SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
In Re:	X ·	
iii KC.	:	
Application for Exemption from the Electronic	:	General Order M-522
Public Access Fees by Michelle M. Miller,	:	
	:	
	X	

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

This matter is before the Court upon the application and request by Michelle M. Miller (the "Applicant"), an Assistant Professor of Economics at Loyola Marymount University, for exemption from the fees imposed by the Electronic Public Access Fee Schedule adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States Courts.

The Court finds, based upon the attached letter received on August 15, 2018, that the Applicant has demonstrated that an exemption is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.

Accordingly, the Applicant shall be exempt from the payment of fees for access via PACER to the electronic case files maintained in this Court to the extent such use is incurred in connection with the project described in the attached letter and application. The Applicant shall not be exempt from the payment of fees incurred in connection with other uses of the PACER system in this Court.

Additionally, the following limitations apply:

- 1. This fee exemption applies only to the Applicant, and is valid only for the purposes stated above.
- 2. This fee exemption applies only to the electronic case files of this Court that are available through the PACER system;
- 3. By accepting this exemption, the Applicant agrees not to sell for profit any data obtained as a result of receiving this exemption.
- 4. This exemption is valid from August 15, 2018 through September 30, 2019.

This exemption may be revoked at the discretion of the Court at any time. A copy of this Order shall be sent to the PACER Service Center.

Dated: September 4, 2018 New York, NY

/s/ Cecelia G. Morris
CECELIA G. MORRIS
Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge

Application for Multi-Court Exemption from the Judicial Conference's Electronic Public Access (EPA) Fees

1.) I am requesting an exemption from fees for public access to electronic case records for the courts selected below:

Courts of Appeal	Bankruptcy Appellate Panels (BAP)		
All Courts of Appeal	Seventh Circuit	First Circuit - BAP	
First Circuit	☐ Eighth Circuit	Sixth Circuit - BAP	•
Second Circuit	☐ Ninth Circuit	☐ Eighth Circuit - BAP	
☐ Third Circuit	☐ Tenth Circuit	☐ Ninth Circuit - BAP	
— ☐ Fourth Circuit	☐ Eleventh Circuit	Tenth Circuit - BAP	
☐ Fifth Circuit	D.C. Circuit		
Sixth Circuit	Federal Circuit		
District Courts			
☐ All District Courts		- '	
Alabama Middle	☐ Illinois Northern	Nebraska	Rhode Island
☐ Alabama Northern	☐ Illinois Central	☐ Nevada	South Carolina
☐ Alabama Southern	☐ Illinois Southern	☐ New Hampshire	South Dakota
☐ Alaska	☐ Indiana Northern	New Jersey	☐ Tennessee Eastern
Arizona	☐ Indiana Southern	New Mexico	Tennessee Middle
Arkansas Eastern	☐ Iowa Northern	New York Eastern	☐ Tennessee Western
Arkansas Western	☐ Iowa Southern	New York Northern	Texas Eastern
California Central	☐ Kansas	☐ New York Southern	☐ Texas Northern
California Eastern	Kentucky Eastern	New York Western	☐ Texas Southern
California Northern	Kentucky Western	☐ North Carolina Eastern	☐ Texas Western
California Southern	Louisiana Eastern	☐ North Carolina Middle	Utah
☐ Colorado	Louisiana Middle	☐ North Carolina Western	☐ Vermont
Connecticut	Louisiana Western	☐ North Dakota	☐ Virgin Islands
Delaware	☐ Maine	☐ Northern Mariana Islands	☐ Virginia Eastern
☐ District of Columbia	Maryland	Ohio Northern	☐ Virginia Western
☐ Florida Middle	☐ Massachusetts	Ohio Southern	☐ Washington Eastern
Florida Northern	Michigan Eastern	Oklahoma Eastern	☐ Washington Western
Florida Southern	Michigan Western	Oklahoma Northern	☐ West Virginia Northern
☐ Georgia Northern	Minnesota	Oklahoma Western	West Virginia Southern
Georgia Middle	Mississippi Northern	☐ Oregon	☐ Wisconsin Eastern
Georgia Southern	Mississippi Southern	Pennsylvania Eastern	Wisconsin Western
☐ Guam	Missouri Eastern	Pennsylvania Middle	
Hawaii	Missouri Western	Pennsylvania Western	
□ Idaho	☐ Montana	☐ Puerto Rico	

Bankruptcy Courts						
		·				
Alabama Middle	Illinois Northern	☐ Nebraska	☐ Rhode Island			
Alabama Northern	☐ Illinois Central	☐ Nevada	South Carolina			
Alabama Southern	☐ Illinois Southern	☐ New Hampshire	South Dakota			
☐ Alaska	Indiana Northern	☐ New Jersey	☐ Tennessee Eastern			
Arizona	☐ Indiana Southern	☐ New Mexico	Tennessee Middle			
Arkansas Eastern	☐ Iowa Northern	☐ New York Eastern	☐ Tennessee Western			
Arkansas Western	☐ Iowa Southern	New York Northern	☐ Texas Eastern			
California Central	☐ Kansas	☐ New York Southern	Texas Northern			
California Eastern	☐ Kentucky Eastern	New York Western	☐ Texas Southern			
California Northern	☐ Kentucky Western	☐ North Carolina Eastern	Texas Western			
California Southern.	Louisiana Eastern	☐ North Carolina Middle	Utah			
Colorado	Louisiana Middle	☐ North Carolina Western	☐ Vermont			
Connecticut	Louisiana Western	North Dakota	☐ Virgin Islands			
Delaware	Maine	Northern Mariana Islands	☐ Virginia Eastern			
☐ District of Columbia	☐ Maryland	☐ Ohio Northern	☐ Virginia Western			
Florida Middle	☐ Massachusetts	☐ Ohio Southern				
Florida Northern	☐ Michigan Eastern	Oklahoma Eastern	☐ Washington Western			
Florida Southern	Michigan Western	Oklahoma Northern	☐ West Virginia Northern			
Georgia Northern	Minnesota	Oklahoma Western	☐ West Virginia Southern			
☐ Georgia Middle	Mississippi Northern	Oregon	☐ Wisconsin Eastern			
Georgia Southern	Mississippi Southern	Pennsylvania Eastern	☐ Wisconsin Western			
Guam	Missouri Eastern	Pennsylvania Middle	Wyoming			
Hawaii	Missouri Western	Pennsylvania Western				
☐ Idaho		☐ Puerto Rico				
National Courts						
Judicial Panel on U.S. Court of Multidistrict Litigation U.S. Court of International Trade						
2.) I am an individual associated with Loyola Marymount University						
3.) Please summarize why the case information from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records						
(PACER) service is needed and how it will be used. Also, please explain why an exemption from						
the courts identified is necessary. If you need more space, please provide in an attachment.						
See attached	•					

- 4.) In support of this application, I affirm the following:
 - a) An exemption from the Judicial Conference's EPA Fee is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.
 - b) That the exemption will be for a definitive period of time: 1 year
 - c) I understand that this fee exemption will apply only to me, will be valid only for the purposes stated above, and will apply only to the electronic case files of the court(s) indicated above that are available through the PACER service.
 - d) I agree that any data received through this exemption will not be sold for profit, will not be transferred, will not be used for commercial purposes, and will not be redistributed via the Internet.

Declaration: I declare that all the above information is true and understand that a false statement

may result in termination of my exempt access and an assessment of Electronic Public Access usage fees. (The box must be marked or your request will not be considered)

Michelle M. Miller

Applicant's Printed Name

Assistant Professor of Economics

Applicant's Title

MMM MM

Applicant's Signature

Applicant's Phone Number

Michelle.Miller@lmu.edu

Applicant's email address

1 LMU Drive, Suite 4214

Applicant's Mailing Address

Los Angeles

CA 90045

City State Zip Code

Date

Please submit your completed, signed request via email to Multi-CourtExemptions@ao.uscourts.gov or by mail to:

Attention: Multi-Court Exemptions Court Programs Division DPS-CSO-PRGD One Columbus Circle, N.E. Washington, DC 20544

^{**} Requests sent through the US mail may take up to two weeks to clear security.**

Attachment to Application for Multi-Court Exemption from the Judicial Conference's Electronic Public Access (EPA) Fees

Section 3

I wish to examine how state exemption laws and state garnishment rates differentially impact an individual's decisions to file for bankruptcy. Prior works have shown that these laws have a minimal impact on the *average* individual, but I wish to show that these laws have *a large impact on specific individuals*. In particular, I want to show that high exemption laws significant increase the likelihood that high asset individuals file for bankruptcy while high garnishment rates significantly increase the likelihood that low income individuals file for bankruptcy. This will be a significant contribution to the literature and aid in policy analysis.

Publically available datasets do not have enough bankrupt individuals to perform this analysis. This analysis requires a large sample of bankrupt individuals from numerous states; ideally, this research is best addressed with a dataset of every individual who filed for bankruptcy on a particular date.

With a PACER exemption, I would access every petition filed nationwide on February 2, 2015. For each filing, I will collect information on unsecured debts (from schedule F), home equity (from schedule A), employment status (from schedule I) and income over the previous two calendar years (from the Statement of Financial Affairs).

I have previously performed this analysis using a sample of individuals who filed for bankruptcy on February 1, 2007. My analysis can be found at the following link: http://michellemmiller.lmu.build/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/who_files.pdf. Recently, the *American Law and *Economics Review* indicated that it would be interested in publishing my findings if I could update my paper with recent data. However, Loyola Marymount University does not have the funds to allow me to create a new updated dataset. Therefore, I ask for an exemption from the courts so these findings can be updated and published for all to read.