FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Frank	Frank Harris	5376		X	X				1986 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; says did not know where to get paperwork in 2009. Dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1585	TRO884812FTC	11/25/2015	McGregory, Allies	Frank McGregor	4635		X	X		X		Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2003, no response from atty; paperwork lost; injured party deceased 2015; claimant says other conditions arose in 2012. Claim filed with attorney Bambach shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused, and no excuse has been offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1586	TRO893756FTC	3/2/2016	Pippins, Frank	Frank Pippins	6676		X	X				1963 diagnosis; part of 2000 action with Colom law firm; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; says was in the army, but that was in 1970s. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1587	TRI902638FTC	4/20/2016	Sempa, Frank	Frank Sempa	5651		X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; rep unaware of lawsuit or that he could file for a deceased person. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1588	TRO901978FTC	9/14/2016	Shields, Frank	Frank Sheilds	6526			X				Identifies diagnoses in 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2017; place of exposure not clear; previously filed with atty Cunningham and other attys in 1990s when claims first were done (must be thinking of class action); heard that everything was over and the company was in bankruptcy. Says was not aware of the "reopening" of the case, was not contacted by attorneys or anyone to let him know of this ongoing suit. Says he contacted the Group that was handling the case and "was told this was for people who had a case prior to." Says he informed person he did do a claim with atty Cunningham and some more attys. she told him she did not see his name and he needed to contact the atty. He tried to reach out to the atty and was told they were no longer involved and needed to contact an atty out of Florida. Did not find out what happened with case before the deadline. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1589	TRO887268FTC	12/14/2015	Thompson, Frank	Frank Thompson	8514			X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; unaware of bar date and misinterpreted conditions of claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1590	TRO888177FTC	Unknown	Weaver, Frank	Frank Weaver	3501	9325		X			X	Motion was not included in the Trust's summary. Did not fill out form or sign declaration but attached a statement. Inconsistent statements as to exposure dates (saying at one point that exposure started in 1965 but saying at another point that was first exposed after the bar date). Says was not diagnosed until 2012. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9325 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1591	TRO884267FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Frankie, Jr.	Frankie Dickerson, Jr.	6458						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1995 diagnosis; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice for unknown potential clients; did not know that was exposed to a Tronox product; also says was a child at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1592	TRO884268FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Frankie, Sr.	Frankie Dickerson, Sr.	6445	6447	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1989 diagnosis; says filed before claim filing deadline, but appears to be under mistaken impression that 2015 and later filings were timely, no record of a timely claim in 2009; says he had to take care of his wife and children. His wife handled all the paperwork; says did not know the deadlines or any information; says his wife was "down on her bed for over 3 yrs" but does not specify what were the years. A duplicate motion was filed at docket #6447 but with a different TRO number [TRO880676FTC]. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1593	TRO895570FTC	3/24/2016	Gilkey, Fred	Fred Gilkey	6480		X	X				2003 and 2004 diagnoses; says is an 82-year old somewhat illiterate person unfamiliar with legal jargon; had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification through any media. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1594	TRO880123FTC	12/4/2015	Gandy, Robert	Freda Gandy	6770			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1595	TRO898609FTC	6/27/2016	Bell, Freddie	Freddie Bell	5297	8162	X	X				1997 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket # 8162, did not hear or know about the lawsuit. Alleges lack of direct notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1596	TRO884004FTC	11/13/2015	Fenton, Freddie	Freddie Fenton	3453	9158		X		X		Says had symptoms in prior years but no diagnosis until 2015. Says did not have condition before the time frame; there was no literature on the process of filing a claim; just did not know. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9158 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1597	TRO894841FTC	3/23/2016	Greenleaf, Freddie	Freddie Greenleaf	6530	8810	X	X				1991 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost; says did not know and had not reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8810 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1598	TRO900782FTC	8/16/2016	McKinney, Freddie	Freddie Mckinney	3566		X	X				Diagnosed 1966. Alleges lack of actual awareness of deadline but no other circumstances. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1599	<b>TRO891206FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Mosely, Freddie</b>	<b>Freddie Mosely</b>	4755		X	X				The Trust's summary correctly listed the motion at docket 4755 as a motion by Freddie Mosely but the correct claim number is TRO891206FTC. The claim number on the Trust's summary (TRO891291FTC) belongs to Thomas Taylor at docket # 4837. The motion filed by Mr. Mosley alleges a 1999 diagnosis. Motion asserts that the claim was previously filed with Mr. Bambach but that the paperwork was lost. Even though the claim lists the injured party as Freddie Mosely himself, it may actually be Shirley Mosely as her death certificate from 2000 is attached to the file, and Mr. Mosely may be her representative. In any case, prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1600	TRO897291FTC	5/4/2016	Porter, Cora	Freddie Porter	3692	8279		X				Diagnosis date not provided, says "did not know about the claims process." A supplement filed at docket #8279, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1601	TRO889098FTC	12/30/2015	Tate, Freddie	Freddie Tate	7960			X			X	Says unaware of these continuous conditions; says symptoms Aug. 2009 and diagnosis Dec. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
1602	TRO891720FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Frederic	Frederic Scott	4806		X	X				1960s diagnosis. Says was unaware of process or of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1603	TRO894646FTC	3/23/2016	Hairston, Frederica	Frederica Hairston	7613		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1604	TRO891704FTC	2/23/2016	Colister, Frederick	Frederick Colister	4767			X				March 2006 diagnosis. Not aware of filing; spoke to atty and atty said he would get back in touch; when claimant found out later that people were filing paper was when he did his application. Date of contact with attorney is not clear, may have been in connection with the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1605	TRO895764FTC	3/24/2016	Douglas, Frederick	Frederick Douglas	3607		X	X				Diagnosed 2000-2008, says filed in 2000 with William Bombach, does not know what happened. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior filing with counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed prior to 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1606	TRO897626FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Frederick	Frederick Jones	7543		X	X				1985 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; surgeries in 1988 and 1999; did not know exposed to Tronox product or that it could cause harm. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1607	TRO903655FTC	11/23/2016	Summerville, Frederick	Frederick Summerville	7565		X	X		X		Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says violation of due process but does not say how; says learned of infertility in 1995 and was diagnosed with cardiovascular issues in 2011. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1608	TRO912645FTC	5/30/2017	Thames, Frederick	Frederick Thames	4300			X		X		Says symptoms 1990, diagnosis 2010. Alleges no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges 2010 diagnoses but date of diagnosis may be disputed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect, motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim to conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any issues as diagnosis dates) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1609	TRO901860FTC	9/14/2016	Bishop, Fredia	Fredia Bishop	3514		X	X				Diagnosed 1978. Filing for mother. "Didn't know about deadline." Says was a child, does not know if mother ever made a claim. Alleges her own lack of knowledge of the bar date but makes no showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1610	TRO901861FTC	9/14/2016	Bishop, Peggy	Fredia Bishop	3523		X	X				Diagnosed 1977. Contends that a claim was filed in July 2000, which must have been part of a prior class action. Alleges lack of knowledge of Tronox deadlines. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1611	TRO895181FTC	3/23/2016	Fulton, Contrell	Fulton, Shirley	6741		X	X				1978 diagnosis; did not know about the case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1612	TRO896128FTC	4/6/2016	Spann, Gabriel	Gabrial Spann	7218			X		X		Motion contains no information, just a signature page on a motion as well as a signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of any post-bar date claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1613	TRO903394FTC	10/27/2016	Bell, Gabrielle	Gabrielle Bell	7716	8252	X	X				1989 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says violation of due process (does not explain how). A supplement filed at docket #8252. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but motion is dated within the 90-day period. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1614	TRO905578FTC	3/28/2017	Ferack, Joseph	Gabrielle Tate	7703	8250 8542		X			X	Says symptoms 2005 and diagnosis 2010, does not provide medical records. Supplements filed at docket #8250 and docket#8542, wants claim to go through appeal process, complains there was no health warning, complains about claims process. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1615	TRO885026FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Gaelan	Gaelan Petty	5751	8159 9042	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork misplaced. A supplement filed at docket #8159. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9042 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1616	TRO885385FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Gail	Gail Harris	4570		X	X				1963 diagnosis. No reason provided for late filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1617	TRO896784FTC	4/25/2016	Oliveri, Gail	Gail Oliveri	6714			X				Childhood diagnosis for headaches and nausea, thyroid diagnosis in "2000s;" thought you could only file claim if had cancer. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1618	TRO889103FTC	12/30/2015	Rush, Gail	Gail Rush	7837			X			X	Unaware could file a claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends that motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1619	TRO892429FTC	2/23/2016	DeLoach, Gary	Gary DeLoach	7568		X	X			X	1973 and 2010 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1620	TRO893936FTC	3/2/2016	Griffin, Gloria	Gary Griffin	7549			X				Various diagnoses, 1/16/06 and earlier; the injured party died in 2007; rep unaware Tronox case was taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1621	TRO892411FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Gary	Gary Williams	3623		X	X				Diagnosed 1967, made claims in 2005, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2015, but never received notice of filing deadline. Filed these claims pro se. Claims filed before 2009 could not have been in connection with the bankruptcy process as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. It appears this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. No grounds stated for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any dispute as to whether a timely claim was actually filed is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust as that is not a claim for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process.
1622	TRO901886FTC	9/14/2016	Bullock, Gavin	Gavin Bullock	4251		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Did not know of any such claim that was pending. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1623	TRO884879FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Gavin	Gavin Salter	3346			X				Diagnosed 2007, was not aware of claims deadline because "there were no information given until 2015." But also claims was part of prior litigation with Wilbur Colon as counsel. Not a "Future Tort Claim" if participated in a prior legal proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1624	TRO902696FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Gayle	Gayle Thomas	5028		X	X				Says he learned of problems when EPA came to town and was told to fill out paperwork but was never contacted. EPA visit pre-dated the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Dates of diagnoses unclear but motion admits knowledge of Tronox connection to health issues. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1625	TRO898186FTC	6/20/2016	Woffard, Gaylor	Gaylor Woffard	4028			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1626	TRO892380FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Gemarqus	Gemarqus Stewart	3557	6333	X	X				Diagnosed 2000-2005, states that s/he currently lives in a different area. A duplicate of this claim filed at docket #6333. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1627	TRO884917FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Gemria	Gemria Williams	6077		X	X		X		Unaware of the claims process; attaches a chart which only refers to conditions after bar date but then also inserts a letter that says condition diagnosed prior to bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1628	TRO898032FTC	6/20/2016	Sherrod, Gene	Gene Sherrod	4271		X	X				1986, 1995 and 2005 diagnoses. Says unaware exposed to a Tronox product, unaware cause of illness was creosote. Statute of limitations in MS runs from date the injury is diagnosed, not the date the cause is identified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1629	TRO887637FTC	12/14/2015	Stewart, Gennette	Genette Stewart	3695			X				Diagnosed with cancer in March 2009, "because I was treated until such time of sickness - and that why I filed." Appears to allege sickness at time of bar date as reason for not filing. No explanation, however, as to why claim was not filed until more than 6 years after the bar date, in December 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1630	TRO900802FTC	8/16/2016	Davenport, Geneva	Geneva Davenport	4937			X				2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of the claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1631	TRO891339FTC	2/23/2016	Stallings, Genevry	Genevry Stallings	6237		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says did not hear anything about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1632	TRO902899FTC	10/13/2016	Aston, John	Genevieve Aston	3549			X			X	Diagnosed 2001, filing on behalf of deceased son who died in 2007, states that he sent a death certificate when he first filed (date unspecified) and never "received a proof of claim." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Insufficient allegations of lack of knowledge or of other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If believes he made a timely claim that is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust, is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief.
1633	TRO898887FTC	6/27/2016	Morgan, Genia	Genia Morgan	5878		X	X				1960-61 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2001; she says that she went to doctors provided by attorney Wilbur Colom in 2001; she says the claim was mailed, however, "they" claim they did not receive it. She mailed it over and over and they still said they did not receive it. Then she began to get proofs of mailing. No record of any claim in 2009, references to mailings may be references to late-claim filings with the trust. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1634	TRO886296FTC	12/7/2015	Petty, Genice	Genice Petty	5687		X	X				1973 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; unaware and no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case; saw no publication notices. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1635	TRO904112FTC	1/3/2017	Spencer, Genita	Genita Spencer	3569		X	X				Diagnosed 1982, other conditions up to 1999. Alleges lack of actual notice, no other allegations. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1636	TRO904209FTC	1/3/2017	Ewing, Henry	Genita Spencer	3574		X	X				Diagnosed in 1951, injured party died 2009 or earlier. Relative says had no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1637	TRO904017FTC	1/3/2017	Tate, Geniya	Genita Spencer	3581			X				Parent filing on behalf of child. Symptoms in 2005, no diagnosis date listed. Says parents were unaware of the bar date, filed claim as soon as learned of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1638	TRO886682FTC	12/7/2015	Jackson, Velma	Geno Frazier	4509		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Did not know she could file a claim; injured party died in 1995. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1639	TRO901879FTC	9/14/2016	Frazier, Geno	Geno Frazier	4510		X	X				Unaware of claims process, symptoms and diagnosis 1967. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1640	TRO892302FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, George	George Coleman	4163		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says has poor vision, leg swelling, lost teeth. Did not know about the bankruptcy. No allegation that claim arose after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1641	TRO905569FTC	3/28/2017	Davidson, George, Jr.	George Davidson, Jr.	3889		X	X				Says diagnosis in 1944 but may be confusing exposure date and diagnosis date. Alleges lack of knowledge, believes would violate due process to deny claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1642	TRO886435FTC	12/7/2015	Hairston, Annie	George Hairston	4274		X	X				1994 diagnosis. No excuse provided for failure to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1643	TRO894441FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, George	George Harris	5514		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1980 and in December 2009; unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1644	TRO901089FTC	8/16/2016	Irby, George	George Irby	7905		X	X				Various conditions and diagnoses, all 2001 and earlier; unaware could file claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1645	TRO884833FTC	11/25/2015	Irions, George	George Irions	3441		X	X				Diagnosis in 1970. Previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant class action. Says did not see any publications about Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior class action confirms awareness of legal rights and claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was fully resolved in a prior action or was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1646	TRO913230FTC	5/30/2017	Kilgore, George	George Kilgore	4491		X	X				1944 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1647	TRO899242FTC	7/11/2016	Lee, George	George Lee	5320	8128	X	X		X		Large volume of medical records but no clear indication of when various conditions were first diagnosed, as opposed to dates of doctor visits and ongoing treatments. Says claim was filed before by someone who did not know what to file; says symptoms and diagnosis Nov. 2009, but a death certificate filed for 2001. A supplement with medical records filed at docket #8128. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1648	<b>TRO884856FTC</b>	11/25/2015	Lowery, George	George Lowery III	4939		X	X				The correct claim number for this motion is TRO884856FTC. 1993 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1649	TRO896051FTC	4/6/2016	Nowakowski, Stanley	George Nowakowski	5965			X				1970s diagnosis; "information was published in the local news media that former Avoca Pa mayor James Haddock filed a complaint to represent people who had no idea that they could file on behalf of deceased members of family." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1650	<b>TRO887846FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Pruitt, George</b>	<b>George Pruitt</b>	4717			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1651	TRO901277FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, George	George Randle	5548		X	X		X		Diagnosis date unclear; says was unaware of the tort claim; only provides medical record for 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1652	TRO888195FTC	12/18/2015	Sherron, George	George Sherron	5233		X	X				1966-1975 diagnoses; unaware exposed to Tronox product; unaware of future tort claims because certain groups allegedly maintained the information privately and falsely said that only people who worked at the Kerr-McGee plant could file. There were earlier lawsuits that limited participation either to workers or to people who lived in a certain area but those pre-dated the bankruptcy process by many years and are unrelated to the bankruptcy process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1653	TRO899986FTC	7/25/2016	Wadrop, George	George Wadrop	6820			X				Various diagnoses, all 2000 and earlier; says resided outside of the Reading area where the Tronox information was shared in the local newspapers; unaware of deadline; unaware condition connected to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1654	TRO893207FTC	2/23/2016	Augustus, Georgia	Georgia Augustus	5476			X			X	Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; says that symptom and diagnosis Dec. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1655	TRO887332FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Georgia	Georgia Davis	3852		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1656	TRO897570FTC	5/25/2016	Tate, Georgia	Georgia Tate	3653			X			X	Diagnosed 9/21/2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1657	TRO890385FTC	2/23/2016	Waldon, Georgia	Georgia Waldon	4015		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Cut-and-pasted language says was unaware, did not see notifications, called and was told to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1658	TRO901725FTC	8/16/2016	Skinner, Georgie	Georgie Skinner	5520		X	X				Early 1990s diagnosis; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1659	TRO892110FTC	2/23/2016	Howard, Geraildean	Geraildean Howard	4203		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says moved to another town in Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1660	TRO898014FTC	Unknown	Blunt, Gerald	Gerald Blunt	7740		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1979, 1980, 1990, 1992, 2008; excuse is that saw no publication notice. Alleges was a minor at the time of initial filing, but also says was first exposed in 1972, so was approximately 37 at the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1661	TRO894098FTC	3/2/2016	Brown, Geraldine	Geraldine Brown	4065		X	X				1970s diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1662	TRO899026FTC	6/27/2016	Williams, Geraldine	Geraldine Fields Williams	6546		X	X			X	<p>Various diagnosis dates, most before the bar date but some after; unaware of the bankruptcy case and the claims filing deadline; lacks knowledge how to complete a claim and "not knowing all chemicals"; heard about it in 2016; was given a contact to a lady portraying to represent Garretson Trust and says she requested to be compensated to assist in filling out the claim. The claimant says that because she did not know the chemicals to which she was exposed and had no clue which form to fill out or how to fill it, the form was provided to her and she was instructed what to fill out. The purported Garretson lady informed her to document a chemical that was not involved in this settlement. The claimant wants to now be allowed to file a claim with these other chemicals as the cause of their condition. She sent the same letter many others sent about this pre-filled form directing them to file for category A chemicals rather than category d and now they want to refile claims. References to Category A and to Category D may be to creditor classifications under the confirmed plan; Category D, however, was available only for persons who filed claims before the bar date, and the only assets still available for claims are those set aside for Category A. Complaints about difficulty filling out trust claim forms should be resolved with the trust but are not relevant to a motion pertaining to the 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.</p>
1663	TRO891029FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon, Geraldine	Geraldine Gordon	5529		X	X				<p>Symptoms began in 1994, diagnosis in 2006; says filed a legal claim in 1994, no details; says hired Chucky Easley as counsel in 2017; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.</p>

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1664	TRO896372FTC	4/13/2016	Scaccia, Geraldine	Geraldine Scaccia	3515			X				Diagnosed 1959, 1960, 1971. Living in different city at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect in light of 1959-1971 diagnoses.
1665	TRO895002FTC	3/23/2016	Smith, Melvin	Geraldine Smith	5249		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; says understood that only the residents who lived in the affected area presently could file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1666	TRO906060FTC	4/24/2017	Wilson, German	German Wilson	4864		X	X				1997-1998 diagnosis; moved to another town and was unaware at bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1667	TRO885688FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Germar	Germar Harris	5562		X	X				1980s diagnosis; not aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1668	TRO890131FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins, Gerrol	Gerrol Hawkins	5859	9125	X	X				1982 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why did not file before bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9125 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1669	TRO897571FTC	5/25/2016	Belotti, Leonard	Gertrude Belotti	3602	8039		X				Diagnosed 1992 and prior years. Filing on behalf of husband, alleges did not know or have reason to know husband was exposed before filing deadline; says did not have notice. A duplicate filed at docket #8039. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1670	TRO901883FTC	9/14/2016	Durrah, Geshia	Geshia Brown	6824			X		X		Says first diagnosed in August 2009 but also says previously filed with Tollison law firm in MS as part of Kerr-McGee Creosote plant class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1671	TRO886688FTC	12/7/2015	Brown, Jacobery	Geshia Brown	6825			X		X		Minor; rep says symptoms and diagnosis October 2009; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1672	TRO887646FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Geshia	Geshia Davis	4314		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1673	TRO888832FTC	12/30/2015	Webb, Gevonta	Gevonta Webb	7733			X			X	2000 symptoms, 2010 diagnosis; says was a minor at time of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends that the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, motion only identifies a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
1674	TRO892806FTC	2/23/2016	Gibbs, Margaret	Gibbs, Margaret	5240		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says unaware of bankruptcy process and that could file a claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1675	TRO892374FTC	2/23/2016	Gibson, Rosie	Gibson, Bertha	5335		X	X				The injured party died in 1970; rep unaware of claims process and did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1676	TRO884470FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Gilbert, Jr.	Gilbert Butler, Jr.	3323	8125	X	X				Diagnosed 1971, says no publication in local newspaper. A supplement with medical records filed at docket #8125. In fact, there was publication in the local newspaper (the Commercial Dispatch) in June 2009, as evidenced by the affidavit of publication on file with the court, which includes a copy of the published notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1677	TRO885873FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Gilbert	Gilbert Petty	7043		X	X				Various conditions and diagnoses 2000 and earlier, one diagnosis (diverticulosis) 2008; says was not aware could file a claim, not notified via mail, newspaper, letters, correspondence, or TV concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1678	TRO897579FTC	5/25/2016	Toney, Gina	Gina Toney	6393			X				2008 diagnosis; says submitted a form in 2002 but did not hear from it (says it is unclear who supplied the form but it asked for any diagnosis and information of where the person lived in the area). Any form prepared in 2002 must have been in connection with a prior class action, particularly if it asked if person lived in covered area. Says did not file in 2009 because was not aware of a deadline or a claim at the time. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1679	TRO903548FTC	11/23/2016	Stephens, Ginger	Ginger Stephens	5062			X		X		Unaware of any lawsuit or claims; says symptoms in 2009 but does not give diagnosis date or medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1680	TRO892375FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Gino	Gino Turner	6856		X	X				2002 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1681	TRO901131FTC	Unknown	Thompson, Lenwood	Girlean Dillon	8016		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; allegedly incompetent, incapacitated (apparently referring to injured party); the rep says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, does not say why; did not know and had no reason to know expose to a Tronox product; says can't take advantage of lawsuits if you were informed that only certain area or people could apply (but there was no such limit in the bankruptcy case, that was a limit for a prior class action). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1682	TRO900287FTC	7/25/2016	Devauld, Gladys	Gladys Devauld	4579		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Unaware of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1683	TRO888979FTC	12/30/2015	Gillespie, Gladys	Gladys Gillespie	7208			X		X		September 2009 diagnosis, no medical records attached. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1684	TRO886430FTC	Unknown	Harris, Gladys	Gladys Harris	3311			X				Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1685	TRO886432FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Claudine	Gladys Harris	5891		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1686	TRO897353FTC	5/25/2016	Mickens, Glen	Glen Mickens	5759		X	X			X	Says was incompetent at time of bar date and now, unclear who filled out form motion; did not receive phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning matter; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but refers to a "previous claim" and an "updated claim." Insufficient showing of alleged incompetence to extent of inability to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1687	TRO886561FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, Glenda	Glenda Hill	3595	8225	X	X				Diagnosed 1986. Alleges previously filed a proof of claim in 2012, but that was after the bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8225. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8622. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1688	TRO901813FTC	9/14/2016	Hill, J'Khyah	Glenda Hill	3648	8225 8690	X	X				Diagnosed 2005, not aware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8225. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8690 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1689	TRO902682FTC	8/16/2016	Crusoe, Glenda	Glenda Kay Crusoe	7798		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; received a payment, later he was told it was not correct and he should file again which was after bar date; was unaware of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1690	TRO898309FTC	Unknown	Perterson, Tom	Glenda Smith	6403		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis dates listed as 2000, 2003, 2009; the injured party died in March 2009; rep says publication notice was unreasonably calculated to provide notice; claimant had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1691	TRO904110FTC	Unknown	Triplett, Arbelia	Glenda Smith	6450		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says publication of notice was unreasonably calculated to give proper notice to potential claimants by the claims filing deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1692	TRO893816FTC	Unknown	Smith, Glenda	Glenda Smith	6454		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986-87 diagnosis; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated for potential claimants; did not know of exposure to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1693	TRO912934FTC	5/30/2017	Williams, Willie	Glenda W. Harrison	3874		X	X				Injured party (father of movant) diagnosed in 2000, died in 2001. Says mother thought that only living persons could file in lawsuit (may be referring to prior class action) and only told living family members about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1694	TRO905415FTC	3/28/2017	Tate, L.C.	Glenda Young	4812	8251	X	X				1967 diagnosis; claimant died 1986; says violation of due process; a supplement filed at docket #8251. Standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1695	TRO889540FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Glendora	Glendora Jamison	3827			X		X		Diagnosed with cancer in 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1696	TRO895822FTC	3/24/2016	Rice, Glenn	Glenn Rice	3664			X				Diagnosed 2007, wasn't aware of case until after deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1697	TRO884040FTC	11/13/2015	Epps, Glennie	Glennie Epps	8439		X	X				2005 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1698	TRO887224FTC	12/14/2015	Samuel, Glinda	Glinda Samuel	3435	4343 8220 9492	X	X				Previously filed with Cochran and Colom law firm 2000-2001, 2002, and Hamilton firm 2004; says that "Because of 'Judicial Malpractice' and counsellor ineptitude, [her] claim was Bounced around, Mishandled, and treated with disregard." She assumed that she was represented throughout the process. A duplicate is filed at docket # 4343; a supplement is filed at docket # 8220. Attorney mistake or malpractice is not a proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorneys show knowledge of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, there is no indication the claim was ever subject of a filed lawsuit and it therefore was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1699	Unknown	2/23/2016	Barnes, Gloria	Gloria Barnes	7853		X	X				1976 diagnosis; moved to California but does not provide specific date; unaware her condition was related to chemicals released by Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1700	TRO884700FTC	11/25/2015	Boykin, Gloria	Gloria Boykin	4429		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says has difficulty seeing and walking. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1701	TRO896069FTC	4/6/2016	Clemons, Gloria	Gloria Clemons	4965	8255	X	X				Diagnoses in 2001-2002; says she did not know; filed supplement at docket # 8255 says she could not file because she cracked her ankle and it was difficult to get around. Not a sufficient showing of inability to file claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1702	<b>TRO884977FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Sandeers, Lonzie</b>	<b>Gloria Conner</b>	7227		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep does not provide excuse. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1703	TRO884245FTC	11/25/2015	Conner, Gloria	Gloria Conner	8135	8426	X	X				Motion is at docket #8426, letter filed in advance at docket #8130. 1975 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, result not specified; not aware of claim process in bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1704	TRO887285FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Gloria	Gloria Davis	3920			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1705	<b>TRO889642FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Douglas, Gloria</b>	<b>Gloria Douglas</b>	7995		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. January 2005 diagnosis; unaware claims were being filed; says Atty Bambach died, was told it was over (but Atty Bambach died in 2013, long after the bar date). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1706	TRO895520FTC	3/24/2016	Bell, Jessie	Gloria Everson	7360		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to 2009 bar date; place of exposure not specified but same movant filed another motion at docket #7361 referring to connections to Columbus, MS; rep says he had no knowledge of Tronox tort claims trust, did not hear of or see any publication on Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Columbus, MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1707	TRO895519FTC	3/24/2016	Everson, Gloria	Gloria Everson	7361		X	X				1982 diagnosis; never heard of a Tronox tort claims trust, did not read or see any publication on Tronox; says the information was not shared by others; refers to family connection in Columbus, MS but does not verify that exposure occurred there. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Columbus, MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1708	TRO894834FTC	3/23/2016	Blunt, Gloria (Griffin)	Gloria Griffin	5143	8198		X				2009 diagnosis; unaware of any legal proceedings, litigation, bankruptcy filing, or claims deadlines; says was diagnosed in March 2009 and then was hospitalized for quite a while and then the surgeries started. It appears this was near bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8198, says it is unclear to her into which category she falls whether allowed or disallowed. Illness was near bar date and treatments may explain reasons why bar date was initially missed but there is no explanation of the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1709	TRO901293FTC	8/16/2016	Holmes, Gloria	Gloria Holmes	5553		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after it had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1710	TRO890929FTC	2/23/2016	Butler, R	Gloria Jones	6102	8355	X	X				Diagnoses at various dates; the injured party died in 2007; rep says unaware qualified for claim; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplement filed at docket #8355, rep says without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1711	TRO891176FTC	2/23/2016	Butler, Phil	Gloria Jones	6103	8353	X	X				Symptoms began 1984; the injured party died in 1985; rep says did not receive any information indicating he could file in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8353, says without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1712	TRO889233FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Linwood	Gloria Mae Short	6578			X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; place of exposure not clear; rep says she was unaware, she lives out of state. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1713	TRO895345FTC	3/24/2016	Malone, Gloria	Gloria Malone	4840			X		X		Does not offer excuse based on failure to assert a pre-bar date claim but instead says symptoms and diagnosis occurred after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1714	TRO893874FTC	3/2/2016	Murry, Gloria	Gloria Murry	6516			X	X			1976 diagnosis; former Mississippi resident; says a claim was filed years ago but was not contacted concerning it and when he went back to Mississippi all paperwork was lost (may be thinking of class action claim, not bankruptcy claim); says name was misspelled and mail was getting lost in sending them to the wrong address 3 times, apparently referring to communications with the trust; says lived in Missouri, then Seattle, Washington. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1715	TRO890687FTC	2/23/2016	Plucinik, Gloria	Gloria Plucinik	5274		X	X				1975 diagnosis and procedures; says was unaware of lawsuit because of husband's death, does not give date; spent time living in California, during 2009 lived in PA. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1716	TRO892033FTC	2/23/2016	Prude, Gloria	Gloria Prude	5308		X	X				1992 diagnosis; was a resident of Columbus, MS in 2009; says she filed during "the time," not clear if referring to class action filing or to late claim filed with Tort Claims Trust. No record of a timely claim in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1717	TRO888058FTC	12/18/2015	Pruitt, Gloria	Gloria Pruitt	3894			X		X		Says was diagnosed 10/25/2009. Also says did not file by bar date because "I misinterpreted conditions of the claim." Latter statement suggests knowledge of bar date but no diagnosis until after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1718	TRO900587FTC	8/16/2016	Robertson, Gloria	Gloria Robertson	4230			X		X		Some diagnoses before bar date, alleges sarcoidosis diagnosed in December 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1719	Unknown	Unknown	Stallings, Gloria	Gloria Stallings	6421			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after bar date; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach potential claimants before claims filing deadline; had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1720	TRO888971FTC	12/30/2015	Sutton, Arthur	Gloria Sutton	5586		X	X					2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware exposed to Tronox product. Also filed same letter concerning not being given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy, alleged secret meetings, minimal information to community. Actions of Colom and Lundy firm are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Meetings held by other claimants similarly are not grounds for relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1721	TRO888162FTC	12/18/2015	Wade, Gloria	Gloria Wade	4184			X					No diagnosis date listed; just says "yes." Filed claim with atty Bambach in 2009. Bambach is deceased, says papers may have been lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorney confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1722	TRO889499FTC	2/23/2016	Howard, Danny	Gloria Williams	5024	3657		X					Minor; rep says unaware claims were filed; she had left town to stay and care for severely ill sister in Tennessee. Motion at docket 3657 covers the same injured party (claim TRO889502FTC), apparently filed by the injured party himself, same address is listed. This separate application is denied; see docket 3657 for resolution of injured party's own motion.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1723	Unknown	2/23/2016	Wilson, Gloria	Gloria Wilson	5057	6281 9179		X				2007 diagnosis; says no reason to know exposed since did not live or spend significant time in area from 2009-11 but did spend much time earlier and later learned effects of contamination. Unclear if connected to the Gloria Wilson claim at docket #6281 with a different address and conditions. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9179 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1724	TRO892511FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Rosie	Gloria Wilson	6104	8290 9176	X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says unaware of how to file a claim or any awareness of the Tronox company; supplement filed at docket # 8290, poor, no access to internet. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9176 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1725	TRO892514FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Erise, Sr.	Gloria Wilson	6177	8292 9214	X	X				Diagnosis 1/3/06; the injured party died in 2008; rep says they did not know who Tronox was, did not have access to the internet. A supplement filed at docket #8292, poor, no access to internet. A supplement at docket #9214, merely a signature and address probably meant to have been attached to a letter complaining about the delay. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9214 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1726	TRO892512FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Gloria	Gloria Wilson	6281	8136 8291	X	X				Separate motion is at docket #5057 for a Gloria Wilson with a different address, different conditions and dates. As to docket #6281: motion alleges a 1982 diagnosis; she did not understand the lawsuit; not aware of Tronox or what it had done; uneducated and unfamiliar with the internet. A supplement filed at docket #8291 saying people in area are poor and do not have internet. Supplement filed at docket # 8136; says unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1727	TRO880136FTC	12/4/2015	Goulde, Willie	Goulde, Willie	5789	8319	X	X				Diagnoses 2008 and earlier; unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8919 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1728	TRO898117FTC	6/20/2016	Moore, Gracie	Gracie Moore	7398		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealing with attorney shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. No indication claim was the subject of a pending proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1729	TRO880902FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook, Jr., Grady	Grady Westbrook, Jr.	5777			X				The motion at docket # 5777 is for Grady Westbrook, Jr., [TRO880902FTC]; a different motion is at docket #4991 for Grady Westbrook, Sr. [TRO886229FTC]. The excuse for Westbrook, Jr. is that he was unaware of claims process or that could file a claim; alleges violation of due process to extinguish claim. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1730	TRO904989FTC	1/24/2017	Gilkey, Grattye	Grattye Gilkey	4753	8200	X	X				1987 diagnosis; standard language; says the fact that he used standard language identical to others is because it was exactly what happened to him and is the truth and he should be allowed to use it. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8200. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1731	Unknown	12/4/2015	Collins, Gregory	Gregory Collins	3770			X				1973 diagnosis. Says 2009 was a difficult year due to family deaths, was focused on estate matters until 2011. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1732	Unknown	12/4/2015	Collins, Gregory	Gregory Collins	4596	8122	X	X				1963 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says the year 2009 was challenging and stressful, two immediate family members died and for the next two years he was preoccupied with funerals and estate issues; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; moved away from area in 1979; supplemental letter filed at docket #8122, notice was not sufficient; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1733	TRO894917FTC	3/23/2016	Harper, Gregory	Gregory Harper	6348		X	X			X	2000 and 2010 diagnoses; unaware could file a claim until he filed this claim; says symptoms of respiratory problems were in 1994 but diagnosis in 2010; says symptoms and diagnosis of high blood pressure 2000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1734	TRO888398FTC	12/18/2015	Harrison, Gregory B.	Gregory Harrison	6191		X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; did not know about the bankruptcy process, would have filed if he had been told about it. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1735	TRO900608FTC	8/16/2016	King, Gregory	Gregory King	8465			X			X	Alleges December 2009 diagnosis; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says insufficient notice; says symptoms after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1736	TRO889214FTC	2/23/2016	Mosley, Erma	Gregory Stephens	6374		X	X				1996 diagnosis; was not aware or knowledgeable of the life-threatening health issues that she would acquire from living in the plant contaminated environment, therefore, had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1737	TRO889434FTC	2/23/2016	Stephens, Bobbie	Gregory Stephens	6381		X	X				2003 diagnosis; was not aware of the threatening health issues that she would acquire from living in the contaminated environment; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1738	TRO889425FTC	2/23/2016	Stephens, Gregory	Gregory Stephens	6382			X		X		Alleges diagnoses in 2007 and October 2009; says filed claim in November 2015, was told it did not qualify; says was not aware of the threatening health issues that he would acquire from living in the contaminated environment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any complaints about the trust's denial of such a claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1739	TRO889274FTC	2/23/2016	Temple, Gregory	Gregory Temple	4762		X	X				2000 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; no excuse provided for failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1740	TRO902922FTC	10/13/2016	White, Gregory	Gregory White	3717			X		X		Diagnosed 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1741	TRO903243FTC	10/27/2016	Wright, Gregory	Gregory Wright	3809		X	X				2005 Diagnosis. Says did not know that had been exposed or had reason to know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1742	TRO886958FTC	12/7/2015	McGregory, Greta	Greta McGregor	6568		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; called toll free number and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1743	TRO887668FTC	12/14/2015	Butler, Izola	Greta McGregor	6595		X	X				Diagnoses listed as 1980 (heart failure) and "2003-2008" (cancer); the injured party died in 2008; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any media notification. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1744	TRO886671FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Robert	Gretta Gardner	3550		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, didn't know needed to file, thought was "already being represented." Appears to be a reference to prior class actions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Awareness of prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1745	TRO894553FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Grover	Grover William	3900		X	X				Filed claim w. atty Will Bambach in 2000-2001, believes paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1746	TRO889504FTC	2/23/2016	Wilkins, Gus, Sr.	Gus Wilkins, Sr.	5049		X	X				1987 diagnosis; previously filed claim with the Colom law firm; did not know that there were any claims or applications in 2009, was not so informed. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1747	TRO889220FTC TRO887636FTC	2/23/2016	Poster, Gussie	Gussie Poster	3442		X	X				Diagnosis in 1978. Says unaware of the information and the possibility of her receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1748	TRO898510FTC	6/27/2016	Rice, Ellis	Gwen Doss	5496		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep says did not receive any documentation in this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1749	TRO890366FTC	2/23/2016	Stephenson, Gwen	Gwen Stephenson	4260		X	X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says diagnosis was in 2012 but also says previously filed with Tollison law firm in class action in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. In addition, if claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1750	TRO887532FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Jimmie	Gwen Thompson	4694	7973 8215 8375	X	X				Different address listed in last supplement (docket 8375), both addresses are listed here. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; injured party deceased 2003; rep says unaware of process; supp filed at docket # 7973 (letter complaining of process, refers to paperwork sent by attorneys in 2002 and need to prove lived in the area; complains is not responsible for the high volume of claims and that people who did not live in the area were paid) and docket #8215, unaware of deadline, community was not informed of deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8375 by Gwen Malone Thompson, wants to know why they did not get property damage settlement and why Pastor Jamison got it all. However, there is no record that this movant ever made a property damage claim. Participation in prior class action shows knowledge of claim and rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1751	TRO886073FTC	12/7/2015	Westbrook, Willie	Gwen Westbrook	7082		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates not clear in motion form; the injured party died in 2017; rep says not aware of claims process or that could file a claim; violation of due process; most symptoms after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1752	TRO889251FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Dezzie	Gwenda Brown	4038		X	X				1987 diagnosis. The injured party died in 2009. Injured party had moved to Robbins, Illinois; rep lives in Illinois. Publication notice of bar date also appeared in the Chicago Tribune. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1753	TRO886079FTC	12/7/2015	Brooks, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Brooks	6085		X	X				1970 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1754	TRO885394FTC	11/25/2015	Davis-Prescott, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Davis-Prescott	4317	8272	X	X				2001 diagnosis. Reason for late filing: death in the family; also assisting ill relative at the time. Says was taking care of sick aunt in Michigan from 2007-2009, returned to Mississippi in late 2009 to care for husband on dialysis and to assist sister taking care of spouse with a stroke. A supplement filed at docket #8272. No explanation as to why filed so many years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1755	TRO889296FTC	2/23/2016	Ferrell, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Ferrell	7331			X				1972 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach her; she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1756	TRO891062FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Harris	3848	6034 8997	X	X		X		Trust's summary incorrectly listed this motion as dkt # 3847. Diagnosis dates not clear. Lived outside of area at deadline. A rejection notice filed at docket #6034. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8997 complaining about the process and delays. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1757	TRO894862FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Jones	4544			X		x		Says diagnosed with asthma in 2010 (also high blood pressure in 2008); says unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1758	TRO889376FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Hezekiah	Gwendolyn Williams	7319	8202	X	X				1969 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, outcome not specified; rep says did not receive notice of the bankruptcy or claim filing deadline, no written notice. A supplemental "group" letter filed at docket #8202 on behalf of 5 claimants complaining about the process, complains that they thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
1759	TRO889414FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Lucile	Gwendolyn Williams	7320	8202	X	X				Mid-1960s diagnosis; the injured party died prior to 2009; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, outcome unspecified; rep says she did not have knowledge of the claims filing deadline, no written notice was received. A supplemental "group" letter filed at docket #8202 on behalf of 5 claimants complaining about the process, complains that they thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
1760	TRO889413FTC	Unknown	Murunga, Gregory	Gwendolyn Williams	8202		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Docket 8202 is a "group" letter filed for 5 claimants complaining about the process; says previously filed with atty Howard Gunn 2002 but the trust did not send her notice. Some medical records for Gwendolyn, who says that she and one other of these claimants has not received a determination notice. Says claimant thought was represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1761	TRO889532FTC	Unknown	Williams, Gwendolyn	Gwendolyn Williams	8202		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter filed for herself and 4 other claimants complaining about process. She says that she received a determination notice for 3 of the claimants but not for herself and one other claimant. Previously filed with atty Howard Gunn 2002 but the trust did not send her notice. Some medical records for Gwendolyn, who says that she and one other of these claimants has not received a determination notice. Says she thought they were represented by class action counsel. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
1762	TRO896047FTC	4/6/2016	Lane, Hailie	Hailie Lane	6750			X		X		Diagnosed 12/3/2009. Child at time of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1763	TRO900080FTC	7/25/2016	Hairston, Martha	Hairston, Martha	5939			X				August 2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of the claim when it first began. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1764	TRO885403FTC	11/25/2015	Eddines, Hallie	Hallie Eddines	4906		X	X				2003 and 2004 diagnoses; refers to not understanding the question that was asked and trying best to answer. It appears she is referring to the Trust's procedures. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1765	TRO901291FTC	8/16/2016	Hampton, Thomas	Hampton, Thomas	6660		X	X				Symptoms before 1971, could not afford to see doctor; unaware of claim or claim filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1766	TRO880705FTC	12/4/2015	Stokes-McCrary, Hannah	Hannah Stokes-McCrary	7776		X	X				Diagnoses 1988, 2001, 2002; says was unaware that the exposure to a Tronox product was a health risk; heard talk about effects on businesses and/or properties, only heard things said in spontaneous conversations, only later figured out she should make a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1767	TRO885232FTC	11/25/2015	Hargrove, Vickie	Hargrove, Vickie	5279		X	X				1994 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1768	<b>TRO880714FTC</b>	2/23/2016	Hawkins, Harold	Harold Hawkins	6891		X	X		X		Alleges a December 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; TRO claim number on pre-printed form is TRO880714FTC. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1769	TRO88690FTC	12/7/2015	Mullin, Harold	Harold Mullin	3305		X	X			X	Diagnosed 2005-2011 (numerous illnesses). Alleges lack of knowledge of right to file claims, says was suffering from significant medical problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1770	TRO894688FTC	3/23/2016	Pitts, Harriet	Harriet Pitts	7525		X	X				Diagnoses 1971 and 1985; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1771	TRO899042FTC	6/27/2016	Clay, Nettie	Harriet Pitts	7526		X	X				1945 diagnosis; the injured party was former resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1980; rep did not know and had no reason to know that the injured party was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1772	TRO899040FTC	6/27/2016	Watson, Taveras	Harriet Pitts	7527		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party incarcerated; rep is former resident of Columbus, MS filing for her son; says that the injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1773	TRO8956553FTC	3/24/2016	Tate, Eugene	Harris, Annie	5524			X				1997 symptoms; diagnosis date "unknown"; the injured party is deceased; rep was unaware of claim filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1774	TRO889008FTC	12/30/2015	Harris, Muwango	Harris, Muwango	6897			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1775	TRO889662FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Ruby	Harrison, Ruby	6098		X	X				1971 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted language as to why did not file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1776	TRO884709FTC	11/25/2015	Henry, Harry	Harry Henry	7840		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously represented by atty Bambach (does not say when), who is now deceased; was unaware exposed to Tronox product, did not know where to get paperwork. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1777	TRO896338FTC	4/13/2016	Jackson, Harry	Harry Jackson	5904			X			X	Not aware of time limit; not informed of "expiration date"; unaware Tronox product was cause of condition; says disease had not manifested by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1778	TRO880840FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Harry L.	Harry L. Dickerson	7962		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1990s diagnosis; moved out of state; was not in the state when claims were being filed and unaware of the suit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1779	Unknown	Unknown	Sanders, Harvey	Harvey B. Sanders	8011			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Says has no symptoms, conditions or diagnoses; says was not informed that there was a case against the company. No actual claim identified for which relief is sought.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1780	TRO904334FTC	1/3/2017	Doss, Harvey	Harvey Doss	4573		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date and claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1781	TRO889277FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Susie	Hattie Avent	6930		X	X				Diagnoses in 2001; did not know and no knowledge that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1782	TRO884464FTC	11/25/2015	Bailey, Hattie	Hattie Bailey	3784	8260	X	X				1989 diagnosis. Did not know about claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8260, says that at the time she was not aware of the dangers of the company to the community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1783	TRO886933FTC	12/7/2015	Mack, Hattie	Hattie Mack	3375		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges that she was in and out of the hospital, did not know she had to file by a deadline, and is taking so much medication that she can hardly think. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1784	TRO89858FTC	6/27/2016	Moore, Hattie	Hattie Moore	3718			X		X		Diagnosed 2009. Does not say month. Only says "I had no idea at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1785	TRO895959FTC	4/6/2016	Smith, Hattie	Hattie Smith	3990			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was told by a local lawyer that they were no longer taking cases for the Kerr-McGee lawsuits; date of that advice is not specified, may be referring to a prior class action claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1786	TRO887699FTC	12/14/2015	Tate, Hattie	Hattie Tate	5456		X	X				The motion for Hattie Tate [TRO887699FTC] is filed at docket # 5456; the Trustee's summary chart incorrectly referred to it as docket #5656, but another motion is filed at that docket number. As to Hattie Tate: diagnoses dates in 1986 and 1994; claimant says was unaware of the deadline, publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; lives in rural area on a fixed income and can't afford newspapers or computer, information was not publicized on TV. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1787	TRO902677FTC	8/16/2016	Barr, Hazel	Hazel Barr	7244		X	X		X		Alleges a 2009 diagnosis but also includes a 2002 letter from Colom firm scheduling an appointment; says was unaware of deadline; thought case was closed in 2002 (referring apparently to prior class action). Also attaches a signed Rejection Notice as to post-bar date diagnoses. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1788	TRO893358FTC	2/23/2016	Weston, Hazel	Hazel Weston	5918		X	X				1972 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1789	<b>TRO893360FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Weston, Willie</b>	<b>Hazel Weston</b>	6048			X		X		The motion at docket #6048 is by Hazel Weston for Willie Weston [TRO893360FTC]; the motion by Willie D. Weston [TRO893357FTC] is at docket #7736. The paper filed at docket#6050 is merely a rejection an offer to provide an \$80,000 allowed claim. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1790	TRO887822FTC	12/14/2015	Conner, Hearvin	Hearvin Conner	3659		X	X				Diagnosed 2002. Lawyer improperly filed claim (spelled name wrong, wrong diagnoses) but that apparently was in 2016. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1791	<b>TRO902887FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Simmons Chandler, Heather</b>	<b>Heather Simmons Chandler</b>	6787		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; unaware of a claim being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1792	<b>TRO893554FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Edwards, Callie</b>	<b>Helda Edwards</b>	6726		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1997 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; no explanation offered as to reasons why no prior claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1793	TRO900021FTC	7/25/2016	Ball, Helen	Helen Ball	4607			X				April 2009 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS who still resided there at the time of the bar date. Says she did not know about the process or her rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1794	TRO903341FTC	10/27/2016	Brown, Helen	Helen Brown	7541		X	X				1960s diagnosis (headaches), other symptoms (dizziness) listed as "adult to present;" unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1795	TRO884170FTC	11/13/2015	Coleman, Sarah Ann	Helen Coleman	4494		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2005 and died in 2007; previously filed with atty Bambach (date unclear), paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1796	TRO886796FTC	12/7/2015	Lee, Willie	Helen Dancer-Allen	7818		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2012; rep says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001-2002; rep says that Willie Lee was disabled and unable to make any legal decisions; relatives were not aware or informed; the injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1797	TRO886799FTC	12/7/2015	Nash, Virginia	Helen Dancer-Allen	7820		X	X	X			Diagnoses 1975, 1984; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1988; relatives were unaware that she had been exposed to a Tronox product, they live in Ft. Worth, Texas. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1798	TRO885582FTC	11/25/2015	Foster, Helen	Helen Foster	5405		X	X		X		Multiple diagnoses, some before 1983, one in March 2009, two after the bar date; says she did not know and was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Apparently submitted a claim base on asthma diagnosis (original diagnosis date not clear) but now includes diagnoses of other conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1799	TRO894996FTC	3/23/2016	Triplett, Alberta	Helen Glass	3850		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2005 and died in 2006. Rep says no reason to know mother was exposed to Tronox product prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1800	TRO884828FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Helen	Helen Harris	5640			X			X	Says diagnosis in 2009 but also says previously filed with atty Bambach and was told another attorney had his files but he could not find out anything. Says symptoms Feb. or Mar. 2009, diagnosis Sept. 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1801	TRO899948FTC	7/25/2016	Hutchinson, Helen	Helen Hutchinson	4830			X			X	Alleges a 2010 first diagnosis date but also says was part of 1998 Tronox class action, represented by Jeffrey Navarro; standard excuse language. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including merits of any defense as to the scope of any release granted in a prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1802	TRO889748FTC	2/23/2016	McNeal Helen	Helen McNeal	4828			X			X	Not aware of filing, spoke with atty who said he would get back with her; says symptoms were in 2008 and diagnosis in 2011 but all medical records are for 2007 or earlier. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1803	TRO880274FTC	12/4/2015	Melvin, Helen	Helen Melvin	4861		X	X				1939 diagnosis; says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" not certain if that means she did receive an award but considers it too little; refers to meeting by private sectors. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1804	TRO901431FTC	8/16/2016	Vogue, Catherine	Helen Vogue	7581			X				2006 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says she was unaware of the process until she had to sign as a beneficiary for a claim that had been filed for her father and then became aware of the claims process. Family was obviously aware of process as claim was made on behalf of father. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1805	TRO889472FTC	2/23/2016	Henderson, Tanya	Henderson, Tanya	5591			X				2007 diagnosis; says did not know about claim, did not hear about it from anyone. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1806	TRO900371FTC	Unknown	Granger, Henry	Henry Granger	8017		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1997, 1999, 2017; says was unable to receive the information and respond in a timely manner because was out of the state, but listed a Mississippi address in response to a question about residence in 2009-2011. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1807	TRO898475FTC	6/27/2016	Jefferson, Henry	Henry Jefferson	4225		X	X				1975 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1808	TRO894751FTC	3/23/2016	Moore, Henry	Henry Moore	5601			X		X		Alleges a first diagnosis in 2014; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reason did not file by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1809	TRO898476FTC	6/27/2016	Shelton, Henry	Henry Shelton	8501			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1810	TRO901236FTC	8/16/2016	Drake, Herman	Herman Drake	6351			X		X		Alleges various diagnosis dates, all of which are after the bar date; had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1811	TRO889285FTC	2/23/2016	Granderson, Hermas	Hermas Granderson	4198		X	X				1972 diagnosis. Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1812	TRO892902FTC	2/23/2016	Hester, Eddie	Hester Jr., Eddie	6646		X	X				1983 diagnosis; injured party dies in 1985; rep. did not know/no reason to know father had been exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1813	TRO901482FTC	8/16/2016	Lang, Johnnie	Hester Lang	6755		X	X				1987 diagnosis; unaware able to file on behalf of deceased; did not know or have reason to know husband had been exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1814	TRO893964FTC	3/2/2016	Easley, Hettie	Hettie Easley	7265		X	X				1985 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; did not know could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1815	TRO900016FTC	7/25/2016	Hall, Hiawatha	Hiawatha Hall	4951		X	X				2003-04 diagnoses; says was unaware of rights regarding any such claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1816	TRO905774FTC	4/24/2017	Hicks, Amanda	Hicks, Amanda	5727						X	1993 diagnosis; lived in Columbus, MS at time of the bar date but moved away with parents who were serving in active military - Air Force - provides dates of parents' service. Unclear if movant was a minor as of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1817	TRO895303FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Hilbert	Hilbert Williams	5931		X	X				Diagnoses 2001 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$550 settlement in 2003; says was a minor at the time and had no knowledge of another potential claim, but obviously someone was aware and acting for the movant if the movant received a settlement in a prior class action; says was unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1818	TRO894863FTC	3/23/2016	Hodges, Marquis	Hodges, Marquis	5571			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1819	TRO904085FTC	1/3/2017	Clay, Horace	Horace Clay	6385			X		X		Did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date (but also "whited out" original dates on application). Motion does not identify a pre-bar date claim for which relief is sought, so motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
1820	TRO893995FTC	3/2/2016	Horton, Willa	Horton, Willa	4907			X				1987 diagnosis; says she was very sick in hospital with a thyroid condition but lists no dates. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1821	TRO886421FTC	12/7/2015	Reed, Howard	Howard Reed	8493			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1822	TRO887225FTC	12/14/2015	Smart, Howard	Howard Smart	4883			X		X		Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; forgot to include most recent health conditions. says diagnosis was October 2009. Motion does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1823	TRO885152FTC	11/25/2015	Stovall, Howard	Howard Stovall	6788			X		X		Says diagnosed November 2014 but also says retained attorney (Wilbur Colom) in 2005; says had no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case, but Mr. Colom was actively involved in the bankruptcy case and received direct notice of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1824	TRO891379FTC	2/23/2016	Winston, Howard	Howard Winston	4119		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Alleges notice of bar date was not reasonable but does not contend that Tronox knew of the claimant or of the movant's claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1825	TRO887771FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Kimberly Andrews	Howard, Kimberly Andrews	5697			X		X		Says symptoms 2010 and diagnosis 5/9/2013; was not aware of the bankruptcy case. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1826	TRO895381FTC	3/24/2016	Hudak, Constance	Hudak, Constance	6669			X				73-74 diagnosis but unaware of source of problems; no explanation of any efforts to pursue or to investigate causes of condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1827	TRO885173FTC	11/25/2015	McKnight, Hughlon	Hughlon McKnight	4881		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; received \$265; unaware of the bankruptcy case; did not receive notice form letter, newspaper TV or radio. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No other claim specified that was not already resolved. Even if 2002 claim had not been resolved it would have been barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Participation in prior proceeding also shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect in any event.
1828	TRO885154FTC	11/25/2015	McKnight, Jr., Hughlon	Hughlon McKnight, Jr.	4877		X	X				Conditions predated 1986; says unaware of bar date or of Tronox case. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1829	TRO903084FTC	10/13/2016	Hannah, Eddie	I. Jean Lee	7006	8150	X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party is a former resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2007; rep unaware of opportunity to file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case; unaware of legal publications regarding filing a claim; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8150; does not subscribe to WSJ; requests a list of the 35 other publications in which they noticed the bar date (the list is included in the accompanying opinion); did not receive mailed notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1830	TRO901239FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Icola	Icola Lewis	3616		X	X				Diagnosed 1967, was not aware of deadline until it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1831	TRO895123FTC	3/23/2016	Coleman, Ida	Ida Coleman	6553			X		X		Alleges October 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm; says was not aware of the proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied to extent it seeks relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to the possible effects of participation in a prior litigation) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1832	TRO901490FTC	8/16/2016	Hampton, Ida	Ida Hampton	3972	6169 8278		X			X		Says forgot paperwork, mother fell and broke ankle, was a stressful time, but may be referring to time it took to file the motion rather than compliance with the 2009 bar date. A duplicate motion filed with some additional conditions at docket #6169. A supplement filed at docket #8278, saying trying to get additional medical records but also attached is a separate acceptance for William Hampton. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1833	<b>TRO901453FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Hampton, William</b>	<b>Ida Hampton</b>	8278			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Not a motion for relief, just papers showing acceptance of an offer of a scheduled value of \$10,000 by Trust.
1834	TRO898599FTC	6/27/2016	Orr, Joe	Ida Moore Orr	5314			X			X		Claim based on cancer; diagnosis date unclear; says did not know about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1835	TRO900719FTC	8/16/2016	Orr, Ida	Ida Moore Orr	8361			X					Says had not heard about deadline at the time; says diagnosis 2009/ prior to 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1836	TRO900903FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Ella Mae	Ida Moore-Orr	5228		X	X					1995 diagnosis; did not know anything about the Tronox claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1837	Unknown	2/23/2016	Robinson, Ida	Ida Robinson	7862		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2005; previously retained Colom law firm and was paid \$550; says has not been fairly compensated; unaware that attorney would not file a claim on claimant's behalf. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, no indication the Colom firm continued to represent movant in 2009, but that would not be helpful because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1838	TRO888747FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Barbara	Ida Williams	4941	8958	X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; previously filed with Colom law firm in connection with prior class action; standard form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8958 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If the claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1839	TRO886751FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Iesha	Iesha Williams	5532						X	1992 diagnosis; minor at time of bar date, did not know of proceeding and did not see notices. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1840	TRO884916FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Iesha	Iesha Williams	5853			X		X		Diagnoses in 2010; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1841	TRO880755FTC	12/4/2015	Young, Iker	Iker Young	7474		X	X				Diagnosis date(s) not clear; says he first filed a claim in 1996-1999, when Kerr-McGee first discovered that the water where he lived was exposed to chemicals. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1842	TRO902204FTC	9/14/2016	Dancy, Imogene	Imogene Dancy	4556		X	X				1976 diagnosis. Worked for Kerr-McGee but unaware of bankruptcy settlement because on leave; says she will accept the payment for Tronox (not certain of the reference) but wants to be considered for damages from Kerr-McGee. Court has no jurisdiction over Kerr-McGee or Tronox at this point, the claims process relates only to the Tort Claims Trust that was set up under the 2010 Tronox plan of reorganization. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1843	Unknown	2/23/2016	Stewart, Ina	Ina Stewart	7870		X	X				1975 symptoms; not recall when diagnosed; filed a claim between 2005 and 2008, does not recall name of law firm or agency that processed claim but knows it was not successful. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1844	TRO884254FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, India	India Jones	6625						X	Diagnosis "1994-2005;" underage at time of filing deadline. Born 11/28/90, apparently (that is date exposure began). Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1845	TRO891879FTC	2/23/2016	Sherod, Ineal	Ineal Sherod	6784		X	X				1999 symptoms; as to date of diagnosis, says "yes;" says filed claim in 1991 with attorney William Bambach, papers have been lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1846	TRO894353FTC	3/2/2016	Stewart, Aniyah	Ineal Sherrod	6730		X	X				2004 diagnosis; filed with atty Bill Bambach in 2004, lost paperwork. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1847	TRO905329FTC	3/1/2017	Butler, Ceabon	Ineze Robinson	5465		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; previously filed with Colom law firm 2004-2006; says filed twice and both times paperwork lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel in prior action is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1848	TRO893504FTC	Unknown	Gore, Iola	Iola Gore	5897			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just signed rejection form and signed motion form, no information. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1849	TRO884627FTC	11/25/2015	Shields, Irene	Iren Shields	3476			X				Disease diagnosed in June 2009; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Does not allege lack of awareness of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1850	TRO886696FTC	12/7/2015	Lash, Addie	Irene Hairston	5675		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as "1978-2009 until she died"; the injured party died in Jan. 2009; rep says did not file because did not know and had no reason to know about the deadline; discharge of claim is a violation of due process. Unclear if continuing condition or series of different diagnoses, but accrual of claim based on a continuing condition occurs when it was first diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1851	TRO884924FTC	11/25/2015	Lash, Walter	Irene Hairston	5680		X	X				1973 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1979; rep says did not know and had no reason to know about the deadline; says discharge of claim violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1852	TRO900309FTC	Unknown	Lindsey, Irine	Irine Lindsey	6991			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis date unknown; no reason provided as to why missed the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
1853	TRO903691FTC	11/23/2016	Peters, Irish	Irish peters	4922		X	X				1950 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; a violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1854	TRO894664FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Irma	Irma Gore	6835		X	X			X	Alleged first diagnosis in 2012 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee creosote plant class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1855	TRO886673FTC	12/7/2015	Irions, Irma	Irma Irions	5530		X	X			X	Alleges August 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; standard cut-and-pasted language as to why did not file by bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1856	TRO891385FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Irma	Irma Jones	6044			X			X	Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1857	TRO885338FTC	11/25/2015	Turner, Isaac	Isaac Turner	4858		X	X				2001 diagnosis; surgeries in 2004 and 2010. Says did not have any reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1858	TRO891646FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Isiah	Isiah Brooks	5120						X	Minor; says exposure began in 1999. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1859	TRO887198FTC	12/14/2015	Green, Ivory	Ivory Green	3345		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, was "not aware disease was connected to chemicals until later," filed when heard of claims process in 2015. No specifics as to excusable neglect or due process contentions given diagnosis in 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1860	TRO897588FTC	5/25/2016	Harris, Johnny	Ivory Harris	7794			X				2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; rep did not file because did not know date of deadline; no notice was sent concerning it; the community retained information as a secret. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1861	<b>TRO898954FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gandy, Ivy</b>	<b>Ivy Gandy</b>	5821			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page. No basis for relief.
1862	TRO893556FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, J. P.	J. P. Perry	4846		X	X				Diagnoses in childhood (1960s or so); unaware of bankruptcy case; when worked at Kerr-McGee, they did not tell him about the harmful chemicals; says hospital does not have medical records for years at issue. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1863	TRO880320FTC	12/4/2015	Perry, J. P., Sr.	J. P. Perry	4852		X	X				The motion for J.P. Perry Sr. is at docket #4852; injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of case as he is a contract worker and spends time out of town. Diagnosis dates unclear but submissions say heart problems traced to 1980s. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1864	TRO904278FTC	1/3/2017	Lyons, J.C.	J.C. Lyons	4419	6000	X	X				Diagnoses in 1976, 1980, 1983. Unaware exposed to Tronox product or that it was cause of condition; a duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #6000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1865	TRO889253FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, J.D.	J.D. Walker	5872			X		X		Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; only refers to dates of recent conditions after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1866	TRO888049FTC	12/18/2015	Hayden, Jack	Jack Hayden	7120			X		X		Says symptoms after bar date, does not provide date for a diagnosis and does not provide an excuse. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1867	TRO893150FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Mary	Jackie Coleman	3840		X	X				Filed for a relative who was diagnosed in 1992 and died in 1998. Says unaware of exposure prior to bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1868	TRO887297FTC	12/14/2015	Hill, Jackie	Jackie R. Hill	6462		X	X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says did file a claim before the deadline date but only record of a claim in the bankruptcy case is one filed in 2015. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, if was represented by the Colom firm at the time of the bankruptcy, that firm received direct notice of the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1869	TRO889932FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Jackie	Jackie Sanders	6797		X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after it had passed; did not know affected by a Tronox product, says discharge of claim violates due process but does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1870	TRO887780FTC	12/14/2015	Poole, Walsa	Jackson, Annie	6736		X	X				1998 diagnosis; injured party died in 2004; states that injured party did not know/have reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Also, injured party resided out of state with daughter as full-time caretaker, due to medical condition. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1871	TRO887695FTC	12/14/2015	Jackson, Kenneth	Jackson, Kenneth	5920		X	X				1978 symptoms; diagnosis "yes"; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; not aware could file a bankruptcy claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1872	TRO903289FTC	10/27/2016	Bell, Jacoby	Jacoby Bell	6993	8288	X	X			X	Unaware of deadline; did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a denial of due process; does not provide date of first diagnosis and only provides recent medical records. A supplement filed at docket #8288, says did not know or hear anything about filing a claim with Tronox in 2012, first learned of it in 2017 with future tort claim. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1873	TRO893549FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Harris	7042		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1874	TRO890156FTC	2/23/2016	Kyles, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Kyles	5313	8974	X	X				1991 diagnosis; unaware she was eligible to file. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8974 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1875	TRO901655FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Jaqualyn	Jacquelyn Smith	5365						X	Minor, moved to another county and was not aware of the claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1876	TRO890496FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Jaquelin	Jacquelin Jackson	3843		X	X			X	In response to question about specific diseases or physical conditions allegedly caused by exposure the claimant appears to have listed all medical conditions that have ever been diagnosed, some of which are after the bar date. Connection to creosote of many of the conditions listed (contusions, soft tissue injuries) is not clear. Claims that did not know of exposure to Tronox products. Alleges lack of knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of legal rights and claims), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1877	TRO895797FTC	3/24/2016	Mullens, John	Jacqueline Aderinto	3804		X	X				1981 diagnosis, says no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1878	TRO886677FTC	12/7/2015	Austin, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Austin	3432		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear, form says "1999-2015." Previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Attorney error is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1879	TRO890121FTC	2/23/2016	Bolton, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Bolton	4356		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1880	TRO889225FTC	2/23/2016	Bolton, Jimmy	Jacqueline Bolton	4391		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1881	TRO896688FTC	4/25/2016	Bradford, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Bradford	6880		X	X				1989 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says claim was filed (unclear in what proceeding) but was told by attorney that paperwork could not be located. By then, the deadline had passed. Also told that because he did not live in Columbus, MS, it would be a waste of time to file after the claim expiration date; does not say who gave that advice, but reference to residency suggests these conversations may have related to prior class action. In any event, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1882	TRO893149FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Coleman	3836	4823	x	X				1985 diagnosis but recurrence of tumors after bar date. Was unaware of exposure prior to deadline. She and her physicians did not know of connection to creosote. Says did not have access to any of the publications with notice, but notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus. Also says notice only allowed 52 calendar days or 36 business days to file a claim and that was unreasonably short, but that does not explain why she filed no claim until more than six years after the bar date. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; she says that "unlike the case heard by the court in Standard Bank who heard about the contamination in October 2012 and did not file until September 2014" she says that when she learned of it in 2015, she filed immediately. A supplemental form was filed at docket # 4823. Suggests she consulted with physicians about causal connection and was unaware of creosote link. However, connections between creosote exposures and health issues were the subject of widespread publicity in Columbus, MS beginning in 1999. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1883	TRO888134FTC	12/18/2015	Dunn, Verna	Jacqueline Dunn-Rencher	6599		X	X				2005 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; place of exposure not clear; rep says saw no publication notice of the claims; the notice was only published 14 days, the notice was not reasonably calculated and did not allow town to become aware or make known of the publication to family and friends. She does not subscribe to any of the publications or know anyone in those nearby cities she lives in Georgia and had no knowledge of the notice until a family member informed her. Complaints about amount of time between the publication date and the bar date do not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1884	TRO888135FTC	12/18/2015	Dunn, Richard	Jacqueline Dunn-Rencher	6609			X				February 2006 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to Georgia; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not provide reasoning. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1885	TRO894097FTC	3/2/2016	McMath, Jacqueline	Jacqueline McMath	6936			X		X		2009 diagnosis; says did not know about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1886	TRO904947FTC	1/24/2017	Pramick, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Pramick	4048			X				Miscarriages in 1977-78. Unaware that claims for illnesses or injuries other than cancer were being considered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1887	TRO914697FTC	7/26/2017	Sadowski, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Sadowski	5273			X				1992 and 1997 diagnoses; did not receive direct notice which allegedly violated due process; unaware of claims filing. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not allege that Tronox was aware of the claimant's claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1888	TRO890881FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Jacqueline	Jacqueline Young	5719			X		X		Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
1889	TRO893673FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Jada	Jada Harris	5650			X		X		Previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Unclear if claims a new condition arose in 2017. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1890	TRO886540FTC	12/7/2015	Fulgham, Jadalyn	Jadalyn Fulgham	5337						X	2002 diagnosis; says was a minor. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8967 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1891	TRO895078FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Jahiem	Jahiem Shinn	3483			X		X		Says no diagnosis until June 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1892	TRO884063FTC	11/13/2015	Moore, Jaimee	Jaimee Moore	6215			X				February 2006 diagnoses; did not know exposed to a dangerous chemical; does not have access to any of the newspapers where the notice was posted and does not even know of most of them; in any event, the publication only allowed 52 calendar days or 36 business days to file, not a reasonable amount of time to gather the necessary information, including medical records, to adequately support and document a claim for contamination. Complaints about short amount of time before the bar date do not explain or justify the claimant's seven-year delay before making a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1893	TRO885875FTC	11/25/2015	Abrams, Jaitra	Jaitra Abrams	5474						X	Minor at the time of the bar date; says did not know and had no reason to know she was sick; did not know and no reason to know about the claim against Tronox; she did not see or get the newspaper. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1894	TRO890290FTC	Unknown	Fenster, Jakala	Jakala Fenster	8022			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2009-2011; says did not understand or know the steps to file, incompetent, does not provide details. Insufficient showing of inability in 2009 to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1895	TRO898800FTC	6/27/2016	Agnew, Jakarta	Jakarta Agnew	6839			X				September 2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1896	TRO904210FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Jakayla	Jakayla Dancy	4528						X	2005 and 2005 diagnoses. Says was too young to know about it and mother did not know about it. Age at time of bar date is unclear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of 2009 bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1897	TRO901785FTC	9/14/2016	Shelton, Earlie	Jake Shelton	6013			X			X	Retained the Colom law firm, date unclear; says did not know about the case at the time; people were saying different dates; she did not know who was telling the truth, says diagnosis was 8/12/09 but includes a paper from Jan. 2009 that refers to a chronic condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1898	TRO894808FTC	3/23/2016	Harris, Jakki	Jakki Harris	6950		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear, says "in twenties"; was not aware of filing a claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1899	TRO898903FTC	6/27/2016	Elam, Jalen	Jalen Elam	5950			X			X	Various diagnoses from 2007 to 2010; unaware of the claims process and did not know could file a claim; alleges violation of due process, does not say why. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1900	TRO901705FTC	8/16/2016	Elizenberry, Jamaal	Jamaal Elizenberry	7814						X	2006 diagnosis; minor; not aware of lawsuit. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1901	TRO888620FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Jamal	Jamal Harrison	3570			X			X	Diagnosed in 2013. Complains about notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1902	TRO885654FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Jamal	Jamal Moore	4868						X	2002 birth and diagnosis; minor; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1903	TRO892218FTC	2/23/2016	Spencer, Jamal	Jamal Spencer	5838		X	X				1995 diagnosis; was not aware of any proofs of claims in Tronox proceeding in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1904	TRO886396FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Jamar	Jamar Harris	3315		X	X				Date diagnosed is "yes." Alleges did do paperwork in 1999, but it was lost by attorney William Bambach, who is deceased. Mr. Bambach did not die until long after the bar date. Attorney error also is not proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Prior paperwork likely was in connection with different legal proceeding as Tronox bankruptcy was not filed until 1999. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel confirm movant's awareness of legal rights and claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claims that had accrued as of 1999 were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
1905	TRO887034FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Jamarcus	Jamarcus Jones	4440						X	1993 and 2006 diagnoses. Minor, says not aware exposed to Tronox product, but no explanation of parents' or guardian's knowledge or actions or their diligence in pursuing rights and claims. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1906	TRO893958FTC	3/2/2016	Summerville, Jamaya	Jamaya Summerville	5473						X	Minor at the time of the bar date, apparently born in 1999; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; discharge of claim is a violation of due process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1907	TRO894963FTC	3/23/2016	Spratt, Jame R.	Jame R. Spratt	6018		X	X			X	Symptoms and diagnosis dates not specified; says did not know there was a deadline and did not know where to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1908	TRO899290FTC	7/11/2016	Thomas, Jamel	Jamel Thomas	6187		X	X			X	Was not aware of the claim during that period; motion says symptoms and diagnosis 2000 but medical records are only for 2009 and after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1909	TRO893107FTC	2/23/2016	Babkowski, James	James Babkowski	6758			X				1978 diagnosis; never heard of Tronox case because lived outside of the area and father, who still lived in area, kept the information from her. However, was an adult at time of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1910	TRO893548FTC	2/23/2016	Beckwith, James	James Beckwith	4082		X	X				2002 diagnosis. Former resident of Lowndes County, MS. Says had no reason to know exposed to dangerous Tronox product; lived in Ohio at bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1911	TRO895734FTC	3/24/2016	Brewer, James	James Brewer	5828			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1912	TRO887836FTC	12/14/2015	Brown, James	James Brown	5435		X	X				Diagnoses all 1997 or earlier. Unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1913	TRO886364FTC	12/7/2015	Connors, James	James Connors	3301			X				Diagnosed 2007, alleges excusable neglect due to fact that he did not know he had been exposed until after the deadline (knew he had skin cancer, but not aware that it was due to creosote exposure). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1914	TRO913992FTC	6/28/2017	Daniel, Early	James Daniels	7514	7710	X	X				Diagnoses 1955, 1962, 1970, 1973; the injured party is a former resident of Birmingham, Alabama who died in 1973; rep says the bar date form of notice was insufficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; prior to bar date, claimant did not know and had no reasonable knowledge that he had been exposed to a Tronox product. A duplicate motion with supplemental material filed at docket # 7710. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. If claim is based on exposures in Mississippi or Alabama then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1915	TRO898480FTC	6/27/2016	Davenport, James	James Davenport	3697			X				Form signed but not filled out at all, no information as to reasons why did not file a timely claim.
1916	TRO897537FTC	5/25/2016	Douglas, Shakita	James Douglas	4921			X				2008 diagnosis and death; says no publication notice before death in 2008, but the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009, publication notice appeared in Commercial Dispatch in June 2009. Says injured party did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1917	TRO897536FTC	5/25/2016	Douglas, Jayden	James Douglas	4936						X	Minor; 2006-07 diagnoses; representative does not give reason for lack of timely filing. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file earlier, why so many years passed after the bar date before a claim was filed, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1918	TRO897535FTC	5/25/2016	Douglas, Jakayla	James Douglas	5610						X	2003/2004 symptoms, no diagnosis date; minor at time of bar date, no other excuse offered. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1919	TRO903693FTC	11/23/2016	Edwards, James	James Edwards	3749		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, had no knowledge of case until 2016, moved away, does not say what new location was. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1920	TRO895593FTC	3/24/2016	Fenton, James	James Fenton	4487			X				2008 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1921	TRO892316FTC	2/23/2016	Hanson, James	James Hanson	3891		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says was out of town working (does not say how long) in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1922	TRO904149FTC	1/3/2017	Hanson, Kay	James Hanson	6192		X	X				1991 diagnosis; rep says that the injured party did not file because of her health condition in 2009 but does not explain many years' delay after 2009 before filed the claim. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1923	TRO897705FTC	5/25/2016	Harris, James	James Harris	3796			X				Trust's report treated motions at docket 3796 and docket 4194 as having been filed by the same person, but that may be an error since different addresses are listed. Motion at docket 3796 is for James A. Harris [TRO897705FTC], motion at docket 4194 is for James Harris [TRO900378FTC]. James A. Harris (docket 3796) alleges he was diagnosed in February 2008, was not aware of the bar date deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1924	TRO900378FTC	7/25/2016	Harris, James	James Harris	4194	4195		X			X		Trust incorrectly listed the motion at docket 3796 as being by this movant; the correct motion by James Harris is at docket 4194, which was not listed on the Trust's summary. Alleges September 2009 diagnosis. Says did not know about claims until after bar date. Duplicate at docket 4195. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1925	TRO0900416FT C	7/25/2016	Jones, James	James Jones	6407	9046	X	X					Various diagnoses before 2000; was not informed could file a claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9046 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1926	TRO894401FTC	3/2/2016	Latham, James	James Latham	3559		X	X					Diagnosed 1995, alleges made claim with Colom in 2002. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1927	TRO885549FTC	11/25/2015	Liddell, James	James Liddell	6909			X			X		2010 diagnosis; says uncertain of dates of exposure and believes it was after bar date; says that at the time application was filled out, he was not properly notified of future tort claim, he was out of town working. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1928	TRO890521FTC	2/23/2016	McCarthy, James	James McCarthy	3963			X			X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1929	TRO889320FTC	2/23/2016	Meady, James	James Meady	7571			X					2006 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1930	TRO884659FTC	11/25/2015	Mullins, James	James Mullins	5852			X				2008 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, was informed that paperwork was given to another attorney and they would contact him but they did not. When he tried to find out by asking he was told it was too late. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1931	TRO903661FTC	11/23/2016	Holiday, Otis	James Otis Holliday	4805			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2015; standard cut-and-pasted summary language as to reasons for not filing. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1932	<b>Not listed</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Outlaw, James</b>	<b>James Outlaw</b>	4739			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Signed forms, no other information.
1933	TRO891687FTC	2/23/2016	Sharkey, James	James Sharkey	4779			X				2008 diagnosis. Says did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1934	TRO892245FTC	2/23/2016	Sharp, James	James Sharp	4608			X		X		Repeats standard language as reason for not filing: was not aware of the bankruptcy case, did not see notices, called after bar date and was told to submit a Future Tort Claim. Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1935	TRO884095FTC	11/13/2015	Shelton, James	James Shelton	7221			X			X		The motion at docket #7221 is for James Shelton [TRO884095FTC]; the Trustee's chart incorrectly listed this claimant as having made the motion at docket #4010 but that was for a different individual, James Lee Shelton [TRO885186FTC]. James Shelton alleges in the motion at docket #7221 that his son received a 2015 diagnosis; also says he previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 class action, outcome undisclosed; unclear if this claim is filed on behalf of James Shelton as the injured party or if it seeks compensation for his child. Says unaware of claims process; did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a phone call, letter, not learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on the parent's conditions that were diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. To the extent the claimant wishes to pursue a claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (whether for himself or on behalf of his child), the merits of that claim should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1936	TRO888890FTC	12/30/2015	Shetton, James	James Shetton	5216		X	X			X		Claims diagnosed in 1990, 2009, 2016, 2017; unclear if diagnoses are for different conditions. Previously filed with attorney Bambach; says attorney misplaced paperwork. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1937	TRO890952FTC	Unknown	Smith, James	James Smith	5159			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page. Same address as claimant Ebony Smith at docket #5052. These papers appear to seek review of the Trust's determination as to an alleged post-bar date claim (not relief based on excusable neglect or due process), is to be resolved through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1938	TRO895042FTC	3/23/2016	Stevenson, James	James Stevenson	7744		X	X				Diagnosis in 1960s or 1970s; previously filed with the Colom law firm, result not specified; did not know about claims filing deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1939	TRO896386FTC	4/13/2016	Taylor, Bernice	James Taylor	5048		X	X		X		The injured party died in 2010; rep says symptoms and diagnosis were after bar date but also says the injured party previously filed with the Colom firm and received a settlement offer but rejected it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1940	TRO897658FTC	5/25/2016	Walker, James	James Walker	5928		X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1941	TRO912251FTC	5/30/2017	Walker, James	James Walker	6165	8325	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; was not aware could file a claim, says discharge of claim was a violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8325, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1942	TRO884596FTC	11/25/2015	Wiley, James	James Wiley	4750		X	X				Diagnosed as a child (apparently in 1960s). Unaware of bankruptcy case, contract worker, during that period working in Texas. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1943	TRO893826FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, James	James Williams	5643			X		X		Says symptoms Nov 2010 and diagnosis Jan. 2011; says was not informed of the claim against the company, later learned of it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1944	TRO896348FTC	4/13/2016	Williams, James	James Williams	7846		X	X				1978 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1945	TRO884364FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Jamie	Jamie Williams	4498		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Sent letter to DOJ, it forwarded letter to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in 2010. Letters in 2010 did not constitute timely claims in the 2009 bankruptcy process. However, they do show awareness (as early as 2010) of possible claims. No explanation why claim was not filed until many years after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1946	TRO904202FTC	1/3/2017	Washington, Jamika	Jamika Washington	4404						X	2002-2003 diagnoses. Says was minor; unaware could file claim. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at time of bar date in 2009 and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1947	TRO892188FTC	Unknown	Stewart, Jamila	Jamila Stewart	8328		X					Not included in Trust's summary. Conditions and diagnosis dates not listed; says was unaware of and had no knowledge of the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1948	TRO898857FTC	6/27/2016	Richardson, Jada	Jamila Williams	7363		X					December 2005 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; rep filing for a minor; says filed a claim in November 2016 and it was denied. Does not explain representative's failure to file a claim before the bar date. No grounds stated for relief.
1949	TRO885632FTC	Unknown	Williams, Morgan	JaMilla Williams	3314		X			X		Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2001, filing on behalf of daughter, unaware exposed, child started daycare in 2001 and got meningitis which mom believes is from exposure; same motion filed at docket #4436 with some additional conditions, brochial conditions 2011 and 2012. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1950	TRO892336FTC	Unknown	Brewer, Jamisha	Jamisha Brewer	7970	X	X					Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; standard form language as to why missed bar date; also was under stress due to health of family member. Not a sufficient showing of inability to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1951	TRO904203FTC	1/3/2017	Washington, Jamisha	Jamisha Washington	4558		X					Diagnosis dates unclear. Says unaware that company would pay for his medical treatments. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1952	TRO894706FTC	3/23/2016	Swanigan, Jamonica	Jamonica Swanigan	7610	6690	X	X				Appears to be a duplicate of the motion at docket 6690 but the signatures differ. 1999 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. No indication that claim was part of a pending proceeding at the time of the bankruptcy. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1953	TRO893106FTC	2/23/2016	Babkowski, Jan	Jan Babkowski	6747			X				Diagnosed at birth in 1990; says was child at time of deadline, but age of majority is 18 in Pennsylvania, movant had to be 18 or 19 at the time of the bar date. Born prematurely, with diabetes and other diseases, diagnosed at approximately one year old. Never heard of Tronox case because lived outside of the area and father, who still lived in area, kept the information from her. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1954	TRO881068FTC	12/4/2015	Lanphear, Olive	Janalee Serwinski	3472			X				1976 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1978. Rep says had no knowledge of Court filing for claim for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1955	TRO881066FTC	12/4/2015	Lanphear, Alfred	Janalee Serwinski	8466		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1989; rep was unaware could file for a deceased person. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1956	TRO895562FTC	3/24/2016	Pratt, Curtis	Janet Marie Pratt Smith	6915		X	X			X	Submitted many medical records but cannot tell from them what the dates of initial diagnoses were as opposed to the dates of doctor visits and treatments. Diagnosis dates listed as "1997-2006 until his death Nov/11/2015;" says injured party suffered from mental illness, schizophrenia; rep says she tried signing with a lawyer at an unspecified date but he said he was not taking any more claims. Representative does not explain why representative did not file a timely claim and why waited so long after the bar date before doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1957	TRO901366FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Janet Marie Pratt	Janet Marie Pratt Smith	6916		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 1990, 2009, 2017; says she tried to sign up with a lawyer but he was not taking claims. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1958	TRO897641FTC	5/25/2016	Pratt, Ron	Janet Pratt Smith	7092		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep does not provide an excuse. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1959	TRO903616FTC	11/23/2016	Karsko, John	Janet Romanko	6919			X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; as a lay person with no legal background, she claims ignorance for her untimely filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1960	TRO903615FTC	11/23/2016	Karsko, Concetta	Janet Romanko	6920			X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; as a lay person with no legal background, she claims ignorance for her untimely filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1961	TRO886995FTC	12/7/2015	Burr, Mary	Janet Williams	6842		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see any published reports of it; unaware until a town hall meeting. Says clients of the Tollison law firm received claim forms in 2011; unaware condition related to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1962	TRO884723FTC	11/25/2015	Austin, Janice	Janice Austin	7395			X				1960 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice as she says she lived in Oklahoma City and did not become aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means or publications, local or otherwise; she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware condition caused by a Tronox product; says better notice should have been provided for those who relocated from area. Former creosote plant was located in Hugo, OK and notices of the bar date were published in (1) the Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, (2) the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times in Hugo, OK, (3) the Cleveland American in Cleveland, OK, and (4) the Tulsa World in Tulsa, OK, as well as in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Alleges publication notice did not include Oklahoma City but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009, those notices were approved with participation of attorneys representing creosote claimants. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1963	Unknown	N/A	Dotson, Janice	Janice Dotson	8436			X		X		Merely filed a claim form, no motion or request for relief from the Court. Claim must be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1964	TRO902069FTC	9/14/2016	Latham, Janice	Janice Latham	7907	7931 8182		X				2007 diagnosis; merely says "No Notice" as reason for not filing. A supplement filed at docket #7931 concerning address and dates and at docket #8182 concerning address. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice. Does not allege Tronox knew of this claim, does not explain why publication notice did not suffice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1965	TRO901036FTC	8/16/2016	Lowe, Janice	Janice Lowe	3984		X	X				1999 Diagnosis. Was unaware of process or possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1966	TRO898946FTC	6/27/2016	Lowe, Robert	Janice Lowe	4042		X	X				Filed for injured family member who was diagnosed in 1980 and is now deceased. Rep not aware of information or possibility of a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1967	TRO884871FTC	11/25/2015	Nottage, Janice	Janice Nottage	6988	8264	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8264, complaining that the claims of people that did not live in the area were allowed while the trust rejected claims of residents. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1968	TRO887431FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Janice	Janice Smith	5017		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process as claim filing in Columbus allegedly was held in secret meetings, asks Court to reconsider the Trust's decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1969	TRO888141FTC	12/18/2015	Erby, Lincoln	Janice Wallace	5603		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1970	<b>TRO888482FTC</b>	12/18/2015	Williams, Janice	Janice Williams	5237		X	X		X		The correct claim number is TRO888482FTC. Diagnosis "1980-present." Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says that similarly situated relatives qualified for allowance. Unclear if alleges one continuing condition that began in 1980 or if alleges any new diagnoses after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1971	TRO888485FTC	12/18/2015	Gordon, Janie	Janie Gordon	5100			X		X		Says symptoms 2009 and diagnosis 2009-2010 (some before bar date, some after); rep says did not know she was exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnoses before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1972	TRO880472FTC	12/4/2015	Dymond, Janine	Janine Dymond	7620			X				September 2005 diagnosis; says was not directly notified about the lawsuit but does not allege Tronox knew of claimant; says had limited abilities of accessing printed notices prior to deadline; violation of due process but does not say how; says diagnosed in 2005 with many recurrences and was undergoing treatments for 5 years including chemo and was required to drive 5 hours round trip for the medical care. The records support that she was scheduled for chemotherapy until March 2009. No indication of any post-bar date efforts to investigate or pursue claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1973	TRO891366FTC	2/23/2016	DeLoach, Jannie	Jannie DeLoach	7021			X		X		Says symptoms 1974 and 1990 but various diagnosis dates listed are all after the bar date; says was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1974	TRO896134FTC	4/6/2016	Mason, Jarrett	Jarrett Mason	6587			X		X		December 2009 diagnosis; says minor and incompetent at time of bar date; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn of it from media; says doctor closed office and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1975	TRO884048FTC	11/13/2015	Salter, Jarvaris	Jarvaris Salter	3812						X	Says was a child, did not know that had to show proof of medical condition. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1976	TRO894919FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Jarvis	Jarvis Billups	5795		X	X				1998 diagnosis; moved away with parents to another city and was not aware that the lawsuit was being pursued. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1977	TRO893839FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Jarvis	Jarvis Fenster	7832		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says filing prevented by natural disaster (none identified) and incompetence (no details or support). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1978	TRO894389FTC	3/2/2016	Sanders, Jarvis	Jarvis Sanders	4938		X	X		X		1992 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted form language to reasons did not file before bar date; unclear if any new conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1979	TRO887999FTC	12/18/2015	Williams, Jarvis	Jarvis Williams	6406		X	X				Diagnosis dates not specified in motion form; says unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1980	TRO885863FTC	Unknown	Brown, Jarvos	Jarvos Brown	6389		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis, apparently for mesothelioma; other conditions listed without diagnosis dates; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Also says was a minor, but alleges exposure began in 1986, so was at least 23 years old at the time of the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1981	TRO885867FTC	11/25/2015	Abrams, Jasmine	Jasmine Abrams	4953						X	Diagnosis when two years old in 1990s; did not directly or indirectly receive notice of claims process; did not know or have reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1982	TRO902100FTC	Unknown	Douglass, Jasmine	Jasmine Douglass	6833		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Claim number may be incorrect; it is listed on the motion form but other information was whited out, movant may have used a form sent to a different claimant. Various diagnoses, some listed as "2004-2017," unclear if different diagnoses of different conditions or same continuing conditions; says publication was not calculated at a reasonable time for future claimants; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. It appears that she used an incorrect TRO number as the number she wrote is for Abdul Karriem at docket #6401. Complains about notice for "future" claims but bar date in this case is relevant only to claims that were diagnosed before August 12, 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1983	TRO895005FTC	3/23/2016	Salter, Jasmine	Jasmine Salter	7193			X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says symptoms and diagnosis 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1984	TRO896622FTC	4/25/2016	Ervin, Jason	Jason Ervin	3516		X	X				Diagnosed 1993, "did not know or had paperwork." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
1985	TRO881080FTC	12/4/2015	Shrive, Clinton	Jason Shrive, Esq.	7631			X			X	Various cancer diagnoses from 2000 to 2017, dates of each unclear; the injured party died in 2017; spouse says she and her husband were not aware of the bar date as they were engrossed in dealing with his serious medical issues, but does not specify what was occurring in 2009 and does not explain many years' delay before claim actually filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
1986	TRO891783FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Jateria	Jateria Thompson	6637							X	1998 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; child and incompetent at time of deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
1987	TRO890291FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Javokco	Javokco Harris	5047					X	X		Says had different conditions with different diagnosis dates from 1998-2014. Says was unaware of deadline and unaware of "pending litigation" regarding this matter. Says was a minor at the time of the bar date; also says underwent a surgery that left him incapacitated at this time but does not provide date or medical records. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age and alleged incapacity and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file by bar date, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1988	TRO885091FTC	11/25/2015	Meady, Javoncia	Javoncia Meady	8484		X						Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
1989	TRO886379FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Javonta	Javonta Harris	3699	X	X						Included on the Trust's summary but without a listed docket number. Diagnosed 2000, "was not aware of claims process." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1990	TRO893441FTC	2/23/2016	People, Javonte	Javonte People	3600	X	X						Diagnosed 1997. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1991	TRO902542FTC	9/14/2016	Lyons, Jayson	Jayson Lyons	7923		X						No diagnosis dates, no reason provided, just says "everything is the same as before!" Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1992	TRO905969FTC	3/28/2017	Bowen, Jean	Jean Bowen	4923		X	X				The correct claim number for this motion is TRO905969FTC. 1976 diagnosis; says unaware of bar date; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges a violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1993	TRO887924FTC	12/18/2015	McKnight, Jean	Jean McKnight	5686		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of Tronox claim; did not have knowledge, nor did he receive a letter, email, phone call; did learn anything from a magazine ad or TV or radio. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1994	TRO893959FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Jean Thompson	Jean Thompson Johnson	5646		X	X				1974-75 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002; filled out application and tried to reach out to attorney but he always responded that he had not heard anything from the applicant. He moved, office closed and claimant says he filled out another application. Dealings with Bambach show awareness of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1995	TRO903813FTC	11/23/2016	Perry, Jeanet	Jeanet Perry	3525			X			X	Diagnosed in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
1996	TRO904365FTC	1/3/2017	Cotton, Jeanette	Jeanette Cotton	5604			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2009 (date not specified in form) but also alleges that hired atty Chuck Easley to pursue a claim in 2007 or 2008; says attorney filed out paperwork wrong, put down diseases she did not have and submitted an erroneous claim. Also, she includes same letter as numerous others that refers to not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to private meetings and minimal information to community. Unclear when actually retained attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
1997	TRO887102FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Jeanette	Jeanette Smith	5023		X	X				1974 diagnosis; unaware of claims filing; in area it allegedly was a secret and told to certain people and churches; unaware Tronox was releasing toxic chemicals in environment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1998	TRO889051FTC	12/30/2015	Whooper, Jamie	Jeannette Diggs	4323		X	X				First diagnosed 1989. Says claim was filed on time but may think a late-filed claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
1999	Unknown	Unknown	Slaughter, Jeannette	Jeannette T. Slaughter	7954			X			X	Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
2000	Unknown	Unknown	Gore, Jedarius K.	Jedarius Gore	6494			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
2001	N/a	12/7/2015	King, Jeff	Jeff King	6538			X			X	No diagnosis date listed; no excuse provided for failure to file by bar date. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2002	TRO901001FTC	8/16/2016	Spencer, Jeff	Jeff Spencer	6210			X			X	2014 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2003	TRO888031FTC	12/18/2015	Williams, Jeff	Jeff Williams	4325		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2004	TRO892936 FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Jeffery	Jeffery Williams	7592		X	X				1989 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, does not describe outcome; says did not realize the severity of chemical exposure until after she had moved from her home in Columbus, Mississippi. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2005	TRO902746FTC	8/16/2016	Alexander, Johnnie	Jeffrey Alexander	4566	8129 8216	X	x				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993 (supplements filed at docket # 8129 and docket #8216), rep unaware could file claim on behalf of deceased relative; rep says did not know and had no reason to know family exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2006	TRO896007FTC	4/6/2016	Cooper, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Cooper	4708						X	2003 diagnosis. Says was a minor at bar date and that discharge violates due process; does not say why guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2007	TRO886737FTC	12/7/2015	Davis, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Davis	3448		X					Diagnosis in 2005. Says not aware and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product as the plant was in a neighboring town; it was not until years later when the future tort claim forms and information became known and available; called Trust and learned of the radius of exposure and that his residence was in area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2008	Unknown	Unknown	Gore, Jeffrey Jr.	Jeffrey Gore, Jr.	6488		X		X			Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
2009	TRO896024FTC	4/6/2016	Harris, Shirley	Jeffrey Harris	8449		X		X			Unaware of bar date; says symptoms September 2009 and diagnosis October 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2010	TRO897772FTC	5/25/2016	Lee, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Lee	4642		X	X				2002 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2011	TRO880657FTC	12/4/2015	Perry, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Perry	4167	9025	X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1996. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9025 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2012	TRO893741FTC	3/2/2016	Kundrat, Jeffrey R.	Jeffrey R. Kundrat	4344			X			X	Diagnoses in 1980 (migraines), 1988 (hypertension), 2014 (polyps). Unaware of claims action; moved to another town in Pa. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2013	TRO897442FTC	5/25/2016	Winters, Jeffrey	Jeffrey Winters	5302		X	X				Condition since 1990; was not diagnosed by a doctor; unaware of bankruptcy; did not know anything about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2014	TRO897604FTC	5/25/2016	Brown, Jekyra	Jekyra Brown	3610			X			X	Diagnosed in 2008 with asthma, 2010 with breakouts and dry eyes. Unaware of claims process because moved to another city. Filed late claim in 2016 (eight years after first diagnosis, 6 years after second diagnosis). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect relief as to conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2015	TRO903514FTC	11/23/2016	Metcalf, Lucille	Jena Bell	7717	8227	X	X				Diagnosis dates not listed but the injured party died in 2003; rep unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; asserts violation of due process but does not say how. A supplement filed at docket # 8227, says did not know about the process. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but motion is dated within the 90-day period. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2016	TRO886943FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Jenna	Jenna Hannon	4653			X		X		2009 diagnosis. Was unaware of "lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2017	<b>Not listed</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>McClinton, Jennie</b>	<b>Jennie McClinton</b>	4732			X				Not on Trust's summary. Merely a signed form.
2018	<b>TRO888091FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Barksdale, Jennifer</b>	<b>Jennifer Barksdale</b>	4092		X	X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis. A duplicate motion is filed at docket # 4097. Says was not aware of the process, saw no notices, called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2019	TRO890139FTC	2/23/2016	Newman, Bobby	Jennifer Barksdale	4262		X	X			X	Submitted many medical records but unclear when various conditions were first diagnosed, as opposed to when treatments or medical visits occurred. Injured party died in 2013. Hand-copied language about lack of notice, unawareness of claim, alleged advice to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2020	TRO890142FTC	2/23/2016	Newman, Betty	Jennifer Barksdale	4263		X	X				Injured party died in 2006. No information on diagnosis dates. Hand-copied language about lack of notice, unawareness of claim, alleged advice to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2021	TRO892249FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Jennifer	Jennifer Cockrell	5002			X				July 2006 diagnosis; not aware of filing, spoke to an attorney and said he would get back in touch, "later on" she heard people were getting payments. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2022	TRO899265FTC	7/11/2016	Richardson, Jeanice	Jennifer Latham	4781			X				Injured party diagnosed in June 2006, died in 2007; rep was unaware of filing date and of Tronox case, the tort claim or any suit. Filed claim in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2023	TRO899276FTC	7/11/2016	Latham, Jennifer	Jennifer Latham	4785			X			X	Unaware of bar date; diagnosed with allergies in 2016, after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2024	TRO887577FTC	12/14/2015	Murphy, Jennifer	Jennifer Murphy	5229		X	X				Diagnoses in 1996 and prior; was not aware of the need to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2025	TRO892007FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Jennifer	Jennifer Stinson	3510		X	X				Diagnosed 1983. Similar explanation offered as by claimant in claim 3509. Refers (without date) to awareness of reports that Kerr-McGee/Tronox chemicals caused injuries and illness. Does not explain failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2026	TRO892686FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Barbara	Jennifer Thompson	5398		X	X				1981 and 1982 diagnoses; the injured party died in Feb. 2009; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2027	TRO892685FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Jennifer	Jennifer Thompson	5399		X	X				1997 diagnoses; did not see or hear of any publication notice of bar date; says notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2028	TRO892682FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Jacqueline	Jennifer Thompson	5401						X	2007 diagnosis; minor in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2029	TRO893666FTC	3/2/2016	Caine, Jeremiah	Jeremiah Caine	6033			X		X		Various diagnosis dates, one (sinusitis) after bar date, rest before. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2030	TRO892365FTC	2/23/2016	Bell, Jeremie	Jeremie Bell	5798			X		X		Says did not have adequate notice of the claims filing deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2031	TRO885398FTC	Unknown	Martin, Jeremy	Jeremy Martin	7996						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Minor at bar date; parents were told could not file for kids; when found out and filed, atty deceased; docket entry has nothing attached to it. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2032	TRO893732FTC	3/2/2016	Mason, Jeremy	Jeremy Mason	6582			X			X	November 2009 diagnosis; minor and incompetent at time of claim filing deadline; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn of it from media; says doctor closed office and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2033	TRO885278FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Jeremy	Jeremy Sherrod	7427			X			X	Minor; child or incompetent at time; standard language; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2034	TRO880268FTC	12/4/2015	McGee, Jermain	Jermain McGee	6518			X			X	No diagnosis dates listed but also filed a rejection notice alleging conditions that did not manifest until after the bar date; says was unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2035	TRO894660FTC	3/23/2016	Latham, Jermaine	Jermaine Latham	7605		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Retention of counsel shows awareness of legal rights and claims. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2036	TRO892767FTC	2/23/2016	Poindexter, Jermarco	Jermarco Poindexter	6889			X				2007 diagnosis; says was unaware the attorneys were taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2037	TRO880790FTC	12/4/2015	Brown, Jerome	Jerome Brown	7385		X	X				Diagnoses 1963, 2005, 2006; unaware of a pending deadline; did not know about the claims being filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2038	TRO902960FTC	10/13/2016	Buchanan, Jerome	Jerome Buchanan	6626		X	X				No diagnosis date or details; says he filed a claim with Attorney Bambach in 2001, but claim was misplaced in 2001. Bankruptcy process did not start until 2009, however. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2039	<b>TRO897692FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Foard, Jerome</b>	<b>Jerome Foard</b>	5347			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2040	Unknown	10/27/2016	Miller, Jerome	Jerome Miller	7883		X	X				Diagnoses 1979, 1980, 1989; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals and of pending litigation; notice did not inform claimant that injuries were due to Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2041	Unknown	7/25/2016	Miller, Jerome	Jerome Miller, Sr.	7881		X	X				1971 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1971; rep unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals and of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2042	Unknown	7/25/2016	Miller, Gerald	Jerome Miller, Sr.	7882		X	X				The injured party died in 1971; rep unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals and of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2043	TRO885463FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Gilda	Jerome Wilson	3471		X	X				The injured party died in 2001; rep says knew nothing of the claim process until 2015; they knew nothing of the effects that this exposure would cause; victim lived and went to school near plant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2044	TRO885478FTC	11/25/2015	Talley-Wilson, Lucy	Jerome Wilson	3493		X	X				The injured party died in 1978. Rep says knew nothing of this chemical waste or the lawsuit or the damage caused by the chemical or how it affected her relative. No showing as to relative's knowledge, or knowledge of other representatives, or of diligence in pursuing claim based on 1970s diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2045	TRO893573FTC	Unknown	Windham, Jeronica	Jeronica Windham	7126			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis date listed as "1999-2009;" previously filed application with atty Howard Gunn in 1999, but he returned all the papers back to her at the time. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2046	TRO886309FTC	12/7/2015	Brewer, Jerry	Jerry Brewer (possibly Jessie Brewer)	4524	8114	X	X				First diagnosis in 1980s. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 2006 but did not get any response, unaware of bar date. Medical records filed at docket # 8114. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. If counsel failed to act that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, and no such excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2047	TRO901610FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Jerry	Jerry Brown	5717		X	X				Exposure began 1975, diagnosis "early adulthood;" submitted a claim through "the class action lawsuit" but may be confused and may be thinking about the late claim filed with the Trust; says was unaware of legal proceedings or class action against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2048	TRO903750FTC	11/23/2016	Henry, Jerry C.	Jerry C. Henry	8451		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to Tronox product; filed after a friend received a settlement check. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2049	TRO886001FTC	12/7/2015	Carter, Jerry	Jerry Carter	3573	8308	X	X				Diagnosed in 1980s, alleges filing with Colom law firm in 2002. A supplement filed at docket #8308, says was without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2050	TRO891576FTC	Unknown	Cotton, Jerry	Jerry Cotton	5801			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says filed claim but apparently without enough information but must be referring to the late-filed claim; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2051	TRO903611FTC	11/23/2016	Dillon, Delois	Jerry Dillon	7583		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; rep says that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says incompetent and incapacitated, but apparently is referring to the deceased injured party and not movant; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2052	TRO903610FTC	11/23/2016	Dillon, Quentis	Jerry Dillon	7847		X	X				1977 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; standard form language as to why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2053	TRO890221FTC	2/23/2016	Ervin, Jerry	Jerry Ervin	3521		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed 2000. "Did not know or had paperwork to file." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2054	TRO890414FTC	2/23/2016	Gregory, Jerry	Jerry Gregory	7408			X				1994 diagnosis; prior claim in 2002, no details provided; place of exposure not clear; no reason provided for failure to file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2055	TRO898755FTC	6/27/2016	Lowery, Jerry	Jerry Lowery	6999	8244	X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier. Says was not aware could file a claim, lived in another state. A supplement filed at docket #8244, says he is totally mentally and physically disabled, lived in another state during relevant period. Details are not clear, but may have been in the armed forces in 2005, cannot tell dates. Will presume was not in military in absence of records and an allegation to that effect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2056	TRO903729FTC	11/23/2016	Mitchel, Jerry	Jerry Mitchell	6433		X	X				1984 diagnosis; did not know about lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2057	TRO890681FTC	2/23/2016	Morgan, Jerry	Jerry Morgan	4210		X	X		X		Symptoms started 1993, lists "first diagnosis" as "1993-2010." Did not know how to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2058	TRO901002FTC	8/16/2016	Rande, Jerry	Jerry Rande	4213			X				2007 diagnosis. Did not know claim was open, did not know of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2059	TRO900656FTC	8/16/2016	Eacholes, Jesse	Jesse Eacholes	4226			X				2007 diagnosis. Says did not know about claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2060	TRO886253FTC	12/7/2015	Ivy, Jesse	Jesse Ivy	4676			X		X		Standard form language as to reasons why did not meet bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis were in September 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2061	TRO897827FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Jesse	Jesse Jones	4698		X	X				1964 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2062	TRO895711FTC	3/24/2016	Sherrod, Jesse	Jesse Sherrod	3641		X	X				Diagnosed 1972, no reason given for late filing except for statement "I don't know." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2063	TRO892552FTC	2/23/2016	Abrams, Joseph	Jessica Abrams	7772	7773 7774	X	X			X	Says first diagnosis in 1988 but various conditions are identified and likely some were diagnosed at later dates; docket # 7772, docket #7773 and docket # 7774 are all duplicates but docket # 7772 and 7773 use claim number TRO892552FTC and docket # 7774 uses claim number TRO892231FTC. The injured party died in 2016; they did not file because did not know or had no reason to know he had been exposed to creosote. Unclear why deceased did not file. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion will be accepted based on its postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2064	<b>TRO885868FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Abrams, Jessica</b>	<b>Jessica Abrams</b>	8256			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. This is not an excusable neglect motion, it is merely a response to a notice of deficient claim materials.
2065	TRO886962FTC	12/7/2015	Dulney, Jessica	Jessica Dulney	3959			X				1998 diagnosis. Was told ineligible, then was told qualified - unclear by whom or in connection with what proceeding (i.e., a prior class action or the bankruptcy case). Alleged advice is not grounds for relief without more specifics as to date, proceeding, and source of the advice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2066	TRO893838FTC	3/2/2016	Fenster, Jessica	Jessica Fenster	7830		X	X				1985 diagnosis; says filing by bar date was prevented by a natural disaster but does not specify one. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2067	TRO894731FTC	3/23/2016	Gavin, Jessica	Jessica Gavin	7629			X			X	Says did not know that she could file a claim; symptoms and diagnosis 2016 and 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2068	TRO901914FTC	9/14/2016	McCoy, Jessica	Jessica McCoy	4586		X	X				1974 diagnoses. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2069	TRO889679FTC	2/23/2016	McCrary, Jessica	Jessica McCrary	6643			X			X	2012 diagnosis; says previously tried to submit a claim, not clear when or with whom; was unaware of filing for this claim, never received notice re who was eligible to file. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2070	TRO894387FTC	3/2/2016	Sanders, Jessica	Jessica Sanders	4789		X	X			X	Diagnosed with some minor conditions prior to bar date (beginning in 1999) and some after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2071	TRO892661FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor	4428	8838	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8838 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2072	TRO892004FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Jessie	Jessie Clark	3507		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, "I was not aware." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Movant alleges it is unjust that people have not been compensated but does not explain any circumstances regarding prior knowledge of issues, pursuit of claim, or reasons why excusable neglect relief would be appropriate. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2073	TRO894415FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Jessie	Jessie Harris	5033		X	X				1973 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2074	<b>TRO885330FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Hawthorne, Jessie</b>	<b>Jessie Hawthorne</b>	8002		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1972, 1980; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2075	TRO898290FTC	6/20/2016	Johnson, Jessie	Jessie Johnson	3967	8283	X	X				1986 diagnosis. Alleges did not know of claim or claims process or exposure. A supplement filed at docket #8283, says was not aware of the dangers posed by the Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2076	TRO894730FTC	3/23/2016	Gavin, Jessie Lee, Jr.	Jessie Lee Gavin, Jr.	7752			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnoses in 2011; did not file a claim in the bankruptcy case because did not know about the deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2077	TRO914268FTC	Unknown	Peterson, Jessie	Jessie Peterson	6307			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2078	TRO880991FTC	12/4/2015	Stovall, Jessie	Jessie Stovall	7539			X		X		Various diagnoses, all after the bar date; says was unaware of the information and the possibility of getting a settlement for her physical injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2079	TRO901683FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Jessie	Jessie Williams	6321			X		X		Says claim was filed but returned because of deadline, says symptoms 2009 and diagnosis 2011, but no supporting documentation. No record of any timely claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2080	TRO897186FTC	5/4/2016	Hill, Jet	Jet Hill	5874			X		X		2009 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why did not file by bar date; says all conditions after bar date but that is not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2081	TRO898565FTC	6/27/2016	Mathews, Jewelene	Jewelene Mathews	3726			X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2082	TRO889469FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Jewellean	Jewellean Walker	5583		X	X				1974 diagnosis; no knowledge of such lawsuit or claim, she was not contacted. The hospital no longer has records from that time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2083	TRO895496FTC	3/24/2016	Chapman, Mary	Jim Chapman, Sr.	4518			X				Symptoms 2005, diagnosis 2006, rep says his own health was affected after his wife's illness and death in May 2007, but bar date was in 2009. Says it was difficult for him to focus on meeting deadlines. Also says that he had to seek medical attention but only provides records of such treatment for 2010 and 2012. Alleges stress from Hurricane Katrina but that was in August 2005. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2084	TRO892361FTC	2/23/2016	Edmond, Jim	Jim Edmond	6593		X	X		X		Says submitted a claim and it was turned down, may be referring to late-filed claim; "File another claim it cost a hundred dollar and decided not to because [he] didn't have a hundred dollar to give." The information he initially received concentrated on lung issues but after more research he discovered that it focused on more than lung conditions; says symptoms 2013, diagnosis 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2085	TRO900276FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Jim	Jim Key	4253			X		X		Says symptoms 2010, diagnosis 2010 & 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2086	TRO896776FTC	4/25/2016	Oden, Jim	Jim Oden	8109	8488	X	X			X	No prior motion on file before this supplement was received; former resident of Columbus, MS; supplement says pre-bar date diagnoses in 1979 and 2003, post-bar date diagnoses of different conditions in 2012 and 2017; says received prior offers of \$500-1000 from Colom & Turner & Gunn, says insufficient; supplement to papers filed with trust but not court. A supplement filed at docket #8488, rep says filed previously claim with Hamilton, Seward Berry, Gunn, and Colom 2003, received value of \$500; says value insufficient. Claim resolved in prior action could not be reasserted in Tronox bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceedings shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of prior claim resolution) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2087	TRO898783FTC	6/27/2016	Randle, Jim	Jim Randle	5556		X	X				This motion is on behalf of Jim Randle; a separate motion at docket #4577 is for Jim Randle, Jr. [TRO900886FTC]. The excuse offered in the motion at docket #5556 is that the claimant was unaware of the bar date. 1952 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2088	TRO900886FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Jim, Jr.	Jim Randle, Jr.	4577		X	X				The motion at docket # 4577 is for Jim Randle, Jr. (TRO900886). The motion by Jim Randle (TRO898783) is at docket 5556. Jim Randle, Jr. relies on 1986 diagnosis, says was unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2089	TRO894929FTC	3/23/2016	Roland, Jim	Jim Roland	5594		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983; rep did not have knowledge about a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2090	TRO886134FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Jimarcus	Jimarcus Johnson	3861		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2091	TRO896929FTC	4/25/2016	Foote, Jimmie	Jimmie Foote	4465			X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as "October 2010 and present" and "September 2006 through November 2009." However, the only condition listed is asthma (as child and as adult), so appears to be one continuous diagnosis. Says was unaware of claims process; unaware of dangerous toxins of Tronox products. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2092	TRO896921FTC	4/25/2016	Hudgins, Jimmie	Jimmie Hudgins	3622		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file by bar date because wasn't aware of possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2093	TRO887529FTC	12/14/2015	Malone III, Jimmie	Jimmie Malone III	5653		X	X				Unaware of the claims process; also attaches the letter by Carl A. Lee that complains about the alleged "incompetence" of the trust and the Colom law firm in the process. Colom law firm handled earlier class actions, received notice of the bar date but had no official role in the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2094	TRO893062FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Jimmie	Jimmie Sherrod	5644	8237	X	X				1985-2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn 2000; but did not know about dealing with any other attorney until recently; says received one letter from atty Gunn, and his condition remained the same and he decided to file with the Trust. A supplement filed at docket #8237, says unaware of filing in 1998 and 2009. Says the Columbus Dispatch informed residents about future claims on October 10, 2015. Notice of the bar date was published in the Columbus Dispatch in June 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same also were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2095	TRO890145FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Jimmie	Jimmie Tate	7831			X				1990 diagnosis; unaware exposed to the product; says was minor but was first exposed in 1987, so must have been at least 21 in 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2096	TRO890936FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Mary	Jimmie Thompson	7670		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1989 and earlier; the injured party died in 1996; rep says injured party was incompetent but injured party died 13 years before the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2097	TRO905585FTC	3/28/2017	Davidson, Jimmy	Jimmy Davidson	8429			X				Names doctor but does not identify condition or diagnosis date; says was unaware to whom to make a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2098	TRO892838FTC	2/23/2016	Stephenson, Jimmy	Jimmy Stephenson	4580		X	X		X		Alleges a 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2099	TRO885885FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Jimmy	Jimmy Tucker	7554		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2004, 2005, 2007; says the publication notice of claims filing deadline was not advertised stating the value and the seriousness of the advertising; says Tronox did not supply sufficient notice and advertisement to the public through known and renowned newspapers and publications. The rumors and conversation that was voiced sounded more like false gossip rather than something valuable and important to their health and life in general. Requests that their ignorance be excused. Notices were approved by the court with the knowledge and involvement of counsel active in creosote litigation (including in Mississippi) and were consistent with due process and have previously been approved in other decisions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2100	TRO885331FTC	Unknown	Hawthorne, Jimmy	Jimmy W. Hawthorne	8003		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1972 and 1980; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2101	TRO884363FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Jivaro	Jivaro Williams	3430		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Says proof of claim was filed but an attached letter shows a complaint to the Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division in 2010. No proof of claim filed until 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2102	TRO891345FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, J'Marcus	J'Marcus Brooks	8412			X			X	2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2103	TRO890699FTC	2/23/2016	Seeley, Joseph	Joan Seeley	7588			X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; spouse living away from injured party's hometown was unaware of any legal actions; became aware of the settlement after it was finalized; injured party's relatives did not inform her because they did not want to share any settlement with additional parties; also does not subscribe or have access to Wall Street Journal or any of the publications that contained notice of the filing deadline; rep says she herself had back problems and knee problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2104	TRO903093FTC	10/13/2016	Turner, Edward	Joan Turner	5691	6732		X				See also motion at docket # 6732; motions are not identical in form but are filed by the same person on behalf of the same injured party. The movant says that as far as she knows, the injured party was diagnosed in 2008 but she did not learn about it until after the bar date because they did not reside together nor have any communication prior to the bar date. it is not clear what the relationship is between the movant and the injured party. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2105	TRO888338FTC	12/18/2015	Virgil, Joan	Joan Virgil	6600		X	X				1958 diagnosis; says "was unaware of the initial claims and was informed of the process." Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2106	TRO904941FTC	1/24/2017	Skrzysowski, Anna	Joan Vogue	7206			X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; as a result of residing outside of the original borough limits of Avoca, the claimant's estate was unaware that neighboring jurisdictions were eligible; later discovered eligible. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2107	TRO888738FTC	12/24/2015	Latz, JoAnn	JoAnn Latz	7612	8111						Diagnoses 1999; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy; place of exposure not clear; moved cross country making contact by mail difficult, says on active military duty from 1990 to time of motion filing in 2017; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice inadequate. A supplement filed at docket # 8111. Military service apparently tolled the application of the bar date. Claim was not actually filed in 2009 so it cannot be treated as a Category D claim but may be asserted as a Category A claim, subject to such defenses as the Trust may assert.
2108	TRO905296FTC	3/1/2017	Long, Joann	Joann Long	4219			X				Diagnoses 1972-2000. Unaware of right to file claim; insufficient notice, did not see or hear anything about it, only heard when people received checks. Notices were in compliance with due process requirements for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2109	TRO886503FTC	12/7/2015	Morris, Billy	Joann Morris	7388	8297		X				Alleges a 2008 diagnosis but says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2004; rep says injured party was unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case because he was bedridden from his condition. A supplement was filed at docket # 8297, says claimants are without knowledge to wade through a constantly changing process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2110	TRO884358FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Joanne	Joanne Butler	3307	8247	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed in 2002 and 2004, was told by attorney in 2005 that she could not file a claim because she had filed for bankruptcy, then in 2009 when notices sent out she was living in Fayetteville, North Carolina. A supplement filed at dk #8247, says was unaware of the bankruptcy filing or that a trust for tort claims had been established. Attorney's advice is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorney shows awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2111	TRO893437FTC	2/23/2016	Lawson, Lela	Joanne Lawson	6505	8132	X	X				1959 diagnosis; injured party died in 1968; rep says was unaware of the claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8132, rep says unaware of bar date because she had moved away from Columbus, MS area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2112	TRO892852FTC	2/23/2016	Lawson, Joanne	Joanne Lawson	6510	8131	X	X				1959 diagnosis; says moved from Columbus, MS to Detroit in 1968 and was not aware of the claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8131, first heard about proceeding in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2113	TRO885931FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Joanne	Joanne Smith	5674			X			X	2009 diagnosis (precise date not specified on motion form); unaware of the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2114	TRO893371FTC	2/23/2016	Dismuke, Queen	Joanne Smith	5676		X	X				1994 diagnosis; was not aware of the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2115	TRO896823FTC	Unknown	White, Joanne	Joanne White	7978			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says exposed from 1951 but symptoms and diagnosis in 2011; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2116	TRO902924FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, John	Jocelyn Fenton	7593		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1981, 1991, 2006, 2009, 2012; former resident of Columbus, MS who left in mid-1970s; unaware of Tronox lawsuit because moved away to Indianapolis in the early 1970s; unaware exposed to a harmful Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; would not have seen notice in a local Mississippi paper; did not receive written notice. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2117	TRO902927FTC	10/13/2016	Davis, Tybarius	Jocelyn Fenton	7594		X	X				2004 diagnosis; exposure began in 1975; says unaware of lawsuit because was raised in Indianapolis but exposed to Tronox product because of frequent visits by family to Columbus, MS area; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2118	TRO902985FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Samantha	Jocelyn Fenton	7595		X	X				1987 diagnosis; says unaware of lawsuit because was raised in Indianapolis but exposed to Tronox product because of frequent visits by family to Columbus, MS area; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2119	TRO902984FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Kirby	Jocelyn Fenton	7596		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says unaware of lawsuit because was raised in Indianapolis but exposed to Tronox product because of frequent visits by family to Columbus, MS area; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2120	TRO902929FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Susie	Jocelyn Fenton	7597		X	X				Diagnoses in 1960, 1972, 2003; says unaware of lawsuit because moved to Indianapolis but had been exposed to Tronox product in Columbus; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2121	TRO902982FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, George	Jocelyn Fenton	7598		X	X				Diagnoses in 1972, 1997, 2006, June 2009; former resident of Columbus, MS until approximately 1968; says unaware of lawsuit because moved to Indianapolis and did not know of the bankruptcy claims process; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to be consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. In addition, there is no explanation of the lengthy post-bar date delay before filing a claim and no suggestion that claimant did anything to investigate or protect legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on 1973 and 1997 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2122	TRO902923FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Irene	Jocelyn Fenton	7599		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1951, 1970, 1972, 1975, 1991, 1992, 2014, 2017; former resident of Columbus, MS; says lacked knowledge about Tronox lawsuit because moved to Indiana in 1975; she would not have seen notice in Mississippi papers; did not know and no reason to know there was a lawsuit against Kerr-McGee; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product that was harmful to her; did not know she had a right to file a claim; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to be consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2123	TRO902926FTC	10/13/2016	Davis, Onyemachi	Jocelyn Fenton	7600		X	X				Diagnoses 1985, 1988; alleges exposure to chemicals in Columbus, MS; lacked knowledge of lawsuit as lived in Indiana; would not have seen any Mississippi publications; did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges violation of due process. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. Furthermore, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2124	TRO902983FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Jim	Jocelyn Fenton	7601		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1997, 2009, 2017; former resident of Columbus, MS; says lacked knowledge about Tronox lawsuit because moved to Indiana in 1975; she would not have seen notice in Mississippi papers; did not know and no reason to know there was a lawsuit against Kerr-McGee; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product that was harmful to her; did not know she had a right to file a claim; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Publication notice included publication in a national newspaper, was found to have been consistent with due process, no suggestion that Tronox had reason to know if this claim or that it had reason to know that a resident in claimant's area deserved notice. No explanation of long post-bar date delay, no description of any effort to investigate or pursue legal rights as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2125	TRO891451FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Jocquetavious	Jocquetavious Harris	4252		X	X				1995 diagnosis. No specific excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2126	TRO892669FTC	2/23/2016	Granderson, Joe	Joe A. Mitchel	4409		X	X				Filed with Colom law firm in 2001, then moved away; unaware of Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2127	TRO894469FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Joann	Joe Ann Jones	4449		X	X				The injured party died in 2002; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2128	TRO888872FTC	12/30/2015	Brooks, Joe	Joe Brooks	6371	8753	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost and office closed; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says the form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8753 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2129	TRO888210FTC	12/18/2015	Knox, Joe	Joe Knox	5483	8166		X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; not aware of any filing procedures; did not receive any information to know where to file before bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8166. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2130	TRO900054FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Joe Louis	Joe Louis Trimble	5154			X			X	Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
2131	TRO891979FTC	2/23/2016	Stevenson, Leroy	Joe M. Stevenson	5238		X	X				Diagnoses 1987 and 2002; rep says that injured party's guardians and he lived in contaminated area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2132	TRO892984FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Joe	Joe Neal	4241			X			X	Did not know of claim process; unaware of dangers of Tronox product, says symptoms & diagnosis 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2133	TRO901117FTC	8/16/2016	Sheilds, Joe	Joe Shields	6469			X				2008 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Also says he did not know about the claims process, he had moved by the time it was over. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2134	TRO895086FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Joe	Joe Shinn	3837			X			X	Diagnosed in November 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2135	TRO892053FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Joe	Joe Wells	5424		X	X				August 1975 diagnosis; uncertain needed to file a claim because there was much fraudulent information in the community; wanted certainty that he had correct information and he filed in 2015. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2136	TRO891362FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Joe	Joe Williams	4672			X		X		Says that symptoms and diagnosis began 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2137	TRO887535FTC	12/14/2015	DePetro, Joel	Joel DePetro	3372	4069					X	Claims did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says was a minor. The same claim was filed again at docket #4069. Will allow supplemental submission to confirm age at time of bar date and to explain why parents or guardians did not pursue a claim by the bar date, why claimant waited until 2015 to do so, and whether relief is warranted.
2138	TRO900220FTC	7/25/2016	Avant, John	John Avant	4046		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2139	TRO886231FTC	12/7/2015	Baker, John	John Baker	7328		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2002 and earlier; previously filed with the Colom law firm, outcome not disclosed; says did not file in 2009 due to his illness; unaware exposed to harmful chemicals. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior proceeding with the Colom firm or, if not, was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2140	TRO902580FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, John	John Brown	6979		X	X				1971 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did file a claim but it appears referencing the late claim filed with the trust. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2141	TRO885215FTC	11/25/2015	Campbell, John, Jr.	John Campbell, Jr.	6287		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2142	TRO900937FTC	8/16/2016	Ferretti, Patricia	John Chopyak	5752			X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party resided in Pittston, PA; injured party was sick with multiple sclerosis; rep says taking care of other sick relative also but does not provide dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2143	TRO900951FTC	8/16/2016	Chopyak, Patricia	John Chopyak	5894	5960		X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; after traveling with spouse to address medical issues, rep moved from Pennsylvania and settled in Wisconsin and did not hear about Tronox claims on news or any other source nor did he receive any mail about it. A duplicate of this motion claim is filed at docket #5960. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2144	TRO901385FTC	8/16/2016	Cituk, John, Jr.	John Cituk, Jr.	3546			X		X		Diagnosed 2002 with a non-cancerous lesion but more serious diagnoses in 2010 and later years for cancerous lymphoma. Also states that did not file a claim on time because "living in NJ and PA, was not very optimal of being informed about the claims." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2145	TRO887801FTC	12/14/2015	Cockrell, John	John Cockrell	6775			X			X	Diagnosed 7/21/2017, says was unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2146	TRO894009FTC	3/2/2016	Deloach, John, Sr.	John Deloach	7747		X	X				2001 diagnosis; claims to have engaged Howard Gunn law firm in 1997 but allegedly was told they were not doing any applications due to bankruptcy, but bankruptcy did not occur until 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2147	Unknown	7/25/2016	Edwards, John	John Edwards	7886		X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemicals; moved away from Mississippi many years ago; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2148	TRO890647FTC	Unknown	Fleming, John Belab	John Fleming	7993						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; parent went to Bambach, atty deceased (but he did not die until 2013); claimant was a child at the time; claimant can't read, write or count; was afraid to let people know because he knew would be taken advantage of but he trusts the person assisting now; mind affected by chemicals, can't concentrate; unaware of cause of medical problems. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2149	n/a	11/28/2017	Gibson, John	John Gibson	7205		X	X				2004 diagnosis; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim for his injuries; resided close to exposure area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2150	TRO898778FTC	6/27/2016	Hendrix, John	John Hendrix	7271			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 9/7/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2151	TRO888114FTC	12/18/2015	Derry, John Julius	John Julius Derry	5980			X		X		Alleges diagnoses in 1990 and 2010, unclear if for same condition or different conditions; was not aware of a proof of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2152	TRO888323FTC	12/18/2015	Kuma, John	John Kuma	4327			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2153	TRO894067FTC	3/2/2016	McCarthy, John	John McCarthy	5930		X	X		X		Alleges diagnoses in 2012 and 2017; previously filed with Colom law firm (date unspecified, unclear whether in connection with a prior action); unaware of deadline, says symptoms and diagnosis were after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2154	TRO893416FTC	2/23/2016	Nat, John	John Nat	3604	8366		X				Diagnosed 2000, 2003 and 2008. "Unaware I should be included in the claim." Alleges his wife received direct notice of the process and that he assumed that if he did not get direct notice he was not eligible to file. Believes it was an "oversight on behalf of the original attorneys." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8366 indicating claimant is deceased. Knowledge of notices received by wife shows knowledge of the process, alleged oversight of attorneys or unexplained mistake in interpreting the notice is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2155	TRO896783FTC	Unknown	Oliveri, John	John Oliveri	6716			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; thought you could only file claim if had cancer. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2156	TRO890401FTC	Unknown	Zabrowski, John	John R. Zabrowski, Jr.	8034			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1975 diagnosis; did not learn about lawsuit until 2014 and realized symptoms and sickness were caused by exposure one summer when painting baseball park in Avoca. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2157	TRO893184FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, John	John Robinson	3457		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1961 and 2017. Previously filed with the Colom law firm; says did not file because he is on disability and does not get around to socialize; says he was incompetent at that time and from time to time dealing with his illnesses. No supporting information provided sufficient to show inability to file a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participated in prior litigation, knew of claim and potential liability. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 1961 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2158	TRO895745FTC	3/24/2016	Robinson, John III	John Robinson, III	5009		X	X				1980 and 1996 diagnoses; unaware of bankruptcy case; also job required some traveling out of state; unable to get medical records as the hospital no longer has records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2159	TRO887142FTC	12/14/2015	Sanders, John	John Sanders	5479			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2012; cut-and-pasted standard form reasons for not filing by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2160	TRO902970FTC	10/13/2016	Sherrrod, John	John Sherrrod	5962			X		X		Says was diagnosed after bar date; did not receive direct notice that she had a claim or was required to file before deadline; the notice form was deficient and publication notice was not calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product or needed to file before the deadline; did not see anything about this in newspapers or television. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2161	TRO887129FTC	12/14/2015	Shields, John, Sr.	John Shields, Jr.	3829		X	X				Filed for father. Says submitted a claim in 1997 in connection with a prior creosote litigation. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2162	TRO889206FTC	2/23/2016	Short, John	John Short	6068		X	X				1979-2000 diagnosis; not sure if filed a prior legal proceeding; was not aware that the case had been reopened and that claims were getting filed; says was prevented from filing proof of claim but does not say how; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; also circled all of the examples of reasons that would not qualify as excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2163	TRO890752FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, John	John Smith	5639			X				1965 and 1996 diagnoses; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Place of alleged exposure not specified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2164	TRO885367FTC	11/25/2015	Derry, John T.	John T. Derry	5379			X		X		Listed with wrong claim number on Trust's summary. Alleges 2011 diagnosis; says that at his age you don't hear right away about things like this, you hear about it later. No medical records provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2165	TRO884208FTC	11/25/2015	Velenoski, John	John Velenoski	6819			X				1991 and 1999 diagnoses; former PA resident; says was a minor at the deadline but says exposure began 11/18/90 so was at least 18 at the bar date, which made him an adult under PA law; unaware of process until recently. Still lived in Duryea, PA and in Moosic, PA in 2009 through 2011. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2166	TRO900952FTC	8/16/2016	Exter, Cecilia	John Warnek	5299			X		X		Alleges various diagnoses before 2000, stroke in 2016; rep says unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; says notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2167	TRO890105FTC	2/23/2016	White, John	John White	4476		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Unaware eligible to file claim until did so in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2168	TRO884340FTC	11/25/2015	Wiley, John	John Wiley	3427		X	X				1984 Diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; rep says did not know about Tronox bankruptcy claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2169	TRO884195FTC	11/25/2015	Brandon, Jonathan	Johnathan Brandon	4357						X	1999 diagnosis. In high school in 2009, unaware of a lawsuit or of claim that he should have filed; at time dealing with the July 2009 death of close relative. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age in 2009 and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2170	TRO892049FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Johnathan	Johnathan Brown	5416						X	1992 diagnosis; minor; unaware of the claim; standard language. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2171	TRO900035FTC	7/25/2016	Carter, Mary	Johnathan West	6146		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2172	TRO900034FTC	7/25/2016	West, Johnathan	Johnathan West	6148		X	X				1985 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2173	TRO890016FTC	2/23/2016	Dismuke, Richard	Johnikin, TaWanda Dismuke	5765	8394 9474 9475	X	X				Symptoms began before 1990; diagnosis date uncertain; the injured party died in 2008; rep says living out of town; did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8394, rep says she moved out of town and publication notice was not available to her; not aware and had no reason to understand that the condition was caused by exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2174	TRO889805FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Johnnie	Johnnie Cunningham	5467			X				Says he did file a claim (date unspecified) but was turned down; likely is referring to the late-filed claim, under misimpression that it was timely. If filed a timely claim before the bar date on August 2009, any dispute over its resolution should be done through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures. If did not file a timely claim, no reason has been offered as to why relief from the bar date should be granted.
2175	TRO904709FTC	1/24/2017	Daniel, Johnnie	Johnnie Daniel	5336	8267	X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of process; moved to Akron, Ohio. A supplement at docket #8267, in Akron, Ohio at time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2176	TRO893204FTC	2/23/2016	Golden, Johnnie	Johnnie Golden	5263			X				Diagnoses 1986 and prior; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product, moved to Ohio; did not know anything about filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2177	TRO895356FTC	3/24/2016	Harris, Johnnie	Johnnie Harris	5890			X			x	Standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed bar date; says conditions and first diagnosis after bar date, does not include medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2178	TRO894405FTC	3/2/2016	Humphries, Johnnie	Johnnie Humphries	4245			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2015, but enclosed chart shows same conditions listed as being diagnosed in both 2007 and 2015. Cannot tell from papers if there really were any new post-bar date conditions, but the fact that a previously-diagnosed condition continued after the bar date is not enough to make it a future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2179	TRO890786FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Johnnie	Johnnie Richardson	6254			X			X	Refers to kidney and polyp surgeries in 2008 and pacemaker in 2014; says was not aware that he was supposed to file a claim; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says it was a while before he healed after surgery but that was in 2008. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2180	TRO895083FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Johnnie	Johnnie Shinn	3876			X			X	Says was diagnosed in 2017 but also contends that claimant filed a legal proceeding against Tronox prior to the bar date and did not get direct notice of the bar date. Affidavits of service on file show notices were mailed to all people with pending lawsuits or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to a specific pre-bar date claim or as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect for pre-bar date claims. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2181	TRO893459FTC	2/23/2016	Summerville, Vantee	Johnnie Summerville	4197		X	X				Diagnosis "after 2003." Unaware of bar date; did not receive publication notice. Claim would be time-barred based on 2003 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2182	<b>TRO888346FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Perry, Johnnie</b>	<b>Johnnie, Perry</b>	8381		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1977 diagnosis; unaware of claim. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2183	TRO903225FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Johnny	Johnny Fields	4942		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro 1998; standard form language as to reasons why did not file. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2184	TRO893417FTC	2/23/2016	Hackman, Johnny	Johnny Hackman	6702	8274	X	X				1984 diagnosis; didn't know of claim. A supplement filed at docket #8274, says worked with the chemicals, complains that others who did not work with the chemicals were allowed claims and that many with allowed claims were not required to provide proof. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2185	TRO887609FTC	12/14/2015	Hall, Johnny	Johnny Hall	3296			X				Diagnosed in 2008. Says did not realize that the area where he lived was one of the areas affected by creosote until after the bar date. Says he does not understand legal rhetoric and would not have understood publication notices even if he had access to the publications. However, risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000, and movant acknowledges awareness of illness and awareness of creosote-related injuries in nearby areas. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2186	TRO884638FTC	11/25/2015	Hughes, Johnny	Johnny Hughes	3693		X	X				Diagnosed 1998, "not aware of proceeding." States that information was not widely known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2187	TRO888237FTC	12/18/2015	Lagrone, Johnny	Johnny Lagrone	3551		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, only states that he did not know about the deadline, but also alleges made a claim through the Colom law firm. Appears to be a reference to prior litigation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Complaints about counsel are not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless counsel's conduct can itself be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2188	TRO891229FTC	2/23/2016	Lang, Jr., Johnny	Johnny Lang, Jr.	7101		X	X				The motions at dockets 7100, 7101 and 7102 were filed by three different persons but with the same address in Columbus, Georgia. The motion at docket 7101 indicates that exposures occurred in Columbus, Mississippi; alleges a 1988 diagnosis; says never received any notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2189	TRO896095FTC	4/6/2016	Latham, Johnny	Johnny Latham	5071		X	X				1983-84 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; merely asserts that medical records unavailable, Colom would have files. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2190	<b>TRO897942FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Lewis, Johnny</b>	<b>Johnny Lewis</b>	7968			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2009 and 2014; previously filed claim with atty Bambach (not clear when) but did not hear anything, atty is deceased. Unexcused failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be decided by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2191	TRO901666FTC	8/16/2016	Lyons, Uluster	Johnny Lyons	6354		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says that the injured party did not know and had no reason to know that he had been exposed to a Tronox product in the years before the bar date. Statute of limitations in Mississippi runs from date of known injury, regardless of whether cause of the injury was known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2192	TRO893167FTC	2/23/2016	McCarthy, Johnny	Johnny McCarthy	5449		X	X				2006 diagnosis (date unclear); rep says unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2193	TRO889747FTC	2/23/2016	McNeal, Johnny	Johnny McNeal	5493			X				Diagnosis around 2008; says learned of the claim at a later date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2194	Unknown	N/A	Murray, Johnny	Johnny Murray	6490			X		X		Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form; says incarcerated most of adult life
2195	TRO905813FTC	4/24/2017	Pegues, David	Johnny Pegues	4993		X	X				Diagnosis in January 2003; the injured party died in 2005; rep says the community was not adequately notified of who could file claims, if relatives could file for deceased persons. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2196	TRO899321FTC	7/11/2016	Robinson, Johnny	Johnny Robinson	3899		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates unclear, listed as "2002-2017," unclear if continuing condition(s) or new conditions. Claims to have filed with Wilbur Colom but that paperwork was lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel is excused. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2197	TRO892148FTC	2/23/2016	Weatherspoon, Johnny Sr.	Johnny Weatherspoon Sr.	7116		X	X				The motion at docket #7116 is for Johnny Weatherspoon Sr.; a separate motion at docket #6942 is for Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr. The excuse for Johnny Weatherspoon, Sr. is that he previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was not aware he could file a claim as the Colom paralegal told him he could not file a claim. Conduct of counsel or counsel's employee is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2198	TRO891989FTC	2/23/2016	Weatherspoon, Jr., Johnny	Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr.	6942		X	X		X		The motion at docket #6942 is for Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr.; a separate motion at docket #7116 is for Johnny Weatherspoon, Sr. Johnny Weatherspoon, Jr. is a former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says that he previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was unaware he could file a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2199	TRO888601FTC	12/24/2015	Webber, Johnny	Johnny Webber	8519		X	X				1985 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach (does not say when), and did not know where to get paperwork. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2200	TRO891492FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Johnny Westbrook	Johnny Westbrook Hodges	5915			X		X		Diagnoses in 2014. Unaware of process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2201	TRO901522FTC	8/16/2016	Johnson, Diane	Johnson, Diane	5284		X	X				1974 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved away from the area in 1974; says did not know anything about the lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2202	TRO900804FTC	8/16/2016	Johnson, Tyra	Johnson, Tyra	6220			X		X		September 2009 diagnosis; relocated to another state and was not aware of the claim; says symptoms 2000 and diagnosis Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2203	TRO895079FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Jolene	Jolene Shinn	3485		X	X				1998 Diagnosis. No excuse provided and therefore no basis for relief. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2204	TRO899044FTC	6/27/2016	James, Jonathan	Jonathan James	4121		X	X				1966 Diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Gunn 2002-2005; neither atty nor he received notice of bar date. Claim apparently was part of prior litigation. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2205	TRO894138FTC	3/2/2016	Lewis, Jonathan	Jonathan Lewis	6719			X				Seizures started 1990, diagnosis 2008; former resident of Columbus, MS who has not lived there since 2001; member of army from 2001-2010. From 2005-2010 was stationed in various places, including abroad. Military service ended in July 2010. Even if application of the bar date was tolled by 50 U.S.C. 3936 that would still have required a claim no later than late September or early October 2010, actual claim was not filed until much late. Does not explain lengthy delays given diagnosis dates and long-running conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2206	TRO904379FTC	1/3/2017	McCrary, Jonathan	Jonathan McCrary	7062						X	1997 diagnosis; minor; parent was not aware or informed at the time. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2207	TRO888309FTC	12/18/2015	Mitchell, Jonathan	Jonathan Mitchell	5516		X	X				Says diagnosis dates were "12/1983-1/01/1985"; says no reason to know could file a claim; learned of it when people started receiving compensation. Also refers to "newspaper ads about the Tronox settlement and how they had money for people to file claims," apparently is referring to articles that prompted the filing of the late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2208	TRO900251FTC	7/25/2016	Moore, Jonathan	Jonathan Moore	5534		X	X				1982 diagnosis; says filed documents in a timely manner, but appears to be referring to answers to questions by Tort Claims Trust; filed form letter saying that she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" refers to secret meetings, minimal information to community. Allegation that some claimants had meetings without telling others is not a basis for relief. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2209	TRO891738FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Jonathan	Jonathan Morris	7627	8298	X	X				1992 symptoms; diagnosis date just listed as "yes;" previously filed with the Colom law firm 2004, outcome of that claim is not clear; says not aware and no knowledge of bankruptcy case. A supplement at docket # 8298 without knowledge to wade through process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2210	TRO892782FTC	2/23/2016	People, Jonathan	Jonathan People	3647		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, did not file because unaware of possibility of receiving payment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2211	TRO906028FTC	4/24/2017	Smith, Jonathan	Jonathan Smith	5353	8197		X		X		Signature page for letter at docket # 8197; letter refers to a brain tumor and surgery in 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2212	TRO887612FTC	12/14/2015	Whitfield, Jonathon	Jonathon Whitfield	3418			X		X		Did not know of an opportunity to file a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case; says exposure was August 12, 2009 and symptoms and diagnosis August 14, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2213	TRO888002FTC	12/18/2015	Jones, Fate	Jones, Fate	6668		X	X				1970 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2214	TRO892137FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Jenerrio	Jones, Jenerrio	6670						X	Exposed at birth in 1990; diagnosed in 1992; minor at deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2215	TRO899907FTC	7/25/2016	Jones, Shemar	Jones, Shemar	6156		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis on August 23, 2009 but also says previously filed claim in Kerr-McGee Plant Class Action; standard form language as to why missed the bar date. If a claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including whether terms of prior class action barred further claims) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2216	TRO902700FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Jontavius	Jontavius Thomas	4983		X	X				Heart problems beginning in 2000, diagnosis dates "same"; says unaware of this case and when found out was given misleading information (no details as to how that information was given or the timing of the same). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2217	TRO886431 FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Jordan	Jordan Harris	5892			X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; only refers to dates of recent conditions after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2218	TRO895314FTC	3/23/2016	Jordan, Brian	Jordan, Brian	5936		X	X				1976 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2219	TRO884119FTC	11/13/2015	Shinn, Joscia	Joscia Shinn	3690		X	X			X	Diagnosed first in 1989, series of later diagnoses, some after 2009. Discusses how long she has lived in area but does not provide any reason for why not filed by deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on diagnoses before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2220	TRO903675FTC	11/23/2016	Rabiega, Donna	Joseph Blazosek, Esq.	7624			X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; says the claim process was not clearly documented as to who was able to submit forms associated with the claim; insufficient notice to alert her rep of the need to associate her condition with the Tort Trust Litigation and bankruptcy process. Says the danger of prejudice to debtor is minimal by this single claim and in proportion to assets available to debtor, there is no prejudice and no impact on judicial proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. As to effect on the proceedings and the prejudicial effect on other claimants: thousands of other motions make allegations similar to this one. There are limited resources left and recoveries for legitimate claims will already be relatively low, those recoveries would be further decimated if claims were allowed on behalf of persons who have not met the necessary standards.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2221	TRO893165FTC	2/23/2016	Doran, Ambrose	Joseph Blazosek, Esq.	7625			X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; the claim process was not clearly documented as to who was able to submit forms associated with the claim; insufficient notice to alert his rep of the need to associate her condition with the Tort Trust Litigation and bankruptcy process. Says the danger of prejudice to debtor is minimal by this single claim and in proportion to assets available to debtor, says there is no prejudice and no impact on judicial proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. As to effect on the proceedings and the prejudicial effect on other claimants: thousands of other motions make allegations similar to this one. There are limited resources left and recoveries for legitimate claims will already be relatively low, those recoveries would be further decimated if claims were allowed on behalf of persons who have not met the necessary standards.
2222	TRO890720FTC	2/23/2016	Doran, June	Joseph Blazosek, Esq.	7626			X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1987; says the claim process was not clearly documented as to who was able to submit forms associated with the claim; insufficient notice to alert her rep of the need to associate her condition with the Tort Trust Litigation and bankruptcy process. Says the danger of prejudice to debtor is minimal by this single claim and in proportion to assets available to debtor, says there is no prejudice and no impact on judicial proceedings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. As to effect on the proceedings and the prejudicial effect on other claimants: thousands of other motions make allegations similar to this one. There are limited resources left and recoveries for legitimate claims will already be relatively low, those recoveries would be further decimated if claims were allowed on behalf of persons who have not met the necessary standards.
2223	TRO895433FTC	3/24/2016	Cebula, Joseph	Joseph Cebula	5325	8263		X				1990s diagnosis; says he filed claim 10 years ago but Tronox lost his records; supplement filed at docket # 8263 complaining that they already received medical records. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. No excuse offered as to reasons why missed the bar date.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2224	TRO905493FTC	3/28/2017	Costello, Ruth	Joseph Costello	5581			X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1975; unaware of the toxic waste but was made aware by the group filing it from Avoca, Pa. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2225	TRO888269FTC	12/18/2015	Demich, Joseph	Joseph Demich	5714			X				1982 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Robert Powell, the Powell Group 2011, the law firm said his claim was "excepted" but may mean accepted, but he never received any other correspondence. He thinks they confused him with another relative with the same name as other relatives received settlements; says he did not receive notice of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Any confusion as to a prior class action needs to be addressed in that proceeding. Powell firm participated in the bankruptcy case, if did not file a claim for this movant that is not grounds for relief unless counsel's failure can be excused, no such excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2226	TRO901702FTC	8/16/2016	Donahue, Joseph	Joseph Donahue	6118		X	X				Diagnosis 2004; says that was originally offered a \$2,500 claim to settle any future compensation, decided not to accept that, thinking that if something happened later the claimant could not be compensated for it. Unclear when or in what proceeding that settlement offer was made but it shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2227	TRO901548FTC	8/16/2016	Norman, Joseph, Jr.	Joseph E. Norman, Jr.	6683		X	X				Conditions in 1980s, diagnosis "yes"; says doesn't read newspapers, did not hear about this process until later. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2228	TRO890547FTC	2/23/2016	Munson, Joseph L.	Joseph L. Munson	4636		X	X				Diagnoses in 1976 and 1977. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware of claims process, worked for the federal gov't both in US and abroad from 2009-2011. Says that "after the first round of settlements had been completed and [he] learned of a second round, [he] downloaded the forms from the internet and filed." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2229	TRO897648FTC	5/25/2016	Long, Joseph	Joseph Long	4217			X				1977 diagnosis. Says unaware could file claim; insufficient notice, only heard when people started receiving checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2230	TRO902437FTC	9/14/2016	Taylor, Joseph	Joseph Taylor	5802		X	X				2000 diagnosis; had no knowledge of a claim to file against Tronox nor did he know about a deadline; was totally unaware of this claim; moved to another city. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2231	TRO893600FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Joseph	Joseph Williams	6665			X		X		Diagnosed 2012; did not know about the case in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2232	TRO904051FTC	1/3/2017	Williams, Josephine	Josephine Williams	7542		X	X				1973 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says notice was deficient on its face (does not explain why); says notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of notice (does not say how); says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Publication notices were reasonable and complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2233	TRO904061FTC	1/3/2017	Williams, Katherine	Josephine Williams	7622			X				1949 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; place of exposure not clear; rep says form of notice deficient but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not explain why; the injured party was unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2234	TRO894162FTC	3/2/2016	Allan, Joshua	Joshua Allen	7289						X	1993 and 2000 diagnoses; minor; does not say why guardian did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2235	TRO892265FTC	2/23/2016	Fenster, Joshua	Joshua Fenster	4535		X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says that in 2009 had a proceeding against Tronox but did not personally get anything from Tronox, nor a written notice of the bar date. A rejection notice filed at docket # 7825. No record of 2009 proceeding but counsel in all such proceedings were given direct notice of the bar date and claimants in pending proceedings were given direct notice if their addresses were known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2236	TRO885027FTC	11/25/2015	Harris, Joshua	Joshua Harris	7687		X	X				June 2004 diagnosis; says that a proof of claim was sent but the papers were sent back numerous times (apparently referring to late filings with Trust). No record of any timely claim filed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2237	TRO891823FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Joshua	Joshua Hibbler	7639			X			X	Lists diagnoses in 2010 and 2016 but prior diagnoses clear from records; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but there is no indication that 2001 proceeding was still pending at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the referenced attorneys received direct notice of the bar date. When he learned of Tronox tort claim trust contacted atty and was told paperwork lost. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2238	TRO885202FTC	Unknown	Jennings, Joshua	Joshua Jennings	8036		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various alleged diagnoses, some before bar date and some after; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2239	TRO888285FTC	12/18/2015	Jones, Joshua	Joshua Jones	5272		X	X			X	In answer to question about first diagnosis says "2/20/1990 and again 2/15/2017;" appears all to be based on one continuing condition but that is not entirely clear. Says did file a claim before but did not get a response, does not specify date of filing, is likely referring to late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2240	TRO914670FTC	7/26/2017	Sharp, Joshua	Joshua Sharp	7911						X	1995 diagnosis; minor at bar date (approximately 17). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2241	TRO894607FTC	3/23/2016	Lang, Kameryn	Josie Moore	4990						X	Minor; rep does not give excuse for not timely filing. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2242	TRO894775FTC	3/23/2016	Moore, Josie	Josie Moore	5563		X	X				Diagnoses in 1955, 1960, 1982, 1991, July 2006; did not receive any information by advertisement, mail, email newspaper or telephone. After people started receiving checks she became aware that claims were taken earlier. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2243	TRO905428FTC	3/28/2017	Sturdivant, Josie	Josie Sturdivant	6140	8660						Diagnoses 1993, 2004; says unaware of exposure; says is a veteran who suffers from PTSD; long and short-term memory loss; forgets things easily; needs assistance with daily routine. She was evaluated at 70% disabled (the same diagnosis as Charles Sturdivant from same address). A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8660. Will accept explanation for late filing on grounds of incapacity and permit the late-filed claim, the merits of which are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal procedures.
2244	TRO892784FTC	2/23/2016	Hodges, Jossie	Jossie Hodges	3948		X	X				Filed with Wilbur Colom in 2002. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9311 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
2245	TRO889113FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Theodis	Jossiephene Spain	7223		X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; says publication of deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; incompetent at time of bar date (apparently referring to the injured party, who was deceased); did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; rep says was informed that only certain area or people could apply. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2246	TRO885859FTC	11/25/2015	Meady, Jotina	Jotina Meady	5996		X	X				1996 diagnosis; no reason provided why did not meet bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2247	TRO885153FTC	11/25/2015	Scott, Jovante	Jovante Scott	5720		X	X				1978 and 1988 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$500; says did not file in 2009 because was not notified of the legal proceeding against Tronox. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2248	TRO892264FTC	2/23/2016	Fenster, Jovi	Jovi Fenster	4534		X	X				1977 diagnosis. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. A rejection notice filed at docket # 7823. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2249	TRO885085FTC	Unknown	Selvie, Jovonn	Jovonn Selvie	6460	9360	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980 diagnosis; says the publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9360 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2250	TRO897124FTC	5/4/2016	Blaylock, Odell	Joyce Blaylock	5491	9465	X	X		X		Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; the injured party died in 2016; rep says "did not have a full understanding about the deadline date all though it shows on the paper. It was not push to be a major factor of this case." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2251	TRO884159FTC	11/13/2015	Butler, Joyce	Joyce Butler	7099		X	X				1981 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware that could file claim in Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2252	TRO884782FTC	11/25/2015	Cox, Joyce	Joyce Cox	3877		X	X				Was part of 2002 class action. Claim resolved in a prior proceeding could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
2253	TRO903090FTC	10/13/2016	Devauld, Joyce	Joyce Devauld	3592		X	X				Diagnosed 2000. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2254	TRO897447FTC	5/25/2016	George, Michael	Joyce George	5875			X				1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says that she did file paperwork prior to bar date and in the beginning was told the Powell law group would be handling the claims. When she heard that the Powell law group would not be handling it any longer, she called the 800 number (Garretson), but that must have been long after the bar date because the trust was not established until after the plan was confirmed. After inquiring several times was later told they did not have any paperwork and she should file again. To the extent that the movant alleges a failure by the Powell law group, as counsel, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the failure by the Powell law group can be excused, and no excuse has been offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2255	TRO899218FTC	7/11/2016	Lee, Joyce	Joyce Lee	4949			X			X	Says previously filed without an atty but the paperwork was filled out incorrectly, is probably referring to a late-filed claim. The claimant does not provide date for diagnosis, but it appears that the medical records refer to conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2256	TRO887334FTC	12/14/2015	Parks, Robert	Joyce Parks Johnson	3462			X				The injured party died in 2006; rep does not provide reason why he did not file in 2009, appears to contend that he did so. No record of any claim actually filed, no basis established for excusable neglect or due process relief.
2257	TRO900370FTC	7/25/2016	Parson, Earnest	Joyce Parson	7566		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says previously filed with atty Jeffery M. Navarro 1994 in district court case no. 96-CV-00076; the attorney did not provide updates after several telephone calls, claimed the building burned down containing all records and advised that she had no recourse; she was not aware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy. No record that movant was a plaintiff in a case pending at the time of the bankruptcy. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim either was resolved in a prior litigation or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2258	TRO901748FTC	8/16/2016	Parson, Joyce	Joyce Parson	7663			X			X	Supporting records suggest diagnosis for all conditions after bar date; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know of the Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see any published reports. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but the motion actually seeks no relief based on any pre-bar date diagnoses so the timing is irrelevant. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2259	TRO900397FTC	7/25/2016	Townsend, Joyce	Joyce Townsend	4421			X			X	No excuse provided; says symptoms commenced dec 2009; no date for diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2260	TRO899251FTC	7/11/2016	Straugher, JT, Jr.	JT Straugher, Jr.	5123		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2261	TRO891753FTC	2/23/2016	Bluitt, Juanita	Juanita Buitt	5692			X		X		Alleges 2008 symptoms, November 2009 diagnosis; moved and did not know about the claim until later date; retained counsel on June 14, 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2262	TRO891884FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Juanita	Juanita Jones	4043	9324	X	X				Diagnosis approximately 1998. Was out of state in Aug - Sept. 2005 but bar date notice was in 2009, so that does not explain lack of compliance with bar date. Says did not actually know about the bankruptcy. A supplemental letter filed with another at docket #9324 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2263	TRO892554FTC	2/23/2016	Wallace, Juanita	Juanita Wallace	4945		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not get call, letter, info or anything from TV or radio concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2264	TRO895185FTC	3/24/2016	White, Annie Mae	Juanita White	7930		X	X				Various diagnoses in 1988; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1990; movant says publication notice was merely 52 days from order and 36 business days to unknown claimants, but that does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before movant filed a claim; says did not have access to any of the publications and alleges it was not published in the Commercial Dispatch, but in fact the notice appeared in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009 and a certificate of publication verifying that fact was filed by the official independent notice agent; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2265	TRO889189FTC	2/23/2016	Holmes, Judie	Judie Holmes	3640		X	X				Diagnosed 1968, moved away from Columbus for almost 30 years in the 70's. Then did fill out paperwork at some point re: this case, but "did not hear anything more until receiving this paperwork." Reference to paperwork appears to refer to late filings, not timely claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2266	TRO895506FTC	3/24/2016	Draus, Anthony	Judith Draus	8437	5343		X			X	Diagnoses 1994, 2011, 2012; unaware that Tronox product was the cause of his condition, did not make correlation. A rejection notice filed at docket #5343. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim based on a new condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2267	TRO891824FTC	2/23/2016	O'Boyle, Judith	Judith O'Boyle	4188			X				Diagnoses in 2000 and 2006. Did not know or have reason to that exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2268	TRO904382FTC	1/3/2017	Teplir, Judith	Judith Teplir	6007		X	X				1974 diagnosis; did not know that there was a deadline; said sent in paperwork before and received nothing in response, but that apparently is a reference to communications with the trust about the late-filed claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2269	Unknown	Unknown	Borovitz, Stanley & Mary	Judy Draus	8149			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Says did not file for deceased relatives, then found others had done so, wants their claims reconsidered. Does not allege lack of knowledge of the claim process. No specific claim identified for which relief is sought on the grounds of excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2270	TRO881061FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Sallie	Judy Jennings	3497	9366	X	X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010. Rep does not provide an explanation for lack of timely filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9319 and docket #9366 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No proper grounds alleged for relief from the bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2271	TRO894833FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Judy	Judy Johnson	5959		X	X				1975 symptoms; diagnosis date "yes"; did not realize she had a deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2272	TRO902793FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Judy	Judy Moore	4679			X			X		Symptoms in 1998 and diagnosis 2009 without specific date. Says was unaware of the case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2273	TRO894717FTC	3/23/2016	Anderson, Julia	Julia Anderson	7248			X					Various conditions alleged, separate diagnosis dates for each are unclear but apparently all are pre-bar date, listed as being in period from July 1998 to March 15, 2007; place of exposure not clear; unaware of Tronox claims being filed in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2274	TRO898266FTC	6/20/2016	Burgin, Mya	Julia Burgin	3456	8175 8711		X			X		Previously filed with atty Bambach 2002; paperwork lost. Says diagnosis 2009-2015 but filed with attorney in 2002. A supplement filed at docket #8175, complaining that filed at same time as others whose claims were allowed and they were not residents of area. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8711 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2275	TRO886585FTC	12/7/2015	Burgin, Julia	Julia Burgin	3475	8178 8709	X	X					Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8178, complaining that claims of certain others who filed at same time were allowed and they were not residents of area. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8709 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior legal claim shows awareness of rights. Attorney misconduct is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct may be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that accrued in 1999 also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2276	TRO886573FTC	12/7/2015	Hendricks, Lenner	Julia Burgin	3670	8710	X	X				Diagnosed 1999, made claim with an attorney then, paperwork lost by attorney. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8710 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2277	TRO904366FTC	1/3/2017	Cotton, Julia	Julia Cotton	5577			X		X		2009 diagnosis without specific date; lists Columbus, MS address for the period 1/12/09 through 3/1/11; says she moved and did not return until 2012 and was unaware she had been exposed to Tronox product or the filings against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2278	TRO888543FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Julia	Julia Harrison	5842		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; not made aware of the deadline; says was not properly notified nor made aware of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claims was represented by the Colom firm in 2009: the Colom firm was actively involved in the Tronox bankruptcy case and received direct notice of the bar date by mail. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2279	TRO898246FTC	6/20/2016	Summerville, Julia	Julia Summerville	6893		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; previously filed with Colom law firm; he mailed her a denial letter, said he was out of money (must be referring to class action settlement); says a 1998 stroke affected her mentally; medications caused memory problems; no reason to suspect that Kerr McGee was causing her condition. Says 9th grade education and does not understand legal things; unaware of claims filing deadline. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2280	TRO901022FTC	8/16/2016	Vance, Walter	Julia Vance	5198		X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit or bar date; rejection notice filed at docket # 5345. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2281	TRO898639FTC	6/27/2016	Vance, Julia	Julia Vance	6009		X	X			X	Unaware of bar date; rejection notice filed at docket # 5344; vague as to diagnosis dates, lists November 1990 and August 2009 as symptom onsets. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2282	TRO897925FTC	6/10/2016	Williams, Julia	Julia Williams	5670		X	X				1999 diagnosis; was incapacitated at the time of the bar date due to his heart condition and was unaware of the filing process. Also files letter that says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of the Colom law firm" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information to the community. Says psychosis is one of her conditions but does not refer to it in excuse. Does not verify incapacity to a degree that rendered claimant unable to file a claim or to get help in doing so. More importantly, claim was time-barred years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In any event, movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2283	TRO898181FTC	6/20/2016	Young, Julia	Julia Young	5661			X		X		Says diagnosis 2011 unclear if refers to new issues; says did not get a detailed understanding on the condition to the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2284	TRO897257FTC	5/4/2016	McCrary, Julian	Julian McCrary	4958	8321	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 action; unaware could file claim in Tronox case; a supplement filed at docket #8321, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2285	TRO886777FTC	12/7/2015	McCrary, Betty	Julian McCrary	4959	8320	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware could file claim in bankruptcy case. A supplement filed at docket #8320, without knowledge to wade through process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2286	TRO886776FTC	12/7/2015	McCrary, Julian, Jr.	Julian McCrary, Jr.	4960	8322		X				Says unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8322, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2287	TRO901498FTC	8/16/2016	McKinley, Julian	Julian McKinley	6128						X	1993 diagnosis; minor in 2009 (age 17 or so) but no claim by parents, no claim by injured party until 2016. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2288	TRO900058FTC	7/25/2016	Bryk, Julie	Julie Bryk	5383			X				1986 diagnosis (at birth); was not made aware of situation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2289	TRO892690FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Juliet	Juliet Johnson	4572	8765	X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8765 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2290	TRO886119FTC	12/7/2015	Shirley, June	June Shirley	6728	8980	X	X				1958 diagnosis; unaware eligible to file before deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8980 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2291	TRO893329FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Juquaires	Juquaires Morris	7034		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1994, 2000, 2007, 2009, 2010; says was not aware of the case or filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2292	TRO891985FTC	2/23/2016	Weatherspoon, Justice	Justice Weatherspoon	6938			X			X	Diagnoses in 2008, 2011, 2012; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2293	TRO887945FTC	Unknown	Barry, Justin	Justin Barry	4540						X	Not included in the Trust's summary. Minor, apparently 11 years old at the 2009 bar date; says parent did not "put me in at the time" but does not explain why she did not do so. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2294	TRO889681FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Justin	Justin Jackson	6268		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says discharge of claim was violation of due process; he did not know about it and had not heard of any lawsuits that could have affected him. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2295	TRO891816FTC	Unknown	Macon, Justin	Justin Macon	8044		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, one in 2009 (month unspecified), others after bar date; former resident of MS who moved out of state in 2006. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2296	TRO891360FTC	3/1/2017	Tate, Justin	Justin Tate	7633	7634	X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1998 diagnosis; did not receive notice of the Tronox tort trust claims settlement and was not aware could file a claim. Also submits a signed rejection notice as to post-bar date exposures and diagnoses, though none are identified in the motion. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2297	TRO905770FTC	4/24/2017	Longmire, Kacharra	Kacharra Longmire	5484	5728	X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; was not aware of the proceedings; was not notified of a deadline. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #5728. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2298	TRO885652FTC	11/25/2015	Horton, Kady	Kady Horton	4537		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2299	TRO902081FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, Seneca	Kamilah Ballard	3524	8069		X				Claim filed by representative of minor. Diagnosed "yes," says "the injured party was only 4 at the time." Injured party was born in 2004. Rep was living in Birmingham, Alabama at the time, complains that notice was not sufficient but provides no details other than allegation of lack of direct notice. Proof of publication on file shows that notice of the bar date was published in Birmingham, AL as well as in Columbus, MS. A supplement filed at docket # 8069. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2300	TRO902080FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, Amir	Kamilah Ballard	8080	8415					X	Motion by a representative filed for a child; 2004 diagnosis (at age 1); rep says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, but does not allege Tronox knew of this claim or claimant. Says lived in Birmingham, Alabama at the time of the bar date, but notice of the bar date was also published in Birmingham. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8080. Parent/guardian does not explain his/her own delay. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2301	TRO885279FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Kamillia	Kamillia Sherrod	7464			X		X		2011 diagnosis; says was a minor at time. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2302	TRO901754FTC	Unknown	Kamran Ware	Kamran Ware	3961			X				Motion not listed on Trust's summary. Diagnosed 6-21-09, says "I didn't know about it." Recency of diagnosis may explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation provided as to why no claim was filed until more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2303	TRO885138FTC	Unknown	Sullivan, Kandace	Kandace P. Sullivan	6953		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; no excuse provided; says a claim was filed and rejected, not clear if referring to a prior class action claim or to a late-filed claim with the trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2304	TRO903504FTC	11/23/2016	Dobbs, Kaneshia	Kaneshia Dobbs	5900			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust was not formed until long after the bar date so this does not excuse a late filing. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow the claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2305	TRO903502FTC	11/23/2016	Cunningham, Kailey	Kaneshia Dobbs	5901			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust was not formed until long after the bar date so this does not excuse a late filing. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow the claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2306	TRO903496FTC	11/23/2016	Cunningham, JaQualen	Kaneshia Dobbs	5902			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, the trust was not formed until long after the bar date so this does not excuse a late filing. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow the claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2307	TRO894769FTC	3/23/2016	Stewart, Kardy	Kardy Stewart	3541			X			X	Diagnosed 2007, states that "I did file a POC in the Tronox bankruptcy case by the claims deadline but I never heard from them." Dispute over alleged timely filed claim is not a proper request for excusable neglect or due process relief, any dispute over this claim is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust.
2308	TRO890888FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Karen	Karen Fields	3652		X	X				Diagnosed 1994, "not aware I could file a case." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2309	TRO893737FTC	3/2/2016	Lacomis, Karen	Karen Lacomis	5696			X				1954 diagnosis; when her spouse filed, she thought claims were restricted to certain conditions but later discovered that another relative received a payment for another condition. She says she is uncertain what more to tell as the process is confusing and she is not a lawyer. Filing by spouse shows awareness of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2310	TRO886080FTC	12/7/2015	Martin, Karen	Karen Martin	3458		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm but was told paperwork was lost; she assumed she could not file again but she moved to another county and then relatives told her to try again. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior filing with Colom law firm shows knowledge of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2311	TRO899973FTC	7/25/2016	Johnson, Karsandra	Karsandra Johnson	3808		X	X				2000 Diagnosis. Says did not know of the process or the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2312	TRO891643FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Kashaeyla	Kashaeyla Brooks	5119						X	Minor; says exposure began in 1999. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2313	TRO884708FTC	11/25/2015	Henry, Kashaya	Kashaya Henry	5947		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; did not know where to get the paper work. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2314	TRO890585FTC	2/23/2016	Ellis, Lillian	Kashayla Williams	5906		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2315	TRO891956FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Billy	Katherine Hill	3554			X		X		Exhibited symptoms in 2010, diagnosed upon death in 2017, alleges did not know until then of connection to Tronox chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2316	TRO887727FTC	12/14/2015	Murray, Katherine	Katherine Murray	4783	5022	X	X				Diagnoses 1989 to present (unclear if any new conditions after bar date); did not know symptoms were caused by Tronox product; says previously filed with Garretson in 2007 but that is obviously not a reference to the bankruptcy process, as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Supplement filed at docket # 5022; rep says filed earlier in 2007 without details, says was unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemicals and condition from it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No record of any lawsuit against Tronox or other claim allegedly filed in 2007. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing and before the alleged 2007 filing of a claim.
2317	TRO894952FTC	3/23/2016	Wells, Katherine	Katherine Wells	7769		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1980, 2000, 2010 (pace maker); did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; there was a lot of misinformation about the exposure of creosote; challenges whether notices were sent in compliance with court orders, but the notices were mailed and published by an independent noticing firm retained by the clerk's office and affidavits attesting to compliance were duly filed. Claims that people in place to answer questions (unclear to whom referring) deliberately told individuals that they were only affected if they lived near the plant and as she did not reside within the noted radius, she thought she could not have been exposed to the product; this likely was a communication in relation to a prior class action that was on behalf of residents who lived within a certain distance of the plant. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claims based on 1980 and 2000 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a 2010 diagnosis (for pacemaker) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2318	TRO885974FTC	11/25/2015	James, Kathleen	Kathleen James	3490		X	X				Says that symptoms started in 1977 but was diagnosed at time started school in 1990. Then says that it was her guardian who would have to fill out form but that person was the hospital sick at the time, does not specify time. Given onset of symptoms in 1977 the claimant was no less than 41 years old at the time of the bar date, no showing as to incompetence or infancy and no showing of why claimant could not file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2319	TRO888993FTC	12/30/2015	Hines, Kathy	Kathy Hines	4943		X	X				1995 and 1999 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; says previously filed several sets of paperwork before 2000 but does not recall details or name of attorney. Appears to be referring to participation in prior class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in the prior class action then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2320	TRO903632FTC	11/23/2016	Littles, Kathy	Kathy Littles	6800		X	X		X		2007 diagnosis; says was put on oxygen in 2014, unclear if contending that reflected a different condition first diagnosed after the bar date; filed claim in 2002 with Creosote Litigation Group in federal district court action in Aberdeen but never received money. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2321	TRO893514FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Kathy	Kathy Morris	6642		X	X				1976 diagnosis; had no knowledge of the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2322	TRO900250FTC	7/25/2016	Geffers, Kathy Valenta	Kathy Valenta-Geffers	7245	8529		X				1988 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit; moved from Duryea, PA in 2009 to Pittston, PA; supplemental letter filed at docket # 8529. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2323	TRO901908FTC	9/14/2016	Holland, Katie	Katie Holland	6564		X	X				1980 diagnosis; "moved to a new location paperworked know the deadline." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2324	TRO884449FTC	11/25/2015	Miller, Katie	Katie Miller	4359		X	X		x		1995 symptoms; for date of first diagnosis says "1998-2017" but appears to refer to continuing condition. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2325	TRO901301FTC	8/16/2016	Nave, Katie	Katie Nave	5531		X	X		X		Some conditions diagnosed before 1990, polyps in 2011; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2326	TRO899347FTC	8/16/2016	Mosley, Ada	Katie Salter	4946		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2327	TRO892326FTC	2/23/2016	Salter, Katie	Katie Salter	4947	8257		X			X	Some earlier medical conditions but claim appears to be based on conditions (high blood pressure, heart murmur) that arose in 2014 and 2017; says was unaware of bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8257, says paperwork to Tronox was timely filed in 2016. When first heard of lawsuit, thought it was just for those living in a particular town, she did not realize it was for company's plant. She filed and it was handled by a lawyer in Aberdeen, she did not receive any money. Prior consultation with attorney shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2328	TRO895647FTC	3/24/2016	Mickens, Katina	Katina Mickens	6745			X			X	Diagnosed 8/15/2009, condition unclear. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2329	TRO896419FTC	4/13/2016	Stewart, Katrice	Katrice Stewart Ward	4503		X	X				There are actually two separate motions for this TRO claim number; the first is for Katrice Stewart, which is on the docket at claim #4503, and the second is for Dominique Stewart at docket # 4504. The motion at docket 4503 alleges diagnoses in 2007, but movant also contends she previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003. Says did not receive notice of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Proof of service shows direct mailing of bar date notice to the Colom law firm, so if movant was represented by that firm in 2009 direct notice was provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims that had accrued as of 2003 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2330	TRO896419FTC	4/13/2016	Stewart, Dominique L.	Katrice Stewart Ward	4504		X	X				There are actually two separate motions for this TRO claim number; the first is for Katrice Stewart, which is on the docket at claim #4503, and the second is for Dominique Stewart at docket # 4504. The motion at docket 4504 alleges diagnoses in 1987 and 2006. Movant also contends she previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003. Says did not receive notice of bar date. Claims was a child, but also says exposure began in 1987 and diagnoses were in 1987, so movant had to be approximately 22 years old at the time of the bar date in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Proof of service shows direct mailing of bar date notice to the Colom law firm, so if movant was represented by that firm in 2009 direct notice was provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2331	TRO897902FTC	5/25/2016	Miller, Katrina	Katrina Miller	6384			X		x		Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date (but also "whited out" original dates of diagnosis on application). Motion does not identify a pre-bar date claim for which relief is sought, so motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2332	TRO886302FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Katrina	Katrina Porter	5127		X	X				1983 diagnosis; alleges was not aware of case, did not see notices, called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2333	TRO888320FTC	12/18/2015	Sunivelle, Katrina	Katrina Sunivelle	7029			X		X		Did not fully understand the claims process; says symptoms and diagnosis 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2334	TRO884228FTC	11/25/2015	Wright, Katrina	Katrina Wright	3287			X				Signature page with no further information or supporting details.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2335	TRO888565FTC	12/24/2015	Jones, Kawanda	Kawanda Jones	4665			X			X	Alleges some diagnoses before bar date but other conditions after bar date. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2336	TRO904998FTC	1/24/2017	Scott, Kawanis	Kawanis Scott	3773		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Says was told by an attorney in 1985 that the deadline for claims had passed and that only employees of Kerr-McGee could file. Appears to have been attempting to pursue participation in prior lawsuits by the Colom law firm. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2337	TRO904023FTC	1/3/2017	Phillips, Ethel	Kay Gypson	6316		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep does not provide excuse, no grounds for relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2338	TRO884951FTC	11/25/2015	Jackson, Kayla	Kayla Jackson	6695	9133					X	1998 diagnosis; child at time of filing deadline, exposure began January 1997. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9133 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2339	TRO895915FTC	4/6/2016	McKnight, Kayla	Kayla McKnight	6544						X	2000/01 diagnoses; did not have any knowledge of Tronox or its bankruptcy case; did not receive any notice by telephone, email, letter or other media; part of time was away at college. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. However, although the claimant did not seek relief based on infancy it appears possible the claimant was a minor at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2340	TRO889859FTC	2/23/2016	McGee, Kayson	Kayson McGee	5864		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says he was told when made a call to the Tronox office when he first filed that he should mail in paperwork first, then he would be told to send in proof of ailments at a later date. However, that apparently is a reference to communications with the Trust about the late-filed claim as there is no indication of any claim filing before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2341	TRO896349FTC	4/13/2016	Williams, Keaira	Kearia Williams	7836						X	2007 diagnosis; minor (approximately 17) at deadline. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2342	<b>TRO895200FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Young, Ke'ayr</b>	<b>Ke'ayr Young</b>	6543						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosed at birth (1994); minor, did not know could file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2343	TRO904201FTC	1/3/2017	Wilson, Kebebe	Kebebe Wilson	4340		X	X				Diagnoses 1990 and prior. Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy or where to file any complaints. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2344	TRO894681FTC	3/23/2016	Lang, Keesha	Keesha Lang	5569		X	X			X	Refers to 1973 diagnosis at birth but also refers to various conditions (including cancer) that appear to be of later manifestation; says she did not see any form of the claims filing deadline and that notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2345	<b>TRO895841FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bonner, Keiffer</b>	<b>Keiffer Bonner</b>	7587			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2007 diagnosis; moved out of Mississippi to Alabama in a rural community; did not know about the toxin; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, but does not say why and makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant; lives pay check to pay check and does not own a computer and they do not sell the Wall Street Journal around there. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2346	TRO890846FTC	2/23/2016	McGregory, Keion	Keion McGregor	7697			X				1992 diagnosis; was not aware Tronox was still taking claims because he was still in high school; relative worked at company, claimant attended day care around corner. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant turned 21 approximately in 2012, does not explain long delay in pursuing or filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2347	TRO893750FTC	3/2/2016	Brooks, Keith	Keith Brooks	4297			X			X	Says symptom and diagnosis onset in 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2348	TRO893480FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Keith	Keith Craddieth	6760			X			X	Alleges a September 1, 2009 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2349	TRO894423FTC	Unknown	Jordan, Keith	Keith Jordan	8032		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says not aware he could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2350	TRO893906FTC	3/2/2016	Richardson, Keith	Keith Richardson	7358		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, all 1993 and earlier except for alleged "respiratory" diagnosis in September 2009; says was unaware of claims process; the attorneys handling the case were not accepting any more claims. Says that during that time was constantly seeing doctors and had other personal issues. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2351	TRO884563FTC	11/25/2015	Frazier, Kelanie	Kelanie Frazier	4511		X	X				Symptoms 1999 & diagnosis 2000, unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2352	TRO904198FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Keletha	Keletha Dancy	4564		X	X				Diagnoses before 1987. Rep says was a child at time of bar date but is filing for parent, and parent's claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2353	TRO892850FTC	2/23/2016	Glenn, Kelli	Kelli Glenn	3500			X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS. Asthma diagnosis 2008, other diagnoses after 2009. Moved to Texas, and had no reason to know was exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2354	TRO893645FTC	3/2/2016	Butler, Kelly	Kelly Butler	7014			X				Diagnosed at age 4 in 1992; says exposure began 12/27/87 so had to be at least 21 years old at the bar date in 2009; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2355	TRO897208FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Kelly	Kelly Lynn	4690			X				1994 diagnosis. Says was not made aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2356	TRO886681FTC	12/7/2015	Frazier, Kelsey	Kelsey Frazier	4512		X	X				Alleges symptoms 2000 & diagnosis 1995 (prior to symptoms), unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2357	TRO885468FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Kelvin	Kelvin Brooks	4360	5952	X	X				1988 and 1998 diagnoses. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2005; unaware attorneys were taking claims. A duplicate motion is filed at docket # 5952. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2358	TRO891870FTC	2/23/2016	Crockett, Kelvin	Kelvin Crockett	7807			X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed, all after the bar date; says not aware of the bar date and the possibility of getting a settlement. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
2359	TRO896167FTC	4/6/2016	Robb, Kelvin	<b>Kelvin D. Robb</b>	5418		X	X				1989 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not receive direct information or written notice of claim filing deadline due to relocating with family for job reassignment to NY, then to NM; representative fiduciary says injured party was incapacitated and that treatment for his condition eliminated his potential as a wage earner, but does not show incapacity of a kind that would prevent the filing of a claim or enlisting help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2360	TRO891699FTc	2/23/2016	Fields, Kelvin	Kelvin Fields	7850		X	X				Diagnoses 1980s and earlier; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2361	TRO915072FTC	7/26/2017	Fields, Ledora	Kelvin Fields	7889		X	X				1990s diagnosis; incapacitated, dementia at bar date in 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claims injured party had dementia in 2009 but the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
2362	N/a	11/28/2017	Gibson, Kelvin	Kelvin Gibson	7680			X		X		Not a motion, just a claim form. Claims are to be presented to the Trust in the first instance.
2363	TRO892329FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Kelvin	Kelvin Jackson	7424		X	X				1979 diagnosis; says filed claim after claim and was always told something wrong with paperwork, says filed claim 11/1/2009 but does not provide support and there is no record of such a claim in the bankruptcy case until February 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2364	TRO892330FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Bertha	Kelvin Jackson	7425		X	X				1969 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; rep says filed claim after claim and was always told something wrong with paperwork, says filed claim 11/1/2009 but does not provide support and there is no record of such a bankruptcy claim until February 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2365	TRO886060FTC	Unknown	Roland, Kelvin	Kelvin Roland	3753		X	X				Not included on the Trust's summary. 1995 Diagnosis. Claims (without explanation or further support) that was incapacitated at the time of the bar date, plus incompetent. Also alleges was incarcerated at time of bar date. Alleges he attended a meeting at Trotter Convention when "the claim first was initiated" and signed up with an attorney (William Cunningham) but never heard more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in meeting and dealing with counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2366	TRO884257FTC	Unknown	Britton, Kelvin T.	Kelvin T. Britton	7106	9472		X				Not included in Trust's summary. Letter complaining about delay in payment. Not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2367	TRO897338FTC	5/25/2016	Glenn-Sylvester, Hailey	Kemberlyn Smith	4769						X	2006 diagnosis. Injured party is a minor and lived out of state in S.C.; unaware of exposure to dangerous Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2368	TRO895599FTC	3/24/2016	Cooks, Kemion	Kemion Cooks	3826						X	Diagnosis date listed as "2008-2010." Was 17 years old at time of bar date, living in California. Did not know of the claims process. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2369	Unknown	11/25/2015	Dent, Kendall	Kendall Dent	7875		X					Minor at time of bar date (19); no current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.
2370	TRO887043FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Kendall	Kendall Jones	7535	X	X					Diagnoses 1997, 1998, 2000; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; says violation of due process but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2371	TRO899226FTC	7/11/2016	Evans, Kendra	Kendra Evans	5419	X	X					Symptoms started in 1996; former resident of Columbus, MS; no diagnosis date; did not have knowledge of claim; moved to Tennessee in early 2009, eventually moved to Texas. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2372	TRO998695FTC	12/4/2015	Bridges, Kendrick	Kendrick Bridges	3786	7341 8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432	X	X				1976 diagnosis. Part of a group of Columbus MS claimants. Says filed with attorney in 2002, wasn't told the attorney dropped out. There were supplemental letters filed by Billy Wayne Bridges for this party and a group of others at docket ## 7341, 8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), and 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530). The letter filed at docket #7341 by Billy Bridges on behalf of various claimants complaining as to how the attorneys representing them in the class actions handled their case and requesting that payment to the attorneys get frozen until they certify as to the way they handled the claimants' documentation. Movants appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. There are additional supplements filed at docket #8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408 and #9432, complaining about the process. The class actions are different proceedings that are not pending in this Court. Prior filing in class action does not constitute a filing in the bankruptcy case. Claims resolved in prior action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Complaints that attorneys with Creosote Litigation Group should have filed claims on their behalf is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect in the absence of a showing that counsel's failures can be excused.
2373	TRO891228FTC	2/23/2016	Danner, Kenishaa	Kenishaa Danner	7100			X				The motions at dockets 7100, 7101 and 7102 were filed by three different persons but with the same address in Columbus, Georgia; the motion at docket 7101 indicates that exposures occurred in Columbus, Mississippi but the other two motions are not clear as to where exposures occurred. The motion at docket #7100 alleges a 1990 diagnosis; says never received any notice. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2374	TRO899021FTC	6/27/2016	Trimble, Kennedy	Kennedy Trimble	4612		X	X				Says diagnosis was in 2008 and symptoms began in 2008 but also says was part of 1998 class action. Cut-and-pasted reasons why did not file. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Any claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2375	TRO892445FTC	Unknown	Kenneth Brewer	Kenneth Brewer	3728		X	X				Motion was not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1964. Wife died in June 2009, had to raise child alone, wife handled these sorts of matters. Alleges reason why did not know or did not pay attention to claims process in 2009, but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2376	TRO901097FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Kenneth	Kenneth Brown	7906		X	X				1962 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says unaware of claim because incompetent and incapacitated but provides no details, insufficient to show inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2377	TRO887406FTC	12/14/2015	Evans, Kenneth	Kenneth Evans	5188	8590	X	X				First diagnosis apparently in 1980; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket # 8590 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2378	TRO901422FTC	8/16/2016	Gibbs, Kenneth	Kenneth Gibbs	4571		X	X			X	Did not know who to see or contact, attaches some medical records for visits after bar date for minor conditions, many records of doctor visits but unclear from records whether movant had any new conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2379	TRO892924FTC	2/23/2016	Haiston, Kenneth	Kenneth Haiston	5281		X	X				1968 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was unaware of claims process or that could file claim for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2380	TRO891215FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Kenneth	Kenneth Harris	4987	8081	X	X				1984 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says back then family could not afford health care and some things were just taken care of from home. A supplement filed at docket #8081, complaining that the claim of one family member was allowed yet they all lived in the same place. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2381	TRO888008FTC	12/18/2015	Johnson, Kenneth	Kenneth Johnson	3857		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Says was hospitalized for one week at time of bar date. No explanation of why did not file before or after hospitalization and why no claim was filed until many years after the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2382	TRO913784FTC	6/28/2017	McGregory, Kenneth	Kenneth McGregor	4627		X	X				1965 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says was a violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2383	TRO893397FTC	2/23/2016	Rush, Kenneth	Kenneth Rush	6597		X	X				1985 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was not aware of the deadline; says the public was not made aware of the deadline until 2011; he did not receive the information from the published notices of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2384	TRO902957FTC	10/13/2016	Whitfield, Ora	Kenneth Whitfield	7715		X	X				Diagnoses 1976 and 1988; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not know about the claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2385	TRO885395FTC	11/25/2015	Coble, Kennika	Kennika Coble	4523		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis. Lists reason for not filing as death in family but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2386	TRO902009FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Kenrie	Kenrie Fields	5340		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; sent all paperwork in that he filled out, was unaware of a deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2387	TRO885921FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Kenya	Kenya Jones	5361						X	1997 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; still in high school and unaware what was going on; was misinformed and told she had to live in direct vicinity of the plant; unaware condition was because of exposure to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2388	TRO885619FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Kenya	Kenya Jones	6479		X	X				November 2005 diagnosis; says did not see any public notice or learn anything from the media or have any knowledge of the bankruptcy case to file a claim in 2009; says did not have adequate notice of the deadline and the publication notice was not reasonable. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2389	TRO913770FTC	6/28/2017	Adams, Kenyada	Kenyada Adams	3591		X	X				Diagnosed 2000. Says someone wanted \$100 to help file claim forms, he did not have the money, when he eventually filed he was told he was too late. Unclear whether prior conversation about filing was in connection with a prior class action or with the bankruptcy process in 2009 or with the organized process to file late claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2390	TRO904207FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Kenyetta	Kenyetta Dancy	4562		X	X				Diagnoses before 1990. Says when she was sick, Kerr McGee sent a letter saying they could file doctor bills with the company; date of such letter not specified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2391	TRO890475FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Kermit	Kermit Shelton	4131			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2392	TRO893796FTC	3/2/2016	Hill, Kerrigan	Kerrigan Hill	7748						X	1999 diagnosis (apparently at birth); minor. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2393	TRO892145FTC	2/23/2016	Ellis, Kerrold	Kerrold Ellis	7008			X		X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2394	TRO903457FTC	11/23/2016	Howard, Kerry	Kerry Howard	4555		X	X				Appears identical in handwriting to many similar forms that allege a February 2001 diagnosis and explain a failure to file by the bar date by reference to an unspecified death in the family. No details as to the timing of the death in the family are provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2395	TRO897466FTC	5/25/2016	Hairston, Keshia	Keshia Hairston	6802		X	X				2003 diagnosis; did not hear anything about filing claims in this proceeding; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; does not read Wall Street Journal; Tronox did not try to locate her or those residing in contaminated area; as she was not given direct notice that she had to file a claim, her due process rights were violated. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice was published in the local Columbus newspaper (the Commercial Dispatch) in June 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2396	TRO885401FTC	Unknown	Martin, Ketilia	Ketilia Martin	7998		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1986 and 2008; informed it was too late to file again after atty passed away (atty not identified but handwriting is the same as those of claimants who have alleged Bambach was attorney, he did not die until 2013 and that is no reason why 2009 bar date was missed); only aware now. Claim based on 1986 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses.
2397	TRO897573FTC	5/25/2016	Robinson, Keunda	Keunda Robinson	6573		X	X				2001 diagnosis; moved from Columbus, MS to another state in 2015, learned of the process in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2398	TRO888330FTC	12/18/2015	Flournoy, Kevin	Kevin Flournoy	5258			X				1997 diagnosis; not aware that was eligible for possible claims that were filed in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2399	Unknown	Unknown	Henley, Kevin	Kevin Henley	6395		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1994; says publication of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated and made unavailable to those needing to file a claim; no way of knowing of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2400	TRO902374 FTC	9/14/2016	Kintz, Lillian	Kevin Kintz	4099			X				Rep says did not know could file claim until doctor made diagnosis, but diagnosis date is listed as March 21, 1970. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2401	TRO892197FTC	2/23/2016	Lockett, Kevin	Kevin Lockett	4175			X		X		1982 asthma diagnosis, other conditions diagnosed after bar date. Did not receive notice to file such a claim; moved to different places in Pa; unaware company not complying with proper disposal procedures. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2402	TRO890283FTC	2/23/2016	McCoy, Kevin	Kevin McCoy	7810		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2000 and earlier; not aware could file a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2403	TRO887863FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Kevin	Kevin Richardson	6428		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1999, 2015 diagnoses; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2404	TRO887329FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Keyanka	Keyanka Wilkins	4380		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990, 1991 and 1993. Says misinterpreted condition of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2405	TRO893720FTC	3/2/2016	Brooks, Khamaya	Khamaya Brooks	5847						X	January 2001 diagnosis; minor; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a dangerous Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2406	TRO892668FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Khirey	Khirey Erby	7011		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2407	TRO894165FTC	3/2/2016	Webber, Kichanna	Kichanna Webber	4107			X			X	Contradictory info says symptoms 1997 for several conditions, but then says 2012 diagnosis for asthma, thought had submitted claim "for lupus" and breathing. No excuse offered to extent claim pre-dated the bar date. However, post-bar date diagnosis would qualify as a Future Tort Claim, any defense is to be pursued by Tort Claims Trust pursuant to its dispute resolution procedures.
2408	TRO892657FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Kierra	Kierra Erby	7016						X	Alleges December 2009 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action, outcome unknown; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges was a minor, so will permit supplemental submission (a) to verify age as of the bar date, (b) to explain whether claim was resolved in prior class action, and (c) if claim was not resolved, to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2409	TRO896112FTC	4/6/2016	Jackson, Kim (Reynolds)	Kim Jackson (Reynolds)	4658			X			X	Says symptoms were in 2007 but diagnosis in 2012. Does not appear to be seeking relief based on excusable neglect, instead appears to be pursuing a Future Tort Claim based on a post-bar date diagnosis. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any disputes as to the dates of diagnoses) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2410	TRO899977FTC	7/25/2016	McCain, Kim	Kim McCain	6143			X				1992 diagnosis; place of original exposure is not specified; says that no longer lives in the state and was not aware. Note of the bar date was published in the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel in June 2009, as verified by the proofs of publication filed on the docket. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2411	Unknown	Unknown	Brown, Kim Nichole	Kim N. Brown	6489			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
2412	TRO884292FTC	11/25/2015	Clabon, Robert	Kimberley Clabon-Macon	3299		X	X					Diagnoses in 1990s and early 2002. Injured party deceased 2015. No explanation of failure to file claim, family rep says cannot answer the question. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2413	TRO866693FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Kimberley	Kimberley Moore	3312		X	X					Diagnosed 2003. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2414	TRO886694FTC	12/7/2015	Malone, Traveres	Kimberley Moore	3317		X	X					Diagnosed 1997, deceased 2010. Representative says he was not aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2415	TRO886904FTC	12/7/2015	Mullin, Kimberley	Kimberley Mullin	3304			X					Says diagnosis "began in 2009" but also says exhibited symptoms 1994-2012. Claims excusable neglect - unaware of filing rights due to fact that she was "incapacitated with trying to manage numerous illnesses." Insufficient details to show due process issue as to notices and insufficient showing of incapacity to extent alleges inability to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient to warrant relief.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2416	TRO893719FTC	3/2/2016	Jethrow, Kimberlin	Kimberlin Jethrow	6113			X				January 2009 diagnosis; says commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox prior to the claims filing deadline but the only claim referenced as having been made was a claim filed in 2015 with atty Landis Sexton, only record of claim in this proceeding is the claim filed on March 2, 2016; says symptoms first started in Jan 2009, does not provide a date for diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2417	TRO892771FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Kimberly	Kimberly Craddieth	7788			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this motion at docket 7790 and with the wrong claim number. 2012 diagnosis; says was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice; says she placed wrong date on claim form for some symptoms and was actually first diagnosed in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2418	TRO885861FTC	Unknown	Dooley, Kimberly	Kimberly Dooley	3903		X	X		X		Motion not listed in Trust's summary. Diagnoses listed as 1999 and February 2017, unclear if different conditions. Says filed with William Bambach and her papers were misplaced. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed prior to the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2419	TRO892513FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Arlandus	Kimberly Harris	5724	9171 9192		X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; diagnosis date written as 8/2009, then overwritten as 9/2009; the injured party died in 2014; rep says that they were both unaware of bankruptcy case, and did not know that Tronox and Kerr-McGee are the same company. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9171 and at docket #9192 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2420	TRO891649FTC	2/23/2016	Lathan, Kimberly	Kimberly Lathan	5126			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in December 2009 or later; residing in Alabama at time of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2421	TRO901698FTC	8/16/2016	Lubeck, Kimberly	Kimberly Lubeck	6821	8180		X				1996 diagnosis; says that she was living in New York and did not receive information regarding future tort claim, nor did she receive any mailing about it; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8180, saying money taken out by original attorney was highly inflated (apparently referring to a class action), unclear if movant was a part of a prior action. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2422	TRO884291FTC	11/25/2015	Macon, Kimberly	Kimberly Macon	3309		X	X				Exposure in 1970s, diagnosed 2000. Alleges that she did not know she needed to supplement her late-filed claim but does not assert valid reasons as to why her failure to file by the bar date should be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2423	TRO884560FTC	11/25/2015	Sykes, Annie	Kimberly Martin	3390		X	X				First symptoms 1996; as to whether diagnosed, answered "yes." Mother died, filing on behalf of mother. Alleges attorney filed claim in 2002 (must have been another proceeding) but is now dead or not practicing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Filing of prior claim shows awareness of rights. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2424	TRO900783FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Kimberly	Kimberly Quinn	3933			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2425	TRO892005FTC	2/23/2016	Stinson, Kimberly	Kimberly Stinson	3511		X	X				Diagnosed 1983. Similar explanation offered as by claimant in claim 3509. Refers (without date) to awareness of reports that Kerr-McGee/Tronox chemicals caused injuries and illness. Does not explain failure to file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2426	TRO885465FTC	11/25/2015	Webb, Kimberly	Kimberly Webb	7364			X				Diagnosed in childhood (late 1980s or early 1990s); previously filed with atty Gunn in 2002; says she already sent her medical records to William Howard Gunn and others in the group. Seems to think the bankruptcy process is a continuation of prior class action, which is not the case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Apparently was 20 years old as of the bar date but does not explain why movant and movant's representative did not file a claim, does not explain long delay after the bar date before filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2427	TRO889687FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Hazel	Kimberly Williams	5535		X	X				1953 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; place of exposure not specified; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2428	TRO903724FTC	11/23/2016	Sharp, Susie	Kimbrley Dooley	3904		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Filing for another person, claims filed with William Bombach in 1999 and her papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2429	TRO904272FTC	1/3/2017	Silvers, Kimmely	Kimmely Silvers	4529		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Says notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2430	TRO892469FTC	2/23/2016	Oden, Pope	King Nelson Oden	7557		X	X				Diagnoses 1955, 1961, 1968; the injured party died in 1970; former resident of Columbus, MS; rep says previously filed with atty Landis Sexton who represented all of the claimants of the Maranatha Center and who was supposed to file a claim; it was their understanding that the case was on hold pending the bankruptcy and they would get written notification when the litigation would proceed. No indication that claimant was actually a plaintiff in another proceeding, but bar date notices were mailed to all persons in pending cases or, if their addresses were not known, to their attorneys of record. Not clear if attorney Sexton was the movant's counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date by mail, and an alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2431	TRO902668FTC	8/16/2016	Jackson, Kiona	Kiona Jackson	6430		X	X				1991 asthma diagnosis, 2007 additional condition; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; not aware could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2432	TRO889860FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, Kira	Kira Calloway	5863		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says that she was told by Tronox office to wait until further notice to send in documents of verification of illness but that apparently is a reference to her communications with the Trust long after the bar date, no indication of any initial filing before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2433	TRO887513FTC	12/14/2015	Brewer, Kirby	Kirby Brewer	5242		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2434	<b>TRO912161FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Sherman, Kirby</b>	<b>Kirby Sherman</b>	3944			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2435	TRO887111FTC	12/14/2015	Dismuke, Kista	Kista Dismuke	3403			X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm, says attorney misplaced paperwork. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior filing with attorneys shows knowledge of claim. Conduct of attorney is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2436	TRO892388FTC	2/23/2016	Gore, Klaus	Klaus Gore	6360			X		X		Says misinterpreted the conditions of the claim; did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product; says conditions arose after the bar date but also says in earlier filing did not add most recent health conditions; unclear whether any condition first diagnosed after the bar dates were mentioned in the claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of claims based on conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2437	TRO901703FTC	8/16/2016	Elizenbenyu, Kobe	Kobe Elizenberry	7815						X	2000 diagnosis; minor. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2438	TRO894828FTC	3/23/2016	Erby, Kobi	Kobi Erby	7017						X	2010 diagnosis; minor; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but includes a hospital admission record for an earlier date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2439	TRO885734FTC	11/25/2015	Hinton, Komawi	Komawi Hinton	6904	X	X					1990 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of a claim; did not know and had no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2440	TRO887714FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Kourgee	Kourgee Williams	8524						X	1999 diagnosis (at age 9); minor (19 at bar date); military family relocated to Tennessee in 2005. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2441	TRO897628FTC	5/25/2016	Seitzinger, Krista	Krista Seitzinger	6990		X					Various diagnoses before the bar date; unaware of the Tronox tort claims trust, the bankruptcy or her right to file a claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product notwithstanding the fact that she lived within close proximity to the Tronox plant. She never heard of or knew of products that Tronox may have used that were dangerous, toxic and harmful to her health. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2442	TRO893450FTC	2/23/2016	Mays, Darnell	Kristen Smith	3892			X		X		Filing for deceased father. Condition occurred after 2009, they say, but also say diagnosis was in 2007 or 2008 and that mailed a claim to an attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect based on conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2443	n/a	11/28/2017	Gibson-Stevenson, Kristi	Kristi Gibson-Stevenson	7204			X				Pre-bar date condition and diagnosis; says the publication announcement was not made known in area but the notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009; did not know he could file a claim and has lived in the plant area on and off since 1975. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2444	Unknown	#N/A	Kindell, Kristi	Kristi Kindell	4427			X		X		Various conditions, some diagnosed before bar date, some after. Says was unaware of the case. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2445	TRO885023FTC	11/25/2015	Selvie, Kristi	Kristi Selvie	5250			X		X		Was not aware of the claims filing deadline. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2446	TRO889823FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Kristie	Kristie Sherrod	7153		X	X				1991 diagnosis; did not know about the claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2447	TRO891590FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Kristina	Kristina Miller	3609	4962 8852		X			X	Some conditions diagnosed prior to bar date, some after bar date. Supplement filed at docket #4962. Says "did not know anything about deadline." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8852 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2448	TRO899293FTC	7/11/2016	Harris, Kristy	Kristy Harris	5903						X	Diagnosis "2002-2010 maybe"; minor; previously filed with Colom law firm. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2449	TRO904762FTC	1/24/2017	Porter, Krystal	Krystal Porter	7729		X	X				2004 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; says publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege Tronox knew of this claim; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2450	TRO886736FTC	12/7/2015	Kuna, Marianne	Kuna, Marianne	5708			X				1987 diagnosis; she refers to spouse's injury in workplace and being consumed with caring for him and taking care of household while traveling back and forth to Philadelphia, but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2451	TRO886982FTC	12/7/2015	Kuna, Martin	Kuna, Martin	5707			X				2001 diagnosis; says that prior to lawsuit he was injured in a workplace accident, had multiple surgeries and loss use of hand; was a very traumatic time and was completely unaware of lawsuit, but does not provide any dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2452	TRO886735FTC	12/7/2015	Kuna, Matthew	Kuna, Matthew	5711		X	X				1991 diagnosis; says was a minor but also says exposure began in 1987, so was at least 21 or 22 by the bar date; says was unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2453	TRO902621FTC	4/20/2016	Gordan, Kunta	Kunta Gordan	6170	8931	X	X		X		Says first symptoms and first diagnosis were after bar date but also says previously filed a legal claim in 1999; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says did all paperwork. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8931 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2454	TRO887117FTC	12/14/2015	Cowans, Kyla	Kyla Cowans	5698		X	X				1985 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; moved from Mississippi after graduation when she married a Marine. A supplemental letter filed, unaware of bar date, moved to another state. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2455	Unknown	2/23/2016	Smith, Jacqueline	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7851		X	X				Diagnoses 1971, 1975, 1980, 1988, 2000; made previous effort to file with atty Bambach; filled out paperwork (unclear in connection with what proceeding), did not hear further. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No record of bankruptcy claim; if attorney failed to file, that failure is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's conduct is excused, and no such excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. These claims also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2456	Unknown	7/25/2016	Carter, Jesse	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7941		X	X	X			1998 diagnoses; the injured party died prior to bar date; although injured party was deceased before the bar date, motion says condition had not manifested itself as of the bar date, but that is impossible; unaware condition related to Tronox product; notice insufficient to inform that condition was due to Tronox product. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2457	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Anderson	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7942						X	2008 diagnosis; says was a minor (16) at the bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to inform claimant that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. In addition, the motion was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90-day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice. Court nevertheless will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file by the bar date, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
2458	Unknown	2/23/2016	Evans, Jermel	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7943			X	X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party was deceased at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice was insufficient as to inform rep that condition was due to Tronox. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2459	Unknown	9/14/2016	Furr, Marion	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7944		X	X	X				Diagnoses 1994 and 2003; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemical; does not believe notice was sufficient to inform claimant of the litigation, does not explain why not and does not allege that Tronox knew of claimant's claim. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2460	Unknown	3/24/2016	Walker, Trevino	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7945		X	X	X				Diagnoses 1984, 2000, 2008; unaware conditions related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice that condition was due to Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2461	Unknown	2/23/2016	Williams, Fran	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7946	9417	X	X	X			1973 diagnosis; moved away from Mississippi; no way of knowing condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to inform claimant that condition was due to Tronox. She may have filed a supplemental letter with others at docket #9417 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Motion also was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice.
2462	Unknown	3/2/2016	McGregory, Eddie	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7947		X	X	X			2005 diagnoses; says was represented by an unknown attorney previously and was paid \$500 for his claim; says was unaware that attorney would not file on his behalf; attorney did not get notice; notice insufficient to inform of litigation and that a protective claim should be filed. Claim resolved in a prior litigation could not be re-asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Attorneys in prior litigations also received direct notice of the bar date. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.
2463	Unknown	4/13/2016	Gunter, Robert	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7948		X	X	X	X		Diagnoses 1985, 2000, 2009 (date in 2009 not clear); unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice of litigation or that condition was due to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2464	Unknown	7/25/2016	Walker, Ibe	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7949		X	X	X			1990 and 2001 diagnoses; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice of litigation or that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.
2465	Unknown	12/14/2015	Spencer, Kenneth	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7950						X	1996 diagnosis; minor (18) at bar date; says exposure began in 2005 but says symptoms began in 1996 and were diagnosed in 1996; unaware exposed to deadly Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to provide notice of pending litigation or that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice, but court nevertheless will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file a claim by the bar date, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2466	Unknown	7/25/2016	Thomas, Diane	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7951		X	X	X			Diagnoses 1999, 2000; did not know her condition was related to Tronox chemicals; did not receive notice, published notice insufficient to put her on notice of the connection of her illness to Tronox products. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion itself was untimely as it was not dated or filed within the 90 day period required under the procedures approved by the Court and set forth in the Determination Notice.
2467	Unknown	2/23/2016	Pratt, Shemeka Love Obo Ametrice	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7952						X	2003 diagnosis; minor; unaware exposed to deadly chemicals and had no knowledge that the potential exposure causes deadly conditions; did not receive notice of the pending litigation and says form of notice used was insufficient to put her on legal notice of the litigation. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice, nevertheless the Court will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2468	Unknown	Unknown	Elliott, Jr., George	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7955		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1996, 1997, 2000; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice insufficient to inform of litigation or that condition was related to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2469	Unknown	Unknown	Harris, Marilyn	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7956		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1970, 2006; previously retained Colom law firm and was paid \$2,500, but does not believe this was enough; unaware that attorney would not file on her behalf; attorney did not get notice; notice insufficient to provide notice of litigation. Claim resolved in prior litigation could not be re-asserted in Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior litigation shows knowledge of Tronox connection to ailments. No indication that Colom firm represented claimant in 2009, but in any event the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date and actively participated in the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2470	Unknown	Unknown	McGee, Sharron	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7957			X				Not included in Trust's summary. No current condition and unaware exposed to deadly chemicals; wants to preserve right regarding latent illnesses. No pre-bar date diagnosis or condition identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief from bar date because no claim for which relief is appropriate.
2471	Unknown	Unknown	Washington, Tylesha	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7958						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1996; minor (12) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; says notice insufficient to advise claimant of litigation and to let claimant know that claimant's condition was due to Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. The Court will permit a supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2472	Unknown	Unknown	Porter, Nakeria	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	7959						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2002, 2003, 2005 2007; minor (15) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; says notice insufficient to tell claimant of litigation and that condition was related to litigation. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. The Court will permit a supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2473	Unknown	3/24/2016	Crowell, Antonio	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8428		X	X				1995 diagnosis; previously filed claim with Colom law firm, was paid \$500, does not believe was fairly compensated. Says was unaware that "my attorney" would not file a claim on his behalf - unclear who attorney was at that time, but apparently is referring to the Colom firm. Claim resolved in a prior class action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case, and if some part of the claim was not resolved in the class action it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if Colom firm was counsel to claimant in 2009 but even if it was that would not be an excuse, because the Colom law firm received direct notice of the bar date. Allegation that Colom firm should have filed a claim is not grounds for relief, because unexcused failures by counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
2474	Unknown	2/23/2016	Jones, Earnisha	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8463		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says unaware condition related to Tronox product; says notice was insufficient to let claimant know that condition had been caused by Tronox. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2475	Unknown	12/4/2015	Little, Titus	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8470	9147		X				1996 diagnosis; minor (18) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox product, says notice not sufficient to inform claimant that condition was due to Tronox. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9147 complaining about the process. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. No explanation of long post-bar date delay before filed claim, no explanation of any efforts to investigate or to protect legal rights during that time. Movant was 21 years old by approximately 2012, no claim filed until years later. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2476	Unknown	3/24/2016	Mason, Ebbie	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8474	8959	X	X				Diagnoses 1976, 1990; previously represented by Bambach and received a \$300 settlement, which he says was not fair compensation; says notice was insufficient to inform him that Tronox caused his illness, but participation in prior litigation shows notice of that connection. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8959 complaining about the process. Claim resolved in a prior action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claims either were resolved in prior action or, if not, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2477	Unknown	2/23/2016	Mason, Joe	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8475		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware condition related to Tronox product; insufficient notice to inform claimant that Tronox caused injury. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Statute of limitations in Mississippi runs from date of known injury regardless of whether cause is known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2478	Unknown	2/23/2016	Mason, Joe Kris	L. Nichole Clinkscales, Esq.	8476			X				Says has no symptoms now but wants to file in case latent condition turns up later; unaware of dangerous chemicals. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief from the bar date is sought or is necessary.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2479	TRO900507FTC	8/16/2016	Lowe, L.C.	L.C. Lowe	5102		X	X			X	Standard form language regarding reasons for delay; form says first diagnosis in 1985, attachments only refer to conditions after 2015. To the extent the conditions were diagnosed in 1985 the claim is time-barred. To the extent other conditions were diagnosed before the bar date there is an insufficient showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. To the extent the claim alleges new post-bar date diagnoses of different conditions, the merits of that claim and any defenses to it are to be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
2480	TRO900838FTC	8/16/2016	Hester, L	L.E. Hester	4599		X	X				Diagnoses in 1975 and 1996. Says was unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2481	TRO912171FTC	5/30/2017	Hardy, Michael	L.H. Hardy	3791	8313	X	X				1980 diagnosis. Says "I was informed of the claims process" but presumably means "not informed." A supplement filed at docket #8313, without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2482	TRO900985FTC	Unknown	Quinn, L.L. Jr.	L.L. Quinn, Jr.	5809			X				Symptoms since 1976; did not see a doctor; did not know about bar date. Unclear if condition was diagnosed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2483	TRO887068FTC	12/14/2015	Wilson, La Sharra	La Sharra Wilson	4334						X	1995 and 2001 diagnoses. Minor, unaware exposed to chemicals. Filed claim in 2015. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2484	TRO886783FTC	12/7/2015	Teal, La Wanda	La Wanda Teal	7407			X				1966 diagnosis; says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to her as she lived in Oklahoma; she was not aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means of publication, local or otherwise, prior to the bar date; without knowledge of the lawsuit, she did not know or have reason to know she had been exposed to a Tronox product; when she learned of it, she became aware that conditions were caused by Tronox product; responsible parties neglected to ensure that those who relocated received notice. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Former creosote plant was located in Hugo, OK and notices of the bar date were also published in (1) the Oklahoman in Oklahoma City, OK, (2) the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times in Hugo, OK, (3) the Cleveland American in Cleveland, OK, and (4) the Tulsa World in Tulsa, OK. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2485	TRO887551FTC	12/14/2015	Reives, Gladys	LaBaron Fenton	4199		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach (deceased) in 2006. Tried to reach out to atty but did not respond. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2486	TRO893386FTC	2/23/2016	Blair, Lazaraka	Labrenda Roby	3936			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2487	TRO893384FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Xzavenne	Labrenda Roby	3938			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2488	TRO891503FTC	2/23/2016	Stallings, Lacisha	Lacisha Stallings	4709			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis Oct. 2009; cut-and-pasted reasons for not filing earlier. Diagnosis date may be disputed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2489	TRO885803FTC	11/25/2015	Tate, Eddie	LaCorey Tate	3626		X	X				Diagnosed 1988, alleges did file on time, encloses letter from US DOJ. Complaint to DOJ did not constitute a proof of claim filing in the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2490	TRO885802FTC	11/25/2015	Tate, Darlene	LaCorey Tate	3750		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges did file on time, attaches letter from DOJ saying that letter was referred to the EPA. Letters to DOJ and EPA were not bankruptcy claim filings. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Appears to have been aware of risks of creosote given letters sent to government agencies. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2491	TRO898519FTC	6/27/2016	Agnew, R.C.	Laferta Agnew-Lee	4618		X	X				1981 diagnosis. Rep says that injured party was deceased and rep was unaware of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2492	TRO897747FTC	5/25/2016	Agnew-Lee, Laferta	Laferta Agnew-Lee	4643			X				January 2006 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2493	TRO896437FTC	4/13/2016	Durrah, LaForest	LaForest Durrah	6502		X	X				2000 diagnosis; not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2494	TRO897139FTC	5/4/2016	Gardner, Lakeon	Lakeon Gardner	7200			X				1995 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2495	TRO888328FTC	12/18/2015	Carpenter, Lucille	Lakesha Morgan	7192		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1999; unaware of bar date because individuals in various church affiliations and associates allegedly kept the information private; says there also was false information that only people who worked for Kerrr-McGee plant could file (which may be a reference to a prior action that was only on behalf of employees). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2496	TRO897726FTC	5/25/2016	Cooper, Laketia	Laketia Cooper	6517			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim and deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2497	TRO884507FTC	11/25/2015	Morris, Rotrik	LaKetra Vaughn	4122		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Says filed in 2015 after "reopening" of the case. Says had a lot of prior misinformation and misunderstanding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2498	TRO884508FTC	11/25/2015	Sparks, Marco	LaKetra Vaughn	4125		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Alleges was late in first case but filed when case was "reopened." Absence of knowledge of issue; misinformation from unreliable sources. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2499	TRO884509FTC	11/25/2015	Sparks, Taylor	LaKetra Vaughn	8507			X				Illness and diagnosis date missing; says was told it was too late for the original case; filed immediately after "reopening" of the case in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2500	TRO884505FTC	11/25/2015	Vaughn, Izola	LaKetra Vaughn	8515			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2501	TRO895216FTC	3/23/2016	King, Gregory II	Lakeya King	8464			X		X		Alleges a December 2009 diagnosis; says was child and incompetent at the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2502	TRO886316FTC	12/7/2015	Henry, Lakoya	Lakoya Henry	3531		X	X				Diagnosed 2001, only states that "there was a death to occur in my immediate family." No allegation of lack of knowledge of bar date, no dates as to death in family, no showing of prompt action and diligence in pursuing rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2503	TRO903576FTC	11/23/2016	Henry, Cherish	Lakoya Henry	3534						X	Diagnosed 2005, "child - under the age of 18." No allegation as to conduct of parent or guardian, no allegation of lack of awareness. Appears related to claimants in claims 3530, 3531, 3532 and 3533. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2504	TRO885782FTC	11/25/2015	Thompson, LaMarcus	LaMarcus Thompson	3854		X	X				Diagnoses 1996-2000. "Wasn't aware of what kind of claim it was until later on when it became public." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2505	TRO904681FTC	1/24/2017	Davis, Lambert	Lambert Davis	6691		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; "never heard anything about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2506	TRO895361FTC	3/24/2016	Lambus, Francine	Lambus, Francine	4913			X				2005 diagnosis; unaware of claim until her brother told her about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2507	TRO903179FTC	10/13/2016	Brown, Mary	LaMonica Hunt	6087		X	X				The injured party died in 1995; rep did not fill any information in the form, in a letter says the actions occurred before the bar date and rep was not made aware of the claim; filed when learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2508	TRO884191FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, Landrick	Landrick Hill	7151			X		X		Says was unaware of claim filing date. It is difficult to read the medical records but it appears that certain diagnoses were prior to the bar date because claimant says that had he known of deadline, he would have put down "other medical records with the dates pertaining to your deadline dates and after the deadline dates." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2509	TRO892475FTC	2/23/2016	Dismukes, Lane	Lane Dismukes	7891		X	X				2003 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was having health issues at the bar date but does not supply medical records; unaware of claim or bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2510	TRO900542FTC	8/16/2016	Lowery, LaPrecious	LaPrecious Lowery	6258						X	1996-97 diagnoses; minor; says was incompetent was not fully aware of anything, says everything was done for her at that age; unaware of dangers of the chemicals to cause injuries. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2511	TRO885089FTC	11/25/2015	Grays, LaQuana	LaQuana Grays	7181						X	1997 diagnosis; minor; unaware of exposure; parent was rep but died in 2011. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2512	TRO884807FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, LaQuita	LaQuita Porter	6735			X		X		Alleges December 2009 diagnosis but also says filed a claim in 2002 in class action with Wilbur Colom. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2513	TRO900076FTC	7/25/2016	Warren, La'ura	La'ra Warren	4483			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing earlier. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2514	<b>TRO896130FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Taylor, Laranda</b>	<b>Laranda Taylor</b>	6252			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2515	Unknown	Unknown	Hutton, Lardus	Lardus Hutton	8390			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges March 2010 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see publication notices; does not provide any medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2516	TRO893516FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Laronzo	Laronzo Morris	6629			X				Diagnosis date not listed, symptoms began 1978; no knowledge case existed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2517	TRO897459FTC	5/25/2016	Moore, Larrie	Larrie Moore	6312		X	X				1988 diagnosis; did not know about legal proceeding. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2518	TRO896916FTC	4/25/2016	Anthony, Larry	Larry Anthony	6255		X	X				Continuous conditions with initial diagnosis dates in 1951, 1965 and 1975; previously ""on a list" that the Colom law firm had in 2002 of people in the area, did not meet with atty, was told either accepted or not, says was wrongfully compensated; discharge of claim violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2519	TRO896931FTC	4/25/2016	Anthony, Larry	Larry Anthony	6336		X	X				Diagnoses 1976, 1989 and 1995; Columbus, MS resident who still lived there in 2009 but subsequently moved; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; never met with him, no explanation provided, was not given an option, was not done fairly, wrongly compensated; says discharge of claim violation of due process, publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; many who did not live in area got compensated. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2520	TRO896390FTC	4/13/2016	Bigbee, Larry	Larry Bigbee	4521		X	X		X		Provides many medical records, serious condition in 2009 but there were earlier hospital records for minor things and other uncertain things. Made a claim in the 2002 class action with the Colom law firm. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim asserted during the class action either was resolved in that prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2521	TRO904139FTC	1/3/2017	Bryant, Larry	Larry Bryant	6283			X				1997 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know anything about the filing or deadline; says sometimes he forgets things. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2522	TRO899298FTC	7/11/2016	Clay, Larry	Larry Clay	5007			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2523	TRO897753FTC	5/25/2016	Cooperwood, Larry	Larry Cooperwood	4641			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2524	TRO887303FTC	12/14/2015	Council, Larry	Larry Council	5194			X		X		Says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but diagnosis dates may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2525	TRO891967FTC	2/23/2016	Parker, Larry D.	Larry D. Parker	3586		X	X				Diagnosed in 1972-74. Alleges filed claim on time and received settlement proceeds through the Colom law firm, which is an obvious reference to a prior class action rather than to the bankruptcy claims process. Appears the claim was already resolved through prior legal proceedings, in which case it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not previously resolved, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2526	TRO892659FTC	2/23/2016	Edmond, Larry	Larry Edmond	4727			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2527	TRO886504FTC	12/7/2015	Golden, Larry	Larry Golden	5256		X	X		X		1980 diagnosis for respiratory condition, other diagnoses in 2010 (cardiovascular) and 2015 (diabetes); unaware of process and that could file claim. Claim based on 1980 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2528	TRO897268FTC	5/4/2016	Hackman, Larry	Larry Hackman	6836		X	X				Initial diagnosis in 1996; says heard attorneys were doing claims at various times but when contacted them they said no; thinks was given misleading information. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2529	TRO896596FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Larry	Larry Jamison	6051	6120	X	X		X		Possibly a duplicate of the motion at docket # 6120 but claim numbers differ. Alleges diagnoses in 2004, 2009, 2017; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; had no reason to know and did not know of his exposure to a Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process, was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2530	TRO889420FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Larry	Larry Jamison	6120	6051		X		X		Possibly a duplicate of the motion at docket 6051 but claim numbers differ. Various diagnosis dates for various conditions; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product, discharge of claim was a violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know that he could file a claim for himself, all but one listed diagnosis was after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2531	TRO894372FTC	3/2/2016	Keeton, Larry	Larry Keeton	3654	8191	X	X				Diagnosed 1962, incarcerated in Iowa 2004-2013. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8191. Incarceration does not explain lack of action in pursuit of claim from 1962 to 2004. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2532	TRO888153FTC	12/18/2015	Manning, Margaret	Larry Manning	5963		X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1978; rep says the form of notice deficient but does not specify how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2533	TRO888152FTC	12/18/2015	Manning, James	Larry Manning	6029		X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; says the form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know that the injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2534	Unknown	3/2/2016	Marlowe, Larry M.	Larry Marlowe	5183			X			X	The motion at docket #5183 is on behalf of Larry M. Marlowe though the form that was used is one that was prepared for Ricky Lee. The motion actually filed on behalf of Ricky Lee [TRO893766FTC] is at docket # 6769. A motion by another movant named Larry Marlowe (apparently a different claimant, with a different address) is at docket # 1924. Larry M. Marlowe's excuse in the motion at docket #5183 is that he previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2008; says did not file a claim because he was in car wreck and was in the hospital, does not assert specific dates for car wreck or insert any medical records as to hospital stay. Also says symptoms were 2008 and diagnosis 2013 but that may be inconsistent with having filed with atty in 2008. No explanation of why attorney could not file or why nothing was filed until many years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2535	TRO890891FTC	2/23/2016	Marlowe, Larry	Larry Marlowe	5259			X				This motion is by Larry G. Marlowe [TRO890891FTC]; says living in Jackson Miss; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Says did not know about this until 2006, may mean 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2536	TRO885399FTC	Unknown	Martin, Larry	Larry Martin	7994			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 and 2009 diagnoses; says previously signed up with atty Bambach (deceased), but atty Bambach did not die until 2013, that is no reason why bar date was missed; unaware of last time they did claims or that could sign up. If the allegation is that Bambach was retained in 2009 that is not enough; unexcused failure of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process and to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2537	TRO894407FTC	3/2/2016	Newman, Larry	Larry Newman	5157			X				Unaware of process and did not know could file claim; dates of diagnoses unclear but letter says were before bar date. No supporting information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2538	TRO886817FTC	12/7/2015	Petty, Larry	Larry Petty	5506	8755	X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date and some after. Former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to Alabama in June 2009. Says he has psychiatric issues but enclosed medical documents indicate that these episodes did not commence until 2015, long after the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8755 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2539	TRO894060FTC	3/2/2016	Porter, Larry	Larry Porter	6286		X	X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2540	TRO885032FTC	11/25/2015	Ryan, Larry	Larry Ryan	3356		X	X				Diagnosed 1958. "Did not hear about claims filing." No explanation as to whether anything was done since 1958 diagnosis to pursue a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2541	TRO897290FTC	5/4/2016	Summerville, Larry	Larry Summerville	7037			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2542	TRO898258FTC	Unknown	Williams, Larry	Larry Williams	6262			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2543	TRO893289FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Larstella	Larstella Jones	6474		X	X				Diagnoses 1955 and earlier; did not have knowledge that a bankruptcy claim was going on. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2544	TRO903399FTC	10/27/2016	Trimble, Lucian	Lartha Lenoir	5857		X	X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; previously filed with atty Navarro, Kerr-McGee Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in that action or, if not, it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2545	TRO891785FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Lasantra	Lasantra Thompson	6636		X	X				1996 diagnosis; standard form challenge to publication notice and reasons for not filing, without providing supportive reasoning. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2546	TRO897881FTC	5/25/2016	Beard, Lasaundra	Lasaundra Beard	6536			X				January 2009 diagnosis; says publication notice of claims filing deadline not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2547	TRO888590FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Lashander	Lashander Williams	6329		X	X				2005 diagnosis; was not aware of deadline; moved out of state to California and did not have access to Mississippi news and happenings, later moved to Florida. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2548	TRO884192FTC	11/25/2015	Hill, LaSheena	LaSheena Hill	6746		X	X				1986 diagnosis; says unaware exposed prior to deadline & publication notice insufficient, but does not elaborate. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2549	Unknown	Unknown	Dismuke, Shakerian	LaShell Hughes	8420			X		X		Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
2550	TRO886704FTC	12/7/2015	Mitchell, LaShonda	LaShonda Mitchell	4104		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2551	TRO903242FTC	10/27/2016	Wright, Lashuna	Lashuna Wright	3835			X		X		Diagnoses at various dates. Filed claim through Wilbur Colom, unclear in what proceeding or when but apparently before the bar date. Says did not know of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of Tronox bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2552	TRO898870FTC	6/27/2016	Congress, Lashunda	Lashunda Congress	4533	8855		X			X	Says symptoms began 1988, diagnosis in Sept 2010. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8855 complaining about the process. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2553	Unknown	4/25/2016	Williams, Lashunda	Lashunda Williams	7867		X	X				1996 diagnosis; unaware exposed to chemicals that were deadly. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2554	TRO894183FTC	3/2/2016	White, Lashundra	LaShundra White	6405		X	X				No diagnosis date listed; did not know at the time that there was a tort claim being filed, filed a claim later when heard about the process from a friend. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2555	TRO891200FTC	2/23/2016	James, LaShuntay	LaShuntay James	4315			X			X	Symptoms started in 2008 but alleges no diagnosis until 2013. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect to the extent conditions were diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2556	TRO897842FTC	5/25/2016	Shinn, Lasondra	Lasondra Shinn	5463		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says that did not have enough information, called the 800 number and was told that, according to the system, she was deceased. Appears to be referring to contacts with Trust long after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2557	TRO888464FTC	Unknown	Hayden, Jamerius	Lasondra Smith	5409			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2009 symptoms, 2013 diagnosis; may have been a minor; rep says he has had a hole in his heart; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2558	TRO888463FTC	Unknown	Jackson, Roosevelt	Lasondra Smith	5410			X		X		Not on Trustee's summary; may have been a minor; rep says he has mental problems; says was not aware of exposure until 2015; diagnosis (disability) in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2559	TRO888465FTC	Unknown	Smith, Lasondra	Lasondra Smith	5411			X		X		Not on Trust's summary; symptoms have not yet manifested; was not aware of exposure until 2015. Unclear what condition is the basis for the claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis is identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. To the extent that the claim is based on post-bar date diagnoses (or possible future diagnoses that have not occurred) it is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2560	TRO888468FTC	Unknown	Smith, Jaysia	Lasondra Smith	5412			X		X		Not on Trust's summary; symptoms have not yet manifested; was not aware of exposure until 2015. Unclear what condition is the basis for the claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis is identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. To the extent that the claim is based on post-bar date diagnoses (or possible future diagnoses that have not occurred) it is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2561	TRO888466FTC	Unknown	Hayden, Jayni	Lasondra Smith	5413			X		X		Not on Trust's summary; may have been a minor; rep says not aware of the danger or exposure to chemicals; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2562	TRO895502FTC	3/24/2016	McCrary, Lasunda	Lasunda McCrary	7061		X	X				1975-1980 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident; did not know at the time; unaware of anything related to this lawsuit regarding her exposure to these chemicals. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2563	TRO904378FTC	1/3/2017	McCrary, Jailan	Lasunda McCrary	7063						X	2001, 2003, 2007 diagnoses; minor; parent was not aware or informed at the time. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2564	TRO893448FTC	2/23/2016	Farmer, Alexius	LaTanya Brewer	4604			X		X		Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing; says symptoms and diagnosis Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2565	TRO893454FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, LaTanya	LaTanya Brewer	4605	8671		X		X		Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing; says symptoms and diagnosis December 2010. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8671. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2566	TRO890987FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Latanya	Latanya Epps	6110		X	X			X	1973 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm in 2003; says that filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1973 rather than 2009. The reason being that claimant filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement in 2002 that did not compensate for injuries, illnesses or diagnoses. In 2003, filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2567	TRO885031FTC	11/25/2015	McKnight, Latanya	LaTanya McKnight	4886		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates not listed, unclear if medical records complete. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2568	TRO886520FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Loretta	Latanya Moore	3349	8371	X	X				Diagnosed 1980, the injured party died in 1998; rep lived out of town in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8371, rep unaware of the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2569	TRO884260FTC	11/25/2015	Bailey-Britton, LaTara	LaTara Bailey-Britton	3367	9469		X			X	Claims she filed a claim in connection with an earlier lawsuit and a claim in 2009 for which she heard nothing, then filed claims again in later years. No record of any proof of claim filed in the Tronox bankruptcy case in 2009. Prior lawsuit shows awareness of legal rights and claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claimant believes that she actually filed a timely claim in 2009 she may raise that issue with the Tort Claims Trust but that does not represent a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2570	TRO884258FTC	11/25/2015	Bailey, Brandon	LaTara Bailey-Britton	3368	9471		X			X	First diagnosed in 1997, states that she did file a POC on behalf of her son on July 10, 2009 and "never heard anything else from it." Alleges a timely claim was filed, that is to be addressed by the Tort Claims Trust. Not an excusable neglect or due process issue.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2571	TRO895008FTC	3/23/2016	Carr, Latasha	Latasha Carr	5339	9344	X	X				1982 diagnosis; challenges reasonableness of publication notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9344 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2572	TRO889141FTC	2/23/2016	Cole, Latashia	Latashia Cole	6206		X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS who moved in 2007; diagnoses 2003, 2015 and 2016; only became aware of the lawsuit against Tronox in 2015, in 2009 was unaware of the filing deadline or her filing eligibility. Says that to bar her future tort claim violates her due process rights because she did not receive notice of the lawsuit and the 2009 deadline; says that public notice provided was not sufficient for her case because she did not live in the local newspaper area at the time notice was made. She says that some conditions were diagnosed prior to the bar date and some after. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2573	TRO885393FTC	11/25/2015	Dyson, Latisha	Latisha Dyson	3293		X	X				Diagnosis in 1987. Says had no way of knowing she was exposed to a Tronox product because she was away at college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2574	TRO900238FTC	7/25/2016	Nance, Latisha	Latisha Nance	3588		X	X				Diagnosed 1996, alleges that she DID previously file a claim after hearing about "the lawsuit," but only claims on file are late-filed claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2575	N/a	#N/A	Brewer, Jakobe	Latonia Brown	6804			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
2576	N/a	11/25/2015	Richardson, Christopher	Latonia Brown	8497			X		X		Merely a claim form, not a motion or a request for court relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
2577	TRO885487FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Latonya	Latonya Brooks	4329	5990	X	X		X		1994 diagnosis for skin irritation, 2010 for migraines. Previously filed with Colom law firm 2005; unaware attorneys were taking claims in Tronox bankruptcy case. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 5990. The Trust incorrectly says that this claim was not filed with the Court but it was filed and docketed twice at docket #4329 and docket #5990. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Filing with Colom law firm shows awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to whether such claims are barred by prior class action proceedings) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2578	TRO900891FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Latoria	Latoria Jefferson	4224		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2579	TRO903561FTC	11/23/2016	McCoy, Latoria	Latoria McCoy	8479		X	X				Diagnoses 1998-2000; unaware of this claim and did not know how to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2580	TRO886048FTC	12/7/2015	Gavin, Latoya	Latoya Gavin	3593	8351	X	X				Diagnoses in 1990, 2001, 2003, including cancer in 2003. Alleges lived out of state from 2004 to 2015 and was not aware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8351, merely a signature on a copy of the Trustee's objection. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2581	TRO886924FTC	12/7/2015	Samuels, LaToya	LaToya Samuels	4957			X				1997 diagnosis; did not see any publication notice; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants, moved to Georgia. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2582	TRO893663FTC	3/2/2016	Cockrell, Latrina	Latrina Cockrell	6378		X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 2005; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2583	TRO887566FTC	12/14/2015	Skinner, Laura	Laura Skinner	3678		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges lack of direct notice and of knowledge of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2584	TRO894734FTC	3/23/2016	Miller, Laura	Laura Miller	6529		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1998, 2010, 2011; was not aware of the case at the time of the bar date; was away taking care of relatives, does not provide dates of this service or any supporting material, does not explain long post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2585	TRO895899FTC	4/6/2016	Guyton, Laurae Ross	Laurae Ross Guyton	6976		X	X				2001 diagnosis; was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any published notices; says had stress going on because of death of relative but does not provide dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2586	TRO894961FTC	3/23/2016	Deal, Lauretha	Lauretha Deal	4289			X				Diagnosis 1989/1993. Filing for mother. Refers to former residence near Kerr-McGee plant but does not specify which plant. Unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement; the chart is corrected to reflect docket # 4289, the trust referred to the incorrect number. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2587	TRO887287FTC	12/14/2015	Dillard, Lavaris	Lavaris Dillard	5181		X	X			X	Misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; refers to symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but then says that in previous filing forgot to refer to most recent conditions and those may be the ones that he says were after bar date. Diagnosis dates may be in dispute. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2588	TRO890495FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Lavaris	Lavaris Harris	7533		X	X				2004 diagnosis; incarcerated from 2/28/2009 to 8/29/2009. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy in January 2009.
2589	TRO896358FTC	4/13/2016	Anderson, Laverne	Laverne Anderson	7418		X	X				2005 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; unaware condition due to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2590	TRO892200FTC	Unknown	Colvin, Laverne	Laverne Colvin	5162			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges first diagnosis in 2013 but says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was not aware of the bankruptcy claims process; does not provide medical records. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2591	TRO880981FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Lavonda	Lavonda Jones	3643		X	X				Diagnosed 2005, did not know or have reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2592	TRO890480FTC	2/23/2016	Dodd, Lawrence	Lawrence Dodd	6935			X		X		2011 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2593	TRO912170FTC	5/30/2017	Hardy, Lawrence	Lawrence Hardy	3766	8312	X	X				1997 diagnosis, says was unaware of claims process. Attachment argues that claimants should not be punished for a seemingly ever-changing claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8312, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2594	TRO901494FTC	8/16/2016	Wells, Lawrence	Lawrence Wells	3781			X				Former resident of Hamilton, MS. Various pre-bar date diagnoses. Alleges did not know of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2595	TRO913334FTC	5/30/2017	White, Lawrence	Lawrence White	5721		X	X			X	Says first diagnosis in 1968 but refers to many conditions, unclear if all were diagnosed then; says was unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2596	TRO884234FTC	11/25/2015	Shinn, Laquilla	Lawuilla Shinn	3703		X	X			X	Diagnosed in 1988, 2000, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. Was told to send a copy of every location they lived in, they seemed to believe this was sufficient. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2597	TRO895414FTC	3/24/2016	Richey, Leandrae	Leandra Richey	5935						X	1999 diagnosis; says was too young to know a claim could be made but age as of the bar date is not clear, says exposure began in 1993. Unclear if claims relief on ground of being a minor. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2598	TRO894633FTC	3/23/2016	Anthony, Leatha	Leatha Anthony	6542	9106	X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1988, alleges new condition diagnosed in 2015; previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$256.00; says not aware could file because she received an award from filing with Colom. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9106 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of claim based on alleged post-bar date diagnosis (including the merits of any defense as to whether the claim is barred by a prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2599	TRO888535FTC	12/24/2015	Salter, Leatha	Leatha Salter	7022						X	1994 and 2004 diagnoses; minor at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2600	TRO886480FTC	12/7/2015	Boles, Lechandra	Lechandra Boles	4319		X	X			X	Says was unaware had been exposed to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis for one condition 2001 and another condition 2009, unclear when in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2601	TRO886481FTC	12/7/2015	Junkin, Camryn	Lechandra Boles	4350		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2003 (asthma) and August 26, 2009 (learning disability). Unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 2003 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2602	TRO900503FTC	8/16/2016	Badon, Lee	Lee Badon	5627			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2603	TRO880080FTC	12/4/2015	Davis, Lee	Lee Davis	6811			X			X	Alleges 2014 diagnosis but says previously filed with attorney Landis Sexton in 2004; did file a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case but appears to be under mistaken belief that the late-filed claim was timely. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2604	Unknown	Unknown	Wayne, Lee F.	Lee F. Wayne	6364			X				Not included in Trust's summary. This is merely a Tronox tort claims trust form. Does not identify any relief sought or grounds therefor.
2605	TRO892272FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Lee	Lee Sanders	5811		X	X				2004 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach and upon his death could not get paperwork. No indication that any action was ever filed. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2606	TRO895326FTC	3/23/2016	Lee, Phillip	Lee, George	5164	8309	X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of claims process or that could file claim for deceased relative. A supplement filed at docket #8309, says was without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2607	TRO901563FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Queen Ester	Lee, Johnny	6200			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party resided in Columbus, MS and died in January 2009; rep says did not know and had no reason to know the injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2608	TRO896601FTC	4/25/2016	Lee, Ollie	Lee, Ollie	6671			X		X		Diagnosed in 2009 (no month provided) and 2010. Form language re challenges to publication notice, says did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2609	TRO890806FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Roderick	Lee, Roderick	5053	8335	X	X				1973 diagnosis; unaware of claims process or that could file claim; supplement filed at docket #8335; says was without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2610	TRO901662FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Malcolm	Lee, Rosa	5000	8346	X	X				1984 diagnosis; injured party is deceased; reps says unaware of process and did not know could file for deceased relative. A supplement filed at docket #8346, without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2611	TRO891697FTC	2/23/2016	Gregory, Leeroy	Leeroy Gregory	6846		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001, Lundy & Davis LLP 2001; as a client was not contacted about the deadline. No indication that movant was part of an actually-pending lawsuit in 2009. Unclear if movant was represented by the listed law firms in 2009 but those firms received direct notice of the bar date by mail. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2612	TRO880314FTC	12/4/2015	Payne, Leigh Ann	Leigh Ann Payne	5951	8929	X	X		X		Diagnoses 1988, 2009, 2010; did not know anything about filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8929 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2613	TRO894705FTC	3/23/2016	O'Neal, Lekeshie	Lekeshie O'Neal	6141		X	X				Diagnosis in 1987; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2614	TRO887689FTC	12/14/2015	Vaughn, Leketa	Leketa Vaughn	4515		X	X				Symptoms and diagnosis 1980; first filed in 2002 (apparently in class action), filed a second time in 2015 (apparently the late claim filed in Tronox case), says there was confusion about entire thing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2615	TRO887387FTC	12/14/2015	Owens, Amelia	Lela Daniels	6837		X	X		X		2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; previously filed with Lundy & Davis LLP/ Colom law firm 2000; received about \$1,500 in 2000; says developed more conditions in subsequent years. Rep says unaware needed to file a proof of claim for future tort claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses based on prior class action award) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2616	TRO887190FTC	12/14/2015	Hall, Lemita	Lemita Hall	3297		X	X				Diagnosis in 2000. Says was out of the state of Mississippi and family did not notify her of the claim and the paperwork. Only learned in 2015 when she had some surgery and her doctor asked if she had filed a claim and told her how to get the information. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2617	TRO885533FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Lena	Lena Johnson	6700	8177 8628	X	X				Alleges first diagnosis in 2005, but says made claim in 1999, paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. A supplement filed at docket #8177, complaining that the claims of others who filed at same time were allowed and they did not live in the area. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8628. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2618	TRO887109FTC	12/14/2015	Lowery, Lena	Lena Lowery	3426		X	X				1974 Diagnosis. No explanation offered as to failure to file by bar date. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2619	TRO898904FTC	6/27/2016	Cruzoe, Noel	Lenara Crusoe	4979		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party was exposed to creosote in Columbus, MS and died in 1976; rep says did not know or hear about it because was in Chicago, Ill; on a visit heard that a relative's neighbor received a settlement check. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2620	TRO898906FTC	6/27/2016	Jones, Bertha	Lenara Crusoe	4984		X	X				1959 diagnosis; the injured party was a MS resident who died in 1999; rep says she did not hear about it or have any knowledge of it because she stayed in Chicago, Ill. When visiting relative, heard that neighbor had received settlement from Tronox; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2621	TRO900204FTC	7/25/2016	Thames, Lenard	Lenard Thames	4006		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Says did not know, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2622	TRO881082FTC	12/4/2015	Summerville, Lendward	Lendward Summerville	3869			X		X		Says first diagnosis in 2007 but also alleges some conditions were diagnosed after the bar date. Complains that publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege that Tronox knew of this claimant's claim. Publication notice complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2623	TRO891958FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Lenita	Lenita Hall	3706		X	X				Diagnosed 1996, was out of state, was not made aware of case until came back to Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2624	TRO891872FTC	2/23/2016	Lenoir, Alice	Lenoir, Alice	5609			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2015 but provides medical records for 2008; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2625	TRO893292FTC	2/23/2016	Dumas, Walter	Lenora Dumas	6903		X	X				Various diagnoses 1980 and earlier; the injured party died in 1980; rep unaware of claim filing; not aware of case in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2626	TRO893295FTC	2/23/2016	Dumas, Lenora [Johnson]	Lenora Dumas Johnson	6888		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, all before 2000 except one (rash) in 2011; was not aware of the filing; was not aware of the case in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on diagnoses in 2000 and earlier also were barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2627	TRO880474FTC	12/4/2015	Petty, Lenzell	Lenzell Petty	4000			X				Diagnosed 4/1/2009, very sick, was being treated for aggressive leukemia at time of bar date. Illness explains why did not file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why no claim was filed until more than six years after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2628	TRO899014FTC	6/27/2016	Ezell, Leo	Leo Ezell	3794		X	X				1955 diagnosis; says unaware of case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2629	TRO892851FTC	2/23/2016	Horton, Leon	Leon Horton	7028		X	X				1975 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 1992, was offered a small amount but refused it; says has multiple medical problems. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2630	TRO893191FTC	2/23/2016	McGee, Leon	Leon McGee	4108		X	X				2002 diagnosis. "Unaware of claims process." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2631	TRO894432FTC	3/2/2016	Brown, Leonard	Leonard Brown	4072		X	X				Late 1980s/early 1990s diagnoses. Says returned all forms but does not say what forms or when, appears to be referring to late claims and responses to Trust's requests for information after the late claims were filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2632	TRO892951FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Lereta	Lereta Hill	7719		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as "1986-2017," unclear if one continuing condition or if claimant alleges separate diagnoses for separate conditions; says that did not hear anything about this and saw no notices. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2633	TRO885678FTC	11/25/2015	Gregory, Leronn	Leronn Gregory	3285		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990s. Explanation for late filing: was not sure of deadline, was not sure claim could still be filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2634	TRO891664FTC	2/23/2016	Edmond, Leroy	Leroy Edmond	6520		X	X				2004 and 2007 diagnoses; no explanation provided as to why missed the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2635	TRO886004FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Leroy	Leroy Porter	3298		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1980, 1999 and "after 2009 (sardoma)." Previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 1990s. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Filings with Colom law firm show awareness of claims and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2636	TRO893635FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Leroy	Leroy Scott	7636		X	X			X	Says first diagnosed in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Class Action, does not disclose outcome. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense based on the terms of resolution of the prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2637	TRO899277FTC	7/11/2016	Cunning, LeShawn	LeShawn Cunning	4759		X	X				1986 diagnosis. Unaware of the Tronox claims; unaware of bar date because did not know about a claim at that time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2638	TRO889626FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Leshon	Leshon Hill	3608		X	X			X	Diagnosed 2000. Claims he did file a claim in the case but did not hear back; not clear if he is alleging a prior claim in a class action, or a timely bankruptcy claim filing before 8/12/09, or a late-filed claim. Any dispute as to timely filed claim is not a request for relief from the bar date and is an issue for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust. If claim was late: no reason is offered, not warrant excusable neglect or due process relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2639	TRO886907FTC	12/7/2015	Jackson, Lesia	Lesia Jackson	6697		X	X				1991 and 1994 diagnoses; states that publication notice was not reasonable. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2640	TRO886861FTC	12/7/2015	Brooks, Leslie	Leslie Brooks	4981		X	X				Diagnosis "2000-2007"; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2000; says does not know what happened to the paperwork. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2641	Unknown	5/4/2016	Gregory, Lester	Lester Gregory	7765		X	X				1962 diagnosis; did not realize could file without the assistance of a lawyer. Once realized could file without an attorney, it was too late. Place of exposure not clear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2642	Unknown	12/14/2015	Evans, Letha	Letha Evans	7879		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation; did not get notice informing claimant that illness was due to Tronox. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2643	TRO884707FTC	11/25/2015	Henry, Letha	Letha Henry	7839		X	X				Lists 1997 as first diagnosis but many conditions alleged, unclear if they have different diagnosis dates; previously represented by atty Bambach (does not say when), who is now deceased; was unaware exposed to Tronox product, did not know where to get paperwork. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. No record that counsel pursued a claim. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2644	<b>TRO887320FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Doss, Letisha</b>	<b>Letisha Doss</b>	3400		X	X				Not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 1996, "did not know about the claim at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2645	TRO894994FTC	3/23/2016	Richards, Letisha	Letisha Richards	4368			X		X		Alleges September 2009 diagnosis. Says filed claim when the claims were sent to the people in Columbus Mississippi in 2015; called to receive papers. Unclear if diagnosis date is disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2646	TRO885580FTC	11/25/2015	Foster, Letricia	Letricia Foster	5209		X	X				1986 diagnosis; did not know or was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2647	TRO904269FTC	1/3/2017	Silvers, Lewis	Lewis Silvers	4417		X	X				1971 diagnosis. Says publication notice in 2009 was not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2648	TRO887395FTC	12/14/2015	Lewis, Mary	Lewis, Mary	5587		X	X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 1941 but many conditions are alleged, not clear if all were diagnosed then; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says filed before deadline but appears to be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2649	TRO887692FTC	12/14/2015	Henry, Liboria	Liboria Henry	6358		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some long before bar date, some in 2013-15; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, never met with atty, was told either to accept or decline, says was wrongfully compensated and did not have a choice; says discharge of claim violation of due process; notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses as to the effect of the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2650	TRO901672FTC	8/16/2016	Shields, Rosie	Lillian Evans	7304			X				Diagnoses in 1980s; the injured party died in 2006; rep says deceased was incapacitated from 1985 to 2006. No excuse offered as to failure to file in 2009. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2651	TRO896556FTC	4/25/2016	Hardy, Jesse	Lillian Hardy	3798		X	X				Filing for husband who died in 1988. Says was unaware she could file on husband's behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2652	TRO888251FTC	12/18/2015	Harris, Lillian	Lillian Harris	7706		X	X				Diagnoses 1979, 1990, 1997; filed prior claim with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was told could not file, but that was a different proceeding. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2653	TRO888605FTC	Unknown	Townsend, Lillian	Lillian Townsend	4926		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2003 diagnosis; says did not understand the situation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2654	TRO888472FTC	Unknown	Townsend, Arthur	Lillian Townsend	4927		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1970 diagnosis; rep says did not understand everything; tried to do something (unspecified). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2655	TRO888471FTC	Unknown	Townsend, Debra	Lillian Townsend	4928		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1973 diagnosis; rep says did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2656	TRO886500FTC	12/7/2015	Baker, Lillie	Lillie Baker	3405	8230 8650	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8230. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8650. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, any claim that accrued in 1999 or earlier was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2657	TRO902750FTC	8/16/2016	Johnson, Jakylan	Lillie Baker	4583	8230		X			X	Claims diagnoses 2009 and later. Also says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8230. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8636. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2658	<b>TRO912162FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bell, Lillie</b>	<b>Lillie Bell</b>	3945			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2659	TRO884957FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, Lillie	Lillie Gray	3885	8995		X			X	First diagnosis in 2006 but multiple conditions alleged, separate dates for each are not clear. Says is elderly and did not know of process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8995 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2660	TRO885286FTC	11/25/2015	Martin, Mariah	Lillie Richardson Wade	3884		X	X				1985 diagnosis, injured party died before the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2661	TRO887512FTC	12/14/2015	Tate, Lillie	Lillie Tate	4866		X	X				2000 and 2005 diagnoses; did not know or understand because of age and limited education. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2662	TRO890916FTC	2/23/2016	Vaughn, Lillie	Lillie Vaughn	4022	8818	X	X				1983 diagnosis. Part of Wilbur Colom 2002 class action lawsuit. Alleges did not know about process, alleges called and was told to file a future tort claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8818 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, it was not pursued with sufficient diligence and was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2663	TRO887258FTC	12/14/2015	Weaver, Lillie	Lillie Weaver	4527		X	X				Refers to 2001 operation. Unaware of eligibility to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2664	TRO901305FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Jersie	Linda Avant	3628		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed and died in 1991. Filer was unaware of deadline. No showing of diligent investigation and pursuit of claim, only alleges lack of actual knowledge of claims process in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2665	TRO901158FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Ananias	Linda Avant	3729		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1983 and died in 2005. Relative filing on behalf of deceased, unaware of deadline until passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2666	TRO889590FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Linda	Linda Brown	6861			X		X		2010 diagnosis; says did not file before because she was not aware of the specific illness that would be acceptable; says she was out of town. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2667	TRO901460FTC	8/16/2016	Edmond, Roosevelt	Linda Daniel	7306		X	X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; rep says was given erroneous information in filing this claim but apparently is referring to communications with the trust about the late-filed claim, does not offer reasons as to why failed to file in 2009 at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2668	TRO886530FTC	12/7/2015	Doughty, Linda	Linda Doughty	4623			X		X		Previously filed with atty Bambach, now deceased. Public records show that Mr. Bambach died in 2013, long after the bar date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Any failure to proceed by Mr. Bambach is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless his failures can be excused, and no excuse is offered. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Also alleges a condition was diagnosed in 2017. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2669	TRO886665FTC	12/7/2015	Dowd, Linda	Linda Dowd	3805			X			X	2006 diagnosis of high blood pressure, 2011 diagnosis of back pain. Describes other conditions without diagnosis dates. Did not know about "the lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2670	TRO901461FTC	8/16/2016	Gabriel, Linda Edmond	Linda Edmond Gabriel	6917		X	X			X	Says she was getting erroneous information on filing date and exactly what information she needed to submit to the Trust; she can't afford a lawyer and the entire process of filling out paperwork is confusing; says one condition 1985, others all after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2671	<b>TRO897682FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Foard, Linda</b>	<b>Linda Foard</b>	5348			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2672	TRO895578FTC	3/24/2016	Harmon, Linda	Linda Harmon	5761			X			X	Says during first proceeding it was reported that only homeowners in certain area had right to file claim; that is a reference to earlier class actions that were on behalf of such persons. Alleges diagnoses in 2012 and 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2673	TRO888027FTC	12/18/2015	Harmon, William	Linda Harmon	5762			X			X	Alleges 2010 diagnosis; says did not know could file a claim, was misinformed that you had to own property in area (that is a reference to a prior class action on behalf of property damage claimants). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2674	TRO892912FTC	2/23/2016	Harrington, Linda	Linda Harrington	3841			X			X	First diagnosed in 2010, but says did not file by bar date because "I misinterpreted conditions of the claim." No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2675	TRO892830FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Linda	Linda Johnson	4395		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2676	TRO893819FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Linda	Linda Johnson	6208			X			X	Alleges a 2017 diagnosis; says was not aware of the bankruptcy process; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how and does not allege that movant read the notice; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; these excuses were checked off in the sample list of issues provided by the Trust. She also checked off that neither she nor her atty received direct written notice of bar date after commencing a legal proceeding against Tronox, but in answer to other questions the application says there was no such prior proceeding. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2677	TRO895866FTC	3/24/2016	Tellis, Leroy	Linda Latham	7230	7231	X	X				The injured party died in 2006; rep says injured party was not living in a certain area therefore thought that he did not qualify; did not know that he qualified; did not know or had reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Separate legal memo argues that claimant is entitled to relief based on excusable neglect but only addresses lack of knowledge and not other factors, including length of delay, diligence in pursuit of claim and effect on proceedings. Also argues that due process required direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had knowledge of this claim or this claimant and does not criticize the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Movant's mistake as to whether people qualified who did not live in the area suggests actual knowledge of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2678	Unknown	N/A	McBride, Linda	Linda McBride	8478			X		X		Merely a claim form, not a motion or a request for court relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
2679	TRO900820FTC	8/16/2016	McCabe, Linda	Linda McCabe	7481			X				1969 and 1991 diagnoses; says had no knowledge or reason to suspect that exposure to the chemicals emitted would cause his conditions which would result in surgery; says the publication notice was not sufficiently circulated to provide notice to individuals such as himself who did not subscribe to the publications used, use of other media should have been considered to communicate. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2680	TRO894824FTC	3/23/2016	McDowell, Linda	Linda McDowell	5628		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of future tort claim because certain groups of claimants allegedly maintained information privately and did not tell others. In addition, false information was imparted saying that only people who worked in the plant could file. No specification of time period. There was an earlier action that apparently was limited to people who worked for Kerr-McGee, that is unrelated to the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2681	TRO897409FTC	5/25/2016	Mills, Linda	Linda Mills	5027			X		X		Diagnoses in 1989, 1992 and 1995, "chest pains" in 2010. Unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; did not hear about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Unclear if chest pains in 2010 represented a new condition, but the merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2682	TRO900290FTC	7/25/2016	Ridley, Mary	Linda Reeves	6662		X	X				Pre-1991 conditions and diagnoses; injured party is former resident of Columbus, MS who died in 1991. Both mother and child unaware that mom had been exposed to Tronox product prior to claims deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2683	TRO897700FTC	5/25/2016	Robertson, Linda	Linda Robertson	4179		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2684	TRO898581FTC	6/27/2016	Standifer, Linda	Linda Standifer	5352			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2685	TRO895709FTC	3/24/2016	Turner, Linda	Linda Turner	5830			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2686	TRO900793FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Linda	Linda Walker	5921		X	X				2004 diagnosis; did not know she was exposed; did not know there was a time limit to file a claim. She says that at that during that time she was very sick and unable to walk or stand for a long period of time. She's been unable to walk since 2005. She includes a facts and conclusions statement related to a social security claim. Movant has identified physical limitations but not an inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2687	TRO890410FTC	2/23/2016	White, Linda	Linda White	4835			X				Merely a rejection notice; no excuse provided.
2688	TRO890148FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Jessie	Linda Williams	4013		X	X				Filing for deceased relative who was diagnosed in 1989. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2689	TRO904311FTC	1/3/2017	Windham, Linda	Linda Windham	6305		X	X				1982 diagnosis; says did not have any knowledge of the harmful chemicals and waste that were present in the environment, nor did she know anything about filing a claim; was not aware of the spill and contamination; says "how can I be expected to meet a deadline if I knew nothing about this." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, under MS law the claim accrues upon diagnosis, regardless of whether the injured party knows the cause of the injury, so this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2690	TRO889653FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Linda	Linda Young	4001			X		X		Diagnosed with cancer in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2691	TRO904276FTC	1/3/2017	Roberts, Ollie	Linnie Cooperwood	3680		X	X				Diagnosis was before 2009, filing on behalf of deceased, says that "the lawyers that filed the suits in 2000 said that they weren't eligible to file because we were already in a lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding then it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not fully resolved in prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2692	TRO904618FTC	1/24/2017	Wilson, JW	Linnie Cooperwood	3683		X	X				Diagnosed "before 2009," filing on behalf of deceased. Says that "the lawyers that filed the suits in 2000 said that they weren't eligible to file because we were already in a lawsuit." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding then it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not fully resolved in prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2693	TRO890017FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Lovenia	Linnie Cooperwood	3691			X				Injured party was diagnosed before 2009 and died before 2009. Relative says she did not know of the process or the deadline. No showing as to deceased's knowledge or awareness of rights, pursuit of other claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2694	TRO897276FTC	5/4/2016	Morris, Lionel	Lionel Morris	5404	9448	X	X			X	Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product; says that although Tronox was aware of product dangers, it did not inform claimant of it. The claimant says that his physicians were also unaware that he had been exposed to this environmental contaminant. The form says the diagnosis was in 2005 but the attached paperwork is more recent. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9448 complains about the process. If diagnosis was in 2005 the claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2695	TRO896075FTC TRO896074FTC	4/6/2016	Baker, Lisa	Lisa Baker	4157	8168	X	X				1985 diagnosis. Claims based on MS law. Previously filed with Gunn firm in MS/released it to Tollison firm in 2002. She also filed a claim election form for a different form TLF [TRO995926TLF] at docket #8168, requesting to be included with Category D claimants. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2696	TRO889597FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Lisa	Lisa Brown	5976			X			X	Says was incompetent as well as incapacitated; was a caregiver for ill spouse and was simultaneously managing her own condition; also misled into believing that filing of claim was reserved for members of Maranatha Center. Says her condition manifested prior to bar date but became drastically different and now requires daily dialysis. Alleges physical disabilities in 2009 but not inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2697	TRO901802FTC	9/14/2016	Ealy, Lisa	Lisa Ealy	6684		X	X				1986 diagnosis; lived out of town and did not know of the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2698	TRO890719FTC	2/23/2016	Latham, Charles	Lisa James	5767		X	X				1978 and 2003 diagnoses; the injured party died 07/2009; rep says previously filed with Colom law firm and they lost paperwork and she was advised to contact atty Gunn but he said he was not taking any more cases; rep says unaware of claims process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2699	TRO890054FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Lisa	Lisa Miller	6344			X		X		Did not know anything about the claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2700	TRO904627FTC	1/24/2017	Mims, Lisa	Lisa Mims	3893		X	X				1971 diagnosis. Did not know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2701	TRO904624FTC	1/24/2017	Jones, Katelynn	Lisa Mims	6163			X				Rep says unaware of deadline; did not know of exposure to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; says symptoms and diagnosis were in April 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2702	Unknown	Unknown	Hairston, Ozzie	Lisa Ramsey	6412		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1998, 2004, 2005; the injured party died in 2005; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated for potential claimants; did not know of exposure to a Tronox product. This is another person who used the preprinted form for Abdul Karriem as did the claimant at docket #6401. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2703	TRO885145FTC	11/25/2015	Sanders, Tyler	Lisa Sanders	8499			X		X		Representative filing on behalf of a minor; says unaware of bar date, says first exposed in 2010 when entered an academy, but also says symptoms began shortly after birth. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2704	TRO895878FTC	3/24/2016	Younger, Lisa	Lisa Younger	6898			X				Various conditions alleged dating to 1980s, no diagnosis dates, says did not know about the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2705	TRO890198FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Leticia	Leticia Davis	7704		X	X				1985 manifestation of symptoms, says "yes" as to diagnosis but without date; former resident of Mississippi; says an aunt who was assisting family in process (unclear if in 2009 or when late claims were filed) got ill, it resulted in delays and missed deadlines. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2706	TRO900963FTC	8/16/2016	Lloyd, Littleton	Littleton Lloyd	6383		X	X				1968 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; if he had known, would have filed a timely claim; former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Mansfield, Ohio. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2707	TRO885979FTC	11/25/2015	Golden, Litton	Litton Golden	5016	8270 8395	X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of process and not aware could file claim for deceased relative; without knowledge to wade through process; supplements filed at docket # 8270 & 8395. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2708	TRO892848FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Lizzie [Hairston]	Lizzie Hairston-martin	6896		X	X				Diagnoses 1960, 1979, 1990, 1993; was not aware of filing a claim and was not informed to file a claim before bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2709	TRO888093FTC	Unknown	Lowe, Lizzie	Lizzie Lowe	6097			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Was not aware of filing a claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2710	TRO898703FTC	6/27/2016	Sykes, Lizzie	Lizzie Sykes	6114			X				2006 diagnosis; moved away from Columbus, MS in 1998; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; letter saying was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; discharge of claim violation of due process; refers to private meetings in the community and minimal details to the town. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Complains about information in Columbus, MS but risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in Columbus starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2711	TRO899922FTC	7/25/2016	Seely, Adam	Lizzie Walker	7253		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1999 and earlier; the injured party died in 2004; rep says did not know and had no reason to know injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product; after high school, she moved to a rural area in Columbus with no electricity, running water, telephone service or cable television available. The first time she learned that Tronox was the Moss Tie Co. was in 2014. The Trustee's summary chart refers to this motion as relating to claim # TRO899922FTC but the paper copy shows claim number TRO896922FTC. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2712	TRO888858FTC	12/30/2015	Walker, Lizzie	Lizzie Walker	7254		X	X				1959 and 1985 diagnoses; same excuse for herself as for her relative in motion at docket #7253 concerning moving to rural area with no communications. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2713	TRO896118FTC	4/6/2016	Petty, Andrew	Logan Petty	8489			X				Merely a signed rejection notice, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2714	TRO904216FTC	1/3/2017	Manning, Lola	Lola Manning	6497		X	X				Diagnoses 1993, 1995; had no knowledge of it; moved from Columbus in 1998. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2715	TRO891180FTC	Unknown	Douglas, Lonnie	Lonnie Douglas	7988			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses March 2009 and January 2014; says previously filed a claim with local church group Maranatha and did not hear anything, when she inquired was told her name was not included in their record; filed with atty Bambach who is deceased. If someone else failed to file a 2009 claim that does not constitute excusable neglect unless that other person's conduct is excused and explained. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2716	TRO903978FTC	1/3/2017	Morris, Lonnie	Lonnie Morris	7902		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says filed claim with Trust (referring to late-filed claim); too sick to answer claim because incapacitated, sick, hospitalized several times (does not provide dates) and medication impacted his thinking; says he thought lawyer Cologne (Colom?) was still in charge and doing his duty. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Unclear if movant claims error by counsel but conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2717	TRO891657FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Lonvojsier	Lonvojsier Cockrell	7928		X	X			X	1973 diagnosis listed, unclear if that is the diagnosis date for all conditions listed, unclear if contends any conditions were first diagnosed after the bar date; missed first deadline due to illness including hypertension/ Bell's palsy; the medical record for a time near bar date says the complaint was a pain in foot, the other part is illegible. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2718	TRO894422FTC	Unknown	Scott, Lonzetta	Lonzetta Scott	8033		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1990, 2000; previously filed with Colom law firm, result not specified; says not aware could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2719	TRO896347FTC	4/13/2016	Williams, Lonzo	Lonzo Williams	7910						X	2005 diagnosis; minor at bar date (approximately 18); prior to bar date, unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2720	TRO898071FTC	6/20/2016	Bradford, Lora	Lora Bradford	6826		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 2000, 2003, 2004; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002, Tollison law firm; received \$237.82; says was not knowledgeable and received wrong information about filing; was depressed and could not think clearly, caring for sick relatives; child stressed out over job; school state benefit taken away; lawyer handling case was not fair to everybody, did not receive a future tort claim injury table but most people did receive one. In letter, says a certain degree of illiteracy, discharge of claim violation of due process; after diagnosis in pain and emotional state; unaware how to file a claim against such a large company; does not have knowledge of legal issues; most information received was incorrect. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2721	TRO900704FTC	8/16/2016	Young, Lorean	Lorean Young	7275			X		X		Unaware of the claim; symptom and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2722	TRO892175FTC	Unknown	Witherspoon, Lorenzo	Lorenzo Witherspoon	8007	8700	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Says was unaware could file claim; exposure was from 1971 and refers to an exhibit 3 as providing conditions and dates for symptoms and diagnosis but there was not any exhibit attached. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8700 with others complaining about the process. No identification of a valid claim (not barred by SOL) for which relief is sought. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2723	TRO904281FTC	1/3/2017	Shelton, Loretta	Loretta Shelton	5078			X				2008 diagnosis; incapacitated; provides medical records that show she was admitted to hospital the week of the bar date but does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2724	TRO884876FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Lorey	Lorey Salter	3336		X	X				First diagnosed 2003, alleges that he made a claim in 2001-2005 through an attorney and against Kerr-McGee. Claim during that period must have been in connection with a prior class action as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in a prior lawsuit, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2725	TRO884390FTC	11/25/2015	Barry, Roosevelt	Loria Porter	3580	7987 8269	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1990, died in 2000. Representative says was unaware of process; did not know could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #7987 and docket # 8269 includes medical records, letter complaining about process. Movant says was without knowledge to wade through the process, complains that claimants should not be punished for not understanding the legal requirements. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing that the deceased's claim was diligently pursued and preserved, no explanation for lack of filing by relative except lack of actual knowledge. Not sufficient to support excusable neglect or due process relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2726	TRO884401FTC	11/25/2015	Barry, Fannie	Loria Porter	3630		X	X				Diagnosed 1978, injured party died in 2007. Representative says was "unaware of claims process." No showing as to injured party's knowledge or diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2727	TRO893020FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Leroy	Lorraine Shelton	5685		X	X				1998 and 2005 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2006; previously filed with the Colom law firm 1998 and received an award in 2002. Excuse: ill from treatments, but deceased prior to bar date; rep does not provide excuse. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2728	TRO900895FTC	Unknown	Holliday, Lou Dean	Lou Dean Holliday	4716	5300		X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges a 2016 diagnosis. Says was not notified about the filing of any papers until the time he did file, an unidentified man told him it was not too late and got their money (apparently referring to someone who charged for filling out and filling relevant forms). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2729	TRO892211FTC	2/23/2016	Fulton, Lou	Lou Fulton	4190		X	X			X	Filed with atty Bambach (deceased), paperwork lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claims based on any conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. However, also alleges 2012 and 2017 diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2730	TRO888213FTC	12/18/2015	Delaine, Angeletha	Lou Knox [Louella]	5480	8167	X	X				1983/84 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; rep says she was incapacitated due to surgery and dealing with nervous problems and was not aware of bar date; rep does not provide date of her conditions although in a separate motion on her own behalf she says it was in 2008. A supplement filed at docket #8167. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2731	TRO888211FTC	12/18/2015	Knox, Sr., Joe	Lou Knox [Louella]	5481	8165	X	X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says unaware of bar date; says she was incapacitated from surgery and dealing with nervous problems but does not provide date of those conditions although in her own motion she indicates surgery was in 2008; unaware exposure to Tronox product caused condition; says was primarily in Alabama. A supplement filed at docket #8165 that appears to be directed to the Trust. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2732	TRO888212FTC	12/18/2015	Knox, Lou [Louella]	Lou Knox [Louella]	5482	8166	X	X		X		Diagnoses 1998 and 2010; says that during bar date period she was having nerve problems and had nerve back surgery in Nov 2008, again back surgery in 2013, mentions a third surgery does not provide a date for it. A supplement filed at docket #8166. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2733	TRO900200FTC	7/25/2016	Quinn, Lou	Lou Quinn	5309			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis February 2010; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2734	TRO904734FTC	1/24/2017	Viercinski, Louann	Louann Viercinski	4061			X				Diagnoses 2007 and earlier. Unaware of any notice; unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2735	<b>TRO904770FTC</b>	1/24/2017	Tomassoni, Elizabeth	Louann Viercinski	4080			X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed the claim number as TRO904470FTC. Injured party died in 1998. Unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2736	TRO884094FTC	11/13/2015	Blackwell, Louis	Louis Blackwell	4817		X	X				1990 diagnosis. Says filed papers on time but appears to be referring to responses to Trust's questions rather than timing of initial late-filed claim. Filed a letter referring to guidance of Colom and Lundy; violation of due process; and the private meetings of certain sectors. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2737	TRO884089FTC	11/13/2015	Jackson, Atrinell	Louis Coleman	3303	3909 3382		X				The injured party died in 2015. Supplements filed at docket #3909 and docket #3382. Family member says he was unaware of bar date but no verification that deceased was unaware or that deceased had grounds to miss the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2738	TRO889745FTC	2/23/2016	Collins, Louis	Louis Collins	5086	8885	X	X				1995 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; standard form language as to reasons why did not file before bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8885 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2739	TRO913662FTC	6/28/2017	Czaja, Louis	Louis Czaja	3519			X				Diagnosed 2000 and 2008. Alleges that had no reason to know exposed prior to deadline, first heard of claims process from a neighbor in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2740	TRO901974FTC	9/14/2016	Guarnieri, Louis	Louis Guarnieri	4647		X	X				First diagnosis date not clear but condition traces back to 1978. Says does not read the Wall Street Journal or any other of the 38 site specific publications. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2741	TRO901809FTC	Unknown	Johnson, Louis	Louis Johnson	7986	8764	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8764 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2742	TRO895691FTC	3/24/2016	Posly, Louis	Louis Posly	5840		X	X				May 2005 diagnosis; did not know about the eligibility and circumstances to file a claim against Kerr-McGee. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2743	TRO888294FTC	12/18/2015	Patmon, Louise	Louise Patmon	3710		X	X				Diagnosed 1985 and 2005, not aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2744	TRO886216FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Louise	Louise Turner	3666		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, "doesn't get out much" and was not aware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2745	TRO892509FTC	2/23/2016	Webber, Louise	Louise Webber	5729		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says she was incapacitated at time of the 2009 bar date because of her illnesses; provides same letter concerning not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and referring to private meetings and minimal information to community. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Insufficient showing of incapacity in terms of inability to file a claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2746	TRO892221FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Lourine	Lourine Smith	5403		X	X				1976 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action lawsuit; standard language as to lack of knowledge of Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim not resolved in a prior proceeding also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2747	TRO887180FTC	12/14/2015	Webber, Louvenia	Louvenia Webber	5471		X	X				1962 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, but that is not consistent with the filing with the Colom law firm, which handled a class action lawsuit against Tronox based on creosote discharges. Says discharge of debt violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2748	TRO888231FTC	12/18/2015	Johnson, Lovess III	Lovess Johnson III	3528			X			X	Diagnosed in December 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2749	TRO884461FTC	11/25/2015	Lowe, Marzett	Lowe, Marzett	5039		X	X			X	Says previously filed in 2002 and says paperwork was either lost or not submitted by atty Colom; says she was advised to submit another claim and she filed with atty Bambach, later learned that no information in files of case, was told no information on file; but says paperwork lost; says not informed of any proceedings that were advertised; relocated to another town; not informed by calls, correspondence, newspaper or radio; unaware of bankruptcy filing. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2750	TRO880869FTC	12/4/2015	Lowe, Roy	Lowe, Roy	6011			X			X	Two diagnoses in 2012, rest January 2009 and earlier, most prior to 1990. Unaware of the claims process; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2751	TRO901960FTC	9/14/2016	Holt-Taylor, Lowreen	Lowreen Holt-Taylor	7722		X	X				1968 diagnosis; did not know about it. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2752	Unknown	8/16/2016	Sims, Jr., Lowrenzo	Lowrenzo Sims, Jr.	7855		X	X				2005 diagnosis; unaware that condition was related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2753	TRO887230FTC	12/14/2015	Catchings, Lucas	Lucas Catchings	3292			X		X		Diagnoses February 2008; says made claim; no excuse for late filing offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If contends a timely claim was filed in 2009 that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process.
2754	TRO898234FTC	6/20/2016	Smith, Lucia	Lucia Smith	5292			X		X		Alleges "August 2009" diagnosis; no excuse provided other than saying had to make corrections. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2755	TRO893777FTC	3/2/2016	Mickens, Robert	Lucile Cowans	4352		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party deceased 1999; previously filed with Bambach in 1997, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2756	TRO893413FTC	2/23/2016	Cowans, Lucile	Lucile Cowans	4362		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2757	TRO893778FTC	3/2/2016	Michens, Estella	Lucile Cowans	4394		X	X				Lists first diagnosis date for relevant condition as "1999-2007." Filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2758	TRO886346FTC	12/7/2015	Darring, Lucille	Lucille Darring	4976		X	X				Lists diagnoses in 1960s, 1997, 2005. Says knew nothing about the Tronox bankruptcy or claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2759	TRO887574FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Brenda	Lucille Darring	5068		X	X				Diagnoses 1972 and earlier; rep filing for deceased child with Down syndrome; rep says she was ill at time often going to hospital with illnesses but does not provide medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2760	TRO898659FTC	6/27/2016	Mack, Lucille	Lucille Mack	6996		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says no knowledge that a claim existed against Tronox, nor was he made aware that there was a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2761	TRO901581FTC	8/16/2016	Mosley, Lucille	Lucille Mosley	7718		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2762	TRO904279FTC	1/3/2017	Silvers, Lucille	Lucille Silvers	4565	9015	X	X				1972 diagnosis. Says the publication notice was not calculated to give notice to unknown claimants. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9015 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2763	TRO890247FTC	Unknown	McCleod, Lucinda	Lucinda McCleod	6844		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1981, 1991, 2004; previously filed with 2002 Kerr-McGee Plant Class Action; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case or public notification or newspaper advertisement or social media. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2764	TRO889691FTC	2/23/2016	Henley, Lucious	Lucious Henley	6207						X	2007 diagnosis; minor at time of bar date (21 years old at time of motion in 2017); did not know about the deadline; did not understand all of the issues and still does not. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2765	TRO906005FTC	4/24/2017	Lucious, Tiffany	Lucious, Tiffany	5730		X					Diagnosis date unclear; previously filed with atty Howard Gunn in 2002 plant class action; unaware, no knowledge of bankruptcy case; standard language as to why did not file a claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2766	TRO903027FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Lucy	Lucy Fenton	4412	X	X					2005 diagnosis. Unaware that needed to file claim, can't afford lawyer, information on proceedings vague, says filed a claim in 2009 as soon as learned of process. No record of 2009 claim, only record is of late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2767	TRO898481FTC	6/27/2016	Hill, Lucy	Lucy Hill	8067	X	X					Diagnoses 1977, 1979; says was unaware of lawsuit; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2768	TRO897194FTC	5/4/2016	Lewis, Lucy	Lucy Lewis	4053		X			X		Symptoms 1981-2017, diagnoses 2010-2017. Says unaware of claims and filing in case. Cannot determine from claim form whether any conditions actually were diagnosed before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2769	TRO885405FTC	11/25/2015	McGregory, Frank	Lucy Payne	7660		X	X				Diagnoses 1977, 1982, 2000, 2008; the injured party died in 2008; rep unaware that they were still filing claims. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2770	TRO886108FTC	12/7/2015	Payne, Lucy	Lucy Payne	7661		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1982, 2000, 2010; says was unaware could still file a claim; very busy taking care of ill father (he died in 2008); also taking care of 4 children. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2771	TRO895497FTC	3/24/2016	Robu, Lucy	Lucy Robu	4522			X		X		Says she filed but made "a mistake on [her] dates," alleges symptoms began in 2006, diagnosis in 2016. Diagnosis date may be disputed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2772	TRO901116FTC	8/16/2016	Monroe, Lucille	Lue Ann Hampton	3879		X	X				Diagnosis from 1970. Explanation for not filing is "we didn't know you could or she were really too sick to do so." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2773	TRO889462FTC	2/23/2016	Gandy, Tom	Lue E. Gandy	4786		X	X				2003 diagnosis of heart condition, cancer in 2008. Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; injured party deceased Jan. 2009; she says was taking care of husband at time but the bar date was in Aug. 2009. Claims based on conditions diagnosed in 2003 (or covered by Colom class action) are not Future Tort Claims, and to the extent the 2003 conditions were not part of the Colom class action they were time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2774	TRO895873FTC	3/24/2016	Barnes, Luella	Luella Barnes	5580		X	X				1958 diagnosis; moved away from Columbus after college and unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Also attaches the same form letter that says was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; secret meetings by various sectors, minimal information to community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2775	TRO886922FTC	12/7/2015	Stewart, Fred Sr.	Luella Hughes	3330		X	X				Diagnosed 1987, filing on behalf of deceased father (Fred E. Stewart, Sr.). Claims "the lack of publication notice of the Claims filing Deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice." No evidence or explanation in support of this claim, amounts to a legal challenge to the sufficiency of publication notice in general rather than a challenge to the specific notices in this case. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2776	Unknown	Unknown	Gallegos, Salomon	Luella Jaramillo	9454			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2004 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014. Motion filed 3/9/2020. Representative says she came upon information about these proceedings when clearing the decedent's personal belongings and found a letter dated 2/16/2015 concerning the proceedings. The excuse provided is that Mr. Gallegos discarded any and all documentation related to these proceedings because of his cognitive and physical capacities, which were directly related to his condition from the exposure to radioactive elements and his poisoning. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so, insufficient showing as to decedent's knowledge of bar date and/or diligence in pursuing claim to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
2777	TRO895826FTC	3/24/2016	Miller, J.W.	Luevirda Miller	5130		X	X				2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; rep unaware of lawsuit or claims; does not have education and did not understand; says was unaware of deadline and did not know husband qualified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2778	TRO895657FTC	3/24/2016	Miller, Luevirda	Luevirda Miller	5140			X		X		Unaware of deadline or that qualified despite not living in certain area; says symptoms 2009-2011 and diagnosis 2011 but does not provide any medical records. No specific pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion denied to extent it seeks relief based on excusable neglect for pre-bar date claims. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2779	TRO895805FTC	3/24/2016	Jones, Lula B.	Lula B. Jones	5917			X		X		The motion filed with the Court at docket #5917 is for Lula B. Jones [TRO895805FTC], signed on her own behalf; a separate motion for a Lula Jones [claim TRO890012FTC] was filed by Andre Jones and is at docket #6274. The excuse for Lula B. Jones [TRO895805FTC] is that claimant did not know about bar date but also says symptoms and diagnosis came after the bar date; does not include medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2780	TRO904679FTC	1/24/2017	Davis, Lula	Lula Davis	6701		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; "never heard anything about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2781	TRO884624FTC	11/25/2015	Hairston, Lula	Lula Hairston	3811	6221 8273 8276	X	X				1960 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Says was not aware of the Tronox claim process. A supplemental claim filed at docket #6221 but in this one she says she did not previously file with an attorney. An additional supplement filed at docket #8276, says was without knowledge to wade through the process. There is also a supplement filed at docket #8273 that says without knowledge to wade through process but using a different TRO number [TRO880743FTC]. Unclear if did or did not participate in prior class action claims process, but if so then participation shows knowledge of claim, and any claim resolved in a prior class action could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not part of class action, risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2782	TRO885043FTC	Unknown	Lula Mitchell	Lula Mitchell	3668			X		X		Motions was not included in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2010 & 2014. States, in explanation, that mailed a claim "during the time" but does not explain if that means before bar date or if claimant is just confused about whether a prior late claim was timely. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses (to the extent any are claimed) for lack of sufficient showing of grounds for relief, including diagnosis dates, actions taken to protect rights. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2783	TRO888706FTC	12/24/2015	Morgan, Lula	Lula Morgan	6279	9246	X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before and some after bar date; standard language as to reasons did not file by far date. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9246 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2784	TRO884315FTC	11/25/2015	Gordon, Ka'derion	Lutece Roland	4845			X				2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of a claim against Tronox until 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2785	TRO894232FTC	3/2/2016	Horton, Luther	Luther Horton	5008			X				Says was taking care of sick immediate relative who died in 2009 and also caring for ill other immediate relative. File does not have any papers to support dates. No indication of diagnosis dates or place of exposure. Does not explain long post-bar date delay without taking action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2786	TRO892136FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Luvenia	Luvenia Hill	6618	8793	X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware that people were filing claims. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8793 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2787	TRO896123 FTC	4/6/2016	Bailey, Lydia	Lydia Bailey	6650	8403	X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s and 1980s; did not know exposed prior to deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8403, says moved away from Mississippi and did not hear any media about bar date; did not know that Moss Tire was new company Kerr McGee, first learned it in 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2788	TRO900975FTC	8/16/2016	Bailey, Amzie	Lydia Bailey Bryant	6645		X	X				1981 diagnosis; injured party lived in Columbus, MS and is deceased; not made aware claims were being filed until a niece called her in 2016. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2789	TRO880008FTC	Unknown	Bryant, Lydia Bailey	Lydia Jean Bailey Bryant	6605		X	X				The motion at docket # 6605 is for Lydia Bailey Bryant on her own behalf [claim TRO880008FTC]; the motion relating to Carrie Prowell Bailey is at docket # 6606. As to Lydia Bailey Bryant: former resident of Columbus, MS; diagnoses were in 1970s and 1980s; unaware exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2790	TRO905979FTC	4/24/2017	Lyles, Betty	Lyles, Betty	6211		X	X				1958 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim is a violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2791	TRO905988FTC	4/24/2017	Lyles, Larry	Lyles, Larry	6290		X	X		X		Lists a 1957 diagnosis but papers show some conditions before and some after bar date; unaware that there was a deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2792	TRO880955FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Lynn	Lynn Jones	4393		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm and atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2793	TRO888986FTC	12/30/2015	Wynn, Lynn	Lynn Wynn	3447	8185		X		X		Says that she filed a legal proceeding in approximately July of 2009 without a lawyer, mentions that she is a paralegal in a law firm; then says she filed her proof of claim late because that was when she became aware of the bar date; says she was away with a family member who was critically ill and was out of the county, does not provide dates or any supporting documents or verification of any legal proceedings allegedly filed. A supplemental response filed at docket #8185, again says filed timely claim in July 2009, but that contradicts statement that she filed late. Any contention as to a timely claim is to be addressed by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. To extent the claim was filed late, no legally sufficient excuse for late filing has been shown.
2794	TRO901547FTC	8/16/2016	Kye, Lynntita	Lynntita Kye	6910						X	1993 diagnosis; minor, with no reason to be knowledgeable of the case or deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2795	TRO890796FTC	Unknown	Williams, Lyrian	Lyrian Williams	6420		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1966, 1988 and 1992; says publication notice was unreasonably calculated to provide notice to claimants by claims filing deadline; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2796	TRO912810FTC	5/30/2017	Potorski, John	M Potorski	5715			X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; rep says not residing in Avoca when notice was sent out to residents about lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2797	TRO900396FTC	7/25/2016	Ballard, Mable	Mable Ballard	4725			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2798	TRO901234FTC	8/16/2016	Drake, Mable	Mable Drake	6350			X		X		Alleges various diagnoses starting in 2012; was not aware/had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2799	TRO886165FTC	12/7/2015	Mosley, Mable	Mable Mosley	3590			X		X		Alleges diagnosis in 2011. Also alleges that made a claim with the Colom law firm in 2010 or 2011 but it was denied. Unclear what prior proceeding with Colom law firm was. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2800	<b>TRO901147FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Vanoe, Mable</b>	<b>Mable Vanoe</b>	4729			X				Not on Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2801	TRO904102FTC	1/3/2017	Spencer, Macarthur	Macarthur Spencer	3561	X	X					First diagnosed 1979, other conditions in 1990s. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2802	TRO892219FTC	2/23/2016	Macarthur, Spencer	MacArthur, Spencer	5889		X	X				1996 diagnosis; was not aware of any proofs of claims in Tronox proceeding in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2803	TRO880181FTC	12/4/2015	Hunt, Johnnie	Mack Hunt	4087	9442	X	X				Diagnosis in 1969, injured party deceased. Cut-and-paste language as to explanations for non-filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9442 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2804	TRO888956FTC	12/30/2015	Jackson, Mack	Mack Jackson	7426		X	X				1969 diagnosis; says filed claim 11/1/2009 and was informed paperwork wrong, but there is no record of such a bankruptcy claim until December 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2805	TRO894024FTC	3/2/2016	Maddox, Quaylan	Maddox, Quaylan	6175			X				2009 diagnosis; says parent filed a claim with an attorney in Aberdeen, Mississippi and never heard anything and when she called they say didn't have the paperwork; unclear when that was. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2806	TRO894023FTC	3/2/2016	Billups, Annie	Maddox, Sonia	6172		X	X				Diagnosis date 1975; the injured party died in 1991; standard language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2807	TRO893639FTC	3/2/2016	Banks, Thaddues	Maddox, Sonia	6173			X			X	Alleges an October 2009 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2015; rep provides standard form language as reasons missed bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2808	TRO894022FTC	3/2/2016	Dillard, Gwendolyn	Maddox, Sonia	6174		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2000; rep says was not aware/had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification via any media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2809	TRO887889FTC	12/14/2015	Melucci, John	Madelyn Melucci	7618			X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; spouse says she did not file claim due to being incapacitated and incompetent but fails to provide any documentation as to timing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2810	TRO890420FTC	2/23/2016	Melucci, Madelyn	Madelyn Melucci	7619			X			X	Says incapacitated and incompetent to file timely claim without supporting material, also says diagnosis was in 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2811	TRO903707FTC	11/23/2016	McNairy, Lou	Maezell Coleman	6947		X	X				Diagnosis approximately 1995; the injured party (MS resident) died in 2005; rep did not know about the lawsuit or the history of Tronox tort claim trust. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2812	TRO891508FTC	2/23/2016	Hayden, While	Magalene Hayden	5805		X	X				1976 and 1978 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1995; rep does not provide reason why missed bar date. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2813	TRO898267FTC	Unknown	Foggie, Maggie	Maggie Foggie	8023			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1990s, 2017; does not provide excuse. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2814	TRO897373FTC	5/25/2016	Strother, Linda	Maggie Gardner	5089		X	X				2000 diagnosis; injured party died in 2011; rep was the caregiver; they were away from home and due to her illness could not go to meetings or file; says that they were not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of attorneys Colom and Lundy; private meetings by certain sectors provided minimal details for other residents. Meetings held by private attorneys, and participants in them, may affect participants' knowledge of bar date but otherwise are not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2815	TRO888492FTC	12/18/2015	Lagrone, Maggie	Maggie Lagrone	7675			X				A supplement to a letter rejecting trust determination. Says she was exposed before bar date and condition manifested and was diagnosed in August 2008. Other details not available. No excuse offered in these papers for failure to file by bar date, not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2816	TRO898664FTC	Unknown	Pierce, Maggie	Maggie Pierce	5699			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
2817	TRO884359FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, James	Maggie Williams	3318		X	X				Diagnosed 1978, alleges did file POC and attaches letter from DOJ (from 2010). DOJ said this matter is not within their jurisdiction, and forwarded the matter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Complaint to DOJ does not constitute a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. No challenge to due process, no explanation as to excusable neglect or as to due diligence in pursuing claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2818	TRO884360FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Maggie	Maggie Williams	3319		X	X				Diagnosed 1986, alleges complained to Department of Justice in 2010 but it lacked jurisdiction and forwarded the matter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Complaint to DOJ does not constitute a claim in the bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to reasons why failed to meet bar date or as to diligent investigation and pursuit of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2819	TRO896037FTC	4/6/2016	Taylor, Magkehia	Magkeshia Taylor	5385		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says filed documents in a timely manner but appears to be referring to responses to questions about the late-filed claim; filed form letter saying that she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy." Also says that she "should be entitled to fair representation under the Garretson Resolution Group and any other entity." Says violation of due process; refers to private meetings and minimal details to the community. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2820	TRO891175FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Magnolia	Magnolia Tate	3950		X	X				Diagnoses 1985-1987. Says did not know of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2821	TRO901839FTC	9/14/2016	Washington, Makayla	Makayla Washington	6614			X		X		Diagnosed 2012. unaware exposed prior to deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2822	TRO897172FTC	5/4/2016	Ellis, Malcolm	Malcolm Ellis	4320		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2823	TRO903719FTC	11/23/2016	Pope, Malcolm	Malcolm Pope	7691		X	X	X			Diagnosis in 2003-04; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009 but who currently resides in Indianapolis and was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy until received the determination notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2824	TRO884374FTC	11/25/2015	Turner, Malcolm	Malcolm Turner	6426		X	X				1991 diagnosis; unaware of the claim during the time of the claims filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2825	TRO905833FTC	4/24/2017	Snell, Virgie	Malcom Snell	7020			X		X		2010 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says neither injured party nor he knew at the time that they could file a claim in the lawsuit or receive money for her injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2826	TRO889341FTC	2/23/2016	Seals, Malik	Malik Seals	5172						X	1997 diagnosis; says was a minor in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2827	TRO880300FTC	12/4/2015	Neal, Mallie	Mallie Neal	5550		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Navarro 2002; atty stopped answering calls and then moved away. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2828	TRO898886FTC	6/27/2016	Hughes, Malone	Malone Hughes	5876			X				Symptoms began in 1962, no diagnosis; no excuse provided as to why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2829	Unknown	Unknown	Wayne, Mamie	Mamie Wayne	5898			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2830	TRO891094FTC	2/23/2016	Saddler, Manuel	Manuel Saddler	3752	8331	X	X				1987 diagnosis. Not aware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8331, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2831	TRO898881FTC	6/27/2016	Kelly, Keyante	Manuela Kelly	3611		X	X				Diagnosed in 2005, alleges no knowledge of claims filing deadline and was not made aware of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2832	TRO898877FTC	6/27/2016	Kelly, Manuela	Manuela Kelly	3619		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, had no knowledge of bar date and was not made aware of it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2833	TRO898011FTC	6/10/2016	Bishop, Marcell, Jr.	Marcell Bishop	4878		X	X				2004 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2834	TRO892988FTC	2/23/2016	Hogan, Marcella	Marcella Hogan	5519		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2835	TRO893609FTC	2/23/2016	McCrary, Marcellus	Marcellus McCrary	3725						x	Diagnosed 1999, was child at time of bar date. No showing as to knowledge or awareness by parents or guardian. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2836	TRO880827FTC	12/4/2015	Nabors, Marcellus	Marcellus Nabors	5001	9302	X	X				Diagnoses 1995, 1998, 2008. Unaware of claims process and did not know could file claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9302 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2837	TRO892138FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Marco	Marco Brewer	4668			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2838	TRO894249FTC	3/2/2016	Harrison, Marco	Marco Harrison	4978						X	1996 diagnosis; minor; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2839	TRO890394FTC	2/23/2016	Hicks, Marco	Marco Hicks	6627			X		X		2011 diagnosis; says did not file because of "lack of information." No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2840	Unknown	Unknown	Hodges, Marco	Marco Hodges	6434		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, one in 1991 (asthma), others before and some after bar date; says publication of notice was not reasonably calculated to meet the claims filing deadline for claimants; did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2841	TRO890102FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Marco	Marco Neal	7915		X	X				1997 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says the claim was handled by the Colom law firm, no details of legal procedures were forwarded; moved; unaware of bar date; medical condition was still being determined. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2842	TRO885637FTC	11/25/2015	Cockrell, Marcus	Marcus Cockrell	4029			X				No diagnosis date but symptoms began in 1980s. Incarcerated from 1997-2011. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2843	TRO895457FTC	3/24/2016	Deloach, Marcus	Marcus Deloach	3955		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Says unaware of claims process and possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2844	TRO894358FTC	3/2/2016	Gore, Marcus	Marcus Gore	6285		X	X			X	Alleges a 2011 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm, 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2845	TRO888690FTC	12/24/2015	Harrison, Marcus	Marcus Harrison	6071		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1993 diagnosis; unaware of the proceedings or would have filed timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2846	TRO903251FTC	10/27/2016	Howard, Marcus	Marcus Howard	4415		X	X				February 2001 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep refers to death in immediate family but does not provide dates. Same handwriting as on other claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2847	TRO884197FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Marcus	Marcus Moore	4747		X	X				1984 diagnoses except for cataracts in 2007. Traveled with job and whenever in town taking care of ill spouse and children; unaware of bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2848	TRO885096FTC	11/25/2015	Mosely, Marcus	Marcus Mosely	3332			X		X		Diagnosed in 2016 (after the bar date). Says "my claim was filed on time" but appears to be referring to a more recent claim rather than a claim made prior to the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2849	TRO884806FTC	11/25/2015	Sanders, Marcus	Marcus Sanders	7233	8140	X	X				1982-1984 diagnoses; was not aware of the claims process; unaware of ongoing bankruptcy case; did not receive a phone call, letter, not learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. A supplement filed at docket #8140. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2850	Unknown	11/25/2015	Selvie, Marcus	Marcus Selvie	7888						X	Diagnoses 1992, 2007, 2009; minor (20) at bar date; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2851	TRO901503FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Marcus	Marcus Walker	5080			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis in September 2009 but it appears may have filed previously with dates that were earlier; says was unaware of bar date or bankruptcy case, lacked knowledge of how to complete a claim, unaware of all chemicals at issue. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2852	TRO892676FTC	2/23/2016	Watt, Marcus	Marcus Watt	7074		X	X				2000, 2002 diagnoses; did not know about it at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2853	TRO900033FTC	7/25/2016	Carter, Vanessa	Marcus West	6147			X		X		2011 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2014; rep cites standard cut-and-pasted form language as to bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2854	TRO900032FTC	7/25/2016	West, Marcus	Marcus West	6149		X	X				1986 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2855	TRO889623FTC	2/23/2016	Pilate, Marcy	Marcy Pilate	3761						X	Diagnosis in 1998. Says was a child at time of bar date. Lists attorney representation (William Colom) but without date, unclear if representation preceded bar date or post-dated it. No explanation as to parents' or guardian's knowledge or reasons why they did not make a timely claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2856	TRO898125FTC	6/20/2016	Guines, Mardirine	Mardirine Guines	6581			X		X		2013 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; unaware qualified. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2857	TRO894861FTC	3/23/2016	Peterson, Margaraida	Margaraida Peterson	6923		X	X				1995 and 2002 diagnoses; says was never asked for any proof. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2858	TRO893042FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Charlie	Margaret Brewer	5059		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1989; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice of bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2859	TRO897345FTC	5/25/2016	DiGregorio, Margaret	Margaret DiGregorio	7366		X	X				2006 diagnosis; was not aware of any claims in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Also, indicates did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2860	<b>TRO880896FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Ellis, Margaret</b>	<b>Margaret Ellis</b>	6436		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, all before 1986; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2861	TRO887815FTC	12/14/2015	Frierson, Margaret	Margaret Frierson	5589			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claims process; no knowledge of the case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2862	TRO887001FTC	12/7/2015	Hairston, Margaret	Margaret Hairston	8446			X				Diagnosis dates not clear; no excuse provided, claimant says has experienced various illnesses and believes she should be compensated. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2863	TRO898721FTC	Unknown	Tate, Anderson	Margaret Hill	5735		X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed this motion as docket 6975, which is a separate motion by Anderson Tate III on his own behalf. As to the motion at docket 5735: 2001 diagnosis; the injured party lived in Columbus, MS but died in 2006; rep not aware of claim or that it could be filed against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2864	TRO900969FTC	8/16/2016	Tate, Anna	Margaret Hill	5736		X	X		X		Alleges 2001 and 2010 diagnoses; the injured party was a Columbus, MS resident who died in 2010; unaware of claims filing for exposure to Tronox product. Unclear if 2010 diagnosis was for a new condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2865	TRO886768FTC	12/7/2015	King, Margaret	Margaret King	4724	8664		X				Merely a signature form. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8664
2866	TRO880887FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Margaret	Margaret Latham	4431	4578		X				2008 diagnosis. Was unaware of claim process. The same motion was filed at docket # 4578 with a different claim number [TRO893979FTC]. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2867	TRO897239FTC	5/4/2016	Latham, Shameaca	Margaret Latham	4576		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Rep unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2868	TRO893979FTC	3/2/2016	Latham, Margaret	Margaret Latham	4578	4431		X				2008 cancer diagnosis. Says unaware of claims process; the same motion was filed at docket #4431 with a different TRO number [TRO888087FTC]. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2869	TRO895145FTC	3/23/2016	Francis, William, Sr.	Margaret Moran	6528		X	X				1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; unaware could file for deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2870	<b>TRO888347FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Perry, Willie</b>	<b>Margaret Perry</b>	8377		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep did not know about claim process. Rep's own claim (docket 8379) shows rep and parents lived in Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2871	<b>TRO888348FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Jenkins, Jerry</b>	<b>Margaret Perry</b>	8378		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep unaware of claim. Rep's own claim (docket 8379) shows rep and parents lived in Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2872	<b>TRO888343FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Perry, Margaret</b>	<b>Margaret Perry</b>	8379		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1979 diagnosis; unaware of claim. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2873	TRO894137FTC	3/2/2016	Prandy, Margaret	Margaret Prandy	5093			X				1994 diagnosis. Says she had many hardships and refers to all her illnesses and the illnesses and deaths of relatives. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2874	TRO898632FTC	Unknown	Hadley Acuri, Margaret	Margaret Sardo Hadley	5647			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1980, 1985, 1990; injured party is deceased; rep says did not know who to blame until years later when found out about all illness in her town Avoca. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2875	TRO904284FTC	1/3/2017	Doran, William	Margaret Timlin	3473			X				The injured party died in 2008. Rep says was not aware that the injured party could get his illnesses from being exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2876	TRO885635FTC	11/25/2015	Weston-Robinson, Margaret	Margaret Weston-Robinson	5486		X	X				1975 diagnosis; unaware eligible to file until she heard from her family; did not know or have reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2877	TRO904620FTC	1/24/2017	Woolever, Margaret	Margaret Woolever	5067			X		X		Unaware of bar date; says diagnosed in 2008, surgeries in 2008, 2011 and 2016, chemotherapy in 2011 and 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2878	TRO894641FTC	3/23/2016	Carr, Maria	Maria Carr	4376	4377		X			X	Alleges 2009 diagnoses but does not give specific dates. Says did not know or understand; unaware Tronox had anything to do with her illness. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Note: the motion listed on the docket at docket # 4377 is purportedly a motion by "Deandre Hugh" but docket # 4377 actually contains another copy of the motion by Marcia Carr that appears at docket # 4376. The motion by Deandre Hughes actually appears at docket no. 8457.
2879	TRO896900FTC	4/25/2016	Huggler, Maria	Maria Huggler	7297	8307	X	X				1995 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; not aware of any claims at that time; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket # 8307. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2880	TRO896899FTC	4/25/2016	Huggler, Gordon	Maria Huggler	7298	8306		X				2007 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; rep says not aware of any claims at that time; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket # 8306. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2881	TRO892091FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Junior	Maria Washington	6830		X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says was a violation of due process, does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2882	TRO892092FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Annie	Maria Washington	6831		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2010; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says violation of due process but does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2883	TRO900265FTC	7/25/2016	Johnson, Mariah	Mariah Johnson	3974	8108	X	X				1986 diagnosis. Says did not know of claims process or deadline. A supplement filed at docket #8108, says was not aware of the dangers posed by the Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2884	TRO902916FTC	10/13/2016	Nagy, Raymond	Marian Nagy	5984			X				2003 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep says unaware of filing deadline and had not seen any newspaper publication regarding deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2885	TRO896710FTC	4/25/2016	Ropieski, Stanley	Marian Ropieski	3779			X				Diagnosis in 2005. Says did not know "of this class action lawsuit until it was in the paper." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2886	TRO915171FTC	7/26/2017	Assaf, Freda	MariAnne Assaf-Vieira	6948			X				Diagnoses 1966-67; the injured party died in 1975; rep says were not aware of exposure to any toxin or product of any kind; did not receive documentation regarding tort filing deadline due to relocation. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2887	TRO887166FTC	12/14/2015	Brewer, Marie	Marie Brewer	5210		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2888	TRO897358FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Marie	Marie Jones	4444	9421	X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware needed to file claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9421 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2889	TRO889930FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Marie	Marie Williams	7647		X	X				1965 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and received settlement \$2,392.59 net; unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2890	TRO889929FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Landis	Marie Williams	7649		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2891	TRO880497FTC	12/4/2015	Bishop, Diane (Wells)	Marilyn Bishop Davis	3775		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Injured party died in 1993. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2892	TRO894686FTC	3/23/2016	Crawford, Timothy	Marilyn Crawford	5040		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; says notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; references the injured party's incompetence because in nursing home but this was long prior to the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Notice terms were sufficient as explained in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2893	TRO897602FTC	5/25/2016	Anderson, Grace	Marilyn Crawford	5226		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1989; rep says the claimant was incapacitated because she was in a nursing home but claimant was deceased long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing, statute of limitations for a filing by decedents passed in 1990s. Says notice was deficient on its face but does not say how. Says publication notice of bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publication notices were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2894	TRO884270FTC	Unknown	Dickerson, Marilyn	Marilyn Dickerson	6402		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1983 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential clients/claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. She says she was bedridden from a crushed ankle and was not able to walk for years and could not do anything but does not provide date for ankle injury or any supporting documentation. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2895	TRO894258FTC	3/2/2016	Harvery, Marilyn	Marilyn Harvery	5417		X	X			X	Same claimant filed a separate motion (docket # 5418) on behalf of a different injured party; docket 5418 makes clear the claimant formerly resided in Columbus, MS but moved to Tennessee in early 2009. Says first symptoms were in 2009 but lists first diagnosis date as 1997; says did not receive direct information or written notice of claim filing deadline due to relocating with spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2896	TRO887349FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Jemarcus	Marilyn Moore	6792						X	2000 and 2003 diagnoses; mother filing on behalf of son; mother did not know about the case; says she was incompetent at time of deadline in 2009 because was in and out of hospital fighting for her life. Claim filed on behalf of minor. Mother's hospitalization in 2009 may explain lack of filing then but does not explain delay of many years before claim filed. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why movant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2897	TRO887351FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Demarcus	Marilyn Moore	6793						X	2000 and 2003 diagnoses; mother filing on behalf of her son, who is the twin of the boy for whom motion at docket #6793 was filed; mother did not know about the case; also says was incompetent at time of deadline in 2009 because was in and out of hospital fighting for her life. Claim filed on behalf of minor. Mother's hospitalization in 2009 may explain lack of filing then but does not explain delay of many years before claim filed. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why movant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
2898	TRO887350FTC	12/14/2015	Moore, Marlon	Marilyn Moore	6794		X	X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2000 and 2010; says unaware case existed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2899	TRO906021FTC	4/24/2017	Westbrook, Marilyn	Marilyn Westbrook	5359			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2900	TRO900389FTC	7/25/2016	Jefferson, Marvin	Marin Jefferson	3660		X	X				Diagnosed 1983, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2901	TRO884286FTC	11/25/2015	Woods, Mario	Mario James	3404						X	Says was a minor and not aware of his rights. Exposures began in 1991. Will permit supplemental submission as to claimant's age at the time of the bar date, why parents or guardians did not file a claim, and why claimant waited until 2015 before filing a claim.
2902	TRO880851FTC	12/4/2015	Perry, Mario	Mario Perry	5236		X	X				1992 diagnoses; says did not know and had no knowledge that he had been exposed to Tronox products. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2903	TRO901966FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Willie Mae	Mario Smith	6562		X	X				This motion is by Mario Smith on behalf of Willie Mae Smith as the injured party [TRO901966FTC]; the Trustee's summary incorrectly referred to a different motion by Wilda Smith [TR800868FTC] that is at docket #7076. As to the motion at docket # 6562: injured party was former Columbus, MS resident who had cancer; diagnosis date unknown, but the injured party died in 2005; rep unaware of claims process, lived in Indiana. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2904	TRO888621FTC	12/24/2015	Occhiato, Maritta	Maritta Occhiato	4220			X				1972 diagnosis. Claims natural disaster as excuse, no specifics as to nature of disaster or when. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2905	TRO890249FTC	2/23/2016	Gardner, Marjorie	Marjorie Gardner	4900	9108	X	X				1997 diagnosis. Did not know had been exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9108 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2906	TRO905760FTC	4/24/2017	Ivy, Chartis	Mark Cliett	6275		X	X		X		1990-91 diagnosis for asthma, 2013-14 diagnosis for hypertension and cardiovascular issues; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; had moved to Alabama when notice was published. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2907	TRO896923FTC	4/25/2016	DiGregorio, Mark	Mark DiGregorio	7365			X				1979 diagnosis; was not aware of any claims nor did he realize that there was a legal proceeding against Kerr McGee during that particular time period otherwise he would have filed a claim. Also, indicates that he did not know and had no reason to know that he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2908	TRO895087FTC	3/23/2016	Douglas, Mark	Mark Douglas	5570		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002; says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2909	TRO889617FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Mark	Mark Johnson	5349	5989 6771		X				1995 symptoms, diagnosis date not listed; unaware of any proceedings concerning Tronox products; signature form filed at docket # 5349; rejection notice filed at docket # 6771. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2910	TRO900245FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Mark	Mark Sanders	6414		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1988-1990 diagnoses; says publication notice was unreasonably calculated to provide sufficient time for potential claimants to meet the claims filing deadline; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2911	TRO884974FTC	Unknown	Selvie, Mark	Mark Selvie	6415	9334	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1980 diagnosis; says publication deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claims; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9334 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2912	TRO898052FTC	6/20/2016	Terry, Angela	Mark Terry	6301		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died before the bar date; rep says was not aware of the Tronox trust claim because people within the various church affiliations and associates allegedly kept the information private throughout the city of Columbus (although rep also says the injured party was a member of one of those churches). Rep also says that false information was provided saying that only people from the plant were eligible to file a claim. (May be thinking of earlier proceedings with different eligibility requirements.) Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2913	TRO904757FTC	1/24/2017	Tomassoni, Mark	Mark Tomassoni	3787			X				1998 diagnosis. Says never received direct notice that required to file a claim. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not allege that Tronox knew of the movant or of the movant's claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2914	TRO894436FTC	3/2/2016	Miller, Marki	Marki Miller	4312		X	X				1982 and 1983 diagnoses. Incarcerated, without funds to pay lawyer. However, the claim was was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2915	TRO892953FTC	2/23/2016	Bailey, Markita	Markita Bailey	7643			X		X		Says was not diagnosed until after bar date but does not state date; says had no reason to know exposed to a toxic product prior to deadline. Also says claimants did not know media was transmitting notice. Does not identify a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2916	TRO903718FTC	11/23/2016	Butler, Marla	Marla Butler	7689		X	X				Diagnoses 1974, 1997, 1998; former resident of Columbus, MS who currently resides in Indianapolis, Indiana and was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy until she received the determination notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but no makes showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2917	TRO901123FTC	8/16/2016	Ciliberto, Angeline	Marlene Smigiel	4897			X				1992 diagnosis; injured party died in 2004; rep says the injured party did not know and no reason to know she was exposed to Tronox product; publication notice of bar date not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2918	TRO901124FTC	8/16/2016	Ciliberto, Joseph	Marlene Smigiel	4910			X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; says did not know and had no reason to know he had been exposed to Tronox product; publication notice nor reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown potential claimants. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2919	TRO896652FTC	4/25/2016	Smigiel, Marlene	Marlene Smigiel	5282			X				2006 diagnosis; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2920	TRO889863FTC	2/23/2016	Fort, Marlowe	Marlowe Fort	6023		X	X				1980 diagnosis; had no prior knowledge of any deadline date; questions why others who lived in same residence were approved and his claim was not. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2921	TRO885397FTC	Unknown	Martin, Marquez	Marquez Martin	7997		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware of bar date; once became aware signed with atty now deceased (attorney not named, but if was Bambach he died in 2013). Claim based on 1992 diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. If allegation is that Bambach was retained before the bar date that is not enough; Bambach had notice of the bar date, unexcused failure of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2922	TRO886794FTC	12/7/2015	Parks, Marquez	Marquez Parks	3364		X	X				First diagnosed 1988, says "I did file a proof of claim on July 12, 2009 and never heard anything else from my claim." Alleges that a timely claim was filed but there is no record of such a claim. Even if a claim had been filed, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2923	TRO888809FTC	12/30/2015	Moore, Marqus	Marqus Moore	6072		X	X				1993 diagnosis; unaware of the proceedings or would have filed timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2924	Unknown	5/22/2018	McKinney, Martavious	Martavious McKinney	7457		X	X				1995 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus; says that paperwork was filed before 2009; says paperwork was submitted but "lost by the courts during filing procedures" and says called recently and papers were not found. No evidence of claim filing; in any event, the Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2925	TRO884578FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, Martell	Martell Taylor	4970			X		X		Alleges a 2012 diagnosis but also alleges a previously filing with Colom law firm, the parents received an offer but rejected it. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2926	TRO902987FTC	10/13/2016	Bradford-Jones, Martha	Martha Bradford-Jones	3873		X	X				Diagnoses early 1990s. Unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2927	TRO903596FTC	11/23/2016	Doughy, Martha	Martha Doughty	6355			X				2007 diagnosis; says she did not receive notice that she was to file a claim; unaware that she could file a claim and did not know anything about a deadline and who to contact; she did not see a publication notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2928	TRO890830FTC	2/23/2016	Farmer, Raymond	Martha Gordon	5446		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons for not filing a timely claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2929	TRO889733FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon, Martha	Martha Gordon	5448		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2930	TRO890825FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Nettis	Martha Gordon	5447		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; cut-and-pasted standard form language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2931	TRO893972FTC	Unknown	Leech, Arthur	Martha Gray Leech	6444		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1977 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased, possibly in 1979; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2932	TRO899219FTC	7/11/2016	Hodges, Martha	Martha Hodges	5504		X	X		X		First diagnosis date listed as "2009-2017;" says unaware of ongoing Tronox case; did not receive phone call, letter or learn anything from TV or radio concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2933	TRO901003FTC	8/16/2016	Evans, Martha Jean Williams	Martha Jean Williams Evans	4229			X				March 2008 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2934	TRO887878FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Martha	Martha Jones	5637		X	X				1994-95 diagnosis; never heard anything about the claim filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2935	TRO893971FTC	3/2/2016	Leech, Martha	Martha Leech	4439		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$1,000 settlement but thinks that was not fair. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Even if claim were not barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), is not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2936	TRO894292FTC	3/2/2016	Porter, Charlie	Martha Porter	7450		X	X				1995 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons missed bar date; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2937	TRO898854FTC	6/27/2016	Summerville, Clifton	Martha Porter	7452			X			X	2013, 2014 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2015; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2938	TRO894293FTC	3/2/2016	Porter, Martha	Martha Porter	7454		X	X			X	1965 listed as first diagnosis date but various conditions are listed, unclear whether they had separate diagnosis dates; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, outcome unknown; unaware of the bankruptcy case; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not say why; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, but actually participated in a prior class action based on such exposure. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defenses based on prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2939	TRO898856FTC	6/27/2016	Sunivelle, Bessie	Martha Porter	7455		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, outcome not specified; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in the prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2940	TRO898855FTC	6/27/2016	Sunivelle, Robert	Martha Porter	7456		X	X				1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2941	TRO901274FTC	8/16/2016	Powell, Martha	Martha Powell	5559		X	X				1982 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2942	TRO898761FTC	6/27/2016	Robinson, Martha	Martha Robinson	7926		X	X				Diagnoses 1988 and 2003; brain, bone surgery was done in 2003, it stopped her movement (aneurysm); unaware until after bar date, only provided a medical record for 2004. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2943	TRO886217FTC	12/7/2015	Whitfield, Martha	Martha Whitfield	4450	4452	X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of bankruptcy case or any notice. A duplicate of this motion is at docket # 4452. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Even if claim were not barred, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2944	TRO914740FTC	7/26/2017	Young, Martha	Martha Young	6957			X		X		2013 diagnosis; standard language as to reasons why did not file by bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2945	TRO894305FTC	3/2/2016	Calabro, Martin	Martin Calabro	6699			X				2005 diagnosis; says was unaware of deadline until spouse had a claim paid in 2015. Spouse's claim shows availability of information and knowledge of rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2946	TRO898674FTC	6/27/2016	Askew, Martina	Martina Askew	3603		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, states not aware deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2947	TRO896080FTC	4/6/2016	Williamson, Marva	Marva Williamson	4760		X	X				1986 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Gunn and the Tollison law firm in MS in 2002. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been previously resolved or if it had not already expired, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2948	TRO890367FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Clinton, Sr.	Marvin Brown	5881		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2949	TRO890819FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Mary	Marvin Brown	5885		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2950	TRO880216FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Marvin	Marvin Joiner	7558						X	1977 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says three previous lawyers were involved but only recalls the Sexton law firm; says was not provided with any information about the bar date; provides docs to support was in military service in 2009. No explanation offered for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service so that Court may assess the possible application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 as to the movant's own claim and may consider the dates of military service in evaluating the request for relief on grounds of excusable neglect.
2951	TRO880217FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Janae	Marvin Joiner	7559			X				2007 diagnosis; same movant as in docket #7558 but the motion at docket # 7559 is with respect to a claim on behalf of the movant's son; place of exposure not clear; father says previously filed with the Sexton law firm, date and proceeding not specified; father says not informed of bar date at the time was in military and moved several times while on orders of military reassignment, provides docs to support military service. Engagement of counsel shows awareness of rights and claims. No separate indication that claimant was a plaintiff in another proceeding or that attorney Sexton was counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date. An alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. No explanation offered for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2952	TRO880218FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Jamir	Marvin Joiner	7560			X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2005; same movant as in docket #7558 but the motion at docket # 7560 is with respect to a claim on behalf of the movant's child; place of exposure not clear; says previously filed with the Sexton law firm; father says not informed of bar date at the time was in military and moved several times while on orders of military reassignment, provides docs to support. No separate indication that claimant was a plaintiff in another proceeding or that attorney Sexton was counsel when the Tronox bankruptcy case was filed but, if so, a proof of claim should have been filed, as attorney Sexton received direct notice of the bar date. An alleged unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. No explanation offered for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2953	TRO912763FTC	5/30/2017	Walls, Marvin	Marvin Walls	5582		X	X				1988 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know about the claims filing deadline until 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2954	TRO887802FTC	12/14/2015	Rice, Marvis	Marvis Rice	7665		X	X	X			1981 diagnosis; says that he filed before January 12, 2009 deadline and attaches a letter from Department of Justice of February 2009. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Filing letter with DOJ did not constitute the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. No showing of factors necessary to warrant relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2955	TRO886128FTC	12/7/2015	Adams, Mary	Mary Adams	5146		X	X				1995 diagnosis; says was unaware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2956	TRO891617FTC	Unknown	Bishop, Audrey	Mary Adkins	7981		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1981-1984; the injured party died in 1984; says previously filed with Colom law firm, paperwork lost. Class action was a different case, not part of the bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2957	TRO894539FTC	3/23/2016	Allen, Mary	Mary Allen	5817			X		X		Was living in Louisiana at time learned of Tronox bankruptcy; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2958	TRO896036FTC	4/6/2016	Green, Mary Ann	Mary Ann Green	7732		X	X				1970s diagnosis; unaware of any tort claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2959	TRO897383FTC	5/25/2016	Cohen, Freddie	Mary Ann Mastin	7405		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; incompetent and incapacitated in 2009 (apparently referring to the decedent); publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; informed that only certain people or area could apply (not say by whom or when). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2960	TRO889352FTC	2/23/2016	Reggie, Maryann	Mary Ann Reggie	5841		X	X				2005-2008 diagnoses; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; the publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2961	TRO901341FTC	8/16/2016	Nanni, Arline	Mary Ann Warnek	5318			X				2007 cancer diagnosis; injured party deceased; rep says unaware of case and did not know could file a claim; says form of notice was deficient but does not say how; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants, was unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2962	TRO893717FTC	3/2/2016	Sarti, Mary	Mary Asrti	4218			X				1975 diagnosis. Implies she filed a proof of claim but may be referring to the late-filed claim. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If filed after bar date, no excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2963	TRO890390FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Edd	Mary B. Neal	3881		X	X				1956 diagnosis. Consulted attorney Howard Gunn in MS in 2002, was told could not file in class action for a deceased person. This 2002 conversation shows an awareness of connection to creosote and of potential legal rights. No explanation as to why did not pursue claims independent of the class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of 2009 bar date in Tronox case but does not show other factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of rights) to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2964	TRO889293FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Minnie	Mary B. Neal	3882		X	X				Similar to claim 3881. Says was told by Howard Gunn (after filed class action claim in 2002) that could not file for a deceased person. This 2002 conversation shows an awareness of connection to creosote and of potential legal rights. No explanation as to why did not pursue claims independent of the class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of 2009 bar date in Tronox case but does not show other factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of rights) to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2965	TRO888771FTC	12/30/2015	Bennett, Mary	Mary Bennett	4631		X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. In the form, she says that symptoms and diagnosis were in 1974, but attaches a sheet with diagnoses in 2011 or after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2966	TRO880506FTC	12/4/2015	Bradford, Tracy	Mary Bradford	6876		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; unaware could file a claim for a deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2967	TRO896629FTC	4/25/2016	Brewer, Mary	Mary Brewer	8410		X	X				1995 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, at that time she did not understand why she was getting papers from all kind of out of state lawyers; she turned her paperwork back to them; she stopped hearing from lawyers and is unaware what happened to her paperwork with lawyer Colom. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claims. Claim either was resolved in prior action or, if not, it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2968	TRO0888869FT C	12/30/2015	Brooks, Mary	Mary Brooks	6372	8735	X	X			X	First diagnosis 1999, many conditions listed so unclear if that covers all; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999 and paperwork lost and office closed; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says form of notice of the claims filing deadline was deficient on its face but does not say how; says the publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8735 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2969	TRO904973FTC	1/24/2017	Brown, Mary	Mary Brown	5452	8342	X	X				1974 diagnosis; unaware she could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket # 8342, says was without knowledge to wade through the claims process. In its submissions the trust incorrectly referred to this motion as filed at docket #6088. As to the motion actually filed at docket # 8342: the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2970	TRO901115FTC	8/16/2016	Addison, Laura	Mary Brown	5453	8343	X	X			X	Diagnoses 1969 (respiratory), 2008 (breast cancer), 2010 (lung cancer); unaware she could file a claim for a relative. A supplement filed at docket #8343, without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2971	TRO886114FTC	12/7/2015	Bush, Mary	Mary Bush	3552		X	X				Diagnosed 1997, "unaware of possibility of receiving a settlement." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2972	TRO904008FTC	1/3/2017	Bush, Mary	Mary Bush	7548	8221		X			X	2014 diagnosis; says was back and forth between cities in Mississippi and did not know of the claims; said was experiencing mental and physical health issues and thought deadline had passed years earlier with the Colom law firm; no reason to know affected by Tronox chemicals; later realized her condition could be related to creosote. A supplement filed at docket # 8221. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2973	N/a	Unknown	Bush, Mary	Mary Bush	8421			X			X	Just a tort trust claim form, no motion papers. Determinations are to be made in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2974	TRO888710FTC	12/24/2015	Campbell, Mary	Mary Campbell	4192		X	X				Lists diagnosis date as "2001-2017" but apparently refers to one continuing condition. Previously filed in 2000 with attorney Bambach (deceased), says paperwork lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. To the extent the claim is based on condition first diagnosed before 2006 the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2975	TRO888224FTC	12/18/2015	Clayborn, Mary	Mary Clayborn	4707		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 2002 and 2011. Former resident of Columbus, MS who relocated to Alabama; did not receive notice, publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim also was time-barred to the extent it is based on a condition diagnosed in 2002. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2976	TRO892956FTC	2/23/2016	Coley, Mary	Mary Coley	3679	8324 8700	X	X				Diagnosed 2005. "Was not aware of claims process." A supplement filed at docket #8324, without knowledge to wade through process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8700 with others complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2977	unknown	Unknown	Cook, McArthur	Mary Cook	8018		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. The injured party died in 2000; rep did not provide excuse merely filed a category A tort claim form. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2978	TRO890630FTC	Unknown	Swanigan, Mary D.	Mary D. Swanigan	6456			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. 2017 diagnosis; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion denied to the extent it seeks relief regarding a pre-bar date diagnosis based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2979	TRO902734FTC	8/16/2016	Deanes, Doris	Mary Deanes	7579			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
2980	TRO891948FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Betty	Mary Dooley	4591		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with Bambach, paperwork lost, injured party died in 2001. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2981	TRO893271FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Mose, Sr.	Mary Dooley	4594		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says previously filed with Bambach, paperwork lost, injured party deceased 2001. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2982	TRO893227FTC	2/23/2016	Elam, Mary	Mary Elam	7318			X			X	Diagnoses in 1981 and 2012; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999; received \$500 award; says bankruptcy discharge of claim was a violation of due process, without explanation; says the manifestation date she put on her first application to Garretson listed hypertension as a pre-petition condition but that hypertension related to a pregnancy and was resolved shortly after pregnancy, she is now amending her manifestation date to 2012 for hypertension as she was diagnosed for it after bar date and she maintains it was caused by exposure to creosote. Also alters many of the diagnosis dates from her original application with Garretson. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Movant does not explain failure to file by bar date for claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses, claim based on 1981 diagnosis also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2983	TRO884253FTC	11/25/2015	Erby, Mary	Mary Erby	4435		X	X				Part of the 2002 class action with Colom law firm; claims there was insufficient notice, had no access to internet, unaware of bar date, learned from neighbors who received checks. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2984	TRO894167FTC	3/2/2016	Terruso, Mary Esther	Mary Esther Terruso	4098			X				2002 diagnosis of "choridopathy," breast cancer diagnosis June 20, 2009. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2985	TRO894029FTC	3/2/2016	Fenton, Mary	Mary Fenton	3716			X			X	Diagnosed March 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2986	TRO891144FTC	2/23/2016	Foote, Mary	Mary Foote	4794			X			X	Trustee's summary listed claim number as unknown but a separate list shows the correct claim number (TRO891144FTC) as a claim that purportedly was not filed with the court. The claimant says symptoms and diagnosis Nov. 2009; unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to Tronox product until diagnosis in Oct 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2987	TRO894683FTC	3/23/2016	Glenn, Andrew	Mary Glenn	7685		X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1978, 1980, 2007; the injured party died in 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002, outcome not clear; rep states that she was not aware she could file a claim until she filed this claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Prior filing with Colom firm shows awareness of claims and rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2988	TRO900043FTC	7/25/2016	Hazenski, Joseph	Mary Grace Kabacinski	3820			X				Filed on behalf of person who died in 1989. Representative says does not read Wall Street Journal or watch the news. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given that injuries must have preceded 1989. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2989	TRO895832FTC	3/24/2016	Kabacinski, Mary Grace	Mary Grace Kabacinski	3821			X			X	Alleges various conditions, most of which pre-date the 2009 bar date. Says does not read Wall Street Journal and did not see notices in other papers. Became aware only when other people started receiving checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
2990	TRO902576FTC	9/14/2016	Askew, Mary	Mary Green-White	3842			X				Filing on behalf of deceased relative, dates of diagnosis and death unknown. Says movant and deceased were unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2991	TRO901364FTC	8/16/2016	Green-White, Mary	Mary Green-White	8445		X	X				Diagnoses 1954, 1962, 1976; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2992	TRO898773FTC	6/27/2016	Grice, Mary	Mary Grice	3637		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, not aware of deadline until deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2993	TRO897371FTC	5/25/2016	Gris, Stephen	Mary Gris	7294			X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; rep says not aware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2994	TRO900601FTC	8/16/2016	Dranchak, Joseph	Mary Gris	7295			X				1941 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to bar date; rep says not aware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2995	TRO900286FTC	7/25/2016	Halbert, Mary	Mary Halbert	6931		X	X				1990 and 2007 diagnoses; unaware of this until close to deadline but the application should have reached office before deadline (she may be referring to filing with the Trust). No explanation of long delay before making claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
2996	TRO897706FTC	5/25/2016	Hall, Mary	Mary Hall	5539			X				August 2006 diagnosis; did not know about the claim until after the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
2997	TRO896088FTC	4/6/2016	Hill, Mary	Mary Hill	4116	8386		X		X		Some diagnoses before bar date, but cancer diagnosis in 2016. A duplicate filed at docket # 8386. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
2998	TRO900707FTC	8/16/2016	Horton, Mary	Mary Horton	6949		X	X			X	Says was diagnosed after the deadline; one listed condition since 1970, other conditions alleged to have onsets after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
2999	TRO888598FTC	12/24/2015	Jackson, Mattie	Mary Jackson	4044		X	X				First diagnosis 2000, died in 2005. Rep unaware exposed to dangerous product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3000	TRO898638FTC	6/27/2016	Jackson, Willie	Mary Jackson	6777		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; filing on behalf of another; unaware exposed prior to deadline; says father was incapacitated and incompetent at the time of the bar date but does not explain why representative did not file on his behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3001	<b>TRO880798FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Jones-Williams, Mary Jane</b>	<b>Mary Jane Jones-Williams</b>	6251			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3002	TRO888349FTC	12/18/2015	McCrimon, Betty	Mary Jane Wyens	5275		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says did not know could have filed for deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3003	TRO900475FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Mary	Mary Jefferson	3756		X	X				1975 diagnosis, says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3004	TRO897880FTC	5/25/2016	Morreale, Mary Jo	Mary Jo Morreale	4903			X				2004 diagnosis; only reason for not meeting bar date is "did not know." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3005	TRO898746FTC	6/27/2016	Morreale, Salvatore	Mary Jo Morreale	4904			X				2008 diagnosis; no excuse listed for failure to file by bar date.
3006	TRO885318FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Mary	Mary Jones	4626			X		X		Diagnoses in 2006 and 2017. Says was unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3007	TRO901973FTC	9/14/2016	Kabacinski, Mary	Mary Kabacinski	4254			X				Diagnoses in 1984 and 1986; says did not subscribe to any of the publications used. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3008	TRO913552FTC	6/28/2017	Kilgore, Mary	Mary Kilgore	4680		X	X				1953 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but claim had already expired and in any event there is no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3009	TRO887763FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Mary	Mary Lee Sanders	6448		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1991, 1992 diagnoses; says publication of claims notice was not reasonably calculated to reach potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3010	TRO886125FTC	12/7/2015	Stewart, Georgia	Mary Linton	4795		X	X				1970 diagnosis; injured party died in 1996. Rep says claim was timely filed but apparently is under the mistaken belief that the late-filed claim was timely. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3011	TRO894311FTC	3/2/2016	Greenlee, Robin	Mary Lishman	3613	8012 8112		X		X		Father diagnosed with prostate cancer in 2007, died in late 2009. Relative says that "there was no publication notice or media notice" but certificates on file prove publication in accordance with Court's order, including publication in The Commercial Dispatch in June 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8012 identifies additional conditions allegedly diagnosed after bar date. Supplement at docket # 8112. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3012	TRO892708FTC	Unknown	Little, Mary	Mary Little	6397		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1992; says publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3013	TRO886722FTC	12/7/2015	Azzeroni, Marie	Mary Lou Azzeroni	4880	8190	X	X				2000 diagnosis; injured party died in 2001; rep unaware of proceeding or bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3014	TRO893049FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, Mary	Mary Malone	3833		X	X				1993 diagnosis. Was unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3015	TRO893010FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, T	Mary Malone	4018		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2000 and died in 2001. Says rep was unaware of Tronox bankruptcy or possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3016	TRO884588FTC	11/25/2015	Marchbanks, Mary	Mary Marchbanks	7537		X	X				Trust apparently mixed information from dockets 7536 and 7537, correct information is reflected here. 2003 diagnosis; unaware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3017	TRO885400FTC	11/25/2015	Martin, Mary	Mary Martin	7362			X		X		2008 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware that she could file a claim. Also submitted a rejection notice alleging post-bar date exposures or diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3018	TRO897481FTC	5/25/2016	McCrary, Mary	Mary McCrary	5227			X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis; says did not understand how to complete the claim form and did not understand some of the questions but may be referring to correspondence with Trustee over her claim filed after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3019	TRO893820FTC	3/2/2016	McCrary, Robert	Mary McCrary	5293			X		X		Alleges 2008 symptoms but 2010 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of lawsuit, also had issues getting a copy of the application; does not provide medical records. If diagnosis did not occur until 2010 that would reasonably explain a failure to file by the bar date. Diagnosis date may be disputed, however. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3020	TRO914495FTC	7/26/2017	Mills, Mary	Mary Mills	4896			X		x		Did not understand or know it; says symptoms and diagnosis sept 2009 and 2010, does not provide medical records but claims first diagnosis was in 2010. Does not identify a pre-bar date diagnosis or condition for which relief is sought based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim based on alleged post-bar date diagnosis is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust based on its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3021	TRO898850FTC	6/27/2016	Misencik, Mary	Mary Misencik	4917		X	X				1988 diagnosis; says not properly notified; did not receive mail or newspapers. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3022	TRO898907FTC	6/27/2016	Pippins, Alice	Mary Moore	3792		X	X				Filing for mother who died in 1968. No knowledge of case before deadline. Was 15 at time of mothers' death (in 1968) and didn't know reason for her death, so couldn't have blamed it on creosote. However, health risks of creosote exposure because the subject of widespread news coverage in Columbus, MS after 1999. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3023	<b>TRO887660FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Morris, Mary</b>	<b>Mary Morris</b>	4307	4313	X	X				Not listed on Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis. Unaware exposed to Tronox product, unaware there was a claim. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #4313. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3024	TRO905278FTC	3/1/2017	Morris, Nathaniel	Mary Morris	7682			X				The injured party died in 2011; merely an application for a future tort claim; no excuse provided.
3025	TRO893515FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Tyshiwon	Mary Morris (Rejto?)	5015			X		X		Says symptoms & diagnosis 2010; deceased 2015; did not know anything about filling out a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3026	TRO95320FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Jimmy	Mary Mosley	6203		X	X				1986 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1991; rep says was unaware of the lawsuit as she had moved away from the area; says publication notice in her area was not reasonably sufficiently calculated to provide notice to a potential claimant who was unknown of opportunity to file a claim on a relative's behalf; nor did she receive notice; unaware of process, did not know she could file a claim for deceased relative. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Bar date notice was published in three different MS newspapers in June 2009 including the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus (which was only 26 miles from Starkville), the Clarion-Ledger in Jackson, and the Hattiesburg-American in Hattiesburg), as well as in newspapers in nearby states and the national edition of the Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3027	TRO901961FTC	9/14/2016	Mosley, Mary	Mary Mosley	6296		X	X				Did not receive a notice to file and was unaware of a lawsuit. At time of bar date had moved out of the area and the publication notice of the claim filing deadline was not reasonably sufficiently calculated to provide notice to a potential claimant who was unknown at the time of notice nor was she given a notice; discharge of claim was in violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3028	TRO893188FTC	2/23/2016	Murphy, Mary	Mary Murphy	4212		X	X				Diagnoses in 1993 and 1995. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3029	TRO884052FTC	11/13/2015	Epps, Savannah	Mary Orr	4149	8837	X	X				injured party was diagnosed in 1997 and died in 1997. Rep does not offer excuse for late filing. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8837 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3030	TRO893178FTC	2/23/2016	Staples, Mary Pearl	Mary P. Staples	6356	8839	X	X				1988 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm and received a small settlement. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8839 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3031	TRO896002FTC	4/6/2016	Brandon, Annie	Mary Patterson	7187			X				First diagnoses 1940 or so; the injured party died, was unaware of claim 1997; injured party's residence and place of exposure unclear; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3032	TRO905565FTC	3/28/2017	Petty, Mary	Mary Petty	4901		X	X				1993 diagnosis; did not know how to go about getting paper and did not have the number; former MS resident, moved away to Ohio in 1990. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim were not barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3033	TRO887352FTC	12/14/2015	Poindexter, Leo	Mary Poindexter	6796		X	X				1980s and early 2000s diagnoses; unaware case existed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3034	TRO902270FTC	9/14/2016	Pounds, Mary	Mary Pounds	6756		X	X			X	Says diagnosed 5/8/2013, but also references a 1998 claim against "Tronox Chemical Products, Hamilton;" standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3035	TRO898543FTC	6/27/2016	Reed, Mary	Mary Reed	4075			X			X	Diagnoses dates unclear, says 2009 and prior years. Alleges that workers were called to Macon, MS to be tested for something (unclear what), were told results would be sent; were not told the purpose of the test, got no answers. Timing of these events is unclear. Says was unaware and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox products. Also claims insufficient notice and that publication notice was not reasonable, but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices were reasonable for reasons stated in the accompanying decision, notices were published in several local newspapers in Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim alleging a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3036	TRO893406FTC	2/23/2016	Bonner, Mary	Mary Renee Mixon	7787			X				November 2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not know she could file a claim on behalf of her relative. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3037	TRO890769FTC	2/23/2016	Riddle, Mary	Mary Riddle	7250		X	X				1947 diagnosis; was not aware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim violation of due process. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3038	TRO888396FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Mary Roby	Mary Roby Smith	3745		X	X				Diagnosed 1979, does not read Wall Street Journal and nothing was on the news. Alleges that "they told me that my name was in the system but I hadn't gotten any notice in the mail saying I could file until a person at Maranatha Faith Center in Columbus MS told me about it." However, affidavit of service shows that notice of the bar date was mailed to Mary Smith as a participant in the pending Creosote Litigations in MS. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3039	TRO896000FTC	4/6/2016	Roberts, Lorean	Mary Rollin-Patterson	7137			X				First diagnoses in 1970s; the injured party died in 2011; place of exposure not clear; rep unaware could file a claim for deceased relative; the injured party was unaware of lawsuit and did not know she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3040	TRO889722FTC	2/23/2016	Ross, Mary	Mary Ross	4003		X	X			X	1980 diagnosis, was part of 2002 class action lawsuit. But also lists conditions that arose after Aug 2009. Prior class action shows awareness of legal rights and claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Motion is denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date and of defenses (including whether prior class action barred future claims by participants) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3041	TRO890950FTC	Unknown	Staples, Mary	Mary Staples	6440		x	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2001, 2005, 2008; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3042	TRO890902FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Barbara	Mary Swanigan	5201		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; unaware of creosote exposure or hazards of creosote. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3043	TRO890903FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Mary	Mary Swanigan	5247		X	X				1956 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1969; unaware of exposure to and hazards of creosote. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3044	TRO884948FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, Mary	Mary Taylor	3436	8999	X	X			X	Says diagnoses were in 2009 and 2013 but also says previously filed claim with the Colom law firm in a 2002 class action. Says unaware of the bankruptcy claims process, did not see any notification via TV or newspapers. Says symptoms of most serious conditions 2009 and after. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8999 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Claim in prior class action shows awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3045	TRO888560FTC	12/24/2015	Taylor, James	Mary Taylor	5831			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3046	TRO889447FTC	2/23/2016	Chisdock, Mary Theresa	Mary Theresa Chisdock	5037			X				1998 cancer diagnosis; says the form of notice was defective on its face; the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know or have reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Form of notice was sufficient as explained in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3047	TRO895741FTC	Unknown	Thomas, Mary	Mary Thomas	8046			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1994 diagnosis; did not know about the lawsuit. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3048	TRO898492FTC	Unknown	Thompson, Mary	Mary Thompson	4144			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3049	TRO902336FTC	9/14/2016	Gilhooley, Andrew	Mary Tracy	6664			X				1990 diagnosis; death in 2000; rep says deceased did not know about the case. Tronox bankruptcy did not occur until 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3050	TRO900055FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Mary	Mary Trimble	5081			X		X		Unaware of bar date or bankruptcy case; lack of knowledge on how to complete a claim; unaware of all chemicals at issue; says symptoms and diagnosis August 31, 2009 but it appears may have filed previously with dates that were earlier. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified in motion for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3051	TRO886401FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Mary	Mary Turner	4850			X		X		Says was unaware exposed to Tronox product; says asthma, gallstones and removal of gall bladder; does not state diagnosis date for asthma, says gall bladder symptoms were in 2009, diagnosed in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3052	TRO902450FTC	9/14/2016	Vance, Mary	Mary Vance	4020		X	X				1997 diagnosis, followed by 2004 medical clinic visit connecting condition to asbestos exposure. Says was unaware who to contact about filing a claim until 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3053	TRO893968FTC	3/2/2016	Watson, Mary	Mary Watson	5371		X	X			X	2002 diagnosis; explains basis for claim but does not explain failure to file by bar date or even within reasonable time thereafter. However, while form alleges a diagnosis in 2002, all medical records provided are for after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3054	TRO887507FTC	12/14/2015	Weatherby, Simeon, Sr.	Mary Weatherby	7918		X	X				1988 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3055	TRO892423FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Mary	Mary Wilson	5865		X	X				2008 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to California in 1980; says was not aware of the claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3056	TRO886490FTC	12/7/2015	Young, Mary	Mary Young	4663	8816	X	X				1987 diagnosis. Says did not receive direct notice which violates due process; out of town at the time. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8816 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3057	TRO902956FTC	10/13/2016	Wroblewski, Anna	Maryann Schneider	3502	8028		X				Diagnosed in 1970's, the injured party deceased 1982; rep filing on behalf of dead parents, brother-in-law told him/her about the claims process in 2015 and then s/he filed this. A duplicate claim filed at docket #8028, unaware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3058	TRO901480FTC	8/16/2016	Wroblewski, Joseph	Maryann Schneider	3503	8027		X				The injured party died in 1961. Filing on behalf of dead parents, brother-in-law told him/her about the claims process in 2015 and then s/he filed this. A duplicate claim filed at docket #8027, unaware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3059	TRO898996FTC	6/27/2016	VanLuvender, Christopher	MaryKathryn Dineen	6134	8242		X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984. A supplement filed at docket #8242, rep says was never approached to file a claim and not aware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3060	TRO898995FTC	6/27/2016	VanLuvender, Ruth	MaryKathryn Dineen	6135	8239		X				1985 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; rep says that no contact was made to file a claim on her behalf. A supplement filed at docket #8239, rep says was never approached to file a claim and not aware could file for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3061	TRO898994FTC	6/27/2016	Dineen, MaryKathryn	MaryKathryn Dineen	6136	8243		X				1985 diagnosis; moved from Avoca in 1983; was not approached to be part of claim process. A supplement filed at docket #8243, says was never approached to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3062	TRO885094FTC	11/25/2015	Murray, Mashea	Mashea Murray	7482		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says that from 1/12/2009 to 11/2009 he was in Baghdad, Iraq as a contractor. However, does not explain long delay after his return before a claim was filed, and this Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3063	TRO900259FTC	7/25/2016	Walton, Mataeiah	Mataeiah Walton	5147			X		X		Says was not placed in correct toxin category to get compensated; sent a letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
3064	TRO886738FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Matthew	Matthew Hannon	3340			X				Diagnosed "yes." Says "did not know exposed." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3065	TRO900510FTC	8/16/2016	Harge, Matthew	Matthew Harge	6053		X	X				1998 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3066	TRO881062FTC	12/4/2015	Klimasiewfski, Matthew	Matthew Klimasiewfski	7161			X			X	Minor, unaware of bar date; does not read Wall Street Journal; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3067	TRO893220FTC	2/23/2016	Radle, Matthew	Matthew Radle	4369	8142		X				1979 diagnosis. Unaware that Tronox had a creosote facility nearby; got no direct notice; was unaware of bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8142, says was unaware of the legal issues resulting from the environmental pollution; no notice was mailed to him; the ignorance of being aware of a legal action has been placed on him; says someone should have canvased the neighborhood and taken names and followed through with respect to those who lived in the affected area. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3068	TRO884770FTC	11/25/2015	Andrews, Mattie	Mattie Andrews	6911		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in September 2009 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; says was not aware of the claim in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim based on pre-2006 diagnoses was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3069	TRO901148F	Unknown	Baskins, Mattie	Mattie Baskins	4730			X				Not on Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3070	TRO904191FTC	Unknown	Brown, Mattie	Mattie Brown	6250			X			X	Not included on Trust's summary. Docketed as a rejection form but actually indicates that claimant is willing to accept a proposed allowed claim offered by the Trust. Does not seek relief from the Court.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3071	TRO895088FTC	3/23/2016	Brownlee, David	Mattie Brownlee	3771	8062	X	X				Diagnoses 1996 and earlier. Filed by relative. Says no reason to know exposed prior to death. A supplement filed at docket # 3771 and docket # 8062; simply educated, unaware of manner in which to proceed. No showing as to deceased's or his family's knowledge and diligence in pursuing claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3072	TRO902453FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Tommie	Mattie Fields	6095	6132 8277	X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; supplement at docket # 6132. Rep says was in the hospital at the time of the bar date and forgot the deadlines; provided the same excuse for her own claim but in that claim she also says rehabilitation was in 2016 to 2017 (not 2009). No explanation for long delay after bar date before claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3073	<b>TRO900105FTC</b>	7/25/2016	Fields, Mattie	Mattie Fields	6132		X	X				Claim number was omitted from Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates from 1970 to 2009. Says broken leg and other sickness required hospitalization and rehabilitation in 2016 to 2017 (appears referring to filing with trust in 2016 rather than original bar date in 2009). No explanation of failure to file in 2009, no explanation of further delay from 2009 until 2016 except for explanation as to leg injury in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3074	TRO892547FTC	2/23/2016	Gillespie, Mattie	Mattie Gillespie	6717			X		X		Alleges was diagnosed July 2010, did not know he had a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3075	TRO894076FTC	3/2/2016	Hampton, Joe	Mattie Hampton	7900		X	X				Diagnosis "yes;" the injured party died in 1999; rep unaware that claimant exposed to Tronox chemical. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3076	TRO888897FTC	12/30/2015	Hopkins, Mattie	Mattie Hopkins	4321		X	x				1981 diagnosis. Says claim form was unclear as to bar date, publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3077	N/a	11/24/2015	Jackson, Mattie	Mattie Jackson								See docket 4044, which is a motion by Mary Jackson for a Mattie Jackson. Trust lists a separate motion by Mattie Jackson as one that was filed with the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule on the motion unless and until it is filed with the Court.
3078	TRO889833FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Mattie	Mattie Jamison	6106			X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim based on condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3079	TRO913621FTC	6/28/2017	Stevenson, Hattie	Mattie Jethroe	5329		X	X				The injured party died in May 2006; rep says that there was never a meeting that informed that part of town that they were living in a contaminated area; was unaware. Records show there were meetings in Columbus that advertised the dangers of creosote, as indicated in the summary attached to the Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3080	TRO887882FTC	12/14/2015	Jordan, Sylvester	Mattie Jordan	4622		X	X				1983 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002 class action. Injured party deceased 2004. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3081	TRO894939FTC	3/23/2016	Verdell, Tommie James, Sr.	Mattie R. Vendell	7657			X				2008 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; spouse says that at time of filing deadline (unclear which filing deadline she references as she provides medical records for 2008, 2015 and 2017); says injured party was incapacitated due to chronic respiratory failure which made the task of completing basic daily activities without assistance impossible. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Unclear if alleged incapacity was during bar date period or during other times, but even if incapacity explained failure to file in 2009 it does not explain the many years that passed after the bar date before any claim was filed. No showing of any diligent investigation of legal rights and claims during that period. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3082	TRO887848FTC	12/14/2015	Verdell, Mattie	Mattie Verdell	5407		X	X				Diagnoses before 1995; previously filed with atty Bambach 2000 or 2001 and Howard Gunn 2004; never got anything back that was definite from Bambach; when the atty died, says was told of another attorney but was never contacted. Bankruptcy case for Tronox was not filed until 2009, actions by counsel in prior years are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3083	TRO888937FTC	12/30/2015	Walker, Mattie	Mattie Walker	4645			X			X	Unaware of the claims process; says diagnosis in Oct 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3084	TRO887495FTC	12/14/2015	Wilkins, Mattie	Mattie Wilkins	3470	9063	X	X				1989 Diagnosis. Did not know about the previous filing deadline, was not made public knowledge; went to talk to several attorneys at unspecified times, they took his name but did get back to him. Found out later that applications were getting filed. A supplemental letter filed with others at dk #9063 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3085	TRO902301FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Arvin	Mattie Williams	4713		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS. Premature infant deceased, rep says residing in Arkansas since 2000; unaware of class action until late, then unaware of bar date, then when another lawsuit was opened (presumably she means the filing of claims with the Trust in 2015 or so) she received an application from Garretson but they did not mention that the deadline had passed. Claim based on a 1978 diagnosis is not a future tort claim. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if claim were not time-barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3086	TRO880717FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Augusta	Mattie Williams	4714		X	X				1985 diagnosis; injured party died in 2006, filed by same representative as claim 4713 (a former MS resident), refers to an attached letter. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Claim based on a 1985 diagnosis is not a future tort claim. Even if the claim were not time-barred, the movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3087	TRO880718FTC	12/4/2015	Williams, Ofie	Mattie Williams	4715		X	X				Injured party died in 2000, filed by same representative as claims 4713 and 4714 (a former MS resident), same excuse. Claim was time-barred by the time of the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim were not time-barred, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3088	TRO885554FTC	11/25/2015	Woods, Mattie	Mattie Woods	7392	8160	X	X				May 2003 diagnosis; was not aware could file a claim, if she had known, she would have filed; learned about the Garretson Trust at a town hall meeting many years later. A supplement filed at docket # 8160. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3089	TRO889069FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Alphonso	Maude Harrison	4740	5949	X	X				1990 diagnosis; injured party died in 1992; rep unaware could file claim for deceased relative. A duplicate claim filed at docket #5949. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3090	TRO893282FTC	2/23/2016	Webber, Maurey	Maurey Webber	7053		X	X				1985 diagnosis; says was not aware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3091	<b>TRO892011FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Cunningham, Maurice</b>	<b>Maurice Cunningham</b>	6453		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999-2000 diagnosis; publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide potential claimants notice of filing deadline; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3092	TRO894145FTC	3/2/2016	James, Maurice	Maurice James	7672			X			X	Various diagnosis dates listed, all after bar date; unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Trustee challenges timeliness of the motion, but no pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, deadline applied only to motions seeking relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3093	TRO886874FTC	12/7/2015	Allen, JC	Maxine Allen	5234	8976		X			X	Alleges irritated eyes in May 2009, more serious conditions after bar date; unaware Tronox was taking claims for his diagnosed illnesses. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3094	TRO886872FTC	12/7/2015	Allen, Maxine	Maxine Allen	5276	8976		X			X	Unaware Tronox was taking claims for his diagnosed illnesses; claims that all symptoms were diagnosed from Oct 2009 - Jan 2010. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8976 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3095	<b>TRO891009FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Clemons, Maxine</b>	<b>Maxine Clemons</b>	4733			X				Not on Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3096	TRO892300FTC	2/23/2016	Hall, Maxine	Maxine Hall	5883		X	X				1991 diagnosis; says did not have access to publication notice; not aware and no reason to know exposed to dangerous creosote product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3097	TRO886586FTC	12/7/2015	Morgan, Maxine	Maxine Morgan	7278		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Lundy & Davis, the Colom law firm and Cochran firm in 1999 or 2000; received settlement offer but refused it because she thought that there was not enough clarity surrounding information received; later filed with Hamilton, Morgan, Sexton & Berry for a potential claim against Kerr McGee. They said she should have opted out of class action but did not and her case was closed. Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case or of any notices about case. Participation in prior proceedings shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3098	TRO903634FTC	11/23/2016	Lowery, MC	MC Lowery	5533	8805	X	X			X	Symptoms 1999-2017; diagnosis date "yes"; previously filed with Chucky Easley 1999, did paperwork at his office. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8805 complaining about the process. Engagement of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3099	TRO885646FTC	11/25/2015	Bradley, McArthur	McArthur Bradley	3369	8784	X	X				First diagnosed in June 1999, states that she did not file POC because she had "no knowledge" of the lawsuit, which was "due to no publication notices of the filing deadline." In fact, the notice of the bar date was published in the Commercial Dispatch (the local Columbus newspaper) in June 2009. In addition, claimant says was part of a 2002 class action with Wilbur Colom as counsel. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8784 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3100	TRO890616FTC	2/23/2016	McDavis, Dorothy	McDavis, Dorothy	5744		X	X				1992 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; did not receive direct notice required to file a claim. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3101	TRO886701FTC	12/7/2015	Vaughn, McNeil	McNeil Vaughn	4086			X				Says diagnosis in 1906, plainly that is wrong. Symptoms listed as "2005-1963-2003-2008." Unaware of lawsuit until people were talking about it; unaware of bar date. Filed a claim on the "second round" in 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3102	Unknown	#N/A	Chaney, Meijun	Meijun Chaney	7477	X	X					Diagnoses 1992, 2000; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved away to Georgia in 2004 and was unaware of bankruptcy case; did not receive any information about the future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3103	TRO885038FTC	11/25/2015	Dunn, Melinda	Melinda Dunn	3350			X				Previously filed with atty Robert Powell in 2003, he was later incarcerated for corruption. Says she did not receive any notice or contact to inform her of bar date; later a friend informed her and she did some research and learned of future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Contact with counsel in 2003 confirms awareness of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect in the absence of a showing that counsel's own conduct should be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3104	TRO886739FTC	12/7/2015	Klimasiewfski, Melinda	Melinda Klimasiewfski	7096	X	X			X		Diagnoses 2006 and 2012; unaware of filing date; did not know some of her conditions were related to a Tronox product. It was not public knowledge to file; does not read the Wall Street Journal; did not receive any notification regarding bar date; says publication notice was not reasonable; says bar date notice was deficient on its face but does not say how. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3105	TRO887528FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Melinda	Melinda Malone	7606		X	X				Diagnosis in 2007, rest earlier. Unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3106	TRO885769FTC	11/25/2015	Minor, Melinda	Melinda Minor	4156		X	X				Diagnoses 1994-2000. Previously filed with Colom law firm early 2000's. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3107	TRO891719FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Melinda	Melinda Sherrod Selvie	6958	8224	X	X		X		Says symptoms began 1986, diagnoses listed are all from 2010-2015; says was unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. A supplement filed at docket #8224, unaware of the health issues that would result from living in the contaminated area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3108	TRO887119FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Melinda	Melinda Williams	4074		X	X				1969 diagnosis. unaware; unaware of dangerous toxins at time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3109	TRO886110FTC	12/7/2015	Hunter, Melissa	Melissa Hunter	6675		X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 1983; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was on active duty in South Korea from January 2009 to April 2010. However, the claim was time-barred under the applicable MS statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3110	TRO897991FTC	6/10/2016	Patman, Girlo Johnson	Melissa Johnson	5880		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2008, 2009, 2012, rest before 2006. Unaware of how to properly file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3111	TRO898910FTC	6/27/2016	Petty, Melissa	Melissa Petty	6718		X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3112	TRO893009FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Melissa	Melissa Robinson	5561			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2015; unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3113	TRO891741FTC	2/23/2016	Sharp, Melissa	Melissa Sharp	4778			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; says did not know of the Tronox case, did not see a public notification, called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3114	TRO902548FTC	Unknown	Turner, Melissa	Melissa Turner	6555			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1988 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; was not aware of original case filing earlier. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3115	TRO902549FTC	Unknown	Walker, Bobby	Melissa Turner	6556			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; place of exposure not clear; rep says claim was filed when notified of existing case, was not aware of earlier filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3116	TRO891821FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Melissie	Melissie Hibbler	7640			X		X		Diagnoses 1965, 1975, 1989, 2010; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but it is not clear that the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to a previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3117	TRO886046FTC	12/7/2015	Verdell, Meller	Meller Verdell	3769			X				1979 diagnosis, no justification given for failure to file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3118	TRO890422FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Christine	Melody Petty	6983		X	X				1972 and 1983 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1988; rep unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3119	TRO887022FTC	12/14/2015	Barry, Richard	Melody Petty	6989		X	X				Diagnoses 1974, 1980, 2000, 2005; the injured party died in 2007; rep says unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3120	TRO886294FTC	12/7/2015	Brooks, Melvin	Melvin Brooks	6315			X		X		The is merely an acceptance of an offer by the trust for a \$5,000 allowed claim. It seeks no relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3121	TRO904199FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Melvin	Melvin Dancy	4367		X	X				Diagnoses between 1979-1990. Unaware of settlement in law suit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3122	<b>TRO898081FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Martin, Melvin</b>	<b>Melvin Martin</b>	7992			X				Not included in Trust's summary. March 2009 diagnosis; lawyer he signed up with is deceased (lawyer not named and date of passing not specified); informed another attorney would take over but did not hear anything. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. If lawyers failed to follow up, their failures are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the lawyers' own failures are excusable.
3123	TRO901435FTC	8/16/2016	Parson, Melvin	Melvin Parson	7659			X		X		Active military duty in Korea from 1/2009 to 2010; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not see any publications; diagnosis for one condition 9/1/2009, all others after that date. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion does not allege any pre-bar date conditions for which relief based on excusable neglect or due process is sought, the deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3124	TRO885011FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Melvin	Melvin Smith	3907			X			X	Some diagnoses before 2009, some after. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3125	TRO903656FTC	11/23/2016	Summerville, Melvin	Melvin Summerville	7563			X			X	Says first diagnoses in 2011; says did not know and no reason to know or suspect exposed to a Tronox product prior to the claims filing deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3126	TRO898130FTC	6/20/2016	Givens, Desi	Mendes Givens	4238		X	X				1998-99 diagnosis. Deceased 1999. Rep unaware of claims process, unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3127	TRO888299FTC	12/18/2015	Givens, Mendes	Mendes Givens	4242		X	X				1985 and 1991 diagnoses. Unaware of process and of dangers of Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3128	TRO900499FTC	8/16/2016	Jackson, Mervin	Mervin Jackson	8458		X	X				Diagnoses 1994 and 1995; asserts that he filed before deadline in August 2009 but the official claims register shows no such claim. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3129	TRO884013FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Mesha	Mesha Sanders	6240		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1986 diagnosis; says the lawsuit was not advertised enough and his family did not know that it was going on. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3130	TRO890652FTC	2/23/2016	Evans, Metrice	Metrtice Evans	5190		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach, says filed claim in 2000 and does not know what happened. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in another action in 2000 or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3131	TRO894159FTC	3/2/2016	Allan, Michael	Michael Allan	7290			X				1994 and 2006 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; not even aware that the plant was nearby. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3132	TRO900665FTC	8/16/2016	Anthony, Michael	Michael Anthony	5605	8402		X		X		Alleges diagnosis in 2009 (date not specified in form); moved prior to 2009; unaware of the proceedings and of exposure to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8402, same letter concerning not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information in community. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Meetings held by other claimants are not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3133	TRO892208FTC	2/23/2016	Buckhalter, Michael	Michael Buckhalter	5394			X		X		Alleges symptoms in 2013 and diagnosis in 2014; says did not file claim because did not know the cause of condition was contaminated water; unaware of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3134	TRO895735FTC	3/24/2016	Butler, Michael	Michael Butler	5677			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; does not provide medical records; says was unaware of the process until 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3135	TRO886959FTC	12/7/2015	Dale, Michael, Jr.	Michael Dale, Jr.	7235						X	1994 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; says no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; was young at the time. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3136	TRO881009FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, Michael, Sr.	Michael Dale, Sr.	7236			X				1982 diagnosis; unaware of filing deadline; never received a letter from Kerr-McGee; does not read Wall Street Journal. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3137	TRO893086FTC	2/23/2016	Dancy, Michael	Michael Dancy	5501			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in November 2009; says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; in prior filing forgot to add most recent conditions; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears those are the most recent conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3138	TRO903257FTC	10/27/2016	Farrell, Michael	Michael Farrell	5716	8187		X			X	Says first diagnosis in 1996 but lists various different conditions, does not appear to have listed the first diagnosis date for each condition, some conditions listed as starting before bar date, some after. Rep says the injured party worked at the plant and that he was threatened by management not to file any lawsuit against the company or he would not be able to collect pension. Motion acknowledges that the plant was closed in 1996, 13 years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009, but movant alleges that the injured party was afraid that his pension would be affected if made a claim. Does not allege lack of notice of bar date or of his legal rights. No identification of who allegedly said not to file a lawsuit, or when. Rep says the injured party suffers from functional illiteracy, incompetence in reading, writing and mathematics, and rep says she was the only person to assist but at the time was deployed in Iraq, but not a sufficient showing of injured party's inability to file or to enlist help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Insufficient showing of relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3139	TRO898559FTC	6/27/2016	Fields, Michael	Michael Fields	7893		X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s, 1980s, "mid-2000s," unaware exposed to Tronox product prior to bar date; says that his respiratory conditions manifested "later into my adulthood." Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3140	TRO887814FTC	12/14/2015	Frierson, Michael	Michael Frierson	5592			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim process; no knowledge of case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3141	TRO886725FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Michael	Michael Hannon	3339			X				Diagnosed "yes." No real allegations - says used to play baseball next to the plant (also now lives in PA, but does not allege lack of due process or excusable neglect or grounds upon which relief from the bar date should be granted. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3142	TRO885223FTC	11/25/2015	Horne, Michael	Michael Horne	7934		X	X	X			Various diagnoses, all 1984 and earlier; says was unaware of bar date; aware local law firm was pursuing claims but it "seemed as though there was not enough information to pursue, and was not sure if the process was real or accurate." Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3143	TRO903308FTC	10/27/2016	Ferack, Joseph	Michael J. Nidoh	7714	8188		X				Diagnosis dates unknown, approximated to be 2006; the injured party died in 2008. Estate administrator says that he thought a claim had been filed by the injured party but that is impossible because the injured party died before the bankruptcy case had even been filed. A supplement filed at docket #8188, says he understands the remaining funds were for anyone who failed to file by the bar date, but that is not correct - the remaining funds are primarily for people whose injuries did not manifest until after the bar date, others can participate only if they meet the stringent criteria for relief from the bar date based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3144	TRO897343FTC	5/25/2016	Koza, Michael	Michael Koza	7075	8161		X			X	2013 diagnosis; did not know about it at the time; says symptoms did not appear until after bar date. A supplement filed at docket # 8161, rep says party is disabled and has learning disabilities, rep was out of the state when filing procedure started for the Kerr-McGee lawsuit, and the injured party was unable to fill the proper paper work on his own. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3145	TRO897207FTC	5/4/2016	Lynn, Michael	Michael Lynn	4689			X				1990 diagnosis. Says was not made aware. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3146	TRO890423FTC	2/23/2016	Maxwell, Michael	Michael Maxwell	6667			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2012; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3147	TRO888488FTC	12/18/2015	Morgan, Michae	Michael Morgan	7702			X			X	Diagnoses in 2009 and 2010; says was not aware of the Tronox Trust claims filing deadline because individuals within the various church affiliations and associates kept the information private from not only him but also throughout the city of Columbus during the time period to submit a timely claim. Says people within the city also had false information, stating that "only people who worked for the Kerr-McGee chemical plant were eligible to file a claim." There was a pre-bankruptcy lawsuit that had different eligibility conditions but the pre-bankruptcy lawsuits were not part of the bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3148	TRO889456FTC	2/23/2016	Pope, Michael	Michael Pope	6437		X	X			X	Various conditions and diagnosis dates; tried to sign up with the Colom law firm and Bambach but they were not taking new clients, does not say dates when this occurred; did not know and no one helped. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights, there were other attorneys who could have been contacted to pursue a claim even if Messrs. Colom and Bambach would not do so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3149	<b>TRO880854FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Dover, Betty</b>	<b>Michael R. Harris</b>	4051			X			X	Motion is not on Trust's summary. Not clear whether Tort Claims Trust has objected to this motion or to this claim. Injured party is deceased but rep says diagnosis in 2012. Says injured party did not get direct notice; did not know she had been exposed to a Tronox product, they had moved out of state. To extent the motion seeks relief on grounds of excusable neglect it is denied for failure to identify a specific pre-bar date claim for which relief is sought and a failure to make sufficient showings (regarding diligent investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) to warrant relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3150	TRO900268FTC	7/25/2016	Richey, Michael	Michael Richey	6708			X			X	Diagnosed 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3151	TRO893442FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Michael	Michael Scott	7414			X			X	Says symptoms 2001 and diagnoses 2011 and 2014; says was unaware of the information and the possibility of getting a settlement for physical injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3152	TRO896795FTC	4/25/2016	Thompson, Michael	Michael Thompson	3864						X	Diagnoses in 2006 and prior years. Says was a child at the time of the deadline. No showing as to parents' or guardian's knowledge, awareness or pursuit of claim or explanation why they did not act before bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3153	TRO902287FTC	9/14/2016	Wright, Michael	Michael Wright	6791		X			X		Diagnosed 12/14/2013; also says unaware of deadline at time of deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3154	TRO898646FTC	6/27/2016	Miller, Charles	Michele Mayo	3589		X					Diagnosed 1992 and earlier years, injured party died in 1992. Claim filed by relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3155	TRO897899FTC	5/25/2016	Miller, Gertrude	Michele Mayo	4057		X					1992 diagnosis. Rep unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3156	TRO894774FTC	3/23/2016	Adams, Michelle	Michelle Adams	4975		X			X		Unaware of claims process, says diagnoses were in May 2009 and in 2010, says medical records are already on file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3157	TRO905826FTC	4/24/2017	Tate, Michelle	Michelle Tate	4819		X	X				1997 diagnosis; says was unaware of the bar date or the possibility of a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3158	TRO886934FTC	12/7/2015	Watson, Mickey	Mickey Watson	4588		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Previously filed with Colom and received \$2,000, which he says was unfair (it is not clear if any portion of the award was for him as he later says his children were granted a monetary award but he was not). Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3159	TRO893209FTC	2/23/2016	Carr, Mika	Mika Carr	4505		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS. In response to question about first diagnosis date says "1996 and between 2017." Did not know how to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on any conditions first diagnosed before 2006 were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3160	TRO888238FTC	12/18/2015	Dora, Mikayla	Mikayla Dora	6271			X			X	Unaware of the Kerr-McGee claim; alleges first diagnosis in 2011, after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3161	TRO898224FTC	6/20/2016	Wilson, Mike	Mike Wilson	8526			X			X	Says did not file a claim because misunderstood the procedure; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3162	N/A	12/7/2015	Watson, Mikel	Mikel Watson	5622			X				Merely a copy of a trust claim form; says previously filed claim (apparently referring to the late-filed claim), there is no information on dates of illnesses; does not provide an excuse or make any showing as to sufficient grounds for relief.
3163	TRO891368FTC	2/23/2016	Milan, Williams	Milan Williams	5203		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3164	TRO896617FTC	4/25/2016	Brooks, Mildred	Mildred Brooks	5858			X			X	Alleges conditions that arose before and after bar date. Says the published bar date notice did not allow a reasonable amount of time to file claims, but that does not explain the many years of delay before claimant filed a claim. Also says was unaware exposed to a dangerous Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3165	TRO903122FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Anderson	Mildred Fields	4609		X	X				1999 diagnosis; injured party died in 1999; part of 2000 class action lawsuit, atty Jeffrey Navaro. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3166	TRO903123FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Shirley	Mildred Fields	4610		X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1969, died in 1987. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3167	N/a	N/A	Gibson, Mildred	Mildred Gibson	6973			X			X	Unclear if filed a claim. Motion alleges pre-bar date exposures but that conditions did not manifest and were not diagnosed until after bar date; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim; was not aware of any publication published in her area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3168	TRO896658FTC	4/25/2016	Hinton, Mildred	Mildred Hinton	7692			X				Diagnoses in 1975 or 1976; place of exposure not clear; says moved out of state and unaware of litigation against company. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3169	TRO896662FTC	4/25/2016	Hargrove, Willie	Mildred Hinton	7694		X					1982 and 1992 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1992; place of exposure not clear; rep says moved out of state in early 1990s, unaware of claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3170	TRO895678FTC	3/24/2016	Hargrove, Dorothy	Mildred Hinton	7833		X					Diagnoses 1998 and earlier; the injured party died in 1998; place of exposure not clear; movant moved to another state in early 90's; says injured party is deceased; unaware of litigation. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3171	TRO886493FTC	12/7/2015	Jones, Mildred	Mildred Jones	7334		X			X		Says diagnoses began in 2010; lived in Columbus, MS as a child and lived there with mother in 2009-2011; says previously filed a claim with Edwin A. Flint, Jr. in 2002 but did not receive any follow-up; says was in the military (dates not specified) and did a lot of moving. Claim filed in 2002 must have been in connection with a prior lawsuit as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Motion does not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnoses for which relief from the bar date is sought. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3172	TRO892176FTC	2/23/2016	Monroe, Mildred	Mildred Monroe	4270	X	X			X		Alleges various conditions diagnosed at various dates: high blood pressure (1989), diabetes (1990), arthritis (2012), skin rash (2012), cholesterol (2009). Previously filed with atty Navarro but in 2003 requested exclusion from a prior class action. Says was unaware of bar date. Proof of claim filed in December 2015. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3173	TRO892179FTC	2/23/2016	Dixon, Margaret	Mildred Monroe	4296		X	X				1998 diagnosis; claim filed December 2015. The injured party deceased 2007; rep says insufficient notice. Notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3174	TRO903204FTC	10/13/2016	Wright, Mildred	Mildred Wright	7172	9431					X	2004 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of bankruptcy case as moved away from Columbus in 1999 and joined the U.S. Air Force; does not provide dates of service, may have ended in 2004. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9431 complaining about the process. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service so Court may assess possible application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 and so that Court may consider dates of military service in assessing request for relief based on excusable neglect.
3175	TRO895801FTC	3/24/2016	Miller, James	Miller, James	6195	8164	X	X		X		2005 diagnosis but unclear if also claims later conditions; says was unaware of the process, did not see any publication in regards to the Tronox bankruptcy case regarding a deadline or information on the case; says the publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplement filed at docket #8164 adding an additional post-bar date condition (high cholesterol). Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 2005 diagnosis also was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3176	TRO902138FTC	9/14/2016	Gray, Will	Millie Gray	5333		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1985; unaware of claims filing; unaware what was cause of conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3177	TRO894932FTC	3/23/2016	Hoskins, Millie	Millie Hoskins	4406			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3178	TRO885836FTC	11/25/2015	Mills, Estell	Mills, Estell	4967		X	X				Conditions diagnosed in 1970s and 1980s; unaware of bankruptcy proceedings; formerly lived in Columbus, MS, now in Alabama. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3179	TRO888288FTC	12/18/2015	Brewer, Milton	Milton Brewer	5110		X	X		X		Says saw a doctor in 2010 but form makes clear he has been treated for the conditions since childhood in 1970s. Says he did file a claim but never received anything. However, no record of a claim in the bankruptcy process. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. To the extent a timely claim was not filed, there is an insufficient showing of relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), motion is not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3180	TRO884441FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Mindy	Mindy Petty	5671	8169 9041	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with William Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8169. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9041 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3181	TRO898573FTC	6/27/2016	Merritt, Minnie	Minnie Merritt	5179		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2000 and new condition in 2015 (cancer); says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 2000 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3182	TRO897844FTC	5/25/2016	Peoples, Minnie	Minnie Peoples	4037		X	X				1994 diagnoses. No reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3183	TRO886336FTC	12/7/2015	Petty, Minnie	Minnie Petty	5694	8153	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork misplaced. A supplement filed at docket #8153. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9039 complaining about the process. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3184	TRO900388FTC	7/25/2016	Shelton, Minnie	Minnie Shelton	8502			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3185	TRO893161FTC	Unknown	Spruill, Oray	Minnie Spruill	8000			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1989-2001; no reason provided for not filing by bar date; only says a claim was filed in 2015. Place of exposure not clear. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3186	TRO893552FTC	2/23/2016	Colebrooke, Minola	Minola Colebrooke	3542	8170	X	X				Diagnosed 2003, filed initial claim in December 2004 with an attorney and corresponded with "Creosote Litigation Group" in 2005. Contends he should be entitled to an additional settlement for asthma condition, prior litigation submission was for sarcoidosis. Prior litigation proceeding shows awareness of rights and of connection to creosote exposure. A supplement filed at docket #8170, says unaware of lawsuit because lives in Florida. Also says Trust has been sending her responses under two different claim numbers even though she says that claim number TRO888080FTC was superceded by claim # TRO893552FTC. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was already resolved in prior litigation or it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3187	TRO897453FTC	5/25/2016	Jones, Ola	Minola Colebrooke	3543	8199	X	X				Diagnosed 1985. Refers to prior dealings with Creosote Litigation Group in 2005, says did not know could submit on deceased mother's behalf. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8199, rep said unaware of lawsuit as she lived in Florida. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Reference to prior dealings with Creosote Litigation Group shows awareness of legal rights and participation (or opportunity to participate) in prior legal proceeding. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3188	TRO896718FTC	4/25/2016	Porter, Miranda	Miranda Porter	7808		X	X				2000 diagnosis; not get notice of "class action;" did not see published notices; did not know had been exposed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3189	TRO894735FTC	3/23/2016	Thomas, Mitchell	Mitchell Thomas	6499		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; unaware of claim; says was in and out of hospital caring for spouse and references loss of relatives but does not provide dates or documentation; even if circumstances could explain why did not file in August 2009 there is no explanation of long post-bar date delay before filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3190	TRO891013FTC	2/23/2016	Ford, Henry	Mittie Ford	6940		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2006; previously filed with the Colom law firm but was not paid fairly; unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3191	TRO901023FTC	8/16/2016	Reed, Mondell	Mondell Reed	6808			X			X	Says unaware of deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011 but there are no medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3192	TRO894538FTC	3/23/2016	Averhart, Mondrale	Mondrale Averhart	5045	8586	X	X				1992 diagnosis; says was unaware exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket # 8586 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3193	TRO891665FTC	2/23/2016	Bardley, Monesa	Monesa Bardley	6327		X	X				1980 diagnosis, but unclear if other conditions manifested; says discharge of claim is violation of due process; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3194	TRO889608FTC	2/23/2016	Bonner, Monica	Monica Bonner	6038			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3195	TRO884646FTC	11/25/2015	Dent, Monica	Monica Dent	4164		X	X		X		Some diagnoses in 1990s, alleges one diagnosis after bar date. Did not file before bar date because did not know how to do it; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3196	Unknown	8/16/2016	Dobbs, Monica	Monica Dobbs	6622			X		X		Complaint about an offer received from the Trust. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3197	TRO894873FTC	Unknown	Grays, Monica	Monica Grays	6464		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1996 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonable; did not know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3198	TRO894256FTC	3/2/2016	Hudson, Monica	Monica Hudson	4468			X			X	Standard language repeated as to reasons why seeks relief; says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3199	TRO888130FTC	12/18/2015	Hudson, Julia	Monica Hudson	4495		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2000 and died in 2008; cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3200	TRO880678FTC	12/4/2015	Graham, Monica McCrary	Monica McCrary Graham	3689			X				Diagnosed 1999, did not know of claim process, says did not know exposed at time of filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3201	TRO891536FTC	2/23/2016	McGee, Monica	Monica McGee	5992		X	X				1988 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process; unaware of dangers of Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3202	TRO893421FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Monica	Monica Sykes	7154						X	Date condition first diagnosed listed as "2003-2017;" minor; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3203	TRO900873FTC	8/16/2016	Roby, Monique	Monique Roby	3735		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3204	TRO891393FTC	2/23/2016	Whitfild, Monique	Monique Whitfield	5760		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and rejected an offer; unaware of deadline. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3205	TRO892618FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Monte	Monte Jones	6610		X	X				1989 diagnosis; says did not know anything about it until too late. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3206	TRO890210FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Montero	Montero Baker	6261			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3207	TRO898084FTC	6/20/2016	Doss, Montresa	Montresa Doss	5629			X		X		Claim is based on 2010-2011 miscarriages; unaware of the claim process; she later learned of it and did research and discovered the chemicals could have caused her conditions. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3208	TRO888445FTC	12/18/2015	Scott, Morgan	Morgan Scott	7655		X	X				Diagnoses 1993, 2001, 2002, 2005, 2009; place of exposure not clear but motion by related party at docket #7654 indicates former residence in Jackson, MS; says unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; says he was 17 years old living in Georgia, had to assist in care of parents and his own health issues; there were financial issues, lost home and were destitute and bar date passed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Explains why did not file a claim in 2009 but does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed, no showing of any effort during that time to investigate legal rights and pursue them. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in MS then claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3209	TRO889368FTC	2/23/2016	Allen, Moroline	Moroline Allen	4842		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says not aware of conditions at time of bar date but also says previously filed with atty Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3210	TRO887786FTC	12/14/2015	Lidell, Annie	Morris & Emogene Liddell	5922	8382	X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; did not know about such a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8382, says as excuse for lateness they were in midst of a family crisis, but it is unclear to which lateness it refers, the date is not provided and it may more likely refer to the lateness of the filing with the trust as this excuse was not provided in the initial filing. In any event, family crisis does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3211	TRO912658FTC	5/30/2017	Knox, Morris	Morris Knox	6478			X		X		2016 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3212	TRO890246FTC	2/23/2016	Murray, Morris	Morris Murray	4761		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3213	TRO890467FTC	2/23/2016	Windham, Morris	Morris Windham	7178		X	X			X	1999 diagnosis; says was residing in another state and did not receive one; also says was incompetent at filing deadline. Filed a signed rejection notice alleging new post-bar date exposures and/or new post-bar date diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3214	TRO891655FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Meco	Morris, Meco	4310		X	X				Refers to diagnosis in 1975 and various conditions that pre-date 1990. Says was unaware of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3215	TRO886405FTC	12/7/2015	Youngblood, Mozell	Mozell Youngblood	7569		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2000, 2010, 2017; not aware of bankruptcy claims filing at time of bar date; did not see any of the published notice; moved away from Mississippi after school. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3216	TRO888797FTC	12/30/2015	Callie, Payne	MS Payne	4768						X	2005 diagnosis. Rep says that injured party was incapacitated and incompetent at the time, she resided in a nursing home and was unable to communicate. Rep does not specify date and it is unclear if this condition predated the bar date, particularly because the Trust says the claim is barred by the statute of limitations. Will permit supplemental submission to explain timing and duration of the alleged incapacity, whether the same affected the running of the statute of limitations, and reasons why guardians or reps did not file a claim until many years after the bar date.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3217	TRO888121FTC	12/18/2015	Mullins, Jake	Mullins, Jake	6289		X	X				2002 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, was not contacted, attorney later died [in 2013]. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3218	TRO891331FTC	2/23/2016	Burns, Muriel	Muriel Burns	3705		X	X				Diagnosed 1993, job required traveling, states that publication notice was insufficient as a result. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but does not allege that Tronox knew of his claim and does not challenge the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Publication notices were reasonable and in compliance with due process requirements for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3219	TRO887486FTC	12/14/2015	Council, Myia	Myia Council	8427			X		X		Unaware of bar date; misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Says symptoms December 2009, diagnosis October 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3220	TRO884499FTC	11/25/2015	Cockrell-Brewer, Mynona	Mynona Cockrell-Brewer	7148			X				Alleges symptoms from 1990s but diagnosis 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm in 1990s in class action lawsuit against Kerr-McGee for residents living in the surrounding area of facility, outcome of that claim not clear; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not read Wall Street Journal; says Tronox did not try hard enough to locate those living in area who were rejected; did not receive direct notice. Says the class action did not do right by people, is thankful that the Tort Claims Trust is providing a second chance. Tort Claims Trust is not related to the prior class action and has no connection with it. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3221	TRO890849FTC	Unknown	Rush, Myra	Myra S. Rush	8006		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; says did not know anything about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3222	TRO900535FTC	8/16/2016	Washington, Bailey	Myron Washington	6554			X				2007 diagnosis; rep says unaware daughter exposed to Tronox product; files same letter as others that says not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy, and refers to secret town meetings and discharge of claim violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3223	TRO900536FTC	8/16/2016	Washington, Brooke	Myron Washington	6589			X				2007 diagnosis; parent filing for daughter; unaware child was exposed to Tronox product; says was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; discharge of claim a violation of due process; certain sectors met in private with minimal details to the town. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3224	TRO889983FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Myrtle	Myrtle Jones	7908		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1972, 1990, 2013; prior to bar date, unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3225	TRO884960FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, N	N Taylor	3424		X	X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; did not have knowledge of Tronox deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3226	TRO885623FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, Nadia	Nadia Gray	7049						X	2008 diagnosis; minor; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; did not see any publication information related to the case; the notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3227	TRO895639FTC	3/24/2016	Lagrone, Nakia	Nakia Lagrone	6774		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1994, 1996, 2016; says was unaware of filing deadline; also says made claim in class action in 2002 and received \$800. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including merits of any defense based on the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3228	TRO885667FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Nakiala	Nakiala Williams	3675		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no knowledge exposed or reason to know before deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3229	TRO887169FTC	12/14/2015	Nalls, Malaysia	Nalls, Shervica	5005		X	X				2004 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says not aware of process and that she could file a claim for a deceased relative. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3230	TRO880231FTC	Unknown	Karriem, Naml	Naml F. Karriem	6449						X	Not included in Trust's summary. 2003 diagnosis; minor; says publication notice of filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential clients unknown at the time of notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3231	TRO894674FTC	3/23/2016	Nance, Nan	Nan Nance	7324		X	X				2004 diagnosis; did not know about the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3232	TRO887465FTC	12/14/2015	Burr, Arthur	Nancy Burr McCollum	6995		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; motion alleges incompetence, incapacitation at time of bar date, unclear whose; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3233	TRO890344FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Nancy	Nancy Cunningham	5868		X	X				Diagnoses in 1978, 1981 and 1982; says unaware of the information or possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injury. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3234	TRO886724FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Nancy	Nancy Hannon	3338			X				Diagnosed 1993. Claims "did not know and no reason to know exposed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3235	TRO901699FTC	8/16/2016	Kologe, Joseph	Nancy Kologe	6822	8181		X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says did not realize Tronox product could have caused his death until did research on the chemicals to which he was exposed. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8181. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3236	TRO888570FTC	12/24/2015	Lampley, Nancy	Nancy Lampley	5684	8289	X	X				1989 diagnosis; unaware of the process and did not know that could file a claim for option 2. She states that "according to 'The Dispatch' newspaper," a local newspaper in Columbus, MS, the residents were not properly notified of how to receive claims for personal injury, but what she quotes from the article was the position taken by the Colom and McClanahan attorneys representing some class action plaintiffs, and the judge disagreed and found the notice sufficient. A supplement filed at docket #8289, says was without knowledge to wade through the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3237	TRO887831FTC	12/14/2015	Richardson, Nancy	Nancy Richardson	5991			X		X		Alleges some post-bar date new manifestations of illness, unclear if new post-bar date diagnosis; says unaware and did not know about bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3238	TRO902609FTC	9/14/2016	Snopkowski, Joseph	Nancy Walsh	3393	4963 8057		X				Father was diagnosed in 1978, died in 1979. "I filed all paperwork sent to me, for my father, every time they sent me paperwork I filled it out." Apparently is referring to paperwork filed in connection with the late-filed claim. Supplement filed at docket #4963 and 8057, complains that other people received money without doctor reports. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3239	TRO897173FTC	5/4/2016	Bridges, Natasha	Natasha Bridges	5112		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3240	TRO900009FTC	7/25/2016	Ivy, Natasha	Natasha Ivy	4764		X	X				June 1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3241	TRO891762FTC	2/23/2016	Morris, Natasha	Natasha Morris	7662	8296	X	X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in November 2009 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2004, outcome not specified. A supplement filed at docket # 8296, without knowledge to wade through the process. Says submitted information before the deadline but appears to be under the misimpression that the late-filed claim was timely. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion will be accepted based on its date and the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3242	TRO892437FTC	2/23/2016	Sherron, Jesse	Natasha Sykes	4420		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980s, died in 2008. Rep unaware of case because certain group allegedly maintained information privately, false information provided that only people in company could file a claim. No details as to any particular false information allegedly given or who was responsible for the same. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3243	TRO891436FTC	2/23/2016	Blevins, Nathan	Nathan Blevins	7838		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3244	TRO890045FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Nathan	Nathan Taylor	3887		X	X		X		Lists 1981 as date of first diagnosis but conditions listed all have diagnosis dates after the bar date (2010 and later). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3245	TRO890840FTC	2/23/2016	Payne, Nathaniel	Nathaniel Payne	3834			X		X		First diagnosed in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3246	TRO898655FTC	6/27/2016	Moore, Nautica	Nautica Moore	3709			X				Diagnosed 2009, no month provided, "didn't have no idea at the time." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3247	TRO902681FTC	8/16/2016	Coleman, Ned	Ned Coleman	4751			X				March 2006 diagnosis. Was not aware of filing until spoke with atty (date unspecified) and he said he would get back in touch with him; later when others were filing application he filed also. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3248	TRO884816FTC	11/25/2015	Johnson, Ned	Ned Johnson	7465			X		X		Alleges 9/1/09 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3249	TRO880803FTC	Unknown	Fox, Nekeia	Nekeia Fox	7967		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1987 diagnosis; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3250	TRO900832FTC	12/18/2015	Gholson, Nekeydra	Nekeydra Gholson	6058		X	X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2009, dates not clear; says unaware exposed to a Tronox product, also letter saying "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; discharge of claim violation of due process; refers to secret meetings in community and minimal details to town at large. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3251	TRO898547FTC	6/27/2016	Fields, Nellie	Nellie Fields	4825			X			X	Unaware exposed to Tronox product; some conditions prior to bar date but most serious are listed as being diagnosed in 2016 and later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions first diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3252	TRO898090FTC	6/20/2016	Stevenson, Wilie	Nellie Griffin	7121		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002-2005, but did not receive a settlement. Rep learned of the possibility of a future tort claim and filed again. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3253	TRO898089FTC	6/20/2016	Stevenson, Joanne	Nellie Griffin	7188		X	X				1979 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002-2005 but did not receive a settlement; the injured party is deceased; filed with Trust when the possibility of a future tort claim resurfaced through the church. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3254	TRO899944FTC	7/25/2016	James, Nellie	Nellie James	8459			X		X		February 2010 diagnosis; says unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3255	TRO897163FTC	5/4/2016	Mayfield, Nellie	Nellie Mayfield	4808			X		X		Unaware of bankruptcy claim/case; most symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3256	TRO899924FTC	7/25/2016	Taylor, Nellie	Nellie Taylor	6124			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in December 2009; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3257	TRO894871FTC	Unknown	Grays, Nelson	Nelson Grays	6409		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1980-1987 "and continued health problems even presently (2010);" says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3258	TRO887672FTC	12/14/2015	Pratt, Neosha	Neosha Pratt	6707			X				2008 and 2009 diagnoses; unaware able to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3259	TRO898712FTC	6/27/2016	Dismukes, Nequay	Nequay Dismukes	6506			X				Diagnosed in childhood; did not file because was not living in Columbus at the time; did not know that symptoms were directly related to Tronox chemicals. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3260	TRO889911FTC	2/23/2016	Biscontini, Dolores	Nicholas Biscontini	5321			X				1988 diagnosis; injured party was confined to her home from 2004 until her death in 2015. She was not ambulatory; she did not receive a newspaper delivery. No showing of incapacity to a degree that movant could neither file a claim for herself or enlist the aid of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3261	TRO885848FTC	11/25/2015	Turner, Nicholas	Nicholas Turner	3601			X		X		Diagnoses in 2010 and 2014. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3262	TRO896786FTC	4/25/2016	Norris, Nichole	Nichole Norris	6713			X				1987 diagnosis; thought you could only file claim if had cancer. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3263	TRO893506FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Nichole	Nichole Young	4133			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3264	TRO892716FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Nick	Nick Taylor	4502			X		X		Symptoms and diagnosis 10/2009 but refers to earlier filing with earlier conditions; says was unaware of bar date, misinterpreted conditions of filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. No showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3265	TRO898030FTC	6/20/2016	Miller, Nickeela	Nickeela Miller	6768		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1997, 2010, 2012; says unaware exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3266	<b>TRO893488FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gore, Nicki</b>	<b>Nicki Gore</b>	5895			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Just signed rejection form and signed motion form, no information. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3267	TRO892339FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Nickie	Nickie Johnson	5322		X	X				Diagnosis in 2004; says did not know could file a claim and was too sick to seek any assistance, does not provide dates or verification for alleged incapacity. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3268	TRO895917FTC	4/6/2016	Conner, Nico	Nico Conner	6533	8550	X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 2004; says was not aware of exposure to Tronox product; says at time of filing claim was away at college for some time and returned to care for a sick relative (does not provide specific dates); says exposures began in 1972, so was not a minor at the time of the bar date; did not hear any discussion about filing a claim; says discharge of claim a violation of due process. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8550. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3269	TRO895733FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Nicole	Nicole Brown	4666			X		X		Symptoms and diagnosis after bar date; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3270	TRO890986FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Nicole	Nicole Epps	6225	7664	X	X		X		Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001 but did not accept offer; after rejected offer there was no information made available regarding optional recourse; filed again in 2003 with Dalrymple law firm; says that she is uncertain if she has excusable neglect or a violation of due process she did everything she knew to be considered and included in the bankruptcy claimant. A rejection notice for this claim is filed at docket #6225. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3271	TRO906044FTC	4/24/2017	Urban, Nicole	Nicole Urban	4648			X				November 2004 diagnosis. Says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3272	TRO893438FTC	2/23/2016	Jordan, Niesha	Niesha Jordan	4054		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Not aware of process or the possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3273	TRO896597FTC	4/25/2016	Cunningham, Nina	Nina Cunningham	7182		X	X				1995 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; moved to Millport, Alabama (about 23 miles from Columbus, MS) in approximately 2005; says did not know could file a claim; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3274	TRO901027FTC	Unknown	Terry, Nita	Nita Terry	6064		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1987 and earlier; was unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for her injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3275	TRO898135FTC	6/20/2016	Lavender, Quincy	Nitiya Barry	6981		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2003 and 2011; rep unaware could file a claim; was not notified via mail, newspaper, letter or other media concerning matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3276	TRO898134FTC	6/20/2016	Barry, Nitirah	Nitiya Barry	6986		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2001, 2008, 2011; rep says unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3277	TRO889386FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Nitiya	Nitiya Barry	7000		X	X				1981 diagnoses; unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letters or other media concerning matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3278	TRO887617FTC	12/14/2015	Congious, Sarah	Norcasha Congious	3347		X	X				Diagnosed 2005, filing on behalf of dead mother. Mom died, father allegedly became incompetent - drug and alcohol dependent. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Insufficient showing of incompetence at time of bar date. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3279	TRO886841FTC	Unknown	Henry, Lillie	Norma Saddler	5265	8452	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1996 and earlier; the injured party died in 2001. There were duplicate motions docketed for this claimant using different TRO claim numbers, one is filed at docket #5265 [TRO886841FTC] and the other at docket # 8452 [TRO880837FTC]; the rep's excuse is she was not aware of the process or that she could file a claim; says violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3280	TRO880837FTC	12/4/2015	Henry, Lillie	Norma Saddler	8452	5265	X	X				Diagnoses 1996 and earlier; the injured party died in 2001; there were duplicate motions docketed for this claimant using different TRO claim numbers, one is filed at docket #5265 [TRO886841FTC] and the other at docket # 8452 [TRO880837FTC]; the rep's excuse is she was not aware of the process or that she could file a claim; says violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3281	TRO889146FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Tom	Norma Sanders	6326		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1970s diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says injured party was elderly and did not understand proceedings of filing a claim; rep does not say why she did not file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3282	TRO892901FTC	2/23/2016	White, Norma	Norma White	6748		X	X			X	Alleges 2014 diagnosis but also says filed a claim in the 2002 class action. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline & publication notice insufficient, but does not elaborate. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3283	TRO900484FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Norman	Norman Jefferson	3635		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, not aware of deadline until deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3284	TRO901210FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Norman	Norman Jefferson	4971		X	X				1976 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3285	TRO896405FTC	4/13/2016	Latham, Norman	Norman Latham	3560		X	X				Diagnosed 1991, alleges made claim through attorney Howard Gunn but without providing dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3286	TRO886441FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, O'Brien	O'Brien Gardner	4112	9236	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1990 and 1992 diagnoses. Did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9236 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3287	TRO896137FTC	4/6/2016	Hodges, Octavian	Octavian Hodges	5873		X	X		X		Alleges 2015 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3288	<b>TRO886477FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Holt, Octavian</b>	<b>Octavian Holt</b>	8005		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1995 and prior; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3289	TRO900847FTC	8/16/2016	Davidson, Odell	Odell Davidson	5076		X	X				Diagnoses 1973 and earlier; unaware of bar date; not living in specific local area, therefore, assumed did not qualify; unaware that qualified. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3290	TRO904009FTC	1/3/2017	Bush, Odessa	Odessa Bush	4798		X	X				1993 diagnosis; says that she tried to file a claim but was told it was over with and she did not know what to do. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3291	TRO892025FTC	2/23/2016	Jefferson, Ola	Ola Jefferson	6024		X	X				Diagnoses all pre-date 1993; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3292	TRO898968FTC	6/27/2016	Beatty, Oleather	Oleather Beatty	5104		X	X				1986 diagnosis; did not know about it then. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3293	TRO892071FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Oliver	Oliver Miller	3738		X	X				Diagnosed 1994, unaware case existed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3294	TRO881057FTC	12/4/2015	Johnson, Olivia	Olivia Johnson	4206		X	X				1972 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; did not receive notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3295	TRO892879FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Susie	Olivia Slater	6592	9123	X	X				Diagnosis date listed as 1933 but may mean 1993; the injured party died in 1993. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9123 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3296	TRO895838FTC	3/24/2016	Brown, Scennie	Ollie Brown	6056			X				1990 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; the injured party is deceased; rep says did not know of any ongoing case with Tronox; was not notified by mail, telephone or by anyone; did not learn anything about this matter from TV or radio. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3297	TRO889831FTC	2/23/2016	Webber, Ollie	Ollie Webber	8520			X				No excuse provided, no details provided, says that the trust has copies of all medical information already. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3298	TRO885129FTC	11/25/2015	Richardson, Olmarie Poole	Olmarie Poole Richardson	5163		X	X				Diagnoses all 1990 and earlier. Unaware of process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3299	TRO897132FTC	5/4/2016	Jones, Omar	Omar Jones	7671		X	X				Various conditions, does not list each one and does not list diagnosis date for each one; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3300	TRO886623FTC	12/7/2015	Roby, Omekia	Omekia Roby	4459		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Says did not receive direct notice. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not contend Tronox knew of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3301	TRO884937FTC	11/25/2015	McCarter, Onassis	Onassis McCarter	3370		X	X			X	Some conditions diagnosed in 1999, others in April 2017. Says she "did not know much" about the Tronox bankruptcy case, thought she had to reside in the area her whole life. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on 1999 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on alleged post-bar date diagnoses are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3302	TRO895717FTC	3/24/2016	Hopkins, Onie	Onie Hopkins	7287			X			X	Says diagnosed in 2009 but does not provide month; unaware could file a claim when others were filing but the pastor advised him to complete an application. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3303	TRO890388FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Ora	Ora Roby	7750			X			X	Alleges symptoms began in 2001 but not diagnosed until 2012; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3304	TRO891624FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Oradell, Jr.	Oradell Brown	4472		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates not clear. Says was unaware of ongoing Tronox case, did not receive direct notice, did not see anything on TV, did not learn about the claims process until 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3305	TRO886702FTC	12/7/2015	Vaughn, Oren	Oren Vaughn	4085		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1990s but claims one diagnosis in 2009 (not specific as to date). Says was unaware of lawsuit until filed a claim on the "second round." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3306	TRO880769FTC	12/4/2015	Guyton, Mary	Orko Guyton	6946	8085	X	X				1993 and 1995 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1997. Rep says had no knowledge of exposure to Tronox product at that time; unaware of claim option or way to complete paperwork. A supplement filed at docket #8085; rep says did not have knowledge of the lawsuit or bar date; trying to contact company since 2001; refers to a NY law that allows victims to file lawsuits within reasonable time upon discovery; complains that victims get \$1,000 payments while attys get 50% in "administrative fees." Claim is governed by MS law, not NY law. Claim was time-barred under MS statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3307	TRO897477FTC	5/25/2016	Ferguson, Orlando	Orlando Ferguson	5794	8193	X	X				Had to use respiratory machine in 1993; says was incarcerated at the time of the bar date and had no way nor anyone to carry out anything of that nature for him. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8193, incarcerated from 12/2008 to 8/2013. However, this claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
3308	TRO886167FTC	12/7/2015	Harris, Orlando	Orlando Harris	8448			X				Signed motion, no specifics as to conditions, no excuse provided as to why missed the bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3309	TRO886043FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Orlando	Orlando Smith	3817		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Was part of 2002 legal case with Colom law firm. Claim resolved in a prior litigation could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, then participation in the prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3310	TRO896370FTC	4/13/2016	Davis, Jarrett	Orvella Smith	8431			X				2004 symptoms onset; diagnosis "N/A;" the injured party is deceased; no reason given why bar date was missed. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3311	TRO896369FTC	4/13/2016	Davis, Maurice	Orvella Smith	8432			X				2002 symptoms; the injured party is deceased; rep does not provide excuse for missing bar date. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3312	TRO896381FTC	4/13/2016	Ellis, Mitt	Oscar Ellis	3803			X				1988 diagnosis, party died before bar date. No explanation as to failure to file by the original bar date, therefore no proper grounds stated for relief.
3313	TRO903392FTC	10/27/2016	Howard, Oscar	Oscar Howard	4793		X	X		X		Cut-and-pasted summary language as to excuses for not making a timely filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in a Kerr-McGee class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions that manifested prior to bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3314	TRO893047FTC	2/23/2016	Lewis, Oscar III	Oscar Lewis III	7922		X	X				1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; did not see any publication notice; says there was no news in local papers, but notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice, does not say why; unaware exposed to Tronox product; unaware of threat from living in area. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3315	TRO898866FTC	6/27/2016	Wofford, Otha	Otha Wofford	4925			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2011; says was ill and does not recall receiving papers until 2017. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3316	TRO885277FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Otis	Otis Sherrod	7447			X		X		2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3317	TRO885887FTC	11/25/2015	Tucker, Otis	Otis Tucker Jimmy Tucker	7552		X	X				1980, 1989 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1991; rep says failure to file was due to ignorance on his part for not knowing and understanding the truth of what these papers were all about because of what seemed to be false gossip rather than value put on the importance of these papers; Tronox did not do proper advertisement in major newspaper or at least not enough to spread the authenticity of the advertisement; more value at getting out the proper and correct information was taken lightly. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3318	TRO886136FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Otis	Otis Williams	7410		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999, outcome not specified; unaware could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3319	TRO894417FTC	3/2/2016	Outlaw, Annette	Outlaw, Annette	5572			X		X		Says was not aware; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3320	TRO895354FTC	3/24/2016	Grant, Owen	Owen Grant	4047			X				No diagnosis date, symptoms in 1979. Says was unaware of Tronox, no reason to believe exposed to Tronox product. Claim filed 12/9/2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3321	TRO884648FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Ozella	Ozella Coleman	4816		X	X				Diagnoses in 1992-1994; unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3322	TRO901119FTC	8/16/2016	Saunders, Ozella	Ozella Saunders	6829		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1990 and earlier; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of bankruptcy filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3323	TRO892501FTC	2/23/2016	Dumas, Lucille	Ozzie Rhone	7374	9312	X	X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1968; says incompetent and incapacitated at time of filing deadline (unclear if just referring to injured party); rep says that publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9312 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3324	TRO901855FTC	9/14/2016	Thompson, Ozzie	Ozzie Thompson	4908		X	X				1974 diagnosis; says did not know about it, moved from MS to Chicago, Illinois. Bar date notice was published in the Chicago Tribune. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3325	TRO899300FTC	7/11/2016	Brown-Johnson, Palmetche	Palmetchie Brown-Johnson	6725		X	X				1969, 1999, 2005 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says atty Bill Bambach lost paperwork, then filled out more paperwork in 2005 with the Creosote Litigation Group. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3326	TRO884975FTC	11/25/2015	Colvin, Pamela	Pamela Colvin	7005		X	X				1990s diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of any ongoing claims against Tronox; previously informed that anyone represented by the Colom law firm was ineligible to file a claim but has found that this statement is not true. She says that she later found out that any additional funds from any sources concerning the Tronox case would be distributed to early filers. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3327	TRO899316FTC	7/11/2016	Summerville, Kinley	Pamela Colvin	7604		X	X				1980s diagnosis; the injured party died in 2009; rep unaware eligible to file; thought it was only for those in direct vicinity of the plant; it appears that the person died a few days before the bar date in August 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3328	TRO885936FTC	11/25/2015	Davenport, Pamela	Pamela Davenport	3450		X	X				1985 diagnosis. Says was told the bankruptcy case was closed and that claimants were not going to receive anything because Tronox was in bankruptcy, her spouse told her to file because they both were affected living there; he received his settlement. Alleges actual knowledge of bankruptcy and made a conscious decision not to file because of belief there would not be significant payouts, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3329	TRO894279FTC	3/2/2016	Humphries, Pamela	Pamela Humphries	3780		X	X				Diagnosis in 1996. Says was under the impression that only people with cancer could file, then found out in 2015 that "anyone who had attended Hunt High School could filed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3330	TRO885551FTC	11/25/2015	Lomo, Pamela	Pamela Lomo	7436			X			X	Diagnoses 1959 (kidney), 1995 (bleeding), 1980 (respiratory), 2012 (thyroid), 2016 (heart); says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says she lived in Oklahoma and did not become aware of the lawsuit through reasonable means of publication, local or otherwise. As she did not have notice of the suit, she did not know or have reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Publications in OK included the Hugo Daily News/Choctaw County Times, the Cleveland American and the Tulsa World. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3331	TRO892935FTC	Unknown	Morris, Pamela	Pamela Morris	8025		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; no indication if a claim was made in any proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
3332	TRO890212FTC	2/23/2016	Pollard, Bryant	Pamela Pollard	6471			X				2006 diagnosis; rep of minor says was told that because of where they lived, they did not qualify to apply for the future tort claim but that after some years passed by, "the area to apply was extended." Advice about restraints based on where claimant lived is likely based on prior class action (which was on behalf of residents in a certain area), not the bankruptcy case. Says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time of the notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3333	TRO890192FTC	2/23/2016	Pollard, Annie	Pamela Pollard	6482		X	X				2004 diagnosis; rep says the publication notice of bar date was not reasonable; says was initially excluded from lawsuit because of address, but that must be a reference to an earlier class action. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3334	TRO889155FTC	2/23/2016	Pollard, Angelo	Pamela Pollard	6559		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; rep says that the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; the hospital destroyed old medical records in accordance with lawful practice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3335	TRO901511FTC	8/16/2016	Richey, Pamela	Pamela Richey	5542	5543	X	X		X		Claimant alleges unaware of bar date; did not know how to complete form; wants Trust to consider further and/or to treat conditions that continued after the bar date as post-bar date conditions. A supplemental letter filed at docket #5543 filed by Pamela Richey for herself and additional claimants. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and allegedly misled them in 2015 or later. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not itself mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; there must be a condition that has not been diagnosed before the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3336	TRO901510FTC	8/16/2016	Keaton, Larry	Pamela Richey	5543		X	X			X	Letter at docket # 5543 filed by Pamela Richey appears to be on behalf of several claimants including this claim. there is no excuse provided concerning bar date. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent the trust instructed what to fill out and what form to use and allegedly misled claimants. Complaints about the communications with the trust do not explain why bar date was missed, the trust did not exist at the time of the bar date. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; a claim is not a post-bar date claim unless it is based on a condition that was first diagnosed after the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or if there was a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3337	TRO901443FTC	8/16/2016	Richey, Laken	Pamela Richey	5543		X	X			X	Letter at docket # 5543 filed by Pamela Richey appears to be on behalf of several claimants including this claim. there is no excuse provided concerning bar date. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent the trust instructed what to fill out and what form to use and allegedly misled claimants. Complaints about the communications with the trust do not explain why bar date was missed, the trust did not exist at the time of the bar date. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; a claim is not a post-bar date claim unless it is based on a condition that was first diagnosed after the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or if there was a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3338	TRO901445FTC	8/16;16	Trimble, Christopher	Pamela Richey	5543		X	X			X	Letter at docket # 5543 filed by Pamela Richey appears to be on behalf of several claimants including this claim. there is no excuse provided concerning bar date. The letter is the same letter filed by many claimants that says a person claiming to represent the trust instructed what to fill out and what form to use and allegedly misled claimants. Complaints about the communications with the trust do not explain why bar date was missed, the trust did not exist at the time of the bar date. Continuation of a pre-bar date diagnosis does not mean that a claim is a Future Tort Claim; a claim is not a post-bar date claim unless it is based on a condition that was first diagnosed after the bar date. Unclear if claimant just has continuations of prior diagnosed conditions or if there was a new post-bar date diagnosis of a previously undiagnosed condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3339	TRO889087FTC	12/30/2015	Stephens, Pamela	Pamela Stephens	6380		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some in 1990s, one in 2008, some after bar date; says was not aware of the threatening health issues that she would acquire from living in the contaminated environment; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3340	TRO899268FTC	7/11/2016	Walls, Pamela	Pamela Walls	6184		X	X				Diagnoses 2005 and earlier; previously filed with Howard Gunn, Bambach, Cunningham, the Creosote Litigation Group 2003, unclear if claim resolved in another proceeding. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3341	TRO896334FTC	Unknown	Williams, Pamela	Pamela Williams	7437			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; says did not get any notices from the court or from attorney after retention in 2015; says had been sick and going to doctors for her exposures but does not provide dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3342	TRO893313FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Pandora	Pandora Williams	7329		x	X				Began having asthma in 1992; diagnosis date listed as "N/A;" says was unaware of bar date; she was not privileged nor did she know to get the Wall Street Journal publication or any of the other articles. Says public was not advised until 2011, but does not explain basis for that contention. Also fails to explain long delay before filing a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3343	TRO894442FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Panky	Panky Harris	5515		X	X			X	1997 thyroid diagnosis and treatment, asthma symptoms beginning 2000 but not "treated" until 2013; says did not file proof of claim in Tronox bankruptcy case because he was "unaware of such claim taking place." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3344	TRO887323FTC	12/14/2015	Gray, Parine	Parine Gray	3806			X			X	Diagnosed 2013, but also says didn't file a claim by deadline because unaware of possibility of getting anything for injuries. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3345	TRO896810FTC	4/25/2016	Butler, Parko	Parko Butler	4711						X	December 2008 diagnosis. Says was incapacitated by injuries and mental illness, schizophrenia. Will permit supplemental submission to verify alleged mental incapacity at the time of the bar date and to explain why movant could not enlist others to help in filing a claim, why movant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3346	TRO894406FTC	3/2/2016	Edwards, Emma	Parks, Hattie	5460			X				2008 diagnosis; injured party died in 2013; rep argues that mother had many health issues but does not explain failure to file a timely claim. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3347	TRO885827FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Pat	Pat Williams	3492			X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2014. Says she applied in "the round first prior to the deadline" but did not receive written notice as to the status of her paperwork and she filed again when it was advertised; is apparently referring to post-2009 filings. No claim has been asserted based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, therefore no proper motion has been made for relief from the bar date to assert such a claim. The merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3348	TRO912396FTC	5/30/2017	Hollis, Patricia	Patricia Hollis	5316			X		X		Says she just received the paper to file with court in 2017; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011 but Trust may dispute dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3349	TRO915011FTC	7/26/2017	Gore, William	Patricia A. Gore	6291		X	X				1957 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3350	TRO894343FTC	3/2/2016	Gore, Annie	Patricia A. Gore	6292		X	X				1988 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3351	TRO880972FTC	12/4/2015	Gore, Patricia A.	Patricia A. Gore	6294		X	X				1959 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3352	TRO884875FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Patricia	Patricia Brooks	6722		X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not know/no reason to know father had been exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3353	TRO888078FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Patricia	Patricia Brown	4496		X	X				Diagnoses in early 2000s; previously filed with Howard Gunn 2002; moved from Columbus and did not receive any more paperwork from Gunn; did not receive written notice of bar date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3354	TRO893487FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Patricia	Patricia Bush	3973		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3355	TRO891570FTC	2/23/2016	Clark, Patricia	Patricia Clark	5166		X	X				1954 diagnosis; part of prior proceedings with Wilbur Colom; unaware of bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3356	TRO893726FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Bobby	Patricia Douglas	3849		X	X				Diagnosis in 1971, injured party died in 2000. Claim was filed with Colom law group but was denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3357	TRO884561FTC	11/25/2015	Frazier, Patricia	Patricia Frazier	4513		X	X				Symptoms & diagnosis 1994, made claim with Colom firm in 2002. Says unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3358	TRO884670FTC	11/25/2015	Lee, Patricia	Patricia Lee	5822		X	X				1990 diagnosis; says that, at the time of the bar date, she was sick and was traveling to the infusion center twice a week. However, does not explain why no action taken between 1990 diagnosis and 2009 bar date and why no claim filed until years after the bar date. In addition, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy.
3359	TRO905512FTC	3/28/2017	Lowery, Ben	Patricia Lowery	5199			X		X		Previously filed with Tollison law firm; injured party deceased; rep says she thought her spouse had previously filed a claim but apparently he did not. Alleges a 2010 first diagnosis but given prior counsel retention that date may be disputed. Date of Tollison retention is not clear. Suggests that husband was aware of his rights in 2009 but did not pursue them. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. To the extent the claim alleges a post-bar date diagnosis of a new condition, that claim is for resolution by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3360	TRO894558FTC	3/23/2016	Gore, Patricia M.	Patricia M. Gore	6293		X	X				1979 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3361	TRO887527FTC	Unknown	Malone, Patricia	Patricia Malone	6984		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Two diagnoses in 2012, rest 1995 and earlier. Says unaware of claims process; complains that the process was tainted because payments were made to claimants who were not ill, had no serious medical problems and did not live in the primary area; this shows incompetence or greed, failure to use available technology or bias in claims determination. Allowance of claims was done by the Trust, Court cannot comment on allegations of errors in prior claim allowances. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3362	TRO892308FTC	Unknown	McKinley, Patricia	Patricia McKinley	4211			X			X	Motion not included in the Trust's summary. Says diagnosis in 2006 but previously filed with the Colom law firm 2004, and received \$200. Unaware could refile. Also alleges new post-bar date diagnosis of breast cancer. Claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3363	TRO892126FTC	2/23/2016	Norwood, Patricia	Patricia Norwood	7446		X	X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; says did not know of case; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not state why. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3364	TRO905465FTC	3/28/2017	Soroka, John	Patricia Pickering	3517			X				Diagnosed 1997, filing on behalf of husband, after he died wife's mom became ill so she was preoccupied, mom passed in 2000. Moved to Delaware in 2003 and remarried. "I don't remember getting notice then [in 2003]. When I did get them it was too painful to remember and put them aside."). Reference to notices in 2003 appears to confuse the 2009 bankruptcy process with a prior class action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3365	TRO884023FTC	11/13/2015	McCrary, Patricia	Patricia R. McCrary	3536			X				Listed in Trust's summary with a different claim number [TRO884024FTC]. Diagnosed 2003, filing on behalf of child, part of 2002 action. Says was "not given a direct notice of the significance of the claim filing deadline." Complains of lack of direct notice but no allegation that Tronox knew the claimant's child had a potential claim. Participation in prior litigation shows awareness of rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3366	TRO885430FTC	11/25/2015	Randolph, John	Patricia Randolph	3537			X				Diagnosed 2008, failed to file on dad's behalf because he was in hospice and child was caring for him during that time. However, dad died on 4/26/2008, and child could have filed by bar date. Insufficient showing to warrant relief based on excusable neglect.
3367	TRO880356FTC	12/4/2015	Rose, Patricia	Patricia Rose	6841		X	X				1969 diagnosis; was away from Columbus for 22 years; unaware of claim, filled out paperwork in 2014. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3368	TRO888033FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Patricia	Patricia Smith	6242		X	X				2004 diagnosis; no excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3369	TRO900788FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Lula	Patricia Stafford	4103			X				Diagnosis in 1975 for stomach problems, 2000 for cancer. The injured party died in 2007. Rep says no knowledge could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3370	TRO892156FTC	2/23/2016	Moody, Richard	Patricia Sykes	7018			X			X	Alleges various post-bar date diagnoses; says was unaware of the information or possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries; does not assert any health issues that would have prevented filing but includes records for many hospital visits in 2009, including on 8/3/2009 near bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3371	TRO891831FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Patricia	Patricia Turner	7642		x	X			X	Diagnoses 1965, 1970, 1991, 2016; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but it is not clear that the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to a previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3372	TRO895254FTC	3/23/2016	Conner, Annette	Patricia White	7574		X	X				2003 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware of the lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3373	TRO903761FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Patricia	Patricia Williams	7207	8145	X	X				1956 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not say why or how. A supplement filed at docket # 8145. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3374	TRO895261FTC	3/23/2016	Conner, Isham	Patricia Williams	7573		X	X				2002 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; family was unaware of the lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3375	TRO890044FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Patricia	Patricia Wilson	4824		X	X				1998-99 diagnosis. The claimant says moved and unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3376	TRO894712FTC	Unknown	Douglas, Patrick	Patrick Douglas	7999		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 2006, 2008, 2009; first filed with atty Bambach (deceased), but Bambach did not die until 2013, that is no reason why missed the bar date in 2009; was told it was too late to file (it was). Date of 2009 diagnosis not clear. Claim based on 1986 diagnosis was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to other pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3377	TRO901989FTC	9/14/2016	Gilhooley, Patrick	Patrick Gilhooley	3479			X			X	Says diagnosis did not occur until September 2009. Does not allege a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief from the bar date is sought. Merits of any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3378	TRO903368FTC	10/27/2016	Gilvary, Patrick	Patrick Gilvary, Jr.	7538			X				1978 and 1998 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to and contaminated by a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3379	TRO894872FTC	Unknown	Grays, Patrick	Patrick Grays	6465		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1989, 1998, 2011; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3380	TRO891585FTC	2/23/2016	Hopkins, Patrick	Patrick Hopkins	3455			X			X	Says diagnosis 2009-2012; did not hear anything after filed claim in 2015. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3381	TRO895039FTC	3/23/2016	Murray, Patrick	Patrick Murray	7897			X			X	Claims August 2009 diagnosis; says bar date period was too short, but that does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed; says did not have reasonable access to any of the publications but the notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox chemical and unaware cause of condition. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3382	TRO893432FTC	2/23/2016	Lane, Patta	Patta Lane	3815			X				Diagnosed with non-Hodgkins lymphoma before bar date but did not realize until after 2009 that illness was related to creosote. Explains why missed the bar date itself but does not explain why no claim was filed until early 2016, more than six years after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3383	TRO894511FTC	3/23/2016	Wieder, Pattie	Pattie Wilder	7019	8932		X			X	Various diagnoses 2006-2009, dates of 2009 diagnoses not clear; no excuse provided. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8932 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3384	TRO895958FTC	4/6/2016	Bailey, Patty	Patty Bailey	3985			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of the claim and process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3385	TRO893109FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Shelby	Patty Harrison	8359	8500		X		X		Lists an updated medical diagnosis for 2012 but there is no any other information or prior filing with the court. A supplement filed at docket #8500, says claimant is a minor. Papers filed with court do not identify any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief from the bar date is sought. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be determined by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3386	TRO880243FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Eveline	Patty Latham	4553	8856	X	X				1981 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted language about reasons for not filing, injured party died in 1984. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8856 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3387	TRO880242FTC	12/4/2015	Latham, Patty	Patty Latham	4554	8856		X		X		Cut-and-pasted language re reasons for not filing, says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but many items on form are whited out. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8856 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3388	TRO888200FTC	12/18/2015	Nichols, Deborah	Paul A. Kelly, Jr., Esq.	5380			X				Diagnoses 2005-06; did not know that there was such a forum or procedure to file a claim; heard about in from neighbors in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3389	TRO897457FTC	5/25/2016	Hoover, Mary	Paul A. Kelly, Jr., Esq.	5381			X				Diagnoses 2005-06; did not have notice that there was a trust fund and that he could file a proof of claim, learned in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3390	TRO897455FTC	5/25/2016	Webb, Sidney	Paul Kelly, Jr.	6524			X				Diagnosed at birth (1952); filed a trust tort claim form, says was in the hospital at time of filing deadline but does not specify date or which filing deadline and does not provide a supporting medical record or a diagnosis date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3391	TRO885930FTC	11/25/2015	Provenzano, Paul	Paul Provenzano	3302			X				Diagnosed 1970 and 1992, but did not know that diagnosis was due to exposure prior to deadline. Formerly resided near the Avoca, PA plant. Also claims that he and his family re-located for work during this period of time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3392	TRO903694FTC	11/23/2016	Peters, Paul	Paul Samuel Peters	4950		X	X				1969 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3393	TRO886312FTC	12/7/2015	Abrams, Paula	Paula Abrams	6158		X	X				1988 diagnosis; was not aware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3394	TRO889238FTC	2/23/2016	Baker, Paula	Paula Baker	5681		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990, 2005 and 2008; says did not know of the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3395	TRO902483FTC	9/14/2016	Oleksy, Paula	Paula Oleksy	4899			X				1995 diagnosis; moved away from area in 1999; did not hear or read in newspaper or any mail regarding lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3396	TRO880509FTC	12/4/2015	Ward, Paula	Paula Ward	3518	8053		X				Diagnosed 2007, contacted Powell Law firm multiple times before filing deadline but was told they weren't accepting new claims, left name but attorney neglected to call her. Alleges that "this was a complete violation of due process by Powell Law Firm." A supplement filed at docket #8053, accuses Powell firm of misconduct. Bankruptcy filing did not occur until January 2009 and bar date was August 12, 2009, so prior communications with Powell firm in 2007 could not have been about the Tronox bankruptcy process. In any event unexcused conduct by counsel is not a proper ground for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001, and contact with the Powell firm shows movant's awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3397	<b>TRO912160FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Woods, Paulevette</b>	<b>Paulevette Woods</b>	3943			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3398	TRO904015FTC	1/3/2017	Ewing, Pauline	Pauline Ewing	3575		X	X				Diagnosed in 1995, alleges injured party was incapacitated and "in and out of hospital" in 2009 but does not allege was continuously incapacitated and alleges no other circumstances sufficient to warrant excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3399	TRO904274FTC	1/3/2017	Spraggins, Marie	Pauline Ewing	3577		X	X				Diagnosed in 1945. Filing by next of kin. Alleges injured party was incapacitated and could not file. Does not elaborate on how incapacitated. No explanation of knowledge or actions by relatives at time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3400	TRO904101FTC	1/3/2017	Ewing, Gerald	Pauline Ewing	3669		X	X				Diagnosed 1973, allegation that victim was mentally incapacitated but no details as to timing or details of incapacity. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3401	TRO892325FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Sammie	Pauline Lee	4669		X	X				2005 diagnosis; injured party died in 2008; cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3402	TRO900664FTC	8/16/2016	Petty, Payton	Payton Petty	4841		X	X				1965 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3403	TRO886831FTC	12/7/2015	Gordon, Pearl	Pearl Gordon	3520			X		X		Diagnosed 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3404	TRO884929FTC	11/25/2015	Baity, Pearlean	Pearlean Baity	4834		X	X				Diagnoses all predated 1988; say unaware creosote had affected her. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3405	TRO903747FTC	11/23/2016	Bradley, Pearlene	Pearlene Bradley	5248		X	X				1957 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy decision; says her daughter was undergoing surgery, chemo and radiation and that from January -October 2009 she was the primary care giver for daughter and granddaughter, this was during time of bar date. However, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing in 2009.
3406	TRO904042FTC	1/3/2017	Rogers, Percy	Pearlene Bradley	5271		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1983; rep says unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; also says that from Jan - Oct 2009, daughter was in surgery, chemo, radiation and she was caregiver for daughter and granddaughter, same as why she did not file her own claim docket # 5248. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3407	TRO904328FTC	1/3/2017	Brownlee, Pearlie	Pearlie Brownlee	5593		X	X				1940s symptoms, 2004 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; unaware condition caused by Tronox product; unaware exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3408	TRO902826FTC	10/13/2016	Cockrell, Pearlie	Pearlie Cockrell	3714		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, did file claim but alleges was not paid fairly. Apparently filed claim in a prior legal proceeding and not the bankruptcy case. If the claim was resolved in a prior legal proceeding then it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If the claim was not resolved, then the prior proceeding shows an awareness of legal rights and the movant has failed to show sufficient diligence in pursuing the same. In addition, if the claim was not fully resolved in the prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3409	TRO885254FTC	11/25/2015	Howard, Pearlie	Pearlie Howard	3351	3398 8060 8877		X		X		Diagnosed in 2010, exposed 12/13/2009. At time, did not know exposed. Moved out of area (lost all when house was destroyed) to Alabama; unaware of claims deadline. A duplicate of parts of this claim filed at docket #3398 and a supplement at docket # 8060. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8877 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3410	TRO898741FTC	6/27/2016	Howard, Omar	Pearlie Howard	4859		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of claim during time of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3411	TRO894400FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Pearlie	Pearlie Jones	6159			X				Diagnosis date not provided; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; letter says "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; secret meetings in town minimal details to town. Alleges due process violation but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3412	TRO902825FTC	10/13/2016	Lathan, Pearlie	Pearlie Lathan	3576		X	X				Diagnosed 2000-2008, alleges attorney lost paperwork. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3413	TRO903689FTC	11/23/2016	Stevenson, Joseph	Pearlie Stevenson	7470		X	X				1995 diagnosis; representative filing for an injured party who died in 2007; says injured party was incompetent, incapacitated at bar date (injured party was dead), but the relevant question is why the representative did not file; movant says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3414	TRO903688FTC	11/23/2016	Ivy, Myrtle	Pearlie Stevenson	7472		X	X				First diagnosis 1989 (condition continued until injured party died in 2008); alleges incompetence and incapacitation as of the bar date (apparently referring to fact that the injured party had died in 2008); did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Relevant question in terms of capacity is as to why representative did not file a proof of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3415	TRO904055FTC	1/3/2017	Ivy, Eugene	Pearlie Stevenson	7473		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002; says being "incompetent, incapacitated" applies to this claim, referring apparently to fact that the injured party was deceased, but issue is why rep did not file; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3416	TRO903563FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Janie	Peggy Butler	5058		X	X				Diagnoses in 1960s and 1970s; the injured party died in 1985; rep says did not know could file for deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3417	TRO903565FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Geneva	Peggy Butler	5063		X	X				1957 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1957; rep says unaware could file for deceased relatives. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3418	TRO885815FTC	11/25/2015	Everette, Peggy	Peggy Everette	7467		X	X				Late 1990s/early 2000s diagnoses; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3419	TRO888548FTC	12/24/2015	Smith, Penny	Penny Smith	6886			X		X		2010 diagnosis; says certain groups in certain churches retained information and only false information was distributed saying that only those from the plant were eligible to file a claim. She says that she now knows that class A settlement is for the citizens of Columbus. Says unaware of the process or that could file a claim for herself, discharge of claim a violation of due process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3420	TRO902560FTC	9/14/2016	Penry Sr., Joseph	Penry Sr., Joseph	6651			X				Diagnosis apparently was in mid-2006; did not know how of a direct route to file a claim until 2016. Does not explain long delay after bar date without action to pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3421	N/a	#N/A	Reece, Percy	Percy Reece	6807			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3422	TRO901156FTC	8/16/2016	Shelton, Pearlina	Pearlina Shelton	5886	8302	X	X				1962 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8302. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3423	TRO888883FTC	12/30/2015	Brown, Perry	Perry Brown	6190		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says was told only the people who lived in the area could file, apparently is thinking of prior class action that was limited to persons who lived in a certain area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3424	Unknown	9/14/2016	Fenton, Perry	Perry Fenton	7878			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; unaware of pending litigation; notice did not say claimant's condition was due to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3425	TRO890713FTC	2/23/2016	Perry, Barbara	Perry, Barbara	6276		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as 2002/2016; says did not know about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3426	TRO902856FTC	10/13/2016	Fenton, Peter	Peter Fenton	6945			X				1962 and 2002 diagnoses; place of exposure not clear; says was not knowledgeable about the claim and did not know he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3427	TRO880666FTC	12/4/2015	Ryczak, Peter	Peter Ryczak	3477			X				2005 and 2006 diagnoses and surgery. Says was unaware he could file a claim, no other details offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3428	TRO901118FTC	8/16/2016	Bennett, Tina	Petina Bennett	4244		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 2000, did not get recovery. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3429	TRO887894FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Phillip	Phillip Jones	4147			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3430	TRO898623FTC	6/27/2016	Quinn, Phillip	Phillip Quinn	5599		X	X				December 1996 diagnosis; moved to another city and unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3431	TRO897430FTC	5/25/2016	Brewer, Elnora	Phyllis Brewer	7709	9151	X	X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1976; claimant did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9151 complaining about the process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3432	TRO903547FTC	11/23/2016	Pleasants, Phyllis	Phyllis Pleasants	5165		X	X				1969 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3433	N/a	#N/A	Sharp (Reece), Phyllis	Phyllis Sharp (Reece)	6805			X		X		Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
3434	TRO897882FTC	5/25/2016	Beard, Pierre	Pierre Beard	4986			X		X		Says symptoms began in 2010; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; medical records not provided. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3435	TRO896041FTC	4/6/2016	Kilgo, Pinkey Whitfield	Pinkey Whitfield Kilgo	4183			X		X		Various diagnosis dates, one in 2009 but date not specified. Says was incarcerated, unaware of proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date, reasons no claim was filed until many years after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3436	TRO885662FTC	11/25/2015	Pippins, Ashanti	Pippins, Ashanti	5257		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of Tronox claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3437	TRO895504FTC	3/24/2016	McCrary, Polly	Polly McCrary	6379		X	X				Diagnoses 1957 and 1980; place of exposure not clear; says did not know anything related to this lawsuit; moved to California. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3438	TRO898054FTC	6/20/2016	Poole, Vera	Poole, Walter	7932		X	X				1982 diagnosis; the injured party died in July 2009, one month before bar date; says was incompetent (deceased) at bar date; says notice insufficient; unaware exposed to dangerous Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3439	TRO891449FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Augusta	Porter Hill	5262	9286	X	X				2002-03 diagnoses; injured party died in 2004; rep says did not know at the time, was going through sickness with hospital stays. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9286 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3440	TRO891682FTC	2/23/2016	McMath, Porthia	Porthia McMath	4701	8118	X	X				Diagnoses in 1965 and 1999. Previously filed with Colom firm; may have received a \$4,553.25 settlement. A supplement filed at docket #8118. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved, then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), would not be sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect even if claim had not already been resolved or time-barred.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3441	TRO894749FTC	3/23/2016	Thompson, Portis	Portis Thompson	5551		X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 2002; contacted atty numerous times but he said he had not heard anything about application and would contact claimant. Later found out atty closed office and views this as an opportunity to file once again. Any filing in 2002 had to be in connection with a different proceeding because the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3442	TRO892914FTC	2/23/2016	Maxwell, Pravi	Pravi Maxwell	6960						X	Diagnosis in 1994 or 1996, as child; relocated to another county and was not aware of the claim. Age at time of bar date unclear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3443	unknown	Unknown	Hinson, Precilla	Precilla Hinson	8042			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a category A tort claim form, not a motion seeking relief.
3444	TRO892545FTC	2/23/2016	Butler, Precious	Precious Butler	5215						X	2001 diagnosis; minor; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3445	TRO890603FTC	2/23/2016	Holiness, Johnny	Precious Holiness	7373		X	X				Diagnoses 1992 and 1993; the injured party died in 1995; rep says unaware of the filing deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3446	TRO894889FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Precious	Precious Johnson	3686	8967		X			X	Diagnosed 2007, says did not know/had no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8967 complaining about the process. Alleges a new and more serious diagnosis in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3447	TRO886104FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Precious	Precious Smith	3379		X	X				Diagnosed 1992, alleges did not know exposed to product at the time, and no one gave her a specific deadline, she filed at the same time as others whose claims were accepted. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3448	TRO890784FTC	2/23/2016	Commiso, Princess Skinner	Princess Commiso	7641			X				Diagnosis 1976-1980; place of exposure not specified; says just found out about claim when she filed it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3449	TRO887628FTC	12/14/2015	Ellis, Derrick	Priscilla Ellis	3391		X	X				Injured party diagnosed 1982, died in 1998. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3450	TRO894114FTC	3/2/2016	Pruitt, Doris	Pruitt, Doris	5764		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1981 and earlier; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3451	TRO892699FTC	2/23/2016	Pruitt, Eunice	Pruitt, Eunice	5723		X	X				Diagnoses at various dates, all 2004 or earlier; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; was not aware of the case in 2009. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3452	TRO891223FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Qiana	Qiana Moore	7550		X	X		X		Various diagnoses, some 1998 and earlier, others 2011-2014; says no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; moved away from area; unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3453	TRO889458FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Quashayla	Quashayla Young	4132			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3454	TRO892406FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Quaylin	Quaylin Smith	5177		X	X				1993 diagnosis; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3455	<b>TRO895548FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Gilkey, Queen</b>	<b>Queen Gilkey</b>	3934			X				Motion is not listed in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3456	TRO889163FTC	2/23/2016	Vaughn, Queen	Queen Vaughn	7158			X			X	A prior submission in early 2016 (docket 3054) was treated by the court as a motion for permission to file a late claim. That motion was denied by an order entered at docket no. 3060. However, as reflected in the order, the Tort Claims Trust agreed that Ms. Vaughn's claim would be treated as a timely filed claim alleging that she is a Future Tort Claimant, and that the merits of that claim would be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal procedures. The order denying the prior motion is final. Any issues as to the merits of the Future Tort Claim are to be resolved by the Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3457	TRO897289FTC	5/4/2016	Summerville, Clemmon	Queenester Stewart	7616		X	X				Diagnoses 1947, 1979, 1961; the injured party died in 1983; says father was unaware of proceedings but he died in 1983, rep does not provide an excuse for her not filing prior to bar date on behalf of injured party. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3458	TRO892856FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Queenester	Queenester Stewart	7617		X	X				Diagnoses 1958, 1969, 1994; former resident of Columbus, MS, moved to N.Y. long ago; unaware of any legal action filed against the chemical plant; never read the Wall Street Journal. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3459	TRO889574FTC	2/23/2016	Stewart, Quentin	Quentin Stewart	6869		X	X				1978 diagnosis; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3460	TRO889453FTC	2/23/2016	Kyles, Kelcey	Quieveta Williams	7301		X	X			X	2007-08 diagnoses; rep says unaware that her child's condition could be related to an exposure until Maranatha Center had a soil sample done; newspaper reports show that happened before 2002. Also signed a rejection letter alleging post-bar date manifestations and diagnoses though none are identified in the motion. Acknowledges awareness of link between injury and creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3461	TRO898159FTC	Unknown	Holliness, Quincy	Quincy Holliness	7522		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1978 diagnosis; did not know about the bankruptcy proceedings; was incarcerated. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3462	TRO889555FTC	2/23/2016	Latham, Quincy	Quincy Latham	4550	8844	X	X			x	Says first diagnosis in 2011 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action. Cut-and-pasted language re reasons for not filing before bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8844 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to any condition diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3463	TRO887342FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Quinesha	Quinesha Smith	6799						X	Diagnosed march 2009, was a minor at deadline (exposure began in 1993). Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3464	TRO880220FTC	12/4/2015	Joiner, Quintin	Quintin Joiner	5757		X	X				1988, 2005 diagnoses; says "I wasn't informed by the lawyer," not clear which attorney the claimant has in mind. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3465	TRO886442FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Quinton	Quinton Gardner	4113	9237	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnoses 1998 and earlier. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9237 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3466	TRO902665FTC	8/16/2016	Jethroe, Quinton	Quinton Jethroe	6766		X	X				2005 diagnosis; says filed claim with attorney who is now deceased. Retention of counsel shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3467	TRO895916FTC	4/6/2016	McKnight, Quinton	Quinton McKnight	6512	8367					X	2000 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of Tronox or the case until 2013; did not get a telephone call, email, letter or any other media communication; most of time was in high school; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed by parent at docket #8367 describing his health issues. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3468	TRO884554FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Quinton	Quinton Porter	4500		X	X				Previously filed with Colom law firm 1998; part of 2002 class action; standard language; unaware of bankruptcy case. Alleges a 2006 diagnosis date but does not allege any new condition. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if a new condition arose in 2006, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3469	Unknown	Unknown	Ramsey, Quinton Demone	Quinton Ramsey	6491			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3470	TRO894010FTC	3/2/2016	Griggs, Quintrelle	Quintrelle Griggs	7674			X				1995 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not know condition caused by Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3471	TRO893619FTC	2/23/2016	Slaughter, R.C.	R.C. Slaughter	5929		X	X				Diagnoses in 1974, 1983, 2008; says he did not file a claim because he thought the case ended with lawyer Colom when he had the case. Then he learned that Tronox still "had the case open" and so he filed in 2015 and 2016. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3472	TRO896396FTC	4/13/2016	Latham, Rachel	Rachel Latham	3672		X	X				Diagnosed 1979, did not know could file a claim. Alleges representation by attorney, unclear if that is in connection with the late-filed claim or a prior proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3473	TRO897832FTC	5/25/2016	Lewis, Willine	Rachel Lewis	7961		X	X	X			1982 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; incompetent and incapacitated at bar date (apparently referring to the deceased injured party, no incompetence alleged as to representatives); says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice as required by the Court's prior order and as specified in the Determination Notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3474	TRO886314FTC	12/7/2015	Shelton, Rachael	Rachel Shelton	4232		X	X				1971/2002 diagnoses. Says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3475	TRO893617FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Raina	Raina Wells	7768		X	X				Diagnoses 1997 and earlier; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; there was a lot of misinformation about the exposure of creosote; challenges whether notices were sent in compliance with court orders, but the notices were mailed and published by an independent noticing firm retained by the clerk's office and affidavits attesting to compliance were duly filed. Claims that people in place to answer questions (unclear to whom referring) deliberately told individuals that they were only affected if they lived near the plant and as she did not reside within the noted radius, she thought she could not have been exposed to the product; this likely was a communication in relation to a prior class action that was on behalf of residents who lived within a certain distance of the plant. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. This claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3476	TRO888693FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Raina	Raina Williams	6579	7197	X	X		X		1979 and 2010 diagnoses; was uncertain of a deadline to file due to lack of communication in the community regarding Tronox. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #7197. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3477	TRO894534FTC	3/23/2016	James, Raleigh	Raleigh James	6304	9413		X				January 2008 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to believe he had been exposed to these chemicals. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9413 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3478	TRO896723FTC	4/25/2016	Furr, Ramekia	Ramekia Furr	5468		X	X				2002 diagnosis; unaware of bar date as had left the state. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3479	TRO893066FTC	2/23/2016	Hood, Miracle	Ramona Sanders	6879		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not have knowledge of any public notice via newspaper or other media. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3480	TRO896750FTC	4/25/2016	Jamison, Randall	Randall Jamison	6318		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates listed as 2010, 2011 and 2014; says was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; did not receive a letter or telephone call, did not learn on TV or radio; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, unaware of process and did not know could file a claim for himself. Similar to many claimants, on application says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but attaches a letter saying qualifies as future tort claimant because both time frames were before bar date. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3481	TRO892431FTC	2/23/2016	Calhoun, Randy	Randy Calhoun	6574			X				2007 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons why missed bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3482	TRO896465FTC	4/13/2016	Randle, Randy	Randy Randle	4021			X			X	Trust's summary listed the wrong claim number; the correct number is TRO896465FTC. Says diagnosed in 2009, 2010-2013. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3483	Unknown	Unknown	Lawson, Rasheeda	Rasheeda Lawson	8373			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges 2010 diagnosis; says that did not file by bar date because she did not have any illness to report at the time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3484	TRO887473FTC	12/14/2015	Knight, Raven	Raven Knight	5073		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2000, 2004 and 2017; was not receiving mail at this address; mail was forwarded to an old address. Does not challenge publication notice, does not contend that Tronox knew of claimant's identity or claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on 2000 and 2004 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3485	TRO894647FTC	3/23/2016	Sanders, Ray	Ray Sanders	6809		X	X				1999 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3486	TRO894706FTC	3/23/2016	Swanigan, Jamonica	Ray Sanders Jr.	6690	7610	x	X				Appears to be a duplicate of the motion at docket 7610 but the signatures differ. 1999 diagnosis; says paperwork lost by atty Bill Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3487	TRO885384FTC	Unknown	Deloach, Raymond	Raymond Deloach	6435		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1994 (including "asthma,") but alleges "respiratory" diagnosis in 2009; says publication of notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3488	Unknown	Unknown	Brown, Raymond E.	Raymond E. Brown	6493			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form
3489	TRO891301FTC	2/23/2016	Greason, Raymond	Raymond Greason	5178		X	X				1993 symptoms, no diagnosis date listed; incarcerated from 1993-2013; says was unaware of this claim because of limited resources; unaware the company could be a cause of any conditions. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3490	TRO892691FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Raymond	Raymond Johnson	4557		x	X				The motion at docket # 4557 is for a Raymond Johnson at 65 East Peach Street and it was filed by Mr. Johnson himself (claim TRO892691FTC). A separate claim filed by Annie Johnson for a Raymond Johnson at a different address (claim TRO885933FTC) is at docket # 5114. As to docket 4557: the motion and the underlying claim are based on a 1999 diagnosis; the excuse for not filing is that previously filed with Bambach and paperwork was lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3491	TRO892705FTC	2/23/2016	Murphy, Raymond	Raymond Murphy	4169	8961	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1990 and 1994 diagnoses. Did not know exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8961 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3492	TRO895628FTC	3/24/2016	Perrins, Raymond, Jr.	Raymond Perrins, Jr.	7423	8095		X				1977 diagnosis; unaware of any claims against the company; moved to a neighboring area; unaware conditions were related to the exposure. A supplement filed at docket # 8095, unaware of lawsuit in 2009; was not contacted by mail about lawsuit; moved to a rural area without access to newspapers near company. Publication notices were reasonably designed to cover areas where claimants likely were to be found, due process did not require more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3493	TRO895625FTC	3/24/2016	Perrins, Raymond, Sr.	Raymond Perrins, Sr.	7420	8094		X				1980 diagnosis; unaware of the claims against the company; moved to a neighboring area; not notified or aware of any pending lawsuit or investigation regarding Kerr McGee; says did not have any reason to believe condition resulted from harmful exposure; filed when aware of lawsuit. A supplement filed at docket #8094, moved to a rural area and did not have access to any local newspapers near company. Publication notices were reasonably designed to cover areas where claimants likely were to be found, due process did not require more. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3494	TRO891458FTC	2/23/2016	Profiet, Raymond	Raymond Profiet	6514	9292					X	1991 and 1992 diagnoses; says was a minor but says exposure began in 1991, was at least 18 years old in 2009; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9292 complaining about the process. No explanation of long post-bar date delay before filed claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3495	TRO890315FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Raymond	Raymond Shelton	8503		X	X				1995 diagnosis; says did file a proof of claim before bar date but without details, claimant does not appear on the official claims register, may be thinking of the late-filed claim or of a claim filed in a different legal proceeding. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3496	TRO891656FTC	2/23/2016	Greason, Rayon Denise	Rayon Denise Greason	4311		X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before 2002, some after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3497	TRO887293FTC	12/14/2015	Mosley, RD	RD Mosley	4012		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach who is now deceased. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights, no showing of diligence in pursuing the same. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3498	TRO896564FTC	4/25/2016	Carter, Rebecca	Rebecca Carter	4804		X	X				Refers to knee and heart problems first diagnosed in 1995 but leading to surgeries in 2017. Standard summary language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3499	TRO888010FTC	12/18/2015	Smith, Rebecca	Rebecca Smith	3545		X	X				Diagnosed July 2002. Says that filed in October 2015, "when the process began I did not understand that I needed to list all of my conditions." Reference to her understanding about what was required with filing appears to be a reference to her October 2015 filing. No explanation of failure to file by 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3500	TRO887808FTC	12/14/2015	Stevens, Rebecca	Rebecca Stevens	5255		X	X				1971 and 2005 diagnoses; unaware of the claim at the time of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3501	TRO890703FTC	Unknown	Shelton, Rebertha	Rebertha Shelton	8316		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1965, 1985, 1990; says relocated from Columbus, MS to another state at time of bar date, therefore does not believe received adequate notice, discharge of claim was violation of due process. Says claim was filed on time but it was filed many years after the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices complied with due process as explained in the accompanying Decision. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3502	TRO892745FTC	2/23/2016	Wells, Jimmy	Redia Wells	6272		X	X				Diagnoses 1987 and earlier. The injured party is deceased; rep says not aware of the claims process; says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, does not elaborate; says was unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3503	TRO901656FTC	8/16/2016	Hairston, Reggie	Reggie Hairston	5364		X	X				1998 diagnosis; moved to another county and unaware of the claim; there wasn't any discussion in his area until people received settlements; says he did not see any advertisement about the claim; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3504	N/A	#N/A	Harris, Regina	Regina Harris	6624			X				Just a trust claim form. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3505	TRO890413FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Michael	Regina Sykes	7442		X	X				1983 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says was not aware of the Tronox case, did not see public notices, called 800 number later and was told to file a future tort claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3506	TRO889205FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Regina	Regina Sykes	7445	8509		X			X	December 2009 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons did not file. A supplement filed at docket #8509. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3507	TRO900373FTC	7/25/2016	Townsend, Regina	Regina Townsend	7466		X	X			X	Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Says symptoms and diagnosis 2012 but includes medical records for earlier dates including 2005. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3508	TRO887503FTC	12/14/2015	Washington, Regina	Regina Washington	5134		X	X			X	Former Columbus resident. Alleges various conditions, some diagnosed 1996, others 2009 and 2017. Says previously filed with Colom law firm but claim was denied; basis for denial not clear. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same also were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3509	TRO898722FTC	6/27/2016	Webbs, Frank	Regina Williams	7010			X				2006 heart attack; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2006; rep was not aware of any Tronox bankruptcy filing or that a claim had to be filed with bankruptcy court. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3510	TRO901895FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Regina	Regina Williams	7933			X			X	Alleges symptoms and diagnosis 2013. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3511	TRO893882FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Reginald	Reginald Harris	5787		X	X				1980s diagnosis; did not know of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3512	TRO886925FTC	12/7/2015	Moore, Reginald	Reginald Moore	4956			X				1995 diagnoses; did not see any publication notice; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants, moved to Georgia. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3513	TRO884923FTC	11/25/2015	Wallace, Reginald	Reginald Wallace	5546			X			X	Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3514	TRO892800FTC	2/23/2016	Wilkins, Reginald	Reginald Wilkins	6531		X	X			X	Alleges a 2010 diagnosis but says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2003; unclear if received an award but references Colom law firm taking 1/2 of the money and leaving town with their money. Says had no idea he was to file a claim in 2009 because Colom did not let him know; says did not receive notice as he was out of state; says was in incarcerated from 2008-2011; does not provide any supporting papers for any of these statements. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of legal rights and claims. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Unclear if movant was a client of the Colom firm at the time of the bar date but the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date by regular mail; failures of counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, no such excuse is offered. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on an alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defenses based on prior settlements) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3515	TRO894381FTC	3/2/2016	Willis, Reginald	Reginald Willis	6235		X	X				1976 diagnosis; says (without details) that notice was deficient on its face; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3516	TRO885058FTC	11/25/2015	McGrew, Reginold	Reginold McGrew	5054		X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3517	TRO887097FTC	12/14/2015	Collins, Remeicco	Remeicco Collins	6865		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3518	TRO890233FTC	2/23/2016	Davis, Grady	Rena Davis-Beal	7094	8186	X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; rep says unaware of first proceeding; did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product; current rep was a minor at time but does not say why an adult rep did not file a claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8186, concerning address. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3519	TRO895951FTC	4/6/2016	Collins, Renaldo	Renaldo Collins	6862		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3520	TRO889268FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Dontaquius	Renata Jennings	4353			X		X	X	Alleges diagnoses in 2006, 2010 and 2012. Minor, unaware exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file as to pre-bar date diagnoses, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3521	TRO896610FTC	4/25/2016	Jennings, Henrico	Renata Jennings	4373		X	X				1989, 1995 symptoms; unsure when the injured party was diagnosed but died in 2004; rep says was unaware and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3522	TRO889286FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Renata	Renata Jennings	4388		X	X				1983 and 2008 diagnoses. Unaware and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; unaware Tronox product caused condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1083 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3523	TRO894496FTC	3/2/2016	Bullock, Renee Kennard	Renee Bullock	4088		X	X				2000 and 2002 diagnoses. Unclear as to prior legal proceedings. Says did not know of claim bar date. Appears claim was either involved in prior proceedings or was time-barred at time of Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3524	TRO890701FTC	2/23/2016	Mazur, Patricia	Renee Mazur	4532	8154		X				Diagnosis 1980-1982. Rep said worked 2 jobs, did not know about class action suit, claimant deceased 1998; filed additional letter (docket # 8154) saying medical records were destroyed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3525	TRO886773FTC	12/7/2015	Nicholson, Renel	Renel Nicholson	3326						X	Diagnosed 1997-2001 (various diseases). Was 17 at time of deadline. Claims he was not able to file a claim as a minor but does not allege lack of knowledge or reason why a guardian did not act. Will permit supplemental submission to explain why parent or guardian did not act, why claimant waited until 2015 to file a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3526	TRO888271FTC	Unknown	Gandy, Renita	Renita Gandy	8223			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3527	TRO888943FTC	12/30/2015	Randle, Renita	Renita Randle	7403			X		X		Says diagnosed Dec. 2009; did not know of the case, saw no notices about it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3528	TRO889894FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Renotta	Renotta Harrison	6368			X			X	Alleges September 2009 diagnosis; says her claim should be considered because she had direct contact with Kerr-McGee because parent worked there and went to school by it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3529	TRO898880FTC	6/27/2016	Slaughter, Reola	Reola Slaughter	3734		X	X				Diagnosed 1984, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3530	Unknown	Unknown	Durrah, Reonna	Reonna Durrah	3320			X				Motion is not included on the Trust's summary. Diagnosed 2004, filing on behalf of daughter. Says both that "I didn't find out about it until it was too late" and "I thought that she wasn't old enough." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Not a sufficient showing of timely action and diligent investigation to warrant relief based on excusable neglect, no claim of lack of due process.
3531	TRO895111FTC	Unknown	Acron, Reuben	Reuben Acron	6419		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1954-1960 diagnoses; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3532	Unknown	Unknown	Hairston, J.B.	Rev. J.B. Hairston	6492			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Merely filed a Tronox tort claims trust form

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3533	TRO887585FTC	12/14/2015	Fenton, ReVay	ReVay Fenton	3411	9167	X	X				1981 diagnosis. Says did not know where the application was done; was informed by parent that she had filed with atty Richard Burdine but he stopped practicing because of eye condition and office closed; says was just made aware could file as future tort claimant. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9167 complaining about the process. Attorney's mistake is not a ground for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3534	TRO891102FTC	2/23/2016	Lowery, Tyrone	Rhonda Ballace	6288			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; says previously filed with atty Howard Gunn, date unknown; rep says unaware of getting medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3535	TRO894652FTC	3/23/2016	Coleman, Helen	Rhonda Lee	5375		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says unaware of the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3536	TRO894399FTC	3/2/2016	Reyes, Rhonda Nabors	Rhonda Nabors Reyes	8487			X				Merely a signed rejection notice and a signed motion statement, no specified claim and no reasons why relief should be granted. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3537	TRO891543FTC	2/23/2016	Wallace, Rhonda	Rhonda Wallace	6298			X		X		Lists diagnoses in February 2009 and post-bar date; says was unaware of medical records for claim; sends medical records for conditions in 2009 and later but did not sign motion declaration. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3538	TRO897480FTC	Unknown	Windham, Rhonda	Rhonda Windham	7123		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges 1997 symptoms, 2013 diagnosis but also says previously filed application with atty Howard Gunn in 1999, but did not hear back from him at the time; she did not know how to file claim and did not have any assistance with case and paperwork got sent back to her and she did not hear from atty Gunn. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3539	TRO896391FTC	4/13/2016	Collins, Ricardo	Ricardo Collins	7007		X	X				1990 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3540	TRO892484FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Ricardo	Ricardo Little	5497		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but not clear if that is just newer conditions; unaware exposed to chemical; unaware of bankruptcy case; no knowledge how to fill out claim; attaches the same standard letter complaining that someone allegedly misled them in filling out the late-filed claim forms for the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3541	TRO895707FTC	3/24/2016	Smith, Ricco	Ricco Smith	5414			X			X	Correct claim number is TRO895707FTC. Unaware of deadline; informed to qualify had to live in a certain area; says symptoms 2010-2011 and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3542	TRO887821FTC	12/14/2015	Gardner, Richard	Richard Gardner	5091			X			X	Says was offered \$5,000 in a letter dated 8/31/2017 but rejected offer. It appears that the trust reviewed the file and made a settlement proposal; movant says was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; refers to private meetings and minimal detail to residents. This is a dispute over the Trust's proposal regarding a post-bar date claim (not an issue as to the application of the bar date) and is to be resolved through the Trust's normal dispute resolution procedures, not by motion to the Court.
3543	TRO902966FTC	10/13/2016	Gedrich, Richard	Richard Gedrich	7668			X			X	Various diagnosis dates, listed ones from 2007, 2015, 2016; previously filed through a class action with Weitz & Luxemburg; says health conditions did not manifest until after class action. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Filing with counsel in class action shows awareness of legal rights. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3544	TRO894053FTC	3/2/2016	McCarthy, Richard	Richard McCarthy	4920			X			X	Symptoms in Sept. 2009 and diagnosis Nov. 2009; says did not understand how to complete the claim; did not fully understand what he had to do had been suffering a long time. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3545	TRO895217FTC	Unknown	Smith, Richard	Richard Smith	6390			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various conditions alleged, diagnosis dates listed as "2010-presently under treatment;" says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know of exposure to Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process is denied. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3546	TRO887794FTC	12/14/2015	Taylor, Richard	Richard Taylor	4833		X	X				Diagnoses 1969-1974; says was not provided adequate notice of bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3547	TRO885111FTC	11/25/2015	Richey, Larry	Richey, Larry	6727	9424	X	X		X		Says "some diagnosed on Sept 2009" but attachment suggests some prior diagnoses, unclear if for same condition. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9424 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3548	TRO884361FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Rick	Rick Williams	3337		X	X				Diagnosed 1990, alleges did file a claim with church group (Maranatha Faith Center), and that "other went to US Justice Dept." Filing with the Church or the DOJ is not the same as filing a lawsuit or filing a bankruptcy proof of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with church and DOJ show awareness of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3549	TRO890365FTC	2/23/2016	Clay, Rickey	Rickey Clay	7623		X	X				1984 and 2008 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of the bar date. Says effort have been taken to restrict the flow of information, unclear who allegedly did so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same actually were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Says publication notice was inadequate for dispersing notification, does not read Wall Street Journal or any of the other 38 publications; as did not receive information of the bar date, he could not submit a timely claim. Publications included The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3550	TRO896028FTC	4/6/2016	Hardin, Dorothy	Rickey Hardin	4600		X	X				2004 cancer diagnosis; rep says spouse died in 2008, and rep was too distraught to know she could file a claim for a deceased spouse. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3551	TRO884938FTC	11/25/2015	Hudson, Rickey	Rickey Hudson	5090		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3552	TRO892241FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Jessie	Rickey McCollum	7471		X	X				1986 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1997; standard form reasons as to why did not file in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3553	TRO897214FTC	5/4/2016	Shies, Rickie	Rickie Shies	6630	8376		X				Diagnosis "at birth;" as reason for missing bar date says "incapacitated," but provides no reasoning or support; a supplement filed at docket #8376 does not answer that question; no explanation for lengthy post-bar date delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3554	TRO904955FTC	Unknown	Hendricks, Willie	Ricky Baker	7969		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. No diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2003; says Kerr McGee said it would take care of injured but never did; when she eventually filed she could not find medical records. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3555	TRO901967FTC	9/14/2016	Barry, Ricky	Ricky Barry	7389		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1999 and earlier; unaware that he could file a claim; says was not notified in mail, newspapers, letters, correspondence or tv concerning this matter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3556	TRO902398FTC	9/14/2016	Irions, Jeanie	Ricky Butler	4077		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 2000, died in 2006. Rep claims did not get direct notice, publication was not good enough. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3557	TRO887042FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Ricky	Ricky Davis	3376		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, alleges filed but paperwork was misplaced in 2000 by attorney in prior litigation. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's own conduct is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3558	TRO893766FTC	3/2/2016	Lee, Ricky	Ricky Lee	6769		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says attorneys Easley and Bambach had water tested in 2000 and then did not get back to him; says Bambach died in 2000 [he actually died in 2013] and Easley didn't call back. Also says gave forms to EPA and paperwork to local church but was not contacted. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3559	TRO914825FTC	7/26/2017	McAllister, Ricky	Ricky McAllister	6116			X		X		Says was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says the dates that he put on the first claim were wrong; the dates he lists now are all after the bar date. Trust may dispute diagnoses dates, but no pre-bar date diagnosis is alleged for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3560	TRO886491FTC	12/7/2015	Young, Ricky	Ricky Young	4460	8816	X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says did not receive direct notice; out of town at the time. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #8816 complaining about the process. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not contend that Tronox knew of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3561	N/a	11/28/2017	Murry, Riley	Riley Murry	7202			X				Pre-bar date conditions and diagnoses; says the publication announcement was not made known in his area, but the notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS in June 2009; says he did not know he could file a claim and has lived in the plant area on and off since 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3562	TRO891136FTC	2/23/2016	Dora, Riquala	Riquala Dora	6300	6299		X		X		Unaware of any forms being claimed against Kerr McGee; says diagnosis after bar date, unclear as at least one diagnosis was in January 2009 according to the motion itself. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3563	TRO895505FTC	3/24/2016	Gunn, Rita	Rita Gunn	3992		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Did not file claim because was unaware of possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3564	TRO884961FTC	11/25/2015	Owens, Willie	Rita Jordan	4547		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Rep says injured party was deceased and could not file papers, but rep does not say why she did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3565	TRO891848FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Rita	Rita Thompson	6639		X	X				Diagnoses 1998, 2000; says that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3566	TRO891846FTC	2/23/2016	Alexander, Timothy	Rita Thompson	6655		X	X				Injured party died in 1986; no explanation given for late filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in Alabama or MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3567	TRO881012FTC	12/4/2015	Lawrence, Rita	Rita, Lawrence	3951			X		X		Alleges some conditions after bar date, unclear if they are new conditions or just continuations. Did not know/no reason to know exposed prior to deadline, did not get notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3568	TRO891972FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, RL	RL Reed	6196		X	X				1966 diagnosis; former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Texas in 2006; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area and did not qualify; does not explain why she did not file her own lawsuit or take other steps after not qualifying for class action; says she did not reside in Mississippi in 2009 and did not receive timely information. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3569	TRO891969FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, RL MK [III]	RL Reed III	6199		X	X				1988 diagnosis; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area; moved to TX from Columbus, MS; says did not receive timely information. No explanation as to why did not file own lawsuit or take other steps after failed to qualify for class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3570	TRO895129FTC	3/23/2016	Barry, Lena	Robena Moody	7586		X	X				Diagnosis dates not listed but had to be 1988 or earlier as the injured party died in 1988; rep says unaware could file a claim; not notified by mail, newspaper, letter, correspondence, TV, concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3571	TRO885498FTC	11/25/2015	Beard, Robert	Robert Beard	5011		X	X				1996 diagnosis; publication notice of claim not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3572	TRO894273FTC	3/2/2016	Braddock, Clara	Robert Braddock	4802		X	X				Diagnosis date "1997-2005"; injured party died in 2005; previously filed with Colom law firm; rep says unaware of the ongoing case and filing proceedings; rep attaches a standard letter that says "no justifiable allowance" because of guidance from Colom and Lundy; refers to private meetings that some people held that allegedly limited information those people gave to the community. Prior dealings with Colom law firm show awareness of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3573	TRO892214FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Robert, III	Robert Brown III	4397		X	X				1981 diagnosis (as infant). Did not receive any paperwork concerning the Tronox tort claims trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3574	TRO895539FTC	3/24/2016	Chapmon, Robert	Robert Chapmon	4437			X				1993 diagnosis. No excuse provided. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3575	TRO900376FTC	7/25/2016	Cofer, Robert	Robert Cofer	6039			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3576	TRO890077FTC	2/23/2016	Coleman, Robert	Robert Coleman	4480		X	X			X	Form says that first symptoms and diagnosis were in December 2009 and attaches list of post-bar date diagnoses, but also says claimant previously filed a claim with the Colom law firm; standard language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3577	TRO898883FTC	6/27/2016	Collins, Robert	Robert Collins	6418		X	X				1983 diagnosis; unaware of the case until after the deadline passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3578	TRO897761FTC	5/25/2016	Cooperwood, Robert	Robert Cooperwood	4638			X				2008 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3579	TRO895447FTC	3/24/2016	Edwards, Robert	Robert Edwards	6473			X			X	Diagnosis November 2009; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to a potential claimant; was not aware of the threatening conditions. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3580	TRO894282FTC	3/2/2016	Elizenberry, Robert	Robert Elizenberry	7778		X	X				1984 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3581	TRO894690FTC	3/23/2016	Fortini, Robert	Robert Fortini	3908			X		X		Diagnosed "2009/2010." Unaware of deadline until told by a neighbor. Dates of 2009 diagnoses unclear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3582	TRO892340FTC	2/23/2016	Grays, Robert	Robert Grays	7179			X				2007 diagnosis; unaware exposed or affected by the Tronox claim, no longer resides in area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3583	TRO889039FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Robert	Robert Harrison	4774			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; unaware of claims and filing date; was not informed of the proceedings. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3584	TRO898164FTC	6/20/2016	Hawkins, Robert	Robert Hawkins	4070	6006	X	X				1989 and 2000 diagnoses. Unaware that Tronox was taking claims or of "new proceedings;" says death in family at time but does not provide date. A duplicate of this motion was filed at docket #6006. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3585	TRO902188FTC	9/14/2016	Holmes, Robert	Robert Holmes	7903			X			X	2017 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the Motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
3586	TRO901287FTC	8/16/2016	Hughes, Robert	Robert Hughes	7039		X	X				Diagnosis date "1999 through 2008;" did not have knowledge of the ongoing case; did not receive a letter or phone call. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3587	TRO897219FTC	5/4/2016	Bejeski, Robert	Robert J. Bejeski	4102	8404		X				1990s diagnosis for allergies. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A duplicate filed a docket #t #8404, attached to a claim filed by Robert P. Bejeski. Says was minor at time of exposure but not at bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3588	TRO894501FTC	3/23/2016	Johnson, Robert	Robert Johnson	5332		X	X				Diagnosis apparently in 2000; says he did file a claim with medical records but appears to be might be referring to late-filed claim with the Tort Claims Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3589	TRO881058FTC	12/4/2015	Johnson, Robert IV	Robert Johnson IV	4191		X	X				1991-1995 symptoms, no diagnosis date. Says unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3590	TRO886956FTC	12/7/2015	Kundrat, Robert	Robert Kundrat	3778		X	X			X	Diagnoses in various years before 2009, once in 2014 (no details as to condition diagnosed then). Did not file because "we were told that since Kerr McGee was bankrupt, there would be no funds available for any claims that would be filed." Deserves credit for the honesty of the explanation but unfortunately a miscalculation of the likely recovery is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3591	TRO898842FTC	6/27/2016	Lowe, Robert	Robert Lowe	5044			X			X	Most diagnoses dates 2003 and earlier, one listed in 2009, unclear if new condition. Says unaware of claims against Tronox; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; mentions army duty, possibly in mid-1970's; movant says exposure started in 1957 and that movant entered the army at age 18, no allegation that was still in military service at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3592	TRO887156FTC	12/14/2015	Malone, Robert	Robert Malone	4422		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3593	TRO900996FTC	8/16/2016	Maxwell, Robert	Robert Maxwell	5843			X			X	Unaware of deadline; says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3594	TRO899352FTC	8/16/2016	Meady, Lanell	Robert Meady	7369	8304	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with William Bambach, paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8304, complains that people who do not live in the area are getting claims allowed and residents are not. No evidence claim was pending at the time of the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3595	TRO899345FTC	8/16/2016	Meady, Robert	Robert Meady	7372	8303	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket # 8303, also complains that others have had claims allowed that do not even live in the area. No evidence claim was pending at the time of the bankruptcy case. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3596	TRO912217FTC	5/30/2017	Mims, Robert	Robert Mims	4632		X	X				1956 diagnosis. Was unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3597	TRO912931FTC	5/30/2017	Mims, Robert	Robert Mims	6183		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim a violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3598	TRO892077FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Robert	Robert Moore	7460			X				Symptoms 1993-1998 onset; diagnosis just says "VA" without date(s); says was "unaware we could [file]." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3599	TRO897218FTC	5/4/2016	Bejeski, Robert	Robert P. Bejeski	8404			X				1990s diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3600	TRO884262FTC	11/25/2015	Peterson, Robert	Robert Peterson	4807	8621	X	X				1984 diagnosis. Says that he filed a timely claim but appears to be under the mistaken impression that his late-filed claim was timely. References in letter (same language as submitted with many motion) to alleged mis-guidance from Colom and Lundy firm and to private meetings of certain sectors. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8621. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3601	TRO887956FTC	12/18/2015	Phinizee, Robert	Robert Phinizee	4381			X		X		Alleges diagnoses 2010 and later. Says worked at Sanderson company and was told that employees who filed a claim would no longer have a job there. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3602	TRO894703FTC	3/23/2016	Porter, Robert	Robert Porter	5200		X	X			X	Asthma diagnosis in 1999, says more serious lung and spinal conditions were first diagnosed in 2016-2017. Unaware exposed to creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was on 1999 diagnoses also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3603	TRO889367FTC	2/23/2016	Potorski, Robert	Robert Potorski	5862			X				1996, 2002 and 2004 diagnoses; unaware this was going on because he was not living in Avoca at that time and was never notified. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3604	TRO890007FTC	2/23/2016	Prowell, Charlie	Robert Prowell	4291	4292	X	X				Duplicate motions at dockets 4291 and 4292. The injured party died in 1977 after diagnosis in 1970. Cut-and-paste language: not aware of bankruptcy case, did not see notifications, allegedly was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3605	TRO892982FTC	2/23/2016	Andrew, Robert	Robert Roby	8498			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3606	TRO891740FTC	2/23/2016	Sharp, Robert	Robert Sharp	4481		X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Form says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but that is inconsistent with attached document that says diagnosis was in 1996. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3607	TRO89775FTC	Unknown	Stewart, Robert	Robert Stewart	3553		X	X				Motion is not listed in the Trust's summary. Diagnosed in 1992, alleges publication notice was a due process violation because it did not actually reach everyone. The publication notices were reasonable and sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Does not allege that Tronox had reason to know of his injuries or of other circumstances that would make publication notice invalid. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3608	TRO893588FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Robert	Robert Tate	4128			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3609	TRO896818FTC	4/25/2016	Tolon, Robert	Robert Tolon	4171	4172	X	X				Diagnoses in 1995, 1997, 2003. Says filed with Earnhart attys in McComb, Mississippi, whenever called to check always told matter was pending back in 2/2009. Duplicate at docket 4172. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Contact with attorneys confirms awareness of claim. If attorneys failed to make a filing that is not grounds for relief unless the attorneys' failure can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3610	TRO904664FTC	1/24/2017	Viercinski, Rosemary	Robert Viercinski	4052			X				Diagnoses of other conditions in 1970s and 1980s, uterine cancer 2007. Injured party died August 8, 2009. Says insufficient notice, but no allegation that Tronox knew of this claimant or of her injuries. Alleges unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date or of reasons no claim was made until many years after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3611	TRO904675FTC	1/24/2017	Viercinski, John	Robert Viercinski	4056			X		X		Diagnosed with prostate cancer 2009, lung cancer 2010. Says was unaware of bar date, unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3612	TRO884099FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Robin	Robin Petty	7169	4895 9059		X				Rejection notice is at docket 4895. January 2009 diagnosis; says he lost his aunt and job and fell into depression, became paranoid with memory problem, leading to medical problems. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9059 complaining about the process. Does not explain lengthy post-2009 delay before filing claim or pursuing rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3613	TRO889560FTC	2/23/2016	Robinson, Patricia	Robinson, Patricia	5741			X		X		Unaware and no knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 but does not say precise month. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3614	TRO894135FTC	3/2/2016	Walker, Twanda	Rochell Walker Lewis	7054		X	X				The motion at docket # 7045 is by Twanda Walker; diagnoses 1994, 1999, 2002; the injured party died in 2002; rep says previously engaged the Colom firm in December 2005 but was informed that the claim could not be filed for a deceased person; the rep engaged another attorney and timely filed her own claim but did not file for Twanda based on inadequate information from Colom law firm; says violation of due process. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3615	TRO887686FTC	12/14/2015	Brown, Rochelle	Rochelle Brown	5616			X			X	Alleges 2009 symptoms and diagnosis but medical records go back to 2007 and says previously hired atty Benny Turner in 2008; after atty died office claimed they had no records on injured party. Attaches form letter complaining about guidance of Colom and Lundy and alleged secrecy in community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Communications among other claimants is not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3616	TRO888919FTC	12/30/2015	Cobb, Roderick	Roderick Cobb	6160			X			X	1995 symptoms, diagnosis "1995-2016;" place of exposure not specified, movant relocated to Alabama; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Also has filed a rejection notice as to condition first diagnosed after the bar dates. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3617	TRO989612FTC	6/27/2016	Richardson, Roderick	Roderick Richardson	3875		X	X				Former MS resident. Diagnoses in late 1970s. Says "no prior knowledge and was contacted by mail." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3618	TRO884248FTC	11/25/2015	Sunivelle, Roderick	Roderick Sunivelle	4603	8706	X	X			X	Cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010 but also says filed with Colom firm, was part of 2002 class action. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8706 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim asserted in class action either was resolved in that proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3619	TRO887189FTC	12/14/2015	Wallace, Roderick	Roderick Wallace	4259			X		X		Standard language; says symptom and diagnosis Dec 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3620	TRO886347FTC	12/7/2015	Cunningham, Rodie	Rodie Cunningham	6749		X	X				1972 diagnosis; unaware of filing deadline; in attachment, says that publication notice insufficient because there is no type of public notice informing residents of neighborhood that they are still accepting claims. The only claims that were supposed to be accepted after the bar date were claims based on new conditions first diagnosed after the bar date. This claimant does not allege a post-bar date diagnosis. The bar date order bars all claims for pre-bar date diagnoses unless excusable neglect or due process violations are shown under the standards set forth in the accompanying Decision. Movant alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3621	TRO894874FTC	Unknown	Grays, Rodney	Rodney Grays	6461		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1989, 1991-92; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide claimant notice; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox products. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3622	TRO898686FTC	6/27/2016	Hayes, Rodrick	Rodrick Hayes	5230		X	X				August 2006 diagnosis; was not aware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3623	TRO900750FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Rodrigue	Rodrigue Jefferson	3605		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, states not aware deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3624	TRO914645FTC	Unknown	Taite, Rodriquez	Rodriquez Taite	8029			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3625	TRO892124FTC	2/23/2016	Bell, Roger	Roger Bell	6297			X		X		Does not provide excuse; provides a pack of medical records for conditions in 2009 and later. No showing as to relevant factors (knowledge, diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3626	TRO890883FTC	2/23/2016	Carr, Roger	Roger Carr	6515		X	X				1965 diagnosis; says "moved to new location paperwork to know the deadline." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3627	TRO880345FTC	12/4/2015	Rice, Roger	Roger Rice	3676		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, did not know case was still open. Says was underage when litigation began in 1990s, but was not underage at time of the 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3628	TRO889699FTC	2/23/2016	Hahn, Catherine	Roland Casper	5753							Claim number is listed incorrectly in the Trust's summary. The injured party died in 2014; rep says that the injured party's spouse was in the process of filing when he became ill, made trips to hospital and died 12/15/2009; rep says injured party thought claim had been filed. Court will accept the explanation and permit the late filing of the claim, the merits of which will be resolved by the Trust under its normal procedures.
3629	TRO891519FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Roland	Roland Hargrove	6065		X	X				Diagnosis in 1970; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, after atty died, he had no knowledge of his claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case (however, Bambach died in 2013). Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3630	TRO901827FTC	9/14/2016	Roland, Luther	Roland, Luther	6078		X	X				1989 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not have knowledge of this claim until December 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3631	TRO886297FTC	12/7/2015	Guyton, Romalro	Romalro Guyton	5688		X	X				1978 diagnosis; not aware of claim, no knowledge of bankruptcy case, did not see publication notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3632	TRO890756FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Roosevelt	Ro'Mario Gunter	5386		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says "due to dealing with the death and other factors, we were unable to" file claim, but does not state date of death or its relation to bar date, does not explain delay of many years after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3633	TRO884362FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Romone	Romone Williams	3341		X	X				Diagnosed 1988. Alleges filed claim with church group in 2009; unclear what that is, but it does not constitute a litigation or the filing of a proof of claim in the Tronox case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3634	<b>TRO905756FTC</b>	4/24/2017	Bryant, Ronald	Ronald Bryant	4118		X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed the claim number as TRO905754FTC. Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1972 diagnosis. Alleges moved out of state; insufficient notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3635	TRO905321FTC	3/1/2017	Cameron, Ronald	Ronald Cameron	7734			X				2005 diagnosis; tried to file in 2007 in an action handled by the Powell law firm but was told it was too late; also periodically incarcerated but dates unclear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Contact with Powell firm shows awareness of claims and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3636	TRO890515FTC	2/23/2016	Casper, Ronald	Ronald Casper	5754			X				Alleges a 2007 diagnosis but says previously filed with the Powell law firm in 2004; claim may have been resolved in a prior proceeding. If not, the Powell firm was actively involved in the Tronox bankruptcy case and had notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reasons why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3637	TRO886147FTC	12/7/2015	Dukes, Ronald	Ronald Dukes	7115		X	X				1978 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 1996, no funds received. Appears to believe that class action claim counted as a claim in the separate 2009 bankruptcy case, which is not the case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3638	TRO901051FTC	8/16/2016	Hendrix, Ronald	Ronald Hendrix	8450		X	X				Diagnoses 1997, 2001; unaware of information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3639	TRO890838FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Ronald	Ronald Little	8469			X		X		Diagnoses 2013, 2014, 2015; unaware exposed to Tronox product; insufficient notice; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3640	TRO898325FTC	6/20/2016	Looney, Ronald	Ronald Looney	5327			X		X		Heart disease diagnosis in 1993, facial cyst in 2014; says was unaware of bankruptcy case. Unclear where exposure occurred. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3641	TRO881033FTC	12/4/2015	Tetlak, John	Ronald Tetlak	3991			X				Filing on behalf of deceased. Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s, death in 1995. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline, also says "thought you had to work at Kerr-McGee to file a claim." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3642	TRO884577FTC	11/25/2015	Young, Ronald	Ronald Young	3582		X	X				Diagnosed 1997. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3643	TRO912079FTC	5/30/2017	Ziobro, Ronald	Ronald Ziobro	6810			X				1968 diagnosis; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3644	TRO886972FTC	12/7/2015	Burgin, Rone, Jr.	Rone Burgin, Jr.	3410	8174 8632	X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8174, says he filed at same times as others whose claims were allowed and they do not live in the area. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8632. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of legal rights. Attorney's mistake is not a proper ground for relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3645	TRO897829FTC	Unknown	Scott, Melvin	Ronnie Clayton	7980		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1964 diagnosis; says injured party was incompetent at time of bar date because of health and mental issues; unaware condition related to Tronox chemical. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3646	TRO893135FTC	2/23/2016	Pope, Willie	Roosevelt Davis	4058			X		X		Symptoms (2010) and diagnosis (2012) after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3647	TRO893376FTC	2/23/2016	Drungo, Roosevelt II	Roosevelt Drungo	6002		X	X				1982 diagnosis; says did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3648	TRO901476FTC	8/16/2016	Edmonds, Roosevelt, Jr.	Roosevelt Edmonds, Jr.	7766		X	X				Diagnoses 2005, 2009; was diagnosed with PTSD and legally blind and had difficulty filling out claims. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Court sympathizes with difficulties but they do not amount to an incapacity in the sense of an inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, does not explain long post-bar date delays before filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3649	TRO898780FTC	6/27/2016	Key, Roosevelt	Roosevelt Key	6145		X	X				1987 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3650	<b>TRO886102FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Swoop, Roosevelt</b>	<b>Roosevelt Swoop</b>	3366			X				Motion not included in Trust's summary. Diagnosis year not included, exhibited symptoms in 1969, previously filed a claim or legal proceeding against Tronox, says that "I filed a tort claim trust" but appears to be referring to the late claim that was filed. No circumstances alleged to show excusable neglect, no due process claim made.
3651	TRO901674FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Rosa	Rosa Lee	5781	8338	X	X				1969 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; unaware of the Tronox process or that she could file a claim; alleges violation of due process. A supplement filed at docket #8338, without knowledge to wade through process; claimants should not be injured by inability to understand the law and process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3652	TRO893524FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Sara	Rosa Williams	6604	8275	X	X				1989 diagnosis; did not file in 2009 because "didn't know about it." A supplement filed at docket #8275, more contact information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3653	TRO900359FTC	7/25/2016	Gorman, Bernard	Rosalie Gorman	3399			X				Diagnosed "at the age of 35" ... not filed on time because "wasn't aware until recently." Appears to have been filed on behalf of a relative. No verification of lack of knowledge of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3654	TRO897548FTC	5/25/2016	Carr, Rosalyn	Rosalyn Carr	8423			X				1995 diagnosis; says she did file a claim through the representative before the deadline but does not give particulars, and it is unclear with whom she filed or which deadline she references. No record of a bankruptcy claim filed before bar date in 2009. No grounds stated for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3655	TRO887897FTC	12/14/2015	Hodge, Rosalyn	Rosalyn Hodge	7261		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS who listed a Columbus address as her residence from 2009 through 2011 but who also says she did not live there any longer; alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action; says did not receive notice in a timely manner, did not have knowledge of any of the publication notices. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if contends that Colom firm represented claimant in 2009 but that would not be grounds for relief because the Colom firm received direct notice of the bar date, and failures by counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's failures can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3656	TRO891158FTC	2/23/2016	Bradely, Roscoe	Roscoe Bradely	5800		X	X				Diagnosis dates listed as "yes," symptoms "1999-2017." Previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Continuing condition is not a "future" tort claim unless first diagnosed after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3657	TRO898988FTC	6/27/2016	O'Hop, Rosemarie	Rosemarie O'Hop	3651			X		X		Diagnosed 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3658	TRO886864FTC	Unknown	Morrison, Alice	Rosemary Horton	3316	8077	X	X				Diagnosed 1991, filing on behalf of mother who died in 1993. Child says had no reason to know she had been exposed. A supplement filed at docket # 8077 says child was unaware of bankruptcy case, had moved away from area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3659	TRO887989FTC	12/18/2015	Bradley, Evelyn	Rosemary Horton	4248	8076	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed and died in 2000. Rep had no reason to know claimant exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8076, rep unaware of bankruptcy case, moved away from area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3660	TRO888280FTC	12/18/2015	Morrison, Kenneth	Rosemary Horton	4277	8143	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1970 and died in 1977; rep did not know claimant had been exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8143; rep moved away from area, unaware of bar date, when first learned of it, it appeared relief only applied to those in area. (May be referring to a prior class action in which class membership was limited based on residence.) Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3661	TRO888081FTC	12/18/2015	Morrison, Milton	Rosemary Horton	4278	8078	X	X				Diagnosis and death in 1983; rep did not know claimant exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8078; rep unaware of bankruptcy case, moved away from area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3662	TRO890946FTC	2/23/2016	Love, Miracle	Rosemary Love	5170			X				June 2007 diagnosis; rep's reason for not filing by bar date is "Didn't think I could." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Motion does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3663	TRO891167FTC	2/23/2016	Love, Martin	Rosemary Love	5202			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2012; as reason for not filing the rep says "I didn't think I could." Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3664	TRO898515FTC	6/27/2016	Monelli, Leo	Rosemary Monelli	5301	8052		X				1998 diagnosis; injured party died before 2009; rep did not know and had no reason to know exposed to creosote; no notice provided; not informed of bar date. A supplemental filed at docket #8052, did not know about the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see anything about it on TV and it was not mentioned to her. She does not buy newspapers and lives on a budget. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3665	TRO899031FTC	6/27/2016	Follins, Rosetta	Rosetta Follins	6729		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 1987, 1999, 2003; unaware able to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3666	TRO880739FTC	12/4/2015	Barnes, Rosa	Rosia Barnes	5323		X	X				Diagnoses all 2004 and earlier. Unaware of process and did not know could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3667	TRO887299FTC	12/14/2015	Andrews, Rosie	Rosie Andrews	6320		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says during time claims were getting filed, she was in and out of hospital, but does not explain why no action was taken after 2000 and why claim was filed so long after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. However, the Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3668	TRO901876FTC	9/14/2016	Beamon, Rosie	Rosie Beamon	4017			X			X	Claimed diagnosis date of 2014 but also says cancer dates back to 2005 and that respiratory issues have existed for 40 years. Says did not know how to fill out the claim form but appears to be referring to the late claim submissions and not to a claim filed in 2009. Unclear if seeks relief as to any condition diagnosed before the bar date and in any event the motion only alleges lack of understanding of the process with no showing as to other relevant factors (knowledge of the bar date, diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3669	<b>TRO893505FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Bembry, Rosie</b>	<b>Rosie Bembry</b>	6584		X	X			X	The motion at docket # 6584 is by Rosie Bembry [TRO893505FTC]; the motion by Elbert Bembry III [TRO890578FTC] is at docket #5219. As to Rosie Bembry: diagnoses of various conditions, some before the bar date and some after; says she was unaware of the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3670	TRO902402FTC	9/14/2016	Brown, Rosie	Rosie Brown	4004		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Alleges lack of knowledge, cut-and-paste of allegation that was told to file a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3671	TRO900617FTC	8/16/2016	Brown, Rosie	Rosie Brown	6376		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with lawyer Colom in 2002; says the information was not available re bankruptcy proceeding, if he had known would have filed a timely claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3672	TRO886440FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Rosie	Rosie Gardner	4109	9235	X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS. Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date, some after. Says did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9235 complaining of delay. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3673	TRO886443FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Joshua	Rosie Gardner	4111	9238	X	X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnoses for same conditions pre and post bar date. Rep unaware exposed to Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #9238 complaining of delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3674	TRO903297FTC	10/27/2016	Gunn, Rosie	Rosie Gunn	3964		X	X				1956 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; alleges violation of due process to discharge claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3675	TRO905007FTC	1/24/2017	Harrison, Rosie	Rosie Harrsion	5136		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; information not publicly available to her; unaware of the proceeding or danger of product; not properly notified nor made aware of specific deadline. Complains about lack of direct notice but does not allege that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding also shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3676	TRO893027FTC	2/23/2016	Hogans, Rosie	Rosie Hogans	5846		X	X		X		Alleges a 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was not aware could file a claim in Tronox case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3677	TRO892607FTC	2/23/2016	Peek, Mary	Rosie Jethrow	5596			X				2007 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2009; no excuse provided. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3678	TRO892608FTC	2/23/2016	Prude, Johnny	Rosie Jethrow	5597		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons why did not file by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3679	TRO896518FTC	4/25/2016	Johnson, Rosie	Rosie Johnson	6849		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom and Lundy; did not know could file a claim in the bankruptcy case; did not see any notices, eventually called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3680	TRO903064FTC	10/13/2016	Williams, Rosie M.	Rosie M. Williams	6194		X	X				The motion at docket #6194 is by Rosie M. Williams [TRO903064FTC]; a different motion by Rosie Williams [TRO893439FTC] is at docket # 5378. Rosie M. Williams previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 and was paid \$8,000. Says she never received a letter concerning a filing deadline and she is and was incapacitated. Alleged diagnosis date is 2003. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges physical limitations but does not show inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3681	TRO886424FTC	12/7/2015	McCottrell, Rosie	Rosie McCottrell	3288		X	X				Diagnosed in 1970, part of prior legal proceeding with Colom law firm; says lawyer did it all and did not know we were "redoing it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim either was resolved in a prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3682	TRO902431FTC	9/14/2016	Bryant, Ella	Rosie Poe	5356			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3683	TRO901538FTC	8/16/2016	Poe, Rosie	Rosie Poe	5358			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3684	TRO891178FTC	2/23/2016	Sims, Rosie	Rosie Sims	8504		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1990, 2008, 2014; unaware that her illness qualified. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3685	TRO887269FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Rosie	Rosie Smith	6814		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2004, 2009, 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003; received \$200 from Colom law firm; says was not aware of Tronox bankruptcy process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3686	TRO886182FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Rosie	Rosie Turner	4517		X	X			X	Form does not specify diagnosis dates, refers to medical records, not completely clear what dates of all relevant diagnoses were. Says was unaware of her entitlement at the time of the bar date; refers to participating in a prior class action but does not recall who the lawyer was. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3687	TRO888698FTC	12/24/2015	Harris, Rosie	Rosie Turner	4874			X				Previously filed with Colom law firm; was not aware of public notices of bar date; says was not properly notified nor made aware of a specific deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3688	TRO887680 FTC	12/14/2015	Webb, Rosie	Rosie Webb	6100		X	X		X		Says she misinterpreted the conditions of the claim; did not know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product, also mentions that when she responded to a prior deficiency notice she forgot to add her most recent health conditions. Therefore, although in this form she says her symptoms and diagnosis were after bar date, unclear if she is referring to her most recent conditions. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3689	<b>TRO893439FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Williams, Rosie</b>	<b>Rosie Williams</b>	5378		X	X				The motion at docket # 5378 is for Rosie Williams [TRO893439FTC]; a differnt motion at docket #6194 is by Rosie M. Williams [TRO903064FTC]. Motion at docket # 5378 Lists a 1976 diagnosis but not clear if that was for all of the claimed conditions. Her excuse is she did not receive direct notice that she was required to file a claim; unaware exposed to Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Motion at docket # 6194 but that is a different Rosie Williams. As to docket 5378: Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3690	TRO902171FTC	Unknown	Wright, Rosie	Rosie Wright North	7974		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Symptoms started 1995 to 2001; diagnosis "yes;" previously filed with the Colom law firm, result not listed; unaware of ongoing settlement in case; unaware of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
3691	TRO904644FTC	1/24/2017	Kania, Robert	Rostock, Robert A.	5812			X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1993; rep is grandson and says that in 2009, he was away at college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3692	TRO904645FTC	1/24/2017	Kania, Wanda	Rostock, Robert A.	5813			X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; rep is grandson and says that in 2009, he was away at college. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3693	TRO904356FTC	1/3/2017	Worshaim, Rowena	Rowena Worshaim	4996	8157	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed the claim number. 1969 diagnosis; parents did not have the funds at that time to pursue any claims. A supplement filed at docket #8157. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3694	TRO881005FTC	12/4/2015	Collins, Roy	Roy Collins	3777						X	Filed in 2015, says was a child at the time of the bar date. However, he was born in 1989, so was 20 at bar date. Alleges father's and brother's claims were allowed but unclear when they filed or as to when they were first diagnosed with symptoms. Does not allege that he or his parents were unaware of the claims process or of their claims and legal rights at the time of the bar date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3695	TRO891811FTC	2/23/2016	Kidd, Roy	Roy Kidd	5634		X	X				Diagnosis date "45 years"; no excuse provided for failure to make a timely filing. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3696	TRO887362FTC	12/14/2015	Anderson, Dan	Ruby Anderson	3416	9483	X	X				The injured party died in 1984; rep says she failed to file a claim because she had to take care of her business and her husband's business and work and she references that she was caring for two sick people, the injured party and her husband, but specifies that this was in 1982. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3697	TRO881039FTC	12/4/2015	Anderson, Ruby	Ruby Anderson	6325	9483	X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says was very ill during the time frame allowed, was hospitalized for several months but does not provide dates. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3698	TRO892020FTC	2/23/2016	Blair-Brooks, Ruby	Ruby Blair-Brooks	5173		X	X				Diagnoses all before 1996; unaware required to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3699	TRO898520FTC	6/27/2016	Brooks, Ruby	Ruby Brooks	4827		X	X				1998 diagnosis; cut-and-pasted standard summary language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3700	TRO887116FTC	12/14/2015	Colvin, Ruby	Ruby Colvin	7904		X	X				1990 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3701	TRO893409FTC	2/23/2016	Doss, Zakquarius	Ruby Lee	3612		X	X				Says wheezing symptoms in 2004, then as of November 2008 had to be on a machine. Says did not know of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief.
3702	TRO892853FTC	2/23/2016	Lowe, Ruby	Ruby Lowe	6278		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3703	TRO889830FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Ruby	Ruby Neal	7913		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 1980, 1993; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm, did not receive "full settlement;" moved in early 2009, was unaware of bar date; medical condition still being determined. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3704	TRO901764FTC	8/16/2016	Redmond, Ruby	Ruby Redmond	7607			X			X		Previously filed with atty Bambach, date not specified; says symptoms 2009 but first diagnosis 2010; says incapacitated because of schizophrenia episodes and chemotherapy. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3705	TRO901189FTC	8/16/2016	Richardson, Ruby	Ruby Richardson	5192		X	X					1995 diagnosis; did not hear about it at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3706	TRO901024FTC	8/16/2016	Robinson, Ruby	Ruby Robinson	7259		X	X					Various conditions alleged but only diagnosis date listed is for kidney issues (1990); moved from MS to GA and had no knowledge or reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive notice through any type of communication. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3707	TRO903768FTC	11/23/2016	Robinson, Geneica	Ruby Robinson	7260		X	X			X		Diagnoses 1987, 2001, 2013; injured party is deceased; rep says injured party moved from MS to GA in 2009 and was unaware of claim or exposure; unaware condition caused by exposure to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a separate condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3708	TRO887309FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Ruby	Ruby Smith	6798		X	X			X	Diagnoses before and after bar date; filed claim in 2003 in class action; received a settlement in that "first suit," was not aware could "file again." If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense as to the effect of the prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3709	TRO891068FTC	2/23/2016	Spearmon, Ruby	Ruby Spearmon	6094		X	X				2002 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach (not clear when or in connection with what proceeding); after atty died [in 2013], he did not hear anything; says "tried to get a claim" and it seems that he thought he "would be notified by someone else. And hearing about it." No indication that claim was ever actually asserted in an ongoing proceeding. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3710	TRO902791FTC	8/16/2016	Patterson, Rudy	Rudy Patterson	4477		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case, saw no notifications. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3711	TRO888981FTC	12/30/2015	Harris, Rufus	Rufus Harris	5824		X	X				2001 diagnosis; says did not file because did not know, also missed filing in the "first" lawsuit against Kerr-McGee. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3712	TRO896640FTC	4/25/2016	Latham, Rufus	Rufus Latham	4911		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy claim/case, did not see any notices. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3713	TRO913557FTC	6/28/2017	Cousin, Rufus	Rufus Lee Cousin	4678		X	X				1942 diagnosis; unaware of deadline until after husband had passed; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of due process but claim had already expired and in any event there is no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009.
3714	TRO902656FTC	8/16/2016	Neal, III, Rufus	Rufus Neal III	5492		X	X		X		Diagnoses listed as 2000/2015; unclear if different conditions. Says unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case, did not see publication notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3715	TRO886927FTC	12/7/2015	Rush, Joyce	Rush, Joyce	7194		X	X			X	1995 and 2013 diagnoses; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3716	TRO889405FTC	2/23/2016	Booker, Ruth	Ruth Booker	6541	8209	X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates, most 1994 and earlier but one (sleep apnea) in 2009, precise date not clear; unaware exposed to a Tronox product prior to filing deadline. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8209, at time she filled out the claim, she had no knowledge there was a deadline; unaware of the claim until a neighbor informed her she could file based on where she lived. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3717	TRO902578FTC	9/14/2016	Booker, Everge	Ruth Booker	7224		X	X				Various conditions listed, diagnoses apparently before 1990; did not know and no knowledge exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3718	TRO893375FTC	2/23/2016	Drungo, Roosevelt	Ruth Drungo	6001		X	X				1968 diagnosis; the injured party deceased 2006 (unclear); rep says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3719	TRO891778FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Ruth	Ruth Jones	6060		X	X		X		One diagnosis in 2011, one in 2007, one in 2006, rest 1998 and earlier. Unaware of claims process; says discharge of claim is violation of due process but does not say how or why; was unaware of the process and did not know that she could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3720	TRO900743FTC	8/16/2016	Ledbetter, Ruth	Ruth Ledbetter	4002			X				Diagnosed Jan 2009. Says not aware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3721	TRO912957FTC	5/30/2017	Love, George	Ruth Love	4629		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; alleges violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3722	TRO912218FTC	5/30/2017	Love, Ruth	Ruth Love	4633		X	X				1980 symptoms; lists diagnosis date in 1938 but presumably means 1983. Unaware of bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but makes no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3723	TRO884722FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Ruth	Ruth Moore	3333	8329	X	X				Diagnosed 1994, "no reason to know exposed," was living in another state at the time (now lives in Alabama). Rejection notice filed at docket #6773. A supplement filed at docket #8329, says did not get WSJ and did not have internet. Alleges no publication in local newspaper, but in fact notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS and also in The Birmingham News in Birmingham, Alabama. Says if she had known, she would have filed a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim appears to be based on exposures in Columbus MS, in which case the claim was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3724	TRO887833FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Ruth	Ruth Williams	4318		X	X				Previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3725	TRO901423FTC	8/16/2016	Bush, Ruthie	Ruthie Bush	5408		X	X			X	Previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; lists diagnosis dates as 2002 and 2005 but also says did not have a claim at the bar date because his condition did not manifest until after deadline, surgery was performed in 2015. Filing with Colom law firm shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any dispute as to the date of diagnosis) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3726	<b>TRO897963FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Moffitt, Ruthie</b>	<b>Ruthie Moffitt</b>	6438		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1972 diagnosis; says publication of notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know nor had reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3727	TRO894975FTC	3/23/2016	Stewart, Ruthie	Ruthie Stewart	6532	8556		X				Diagnoses in 1988, 1997, 2000, 2007; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not find out about a claim concerning exposure to a Tronox product; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says taking care of sick relative and traveling from Alabama to Columbus until 2010, does not say start date of this care, does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8556. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3728	TRO886723FTC	12/7/2015	Hannon, Ryan	Ryan Hannon	3327			X				Answered "yes" to question about whether was diagnosed, but then says "did not think I had any reason that I had been exposed." Had moved to PA in 2004. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3729	<b>TRO889430FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Wilson, S.L.</b>	<b>S.L. Wilson</b>	8030			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3730	TRO901062FTC	8/16/2016	Tally, Jessie	Saberdia Tally	5938		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2016; rep says "at the time the publication notice was issued, [he] was a potential claimant who was unknown at the time the deadline was published." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3731	TRO885339FTC	11/25/2015	Marks, Sabrina	Sabrina Marks	4467		X	X				Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001; no excuse provided, says filed on time but may reference claim filed after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3732	<b>TRO902718FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Robinson, Sabrina</b>	<b>Sabrina R. Robinson</b>	6341		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Previously filed with the Colom law firm 2002; not aware could file a claim. Unclear if contends new conditions after 2002. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3733	TRO902704FTC	8/16/2016	Burdine, Mildred	Sabrina Robinson	6323			X				No diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2008; rep says previously filed with the Colom law firm; were not aware they could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3734	TRO902818FTC	Unknown	Brewer, Michelle	Sabrina Robinson	6367			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Just a signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3735	TRO900541FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Sabrina	Sabrina Smith	4699	6313	X	X				1988 diagnosis. Apparently filed in one of the earlier actions and was denied, and says did not know could file again. A supplement filed at docket # 6313. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3736	TRO880836FTC	Unknown	Saddler, Norma	Saddler, Norma	5307		X	X		X		The correct claim number to which this motion relates is TRO880836FTC. Various diagnoses alleged, one pre-bar date and the rest post-bar date. Unaware of claims process and did not know could file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3737	TRO887755FTC	12/14/2015	Porter, Salatheo	Salatheo Porter	7291		X	X				1989 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach in August 2009. No record of any claim having been filed in the bankruptcy case, though Attorney Bambach received direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3738	TRO905461FTC	3/28/2017	Cofer, Sallie	Sallie Cofer	7529		X	X				1958 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says that she was grieving the death of a relative at time of bar date; says she became severely depressed and withdrawn with anxiety attacks, later became isolated from family. Does not explain many years' delay after the bar date before filed a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3739	TRO885106FTC	11/25/2015	Dent, Sallie	Sallie Dent	4299		X	X		X		1995 diagnosis listed; enclosed medical records show long history of post-bar date doctor visits, unclear if any show new conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date; cut-and-pasted language saying did not know of bankruptcy, did not see publications, allegedly called and was told to file Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3740	TRO884071FTC	11/13/2015	Ellis, Sallie	Sallie Ellis Watt	4464		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Says was unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3741	TRO890984FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Sallie	Sallie Epps	6109		X	X			X	1974 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm 2003; says that she filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under the mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1975 rather than 2009. The reason being that she filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement in 2002 and she was offered \$8,500. Movant rejected the offer. In 2003, filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now movant wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3742	TRO890227FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Sallie	Sallie Jones	6352		X	X			X	1999, 2006 and 2013 diagnoses; completely unaware that a time limitation was imposed on filing a claim; refers to caring for spouse and multiple hospitalization but does not provides dates of that care and does not explain many years' delay before filing a claim in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3743	TRO885075FTC	11/25/2015	Murray, Sallie	Sallie Murray	4915		X	X				1995 diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case and claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3744	TRO889343FTC	2/23/2016	Smith-Daniels, Sallie	Sallie Smith-Daniels	4659		X	X				Diagnoses 2003 and earlier. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; focused on dialysis treatment in 2009, kidney disease led to transplant in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3745	TRO894034FTC	3/2/2016	Baptist, Sally	Sally Baptist	4856	8323	X	X				2003 diagnosis; was unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8323, with recent medical records; unaware of possibility of getting a settlement. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3746	TRO888515FTC	12/24/2015	Johnson, Sally	Sally Johnson	8130	8462	X	X				Motion is at docket # 8462, letter at docket # 8130. Diagnoses in 1980, 1981; no excuse provided; filed with attorney, maybe Colom, many years ago. No grounds stated for relief. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3747	TRO888820FTC	12/30/2015	Bennett, Sam	Sam Bennett	8405			X		X		Merely a chart with diagnoses for conditions after 2009. No other information, no request for relief. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3748	TRO880061FTC	12/4/2015	Congress, Sam	Sam Congress	4453	8776		X		X		Cut-and-pasted language as reasons for not filing but alleges symptoms and diagnosis started Dec. 2009. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8776 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3749	TRO895359FTC	Unknown	Lawrence, Sam	Sam Lawrence	8038		X	X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Tollison law firm in 2001 Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Prior litigation shows awareness of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on diagnoses before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3750	TRO897431FTC	5/25/2016	Simon, Ruth	Sam Simon	6129		X	X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2005; rep says unaware of the case, did not see any type of publication of the case or filing deadline in newspaper or any media form. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3751	TRO902208FTC	9/14/2016	Wells, Sam	Sam Wells	4170		X	X				2004 diagnosis. Didn't know about the process until too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3752	TRO894778FTC	3/23/2016	Lowery, Samantha	Samantha Lowery	5521			X				Symptoms 2006-2009; diagnosis "yes"; unaware of bar date due to being a working single mother of 4 and dealing with sickly kids at the time and her own health issues; does not provide medical records to demonstrate any incapacity as of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3753	TRO88835FTC	12/30/2015	Bennett, Samella	Samella Bennett	4820			X			X	The correct claim number to which this motion relates is TRO88835FTC. The claimant says the diagnosis was in 2007 but in a separate paper all diagnosis dates are after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3754	TRO885776FTC	11/25/2015	Ball, Sammie	Sammie Ball	4818			X			X	Sinus and blood pressure issues in 1942 and 1980, prostate malignancy in 2017. Says filed timely claim but claim was disallowed, alleges that now (in 2017) there is a more serious diagnosis and says claim should be reconsidered as a future tort claim. It is unclear what the prior symptoms were or the basis of denial. Motion does not seek relief as to a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, just asks for consideration of claim based on prostate malignancy in 2017. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3755	TRO902599FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Sammie	Sammie Fields	7077		X	X				Lists first diagnosis in 1975 but various conditions are identified that did not start until 2001; says did not know about the suit before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3756	TRO890494FTC	2/23/2016	Morgan, Rosetta	Sammie G. Lowe	7628		X	X				Diagnoses 1972, 1974, 1978, 1980; the injured party died in 2004; rep says that despite the fact that they lived in Columbus, they were not contacted; says the form of contact was unfounded and undue process and should be rescinded. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3757	TRO893193FTC	Unknown	Harris, Sammie	Sammie Harris	5541			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
3758	TRO901225FTC	8/16/2016	Harris, Sammie	Sammie Harris	6347		X	X				1991 diagnosis; did not know about claim until case was closed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3759	TRO890428FTC	2/23/2016	Hill, Henry	Sammie Hill	5912		X	X				Diagnoses 1980s-1990s; the injured party died in 1999; rep says was not informed of Tronox case or claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3760	TRO887708FTC	12/14/2015	Hinton, Sammie	Sammie Hinton	6152	6180	X	X		X		Diagnoses in 2004 and 2007; did not have knowledge of an ongoing Tronox case; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn anything from media concerning this matter. Also has filed a rejection notice [duplicate is at docket #6180] alleging entitlement to a future tort claim based on post-bar date exposures and/or post-bar date diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3761	TRO887178FTC	12/14/2015	McCoy, Sammie	Sammie McCoy	5176			X		X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2010; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3762	TRO890035FTC	2/23/2016	Mosely, Sammie	Sammie Mosley	3529			X				Diagnosed 1985, "was not aware of a claim." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3763	TRO900102FTC	7/25/2016	Perry, Sammie	Sammie Perry	5682		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says filed for disability on his job and was denied and wants assistance; does not provide an excuse for failing to file by bar date. Place of exposure not clear. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Complains about disability proceedings but this Court has no jurisdiction over such proceedings.
3764	TRO887133FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Sammie	Sammie Williams	3481		X	X				1994 diagnosis, says that Maranatha Center filled out paperwork for him on March, 15, 1999; says he did not get papers until 2 days before filing date, mailman put mail out at the wrong address. Appears to be referring to an earlier class action as the bankruptcy of Tronox was not filed until 2009. No explanation offered as to why missed the 2009 bar date in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior claims in 1999 confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3765	TRO885630FTC	11/25/2015	Young, Sammie	Sammie Young	4961			X				Motion contains no information, just a rejection notice and signature page.
3766	TRO889588FTC	2/23/2016	Brown, Sammy	Sammy Brown	6080			X				2006 diagnosis (colon cancer); says that at the time of the filing deadline, he had just begun to live life in its new normality due to procedures, doctor appointments and stresses after surgery. Also says he was led to believe through local papers, TV and community leaders that the filing was a private entity for the Maranatha church; that apparently is a reference to the fact that the Church filed a pre-bankruptcy lawsuit. Did not file claim until 2016, does not explain that long delay. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3767	TRO904113FTC	1/3/2017	Harmon, Wyatt	Sammy Horton	4999		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1987; rep says form of notice deficient on its face and that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Terms of the notice were sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3768	TRO884904FTC	11/25/2015	Porter, Sammy	Sammy Porter	4589		X	X		X		Says there were complications and confusions around the claim, still confused. Attachment states that claimant lived in Columbus, MS for entire life, so deserves a claim. Diagnosis dates not clear. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3769	TRO896791FTC	4/25/2016	De Alba, Samuel	Samuel De Alba	3474			X				1987 diagnosis. Says was not notified; did not know this was going on; heard about it from a neighbor. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3770	TRO897470FTC	5/25/2016	DeAlba, Samuel, Jr.	Samuel DeAlba, Jr.	5070			X				2005 diagnosis; says never received notice nor was aware of this action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3771	TRO903684FTC	11/23/2016	Edwards, Samuel	Samuel Edwards	6997		X	X				2002 and 2003 diagnoses; no knowledge of claim since moved to another city; was not made aware about his claim or of a deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3772	TRO903216FTC	10/13/2016	Fields, Samuel	Samuel Fields	4924		X	X		X		1962 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro 1998; std lang. The motion form states that the symptoms and diagnosis were in the 1960s but attached papers are for 2010 or thereafter. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3773	TRO905406FTC	3/28/2017	Gordon, Samuel	Samuel Gordon, Jr.	5133			X		X		Did not know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice was not reasonable; says symptoms began Oct 2009, diagnosis Dec. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3774	TRO893851FTC	3/2/2016	Peoples, Adell	Samuel Peoples	6847		X	X		X		2004 and 2013 diagnoses; says grew up near "the plant," not clear which one; unaware that the dangerous Tronox products were in the air and the water; rep says did not know to file before 2009, filed when found out that Tronox was liable. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3775	TRO893850FTC	3/2/2016	Peoples, Samuel	Samuel Peoples	6850	8265	X	X				1951 diagnosis; says that he grew up near "the plant" but not clear which plant; unaware that the dangerous Tronox products were in the air and the water; did not know to file before 2009; filed when found out Tronox was liable. A supplement filed at docket #8265, unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3776	TRO895872FTC	3/24/2016	Richardson, Solomon	Samuel Richardson	6565		X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3777	TRO895871FTC	3/24/2016	Richardson, Sallie	Samuel Richardson	6566		X	X				1991 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1994. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3778	TRO884437FTC	11/25/2015	Williams, Sandee	Sandee Williams	5315		X	X				Diagnoses 1989 and earlier; unaware of claims process and that could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3779	TRO894928FTC	3/23/2016	Vaughn, Sanders	Sanders Vaughn	3814		X	X				Diagnoses in 1970s. Says was dealing with PTSD and depression (dates not specified) and did not know to file a claim. Insufficient showing of incapacity to file claim or to arrange filing of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3780	TRO903261FTC	10/27/2016	Vaughn, Michael	Sanders Vaughn, Sr.	3813		X	X				Filing on behalf of injured party who was diagnosed in 2001 and is now deceased. Rep says was dealing with PTSD and depression (dates not specified) and did not know to file a claim. Insufficient showing of incapacity to file claim or to arrange filing of claim. No showing as to deceased's knowledge or pursuit of rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3781	TRO900923FTC	8/16/2016	Crump, Markeda	Sandra Carroll	4516			X				Diagnosis and symptoms 2006; deceased 2008; says documents filed timely but appears referring to class action claims or to mistaken belief that late-filed Tronox bankruptcy claims were timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If claim was late, no excuse has been offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3782	TRO887009FTC	12/14/2015	Cockrell, Sandra	Sandra Cockrell	5505		X	X		X		Various diagnoses before and after the bar date; says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3783	TRO900861FTC	8/16/2016	Cooper, Sandra	Sandra Cooper	5050		X	X				1978 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3784	TRO886667FTC	12/7/2015	Gilmore, Sandra	Sandra Gilmore	5212			X				2006 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; also caring for child with heart condition. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3785	TRO892097FTC	2/23/2016	Hendry, Wade	Sandra Henry	4905		X	X				Condition arose in 1982, no diagnosis date listed; says unaware of the claim. Former MS resident. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3786	TRO891711FTC	2/23/2016	Horton, Sandra	Sandra Horton	7896		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1948, 2017; previously filed claim with Colom law firm, results not disclosed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3787	TRO893363FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Sandra	Sandra Jones	3597		X	X				Diagnosed 1980, alleges lack of knowledge, says filed when first heard about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3788	TRO895508FTC	3/24/2016	King, Sandra	Sandra King	5430		X	X				1951 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process to bar the claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3789	TRO895985FTC	4/6/2016	Dankins, William	Sandra Latham	4337	9018	X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1980, died in 1987. Rep says unaware of bankruptcy case, did not see publications, allegedly called after bar date and was told to file a Future Tort Claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9018 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3790	<b>TRO890067FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Lewis, Sandra</b>	<b>Sandra Lewis</b>	6264			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3791	TRO889236FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Sandra	Sandra Moore	5477		X	X				1979 diagnosis; moved from Mississippi to Florida in 1983; says was a minor at time of injury but was at least 40 years old by the time of the bar date; says unaware of exposure to creosote and of her circumstances; did not see any advertising pertaining to Tronox. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3792	TRO889235FTC	2/23/2016	Moore, Baby	Sandra Moore	8486	8248	X	X				This motion regarding the claim for Baby Moore [TRO889235FTC] was filed with the Court but it was attached to the motion filed by Sandra Moore [TRO889236FTC] at docket #5477. This motion was docketed separately later at docket #8486. The injured party is deceased, stillborn 1979; representative references postpartum depression but that was in 1979; movant later moved from Mississippi to Florida; did not see advertising pertaining to bar date. A supplement was filed at docket # 8248, movant says she did not receive any mail from anyone and she is sure that research was done to approximate how many people lived in that area during this time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3793	TRO889059FTC	12/30/2015	Peoples, Sandra	Sandra Peoples	7728	8259	X	X				Diagnoses 1994 and 2007; merely says filed a claim in 2014 or 2015 that was rejected; does not refer to the 2009 period or explain the failure to file then. A supplement with additional pages filed at docket #8259. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion is dated before December 1, 2017, cannot verify whether that was within the 90-day period after the Determination Notice. Motion does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3794	TRO885716FTC	11/25/2015	Petty, Sandra	Sandra Petty	6828	8653		X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date, some after; suffers from PTSD from Gulf war, with short and long term memory loss. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8653. Claims based on the listed conditions that were diagnosed before the bar date (in 1992 and 1995) were time-barred by the applicable MS statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy filing and before movant began getting PTSD compensation in 2009. However, conditions first diagnosed after the bar date may qualify as future tort claims. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3795	TRO900871FTC	8/16/2016	Robinson, Sandra	Sandra Robinson	7041		X	X				1975 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of the ongoing case; did not receive a letter or phone call nor did she learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3796	TRO895333FTC	3/23/2016	Taylor, Sandra	Sandra Taylor	6239		X	X				Conditions and diagnoses prior to 2000; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; was not aware nor had any knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3797	TRO893866FTC	3/2/2016	Turner, Sandra	Sandra Turner	4994	8704	X	X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2009 but does not insert medical records, says did not know about bar date at that time; was working out of state; did not know and had no knowledge that was exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8704 complaining about the process. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3798	TRO886570FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Branden	Sandra Williams	6598			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2016 and 2017; minor with no knowledge of the bankruptcy case, his guardian filed the claim not aware that she should have added information other than what was on the original claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3799	TRO886547FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sandra	Sandra Williams	6603			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 2014 and 2015, but exhibited symptoms in 2008; did not file by deadline because had "no information about Tronox." No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3800	Unknown	2/23/2016	Lee, Sandy	Sandy Lee	8468		X	X			X	Diagnoses 2003, 2017; says received no direct notice, nothing sufficient to inform claimant that condition was due to Tronox materials. No indication that Tronox had reason to know of the injured party's condition. Due process did not require the impossible and did not require that Tronox send notice to each injured party saying that the injured party had a condition that Tronox had caused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3801	TRO902693FTC	8/16/2016	Deloach, Sara	Sara Deloach	5953			X			X	All of the stated diagnoses dates in the form motion are after the bar date; says was not aware; did not see any commercial on TV or hear anything on radio; tort claim call line provided misleading information. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3802	TRO900383FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Sara	Sara Key	5667			X				February 2008 diagnosis; no idea claim could be filed until after the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3803	TRO903988FTC	1/3/2017	Sims, Sara	Sara Sims	6635		X	X				Various diagnoses in 1980s; had no knowledge of bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3804	TRO885207FTC	11/25/2015	Brewer, Sarah	Sarah Brewer	7166		X	X			X	Claims a condition was first diagnosed in 2014 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm and with atty Bambach in 2005 and received a \$275 settlement; unaware of ongoing Tronox case; did not receive a phone call, letter, or learn anything from TV or radio concerning matter; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but received settlement from an earlier action. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to effects of prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3805	TRO898202FTC	6/20/2016	Collie, Sarah	Sarah Collie	7469		X	X			X	Different conditions diagnosed in 1970, 2000, 2010; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003-2004; minimum given and was told take it or leave it; says unaware she could file a claim. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on the terms of a prior class action settlement) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3806	TRO897295FTC	5/4/2016	Gibblets, Sarah	Sarah Gibblets-Bulford	4100			X				1989 diagnosis. Says was never made aware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3807	TRO888157FTC	12/18/2015	Hairston, Sarah	Sarah Hairston	6866		X	X				2001 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach 2001-2003; she visited office many times and atty told her he had still not heard. Then he said they were trying to negotiate; then his office was closed for some time; secretary would take phone number but there was no call; atty ineffective in handling claim. Unclear what proceeding she participated in but there is no record of a filed bankruptcy claim in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Attorney Bambach also received direct notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3808	TRO884353FTC	11/25/2015	Moody, Itell	Sarah Harris Hughes	3342		X	X				Diagnosed 1976. Was part of a prior class action. Not a "future tort claim" where disease was manifested and claimant already participated in a prior proceeding. Either the claim was resolved in a prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3809	TRO890346FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Horace	Sarah Hughes	5135		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2009; rep did not see any publication, was unaware of deadline and coping with death of her spouse. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy. In addition, the risks of creosote exposure and the filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3810	TRO884496FTC	11/25/2015	Stewart, Ella	Sarah Jones	3505			X				Diagnosed 1975, "unaware of the filing date." Lived out of state. Filing on behalf of deceased mother. Wants this to be considered "excusable neglect." Says that the injured party participated in a 2002 class action suit. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim resolved in prior litigation could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3811	TRO903097FTC	Unknown	Mosley, Sarah	Sarah Mosley	5109			X			X		Not included in Trust's summary. Unaware of deadline; says symptoms 2011-2012, diagnosis 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3812	TRO893634FTC	2/23/2016	Pate, Sarah	Sarah Pate	7912	8318	X	X					Diagnoses 1972, 1974, 1976, 2005; previously filed with Colom law firm, results not stated; says not aware of bankruptcy case, did not see public notice, called 800 number and was told to file a future tort claim. A supplement filed at docket # 8318, without knowledge to wade through process. Trust contends motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3813	TRO898015FTC	6/10/2016	Vaccaro, James	Sarah Vaccaro	4836			X					Diagnoses pre-dated 1983; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know about bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3814	TRO898318FTC	6/20/2016	Wega, Kenneth	Sarah Vaccaro	4853			X					1954 diagnosis; injured party died in 1970; rep says unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3815	TRO887115FTC	12/14/2015	Walker, Sarah	Sarah Walker	8360			X					2006 diagnosis. Says that in 2009, she was the caregiver for her sister, who died on June 10, 2009, a few weeks before bar date, and she was not thinking about the claim and forgot about the lawsuit deadline. Does not explain the many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3816	TRO884645FTC	11/25/2015	Whitfield, Flora	Sarah Williams	5253		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3817	TRO902703FTC	8/16/2016	Bishop, Marcel, Sr.	Scherrel Sturdivant	4541		X	X				Injured party died in 1996. Rep says was informed could not file for a deceased person, then later got different advice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3818	TRO885834FTC	11/25/2015	Bishop, John	Scherrell Sturdivant	3357			X				Diagnosed 2008. Someone (no indication who) said could not file on behalf of deceased family members. Not contend lack of knowledge or awareness of bar date. Alleged bad advice from another person is not grounds for relief unless the bad advice can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3819	TRO888326FTC	12/18/2015	Morgan, Leon	Scott Morgan	7191		X	X				1993 symptoms; no diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 1994; unaware of bar date because individuals in various church affiliations and associates allegedly kept the information private; says there also was false information that only people who worked for Kerrr-McGee plant could file (which may be a reference to a prior action that was only on behalf of employees). Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3820	TRO891722FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Cameron	Scott, Cameron	5224		X	X				1995 diagnosis; was unaware of this process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3821	TRO885628FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman-Marweg, Scottie	Scottie Coleman-Marweg	4974		X	X			X	1986 diagnosis of respiratory condition, also alleges 2010 diagnosis for shortness of breath, unclear if that is really a new condition. Says unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3822	<b>TRO884818FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Davis, Sean</b>	<b>Sean Davis</b>	4890			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3823	TRO897362FTC	5/25/2016	Boykins, John	Selma Boykins	4268		X	X				The Injured party was diagnosed in 2000 and died in 2000. Rep unaware of lawsuit, bar date or that qualified; informed that allowance was for certain distance from plant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3824	TRO890379FTC	2/23/2016	Lash, Fred	Selma Lash	6557		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1972. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3825	TRO896898FTC	4/25/2016	Sales, Selma	Selma Sales	5499	8107	X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS; lists various diagnoses but dates on which each condition was first diagnosed are not clear. Says moved and had little knowledge of local events; does not read Wall Street Journal or other publications. A supplement filed at docket # 8107. Supplement alleges late 2017 diagnosis of pancreatitis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3826	TRO887191FTC	12/14/2015	Richardson, Selvin	Selvin Richardson	5470	6142?	X	X				<p>This motion may be a duplicate of the motion at docket #6142. It is not, however clear if that is the case because of certain discrepancies. The motion at docket # 5470 is for Selvin Richardson [claim TRO887191FTC] and the motion at docket #6142 is for Selvin I. Richardson [claim TRO894564FTC]. It may be the same person using a different TRO number because the addresses are the same and the signatures are very similar. However, while some of the symptoms overlap, they are not identical. The motion at docket #5470 says 1979 is the date for exposure, symptoms and diagnosis, while the motion at docket #6142 says 1988 is the date for exposure symptoms and diagnosis. The motions both provide the same excuse, did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, discharge of debt violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Court will treat them as two separate motions and two separate claims out of abundance of caution. As to the motion at docket 5470, relief is denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>
3827	TRO894564FTC	Unknown	Richardson, Selvin	Selvin Richardson	6142	5470?	X	X				<p>The motion at docket #6142 is for Selvin I. Richardson [TRO894564FTC]; there is another motion filed for Selvin Richardson [TRO887191FTC] at docket #5470. It is not clear whether or not it is the same person, the signatures are very similar and the addresses are the same, but while some of the symptoms overlap they are not identical. In addition, Selvin I. Richardson [TRO894564FTC] says 1988 is date for exposure, symptoms and diagnosis, while Selvin Richardson [TRO887191FTC] at docket #5470 says 1979 is date for exposure, symptoms and diagnosis. They both provide the same excuse, i.e.: did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product, discharge of debt is a violation of due process, publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Court will treat them as two separate motions and two separate claims out of abundance of caution. As to the motion at docket 6142, relief is denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3828	TRO900168FTC	7/25/2016	Dennie, Seth Ethan	Sequoia Trimble	5150			X		X		Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
3829	TRO900169FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Sequoia	Sequoia Trimble	5153			X		X		Letter similar to letter filed at docket #5144. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. However, this appears to be a dispute over the Tort Claims Trust's evaluation of the claimant's allegations as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date, which should be resolved under the Tort Claims Trust's dispute resolution procedures.
3830	TRO886415FTC	12/7/2015	Hubbard, Sergio	Sergio Hubbard	4287	8219 8344 9429	X	X				1994 diagnosis. Unaware of bankruptcy case, says has mild retardation. A supplement filed at docket #8219 (says disabled) and at docket #8344, without knowledge to wade through the process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9429 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3831	TRO886199FTC	12/7/2015	Turner, Sha Tanya	Sha Tanya Turner	4613		X	X				Diagnosis date not listed but symptoms began in 1978. Was part of a prior class action but not certain of the name of the lawyer; says was unaware of entitlement to file in Tronox. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, the claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3832	TRO900413FTC	7/25/2016	Griffith, Beatrice	Shagaria Griffith	4490		X	X				2003 diagnosis. The injured party is deceased; rep says the injured party was unaware and no had reason to know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3833	TRO900708FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Shakenya	Shakenya Jones	3618		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, family moved away from area and claims this is the reason she did not know about the case. Filed a late claim in April 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3834	TRO894398FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Sha'Kian	Sha'Kian Jones	6076		X	X				2001 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product; letter saying "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" says discharge of claim is a violation of due process; refers to private meetings and minimal details to the town at large. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3835	TRO895300FTC	3/23/2016	Payne, Shalana	Shalana Payne	7614			X		X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis; not aware of the lawsuit or that it pertained to her or her condition at the time; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3836	TRO895773FTC	3/24/2016	Hill, Shalonda	Shalonda Hill	3579		X	X				Diagnosed in 1994, alleges did file timely claim but no claims on register except late-filed claims. May be referring to a claim in another proceeding, or to a claim filed after the bar date under a mistaken belief it was timely. If filed before bar date that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust. To extent filing was after the bar date, no excuse has been offered. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3837	TRO900663FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Shalonda	Shalonda Quinn	5180		X	X				1996 diagnosis; unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3838	TRO912901FTC	5/30/2017	Shelton, Shalonda	Shalonda Shelton	5429		X	X				1987 diagnosis; unaware of deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; alleges violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3839	TRO889033FTC	12/30/2015	Howard, Shamaya	Shamaya Howard	7921			X				Diagnoses 2007, 2015; previously filed with atty Bambach 2003-2008; atty said claim had been filed (unclear what proceeding but given the listed dates it could not have been the bankruptcy case, as the bankruptcy filing did not happen until 2009). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Unclear if any claim ever was actually pending. If lawyer failed to file a lawsuit or a claim that is not grounds for relief, because conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date.
3840	TRO897605FTC	5/25/2016	Edwards, Shameka	Shameka Edwards	3642		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no longer lives in city where exposed, filed a claim in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3841	TRO892483FTC	2/23/2016	Sims, Shameka	Shameka Simas	3512			X				Diagnosed 2009, alleges mom filed a claim with an attorney they never heard back from in 2009. Allegations about prior timely claim are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, not an excusable neglect or due process issue. If attorney failed to file, that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's own failure can be excused.
3842	TRO902296FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Shantis	Shameka Williams	5731			X				2001 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; when she learned of the earlier class actions, she was too late to file; then she did not become aware that "another lawsuit was reopened for this case" until after the bar date, she was unaware of any deadlines. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3843	TRO902298FTC	9/7/2016	Wright, Jamaricus	Shameka Williams	5732			X				2002 diagnosis; rep says when she learned of the earlier class actions, she was too late to file; then she did not become aware that "another lawsuit was reopened for this case" until after the bar date, she was unaware of any deadlines. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3844	TRO889231FTC	2/23/2016	Klusty, Shamika	Shamika Klusty	7171	8172	X	X				1990 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved around country riding with truck driver due to being diagnosed as bipolar during this time frame. A supplement filed at docket #8172, says that because of her condition she is not stable enough to stay in one location and is constantly moving and living in odd places. Medical records are from 2000 or before and are for asthma, no other alleged condition, no verification as to alleged incompetence sufficient to toll statute of limitations or to excuse lack of filing. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3845	TRO885781FTC	11/25/2015	Rice, Shamika	Shamika Rice	4024		X	X				2000 diagnosis. Says moved to Memphis, no knowledge of claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3846	TRO888807FTC	12/30/2015	Harrison, Shancee	Shancee Harrison	6096		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002, unclear if received recovery; says was unaware of the Tronox claim deadline and proceedings. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3847	TRO889637FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Shandrique	Shandrique Roby	3578			X		X		Diagnosed in 2009 - does not say the date in 2009. Alleges that a claim was indeed filed in a timely manner. May be referring to a claim filed after the bar date under the mistaken belief it was timely. If filed before bar date that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust. To extent filing was after the bar date, no excuse has been offered. Motion denied to the extent it seeks relief from the bar date with respect to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
3848	TRO901750FTC	8/16/2016	Lee, Shanness	Shanness Lee	5397		X	X		X		Alleges 2014 first diagnosis but also says previously filed legal claim in 1998 with Harold Barkley. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including whether they are barred by prior cases) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3849	TRO888689FTC	12/24/2015	Rice, Shania	Shania Rice	8495		X	X				2000 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was not aware of the proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3850	TRO887067FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Shanita	Shanita Jones	4843	8547		X				2007 diagnosis; says living with ill mother at time of bar date; unaware exposed to dangerous product. A supplement filed at docket #8547 complaining of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3851	TRO915022FTC	7/26/2017	Love, Shanna	Shanna Love	3598			X		X		Claims 2010 exposures and March 2010 diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3852	TRO902427FTC	9/14/2016	Davis, Earnest	Shannon Burchfield	4339	4335	X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1974 and died in 2009; rep says no knowledge of claim. (Another motion at docket # 4335 applies to the same injured party and attaches the same death certificate, but this motion refers to a claim with a different TRO number, a different party filing the claim and a different address). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3853	TRO902220FTC	9/14/2016	Lee, Shannon	Shannon Lee	4475		X	X				Previously filed with Harold Barkley in class action lawsuit 1998; standard language; also inconsistent on dates of diagnosis, main form says 2003. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3854	TRO889829FTC	2/23/2016	Neal, Shannon	Shannon Neal	7914		X	X				1988 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says the claim was handled by the Colom law firm, no details of legal procedures were forwarded; moved; unaware of bar date; medical condition was still being determined. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3855	TRO886466FTC	12/7/2015	Richardson, Patricia	Shannon Smith	3290		X	X				Diagnosis in 1985 or so; injured party died in 1997. Rep says did not know of claim because was away for military service for a few years but does not provide dates of service or any indication that movant was in military service at the time of the 2009 bar date. Also alleges that was told could not file for a deceased relative but no details provided as to who gave such advice or when. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3856	TRO885414FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Undra	Shannon Smith	3308		X	X				Diagnosis in 1999, exposure began in 1987. Contends she was a child but if exposure began in 1987 she had to be over 21 years old by the bar date in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. No legally sufficient showing of entitlement to excusable neglect or due process relief. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3857	TRO902697FTC	8/16/2016	Poole, Shanquarius	Shanquarius Poole	4980		X	X				The correct file is at docket # 4980. 2003 diagnosis; movant says that due to misleading information (not specified) was not aware of suit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3858	TRO886840FTC	12/7/2015	Blair, Shanta	Shanta Blair	3422		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Says that during in 2009 she was working out of town most of the time and she had a part-time job that she worked when she was in town; although she was aware of the contamination, she was not aware of the deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of the bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3859	TRO892207FTC	2/23/2016	Buckhalter, Shante	Shante Buckhalter	5393		X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware of a claim that she needed to file; thought that after initial claim, further claims were not accepted; unaware condition connected to Tronox chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3860	TRO889029FTC	12/30/2015	Granderson, Shantrell	Shantrell Granderson	4187		X	X				1971 diagnosis. Says did not know or have reason to know that exposed to Tronox product; unaware of bar date. Says was told (doesn't say by whom or when) that she could still file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3861	Unknown	N/A	Scott, Shantrell	Shantrell Scott	7963			X		X		Wrongly listed by Trustee at docket 3981, correct number is 7963. Diagnosed with minor issues in 2010-2011 (e.g, shortness of breath) but congestive heart failure diagnosed in 8/2017; says had no idea why had those symptoms before the claims deadline. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3862	TRO889776FTC	2/23/2016	Shelton, Shaperara	Shaperara Shelton	4135			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3863	TRO887417FTC	12/14/2015	Baldwin-Harris, Shaquala	Shaquala Baldwin-Harris	5305		X	X				1999 onset of symptoms; diagnosis date "yes"; previously filed with attorney Bambach in 1999, says paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3864	TRO884604FTC	Unknown	Kelly, Shaquala	Shaquala Kelly	5658			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 diagnosis; says did not know about the claim at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3865	TRO891461FTC	2/23/2016	Pippins, Shaquanda	Shaquanda Pippins	4933		X	X				1984 diagnosis; reason for not making a timely claim is "did not know about it." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3866	TRO891450FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Shaquille	Shaquille Harris	4255		X	X				1995 diagnosis. No excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3867	TRO902343FTC	9/14/2016	Jackson, Shaquille	Shaquille Jackson	4222						X	Diagnosis approximately 2000. Says was minor but alleges exposure began 1991 so was at least 18 at bar date. Rep did not know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3868	TRO887480FTC	12/14/2015	Payne, Richard	Sharlene Payne Martin	4616	9293	X	X				1974 diagnosis; rep says injured party died in 1992; rep did not file because there were not any class action law suits regarding Kerr-McGee illnesses. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9293 complaining about the process. Absence of a class action filed by another party does not explain injured party's failure to take action. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3869	TRO892111FTC	2/23/2016	Martin, Sharlene Payne	Sharlene Payne Martin	5115	9293	X	X				Diagnoses in 1997 (miscarriage) and 2008 (headaches) though appears headache symptoms have been ongoing for many years. Says that at the times of his diagnoses there were no claims processes or class action lawsuits. A supplemental letter filed with others at docket #9293 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3870	TRO889393FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Sharon	Sharon Craddieth	6267		X	X				Various diagnoses dates before bar date; says was unaware of deadline; did not know or had any knowledge that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3871	TRO896942FTC	4/25/2016	Cummings, Robert	Sharon Kearney	6943			X				2008 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2008; rep says publication notice was not provided to area claimants. Notice of the bar date was published in the Scranton Times (4.1 miles from Taylor, PA) in June 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3872	TRO901083FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Dicy	Sharon Lewis	7139	8212 9482	X	X			X	Various diagnoses, many before 2003, one in 2006, pneumonia in 2016; the injured party died in 2019; previously filed with Colom law firm 1999-2002 and with Lundy & Davis; says the amount of settlement was different when she signed, thinks she was coerced into signing and was not told that the litigation was an ongoing future tort claims. Seems to be under the impression that the bankruptcy process is a later part of the earlier class action, which is not correct. Claimant apparently received approximately \$17,431 net from the class action settlement. A supplement filed at docket #8212, claims that attorneys did not inform her of bar date, but the prior class action was over before the Tronox bankruptcy filing and there is no evidence claimant was still represented by counsel. In any event, class action counsel received direct notice of the bar date, and if they still represented the claimant but failed to file a claim that unexcused failure by counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. A supplement filed at docket #9376 adding information and address for mailing. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 and not resolved in prior action also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defenses as to the effect of the prior class action settlement) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3873	TRO901084FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Johnny	Sharon Lewis	7159	8212 8213 9376 9476 9482	X	X				Various diagnoses 1993 and earlier; the injured party died in 1993; previously filed with Colom law firm, rep says did not receive any compensation; did not get any notice of and was not aware of bar date. A supplement file at docket #8213, says part of pre-bankruptcy lawsuit, lawyer negligent in failing to file claim. It appears that movant received approximately \$17,431 net from a class action settlement. A supplement filed at docket #8212. A supplement filed at docket #9376 adding information and address for mailing. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3874	TRO901079FTC	8/16/2016	Lewis, Sharon	Sharon Lewis	7160	8196	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1978 and earlier; previously filed with Colom law firm 1999; says she did not know a fair amount and therefore was coerced to sign for \$8,300 recovery and was not informed of a bar date for future tort claims. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8196, unaware of bar date, lawyer failed to file for future tort claim; was previously unfairly compensated. Appears to be under the impression that the bankruptcy process is connected to a prior class action and/or that counsel in a prior class action represented claimant in regards to the bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused failures by counsel are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
3875	TRO892518FTC	Unknown	Little, Sharon	Sharon Little	6411		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnoses, all before 1993; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3876	TRO889885FTC	2/23/2016	Shearer, Sharon	Sharon Shearer	5223			X				1978 and 2002 diagnoses; unaware of any suit; did not get notice from anyone, did not get the newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3877	TRO893540FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Sharon	Sharon Smith	4630		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Was incarcerated at time of bar date in 2009; says prison would not allow her to file claim, there no access to forms. She learned of it in 2010 and was told was too late. Later, in 2016 filed claim. Does not explain six-year delay before filing. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3878	TRO891202FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Sharron	Sharron Williams	3744			X		X		Alleges was diagnosed in 2010, but in answer to question #2 (dates exposed) she lists various conditions, some of which long pre-date the bar date in 2009. As to reason why did not file: says she "just didn't." Not a sufficient showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or lack of due process as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3879	TRO890985FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Sharvin	Sharvin Epps	6108		X	X			X	1992 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm 2003; says that he filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under the mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1992 rather than 2009. The reason being that he filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement offered in 2002 that did not compensate for the noticed injuries, diagnoses and surgery. In 2003, he filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now he wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Also rejected an offer from the trust for a \$5000 allowed claim. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3880	TRO884647FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Shavone	Shavone Coleman	6895		X	X				Diagnoses in 1984, 1990, 1999; was not aware of what to do concerning claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3881	Unknown	2/23/2016	Stallings, Shawn	Shawn Stallings	7880						X	1997 diagnosis; minor (14) at time of bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3882	TRO885903FTC	11/25/2015	Hawkins, Shaywen	Shaywen Hawkins	7309		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm 2000-2001; received \$300; says was not contacted or made aware of the availability to file a proof of claim; received no contact by mail, phone or email; if he had been aware says would have filed. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3883	TRO891066FTC	2/23/2016	Trimuel, Shead	Shead Trimuel	5096			X			X	Says diagnoses in 2009 and thereafter without specifics but also was part of Colom class action, with class action claim filed in 2003. Says was not aware of the bankruptcy process timeline, did not know of the bar date. Filing in connection with class action shows awareness of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3884	TRO897225FTC	5/4/2016	Wilson, Sheddrod	Sheddrod Wilson	6933			X				2007 diagnosis; says that at the time, he really did not know what to do or what was really going on. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3885	TRO901557FTC	8/16/2016	Lang, Sheena	Sheena Lang	3540		X	X			X	First diagnosed 1990 for eye muscle disorder, not diagnosed for hypertension, high blood pressure, anxiety, other conditions until after bar date. Says did not know/no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3886	TRO914835FTC	7/26/2017	Berry, Ellis	Sheila Davis	4281		X	X				Says first diagnosis was in 2003. Spoke with Colom atty in 2004, was told it was a waste of time "so I left it alone." Consultation with attorney shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If believes advice was incorrect that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3887	TRO886373FTC	12/7/2015	Guyton, Sheila	Sheila Guyton	4137			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3888	TRO880159FTC	12/4/2015	Harris-Platt, Sheila	Sheila Harris-Platt	3858		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3889	TRO880338FTC	12/4/2015	Pratt, Sheila	Sheila Pratt	3863		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3890	TRO897854FTC	5/25/2016	Smith, Marudean	Sheila Smith	8505		X	X				2001 diagnosis; no excuse provided for missing bar date; says does not understand why claim was rejected. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3891	TRO896749FTC	4/25/2016	McCarthy, Anna (Nan) Ida	Sheila Strucke	5726	8083		X				Printed claim form lists injured party's name as "Nancy McCarthy" but handwritten entries list name as "Anna (Nan) Ida McCarthy." 1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1975; rep moved away from Avoca in 1981. A supplement filed at docket # 8083, rep unaware of bar date; did not receive notice. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3892	TRO901556FTC	8/16/2016	Washington, Sheila	Sheila Washington	3548		X	X			X	First diagnosed 1997 for thyroid issues, 2000 for migraines, 2009 and later for other conditions. Alleges did not know or have reason to know of exposure to toxic substance. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3893	TRO903620FTC	11/23/2016	Moore, Shekita	Shekita Moore	7673			X				Lists 1980s diagnosis (at age 1) but also alleges various conditions, not clear what diagnosis date was for each of them; did not receive direct notification or adequate notice of bar date; did not reside in Mississippi during case review and had no knowledge exposed to a Tronox product; resided in Florida and did not receive or hear any of the published notices; says excuse is due process but does not identify a due process issue. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges entitlement to direct notice but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3894	TRO896046FTC	4/6/2016	Gordon, Shelbie	Shelbie Gordon	6966			X			X	2009 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not have knowledge of the ongoing case; did not receive direct notice nor notice through media; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3895	TRO893311FTC	2/23/2016	Dismukes, Shelby	Shelby Dismukes	7892		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; says was having health issues at the bar date but does not supply medical records; unaware of claim or bankruptcy case. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3896	TRO888805FTC	12/30/2015	Marlowe, Mitchell Lee	Shelley Hogan	5457	5392	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this as docket # 5392, which is a separate motion by Shelly Hogan as to Mitchell Lee Marlowe but with a different claim number. As to the motion at docket # 5457: 1990 diagnosis. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3897	TRO887186FTC	12/14/2015	Hogan, Shelly	Shelly Hogan	5103		X	X				The motion at docket # 5103 is for Shelly Hogan (TRO887186FTC) on her own behalf. Shelly Hogan also filed separate motions as to Mitchell Marlowe (TRO896066FTC) at docket # 5392 and for Mitchell Marlowe (TRO888805FTC) at docket # 5457. As to the motion at docket # 5103: Ms. Hogan says she was diagnosed in 1972 and previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$1,200; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; asserts that the discharge of her claim is a violation of due process. Participated in a prior legal proceeding and already received compensation. Claim that was resolved in a prior proceeding could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. In addition, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), is not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3898	TRO896066FTC	12/30/2015	Marlowe, Mitchell Lee	Shelly Hogan	5392	5457	X	X				The motion at docket # 5392 relates to Mitchell Marlowe (claim TRO896066FTC), Trust incorrect listed this as docket 5103 (which is a motion by Shelly Hogan on her own behalf). Another motion by Shelly Hogan as to Mitchell Marlowe appears at docket 5457 with a different claim number. The injured party died in 1995; rep claims did not receive direct notice that relative was required to file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy case; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3899	TRO892986FTC	2/23/2016	Hogan, Mikayla	Shelly Hogan	5415						X	Minor; rep says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3900	TRO896067FTC	4/6/2016	Saddler, Ozella	Shelly Hogan	5458		X	X				1955 and 2006 diagnoses; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not receive direct notice that relative was required to file a claim; did not know and had no reason to know injured party had been exposed to Tronox product; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3901	TRO889767FTC	2/23/2016	Kadropolis, Shelton	Shelton Kadropolis	4134			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3902	TRO893665FTC	3/2/2016	Caine, Shemaiah	Shemaiah Caine	6010		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know about this claim; publication of claims filing deadline was unreasonably calculated to inform potential claimants of the claims filing deadline; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3903	Unknown	2/23/2016	Wells, Mary	Shemeka Wells	7874			X		X		2014 diagnosis; condition not manifested at time of bar date; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals; rep became aware and filed claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
3904	TRO901999FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Shemeka	Shemeka Williams	6025			X		X		Says she was unaware that these chemicals were affecting her that much; attaches same letter concerning purported Garretson rep directing them to file certain pre-filled forms, but that had to be long after the bar date because the trust was not established until long after the bar date; says diagnosis was in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3905	TRO885852FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Shemeka	Shemeka Wilson	7609		X	X				1987 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; previously filed with Colom law firm 1998, outcome unclear; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; says was a minor at time of the Colom action, but given date of diagnosis movant had to be at least 23 years old at the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3906	TRO891517FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Shemere	Shemere Jones	5296		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach in 1999; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3907	TRO887502FTC	12/14/2015	Davis, Elnoria	Shemika Craddieth	3429		X	X				The injured party died in 1992. Rep says that the person who was in charge has also died and rep did not know to file a claim by the claims filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3908	TRO905372FTC	3/1/2017	Moore, Henry	Shemika Craddieth	3800		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1952, died in 1992. Says she filed a Future Tort Claim but does not explain failure to file by the original 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3909	TRO889836FTC	2/23/2016	Craddieth, Shemika	Shemika Craddieth	3801		X	X				Diagnoses in 1987 and 1989. Claims DID file a "Future Claims" form but that apparently is a reference to a late-filed claim. No explanation of failure to file by original 2009 bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3910	TRO902800FTC	Unknown	Walker, Shemiko	Shemiko Walker	4145			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3911	TRO896757FTC	4/25/2016	Brownlee, Shenqualia	Shenqualia Brownlee	4944						X	2002 diagnosis; says was a minor at bar date; did not see any publication regarding bankruptcy case or bar date; notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
3912	TRO888126FTC	12/18/2015	Shepherd, Shakiyla	Shepherd, Shakiyla	5796			X		X		Says she was a child at the time and guardian did not have knowledge of the claim; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3913	TRO900335FTC	7/25/2016	Henley, Shereka	Shereka Henley	5557		X	X				June 2005 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3914	TRO884567FTC	11/25/2015	Fenton, Sheri	Sheri Fenton	4120		X	X				2002 Diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3915	<b>TRO890033FTC</b>	<b>2/23/2016</b>	<b>Troupe, Emma</b>	<b>Sheril Richey Rice</b>	5455		X	X				The motion at docket # 5455 is for Emma Troupe [TRO890033FTC]; 1986 diagnosis, injured party died in 1987; reps says that she was unaware she could file on behalf of deceased relative. The trust incorrectly referred to this motion as being at docket # 6061, but that is the motion filed by Sheril Richey Rice [TRO895413FTC] on her own behalf. Motion at docket 5455 is denied. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3916	TRO895413FTC	3/24/2016	Richey Rice, Sheril	Sheril Richey Rice	6061		X	X				The motion at docket #6061 is for Sheril Richey Rice on her own behalf [TRO895413FTC]; the motion for Emma Troupe [TRO890033FTC], filed by Sheril Richey Rice as representative and not as the injured party, is filed with the court at docket #5455. As to Ms. Rice's motion in her own name: alleges a 1997 diagnosis, says previously filed with Colom law firm; she says that she was unaware and did not have proper representation of the claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3917	TRO904703FTC	1/24/2017	Mims, Sherlaine	Sherlaine Mims	6657		X	X				1978 diagnosis; did not know exposed prior to deadline, as a result thinks that discharge is a violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3918	TRO893521FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Sherman	Sherman Jennings	6476			X				Diagnosis date not listed but symptoms onset in 1997; says did not know about the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3919	TRO903294FTC	10/27/2016	Hatchett, Sherri	Sherri Hatchett	3977		X	X				1981 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3920	TRO904344FTC	1/3/2017	Taylor, Sherritt	Sherritt Taylor	4499			X		X		Filed claim once found out how to file it in 2014; says symptoms and diagnosis in Sept. 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3921	TRO887304FTC	12/14/2015	Nalls, Shervica	Shervica Nalls	5006		X	X				1981 diagnosis; unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3922	TRO885640FTC	11/25/2015	Baskin, Bernice	Sheryl Pusha	3434		X	X				1957 Diagnosis, injured party died in 2004. Rep says did not know that she was supposed to file in the bankruptcy court at all. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3923	TRO886263FTC	12/7/2015	Shinn, Cleveland	Shinn, Mary Ann	5927	6041	X	X				Diagnoses 1987, 1999 and 2001; the injured party died in 2001; says all of the law firms, Howard Gunn, Colom, say they do not have his file; say he filed timely but appears to be referring either to a class action filing or to the late-claim filing with Garretson, no record of a timely proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. A supplement filed at docket #6041, says publication notice of the claims filing deadline not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3924	TRO898697FTC	6/27/2016	Taylor, Shirely	Shirely Taylor	5113		X	X			X	1962 asthma diagnosis, 2017 cancer diagnosis; unaware of filing; not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; refers to secret meetings and minimal details given by other local people to residents. Motion denied as to 1962 diagnosis; the claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing, and in any event the motion makes showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) to support relief. Merits of claim based on 2017 diagnosis and all defenses thereto are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3925	TRO893333FTC	2/23/2016	Alfred, Shirley	Shirley Alfred	4743			X			X	Did not get notice; says those who lived in area should get compensated. Place where exposure occurred is not clear. Alleges a 2013 diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3926	TRO880015FTC	12/4/2015	Billups, Shirley	Shirley Billups	6912		X	X				1979 and 1985 diagnoses; did not know that people were filing claims; does not read Wall Street Journal; was not informed to file a claim before the bar date; she moved away from area. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3927	TRO880013FTC	12/4/2015	Billups, Jim	Shirley Billups	6913		X	X				1987 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1988; rep says did not know that people were filing claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3928	TRO880016FTC	12/4/2015	Billups, Willie	Shirley Billups	6914		X	X				1970s and 1992 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1992; rep says did not know that people were filing claims, they were not told about the filing of claims, she does not read the Wall Street Journal. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3929	TRO914991FTC	7/26/2017	Bradford, Shirley	Shirley Bradford	4569			X				Exposure began 1958; diagnosis around 2008. Says was unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3930	TRO903775FTC	11/23/2016	Brown, Luberta	Shirley Brown	4349	8340	X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this motion as being dkt # 4269; correct listing is at dkt # 4349. 1970 diagnosis. Unaware of ongoing Tronox case, insufficient notice. A supplement filed at docket #8340, without knowledge to wade through process. Notice complied with due process requirements for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3931	TRO893887FTC	3/2/2016	Cheeks, Shirley [Richey]	Shirley Cheeks [Richey]	5995		X	X			X	Former Columbus, MS resident; alleges various conditions and diagnosis dates, unclear if any conditions were first diagnosed after the bar date; unaware of the bankruptcy case claims filing deadline; the publication notices were not reasonably designed to reach potential claimants; says it is not reasonable or fair to assume that working people have time to read the Wall Street Journal or any of the other 38 publications; has no current communication with Columbus Mississippi residents, where chemical was found and where the legal action started; did not hear of the class actions until later. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices are enforceable and comply with due process for reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date). Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3932	TRO884697FTC	11/25/2015	Collins, Shirley	Shirley Collins	6887		X	x				Various diagnoses, all before 2002; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says was not aware she could file a claim in Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3933	TRO888840FTC	12/30/2015	Edwards, Shirley	Shirley Edwards	6217			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; alleges 2010 and 2013 diagnoses; just found out that area was affected by chemicals, says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3934	TRO884971FTC	11/25/2015	Hairston [Tate], Shirley	Shirley Hairston	6851		X	X				1996 diagnosis; explains why believes injured but has not offered a reason why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3935	TRO901285FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Shirley	Shirley Jones	3662			X		X		Diagnosed 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3936	TRO884221FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Shirley	Shirley Jones	7577		X	X				2003 diagnosis; unaware and no reason to know exposed to a harmful creosote product; this information was not made available to her to file a possible claim; says there was no way for her physicians to know that she had been exposed to an environmental agent. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3937	TRO881051FTC	12/4/2015	Catchings, Frederick	Shirley Kidd	5367		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep says the injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3938	TRO885925FTC	11/25/2015	Love, Shirley	Shirley Love	6501		X	X				Diagnoses 1980s and 1990s; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3939	TRO891775FTC	2/23/2016	Pounds, Shirley	Shirley Pounds	4365			X				Diagnosis 1991 or earlier. Not clear where exposure occurred and what law governs the claim for statute of limitations purposes. Was told in 1991 her thyroid condition was attributable to "the plant." Did not file before bar date because did not understand what it was asking and if she needed to respond or not. Unclear if knew of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3940	TRO885924FTC	11/25/2015	Salter, Shirley	Shirley Salter	3748			X				Diagnosed 2007, says did file POC before deadline passed. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. No other ground for relief is claimed.
3941	TRO895279FTC	3/23/2016	Burnside, Ida Mae	Shirley Sanders	4166		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1998, is deceased. Rep says unaware of proceeding, rep says no reason to know claimant was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3942	TRO895280FTC	3/23/2016	Burnside, Walter	Shirley Sanders	4298			X				The injured party was diagnosed in March 2007, died in 2008; sibling/claimant says first learned of case in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3943	<b>TRO901749FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Tate, Shirley</b>	<b>Shirley Tate</b>	8253			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. A letter rejecting an offer from the Trust. Does not seek relief from the bar date. Appeals from Trust determinations are to be resolved through the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedures.
3944	TRO901165FTC	8/16/2016	Walker, Shirley	Shirley Walker	8516		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1979, 2010, 2012, 2014; says did not know how to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3945	TRO900982FTC	8/16/2016	Williams, Shirley	Shirley Williams	4461		X	X				1964 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3946	TRO904104FTC	1/3/2017	Windham, Eddie	Shirley Windham	3684			X				Diagnosed "yes," exposed in 2006 but exhibited symptoms in 2005, provided no reason for late filing, does not state grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
3947	TRO884893FTC	11/25/2015	Bradley, Shonda	Shonda Bradley	6193			X				Diagnosis date not listed; says was a minor but lists birth date as June 24, 1988, so was 21 years old as of the bar date and was not a minor; says mother filed a claim because she was a minor but may be thinking of a claim in a prior lawsuit as there is no record of a timely filed claim in the bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3948	TRO894077FTC	3/2/2016	Congress, Shundale	Shundale Congress	4396	8845		X			X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8845 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3949	TRO897230FTC	5/4/2016	Dickerson, Sierra	Sierra Dickerson	4469			X			X		Cut-and-pasted language regarding reasons for not filing. Says symptoms and diagnosis in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3950	TRO892150FTC	2/23/2016	Patmon, Sierra	Sierra Patmon	5914		X	X			X		Not aware had to file a claim by bar date; recently diagnosed, but also refers to other conditions as a child. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3951	<b>TRO897680FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowens, Silvia</b>	<b>Silvia Bowens</b>	4141			X					Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
3952	TRO887650FTC	12/14/2015	Hairston, Simon	Simon Hairston	7449		X	X					1965 and February 2009 diagnoses; prior to bar date, had no knowledge and did not receive any notice from anywhere; does not receive or read any newspaper. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3953	TRO904200FTC	1/3/2017	Dancy, Sir Arthur	Sir Arthur Dancy	4526		X	X				May 1987 diagnosis. Form says was "aware" of the bankruptcy but likely means "unaware." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3954	TRO891112FTC	2/23/2016	McCloud, Scylind	Skyvind McCloud	5617		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; says unaware could file claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3955	TRO904958FTC	1/24/2017	Dora, John	Slaughter, R. C.	5926		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and earlier; the injured party died in 2000; rep did not know of filing a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3956	TRO893190FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Ebony	Smith, Ebony	5052	8332	X	X				Diagnoses all before 1998. Unaware of claims process; supplement filed at docket #8332, without knowledge to wade through the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3957	TRO900757FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Robert	Smith, Robert	5937		X	X				1968 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3958	TRO898714FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Sammy	Smith, Sammy	6154		X	X				1965 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant class action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3959	<b>TRO897638FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>McGregory, Sondra</b>	<b>Sondra McGregor</b>	6045			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
3960	TRO901193FTC	8/16/2016	Waitayanakoon, Songol	Songol Waitayanakoon	4448		X	X				1989 diagnosis. Sent letter to DOJ (date unspecified). Complaint to DOJ showed awareness of claim but did not constitute a filing in the bankruptcy claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3961	TRO901203FTC	8/16/2016	Waitayangkoon, Songsak	Songsak Waitayangkoon	4400			X				1989 diagnosis. Says sent letter to the Department of Justice, Washington D.C. in 2009. The letter is not included but it appears that DOJ forwarded the letter to the EPA. Letters to government agencies do not constitute bankruptcy proofs of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3962	TRO891443FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Sonia	Sonia Porter	4787		X	X				May 1995 diagnosis. Says was unaware of claim or that was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3963	TRO897928FTC	6/10/2016	Granderson-Sanders, Sonja	Sonja Granderson-Sanders	4657		X	X				1959 diagnosis. Place of exposure is not clear. Says filed in a timely manner but form refers to a 2015 filing, which was long after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3964	TRO886006FTC	12/7/2015	Mayfield, Sonja	Sonja Mayfield	8477		X	X				Lists diagnosis date as 2001 but also says exposure began in 2008; no excuse provided as to why missed bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3965	TRO880941FTC	12/4/2015	Adkins, Willie	Sophia Adkins	4035	8268		X				Representative filing on behalf of a minor. 2001 diagnosis. Rep says filed claim with Tollison firm, was told "he had to have his own claim, or separate claim." Says she was told it was too late to file for him, but then another law firm said it was not too late. A supplement filed at docket #8268, says learned years later that could file claim, but this appears that this is a reference to filing with the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Representative alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but prior dealings with attorneys show awareness of claims, no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3966	TRO884618FTC	Unknown	Coleman, Arven	Sophia Coleman	7985	9192	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. No diagnosis date listed; the injured party died in 2012; previously retained law firm Gary, Williams, Parenti, Finney, Lewis, McManus, Watson & Sperando, P.L. (prior to 2004). A supplemental letter filed at docket #9192 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel in all pending cases received direct notice of the bar date.
3967	TRO900845FTC	Unknown	Parker, Sophia	Sophia Parker	4909			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3968	TRO902019FTC	9/14/2016	Allen, Sophie	Sophie Allen	7270			X			X	2015 diagnosis; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3969	TRO892738FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, Sophie	Sophie Washington	7440	8775		X				Diagnosis dates are not clear; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; violation of due process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8775 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3970	TRO902286FTC	9/14/2016	Tate, Sophonia	Sophonia Tate	6978		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says had no knowledge of the claim, nor was she made aware of the claim or of a deadline to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3971	TRO886528FTC	12/7/2015	Doughty, Stace	Stace Doughty	4814			X				Previously filed with atty Bambach. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3972	TRO886601FTC	12/7/2015	Deans, Stacey	Stacey Deans	3883		X	X			X	Various diagnoses; some after bar date, rest 2005 and earlier. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3973	TRO896654FTC	4/25/2016	Smigiel, Stacey Velez	Stacey Velez	4997			X				Diagnoses in 1997 (high blood pressure), 1995 and 2002 (thyroid). Says she was unaware of nature of findings as to Kerr-McGee plant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3974	TRO901704FTC	8/16/2016	Elizenberry, Stacy	Stacy Elizenberry	7816		X	X				Appears to be making claim based on skin conditions passed on to children at birth in 1990s; children apparently have filed their own claims and motions (see docket# 7814 and docket# 7815). Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Says was unaware of details of filing claim and that she was eligible to file. To the extent claimant is making a claim on her own behalf it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3975	TRO887881FTC	12/14/2015	Mitchell, Stacy	Stacy Mitchell	6005		X	X				2000 diagnosis; claimant says did not hear anything about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3976	TRO896050FTC	4/6/2016	Pratt, Stacy	Stacy Pratt	5998			X			X	Alleges a first diagnosis in 2009 but also says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3977	TRO887187FTC	12/14/2015	Stallings, Amy	Stallings, Amy	5941		X	X				1990-1994 diagnosis; never heard anything about the claims process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3978	TRO887955FTC	12/18/2015	Stallings, Pamela	Stallings, Pamela	5982		X	X				1990-1994 diagnosis; was not aware of this lawsuit. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3979	TRO892655FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Stanley	Stanley Erby	6905		X	X			X	Alleges first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in the 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3980	TRO892656FTC	2/23/2016	Erby, Sandra	Stanley Erby	6906		X	X			X	The injured party died in 2012; rep says diagnosis in 2011, but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Creosote Plant Class Action. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 were not resolved in a prior proceeding then they were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3981	TRO880978FTC	12/4/2015	Jones, Stanley	Stanley Jones	3639		X	X				Diagnosed 2002, "did not know claim even existed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3982	TRO895306FTC	3/23/2016	Golya, Michael	Stanley Tetlack	6062			X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1970; rep says discharge of claim was a violation of due process, did not have adequate notice of claims filing deadline; says the form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; rep says Tronox did not have a reasonable plan to provide adequate form of notice, he did not have access to any of the publications, Tronox should have used other forms of communication like radio, TV or mail, he should have been notified by certified or regular mail, Tronox should have contacted the local radio and TV station to run stories on it, it should have contacted the surrounding town public officials to have town meetings, if the internet was around then, Tronox should have used it. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Form of notice was approved with knowledge and participation by counsel representing tort claimants, including Pennsylvania counsel representing Pennsylvania claimants. Motion fails to provide any explanation as to claimant's own diligence in investigating possible claim in light of 1967 diagnosis and death of decedent in 1970 and passage of almost 50 years before action was taken to pursue the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3983	TRO890478FTC	2/23/2016	Washington, Stanley	Stanley Washington	3555	X	X					Former resident of Columbus, MS who alleges exposure during time of residency. Diagnosed in 1992. Claims did not know of bar date, does not read WSJ, believes should be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3984	TRO880735FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook. Stanley	Stanley Westbrook	5779		X	X			X	Alleges two diagnoses in 2010 and 2011, rest all 2000 and earlier. Says was unaware of the claim process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3985	TRO914532FTC	7/26/2017	Jones, Shelley	Starling Jones	7576		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2003; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001; rep says did not receive any follow-up information regarding the class action lawsuit. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3986	TRO890352 FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Starling	Starling Jones, Jr.	5169			X				Diagnoses between 1984 and 2006; did not know and no reason to know that condition was caused by exposure to Tronox product; says bar date notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3987	TRO894866FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Stefan	Stefan Williams	3802		X	X				Says filed in 2002 but unclear of date, claims to have filed again "when claims became available again" in October 2015. Says relatives were compensated from earlier claims. Any claim filed in 2002 must have been in connection with a prior class action. Unclear if claim was resolved in class action but if so the claim could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, then participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that accrued as of 2002 also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3988	TRO895293FTC	3/23/2016	Jones, Stella	Stella Jones	5101		X	X		X		Alleges various diagnoses, all of which are pre-bar date except possibly for cataract diagnosis in 2009. Says she did not know about the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3989	TRO896076FTC	4/6/2016	Randle, Stella	Stella Randle	5019			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010; did not know of Tronox case or process; medical records not provided to Court. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3990	TRO895523FTC	3/24/2016	Kresge, Stephan	Stephan Kresge	5036			X				Condition dates from childhood in late 1950s or early 1960s. Says that he filed a timely claim (does not specify when) but did not receive any secondary papers on his claim saying that the paperwork was incomplete or that they needed more information. Apparently under the impression that late-filed claim was timely filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
3991	TRO887610FTC	12/14/2015	Alexander, Stephanie	Stephanie Alexander	3413			X			X		Says did not file because there was not an opportunity to file with the proper authorities; says she was exposed to Tronox product August 12, 2009 and symptoms and diagnosis August 14, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3992	TRO892046FTC	2/23/2016	Huggins, Andrew	Stephanie Banks	5494			X			X		Alleges first diagnosis in 2013; rep says she was not informed about this by anyone, it was kept under wraps. Even though she lived in the contaminated area, she was not informed by anyone. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
3993	TRO904969FTC	1/24/2017	Davis, Earnest	Stephanie Davis Myles	4335	4339	X	X					injured party diagnosed in 1974, died in 2009; rep had no knowledge of claims. Motion at docket 4339 appears to relate to the same injured party but has a different claim number. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3994	TRO898008FTC	6/10/2016	Frierson-Ratliff, Stephanie	Stephanie Frierson-Ratliff	6812			X					2002 diagnosis; not clear where exposure occurred; was not aware of the dates because she resided in Alabama. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
3995	TRO890159FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon (Cockrell), Stephanie	Stephanie Gordon	7262		X	X					1997 diagnosis; moved from Columbus, MS to Chicago in 1985; did not know about filing a claim until visited Columbus in 2015; did not receive any notice about a deadline. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3996	TRO890160FTC	2/23/2016	Gordon, Courtney	Stephanie Gordon	7263			X					2000 diagnosis; minor; rep is filing for child and says that when she received information on visit from Chicago that Tronox product could cause birth defects, she filed for child. Statute of limitations did not run as to the claim for a minor. However, the parent merely alleges lack of actual knowledge and makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
3997	TRO903180FTC	10/13/2016	Brown, Ellen	Stephanie Hunt	6088		X	X				The injured party died in 1993; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attachment that the actions occurred before the bar date and rep did not file because was not made aware of the claim, and filed right away when learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3998	TRO904109FTC	1/3/2017	Ivy, Frances	Stephanie Ivy	5338	9119	X	X				1972 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to give notice. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9119 complaining about the process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
3999	TRO897384FTC	5/25/2016	Parr, Stephanie	Stephanie Parr	7720		X	X				1992 diagnosis; says was violation of due process because received no direct notice, but no allegation that Tronox knew of this claim; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4000	TRO893825FTC	Unknown	Porter, Stephanie	Stephanie Porter	7976		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; says was incarcerated at time of bar date, but it appears from the paper attached that she was incarcerated prior to 2009. Says saw no public notices and did not know of bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4001	TRO891971FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, Stephanie	Stephanie Reed	6197		X	X				1971 diagnosis; previously filed with Turner & Assoc. 2000 and the Colom law firm 2001, submitted requested data but resided outside of contamination area; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to TX in 2006; alleges did not receive timely information. No explanation as to why did not file own lawsuit or take other steps after failed to qualify for class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4002	TRO891980FTC	2/23/2016	Reed, RL MC III	Stephanie Reed	8494		X	X				The injured party died in 1996; representative says previously filed with Turner & Assocs. and the Colom law firm; moved away from Columbus to Louisiana. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4003	TRO887343FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Stephanie	Stephanie Williams	4539		X	X				1989 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in connection with class action; unaware of bankruptcy claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4004	Unknown	11/14/2015	Stephanie Bluit	Stephanie Williams								Trust lists this as a motion received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
4005	TRO886159FTC	12/7/2015	Sykes, Sr., Stephen A.	Stephen A. Sykes Sr.	4696		X	X				1988 diagnosis. Says was not aware of the Tronox future tort claims because certain groups maintained information privately. There was false information that only those who worked in plant could file. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4006	TRO892419FTC	2/23/2016	Jennings, Stephen	Stephen Jennings	5986		X	X				Diagnosed at birth (approximately 1970); unaware because incarcerated at time of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4007	TRO892436FTC	2/23/2016	Sykes, Stephen	Stephen Sykes	4385		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Says certain groups kept the information privately to themselves, false information was provided that only those who worked for the company could file a claim. May be referring to prior lawsuits rather than to the bankruptcy process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4008	TRO895057FTC	3/23/2016	Vaughn, Steve, Jr.	Steve Vaughn, Jr.	4887		X	X		X		Diagnosis dates not listed, unclear if medical records complete. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4009	TRO903008FTC	10/13/2016	Bogen, Steven	Steven Bogen	4772		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002; unaware of claims process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4010	Unknown	Unknown	D'Aiello, Steven	Steven D'Aiello	8387			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. This is merely a filing of a tort claim form, not a motion for relief. A corrected claim form filed at docket #8392, still is not a motion for relief. Claim is to be ruled upon by the Tort Claims Trust in the first instance.
4011	TRO903075FTC	10/13/2016	Martin, Steven	Steven Martin	6247			X		X		Did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4012	TRO895084FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Steven	Steven Shinn	5083		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says was incapacitated; provides a hospital record for an emergency room visit 9/15/2009, a month after bar date for bronchitis, could have been sick for prior period. However, given the 2002 diagnosis this claim was already time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4013	TRO888736FTC	12/24/2015	Turner, Steven	Steven Turner	4581		X	X				2008 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4014	TRO901501FTC	8/16/2016	Swanigan, Stevie	Stevie Swanigan	3632			X		X		Diagnosed 2010 and 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4015	TRO893940FTC	3/2/2016	Stinson, Leoina	Stinson, Leoina	6751		X	X				Alleges 2006 diagnosis but also says made a claim in the 2002 class action. Says unaware of bankruptcy case before deadline, and unaware exposed prior to deadline. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4016	TRO896748FTC	4/25/2016	Strucke, Irene	Strucke, Richard	5738	8082		X				2006 and 2008 diagnoses; injured party died in 2008; rep moved from DuPont, Pa in 1981; rep unaware of Tronox matter. A supplement filed at docket #8082, rep unaware of bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4017	TRO891342FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Summer	Summer Brooks	8413			X			x	2017 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4018	TRO889237FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Summer	Summer Wilson	5402		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says was not knowledgeable enough to file a claim; was still going to doctors because of condition and did not know the root cause; after she started researching her symptoms and gaining information from different doctors and pamphlets, she realized her condition was caused by some sort of bacteria that she was consuming in her body. After she learned more about the lawsuit, she researched more about it and it seemed to her that the Tronox products could have been the root cause to all her complaints. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4019	TRO892768FTC	2/23/2016	Sunivelle, Derwin	Sunivelle, Derwin	6188			X			X	Did not have any proof but now has proof to show high blood pressure; symptoms after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4020	TRO901701FTC	8/16/2016	Donahue, Susan	Susan Donahue	6127			X				1964 diagnosis; says "when they originally offered a claim to settle any future compensation we decided not to accept. That thinking that if something happened after that we could not be compensated for it." Not specific as to when original offer was made or in connection with what proceeding. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4021	TRO898846FTC	6/27/2016	Hintze, Susan	Susan Hintze	7001			X				2007 diagnosis; in 2008 she was dealing with her spouse's serious illness that resulted in death in Oct 2008 and then dealing with her own serious illness thereafter. However, no explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4022	TRO892233FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Susie A.	Susie A. Thompson	6653		X	X				Various diagnoses, all before 2002; no explanation given as to why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4023	TRO884181FTC	11/25/2015	Jordan, Susie	Susie Jordan	4832		X	X				Says previously filed with Colom law firm; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4024	TRO893560FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Susie M.	Susie M. Thompson	5837		X	X				1979 and 1985 diagnoses; no publication notice; says incarcerated but it was in 1982-1986, more than 20 years before the bar date; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; moved to California in 1986. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4025	TRO894583FTC	3/23/2016	Scott, Susie Summerville	Susie Summerville Scott	3816		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Says was part of 2002 lawsuit through Colom law firm. Claim resolved in a prior litigation could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not resolved, then participation in the prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4026	TRO897159FTC	5/4/2016	Thompson, Dominic	Susie Thompson	5848		X	X				1979 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1979. Rep refers to infancy but the infant died in 1979, claimant is the representative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4027	TRO897152FTC	5/4/2016	Thompson, Mario	Susie Thompson	5849		X	X				1981 diagnosis; claim filed by representative; says the injured party was a child but with 1981 diagnosis the injured party had to be 27 or 28 at the time of the bar date; also says injured party was incompetent at time of filing, cerebral palsy, but representative does not claim that representative suffered from any incompetence; rep says did not know and had no reason to know of exposure to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4028	TRO897148FTC	Unknown	Thompson, Tiffany	Susie Thompson	5850		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges a 1975 diagnosis but also says the injured party died at birth in 1987. Alleges that the injured party was a child at the time of the bar date but the infant died 32 years before the bar date, rep (not the infant) is the claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4029	TRO891049FTC	2/23/2016	Whitfield, Susie	Susie Whitfield	5185			X		X		Various diagnosis dates listed, unclear how to match to particular conditions; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive any mail about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4030	TRO894747FTC	3/23/2016	Suggs, Suzette	Suzette Suggs	5969			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis Nov 2009; says unaware of the claim filing process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4031	TRO890901FTC	2/23/2016	Hall Jr., Tommie	Swanigan, Mary	5221		X	X				Diagnoses were all 1990 and prior; the injured party died in 1990; unaware of exposure to and hazards of creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4032	TRO892757FTC	2/23/2016	Pippins, Alice	Syboris Pippins	5689			X				Alleges 2006 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2017; previously filed with the Colom law firm (dates not specified); cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4033	TRO903817FTC	11/23/2016	Adams, Sylvester	Sylvester Adams	3730			X		X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4034	TRO895941FTC	4/6/2016	Craddieth, Sylvester	Sylvester Craddieth	6111		X	X				1967 diagnosis; unaware of the lawsuit; standard language as to why did not file by bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4035	TRO895348FTC	3/24/2016	Ellis, Jr., Sylvester	Sylvester Ellis, Jr.	7645		X	X			X	Various conditions, some diagnosed before the bar date, some after; says not aware of bankruptcy case until read an article in local newspaper (Commercial Dispatch) in 2015. Says that in Columbus, the information they were receiving was confusing and not informative. Says that from 2007 to present having surgery and major medical problems. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4036	TRO901520FTC	8/16/2016	Latham, Sylvester	Sylvester Latham	5051			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but does not provide medical records with motion; says unaware and had no knowledge of bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice by newspaper, TV or social media. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4037	TRO893967FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Arthur, Jr.	Sylvia Bradford	7763		X	X				1990 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from tv or radio. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4038	TRO893943FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Talmadge	Sylvia Bradford	7780		X	X				1998 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4039	TRO893734FTC	3/2/2016	Bradford, Mylan	Sylvia Bradford	7781			X				2008 diagnosis; did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4040	TRO893974FTC	3/2/2016	McClinton, Mary	Sylvia Bradford	7784		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2003, 2008, 2011; rep says did not have knowledge of an ongoing case; did not receive a phone call or letter, did not learn from TV or radio concerning this matter. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4041	TRO891378FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Arthur	Sylvia Bradford	7797		X	X				The motion at docket #7797 is by Sylvia Bradford on behalf of Arthur Williams as the injured party. A separate motion at docket # 4598 is for a different Arthur Williams. The motion at docket #7797 appears to allege a diagnosis date in 1970; rep says was unaware of bankruptcy case, did not receive a letter or see public notice. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4042	TRO894283FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Hattie	Sylvia Bradford	7925		X	X			X	Says diagnosis 2003 but lists many conditions, diagnosis date of each is unclear; unaware of bankruptcy case; did not receive direct notice; first learned of it in 2015. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4043	TRO894018FTC	3/2/2016	Bradford (Williams), Sylvia	Sylvia Bradford (Williams)	7920		X	X				Various conditions alleged, diagnosis dates for each not clear; says was unaware could file claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4044	TRO893012FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Sylvia	Sylvia Thompson	4375		X	X				Diagnoses 1992, 2003, 2006. Unaware of Tronox lawsuit or bar date; did not have knowledge to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4045	TRO901483FTC	Unknown	Trimble, Symorion	Symorion Trimble	5624			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, Trust did not exist until several years after the bar date so this explanation does not explain a failure to file a claim by the bar date. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4046	TRO888479FTC	12/18/2015	Phinizee, Syrena	Syrena Phinizee	5232		X	X			X	Bronchitis diagnosis in 1996, more serious conditions (tumors and cysts) in 2011 and 2012; says that the place of work said that if they filed they would lose their jobs and so she waited until 2013, after the plant closed, before filing. However, the relevant Kerr-McGee/Tronox plant was closed many years prior to the bankruptcy filing in 2009 and many years prior to the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claim based on 1996 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of claims based on 2011 and 2012 diagnoses are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
4047	TRO887112FTC	12/14/2015	Profeit, Tabia	Tabias Profeit	4931						X	Says diagnosis at birth; says symptoms began in 1996 but also says first exposure was in 2003; minor at time of bar date; says mother filed papers in 2004 but was told they did not get any results. Appears to be referring to a failed claim in a prior class action. Filing in 2004 indicates awareness of legal rights by parent or guardian. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4048	TRO903377FTC	10/27/2016	Hunt, Henry	Tabitha Goss	6091		X	X				The injured party died in 1993; the rep did not fill out the form and only said in an attached form letter that the actions occurred before the bar date and she did not file because she was not made aware of the claim, she filed right away when she learned of it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4049	TRO891017FTC	2/23/2016	Hawkins-Terry, Tabitha	Tabitha Hawkins-Terry	6311			X		X		Diagnoses in 2006, 2009 and 2013; did not know about claim; traveled frequently in job and was only home periodically; did not see any advertisement regarding this action, it was not in local paper or local TV stations. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4050	<b>TRO880783FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Smith, Taeisha</b>	<b>Taeisha Smith</b>	5260	5280	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses all prior to 1998; says did not know and had no knowledge that she had been exposed to Tronox products. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket #5280. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4051	TRO881075FTC	12/4/2015	Rice, Tafforest	Tafforest Rice	8496			X		X		Merely a signed claim form and signed rejection notice with a page alleging diagnosis in 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4052	TRO88041FTC	12/4/2015	Taggart, Dottie	Taggart, Dottie	3715	8058	X	X				Diagnosed 1972, Howard Gunn filed claim for her in 2001, family has been paid but she has not. A supplement filed at docket #8058 complaining that she filed with one law firm and case was transferred to a new law firm; says Garretson firm (for Tort Claims Trust) allegedly assured her that claim was in lawsuit; Tollison firm said she was represented, but later could not find papers; went to meeting of claimants in Columbus, and was assured was in lawsuit; law firms failed to properly file and handle claim or misplaced them. Refers to filing of claim in a prior class action, which was a different proceeding and which did not constitute a claim in the bankruptcy case. Prior work with attorney shows awareness of claim and of rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. Unexcused attorney failures are not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4053	TRO901810FTC	9/14/2016	Neal, Rasheed	Taheata Neal	5478		X	X		X		Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case; standard language as to reasons why did not file, alleges some conditions prior to bar date and some after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4054	TRO890309FTC	2/23/2016	Koonce, Taiyjuan	Taiyjaun Koonce	6277		X	X				1980 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee Class Action; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4055	TRO885018FTC	11/25/2015	Jackson, Tajshmon	Tajshmon Jackson	6696						X	November 1999 diagnosis; child at time of filing deadline. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4056	Unknown	Unknown	Smith, Takena T.	Takena Smith	4039			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4057	TRO887843FTC	12/14/2015	Abrams, Takika	Takika Abrams	5810	8158	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. A supplement filed at docket #8158. Work with Bambach shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4058	TRO901429FTC	8/16/2016	Tallie, Eliza	Tallie, Eliza	8510		X	X				Diagnosis 2002; says had no reason to know of exposure or that it was causing sickness. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4059	TRO898010FTC	6/10/2016	Goss, Talmadge	Talmadge Goss	7173		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1995 and earlier; was not living in Columbus at bar date; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; form of notice was deficient on its face because he was not living in Columbus at the time. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, publication notices were appropriate for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4060	TRO894918FTC	3/23/2016	Billups, Jamaiya	Tameca Billups	5807			X				2007 diagnosis; moved away with parents to another city and was not aware that a lawsuit was in action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4061	TRO891114FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Caleb	Tameka Williams	5618						X	2007 diagnosis; minor, rep says unaware could file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4062	TRO891115FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Tameka	Tameka Williams	5619		X	X				Diagnoses in 1990 (asthma) and 2005 (miscarriage); previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; unaware could file a bankruptcy claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4063	TRO891116FTC	2/23/2016	Tyus, Takhari	Tameka Williams	5620		X	X				2002 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4064	TRO898839FTC	6/27/2016	Richardson, Tamela	Tamela Richardson	5746			X				August 2006 diagnosis; unaware of the claim until after the deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4065	TRO889729FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Tamika	Tamika Wilson	3795		X	X				1997-1998 diagnoses. Says was moving at the time of the deadline and was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4066	TRO898362FTC	6/20/2016	McCrary, Tijah	Tammie Shennod	7761	7762	X	X				Diagnosis before 2002; alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Duplicate motion is at docket # 7762; the docket entry incorrectly refers to Danica Jones, whose motion is at docket # 7764. Trust contends motion was untimely but the motion will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4067	TRO898799FTC	6/27/2016	Agnew, Tammy	Tammy Agnew	6838			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of claim deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4068	TRO885856FTC	11/25/2015	Wilkerson, Tammy	Tammy Wilkerson	3414	8110 9165	X	X				Diagnoses 2001 and 2007. Says was not aware of the lawsuit or the bar date; unaware Tronox product could affect her. A supplement filed at docket #8110, did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9165 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 2001 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4069	TRO887040FTC	12/14/2015	Richardson, Josiah	Tanaka Richardson	4239	9388		X				2007 diagnosis. Rep did not know needed to file med records (it seems referring to late claim filings with Trust). A supplemental letter filed at docket #9388 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4070	N/A	10/30/2017	McDowell, Tandra	Tandra McDowell	5621			X				Merely a trust claim form; no excuse provided.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4071	TRO901180FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Tanganika	Tanganika Randle	4644			X				July 2009 diagnosis. Says has no recollection of the claims being filed. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4072	TRO895493FTC	3/24/2016	Lucious, Tangela	Tangela Lucious	8471		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and 2007; unaware of lawsuit or of need to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4073	TRO890932FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Tania	Tania Smith	4563		X	X				Diagnoses before 1999. Says form of notice deficient on its face; that publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; that there was no direct written notice; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4074	TRO889731FTC	2/23/2016	Minor, Shakyra	Tanikka Profeit	4930						X	Diagnosis in 2000 at birth; representative claims 2004 claim filing of some kind in addition to filing with Trust in September 2015; says she sent the minor's papers with her own but for some reason they only discovered her papers. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why representative did not file before the bar date, why waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4075	TRO888929FTC	12/30/2015	Orr, Tanisha	Tanisha Orr	4284			X		X		Alleges symptoms and diagnosis in December 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4076	TRO888191FTC	12/18/2015	Robinson, Tannie	Tannie Robinson	7272	9450		X		X		Says symptoms began in 1990 but were not diagnosed until 2017; says unaware of the information and the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9450. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4077	TRO889785FTC	2/23/2016	Devine-Cheever, Tansheneda	Tansheneda Devine	7307		X	X				Alleges 1987 and 2010 diagnoses but both are for asthma (one as child, latter one as adult); previously filed with Turner & Assocs. 2001; is part of a group of claimants alleging that they were part of a legal proceeding against Tronox/Kerr McGee in MS prior to the claims filing deadline but that no direct notice of the claims filing deadline was received. Says did not receive any additional correspondence. Prior class action was terminated before Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, notice of the bar date was sent directly to attorney who has been class action counsel, so if any class claims were unresolved the class attorneys had notice of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior class action or, if not, should have been filed by bar date, no grounds for relief from the bar date have been shown.
4078	TRO889471FTC	2/23/2016	Wilson, Brenda	Tanya Reed	5584		X	X				1960 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2010; rep says injured party had no knowledge of lawsuit or claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4079	TRO902797FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Ossie	Tanyaneka Johnson	7375		X	X				1984 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; rep was not aware of social media, did not see the newspaper, TV; standard language as to reasons did not file in 2009; unaware of the ongoing Tronox bankruptcy case; did not receive a phone call, letter, or learn anything from TV or radio concerning this matter. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4080	TRO885090FTC	11/25/2015	Grays, Taquana	Taquana Grays	7183						X	1997 diagnosis; minor; unaware of exposure; parent was rep but died in 2011. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4081	TRO888380FTC	12/18/2015	Deloach, Taquila	Taquila Deloach	5317		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1985 except for headache (2014); unaware exposed to Tronox product. Claims were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations as to the 1985 diagnoses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4082	TRO902040FTC	9/14/2016	Campbell, Sherry	Tara Bolden	7767		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who died in 2006; rep unaware of claims information; says the publication notice was not reasonable, does not say why; says incompetent and incapacitated, but that is apparently referring to the decedent. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4083	TRO895031FTC	3/23/2016	Lavelle, Tara	Tara Lavelle	5656			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of lawsuit until relatives received checks. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4084	TRO898738FTC	6/27/2016	Smith, Tara	Tara Smith	7528		X	X			X	Former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009. Alleges a first diagnosis in 2010 but also says previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002 class action, outcome not specified. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4085	TRO900384FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Taraus	Taraus Key	5668		X	X				October 2004 diagnosis; was not aware of claim until after deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4086	TRO895646FTC	3/24/2016	Coleman, Tasha	Tasha Coleman	7195		X	X				Diagnoses 2005, 2007, 2009; says the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4087	TRO901179FTC	8/16/2016	Brownlee, Tashekia	Tashekia Brownlee	5161			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4088	TRO891004FTC	2/23/2016	Ford-Jethrow, Tashonda	Tashonda Ford-Jethrow	6939		X	X				1985 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, says Colom firm did not pay him fairly (apparently referring to prior class action recovery); says was not aware of the claim or how to properly handle the claim. Says Colom firm did not inform her of further proceedings. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Unclear if Colom firm represented claimant in 2009, but records show the Colom firm received notice of the bar date as representative of participants in prior class action. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4089	TRO900848FTC	8/16/2016	Quinn, Tatanishira	Tatanishira Quinn	4214		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after deadline passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4090	TRO880354FTC	12/4/2015	Roland, George	Tate, Janice	5602		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; previously filed with Colom law firm, offered a \$20,000 settlement but rejected it (also was told law firm would get 50% of settlement for legal fees). Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4091	TRO893212FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Nicklaus	Tate, Nicklaus	5568		X	X				Diagnoses 1995 and earlier; unaware of claim process or that could file a claim; discharge of claim in violation of due process, does not say how. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4092	TRO886708FTC	12/7/2015	Mosely, Tavaris	Tavaris Mosely	4106		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Was unaware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4093	TRO892965FTC	2/23/2016	Lyons, Tavoris	Tavoris Lyons	5632		X	X				1994 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4094	TRO894742FTC	3/23/2016	Cockrell, Tawana	Tawana Cockrell	5825		X	X		X		Unaware exposed to a Tronox product that caused disease; also unaware of the deadline. Diagnosis date unclear from form. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4095	TRO900855FTC	8/16/2016	Baskin, Taylor	Taylor Baskin	4851			X		X		Says symptoms began December 2009, no further information. Unclear if any dispute over date of symptoms and diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4096	TRO893941FTC	3/2/2016	Webber, Taylor	Taylor Webber	7080						X	1995 diagnosis; says is incapacitated, suffers from autism and at the time did not know of any legal proceedings regarding this case; his capacity to understand is limited; relies on family for assistance with personal care; his parent was working and going to school at time and did not know about any lawsuit or hear any news or information to file a claim. Age as of the bar date is not clear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4097	TRO900914FTC	8/16/2016	Taylor, Annie	Taylor, Annie	6125		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 1966 and 2014; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm 2002. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4098	TRO893833FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Tealester	Tealester Harris	6359			X				Was not aware of the bar date; says his mental/reasoning state is less than 100%, says symptoms began Jan. 2009. Insufficient showing of incapacity and insufficient justification of lengthy delay before filing claim in March 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4099	TRO890847FTC	2/23/2016	McGregory, Teara	Teara McGregor	7698			X				1990s diagnoses; says was not aware Tronox was still taking claims because was just graduating high school, relative worked at company, claimant attended day care around the corner. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant turned 21 in approximately 2011, does not explain long delay in pursuing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4100	TRO885948FTC	11/25/2015	Brown, Tekenya	Tekenya Brown	5266			X			X	Unaware of the claims process or that could file claim; says most diagnoses after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4101	TRO891564FTC	2/23/2016	Sturdivant, Christopher	Tekesha Chapman	7284			X		X		Rep says symptoms and diagnosis 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4102	TRO891561FTC	2/23/2016	Chapman, Makayla	Tekesha Chapman	7285	8618		X		X		Rep says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8618. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4103	TRO886105FTC	12/7/2015	Graham, Tekesha	Tekesha Graham	3380		X	X				Diagnosed 1984, alleges did not know exposed to product at the time, and no one gave her a specific deadline, she filed at the same time as her friends. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4104	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Poindexter, TeKesha</b>	<b>TeKesha Poindexter</b>	8892			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Merely a tort trust claim form, not a request for relief. Claims should be ruled upon in the first instance by the Tort Claims Trust.
4105	TRO0896590FT C	4/25/2016	Hughes, Tekesha	Tekesha, Hughes	5186	9439		X		X		Alleges first symptoms in September 2009 and a 2009 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Colom (dates not specified); no excuse provided. Supplemental letter filed at docket #9439 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4106	TRO893061FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Edward	Telisa Young	7516	8281	X	X				Motion lists various diagnoses, all 2003 and earlier; the injured party died in 2003. A supplement filed at docket # 8281. Representative says the time between publication of notice in June 2009 and bar date 8/12/20019 was insufficient, not reasonably calculated for a claimant to pursue a potential claim; that might have explained a few weeks' lateness but it does not explain the many years' post-bar date delay before a claim was filed. Says did not have access to any of the publications and that notice was not in the local paper, the Commercial Dispatch, but in fact the bar date notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009. Says was not aware and had no reason to know that the injured party had been exposed to Tronox product although Tronox was aware of nature of chemical; violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4107	TRO892923FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Telisa	Telisa Young	7517			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis post-bar date, though includes some medical records for earlier dates; says violation of due process; publication notice period short, not reasonably calculated to provide notice; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4108	TRO892922FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Debra	Telisa Young	7518	8280	X	X				Various diagnoses, all 1998 and earlier; the injured party died in 1998. Supplement filed at docket #8280. Representative says the time between publication of notice in June 2009 and bar date 8/12/20019 was insufficient, not reasonably calculated for a claimant to pursue a potential claim; that might have explained a few weeks' lateness but it does not explain the many years' post-bar date delay before a claim was filed. Says did not have access to any of the publications and that notice was not in the local paper, the Commercial Dispatch, but in fact the bar date notice was published in The Commercial Dispatch on June 23, 2009. Says was not aware and had no reason to know that the injured party had been exposed to Tronox product although Tronox was aware of nature of chemical; violation of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4109	TRO894453FTC	3/2/2016	Hill, Claudette	Teloria Hill	5925		X	X				1988 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; spouse consulted Colom law firm but was told he did not have a case and was offered \$500 but he rejected it, however the motion form also says the injured party received \$2,500; rep says was unaware of bar date as it was not widely advertised, not on TV or radio; rep says was caring for sick relative in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4110	TRO888974FTC	12/30/2015	Hughes, Temona	Temona Hughes	3856	9400	X	X				1998 diagnosis, says paperwork was done and lost by William Bambach. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9400 complaining about the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4111	TRO893479FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Tenia	Tenia Tate	4127			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
4112	TRO887514FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Tenitra	Tenitra Smith	3980	3981						X	2007 diagnosis. Duplicate at docket 3981. Child at time of deadline but no showing as to parents' or guardian's knowledge and/or pursuit of claim and legal rights. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4113	TRO885022FTC	11/25/2015	Cunningham, Tennie	Tennie Cunningham	5683	8134	X	X			x		Diagnosis dates unclear, form says "beginning in 1997-2009," may be describing continued condition though many separate conditions and symptoms are listed. The injured party died in 2018; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim was a violation of due process; was not aware of the case in 2009. A supplement filed at docket #8134, rep provides death certificate. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4114	TRO903554FTC	11/23/2016	McCoy, Tequila	Tequila McCoy	6030			X					2007 diagnosis; did not file before the deadline because she was incapacitated, was diagnosed with sarcoidosis and was dealing with her illness (says diagnosed in 2007); also says was traveling back and forth to Tenn. for a relative's treatments. Allegations of incapacity explain why filing did not occur in 2009 but do not explain the length of time that passed before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4115	TRO894811FTC	3/23/2016	Harris, Terence	Terence Harris	6633		X	X					1980s diagnosis; unaware of deadline until it had passed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
4116	TRO886604FTC	12/7/2015	Seals, Terence	Terence Seals	4235		X	X				X	1980 diagnosis. Says was deployed out of country while in military service but dates are not clear. To the extent the motion seeks relief based on excusable neglect the motion is denied. The risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. If movant contends that the Mississippi statute of limitations was tolled due to military service and further contends that military service tolled the application of the bar date so that the claim was timely (which would require a showing that military service continued through September 21, 2015), movant may make a supplemental submission to verify the dates of military service.
4117	TRO904756FTC	1/24/2017	Callahan, Margaret	Teresa Callahan	7806			X					1974 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; relative that was executor of estate claimed to have filed claim but did not. It was later discovered that the executor had mental health issues. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Motions acknowledges awareness of claim, no explanation of long delays after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4118	TRO887454FTC	12/14/2015	Carr, Teresa	Teresa Carr	6079		X	X			X		Says diagnosis in 1990 for COPD and "in 6 month 2017" and did not know how to make a claim. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. To the extent the claimant alleges claims based on conditions that were first diagnosed after the bar date, the claim is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
4119	TRO894356FTC	3/2/2016	Annie, Earl	Teresa Dora	5355			X					Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4120	TRO902193FTC	9/14/2016	Johnson, Makayla	Teresa Haynes	5148			X		X		Diagnoses 2008, 2009, 2012, 2014. Rep says unaware of bankruptcy case or of claim to be filed; says that in prior filing referred to incorrect chemical, unclear if Trust made an offer. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4121	TRO904310FTC	1/3/2017	Holmes, Willie	Teresa Holmes	7219			X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed this as dkt # 4136, which is a different motion by Willie Holmes, Jr. Various diagnoses, all 1990 and earlier; the injured party died in 2007; rep unaware of deadline; says that the injured party had no idea there was any problem with herself or her family. The Trustee's summary incorrectly referred to this party as having filed the motion at docket #4136 but that appears to be for a different Willie Holmes. As to the motion at docket # 7219: place of exposure not clear; alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Mississippi then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4122	TRO895503FTC	3/24/2016	McCrary, Teresa	Teresa McCrary	7646		X	X				Various conditions, onsets of some in 1960s; others not clear; diagnosis dates not clear; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not receive any information until 2016; was born in Columbus, MS, moved to CA. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4123	TRO913841FTC	Unknown	McGregory, Teresa	Teresa McGregory	7982		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1966 diagnosis; unaware of bar date; prior to bar date, violation of due process (does not say how or why); unaware exposed to Tronox chemicals. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4124	TRO880348FTC	12/4/2015	Roberts, Teresa	Teresa Roberts	3386			X			X	First diagnosed 1981, additional diagnoses in 2011 and 2016. Says attorney did not inform them of filing deadline. Mistake by counsel is not grounds for due process or excusable neglect relief unless counsel's mistake can itself be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim based on a condition first diagnosed after bar date should be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
4125	TRO888092FTC	12/18/2015	Shepherd, Teresa	Teresa Shepherd	7725			X			X	2010 diagnosis; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not learn from any media publications. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims.
4126	TRO887216FTC	12/14/2015	Stephens, Teresa	Teresa Stephens	6872			X			X	2009 diagnosis; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4127	TRO888244FTC	12/18/2015	Wheeler, Teresa	Teresa Wheeler	5211		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2005 and 2015; unaware exposed to or of hazards of creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on 2005 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4128	TRO888385FTC	12/18/2015	White, Willie	Teri White	8521			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4129	TRO900989FTC	8/16/2016	Thomas, Terica	Terica Thomas	6398		X	X				1999 diagnosis; was not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4130	TRO892123FTC	2/23/2016	Walker, Ternisha	Ternisha Walker	5427	8295	X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox claim; no knowledge of Tronox company; did not have access to internet. A supplement filed at docket # 8295. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4131	TRO892122FTC	2/23/2016	Cunningham, Tysjman	Ternisha Walker	5432	8294		X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of Tronox claim or of Tronox company; knew Kerr McGee as Moss Tire; could not afford internet. A supplement filed at docket #8294. Claim filed on behalf of a minor so statute of limitations not applicable. However, the risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Parent alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4132	TRO885883FTC	Unknown	Burgin, Terrance	Terrance Burgin	3389			X		X		Motion not included in Trust's summary. Never diagnosed. Exhibited symptoms beginning 10/28/2009. Alleges publication notice insufficient. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4133	TRO897349FTC	5/25/2016	Guyton, Terrance	Terrance Guyton	6394		X	X				1999 diagnosis; was not aware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4134	TRO889380FTC	2/23/2016	Guyton, Margaret	Terrance Guyton	6413		X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1992; rep says unaware could file a claim for a deceased relative. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4135	TRO894759FTC	3/23/2016	McCoy, Terrance	Terrance McCoy	5940		X	X				1985 diagnosis; unaware of the claims filing deadline due to not having TV or radio or transportation to get out. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4136	TRO884724FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, Terrance	Terrance Moore	3331	8239					X	Diagnosed 1994, "no reason to know exposed." Minor child living in another state at the time. Rejection notice filed at docket # 6772. A supplement filed at docket #8329. Says did not have access to Wall Street Journal but notice also was published in the Commercial Dispatch in Columbus, MS on June 23, 2009 and in several other newspapers in Mississippi and Alabama. Will permit supplemental submission to explain why parent or guardian did not act, why claimant waited until 2015 before filing a claim, and whether that warrants relief.
4137	TRO891604FTC	2/23/2016	Ervin, Terrell	Terrell Ervin	6952		X	X				Diagnoses 1978, 2007, 2008; unaware could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4138	TRO897996FTC	6/10/2016	Griffin, Terrell	Terrell Griffin	3711		X	X				Diagnosed 2004, was not aware of process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4139	TRO887510FTC	12/14/2015	Dancer, Terrence	Terrence Dancer	7819		X	X				1986 diagnosis (at birth); former resident of Columbus, MS; says was a child at the bar date in 2009 but must have been approximately 23 years old; unaware of information; unaware exposed to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4140	TRO890164FTC	2/23/2016	Elizenberry, Terrence	Terrence Elizenberry	7813		X	X				1970 diagnosis; did not know about bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4141	TRO887656FTC	12/14/2015	Gordon, Terrence	Terrence Gordon	5038		X	X				1986 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not know could make a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4142	TRO894397FTC	3/2/2016	Payne, Terrence	Terrence Payne	7929						X	1996 diagnosis; minor at bar date (about 15); did not receive notice. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4143	TRO890568FTC	2/23/2016	Priester, Terrence	Terrence Priester	6779	8299 8300	X	X				1987 diagnosis; says was unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8299 and duplicate at docket #8300, says without knowledge to wade through the process. It is unclear if this relates to the same claim as the motion at docket # 6778, as described above, but the diagnosis dates differ and the names differ slightly so Court presumes they are separate claimants. As to Terrence Priester (Docket # 6779): Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4144	TRO895600FTC	3/24/2016	Watson, Terrence	Terrence Watson	6776		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates crossed off, says diagnosed "2010 and in early childhood;" former Columbus, MS resident who still lived there in 2009; says form is confusing and filled it out as best could do; unaware eligible to file before deadline, claims community had false information about eligibility and thought only employees could file (apparently referring to an earlier proceeding on behalf of employees). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4145	TRO905027FTC	3/1/2017	Lowery, Katie	Terri Cistrunk	4479	9466	X	X				2001 diagnosis; injured party has since passed away. Rep says that claimant unaware and no reason to know she was exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4146	TRO894290FTC	3/2/2016	Rodgers, Terrie Johnson	Terrie Johnson Rodgers	4309	8171	X	X			X	Various diagnoses, some before bar date and some after. Not aware of facts or claim; unaware how to file a claim; says taking care of sick siblings at time. A supplement filed at docket #8171. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4147	TRO903491FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Terrika	Terrika Sims	5703			X			X	Was offered \$500 claim from Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4148	TRO903498FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Trinitee	Terrika Sims	5704			X			X	Was offered \$2500 claim from Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4149	TRO903490FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Tijah	Terrika Sims	5705			X			X	Was offered \$500 claim by Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4150	TRO903489FTC	11/23/2016	Sims, Destiny	Terrika Sims	5706			X		X		Was offered a \$2,500 claim by Trust and rejected it. Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4151	TRO885565FTC	Unknown	Bonner, Terry	Terry Bonner	6455			X		x		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 2009 and 2012; says publication of notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; did not know was exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4152	TRO891327FTC	2/23/2016	Guin, Terry	Terry Guin	3732	4363?	X	X				Diagnosed 2002, wasn't aware that health issues were caused by exposure. Motion at docket 4363 is possibly a duplicate though claim numbers differ. Says "the initial claim package was returned by the attorney" - unclear if that is a reference to the late-filed claim or to something else. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4153	TRO880860FTC	12/4/2015	Guin, Terry	Terry Guin	4363	3732?	X	X				Date of first diagnosis listed as "1960s-2006." Motion at docket 3732 is possibly a duplicate though claim numbers differ. Appears to be referring to continuing condition. Did not receive "claim" in time, original claim package was returned by "acting attorney" during initial filing process (unclear when). Appears to be confusing a prior class action process with the bankruptcy claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4154	Unknown	2/23/2016	Henley, Terry	Terry Henley	7864		X	X				Diagnoses 2000 and 2005; unaware condition was related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4155	TRO900945FTC	8/16/2016	Hill, Terry	Terry Hill	5722						X	1997 and 2005 diagnoses; minor, and as a child did not know about Tronox product claim filing, does not say why parent or guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4156	TRO893294FTC	2/23/2016	Hoskins, Terry	Terry Hoskins	4180			X		X		Says filed but did not get a response, probably is referring to late-filed claim. Alleges a 2010 diagnosis. Motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses for failure to identify a pre-bar date condition and for lack of diligence. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4157	TRO890074FTC	2/23/2016	James, Terry	Terry James	3905			X				2008 diagnosis. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges was incarcerated in 2009 and had lack of actual knowledge but no explanation of why did not file until more than six years later, no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4158	TRO901962FTC	9/14/2016	Latham, Terry	Terry Latham	4330		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice, but does not allege Tronox had reason to know of his claim and does not provide specific challenge to publication notices that were approved. Says did not know, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4159	TRO890569FTC	2/23/2016	Priester, Terry	Terry Priester	6778	8299	X	X				1984 diagnosis; says was unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8299, without knowledge to wade through the process. It is unclear if this motion relates to the same claim as the motion at docket # 6779; the motion at docket #6778 is filed for Terry Priester and the motion at docket #6779 is filed for Terrence Priester; the explanations are the same but the diagnosis dates differ and the claim numbers differ, so Court presumes they are separate claimants who have the same address. As to Terry Priester (docket # 6778): Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4160	Unknown	Unknown	Priester, Sr., Terry,	Terry Priester, Sr.	6780		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1985 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says prevented from filing a claim because of natural disaster, but no specific disaster identified. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4161	TRO902480FTC	9/14/2016	Roberts, Terry	Terry Roberts	7711			X		X		Says symptoms 9/1/2009 and diagnosis 9/1/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Trustee challenges the timeliness of the motion but movant has not identified any claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis for which relief is sought and only seeks to pursue post-bar date claims, deadline therefore is not relevant. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4162	TRO902199FTC	9/14/2016	Thomas, Terry	Terry Thomas	4178		X	X				Diagnoses in 2001, 2002 and 2007. Says claim was filed timely but was repeatedly returned as incomplete; may be referring to late claim, may have mistaken belief it was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If late filing, no excuse offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4163	TRO893124FTC	2/23/2016	Turner, Terry	Terry Turner	7755	8311	X	X				1969 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. A supplement filed at docket #8311. Trust contends motion was untimely because it was not docketed within 90 days after the Determination Notice but motion is dated within the 90-day period. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4164	TRO892819FTC	2/23/2016	Young, Terry	Terry Young	4731			X				Merely rejection notice and signature form.
4165	TRO903194FTC	10/13/2016	Brooks, Tesia	Tesia Brooks	7589			X				Diagnosis date not clear, says symptoms began 2003; says was new to the area and did not know about the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4166	TRO887347FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Latavia	Tessie Stevenson	6496		X	X				Diagnosis 1988; unaware could file a claim for deceased child. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4167	TRO905241FTC	3/1/2017	Buchanan, Tevin	Tevin Buchanan	4159		X	X				1992 diagnosis. There was no awareness of any such thing as a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4168	TRO887799FTC	12/14/2015	James, Daria	Thaddea Bell	3797			X		X		Says was diagnosed in 2014 but also says retained attorney Bambach in 2006 to file paperwork. Bambach died in 2013, according to his obituary. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4169	TRO887798FTC	12/14/2015	Bell, Asjah	Thaddeu Bell	3866		X	X				Filed in 2001 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4170	TRO895442FTC	3/24/2016	O'Hop, Thamas	Thamas O'Hop	3772			X				2007 diagnosis. Says didn't know about process until cousin told him, filed in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4171	TRO898268FTC	6/20/2016	Brown, Thanuras	Thanuras Brown	4293			X		X		March 2009 diagnosis. Says filed claim prior to deadline but may be confused as to when the bar date was. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4172	TRO893029FTC	2/23/2016	Acron, Oscar	Thelma Acron	6823			X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; place of exposure not specified. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4173	TRO901555FTC	8/16/2016	Bogan, Thelma	Thelma Bogan	4066			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis were in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4174	TRO889650FTC	2/23/2016	Lucious, Thelma	Thelma Lucious	6612		X	X				1978 diagnosis; made claim in 2002-03 class action through Wilbur Colom; says was unaware able to file a claim in Tronox case, then says that publication notice was not reasonably calculated to give notice. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4175	TRO902489FTC	9/14/2016	Kimbrew, Thelma Moorehead	Thelma Moorehead Kimbrew	7795		X	X				Diagnosis late 1960s; moved out of state in 1996 and visited once a year; based on filing by family member at docket # 7796 it appears the movant was a resident of Columbus, MS; unaware needed to file a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim is based on exposure in MS then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4176	TRO892679FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Theodis	Theodis Roby	7138		X	X				1998 diagnosis; says filed a claim but has not heard anything back (referring apparently to the late-filed claim). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4177	TRO901219FTC	8/16/2016	Ballard, Jr., Theodore	Theodore Ballard, Jr.	4723			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4178	TRO895903FTC	4/6/2016	Jefferson, Theodore	Theodore Jefferson	3910			X		X		Diagnosed 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4179	TRO900349FTC	7/25/2016	Little, Charlie	Theodore Little	5489		X	X				1960 diagnosis; rep says unaware of bankruptcy case or bar date; did not know how to complete form; was not aware of all chemicals exposed to by Tronox; form letter that says someone misled them to file late-filed claim forms a certain way. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4180	TRO891447FTC	2/23/2016	Cockrell, Theodoric	Theodoric Cockrell	7002		X	X				Diagnoses 1948, 1960, 1976 and 1978; unaware of the filing date; former resident of Columbus, MS. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4181	TRO903755FTC	11/23/2016	Robinson, Theotis	Theotis Robinson	7255		X	X				1989 diagnosis; did not know of any ongoing claims or that his condition was caused by exposure to Tronox product; moved from MS to GA. pA Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4182	TRO892384FTC	2/23/2016	Bingmon, Theresa	Theresa Bingmon	6658		X	X				Diagnoses in 2004 and 2007; copied form language saying publication notice was not reasonable, did not know had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4183	TRO902157FTC	9/14/2016	Blasavage, Theresa	Theresa Blasavage	6365			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4184	TRO887997FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Theresa	Theresa Brown	3539		X	X				First diagnosed 2000, "when the process begin, I did not understand that question ask to the best of my knowledge that I needed to list the dates and toxins." Describes first claim in 2015 but does not explain failure to file in 2009. Motion denied for failure to show grounds warranting relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4185	TRO893664FTC	3/2/2016	Clayton-Cane, Theresa	Theresa Clayton-Cane	6026		X	X				1984 and 1996 diagnoses; publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4186	TRO902526FTC	9/14/2016	Magda, Andrew	Theresa Magda	3498	5547		X				The injured party is deceased; rep says did not know that the residents of Avoca filed a class action lawsuit against Kerr McGee, but no information or verification as to whether deceased was aware. Additional letter is at docket # 5547. Risks of creosote exposures and news of class actions were the subject of widespread news coverage in Avoca. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4187	TRO894911FTC	3/23/2016	Smith, Theresa	Theresa Smith	6921		X	X			X	Diagnoses of various conditions, all initial diagnoses pre-dated 1999 except for cancer diagnoses in 2010 and 2011; says previously filed with atty Bambach, paperwork lost; says not aware of bankruptcy case in 2009. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4188	TRO886721FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Theresa	Theresa Williams	4345			X				November 2005 diagnosis. Unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4189	TRO884475FTC	11/25/2015	Cunningham, Therman	Therman Porter	4273	8897	X	X			X	Some conditions were diagnosed prior to bar date beginning in 1984, many are listed after bar date. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8897 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4190	TRO891095FTC	2/23/2016	McCully, Ethel	Thomas --	5775		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1998; rep unaware of process and that could file claim for relative; violation of due process. Alleges due process issue but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4191	TRO897815FTC	5/25/2016	James, Adonna	Thomas Bellinder	5987		X	X				2001 diagnosis; retained Bellinder law firm in 2016; motion says “[h]eirs of the injured party were unrepresented at the time of the claims filing deadline. As lay people, they were unaware of the filing requirements and legal prerequisites to protect their interests and to preserve their claims. The Court should consider that the heirs of the injured party were unaware of the applicable deadline, did not have formal representation and that once an attorney was retained, they took every measure in an attempt to pursue their claims.” However, this claim was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, the motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4192	TRO897471FTC	5/25/2016	Byrd, Thomas	Thomas Byrd	5870		X	X				1968 diagnosis; says he never made the connection between his condition and creosote but now he knows; says he did not have the filing information; says he suffers from PTSD. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4193	TRO901635FTC	8/16/2016	Cole, Thomas	Thomas Cole	4155		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Previously filed with Cunningham law firm and then Tollison law firm, does not say date but apparently in connection with earlier lawsuits. Says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4194	TRO901317FTC	8/16/2016	Fleming, Leona	Thomas Fleming	4848			X				1992 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002. Representative says was unaware of claim prior to 2015; did not see it in paper; says was caring for wife then but says wife died in 2002. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4195	TRO895307FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley, Tyler	Thomas Hurley	6073			X				Father filing for son as the injured party; father's motion on behalf of a different son is at docket # 6074 and his motion on his own behalf is at docket #6075; father says his son's exposure began in July 2005 but father says that the father has not resided in MS since 1989; father says he did not know of the claims process. Son apparently has lived in Georgia since some time before 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4196	TRO895308FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley II, Thomas	Thomas Hurley	6074			X				Former resident of Columbus, MS; father filing for son; says diagnosis in May 2004; father says was not aware of the claim process, did not receive any notification from anyone in the affected area about this claim and has not resided in Mississippi since 1989 making it difficult to be current on local proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4197	TRO895309FTC	3/23/2016	Hurley, Thomas	Thomas Hurley	6075		X	X				First diagnosed in 1989; former resident of Columbus who moved to Georgia in 1989; says was not aware of the claim; did not receive any notification from anyone in the area about this claim and has not resided in Mississippi since 1989 making it difficult to be current on local proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4198	TRO901249FTC	8/16/2016	Jefferson, Thomas	Thomas Jefferson	3633		X	X				Diagnosed 1972, "not aware there was a deadline until after the deadline had passed." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4199	TRO895324FTC	3/23/2016	Latham, Thomas	Thomas Latham	4810		X	X			X	Says first symptoms were in 2010 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; standard summary language as to reasons for not filing; no diagnosis date, says a doctor has not treated the claimant. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4200	TRO892366FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Thomas	Thomas Lee	4204			X			X	Says symptoms started in 2008 but first diagnosis in 2014. Did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4201	TRO900668FTC	8/16/2016	Perry, Thomas	Thomas Perry	4952			X			X	Says symptoms and diagnosis 8/13/2019, one day after the bar date; standard form language as to reasons for not filing. Diagnosis date may be disputed. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4202	TRO894220FTC	3/2/2016	Robertson, Thomas Earl	Thomas Robertson	4775			X			X	Conditions began in 2008 and 2009. Specific diagnosis dates not clear. Did not know and had no reason to know of any exposure to toxins. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4203	TRO902070FTC	9/14/2016	Samuels, Thomas	Thomas Samuels	5326		X	X				1977 diagnosis; says makes annual visits to Columbus, MS; was not aware of claims, once learned of it was not aware could still file; refers to military and government jobs without specifics but movant was 75 years old in 2017, no allegation that was in military service at the time of the bar date in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4204	TRO891291FTC	2/23/2016	Taylor, Thomas	Thomas Taylor	4837		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4205	TRO901215FTC	8/16/2016	Townsend, Thomas	Thomas Townsend	4379			X		X		Alleges diagnosis in December 2009 but no excuse provided, does not identify a specific diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4206	TRO885415FTc	11/25/2015	Woods, Mercedes	Thomas Woods	7783		X	X		X		Diagnosis date just says "yes;" rep says unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notification in newspaper or any social media; standard form language as to why did not file. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4207	TRO897284FTC	5/4/2016	Thomas, Brandon	Thomas, Brandon	5832			X			X	Alleges symptoms in 2010, diagnosis in 2015; says was not aware of such claim through any publications nor media; unaware exposed to creosote contamination. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4208	TRO897285FTC	5/4/2016	Thomas, Keisha Denise	Thomas, Keisha Denise	5833			X			X	Says was not aware of such claim through any publications nor media; unaware exposed to creosote contamination; says symptoms and diagnosis 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4209	TRO897181FTC	5/4/2016	Thomas, Tanyla I'yon	Thomas, Tanyla I'yon	5834			X			X	Says was not aware of such claim through any publications nor media; unaware exposed to creosote contamination; says symptoms 2010 and diagnosis 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4210	TRO890128FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Thelma Davis	Thomas, Thelma Davis	5734		X	X				1979 diagnosis; injured party resided in Columbus, MS; says was out of state taking care of a sick relative who was dying, when she returned to state, it was too late to file, does not provide dates of this care and does not explain why waited so long after the bar date before filing a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4211	TRO890008FTC	2/23/2016	Smith, Marshanae	Thomaxine Mosley	5967		X	X				1997 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm; case denied because attorneys said the child did not live long enough. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct or advice of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct or advice can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4212	TRO887599FTC	12/14/2015	Thompson, Alether	Thompson, Alether	5295			X		X		Says misinterpreted conditions of claim; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears from letter that these are additional conditions claimant asserts that may not have been covered in prior claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4213	Unknown	Unknown	Randle, Tie R.	Tie R. Randle	8183			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Claim number not provided; dates of diagnosis not provided; says was unaware of the information and/or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries; unaware of the threatening issues from being in contaminated area. Claimant provides some recent medical forms but did not provide information required by motion form. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4214	TRO884748FTC	11/25/2015	Randle, Willie	Tie Randle	4839		X	X				Diagnoses before 1984; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know about bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4215	TRO889632FTC	Unknown	Sanders, Tierney	Tierney Sanders	7964		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1999 diagnosis; previously filed with attorney Bambach; paperwork lost. Dealings with counsel show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4216	TRO885115FTC	11/25/2015	Keye, James	Tietti Chadle	5888		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1995; rep says filed timely in the 1990's and again later with the Tronox group, earlier filings must have been in different legal proceedings because the Tronox bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009, other filing must be a reference to the late-filed claim as there is no record of a claim in 2009; says did not receive "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; refers to alleged secret meetings among claimants and limited information to community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Meetings among other claimants are not relevant to excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4217	TRO889765FTC	2/23/2016	Keye, Terrance	Tietti Chandler	6185							Injured party was a minor awaiting adoption at time of the bar date; parent was living in a group home; rep files the letter that says "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; violation of due process; refers to private meetings in community and minimal details imparted to rest of community. Rep also says that symptoms and diagnosis were after the bar date. Claim allowed on grounds of excusable neglect, merits to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
4218	TRO902688FTC	8/16/2016	Hargrove, Tiffani	Tiffani Hargrove	5783			X			X	1993 diagnosis; minor; no excuse or information provided. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4219	TRO889541FTC	2/23/2016	Marks, Tiffani	Tiffani Marks	4031		X	X			X	Former Columbus, MS resident. 1997 asthma diagnosis, 2010 diagnosis of more serious lung condition. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4220	TRO891943FTC	2/23/2016	Brandon, Tiffany Hughes	Tiffany Hughes Brandon	7712			X				1979 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant alleges lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4221	TRO897851FTC	5/25/2016	James, Tiffany	Tiffany James	3504		X	X				Diagnosed 2004. No knowledge of exposure prior to deadline. Was minor living with grandmother as guardian and relied on her. No explanation as to grandmother's knowledge or as to reasons why she did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4222	TRO884121FTC	11/13/2015	Johnson, Tiffany	Tiffany Johnson	3682		X	X		X		Diagnosed 2005, believes did file on time but only record is of claim filed in 2015, claimant may be under mistaken belief that the 2015 claim was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed in 2009 that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. If claim was late, no excuse offered. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4223	TRO894831FTC	3/23/2016	Eaves, Tiffany Johnson	Tiffany Johnson Eaves	5979		X	X				Symptoms began in 1988; diagnosis "yes;" "wasn't for sure what was going on with this." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4224	TRO901080FTC	8/16/2016	Phizer, Tiffany	Tiffany Phizer	6881			X		X		Unaware of the claims process; unclear if claims a post-bar date diagnosis, says symptoms 2010 but diagnosis was in February 2009, says supporting records on file with the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4225	TRO891847FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Tiffany	Tiffany Thompson	6654		X	X				1999 diagnosis; child at time of deadline (says exposure began 11/1/89 so was approximately 20 years old at bar date); says no reason to know exposed prior to deadline. No explanation of long post-bar date delay or of any action during that period to pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4226	TRO888773FTC	12/30/2015	Turner, Tiffany	Tiffany Turner-Tate	6602		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed prior to deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4227	TRO885718FTC	11/25/2015	Wilson, Jala	Tiffany Wilson	3377			X				Diagnosed 2007, did not know prior to deadline that minor daughter's condition was due to exposure to creosote. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4228	TRO891230FTC	2/23/2016	Caine, Tiffney	Tiffney Caine	7081			X		X		2015 diagnosis; explains reasons why feels entitled to claim but does not discuss 2009 bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4229	TRO903283FTC	10/27/2016	Washington, Tillman	Tillman Washington	6521			X				January 2009 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says was unaware there was a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4230	TRO881086FTC	12/4/2015	Collins, Timothy	Tim Collins	3825		X	X				Mother died in 2009, claimant was the executor of her estate. Says tried to be included in early 2000s class action settlement in Columbus, MS but was told he was too late. Alleges did not actually know of the Tronox bankruptcy claims process. Says mother's death was the main focus of his life in 2009. Lives in Colorado. States that he knows publication notice is technically considered to be adequate, but local MS notices provided discernable advantages to people who were living in that state. However, reference to the prior class action shows knowledge of legal claims and rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim that had accrued as of the early 2000s also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4231	TRO888973FTC	12/30/2015	Hughes, Timenna	Timenna Hughes	3594	9398	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed 1999, alleges paperwork done by deceased attorney. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9398 complaining about the process. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4232	TRO886175FTC	12/7/2015	Taylor, Timiko	Timiko Taylor	4977		X	X				First diagnosis 1980; no excuse provided. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4233	TRO888677FTC	12/24/2015	Williams, Timmy	Timmy Williams	4073	8250		X		X		Says symptoms in 2012 but does not say diagnosed by doctor. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8250 complaining about the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4234	TRO902675FTC	8/16/2016	Barr, Timothy	Timothy Barr	7228		X	X				1986-89 diagnoses; may have previously filed with the Colom law firm because motion attaches a letter from firm to meet with them in 2002; did not know that he had a claim "or was able to file a claim because of settlement offered in 2002." If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4235	TRO889606FTC	2/23/2016	Bonner, Timothy	Timothy Bonner	6035			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4236	TRO885178FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Timothy	Timothy Brooks	8414			X				2006 symptoms; says was diagnosed, does not list date; references filing papers with the Tronox tort claims Trust in 2016-2017. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4237	TRO887696FTC	12/14/2015	Butler, Timothy	Timothy Butler	5131			X		X		Alleges 2014 symptoms and 2015 diagnosis; unaware that condition was because of creosote. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4238	TRO887684FTC	12/14/2015	Dancer, Timothy	Timothy Dancer	7817		X	X				1982 diagnosis (at birth); former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware exposed to product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant says the movant was a child at the bar date but the bar date was in August 2009, so if born in 1982 the claimant was approximately 27 years old. Attached letter refers to ailments of Virginia Nash Sanders but claim is for Timothy Dancer; letter possibly was intended for the motion at docket 7820 on behalf of Virginia Nash, filed by Helen Dancer-Allen. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4239	TRO889534FTC	2/23/2016	Deloach, Timothy	Timothy Deloach	4929		X	X			X	Movant says unsure of diagnosis dates. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4240	TRO886675FTC	12/7/2015	Dismuke, Timothy	Timothy Dismuke	3359		X	X				Diagnosed 2000. Says attorney (William Barbach) lost paperwork. Attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct is excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with counsel confirm awareness of claim and legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. In addition, claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4241	TRO900107FTC	7/25/2016	Fields, Timothy	Timothy Fields	5836		X	X				Diagnoses dates listed as "1970-2009;" says had family tragedy in 2009, a death in immediate family and had to deal with that person's business and funeral, does not provide dates or support and does not explain lengthy delay after the bar date before filing of claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4242	TRO902686FTC	8/16/2016	Hargrove, Timothy	Timothy Hargrove	5827	6343		X			X	Motion contains no information, just a signature page and also a signed rejection notice; another copy of the rejection notice is filed at docket #5827. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4243	TRO893314FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Geneva	Timothy Hargrove	7848		X	X				1984 diagnosis; was not informed about such claim, needed time to gather facts. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4244	TRO898536FTC	6/27/2016	James, Lula	Timothy James	3723	4782		X		X		Injured party deceased 2017; rep refers to an earlier filing in 2014; symptoms 2000 and diagnosis 2016. There is a duplicate of this motion filed at docket # 4782. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4245	TRO895023FTC	3/23/2016	Lavelle, Timothy	Timothy Lavelle	5648			X		X		Says does not currently have a decease but may have one in the future; says moved and never received mail. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claims are not available for people who have not been injured, but any dispute over that fact as to condition first diagnosed after the bar dates is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4246	TRO886169FTC	12/7/2015	Pegues, Timothy	Timothy Pegues	4519			X		X		Refers to several earlier conditions with earlier onset but says respiratory condition was diagnosed in 2009 (date unclear); only became aware claims were "still accepted" in last 2 years. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect or due process as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4247	TRO890583FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Timothy	Timothy Williams	6303		X	X				April 2001 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim; says discharge of claim is a violation of due process; unaware of the process and did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4248	TRO893023FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Wesley	Tina Edwards	5241		X	X				Diagnoses 2004 and earlier; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know and no reason to know of injured party's exposure to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4249	TRO897739FTC	5/25/2016	Calvert, Tinnie	Tinnie Calvert	7546	8156	X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 1971, 1990; former resident of Columbus, MS; says was incompetent with a disability in 2009; not aware of Tronox bankruptcy case, had moved to another city, nephew is assisting him with forms. A supplement filed at docket # 8156. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4250	TRO906059FTC	4/24/2017	Wilson, Tiquita	Tiquita Wilson	6319		X	X				1998 diagnosis. Says she moved and was unaware during time of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4251	Unknown	2/23/2016	Peoples, Titus Byrd	Titus Byrd Peoples	7859		X	X				1994 diagnosis; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4252	TRO895082FTC	3/23/2016	Shinn, Titus	Titus Shinn	3482			X			X	Says was a minor; says he is incompetent can't read; does not say why a guardian did not file; says did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis September 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4253	TRO886002FTC	12/7/2015	Wilson, Titus	Titus Wilson	3378			X				Says did not know and was not notified of his exposure to toxins but also says he made a prior claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4254	TRO893341FTC	2/23/2016	Dismukes, Tobie	Tobie Dismukes	7890		X	X				1977 diagnosis; former Columbus resident; in and out of hospital at time of bar date but does not supply medical records; unaware of the claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4255	TRO889758FTC	2/23/2016	Garner, Toby	Toby Garner	4265		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1992, 2000, 2010, 2017. Previously filed with Colom law firm, received \$400. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Says unaware of claim or injury caused by Tronox product, but that is inconsistent with prior filing with Colom law firm and prior receipt of compensation. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions first diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including any defense as to the effect of any release issued in connection with the prior class action) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4256	TRO886637FTC	12/7/2015	Smith, Toby	Toby Smith	5142		X	X				2003 diagnosis; says was not informed of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4257	TRO900232FTC	7/25/2016	Middlebrooks, Tolita	Tolita Middlebrooks	6266		X	X		X		Diagnoses 2005, August 2009, September 2009; says at the time was unaware of the claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4258	TRO900771FTC	8/16/2016	Toliver, Temesha	Toliver, Temesha	6081			X		X		Cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons did not file, but says symptoms and diagnosis were after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4259	<b>TRO886406FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Davis, Debra</b>	<b>Tom Davis</b>	4891			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4260	<b>TRO884820FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Davis, Tom</b>	<b>Tom Davis</b>	4892			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4261	TRO890983FTC	2/23/2016	Epps, Tom	Tom Epps	6107		X	X			X	1975 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2001 and the J. Douglas Dalrymple firm in 2003; says that he filed a claim by the deadline [apparently under the mistaken belief that the 2016 claim was timely], however the claim was filled out incorrectly. The claim was filled out using illness starting in 1975 rather than 2009. The reason being that he filed a claim with Colom in 2001. There was a settlement in 2002 and he was offered \$8,500. He rejected the offer because he had had surgery and other pending health issues. The offer did not compensate for his noticed injuries, diagnoses and surgery. In 2003, he filed a claim with the Dalrymple law firm. A year later the atty decided to drop the proceedings. Now he wants consideration as a future tort claimant. Continuation of a prior condition is not a future tort claim. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4262	TRO884351FTC	11/25/2015	Jones, Tomarris	Tomarris Jones	3440		X	X				Diagnosis in 1991. Says did not receive a notice to file and was unaware of the lawsuit and was living out of town in a different Mississippi town; says that in his case the publication notice was not reasonably calculated to potential claimants. Notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch and other MS newspapers and complied with due process requirements. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4263	TRO889675FTC	2/23/2016	Flowers, Tommie	Tommie Flowers	6711			X			X	Says diagnosed 2015, 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4264	TRO880768FTC	12/4/2015	Frazier, Tommie	Tommie Frazier	4546			X			X	Symptoms in 2007, says diagnosis sometime in 2009; says he did not file because he thought the first claim filed "in this suit" (unclear what is referring to) would result in being still signed up for "this suit." May have previously filed in one of the lawsuits that preceded bankruptcy. If so, then that shows awareness of legal rights. Counsel in all then-pending lawsuits, and counsel in the former class actions, had direct notice of the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4265	TRO914752FTC	7/26/2017	Harris, Tommie	Tommie Harris	6873	8240	X	X				1999 diagnosis; retained the Tollison law firm, unclear when; does not explain reasons for missing bar date. A supplement filed at docket #8240, additional medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4266	unknown	8/16/2016	Clayborn, Tommy	Tommy Clayborn	7852		X	X				Symptoms began 1998, does not recall diagnosis date; aware exposed to chemicals but not aware they were deadly; unaware condition connected to Tronox product; alleges insufficient notice but does not allege Tronox had reason to know of his claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4267	TRO901185FTC	8/16/2016	Edward, Tommy	Tommy Edward	4705		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Was not aware he could file because he worked over there, and lived with a friend but didn't have own home, but was told that he could file because he can't work anymore because of this. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4268	TRO905702FTC	3/28/2017	Thompson, Deborah	Tommy Ewing	4019	8211	X	X				1986 diagnosis, death in 2001. Filing by representative. The rep filed a supplemental letter at docket #8211, but he references his own ailments and not those of the injured party. Says claim should be allowed because he stayed in area and has medical problem from the toxins, but there is no claim filed for him on his own behalf. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4269	TRO891332FTC	2/23/2016	Fenton, Tommy	Tommy Fenton	3939			X				No details as to diagnoses or dates thereof. Says unaware could file a claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4270	TRO896713FTC	4/25/2016	Hill, Tommy	Tommy Hill	3823			X				1988 diagnosis. Says had no knowledge "of the claim" until 2015. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4271	TRO896719FTC	4/25/2016	Hill, Nancy	Tommy Hill	4873		X	X				1947 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; she did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; rep does not provide excuse although probably same did not know injured party exposed to Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Even if the claim had not been time-barred, the motion alleges a lack of actual knowledge but makes no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4272	TRO886908FTC	12/7/2015	Jackson, Tommy	Tommy Jackson	6703	8266					X	1963 and 2003 diagnoses; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to reach claimants; also mentions that he was in the army, does not provide dates. Says exposure began in 1963 and that joined the army after high school, so military service may have ended long before the bar date. Supplement at docket # 8266. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service so that Court may assess the application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 on the running of the MS statute of limitations with respect to the state-law claim, the possible tolling of the bar date, and whether military service affects the determination of whether relief should be granted on grounds of excusable neglect.
4273	TRO900514FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Tommy	Tommy Jones	7288			X		X		Unaware of bankruptcy case; says symptoms and diagnosis 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4274	TRO897618FTC	5/25/2016	Patton, Maxine	Tommy Pattmon	6689		X	X				1985 diagnosis; says was told in 2000 (must have been in a prior lawsuit) that could not file on behalf of deceased; another attorney rejected the claim in 2010. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4275	TRO906154FTC	4/24/2017	Smith, Tommy	Tommy Smith	5357			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4276	TRO896030FTC	4/6/2016	Boyland, Tonda	Tonda Boyland	5285			X				Symptoms began in 1970s; for diagnosis date, says "yes." Did not know anything about this process, called attorneys and was told it was too late, filed a claim when saw it "resurface" on social media. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4277	TRO887321FTC	12/14/2015	Hill, Toney	Toney Hill	6498		X	X			X	Various conditions, not clear when each was diagnosed; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says did file a claim before the deadline date (unclear if referring to claim with Colom law firm or if under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely, there is no record of a timely-filed bankruptcy claim). If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4278	TRO895080FTC	3/23/2016	Jennings, Toney	Toney Jennings	3486						X	March 2009 diagnosis. Says was a child and incompetent and a slow learner and can't read and has to have someone else do it for her; does not say why a guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission explaining why parents or guardians did not file, reason why claimant did not file until 2016, and whether relief is warranted.
4279	TRO888447FTC	12/18/2015	Scott (Brown), Tongie	Tongie Scott (Brown)	6827	7656		X				Conditions in 1980s; place of exposure not clear; unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; says was undergoing radiation and that it may be possible that incorrect dosages or different medications altered her concentration and caused memory loss [the treatments were in the 1990s]. A duplicate of this motion is filed at docket # 7656. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4280	TRO893127FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Toni	Toni Sherrod	7603			X			X	Unaware of bankruptcy case; says symptoms 2008 and diagnosis 2010 but says incapacitated during filing time because of illness. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is an alleged future tort claim, the merits of which are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4281	TRO898879FTC	6/27/2016	Abraham, Tonia	Tonia Abraham	3783			X				1987 diagnosis. Says was unaware of deadline. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given date of diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4282	TRO893011FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Tonie	Tonie Harris	6687		X	X				1997 and 2006 diagnoses; says was "unaware of claim," suggests did not know cause of injury but knew the injury itself. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4283	TRO885116FTC	11/25/2015	Andrews, Tony	Tony Andrews	6852	9068	X	X			X	Says diagnoses were in 2006, 2010, 2012, 2014 but also says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 Kerr-McGee creosote plant class action, the results of which are not disclosed; says was not aware of the future tort claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9068 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date (including defenses, if any, arising from terms of disposition of prior class action claim) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4284	TRO884840FTC	11/25/2015	Brackett, Tony	Tony Brackett	6467	6468 8907	X	X				1980 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm, 2002 Kerr McGee Creosote Plant Class Action; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons for not filing by bar date. A duplicate motion is filed at docket #6468 with a different TRO number [TRO900762FTC] and with the claimant's name listed as "Tony Brakett" but the same address and signature. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8907 complaining about the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4285	TRO895517FTC	3/24/2016	Williams, Robert	Tony Hampton	5454		X	X				1999 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; rep says that the claimant's health continued to decline while the rep was incarcerated and injured party was too sick to file his own claim; rep says not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy; violation of due process; private meetings, minimal details to community. Insufficient showing of incapacity in sense of inability to file claim or to enlist others' help in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4286	TRO885699FTC	11/25/2015	Hunter, Tony	Tony Hunter	6845		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1993, 2009, 2017; says was unaware of the Tronox lawsuit, would have filed if had known. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4287	TRO896754FTC	4/25/2016	Lowe, Tony	Tony Lowe	4301		X	X				1987 diagnosis. Cut-and-paste standard language as to reasons why did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4288	TRO887995FTC	12/18/2015	Moore, Tony	Tony Moore	3407						X	Minor, lived in another state; does not say why guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission as to why parents or guardians did not file a claim and why claimant waited until 2015 to file a claim.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4289	TRO885578FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Tony	Tony Sherrod	6133			X			X	Diagnoses in 2006, 2014; says was not aware and was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4290	TRO896144FTC	4/6/2016	Shields, Tony	Tony Shields	5235		X	X				1968 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 1999, says he received a \$9,500 check in 2002 but then he crossed the amount out; says lived out of state in Texas. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4291	TRO904761FTC	1/24/2017	Smith, Tony	Tony Smith	7751		X	X				1993 diagnosis; not aware of the bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice; says publication notice was not reasonable but does not say why; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4292	TRO897613FTC	5/25/2016	Spencer, Tony	Tony Spencer	3645		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, alleges was not aware of the claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4293	TRO902532FTC	9/14/2016	Mullins, Bessie	Tony Webber	5778		X	X				1980 diagnosis; says did not know could file for a relative, publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice, incapacitated at the time. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4294	TRO887073FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Tony	Tony Williams	6705		X	X				1958 and 1971 diagnoses; says that "my case was not presented prior to aug 12 2009 even though my conditions and diseases were diagnosed before, and should be excused on grounds of 'excusable neglect' and I believe it was in violation of due process." Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4295	TRO885034FTC	Unknown	Cunningham, Tonya	Tonya B. Cunningham	8008			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says symptoms and diagnosis 9/16/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4296	TRO885157FTC	Unknown	Burks, Ashton	Tonya B. Cunningham	8009			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Says symptoms and diagnosis 8/18/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4297	TRO891634FTC	2/23/2016	Duck, Tonya	Tonya Duck	7779		X	X				Diagnoses in 1979; moved away and unaware of bankruptcy claims process. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4298	TRO901976FTC	Unknown	Randle, Tonya	Tonya Randle	8051			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4299	TRO889714FTC	2/23/2016	Billups, Destinee	Tonya Ruff	5994		X	X				1998 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS at time of bar date; says she filed a proof of claim for herself and daughter when she found out about the lawsuit, but that apparently is a reference to the late-filed claim; did not receive any information back until now. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4300	TRO897422FTC	5/25/2016	Smith, Torincule	Torincule Smith	5745		X	X				2000 diagnosis; standard form cut-and-pasted language as to reasons for not filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4301	TRO890570FTC	2/23/2016	Priester, Torrence	Torrence Priester	6785	8301	X	X				1992 diagnosis; unaware of claims process. A supplement filed at docket #8301, without knowledge to wade through the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4302	TRO887627FTC	12/14/2015	Jones, Torrione	Torrione Jones	3388			X		X		First diagnosed 8/14/2009. "Not notified of opportunity" to file a claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4303	TRO892917FTC	2/23/2016	Bradley, Tory	Tory Bradley	4545		X	X				1986 symptoms, previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class actions; says unaware could file claim in bankruptcy case. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was not resolved in the prior proceeding, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4304	TRO884016FTC	11/13/2015	Brown, Tosha	Tosha Brown	3634			X				Diagnosed "yes," alleged filed claims with an attorney in 2004 and again in September 2008. Prior dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights and claims. Attorney conduct is not a valid ground for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4305	TRO901230FTC	8/16/2016	Jones, Toshia	Toshia Jones	4261			X		X		Cut-and-paste conclusory language re reasons for missing bar date. Symptoms 2007, says diagnosis 2017. Unclear if diagnosis date is listed correctly. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4306	TRO886416FTC	12/7/2015	Townsel, Andy	Townsel, Andy	5222		X	X				1974 diagnosis; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4307	TRO891645FTC	2/23/2016	Dooley, Tracey	Tracey Dooley	5118		X	X				Diagnoses in 1999, 2005, 2007. Says previously filed with Bambach; says was sick and going to and from hospital in 2008-2009. Claims Mr. Bambach passed away before 2009 but actually he did not die until 2013. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights, but there is no indication any claim or lawsuit was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4308	TRO891644FTC	2/23/2016	Brooks, Antavrio	Tracey Dooley	5121						X	Minor; rep says child had diagnosis of schizophrenia but does not say why rep did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4309	TRO886452FTC	12/7/2015	Hunter, Tracey	Tracey Hunter	3461		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says hired an attorney at an unspecified time but then cancelled the representation. Says he did not receive a notice to file and was unaware of the bar date; says that someone recently informed him and therefore the publication notice of the bar date was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants who were unknown at the time. Publication notice was sufficient for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney confirm awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4310	TRO888881FTC	Unknown	Hicks, Johnny	Tracey L. Hicks	5742			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; the injured party died before the period for which addresses were requested in the form (January 12, 2009 through March 10, 2011); rep says that the injured party did file a claim but could not recall the info, but given the date of death any claim must have been in a different proceeding and not in the bankruptcy case, as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009. Rep says she did not receive notice of bar date and was unaware of any. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4311	TRO886950FTC	12/7/2015	Kilby, Tracie	Tracie Kilby	4079		X	X				1974 diagnosis. Former resident of Columbus, MS who lived out of state in Tenn. in 2009. Says notice was not in local paper. Publication notices were reasonable for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4312	TRO899229FTC	7/11/2016	Boswell, Tracy	Tracy Boswell	5261			X				2007 diagnosis; minor at time of exposure; in military 2008-2012. Not a minor at the time of the bar date. Military service ended January 20, 2012; even if application of the bar date was tolled by military service the claim nevertheless was due no later than April 15, 2013, but no claim was filed until February 2016. Movant does not verify when learned of claims process, why waited so many years after bar date to file claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4313	TRO900297FTC	Unknown	Melton, Tracy	Tracy Melton	4507			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Alleges symptoms and diagnosis 2016. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4314	TRO900298FTC	7/25/2016	Melton, Evelyn MarieBradshaw	Tracy Melton	8485		X	X				Diagnosis 1989 or 1990; rep says that neither the injured party nor she knew anything about the claim until 2016. Under MS law the statute of limitations runs from the date of diagnosis regardless of whether the claimant knows the cause of the condition. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4315	TRO902039FTC	9/14/2016	Moore, Tracy	Tracy Moore	3895			X				Contends diagnosis in June-July 2009, same time frame for claims filed on behalf of children at dockets 3896 and 3897. Relatively recent diagnosis might explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why did not file until more than 6 years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4316	TRO902038FTC	9/14/2016	Moore, Destiny	Tracy Moore	3896			X				Contends diagnosis in July 2009. Relatively recent diagnosis might explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why did not file until more than 6 years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4317	TRO902033FTC	9/14/2016	Hogan, Undrea	Tracy Moore	3897			X				Contends diagnosis in July 2009. Relatively recent diagnosis might explain failure to file in August 2009 but no explanation is offered as to why did not file until more than 6 years later. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4318	TRO894017FTC	3/2/2016	Price, Tracy	Tracy Price	4871		X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with Bambach; paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4319	TRO889947FTC	2/23/2016	Roby, Tracy	Tracy Roby	6680		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1997, 2007 and 2010; says didn't know/reason to know exposed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4320	TRO889944FTC	2/23/2016	Lowery, Maxine	Tracy Roby	6686		X	X				1997 diagnosis; thought had to live in the area to file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4321	TRO884047FTC	11/13/2015	Salter, Tracy	Tracy Salter	3932			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4322	TRO888844FTC	12/30/2015	Scott, Tracy	Tracy Scott	6884			X				2007 diagnosis; says was unaware that attorneys were taking claims. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4323	TRO900382FTC	7/25/2016	Key, Traequan	Traequan Key	5664			X				March 2007 diagnosis; unaware of the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4324	TRO893484FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Tralanda	Tralanda Tate	5791		X	X				1999 diagnosis; former resident of MS; previously filed with William Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4325	TRO888386FTC	12/18/2015	Warren, Tranisha	Tranisha Warren	6231		X	X			X	Correct claim number is TRO888386FTC. Diagnoses 1982 and 2015; did not know and had no knowledge exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4326	TRO889564FTC	2/23/2016	Jackson, Travante	Travante Jackson	6093		X	X			X	Says he filed a claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case by the claims filing deadline, but the only record of a claim is one filed on 2/23/16, which was long after the bar date. Says he got "locked up" on several occasions after filing the claim, got out of jail in August 2017, but that does not explain failure to file by bar date. Also filed form letter saying "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy"; discharge of claim violation of due process; refers to secret meetings in the community and minimal details to the community at large. The form initially had a date of diagnosis prior to bar date but then movant wrote over it to make it a date near bar date. Various conditions are alleged, not clear if alleges anything first diagnosed after bar date. Conduct of Colum and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, no excuse is offered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4327	TRO885051FTC	11/25/2015	Draper, Travis	Travis Draper	5790		X	X				Diagnosis 1984; because of unawareness and lack of information, had no knowledge of a case or deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4328	TRO903092FTC	10/13/2016	Stallings, Travus	Travis Stallings	5786	8217	X	X				1992 symptoms, saw doctor but was not aware of the cause of the illness; unaware of the pending settlement. A supplement filed at docket # 8217, says worked near chemicals and should be compensated. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4329	TRO897369FTC	5/25/2016	Triplett, Travis	Travis Triplett	5690			X		X		January 2009 diagnosis; moved to another county and not aware of the claim; says is currently suffering from cardiovascular conditions, may or may not be different from conditions for which January 2009 diagnosis was rendered. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4330	TRO894979FTC	3/23/2016	King, Travonte	Travonte King	5522						X	Symptoms 2006-2009; minor at time of bar date, mother had no knowledge of bankruptcy case, mother was single with 4 kids and had her own health issues; does not provide medical records. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4331	TRO896359FTC	4/13/2016	Cooper, Trayvon	Trayvon Cooper	4712						X	2003 diagnosis. Was a minor at the time of the bar date, says violation of due process. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age as of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4332	TRO892167FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Treaslene	Treaslene Williams	7023		X	X		X		Various conditions and diagnoses listed, some in 1980s, kidney issues in 2009 and 2012; thought was really too late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4333	TRO887459FTC	12/14/2015	Harris, Tremarcus	Tremarcus Harris	3361			X				Diagnosed "yes." Says attorney lost paperwork. Unexcused attorney conduct is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney show awareness of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4334	TRO905733FTC	3/28/2017	Anthony, Lerond	Tremata Anthony	5512		X	X				1953 diagnosis; injured party died in 1994; rep says that rep was away with the Armed forces and did not return to Columbus, Miss after her tour (does not specify dates of service, how they relate to bar date, or why waited so many years after the bar date before filed a claim). Rep resides in Georgia and was unaware and did not know injured party exposed to Tronox product. Rep attaches the same form letter that refers to not being given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy and refers to private meetings and minimal information to community. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1953 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Since the claim was barred under the statute of limitations by some time in 1956 (18 years before the injured party's death) the claim was barred before any representative would have succeeded to the claim, and so the dates of the representative's military service could not make a difference in determining whether the claim could be allowed.
4335	TRO900512FTC	8/16/2016	Danner, Trevell	Trevell Danner	7777			X		X		Alleges 2010 diagnosis but also says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in Kerr McGee Plant Class Action; says unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee claims the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4336	TRO886395FTC	12/7/2015	Little, Tre'veon	Tre'veon Little	3989		X	X				2001 diagnosis. Was not made aware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4337	TRO897532FTC	5/25/2016	Heller, Zykerra	Trevina Grant	7919			X				2007 diagnosis (at birth); injured party was a minor at the time of the bar date, but the motion was filed by a parent or guardian, who does not explain why the parent/guardian did not file a timely claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4338	TRO894444FTC	3/2/2016	Harris, Trevino	Trevino Harris	5513		X	X				November 1977 diagnosis; unaware of claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4339	TRO901954FTC	9/14/2016	Henderson, Trevonda	Trevonda Henerson	6608		X	X		X		Diagnosed 2010; former resident of Starkville, MS; says was told to file with attorney Colom and he was unfair in distributing funds but that appears to be a reference to a prior class action settlement distribution. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4340	TRO890175FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Trey	Trey Harris	5141			X		X		Cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons missed bar date; says symptoms and diagnosis began in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4341	TRO905913FTC	4/24/2017	Cunningham, Kitara	Tricia Cunningham	7283		X	X				1995 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4342	TRO886116FTC	12/7/2015	Jones, Trigon	Trigon Jones	5290		X	X				1999 and 2000 diagnoses; says caring for family for sickness of elderly family member during time, does not provide dates of it or any papers to confirm. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4343	TRO902766FTC	8/16/2016	Moore, Jeanine	Trimble, Emma Bell	5910		X	X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2004; rep says was told he could not file for a deceased person, does not say who gave such advice or when or in connection with what proceeding. In any event, the claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4344	TRO901056FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Trina	Trina Smith	6907			X		X		Various diagnoses; some 8/10/09, rest after the bar date. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4345	<b>TRO889478FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Holt, Tumetrlist</b>	<b>Tumerist Holt</b>	8004		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1982, 1996, 1998; unaware could file claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4346	TRO891777FTC	2/23/2016	Andrade, Tunya	Tunya Andrade	6052			X			X	One diagnosis in 2016, rest in 2007-08; unaware of the claims process; discharge of claim violation of due process (does not say how), unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4347	TRO886805FTC	12/7/2015	Oden, Turner	Turner Oden	3321		X	X				Diagnoses 1970, 2004, 2008. Thought he was part of a class action in 2003, then his claim "disappeared." Claim in prior action shows knowledge of rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on 1970 and 2004 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4348	<b>TRO886178FTC</b>	12/7/2015	Turner, Eddie	Turner, Eddie	4615		X	X				The Trustee's summary lists the claim number as TRO886178FTC, but the page that was docketed lists it at TRO886179FTC. Claimants says was part of an earlier class action but does not recall name of atty. Claimant says was unaware of entitlement to file. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses, if not resolved in a prior proceeding, also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4349	TRO902842FTC	10/13/2016	Holt, Tutricia	Tutricia Holt	7845		X	X				1991 diagnosis; no reason provided for missing the bar date, merely says was exposed to product that caused her condition. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No excuse offered for reasons why missed the bar date or for long delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4350	TRO896362FTC	4/13/2016	Moss, Twaino	Twaino Moss	5945		X	X				2000 diagnosis; parent filing for child; parent says was unaware child was eligible. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4351	<b>TRO894746FTC</b>	<b>3/23/2016</b>	<b>Thomas, Twanda</b>	<b>Twanda Thomas</b>	6977		X	X				The motion at docket # 6977 is for Twanda Thomas; a motion on behalf of Twanda Walker is at docket # 7054. Twanda Thomas claims a 2000 diagnosis. There is a medical record attached that references a surgery during the month of the bar date; says she was sole caregiver for parent who was diagnosed with serious illness and she was traveling out of state to assist with her charges and treatments and was focusing on that care therefore was not aware of deadline; not aware of articles in Wall Street Journal or any other publication; says violation of due process. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Illness and surgery in August 2009 may explain why claim not filed then but no explanation for many years' delay after the bar date before a claim was filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4352	TRO890106FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Twila	Twila Williams	3868			X				1981 diagnosis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4353	TRO904020FTC	1/3/2017	Putney, Taejion	Twila Williams	3912			X				2002 diagnosis. Says was not notified and did not know of exposure. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4354	TRO897914FTC	5/25/2016	Mosley, Tya	Tya Mosley	7749		X	X				1980 diagnosis; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4355	TRO897773FTC	5/25/2016	Perry, Tyanna	Tyanna Perry	4294			X		X		Symptoms 2010, diagnosis 2011, claim filed 2016. Says minor, no reason to know exposed to Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4356	TRO888446FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Tyesha	Tyesha Brown	7654			X				Diagnoses 1987, 1992, 1994, 1995, 2007; former resident of Jackson, MS; unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; subsequently was attending college and experiencing health issues, ways they were debilitating with memory losses and restricted her daily living activities but provides no specific dates or sufficient supporting information. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. No explanation of many years' delay after the bar date before filing of claim, no explanation of any efforts to investigate or pursue legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4357	TRO904691FTC	1/24/2017	Jones, Tyler	Tyler Jones	6230	8330	X	X				2000 diagnosis; unaware could file a claim. A supplement filed at docket #8330, without knowledge to wade through process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4358	TRO893731FTC	3/2/2016	Mason, Tyler	Tyler Mason	6586			X			X	November 2009 diagnosis; says minor and incompetent at time of bar date; did not receive telephone call, letter or learn of it from media; says doctor closed office and does not have access to medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4359	TRO902836FTC	10/13/2016	Buchanan, Tymechie	Tymechie Buchanan	5780		X	X				2000 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; claim was misplaced. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4360	TRO901257FTC	8/16/2016	Key, Tymeka	Tymeka Key	5665			X				April 2008 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4361	TRO891684FTC	2/23/2016	Tremble, Tynesha	Tynesha Tremble	6223		X	X				Diagnosis dates listed as "1985, 1990s, 2000s"; former resident of Columbus, MS who previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says should not have to prove entitlement to compensation given her injuries, gave the information to the Colom firm in 2002. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still- pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel received direct notice of the bar date. If the claim was not resolved and was not the subject of a pending proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4362	TRO902238FTC	9/14/2016	Smith, Tynisha	Tynisha Smith	8506		X	X				Diagnoses 1985, 1990; relocated to another county and was not aware of the claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4363	TRO884863FTC	11/25/2015	Smith, Tyran	Tyran Smith	3970		X	X				1997 diagnosis. Unaware of claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4364	TRO903678FTC	11/23/2016	Edwards, Tyreka	Tyreka Edwards	3655		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no prior knowledge of case until filed in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4365	TRO886705FTC	12/7/2015	Kidd, Tyriq	Tyriq Kidd	5641		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of claim process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4366	TRO891669FTC	2/23/2016	Blevins, Tyrone	Tyrone Blevins	6122		X	X		X		Alleges a first diagnosis in September 2009 but also says previously filed with atty Jeffrey Navarro in 1998 action re Tronox plant; standard cut-and-pasted language as to reasons missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4367	TRO888443FTC	12/18/2015	Brown, Tryone	Tyrone Brown	7652			X				Diagnoses 1995, 2000, 2008; place of exposure not clear by related party who filed motion at docket # 7654 refers to a residence in Jackson, MS; says was unaware could file a claim until filed this claim; subsequently was assisting in caring for sick relatives, focused on caring for relatives and himself; his headaches were debilitating and days would pass and he would be in a "fog" for hours or days; health declined. Does not provide dates for any of these events. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Insufficient showing of inability to file claim during bar date period, no explanation of failure to file for many years thereafter, no explanation of any effort to investigate or pursue legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in MS then claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4368	TRO886814FTC	12/7/2015	Eddins, Tyrone	Tyrone Eddins	4009		X	X				Diagnoses "1980s-2000s". Says was not aware of lawsuit because was in prison. Does not verify dates of imprisonment. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4369	TRO902778FTC	8/16/2016	Jamison, Tyrone	Tyrone Jamison	7938			X		X		Unaware of the trust, says symptoms and diagnosis after August 12, 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4370	TRO887606FTC	12/14/2015	Jefferson, Tyrone	Tyrone Jefferson	3496		X	X				First diagnosed in 2004. Says tried filing a claim when he heard but never got form; not clear if is referring to 2009 or some other time period. Says did not think he was able to file a claim until he started getting eczema and high blood pressure, but motion alleges those symptoms began in 2004, which was five years before the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4371			Noland, Tyrone	Tyrone Noland	7602			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Letter says a rejection notice was sent alleging post-bar date manifestation of illness. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4372	TRO887272FTC	12/14/2015	Clayborn, Tyshun	Tyshun Clayborn	7180			X		X		2013 diagnosis; says was a minor at the time of the bar date and did not know about it. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4373	TRO889883FTC	2/23/2016	Hargrove, Tyshun	Tyshun Hargrove	7759			X		X		Alleges September 2009 symptoms and December 2009 diagnosis; says bar date notice allowed too little time, but that would not have explained lengthy post-bar date delay before this claim was filed; says violation of due process to expunge claim; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Does not allege a claim based on a pre-bar date diagnosis, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified post-bar date claims to be pursued.
4374	TRO887245FTC	12/14/2015	Clayborn, Tywhun	Tywhun Clayborn	7184						X	2003 diagnosis; minor, did not know about the claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4375	TRO914990FTC	7/26/2017	Tate, Udies	Udies Tate	4654		X	X				1954 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date, unaware had been injured by a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4376	TRO888622FTC	12/24/2015	Mickens, Ulyess	Ulyess Micken	4637			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date but it appears that these were added after earlier filing with the Trust as he says that he did not add his most recent conditions that are in this motion; says misinterpreted conditions of the claim; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4377	TRO891504FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Undra	Undra Williams	3996		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Alleges did file a claim before the deadline but form states that the claim was filed around April 15, 2010, which was after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4378	TRO885548FTC	Unknown	Lyons, Undrae	Undrae Lyons	8334		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1980-1989; says had no knowledge of this at the time of the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4379	TRO893607FTC	Unknown	Henley, Undre	Undre Henley	7036			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2008 diagnosis; unaware of deadline date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4380	TRO892312FTC	2/23/2016	Fields, Johnny	Unknown	3681		X	X				Diagnosed 1983, unaware able to file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4381	TRO885951FTC	11/25/2015	Lang, Johnny	Unknown	3702	3737	X	X				Says diagnosed 2008, but alleges that he was part of a 2002 action through the Tollison law firm. Also says "I file in a timely manner, I was approved for funds. I don't understand why I am not approved now." Reference to timely filing appears to be reference to class action, which was a separate proceeding and not part of the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in the prior action or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4382	TRO900575FTC	8/16/2016	Dumas, Thomas	Unknown	4720			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4383	TRO889834FTC	2/23/2016	Lee, Ruthie	Unknown	6063		X	X				1996 diagnosis; the injured party was a former Columbus, MS resident who moved to Georgia in 1999 and died in 2003; rep says the injured party did not know of the claim process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4384	TRO894973FTC	3/23/2016	Fulton, Natasha	Unknown	6623			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page and medical records. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.
4385	TRO895929FTC	4/6/2016	Johnson, Charlie	Unknown	7012			X				1975 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; unaware of bankruptcy case; standard form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4386	TRO901355FTC	8/16/2016	Erby, Georgia	Unknown	8440		X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all 1987 and earlier; the injured party died in 1987; rep unaware of process, did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4387	TRO884186FTC	11/25/2015	Godfrey, TJ	Unknown								Trust lists it as a motion received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.
4388	TRO905210FTC	3/1/2017	Gorzowski, Richard	Uriah Harris	5655			X				Diagnoses in 1960s; unaware of this lawsuit; never received notice that one was being filed; did not realize that lived in direct region of where exposure occurred, thought he was far enough away from the plant, did not realize that asthma could have been caused by Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4389	TRO889886FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Urias	Urias Harris	7038			X				Former resident of Columbus, MS; diagnosis date unclear but movant, September 2017, says it was about 10 years earlier (or in 2007); says injured party did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; mistake, inadvertence; did not know required to file; did not get notice. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4390	TRO887164FTC	12/14/2015	Walker, Uvodkia	Uvodkia Walker	6969		X	X				1994 diagnosis; says she was "unaware of the re-evaluating of pending claims;" says did not see any type of publication about the Tronox bankruptcy cases being reevaluated or of the deadline. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4391	N/a	N/A	Byrd, Timothy	Uvodkia Walker								Uvodkia Walker filed a motion on her own behalf at docket # 6969. The Trust's summary lists an additional motion by Uvodkia Walker on behalf of Timothy Byrd but we have not been able to find such a motion on the docket. It may have been received by the Trust but not filed with the Court. Cannot rule unless and until the motion is filed.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4392	TRO898317FTC	6/20/2016	Wega, Katherine	Vaccaro, Sarah	5710			X				Diagnoses 1958 and earlier; says she did not know about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4393	TRO913679FTC	6/28/2017	Hams, Valecia	Valecia Hams	6256		X	X				1995 diagnosis; did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4394	TRO890402FTC	2/23/2016	Bradford, Valentino	Valentino Bradford	6877		X	X				1987 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who still lived there in 2009; unaware claim could be filed; incorrect information was distributed by the attorney involved in this claim who stated that you had to have lived in Columbus prior to 2003 to be eligible (this is apparently information said in connection with the prior class action on behalf of residents, for which residency at a particular time was a requirement). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4395	TRO886015FTC	12/7/2015	Porter, Valerie	Valerie Porter	3294		X	X		X		Diagnoses 1983, 1985, 1998, 2016. Previously filed with the Colom law firm and with atty Howard Gunn. Claims as to pre-1998 diagnoses likely were resolved in earlier lawsuits; if not, they were barred by the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. To the extent movant alleges that counsel did not pursue claim, conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim based on an alleged condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4396	TRO900051FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Valerie	Valerie Trimble	5625			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, Trust did not exist until several years after the bar date so this explanation does not explain a failure to file a claim by the bar date. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4397	TRO900052FTC	7/25/2016	Trimble, Camelia	Valerie Trimble	5626			X			X	Form letter also filed by many other claimants alleging that a person claiming to be a representative of the trust instructed what to fill out and form to use and misled them. However, Trust did not exist until several years after the bar date so this explanation does not explain a failure to file a claim by the bar date. Claimant disputes the amount at which the Trust proposed to allow his claim. Contends that people whose conditions continued after the bar date (even if diagnosed earlier) should be entitled to file Future Tort Claims, which is incorrect for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4398	TRO900560FTC	8/16/2016	Cunningham, Vallie	Vallie Cunningham	4721			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4399	TRO889769FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Van	Van Jamison	6259			X			X	Diagnoses in 2009 and 2011; former resident of Columbus, MS who moved to California in 1989; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy claims in 2009; had no knowledge of this; did not receive a letter or telephone call or learn anything from media; publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4400	TRO889921FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Vance	Vance Porter	3998		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980 and 1986. Says unaware exposed prior to deadline, also unaware of lawsuit. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4401	TRO900262FTC	7/25/2016	Vanessa Bateast	Vanessa Bateast	3956		X	X			X	Did file a claim with Wilbur Colom in 1999 but "got back in" for asbestos, lung cancer and sarcoidosis. Alleges some conditions diagnosed in 2017. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4402	TRO893401FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Vanessa	Vanessa Brewer	7003		X	X			X	1991 and 2015 diagnoses; unaware could file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4403	TRO890875FTC	2/23/2016	Jones, Vanessa	Vanessa Jones	4040			X			X	Alleges diagnoses in 1985 and 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4404	TRO904745FTC	1/24/2017	Outlaw, Vanessa	Vanessa Outlaw	7057	8192		X			X	Alleges symptoms began in 1989, first diagnoses in 2015-2016-2017; says unaware of the information and the possibility of her receiving a settlement for physical injuries. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8192, unaware of the health issues from living in contaminated area. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4405	TRO898245FTC	6/20/2016	Wells, Edward	Vanessa Summerville	6858		X	X				1997 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; contacted Wilbur Colom (date unknown) but was told not eligible for a claim; rep did not live in the area; rep did not know and had no reason to know they were exposed to toxins released by the company. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4406	TRO898248FTC	6/20/2016	Summerville, Daisy	Vanessa Summerville	6892		X	X			X	Diagnoses in 2000 (cardiovascular) and 2016 (respiratory); the injured party died in 2017; rep says that they did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; the injured party was incompetent with a 4th grade education and had no understanding of the legal process or the cause of her condition; rep says that the most severe aspects of her condition were diagnosed in 2016. Insufficient showing of inability to file a claim or to enlist the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4407	TRO895338FTC	3/24/2016	Washington, Vanessa	Vanessa Washington	7444		X	X				2004 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS who previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; says was compensated but not enough; developed additional conditions after getting compensated; unaware of bar date; unaware of the publications. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4408	TRO881083FTC	12/4/2015	Summerville, Vantee	Vantee Summerville	3968		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says period to file claims was too short but that does not explain the many years' delay in filing a claim after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4409	TRO896417FTC	4/13/2016	Vasser, Linder	Vasser, Linder	5574			X				2008 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4410	TRO887442FTC	12/14/2015	Smith, Vatarine	Vatarine Smith	5288		X	X				1970 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001; says was part of a proceeding was against Tronox before Bar Date but "due to my attorney he did not receive direct notice of the deadline" and she did not know of the deadline. If filed with Colom firm in a prior action that shows awareness of legal rights. To the extent the claim was resolved in that action it cannot be reasserted. If not resolved, and if Colom firm did not file the claim in the Tronox bankruptcy case, the allegation that the Colom firm was unaware of the bar date is rejected. The Colom firm was actively involved in the Tronox bankruptcy case and received direct notice of the bar date. If counsel failed to file claim that is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim based on 2001 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4411	TRO885337FTC	11/25/2015	Vaughn, Barbara	Vaughn, Barbara	5804		X	X				1982 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; unaware of deadline; was not given written notice. Prior dealings with Bambach show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4412	TRO894804FTC	3/23/2016	Vaughn, Corey	Vaughn, Corey	5818		X	X				1988/1989 diagnosis; unaware of any deadlines; filed with a local attorney who later passed away with no result of the claim; did not hear from or receive any papers from that atty. No indication that any lawsuit or claim was ever filed. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4413	TRO88420FTC	11/25/2015	Brooks, Veda	Veda Brooks	3599		X	X				Exposed/diagnosed in 1999, claims filed with an attorney in 2000 but paperwork was lost by attorney. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Prior contact with attorney about claims shows awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct by attorney is not grounds for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4414	TRO889460FTC	2/23/2016	Gandy, Veleria	Veleria Gandy	4746			X		X		Says symptoms and diagnosis 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4415	TRO891910FTC	2/23/2016	Scott, Veleria	Veleria Scott	4506	6640	X	X		X		Duplicate motion at docket # 6640. Various conditions listed; first diagnosis date listed as 1975 but some conditions are described as having later onsets; says was not aware, no idea she was being exposed until she was told during a meeting because she is a homeowner in this area; due to the affected area, she cannot sell her home, the value is worth nothing of what she paid for it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Unclear if claiming new conditions were diagnosed for the first time after the bar date, but if so the merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4416	TRO894665FTC	3/23/2016	Easterwood, Velma	Velma Easterwood	7141		X	X				1997 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; did not know about filing a claim; says she had several medical problems and was very sick but does not provide dates for any illness at time of bar date, does not provide medical records. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4417	TRO904957FTC	1/24/2017	Edwards, Bernice	Velma Johnson	3646		X	X				Diagnosed 1999, moved away from the area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4418	TRO897592FTC	5/25/2016	Johnson, Velma	Velma Johnson	3656		X	X				Diagnosed 2000, no longer lives in area, nobody told her about the claims process, she filed when she first heard about it. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4419	TRO898339FTC	6/20/2016	Hill, George	Velma Stalling	4745		X	X				First diagnosis date listed as "1999-2009." Injured party died in 2009. Previously filed with Bambach, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4420	TRO904616FTC	1/24/2017	Rupert, Eliza	Velma Williams	4426		X	X				1970 diagnosis. The injured party died in 1988; says the claimant did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; form of notice deficient on face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying decision. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4421	TRO905002FTC	1/24/2017	Rupert, M. L.	Velma Williams	4784		X	X				1975 diagnosis; injured party died in 1989; rep says form of notice was deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice to unknown claimants; says filing was prevented by disaster but does not specify what the disaster was; says did not know and had not reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4422	TRO904148FTC	1/3/2017	Rupert, Velma	Velma Williams	5021		X	X				1968 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1982; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; form of notice was deficient; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4423	TRO898231FTC	6/20/2016	Hinton, Venson	Venson Hinton	5117			X		X		Claims first symptoms on August 15, 2009 and first diagnosis in September 2009; says had to make some corrections to prior filings. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4424	TRO889243FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Lillie	Vera Hughes	7300			X				1993 diagnosis; the injured party died prior to the bar date; place of exposure not clear; rep says unaware of timeline. Notice of the bar date was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4425	TRO889244FTC	2/23/2016	Hughes, Vera	Vera Hughes	7322			X				1960 diagnosis; was not aware of time line; place of exposure not clear. Notice of the bar date was published in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch in June 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4426	TRO902382FTC	9/14/2016	McMullen, Lillie	Verda Carr-McCoy	8424		X	X				1979 diagnosis; the injured party is a former residence of Columbus, MS who is deceased; rep says that the form notice of bar date was deficient on its face; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; was not aware nor had reason to be aware that injured party was exposed to dangerous Tronox product; rep learned of lawsuit in 2016, then researched and filed claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4427	TRO892023FTC	2/23/2016	Curry, Vernice Munson	Verince Munson Curry	6761	8124	X	X				Diagnoses in 1969, 1970 and 1990; former MS resident who no longer lives in MS and unaware of deadline. A supplement filed at docket # 8124 states that claimant has submitted multiple pieces of paperwork since 2014, and explains body pains, and living close to the plant and that certain neighbors had allowed claims. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4428	Unknown	Unknown	Cunningham, Vernita	Vernita Cunningham	6432		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1979, 1993 diagnoses; says publication notice for the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4429	TRO892010FTC	Unknown	Madison, Reginald	Vernita Cunningham	6442		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2001 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001; rep says she was unaware at time of deadline; "claim was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential claimants"; unaware of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4430	TRO892009FTC	Unknown	Lenoir, Angleique	Vernita Cunningham	6446		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1979, 1993 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1999; rep says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; no reason to know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4431	TRO898162FTC	Unknown	Davis, Veronica	Veronica Davis	7520		X	X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses in 1998 (headaches) and 2017 (cancer); previously filed with the Colom law firm, received \$500 award; unaware of the bankruptcy proceeding, says was a minor in 2009. Claim based on 1998 diagnosis was resolved in prior class action and cannot be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. Claim based on 2017 diagnosis, and any defenses to that claim (including any defense as to whether the prior class action settlement terms did or did not bar further claims) are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4432	TRO889209FTC	2/23/2016	Thomas, Sarah	Veronica Hairston	4200			X				1991 diagnosis. Did not know or reason to see exposed to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4433	TRO890284FTC	2/23/2016	Barry, Myeisha	Veronica McCoy	7829		X	X				2001 diagnosis; standard form language as reasons missed bar date (did not know of case, did not know of exposure, called 800 number and was told to file a future claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4434	TRO891290FTC	2/23/2016	Lockett-Watkins, Veronica	Veronica Watkins	6373						X	2006 diagnosis; says was not aware of the claims process and did not have knowledge of the case; says is in the U.S. Navy and due to deployments did not see or hear any advertisements on TV, radio or other media. Dates of military service not clear. Will permit supplemental submission to verify dates of military service and to permit the Court to assess the possible application of 50 U.S.C. 3936 and to determine whether military service provides grounds for relief based on excusable neglect.
4435	TRO889454FTC	2/23/2016	Shields, Viar	Viar Shields	7803		X	X				1978 diagnosis; says was incarcerated at the time of the bar date. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4436	TRO892583FTC	Unknown	Henry, Viara	Viara Henry	8021		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1985 diagnosis; says death in family - grandmother passed away but does not provide date or any supporting documents. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4437	TRO884097FTC	11/13/2015	Petty, Vickey	Vickey Petty	4894	7015		X			X	Rejection notice at docket 4894. Diagnoses April and June 2009 and another in 2010; says unaware of the deadline; says was traveling between Alabama and Mississippi to care for seriously ill relatives in each location does not say specific dates for this aid. Also says that her own health issues were taking a toll on her mind, her issues were in 2009. She presents hospital records for periods during 2009, including 8/10/2009; says her "family was in a total spin between April 2009 til August 2009 [because they] lost 10 close family members." Explains circumstances in August 2009 but offers no explanation for lengthy delay between bar date and actual clam filing date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4438	TRO897174FTC	5/4/2016	Mickens, Vicki	Vicki Michens	4358		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Previously filed with atty Bambach 1999, paperwork lost. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4439	TRO890775FTC	2/23/2016	Harrison, Vickie	Vickie Harrison	5384		X	X				1965 diagnosis; unaware there was a deadline; did not know and no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; violation of due process. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4440	TRO901088FTC	8/16/2016	Jackson, Vickie	Vickie Jackson	6508			X			X	Did not really understand and learned about it a little later. Diagnosis in 2009, no date listed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4441	TRO887262FTC	12/14/2015	Webber-Richardson, Vickie	Vickie Webber-Richardson	5472		X	X				1971 diagnosis; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; alleges discharge of debt violation of due process; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4442	TRO901985FTC	9/14/2016	Kidd, Vicky Lynn	Vicky Lynn Kidd	7658		X	X				Diagnoses 1973, 1980, 1982; says was unaware of deadline; not residing in Columbus, now in Florida. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Motion alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4443	TRO889810FTC	Unknown	Coleman, Victor	Victor Coleman	7771		X	x				Not included in Trust's summary. Conditions were identified in 1965, 1968, 1974; exposure occurred as a child before relocated to another state, does not say where exposure occurred; did not have any reason to understand or knowledge that diseases were caused by exposure to Tronox product; notice not reasonably calculated to reach all claimants, in particular claimants who no longer reside in area would not be notified by local publication; as out of state claimant, notice was insufficient. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Complains of publication notice not covering claimant's area but notice of the bar date was published in The Birmingham News on June 24, 2009. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claims are based on exposures in MS or Alabama the claims also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4444	TRO886987FTC	12/7/2015	Moody, Victor	Victor Moody	3463	8754		X			X	Says filed a claim with Wilbur Colom and alleges a timely claim was filed. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8754 complaining about the process. If claim was filed in a class action that does not constitute a claim in the bankruptcy case. If contends that Mr. Colom should have filed a bankruptcy claim but did not do so, that does not warrant relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's failure can be excused. If believes a timely proof of claim actually was filed in 2009, that is not a request for relief based on excusable neglect or due process, is to be resolved by Tort Claims Trust.
4445	TRO912940FTC	5/30/2017	Swanigan, Victor	Victor Swanigan	3911			X			X	Listed diagnoses are all after the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4446	TRO901495FTC	8/16/2016	Ashley, Victoria	Victoria Ashley	5957			X				1955, 1957 diagnoses; place of exposure not specified; unaware of claims; moved on several occasions; was not informed at the time of these events. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4447	TRO886403FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Victoria	Victoria Johnson	5082			X			X	Says symptoms 2014 and diagnosis 2015. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4448	TRO884329FTC	11/25/2015	Moody, Victoria	Victoria Moody	3495	8738	X	X			X	2000 diagnosis. Previously filed with Wilbur Colom; says a claim was filed in bankruptcy by filing deadline but there is no record of such a bankruptcy claim, claimant may be confused with claim filed in prior class action. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8738 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior class action shows awareness of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If claim was not the subject of a prior proceeding, then it was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending proceeding, it should have been filed by the bar date, because counsel (Mr. Colom) received direct notice of the bar date. If claimant believes a proof of claim actually was filed, that is not a request for relief from the bar date, and instead is an issue to be raised with the Tort Claims Trust.
4449	TRO897702FTC	5/25/2016	Robertson, Victoria	Victoria Robertson	4228		X	X				1994 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date until after it had passed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4450	TRO885122FTC	11/25/2015	White, Victoria	Victoria White	3401		X	X				Diagnosis in 1989. Says was unaware of filing deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4451	TRO901425FTC	8/16/2016	Wilson, Victoria	Victoria Wilson	5267			X			X	Unaware there was a deadline, says symptoms and diagnosis 2010 but does not provide medical records. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4452	TRO901262FTC	8/16/2016	Turnipseed, Vida	Vida Turnipseed	6759			X			X	Diagnosed 2016; alleges did not know of the proceeding in 2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4453	TRO893058FTC	2/23/2016	Porter, Vierre	Vierre Porter	7804		X	X				1981 diagnosis; Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date) . Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4454	Unknown	Unknown	Archibald, Vincent	Vincent Archibald	6401		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion is submitted on pre-printed form for Abdul Karriem but the injured party's name is Vincent Archibald. 1994 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to potential clients/claimants; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4455	Unknown	3/24/2016	Harris, Vincent	Vincent Harris	7873		X	X				Diagnoses 1989 and 1995; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; notice was not sufficient to inform him that his condition was due to Tronox product. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4456	TRO886195FTC	12/7/2015	Hill, Vincent	Vincent Hill	3629	8234 8734	X	X				Diagnosed 1984, "did not know about the lawsuit." A supplement filed at docket #8234, complaining that the claims of others who did not reside in area were allowed while rejecting those of residents. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8734 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings	
							A	B	C	D	E		
4457	TRO902348FTC	9/14/2016	Jackson, Vincent	Vincent Jackson	5560							X	2000 diagnosis; minor; says did not know and had no reason to know exposed to Tronox product, guardian was unaware that condition was related to Tronox product. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4458	TRO880332FTC	12/4/2015	Poindexter, Vincent	Vincent Poindexter	3698		X	X					First diagnosed 1996. Says attorney (Bombach) gathered claim information and lost it. Says EPA visited in 2008 and took names but sent no other information. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4459	TRO888324FTC	12/18/2015	Scott, Vincent	Vincent Scott	4514		X	X					Symptoms & diagnosis 1975, moved from Columbus and unaware of litigation, part of 2002 class action. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in a prior proceeding or, if not, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4460	TRO891264FTC	2/23/2016	Ballard, Vinson	Vinson Ballard	7174		X	X					Detailed chronology of exposures before the bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4461	TRO884471FTC	11/25/2015	Butler, Virginia	Virginia Butler	3322		X	X				Diagnosed 1970, claims no notice of the case "in local Columbus, MS paper or on TV." In fact, notice was published in the Commercial Dispatch (the local Columbus newspaper) and in other area newspapers. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4462	TRO891376FTC	2/23/2016	Graham, Virginia	Virginia Graham	4011	8574	X	X				1989 diagnosis. When she found out about process it was too late; she had moved out of town; she found out when other people started getting their money. She filed a supplemental letter complaining about process at docket #8574. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4463	TRO887131FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Virginia	Virginia Howard	5116		X	X				1971 diagnosis; says filed claim "on the second round," apparently is under the mistaken belief that the process was re-opened in 2015 or so; was unaware of this suit until people were talking about it after first time people filed; did not read or hear of a deadline for filing. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4464	TRO901134FTC	8/16/2016	Randle, Virginia	Virginia Randle	4935			X		X		Alleges symptoms 2012 and diagnosis 2013; says was not informed about the Tronox tort claim trust; unaware of bankruptcy. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4465	TRO880701FTC	12/4/2015	Scott, Virginia	Virginia Scott	3469		X	X				1986 Diagnosis. Says that she did not hear about it until later; having too much pain in her body going back and forth to the doctor. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4466	TRO893749FTC	3/2/2016	Hodges, Vivian	Vivian Hodges	4266			X			X	Alleges a 2013 diagnosis but also confirms was part of Colom law firm class action in early 2000s. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4467	TRO905786FTC	4/24/2017	Shields, Vonda	Vonda Shields	7468			X				2007 diagnosis; former resident of Mississippi; says there was not adequate advertising of the claim during the period of time she resided between Mississippi and Texas, says resided in Kingwood, Texas from 1/12/09 to 3/1/11. The court approved notices in 2009 that included publication in local newspapers where plants were located and also in national edition of Wall Street Journal. Publication notice was reasonable and consistent with due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4468	TRO889742FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, Vondell	Vondell Harris	4826		X	X			X	Standard summary language as to reasons for not filing; on form says diagnosis was 2000 but attached chart indicates diagnosis was in 2010. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4469	TRO891820FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Vondurell	Vondurell Hibbler	7638		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1984, 1985, 2009, 2010, 2016; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but it is not clear that the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to a previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4470	TRO891822FTC	2/23/2016	Hibbler, Jr., Vondurell	Vondurell Hibbler, Jr	7637		X	X			X	Diagnoses 1993 and 2017; says that previously filed with Colom law firm, Cochran, Hunter Lundy & Davis, Richmond Simon & Agston, Hamilton Sexton & Berry 2001, does not disclose outcome; says did not receive direct written notice of bar date although had commenced a legal proceeding against Tronox, but the referenced proceeding was outstanding at the time of the bankruptcy filing, and in any event the counsel identified received direct notice of the bar date. Says he was told by atty that paperwork was lost but that may refer to the previously closed action. Also asserts due process claim because publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; says did not see publication notice and was not aware of the bar date or of the bankruptcy case and therefore says did not receive sufficient notice to file a timely claim. If pre-bar date claim was resolved in a prior action it could not be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. If it was not part of a pending action, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In those instances, publication notice would have been appropriate because Tronox would have had no reason to know that the claimant had an unresolved claim. If the claim was the subject of a still-pending litigation, then a proof of claim should have been filed, as notice by mail was provided to all plaintiffs in pending litigations (or to their counsel if the plaintiffs' addresses were not known), and the proof of service on file shows that the listed attorneys received notice of the bar date. Notice sent to counsel is imputed to the client. Failure by counsel to file a claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date (and any defense based on terms of resolution of prior action) is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4471	TRO898197FTC	6/20/2016	Reives, Vonna	Vonna Rieves	3568		X	X				Diagnosed in 1990's - alleges "excusable neglect, did not know [exposed prior to deadline(?)]" Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4472	TRO903146FTC	10/13/2016	Jones, W. T.	W. T. Jones	3819		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Says was unaware of possibility of receiving payment for injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4473	TRO888047FTC	12/18/2015	Polite, Wallace	Wallace Polite	4684		X	X				1991 diagnosis. Says was incompetent at the time of the bar date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Incompetence or lack of knowledge in 2009 therefore make no difference. Also makes no showing as to relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4474	TRO897191FTC	5/4/2016	Wallace, Hal	Wallace, Jeanette	6694		X	X				1969 diagnosis; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to reach claimants. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4475	TRO902426FTC	9/14/2016	Bridges, Johnson	Walter Bridges	4304		X	X				Filing for father. Diagnosis in 1993. Says father had alzheimer's disease, but rep does not provide excuse as to why rep did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4476	TRO897231FTC	5/4/2016	Dumas, Walter	Walter Dumas	6762		X	X		X		Various diagnoses for different conditions, some before bar date and some after; says was unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4477	TRO892736FTC	2/23/2016	Miller, Walter	Walter Miller	3636	8138		X			X	Diagnosed 2010. A supplement filed at docket #8138, surgery after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4478	TRO889507FTC	2/23/2016	Tucker, Cornelius	Walter Tucker	7459		X	X				Late 1970s diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; unaware of the ongoing Tronox case; did not receive letter, TV, or radio ads concerning matter; did not get information that those who did not work at the plant could file. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4479	TRO889912FTC	2/23/2016	Carter, Wanda	Wanda Carter	7321	7708		X				2008 diagnosis; says incapacitated, chronic weakness and blood loss; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; had not seen anything on TV or local paper, first learned of it in neighborhood meeting, soil sample done. A duplicate of this claim is filed at docket #7708. Does not explain long period after the bar date before filing a claim without any other apparent action to pursue claim or legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4480	Unknown	Unknown	Dawson, Wanda	Wanda Dawson c/o Calvin Dawson	8383			X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Claim filed by Ms. Dawson for herself and 8 children; says publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice but does not allege Tronox had reason to know of her claim. Says children were incompetent at the time of bar date but claim is filed by Ms. Dawson, no indication she was incompetent. There is only one child for which she lists a post bar date condition in 2012 for appendicitis and gastritis. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4481	TRO895343FTC	3/24/2016	Harris, Wanda	Wanda Harris	5709		X	X				1980 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2001-2002 lawsuit; says thought law firm continued to represent her throughout process; says "[b]ecause of Judicial malpractice and counselor ineptitude, my claim was mishandled;" says was not notified about the bankruptcy case or deadlines. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Unclear if Colom firm continued to represent her but if it did that does not show excusable neglect as the Colom firm had direct notice of the bar date. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4482	TRO896722FTC	4/25/2016	Harris, Ollie	Wanda Harris	5718		X	X				1970-71 diagnosis; the injured party was a resident of Columbus, MS who is now deceased; rep says that she was informed that another relative who is now deceased filed a claim by legal counsel but the rep has no way to retrieve that information. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4483	TRO902635FTC	4/20/2016	Jones, Wanda	Wanda Jones	5137		X	X				Diagnoses before 2000; moved from town in 2009 to another city in Mississippi. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4484	TRO887645FTC	12/14/2015	Roberts, Wanda	Wanda Roberts	5518			X				Diagnosis in 2008, condition continued in 2009; was unaware of the process; says was in the hospital at the time but does not explain why claim was not filed until so many years after the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4485	TRO890282FTC	2/23/2016	McCoy, Corine	Wannetta McCoy-Gunn	7809		X	X				<p>Various diagnoses, all 2000 and earlier; the injured party died in 2012; says the claimant was incompetent at the time of bar date, suffering from dementia, in a wheelchair; rep filing the claim was not aware of claims; other congregations were telling people to fill out paper work for a small or large fee, thinks this was a scam. The rep says did not receive notice informing to file claim against Tronox; does not read Wall Street Journal; after learning of it researched some of the notices in the various publications and the notices were very dense and she did not see any filing deadlines; she does not subscribe to newspapers; she did not learn anything concerning it from TV or radio; she was caregiver and working with little time for socializing or reading publications. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Representative acknowledges providing assistance and does not claim incapacity on representative's own part. Published notices did mention the bar date deadline. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>
4486	TRO893681FTC	3/2/2016	Gunn, Stephen, Jr.	Wannetta McCoy-Gunn	7811		X	X				<p>Various diagnoses, all 2001 and earlier; motion filed by spouse, who states that the claimant was incapacitated at time of bar date due to stroke; representative was caregiver for spouse as well as parent with little time for socializing or reading papers. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Representative notes the injured party's condition but does not claim that the representative was incapacitated. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.</p>

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4487	TRO890285FTC	2/23/2016	McCoy-Gunn, Wannetta	Wannetta McCoy-Gunn	7812		X	X				Various diagnoses, all 2005 and earlier. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant says there was not enough publicity regarding bar date, but notice procedures were approved with participation of attorneys representing tort victims and have subsequently been upheld. Says was caring for ill relations (at docket #7809 and #7811); says churches that participated did not share information; she was under a doctor's care. Not a sufficient showing of incapacity to a degree that prevented claimant from filing a claim or enlisting the help of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4488	TRO887713FTC	12/14/2015	Carter, Wardell	Wardell Carter	4463			X		X		Diagnosis in September 2012; says was unaware of case, insufficient notice. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4489	TRO880757FTC	12/4/2015	Schenck, Warren	Warren Schenck	7104			X				Was not aware of any proof of claim in the Tronox bankruptcy proceeding; place of exposure unclear, says has resided in NC since 2000; says symptoms and diagnosis 2/25/2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4490	TRO888084FTC	12/18/2015	Davis, Watt	Watt Davis	5055			X		X		Says diagnosis in 2015; unaware of bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4491	TRO891841FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, Wayne	Wayne Jamison	5652		X	X				Various diagnosis dates, all in 1970s; unaware of any case against Tronox; unaware of claims filing deadline; says that he was ill at the time of the bar date, thyroid surgery was in June 2009 and the medication prescribed caused him "not to think clearly." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4492	TRO891960FTC	2/23/2016	Malone, West	West Malone	3807			X		X		The correct claim number for this motion is TRO891960FTC (listed incorrectly by the Tort Claims Trust as TRO891690FTC, which is a claim for Fannie Hackman that is governed by the motion at docket #4754.) Movant at docket 3807 says he did not file because he was not aware of any lawsuit. Diagnoses listed are after bar date, none listed before bar date. Unclear if any relief sought as to pre-bar date diagnoses, but motion denied as to pre-bar date diagnoses for failure to identify a relevant pre-bar date diagnosis and lack of proper showing of diligence and other elements of excusable neglect claim. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4493	TRO904309FTC	1/3/2017	Holmes, Heraline	Whaley Holmes	7220			X				2000 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2001, place of exposure and residence not clear; rep did not know and had no reason to know that injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in Mississippi then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4494	TRO889724FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Eddie	Whirlie Byrd	4025		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Claimant was incapacitated in 2009, absence of understanding exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4495	TRO889743FTC	2/23/2016	Little, Eddie	Whirlie Byrd	4250		X	X				1964 and 1966 diagnoses; the injured party died in 1968. Rep does not say why he did not file. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4496	TRO890049FTC	2/23/2016	Byrd, Whirlie	Whirlie Byrd	6567		X	X			X	Diagnosis dates listed as 1958 and 2010; says was not aware and had no knowledge of the Tronox bankruptcy case; did not see any public notifications in newspaper or other media; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4497	TRO901252FTC	Unknown	Alice White	White, Alice	4390			X			X	Trust listed this motion as relating to the claim for Annie Dent; that motion is at dkt # 4584. The motion at dkt # 4390 is by Alice White for herself. Alleges diagnoses in 2010, 2014, 2017. Says did not know about the process at the time of the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4498	TRO896432FTC	4/13/2016	Whitfield, Willie	Whitfield, Willie	5933			X			X	Alleges diagnoses 2010 to 2016; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product or chemicals; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4499	TRO893626FTC	2/23/2016	Tate, Whitney	Whitney Tate	5079						X	2002 and 2008 diagnoses but says was a minor (16) at bar date; illness and says recovering hospitalized for two weeks but the medical records do not reflect anything like that in 2009, not clear when she was hospitalized. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4500	TRO891389FTC	2/23/2016	Rogers, Wilbert	Wilbert Rogers	3658			X			X	Diagnosed 2012. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4501	TRO898592FTC	6/27/2016	Stevenson, Wilbert	Wilbert Stevenson	7274			X			X	Unaware of claims process; says symptoms 1999 but diagnosis 9/21/2009. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4502	TRO890896FTC	2/23/2016	Minor, Eula	Wilda Bardley	6331		X	X				1980 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1984; rep says discharge of claim a violation of due process; publication notice of claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know that she had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4503	TRO890895FTC	2/23/2016	Bardley, Wilda	Wilda Bardley	6335		X	X				Trust incorrectly listed this motion as docket # 6248, which is a different motion. Diagnoses date back to 1946; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2002; says was wrongfully compensated, never met with lawyer, it was presented to you either accept or not, he just sent a letter saying where to meet after it was settled in court.; says discharge of claim is violation of due process, publication notice was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4504	TRO885606FTC	11/25/2015	Latham, Wilda	Wilda Latham	6353		X	X				1998 diagnosis; unaware of the bankruptcy case; did not see publication of the case or filing deadline; unaware could file a claim; says did not have adequate notice of the deadline and the publication was not reasonably calculated to provide notice to her as unknown claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4505	TRO891010FTC	Unknown	Minor, Stevie	Wilda Minor Barkley	6248			X		X		Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion by Wilda Barkley on her own behalf; that motion is at docket 6335. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
4506	TRO886229FTC	12/7/2015	Westbrook, Grady	Wilda Smith	4991		X	X				The motion at docket # 4991 is for Grady Westbrook, Sr. [TRO886229FTC]; a separate motion for Grady Westbrook, Jr. [TRO880902FTC] is at docket # 5777. Grady Westbrook, Sr. is deceased; diagnoses listed were all 1978 and earlier. Representative says was unaware of claim process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4507	TRO880868FTC	12/4/2015	Smith, Willie	Wilda Smith	7076	7133	X	X			X	The motion at docket #7076 is by Wilda Smith on behalf of Willie Smith. The Trust's summary referred to docket #6562 as relating to this claim but that is not correct. The motion at docket #7076 says the injured party died in 2013; rep unaware of claims process or that could file a claim; a violation of due process. A duplicate of docket # 7076 is filed at docket # 7133. Alleges a diagnosis of cardiovascular problems in 2013, other diagnoses in 2003 and earlier. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4508	TRO880885FTC	12/4/2015	Smith, Wilda	Wilda Smith	7083	8261		X			X	Says not aware of claims process or that could file a claim; violation of due process. A supplement with medical records filed at docket #8261, says headaches and dizziness and eye irritation were prior to bar date, but cardiovascular, asthma and respiratory issues were after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4509	TRO893758FTC	3/2/2016	Handerson, Annie	Wilene Gray	3694	8232	X	X				Diagnosed 1999, attorney (Bambach) lost papers. A supplement filed at docket #8232, complains that claimants who did not live in area were allowed claims but she who lived in area was not. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4510	TRO897359FTC	5/25/2016	Foote, Will	Will Foote	5487			X			X	Says he did not know until he began telling other people about his symptoms and they were experiencing some of the same symptoms and that is when he heard about the case; says first diagnosis in 2010. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4511	TRO889291FTC	2/23/2016	Rogers, Will	Will Rogers	6561			X			X	Alleges 2016 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4512	TRO892523FTC	2/23/2016	Thompson, Will	Will Thompson	7977		X	X				1979 diagnosis; alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4513	TRO886288FTC	12/7/2015	Lowe, Nellie	Willia Smith	5004		X	X				1967 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1981; rep says did not know or have reason to know that injured party had been exposed to a Tronox product; unaware of process and did not know could file a claim. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4514	TRO896708FTC	4/25/2016	Jones, Petty	Willene Jones	7688		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2002. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Movant complains about publication notice but makes no allegation that Tronox had reason to know of this particular claim, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Says incompetent at time of deadline but apparently that is a reference to the deceased injured party (who died in 2002) and not to the movant. Says did not know and had no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product prior to the claims filing deadline or of its danger; says was informed only a certain area or people could apply, does not say who gave such advice or when, may be in relation to prior class actions that were limited as to class members. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If exposure occurred in MS or Alabama then the claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4515	TRO900897FTC	8/16/2016	Smith, Sr., Willia W.	Willia W. Smith, Sr.	6246			X		X		Says diagnosis in 2010; was not aware of bar date; health declined fast and impacted thinking and mobility to care for self and to handle personal business; was overwhelmed with news and life; says not diagnosed until after the bar date, therefore could not participate in the suit/claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4516	TRO915137FTC	7/26/2017	Toney, Maggie	William Toney	5013	8347	X	X				1965 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1971; rep not aware of process; supplement filed at docket # 8347; without knowledge to wade through process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4517	<b>TRO901220FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bowens, William</b>	<b>William Bowens</b>	3942			X				Motion is not listed on the Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4518	<b>TRO891531FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Bridges, William</b>	<b>William Bridges</b>	3776	8019 8068 8391 8528 8530 9408 9432	X	X				Motion not included on Trust's summary. 1972 Diagnosis. Previously filed in 2002 with atty Howard Gunn, did not live in Mississippi to get updates, says attorney of record did not advise that he had dropped out of the suit. Additional letters were filed by Billie W. Bridges for a group of people some of which mention this name also. The additional supplements are filed at docket #8019, 8068 (with a duplicate at 8391), 8528 (with a duplicate at 8530) and #9408 and #9432, complaining about the process. They appear to confuse the bankruptcy case with the prior class actions and appear to think that the prior class action counsel was representing them in the 2009 bankruptcy case. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Class action counsel had direct notice of 2009 bar date, if was still representing the movant then should have filed a timely claim, conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4519	TRO891277FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, William	William Calloway	4488		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Says was unaware of bar date and other people in his area did not know. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. In fact, thousands of Columbus-area residents either sued Tronox or filed claims in the bankruptcy process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4520	TRO880944FTC	12/4/2015	Chromey, William	William Chromey	4611			X				1964 diagnosis; not aware of initial suit; was not residing in Duryea; family member who is an attorney recommended filing a Future Tort Claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4521	TRO884581FTC	11/25/2015	Coleman, Billy	William Coleman	4208	8333 8943	X	X				Injured party was diagnosed in 1969 and died in 1994. A supplement filed at docket #8333, without knowledge to wade through the bankruptcy process. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8943 complaining about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4522	TRO881049FTC	12/4/2015	Dale, William	William Dale	7009			X		X		1982 diagnosis but also alleges that some issues "manifested" after the bar date, unclear if means new conditions and new diagnoses occurred or if prior conditions continued; no reason to know exposed to Tronox product; unaware of deadline to file; does not read the Wall Street Journal. Claims are not future tort claims just because the conditions continued after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4523	Unknown	Unknown	Dismuke, William	William Dismuke	8434			X				Copy of a form where claimant identified potential reasons for missing the bar date; form says claimant was not aware of publication notice and unaware of the process; says notice was not published in his area but court records show confirmation of publication in newspapers in Columbus, MS and Jackson, MS; says was found disabled by social security administration in 2013 but does not allege or verify incompetence at the bar date in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4524	TRO895294FTC	3/23/2016	Harris, Felix	William E. Harris	6234		X	X				1978 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1999; rep says previously filed with the Colom law firm, 2002 Kerr-McGee creosote Plant class action but was not paid any money; reps says not aware nor had knowledge of Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4525	TRO895298FTC	3/23/2016	Hubbard, Porter	William E. Harris	7112		X	X				1972 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2011; place of exposure not clear; rep says standard form reasons as to why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4526	TRO897213FTC	5/4/2016	Gavin, William	William Gavin	4916		X	X		X		2003 diagnosis; says was incapacitated at the time of bar date; on paid sick leave during that time due to debilitating migraine headaches, says experienced dizziness and migraines beginning July 7, 2003 until 2/1/2012, appears to be continuing condition but not completely clear whether movant alleges that any new condition was diagnosed after the bar date. At time of bar date, injured party unaware of exposure to toxic products. There is a letter in his filing, dated 2004, from his lawyer in support of his attempt to get SSI benefits at the time, indicating that the brain damage shown in the 2003 MRI could be from chemical exposure on his job, and that the severity of his condition left him without ability to walk without a cane for balance, difficulty remembering and with cognitive impairment slowing his mental processing. Demonstrates disabilities but does not demonstrate inability to file a claim or to enlist the aid of others in doing so. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4527	TRO884400FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, William	William Gray	4026		X	X				Says filed with William Bambach in 1999, paperwork lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Participation in prior proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights, no showing of reasonable diligence in pursuing the same. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4528	TRO885073FTC	11/25/2015	Gray, William Jr.	William Gray, Jr.	3746			X		X		Diagnosed 2009, no month, says filed with attorney Bambach but the paperwork was lost. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures.
4529	TRO892127FTC	2/23/2016	Harris, William	William Harris	4233	4445	X	X				2002 diagnosis. Did not understand what to do; a duplicate motion filed at docket #4445. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4530	TRO896087FTC	4/6/2016	Hayden, Mary	William Hayden	7114	8370	X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm and received \$500. A supplement filed at docket #8370, says without knowledge to wade through the process. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4531	TRO895960FTC	4/6/2016	Hayden, Thessalonia	William Hayden	7147		X	X				1980 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm 1996, no funds received. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4532	TRO899945FTC	7/25/2016	Ivy, William, Jr.	William Ivy, Jr.	4765		X	X				June 1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4533	TRO897801FTC	5/25/2016	Ivy, William, Sr.	William Ivy, Sr.	4766		X	X				June 1996 diagnosis; unaware of bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4534	TRO889900FTC	2/23/2016	Jamison, William	William Jamison	3853			X		X		Diagnosed in 2011. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4535	TRO893907FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, William	William Johnson	3888		X	X				Injured party diagnosed in 1975, deceased at time of bar date. Claimant has cut-and-pasted typed text alleging lack of knowledge of the Tronox process, allegation that called Tort Claims Trust after bar date and was told to file a future tort claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4536	TRO884090FTC	11/13/2015	Marsh, William Jr.	William Marsh, Jr.	3300			X				Diagnosed 1990 and earlier. Says did not know of claim system until a relative informed him that he had received a settlement check. No specific excusable neglect or due process challenge set forth. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4537	TRO892359FTC	2/23/2016	McCottrell, Sr., William	William McCottrell, Sr.	5695		X	X				2000 diagnosis; says that he filed on time but apparently is under misimpression that the late-filed claim was timely. Also filed a form letter concerning not receiving "justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" and refers to secret meetings and minimal information shared by other claimants in the community. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not clear but in any event is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4538	TRO887365FTC	12/14/2015	Mitchell, William	William Mitchell	3295		X	X				2002 diagnosis. Previously filed with the Colom law firm and received settlement; disagrees with settlement amount. Participation in prior legal proceeding confirms awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim either was resolved in prior litigation or, if not, was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4539	TRO885655FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, William, Jr.	William Moore, Jr.	4870						X	1997 birth, 1998 diagnosis; minor at time and unaware of bankruptcy case. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4540	TRO885657FTC	11/25/2015	Moore, William, Sr.	William Moore, Sr.	4869		X	X				1980s diagnosis; unaware of bankruptcy case; travels state to state with job. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4541	TRO886850FTC	12/7/2015	Joiner, Peggy	William Petty	5502	8641	X	X				2001 diagnosis; filed for an injured party who resided in Columbus, MS; the injured party died 8/11/2009; rep says that injured party had been dealing with chemotherapy immediately prior to the bar date. A supplemental letter complaining about the process filed at docket #8641. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4542	TRO904943FTC	1/24/2017	Reap, Ethal	William Reap	4186			X				Injured party is deceased; rep says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4543	TRO900977FTC	8/16/2016	Slaughter, William	William Slaughter	3727		X	X				Diagnosed 1982, unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4544	TRO0886574FT C	12/7/2015	Staples, William	William Staples	6357	8863	X	X				1988 diagnosis; not aware of this matter; says filed a claim but is likely referring to the claim filed in December 2015. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8863 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4545	TRO885076FTC	11/25/2015	Taylor, William, Jr.	William Taylor, Jr.	3384		X	X				Diagnosed 1985, only says "did not know." Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4546	TRO888317FTC	12/18/2015	Stevenson, Cozette	William Thomas	4478		X	X				The injured party was diagnosed in 1980 and died in 2002; cut-and-pasted language as to reason for not filing by bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4547	TRO897776FTC	Unknown	Thompson, William	William Thompson	4146			X				Not included in Trust's summary. Motion contains no information, just a signature page.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4548	TRO894695FTC	3/23/2016	Toney, James	William Toney	4985	8339	X	X				1990 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep unaware could file for the injured party; without knowledge to wade through process; supplement filed at docket #8339. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4549	TRO892378FTC	2/23/2016	Toney, Ethel	William Toney	4988	8348		X				1970 symptoms, 2007 diagnosis, but says previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002; the injured party is deceased; representative says was unaware could file a claim for deceased relative. A supplement filed at docket #8348, without knowledge to wade through the process. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4550	TRO888225FTC	12/18/2015	Vaughn, William	William Vaughn	4799		X	X				1993 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002 class action; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case and bar date; publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was fully resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4551	TRO880683FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, Earnest	William Walker	4095	8195	X	X				Injured party was worker in Columbus, MS who died in 1950. Rep did not know of claim. Supplement filed at docket # 8195, rep says accident on job at Moss Tie Company but can't recall if there were health issues. Not a sufficient showing of diligence given date of death and absence of identified conditions attributable to chemical releases. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4552	TRO880684FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, Emma	William Walker	4096	8194	X	X				1965 diagnosis; death in 1977. Injured party resided in Columbus, MS. Supplement filed at docket # 8194; rep says unaware affected by chemicals from Moss Tie Company; unaware chemicals were toxic; Tronox was a foreign name, they referred to company as Moss Tie Co. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4553	TRO902562FTC	9/14/2016	Wells, William	William Wells	6138	8054	X	X				1980 diagnosis; originally from Columbus, MS; says was stationed overseas during that time (must be referring to earlier lawsuits as his term of service was from 1974-1994); was not informed of any class action by letter or publication. A duplicate claim filed at docket # 8054. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4554	TRO889926FTC	2/23/2016	Clemens, Williams	Williams Clemons	7095			X			X	Alleges new medical condition 9/14/09; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; unaware exposed to Tronox product or of dangers posed by the product at site; did not receive notice by mail, newspapers, correspondence or TV; says there was a natural disaster in 2009 as Mississippi is a disaster state, prone to an abundance of flooding and tornadoes; in that year 2009, a storm knocked down power lines, but does not specify how he was impacted by any of the alleged natural disasters. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4555	TRO893828FTC	3/2/2016	Williams, Demetrice	Williams, Demetrice	5633			X			X	Says symptoms Oct 2009 and diagnosis Dec. 2009; unaware of claim filed against Tronox; unaware how to obtain information or assistance with the process. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4556	TRO894584FTC	3/23/2016	Williams, Jessie	Williams, Jessie	6181			X			X	Was not aware of the claim process; discharge of claim violation of due process, unaware of the process and did not know that could file a claim, says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4557	TRO892581FTC	2/23/2016	Williams, Joe Renée	Williams, Joe Renée	6162	8101		X				2008 diagnosis; says was not aware of any such claim that she could have filed; no type of media contained the information she needed that she was exposed to chemical. A supplement filed at docket # 8101; unaware could file claim or that any type of settlement was going on; learned about it at a town hall meeting in 2016; there are parties that did not live in the area and their claims were allowed; she was unaware of the environmental issues. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4558	TRO886592FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Kaleb	Williams, Meshelia	6663			X				Child diagnosed in 2003 (age 1); mother did not file by deadline because did not know about "it" and did not know what was wrong with him. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4559	TRO903532FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Nora	Williams, Nora	5845		X	X		X		Form says diagnosis in 1969 but on included paper refers to conditions for later dates; part of 1998 class action through attorney Jeffrey Navarro; standard cut-and-pasted form reasons why missed bar date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4560	TRO886548FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sammie	Williams, Sammie	6601	8147	X	X			X	First diagnosed 2005 with colon cancer, others in 2010 and 2013; did not file by deadline because was "confused, misinformed, and in doubt about what I was supposed to do;" unaware could add new illnesses after original submission date. A supplement filed by rep at docket #8147, rep says that the claimant was classified with PTSD in April 2008 and was not physically or mentally able to complete information on the claim form. His spouse was appointed his representative payee for social security benefits in 2005, and says that she submitted the information when she became aware of the case. No explanation for spouse's long delay after the bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4561	TRO886572FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sheldon	Williams, Sheldon	6666			X			X	1990 symptoms but alleges a 2013 diagnosis; says a 2010 acute sinusitis claim was denied, didn't know he could file additional information that wasn't on original claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, motion is denied as to any pre-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4562	TRO886621FTC	12/7/2015	Williams, Sydney	Williams, Sydney	6672			X			X	Claims diagnoses in 2010 (sinusitis) and 2017 (hypertension), says sinusitis claim was rejected because the date of diagnosis was wrong; says did not know could add additional information about claim. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4563	TRO903533FTC	11/23/2016	Williams, Terry	Williams, Terry	5844		X	X			X	Form lists a 1972 diagnosis but other papers refer to conditions for later dates; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4564	TRO897721FTC	5/25/2016	Avant, Willie	Willie Avant	3649		X	X				Diagnosed 1977, not aware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4565	TRO897679FTC	5/25/2016	Avant, Willie	Willie Avant	3674		X	X				Diagnosed 2003, did not know could file a claim, but also says did file a claim in category D (text is whited out). No record of any timely claim filing, only record is of late-filed claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4566	TRO884931FTC	11/25/2015	Baldwin, Willie	Willie Baldwin	3329	3999	X	X				Duplicate motion at docket # 3999. First diagnosed 1979, "never received paperwork." No challenge to sufficiency of publication notice, no showing of excusable neglect. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4567	TRO888104FTC	12/18/2015	Baldwin, Willie	Willie Baldwin	3978		X	X				Diagnoses in 2005 and prior years. Never got information to file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4568	TRO901437FTC	8/16/2016	Blevins, Willie	Willie Blevins	7844			X		X		2012 diagnosis; unaware exposed to a Tronox product. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, no grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures. Trustee contends the motion was not filed within 90 days after Determination Notice, but that deadline is relevant only to the request for relief from the bar date as to pre-bar date claims, movant has only identified a post-bar date claim to be pursued.
4569	TRO886859FTC	12/7/2015	Brewer, Willie	Willie Brewer	6894		X	X				Diagnoses in 2000 and 2006; unaware of tort claims deadline; although deadline was in 2009, says public was not made aware of it until 2011; complains that parties who lived outside of the affected area and out of state received allowance and they did not reside in area or have exposure to the chemicals. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4570	TRO892426FTC	2/23/2016	Brewer, Willie	Willie Brewer	7567		X	X		X		1983 and 2011 diagnoses; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to deadly chemicals; says his education is limited and does not know what else to do for all his illnesses. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4571	TRO893486FTC	2/23/2016	Bush, Willie	Willie Bush	4041		X	X				1980 diagnosis. Did not know claim was late. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4572	TRO885773FTC	11/25/2015	Bush, Willie	Willie Bush	5225		X	X				1996 diagnosis; says publication notice was not calculated to provide notice to claimant that he was able to be a claimant. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4573	TRO889920FTC	2/23/2016	Byrd, Willie	Willie Byrd	4014		X	X				1998/1999 diagnosis. Says was unaware exposed to Tronox product, unaware of form to file, on active duty in U.S. Army from 2005-2009 (discharged 9/26/2009). Even if application of the bar date was tolled due to military service the claim still was not timely filed, as it was not filed until February 23, 2016, more than six years after the military service ended. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the beginning of military service in 2005 and prior to Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4574	<b>TRO889777FTC</b>	<b>1/3/2017</b>	<b>Holmes, Willie Jr.</b>	<b>Willie C. Holmes, Jr.</b>	4136	8454		X				The motion at docket # 4136 actually is for Willie Holmes, Jr. (TRO889777FTC) and he filed it himself, it is merely a signature form. The Trust incorrectly listed this as a motion for Willie Holmes (TRO904310), filed by Teresa Holmes, but that motion is found at docket #7219. The motion at dkt # 4136 is supplemented by a filing at docket # 8454 that is also merely a signature form and rejection notice. The motion by Willie Holmes, Jr. at docket 4136 was not included on the Trust's summary. There is no excuse or information provided and therefore no basis for relief.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4575	TRO892855FTC	2/23/2016	Calloway, Willie	Willie Calloway	4246		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Unaware of what was occurring because of personal obligations dealing with health issues of self and relatives. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4576	TRO887583FTC	12/14/2015	Covington, Willie	Willie Covington	3445		X	X				Diagnoses in 1980s and 1990s. Says was unaware of the information or the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4577	TRO893357FTC	2/23/2016	Weston, Willie D.	Willie D. Weston	7736		X	X				Trust's summary incorrectly listed this motion as docket #6048. Diagnoses 1972 and 1981; says claim was made in 2000 or 2001 (proceeding not clear); place of exposure not clear. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4578	TRO901308FTC	8/16/2016	Davidson, Willie	Willie Davidson	5072		X	X				Says was unaware of deadline; not residing in specific local area therefore assumed did not qualify; unaware that qualified. Diagnoses pre-dated 2006. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4579	TRO895499FTC	3/24/2016	Deloach, Willie	Willie Deloach	3993		X	X				1996 diagnosis. Did not file claim because was unaware of possibility of receiving money. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4580	TRO900780FTC	8/16/2016	Doss, Wilie	Willie Doss	4154		X	X				1962 diagnosis. Unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4581	Unknown	2/23/2016	Edwards, Maggie	Willie Edwards	7858		X	X				Diagnoses 1987, 1991, 1996, 1998; the injured party died in 1998; unaware condition related to Tronox chemicals; rep has since become informed. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. In addition, alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4582	TRO902900FTC	10/13/2016	Ellis, Andrew	Willie Ellis	6738			X		X		2010 diagnosis; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4583	TRO902911FTC	10/13/2016	Ellis, Nadja	Willie Ellis	6739		X	X				1991 diagnosis; injured party is deceased. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4584	<b>TRO892356FTC</b>	10/13/2016	Ellis, Willie	Willie Ellis	6740			X		X		Diagnosed 8/21/2009. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4585	TRO902198FTC	9/14/2016	Fields, Willie	Willie Fields	7078		X	X				1999-2000 diagnosis; says did not know about claims filing bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4586	TRO886445FTC	12/7/2015	Gardner, Willie	Willie Gardner, Jr.	5277		X	X				1993-94 diagnoses; former resident of Columbus, MS; says did not have knowledge exposed to Tronox product. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9107 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4587	TRO903113FTC	10/13/2016	Goss, Edith	Willie Goss Jr.	6008		X	X				1994 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1996; rep says did not know about claim until after bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4588	TRO894573FTC	3/23/2016	Harrison, Willie	Willie Harrison	4234		X	X				Movant for docket # 4234 is Willie Harrison [TRO894573FTC]. It was incorrectly on the Trust's chart at docket # 3764, but that docket entry is for Willie Harriston [TRO880913FTC]. 2002 diagnosis. The excuse for Willie Harrison [TRO894573FTC] is that he was unaware of the bankruptcy case; 2002 letter says brain injury precludes claimant from making decisions for himself, and spouse should make decisions but she did not file claim. No explanation of why spouse did not file a claim. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4589	TRO880913FTC	12/4/2015	Harriston, Willie	Willie Harriston	3764			X				The motion at docket #3764 was incorrectly listed in the Trust's summary as a motion by Willie Harrison, but that motion is at docket 4234. This claimant signed his name two different ways - once as Willie Hairston, once as Willie Harriston. Says he previously filed with the Colom law firm but was not paid enough. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case. If claim was not fully resolved, then participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims arising before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4590	TRO899956FTC	7/25/2016	Henley, Janie	Willie Henley	5855		X	X				1970 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2000; cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed bar date; says claim should be a Future Tort Claim because mother had many illnesses, but mother died in 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4591	TRO902935FTC	10/13/2016	Henley, Richard	Willie Henley	5856		X	X				1981 diagnosis; the injured party died in 1991; standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons missed bar date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4592	TRO893100FTC	2/23/2016	Henry, Willie	Willie Henry Courtney Smith, Esq.	3925	7428 7429	X	X		X		Rejection notice filed at Docket # 3925, motion and supporting papers at dockets 7428 and 7429. 1972 diagnosis. Says did not receive any notice regarding the Tronox tort claims trust settlement; was not aware could file a claim. Rejection notice filed at docket #3925 applies to post-bar date diagnoses though no post-bar date exposure or diagnosis is identified in motion papers. Separate legal memo argues that excusable neglect has been shown and that due process relief should be granted. However, the claim based on the listed diagnosis was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations long before the Tronox bankruptcy filing occurred. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4593	TRO887092FTC	12/14/2015	Howard, Willie	Willie Howard	8455		X	X				Diagnoses 1999-2000; says always having headaches and could not go to attorney in early days. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4594	TRO889849FTC	2/23/2016	Bailey, Catherine	Willie Humphries	5948		X	X				1989 diagnosis; the injured party is deceased; rep did not know she was exposed to a Tronox chemical; says form of notice was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4595	TRO888567FTC	Unknown	Jackson, Willie	Willie Jackson	3584			X		X		Motion is not listed in the Trust's summary. First diagnosed in 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4596	TRO891581FTC	Unknown	James, Willie	Willie James	6399			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Seems to allege that diagnoses were all after the bar date, though some in 2009 and specific dates are not clear; says publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know of exposure to Tronox product. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4597	TRO900410FTC	7/25/2016	Gatlin, Irene	Willie Jean Smith	7570		X	X				1998 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; says incompetent; incapacitated (apparently referring to decedent rather than movant); no reason to know that had been exposed to a Tronox product; published notice not reasonably calculated to provide notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4598	TRO893895FTC	3/2/2016	Johnson, Willie	Willie Johnson	5389		X	X				1990 diagnosis; previously filed with Colom law firm but says the claim was based only on exposure and not for disease; was awarded \$250-\$500; unaware that exposure to creosote could be the cause of his illness; says he was told that the Colom lawsuit was for exposure if you lived within the contaminated zone but was not told about illnesses years after exposure. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in prior proceeding, it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4599	TRO890459FTC	2/23/2016	Johnson, Willie	Willie Johnson	7090			X				1965 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; says had absolutely no knowledge, no information, that would have alerted him/informed him that he had been exposed to a Tronox product. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4600	<b>TRO895535FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Jones, Willie</b>	<b>Willie Jones</b>	6620			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4601	TRO900483FTC	8/16/2016	Key, Willie	Willie Key	5666			X				October 2006 diagnosis; unaware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4602	TRO901390FTC	8/16/2016	McMillian, Willie Lamar	Willie Lamar McMillian	4682	8205		X				2006-2007 diagnoses. Unaware of the claim; no reason to believe exposed to a Tronox chemical, filed as soon as he heard about the process. Supplement at docket #8205. Complains about publication in WSJ but publication notice also appeared in the Columbus Commercial Dispatch and in two other newspapers in Mississippi. No explanation of many years' delay after the bar date before claim was filed. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4603	TRO888659FTC	12/24/2015	Lyons, Willie	Willie Lyons	4227		X	X				1979/1980 diagnoses. Unaware exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4604	<b>TRO887425FTC</b>	<b>Unknown</b>	<b>Howard, Larry</b>	<b>Willie M. Howard</b>	7281	7383	X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 2002 diagnosis; the injured party died in 2007; rep says did not file because at an early age the injured party was getting treatment for something else. A duplicate motion filed at docket # 7383. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4605	TRO880770FTC	12/4/2015	Frazier, Willie Mae	Willie Mae Frazier	4399		X	X				1978 diagnosis. Says she did file but may be referring to her late claim and not to a claim before bar date. Says each time she filed, the papers were sent back. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4606	TRO884378FTC	11/25/2015	Gould, Willie Mae	Willie Mae Gould	3615	8350	X	X				Health problems date to 1992. Says did not receive any mail or contact concerning a deadline to file. A supplement filed at docket #8350, hand-written letter - says filed so much paperwork already and can't understand why the church got so much when they lived in the same area. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4607	TRO880814FTC	12/4/2015	Nabors, Willie Mae	Willie Mae Nabors	5061	9303	X	X				Says was unaware of claims process and that could file a claim. A supplemental letter filed at docket #9303 complaining about the process. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Attachments show that all diagnoses pre-dated 2006, claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4608	TRO890179FTC	Unknown	McGregory, Willie	Willie McGregor	8317		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. Diagnoses 1983, 1989, 1992; unaware of the bar date; due process rights violated because did not have any information on filing a claim prior to the bar date; unaware exposed to toxic chemicals; had moved from Columbus, MS and was not living in the area when the ads were posted and did not have adequate notice. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant. Publication notices complied with due process for the reasons stated in the accompanying Decision. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4609	TRO892561FTC	2/23/2016	Miner, Willie	Willie Minor	7739		X	X				1950 diagnosis; former resident of Columbus, MS; did not know that claim existed; no knowledge of this or the deadline until notified by relatives. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4610	TRO894102FTC	3/2/2016	Moody, Willie	Willie Moody	4683	8151		X			X	The motion at docket # 4683 is by Willie Moody [TRO894102FTC]. A different motion by a person named Willie Moody [TRO891159FTC] is at docket #5042. In the motion at docket 4683, Mr. Moody says his symptoms and diagnosis were in 2012-2013, after the bar date. However, he also says that he previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2007, says that he filed ten years ago but the Tronox/Kerr McGee froze an account at Bank First. Appears to be referring to a claim in a prior class action, but details are not clear. A supplement filed at docket # 8151, it is merely a copy of the certificate of service filed by the Trust. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4611	TRO891159FTC	2/23/2016	Moody, Willie	Willie Moody	5042		X	X			X	There is also a motion at docket #4683 for a different Willie Moody; the motion at docket #5042 alleges diagnoses in 2000, 2009, 2012 and symptoms as early as 1970; says was not aware of deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to claims based on conditions diagnosed before the bar date. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4612	TRO892801FTC	2/23/2016	O'Neal, Willie	Willie O'Neal	7713		X	X				Diagnosis 2001-2002; says was totally unaware could file a claim. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4613	TRO898584FTC	6/27/2016	Pierce, Willie	Willie Pierce	6031			X			X	Alleges a series of post-bar date diagnoses; says rejected an offer from the Trust; standard form language as to reasons for not filing (not aware, did not see public notice, called 800 number and was told to file a claim). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4614	TRO892034FTC	2/23/2016	Prude, Willie	Willie Prude	5310			X				2005 symptoms, no diagnosis date; says he did send his case in time but may be under mistaken belief that late-filed claim was timely. If contends a timely claim was filed that is an issue to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust, is not a claim based on excusable neglect or lack of due process. No reason stated as to why missed the bar date, no grounds established for relief from the bar date.
4615	N/a	#N/A	Reece, Willie	Willie Reece	6806			X			X	Merely a Tronox tort claims trust form, not a motion, no request for relief or statement of reasons why relief should be granted.
4616	TRO891148FTC	2/23/2016	Richardson, Willie	Willie Richardson	6754		X	X				1980 diagnosis; worked "out of town" and did not know of Tronox proceedings. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4617	TRO889239FTC	2/23/2016	Sanders, Willie	Willie Sanders	5907			X			X	Unaware of Tronox bankruptcy; worked for Kerr-McGee but received nothing by mail, did not see anything in newspaper; some minor conditions diagnosed prior to bar date, some major conditions after. Alleges notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant's injury, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4618	TRO896947FTC	Unknown	Spraggins, Willie	Willie Spraggins	5657	4148		X			X	Not included in Trust's summary. Signature page is at docket # 4148. Says did not know when the case came up in court; symptoms 2014 and provides 2014 medical diagnosis. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4619	TRO888772FTC	12/30/2015	Turner, Willie	Willie Turner	5151		X	X				1975 diagnosis. Did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product. Claim was time-barred under applicable statute of limitations many years before the Tronox bankruptcy. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4620	TRO880715FTC	12/4/2015	Walker, Willie	Willie Walker	4091	8189		X				Diagnoses in 1981, 2004, 2005. Says did not know about it because the name of the company was different, but publication notices (including notice in the Chicago Tribune) listed the prior company names. A supplemental letter filed at docket #8189. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4621	TRO900006FTC	Unknown	Willie Ware	Willie Ware	3952			X		X		Motion not listed on Trust's summary. March 2009 diagnosis. Unaware of deadline. Also a claim for Willie Ware at Docket 3988 (claim TRO901752FTC) that says he was diagnosed in 2010. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4622	TRO901752FTC	Unknown	Ware, Willie	Willie Ware	3988			X		X		Motion not listed on Trust's summary. See also docket number 3952 for separate claim also by Willie Ware, but with a different claim number (TRO889768FTC). No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4623	TRO887845FTC	12/14/2015	Washington, Willie	Willie Washington	5026	8246	X	X				1999 diagnosis; previously filed with atty Bambach; paperwork lost; supplement letter filed at docket # 8246, says filed in a timely manner but appears to be under misimpression that late claim was timely. Prior dealings with attorney show awareness of claim and legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4624	TRO880740FTC	12/4/2015	Westbrook, Willie	Willie Westbrook	5768			X		X		Unaware of claims process and did not know could file a claim; violation of due process; some conditions prior to bar date, more serious conditions after bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4625	TRO901990FTC	9/14/2016	Williams, Willie	Willie Williams	6987			X		X		2010 diagnosis; did not know exposed to a Tronox product; medical records not attached. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4626	TRO900435FTC	7/25/2016	Brown, Wilma	Wilma Brown	7540		X	X				Diagnoses 1966, 1992, 2001, 2003; former resident of Columbus, MS; unaware of process until 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4627	TRO898182FTC	6/20/2016	Tumlin, Wilma	Wilma Tumlin	3731	8163	X	X				Diagnosed 1984, unaware of deadline until it passed. A supplement filed at docket #8163. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4628	TRO897779FTC	5/25/2016	Gerlean, Wilson	Wilson Gerlean	6534			X				Diagnosed before bar date; did not know about claim process, was not aware; says the form of notice of bar date was deficient on its face but does not say how; says publication notice of bar date not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; did not think he was required to file a claim by the bar date; was told by atty or someone other than Tronox that he did not have or should not file a claim. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Wrong advice by attorney as to whether claimant had a valid claim is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless the attorney's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4629	TRO887184FTC	12/14/2015	Robinson, Wilson	Wilson Robinson	6332			X				2007 diagnosis; says did not hear anything about the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4630	TRO896677FTC	4/25/2016	Wilson, Thullah	Wilson, Thullah	6167		X	X				2002 diagnosis; no knowledge of claim; unaware of causes of her conditions during the process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4631	TRO887363FTC	12/14/2015	Noland, Winston	Winston Noland	3335	8271	X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. Diagnosed 1993, was out of state, also alleges lawyer was "crooked." A supplemental letter filed at docket #8271. Complaint about lawyer's services is not a basis for excusable neglect or due process relief unless the lawyer's conduct can be excused. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4632	TRO902419FTC	9/14/2016	Rush, Wonda	Wonda Rush	7060			X		X		Says was unaware of a deadline to file; did not have a lawyer and is not usually watching TV and was totally unaware; did not have full details of the lawsuit; says symptoms 2012 and diagnosis 2013. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief from bar date as to a pre-bar date diagnosis. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4633	TRO894494FTC	3/2/2016	Webber, Wright	Wright Webber	4094			X				2008 diagnosis. No excuse provided for failure to file by bar date.
4634	TRO901175FTC	8/16/2016	Webb, Wyvonia	Wyvonia Webb	5540			X				August 2006 diagnosis; did not know about the claim until after the deadline. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4635	TRO891928FTC	2/23/2016	Adams, Xaiver	Xaiver Adams	5168		X	X				Allergies beginning in 2000; unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4636	TRO885724FTC	11/25/2015	Pippins, Xavier	Xavier Pippins	5171		X	X				1999 diagnosis; unaware of the claims process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4637	TRO889583FTC	2/23/2016	Flowers, Yasmeen	Yasmeen Flowers	5208			X			X	Did not know and was not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox; all alleged diagnoses were after bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4638	TRO900121FTC	7/25/2016	Bradshaw, Mary	Yoland Sparks	4372			X				Motion contains no information, just a signature page.
4639	TRO885576FTC	11/25/2015	Foster, Yolanda	Yolanda Foster	5207			X			X	Did not know and not notified of any legal proceedings against Tronox; says diagnosis 2010 and 2011, 2015 for asthma. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4640	TRO897243FTC	5/4/2016	Bailey, Alex	Yolanda Jones	3478		X	X				1968 diagnosis; injured party died in 1977. Rep says unaware could file claim for deceased relative; did not read an announcement regarding a filing date, nor did she see a deadline date in the news. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge of bar date but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4641	TRO897820FTC	5/25/2016	Jordan, Yolanda	Yolanda Jordan	5977			X				2004 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; unaware of Tronox bankruptcy case. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4642	TRO895339FTC	3/24/2016	Sanders, Yolanda	Yolanda Sanders	7404		X	X				1974 and 1989 diagnoses; previously filed with Colom law firm in 2002, received compensation but says not enough; says did not receive a letter concerning the bar date, does not read Wall Street Journal and did not see the other publications; says she should not be penalized for the unknown; did not get fair chance to receive notification of bar date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4643	TRO885276FTC	11/25/2015	Sherrod, Yolanda	Yolanda Sherrod	7448			X			X	2010 diagnosis. Standard cut-and-pasted form language as to reasons why missed the bar date. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4644	Unknown	2/23/2016	Smith, Yolanda	Yolanda Smith	7876		X	X				Diagnoses 2002, 2007; previously represented by Colom law firm and received \$2,500, says not sufficient to fairly compensate; unaware of pending litigation in bankruptcy court. Trustee contends the motion was untimely but it will be accepted based on the postmark date. If claim was resolved in a prior proceeding it could no longer be asserted in the Tronox bankruptcy. Participation in Colom action shows awareness of Tronox/Kerr McGee connection to illnesses. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. If claim was not resolved in a prior proceeding then it was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4645	TRO894106FTC	3/2/2016	Jones, Yolander	Yolander Jones	3762		X	X				Diagnoses in 1982, 1997, 2000 and 2007. Says did not see any notice, "since I was not notified I did not think I could file a claim." Unclear as to whether was aware of claims process before bar date. Mistake as to eligibility is not by itself grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claims based on pre-2006 diagnoses also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4646	TRO902955FTC	10/13/2016	James, Yonesha	Yonesha James	5433						X	Minor (12 at bar date); 1999 diagnosis. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4647	TRO889305FTC	2/23/2016	Chandler, Yovonka	Yovonka Chandler	6504		X	X				2002 diagnosis; says was not aware of her condition being caused by a Tronox tort. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4648	TRO884958FTC	11/25/2015	Jefferson, Ytansa	Ytansa Jefferson	3491		X	X				2003 diagnosis. Says was unaware of the possibility of receiving a settlement for physical injuries. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Only alleges lack of actual knowledge of claims process, insufficient showing as to elements of excusable neglect, not support a due process claim. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations prior to the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4649	TRO888944FTC	Unknown	Lewis, Yulanda Harris	Yulanda Lewis	7935			X		X		Not included in Trust's summary. Signed rejection notice. No motion for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Disagreement over Trust's review of claim is to be resolved in the Tort Claims Trust dispute resolution procedure.
4650	TRO902954FTC	10/13/2016	Petty, Yulonda	Yulonda Petty	5439		X	X		X		Diagnoses in 1989 and 2017; unaware that the area where she lived was affected; did not know exposed to Tronox product. Claim based on 1989 diagnosis was time-barred before the Tronox bankruptcy. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4651	TRO880232FTC	12/4/2015	Karriem, Yusuf	Yusuf Karriem	3687						X	Diagnosed 2003, recites reasons for not filing by quoting verbatim from the determination notice without elaborating on underlying reasons. Alleges was a child at time of bar date, no explanation as to awareness by parents or guardian or why they did not file a claim. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4652	TRO885749FTC	11/25/2015	Ervin, Yvette	Yvette Ervin	3465			X				1981 Diagnosis. Does not provide a reason why claim was not filed. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4653	TRO892625FTC	2/23/2016	Bekanich, Yvonne Bley	Yvonne Bley Bekanich	7384			X				1983 and 2004 diagnoses; unaware of the Tronox bankruptcy case, was working and staying with relative in another part of PA. Says that he did not receive the Wall Street Journal or any local papers while working in Philadelphia. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of PA starting in 2001. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4654	TRO888838FTC	12/30/2015	Edwards, Yvonne	Yvonne Edwards	6218			X		X		Former resident of Columbus, MS; did not file because was not aware area was affected by chemicals, was not notified to file; says symptoms and diagnosis 2009 (date unclear). Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4655	TRO912403FTC	5/30/2017	Ewing, Yvonne	Yvonne Ewing	4247		X	X				2005 diagnosis. Says was totally incompetent at bar date, dealing with medical issues that left her unable to care for herself. Claim filed in 2017. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4656	TRO912463FTC	5/30/2017	Norton, Handsol	Yvonne Norton-Ewing	5495		X	X				The injured party died in 2017; diagnosis 1980; rep says that at time of bar date, the injured party was incompetent, suffering from schizophrenia, dementia and other problems; rep says schizophrenia started around 1980 but does not provide medical records; also does not assert or provide medical records of date that dementia started; rep says there wasn't anyone taking care of his affairs until she did so starting in 2013. No support for brother's alleged inability to file a claim or to enlist help of others in doing so. Listed diagnosis is very old (1980). In absence of verification of incapacity the claim was barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4657	TRO887620FTC	12/14/2015	Mullins, Bessie	Yvonne Robinson	4567		X	X				1995 diagnosis; rep did not provide excuse; injured party deceased. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4658	TRO903458FTC	11/23/2016	Smith, Yvonne	Yvonne Smith	7325			X				2001-2002 diagnoses; did not know about it. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4659	TRO887140FTC	12/14/2015	Yancey, Yvonne	Yvonne Yancey	3328		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. First diagnosed 1984; says "did not know or had no reason to know of any exposure to a Tronox-Kerr-McGee product prior to the claim filing deadline." Moved to CA in 1976. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4660	Unknown	12/14/2015	Dickerson, Lemon	Yvonne Yancey	3383			X				No claim filed and no grounds for relief set forth; sent a letter asking that any correspondence re: her dead father be sent to her address.
4661	TRO886135FTC	12/7/2015	Johnson, Zachariah	Zachariah Johnson	3862		X	X				Filed in 1999 w. atty William Bambach, says papers were lost. Participation in prior proceeding shows awareness of claim and of legal rights. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless conduct of counsel is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4662	TRO898685FTC	6/27/2016	Larcholey, Zacharias	Zacharias Larcholey	4617			X				September 2008 diagnosis; says was unaware of bar date. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect.
4663	TRO901843FTC	Unknown	Harris, Zachary Alexander	Zachary A. Harris	8001			X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1992 diagnosis; place of exposure not clear; no reason provided, only says claim was filed in 2015. Does not allege lack of knowledge of bar date, contains no showing to support relief based on excusable neglect or due process.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4664	TR890591FTC	Unknown	Taylor, Zachary	Zachary Taylor	8043						X	Not included in Trust's summary. Various diagnosis dates, some before bar date and some after; minor and did not know nor was he aware of his options; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; does not provide reason why a guardian did not file. Will permit supplemental submission to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4665	TRO894677FTC	3/23/2016	Glenn, Zaira	Zaira Glenn	4115		X	X				Former resident of Columbus, MS. 1991 diagnoses. Rep says claimant did not know and nor reason to know exposed to Tronox product. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4666	TRO886158FTC	12/7/2015	Morris, Zandra	Zandra Morris	3423		X	X				2000 Diagnosis. Was not aware of deadline because she was still gathering all of her information at the time. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and reasons why filed so long after the bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4667	TRO887685FTC	12/14/2015	Shirley, Zaveria	Zaveria Shirley	6126			X			X	Diagnosis and symptoms started in 2015; minor in 2009; moved away and was not aware of the proceedings, unaware exposed to a Tronox product; says "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy;" discharge of claim was a violation of due process; refers to private meetings in area, minimal details to town. Conduct of Colom and Lundy firm is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect unless counsel's conduct can be excused, no such excuse has been offered. No pre-bar date diagnosis identified for which relief is sought, therefore no basis for relief based on excusable neglect or due process. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4668	TRO887895FTC	12/14/2015	Williams, Zelda	Zelda Williams	5763		X	X			X	Did not know; misinformed, told that must own property to file claim (that is a reference to an earlier class action on behalf of property damage claimants); says minor issues 1975; major issue Nov. 2009. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4669	TRO901325FTC	Unknown	Davis, Zenobia	Zenobia Davis	7523		X	X				Not included in Trust's summary. 1998-99 diagnosis; previously filed with the Colom law firm in 2003, was awarded \$500; did not know about the Tronox bankruptcy proceeding; says was a minor; unaware exposed to harmful Tronox product. Claim was resolved in the prior class action and could not be reasserted in the Tronox bankruptcy case.
4670	TRO886035FTC	12/7/2015	Evans, Bobbie	Zerrick Evans	4295		X	X				1995/2003 diagnoses and symptoms. Deceased 2008; rep says he was minor at time, does not indicate responsible person for estate in 2009 and does not explain why that person or persons did not take action. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim based on 1995 diagnosis also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4671	TRO898651FTC	6/27/2016	Bush, Zettie	Zettie Bush	5373		X	X				2001 diagnosis; moved out of area; unaware exposed to Tronox product; filed same form letter that says she contacted Garretson and was told a record of her claim was not in the system. She says that she "was not given justifiable allowance under the guidance of Colom and Lundy" Also says that she "should be entitled to fair representation under the Garretson Resolution Group and any other entity." Says violation of due process; refers to private meetings by some claimants who did not give details to others in the community. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.



TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4672	TRO889898FTC	2/23/2016	McEachin, Zinzi	Zinzi McEachin	6117			X		X		Says was not aware of the Tronox bankruptcy claim in 2009; had no knowledge of this before he filed a claim; did not receive a letter or telephone call or learn of anything from media; publication notice of the claims filing deadline was not reasonably calculated to provide notice; did not know and had no reason to know exposed to a Tronox product; discharge of claim a violation of due process; unaware of the process and did not know he could file a claim for himself; says symptoms and diagnosis after bar date. Alleges publication notice was not reasonable but no showing that Tronox had reason to know of claimant, no specific challenge to the publication notices that were approved in 2009. Trust may dispute diagnoses dates, but no pre-bar date diagnosis is alleged for which relief is sought. Any claim as to a condition first diagnosed after the bar date is to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
4673	TRO893112FTC	2/23/2016	Sherrod, Zipporah	Zipporah Sherrod	5270	8231					X	Diagnoses 1992 and 2001; says tried to file in 1998 but they were not accepting claims from children, but that must have been in connection with a prior lawsuit as the bankruptcy case was not filed until 2009; filed supplement at docket # 8231, says unaware health issues were due to Tronox product, but admitted having tried to file with prior class action. Was 17 in 2009. Will permit supplemental submission to verify age at the time of the bar date and to explain reasons why parents or guardians did not file, why claimant waited so many years after the bar date before filing a claim, and whether relief is warranted.
4674	TRO897690FTC	5/25/2016	Hairston, Zoe	Zoe Hairston	3661		X	X				Diagnosed 2004, unaware of deadline until filed in 2016. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.
4675	TRO888627FTC	12/24/2015	Webber, Zoe	Zoe Webber	3953		X	X				1999 diagnosis. Retained William Bombach. Claims mother gave information to attorney but it is lost. Conduct of counsel is not grounds for relief based on excusable neglect or due process unless counsel's conduct is excused. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief based on excusable neglect. Claim also was time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing.

TABLE A - SORTED BY MOTION DOCKET NUMBER

	CLAIM NO.	DATE CLAIM WAS FILED	INJURED PARTY	MOTION FILER	Docket No.	Other Filings	REASONS FOR RULINGS					Comments and explanations for rulings
							A	B	C	D	E	
4676	TRO904312FTC	1/3/2017	Windham, Zquita	Zquita Windham	6306		X	X			X	Various diagnosis dates listed, some before and some after bar date; says had no knowledge that these chemicals and waste were present in the community, did not know one could file a claim against the company; says did not know what conditions stemmed from. Risks of creosote exposure and filings of claims based on the same were the subjects of widespread publicity in the relevant areas of MS starting before 2000. Alleges lack of actual knowledge but no showing as to other relevant factors (including diligence in investigation and pursuit of claims and legal rights, reason why filed so long after bar date), not sufficient for relief as to pre-bar date diagnoses. Claims based on conditions diagnosed before 2006 also were time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations before the Tronox bankruptcy filing. Merits of any claim alleging conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its dispute resolution procedures.
						TOTAL	2790	4490	20	1308	179	